

**AN INTIMATE INSIGHT ON PSYCHOPATHY AND A NOVEL HERMENEUTIC
PSYCHOLOGICAL SCIENCE**

EMMANUEL TAKU

LONDON, UNITED KINGDOM

UNAFFILIATED AUTHOR

LOCOMOZ@GMAIL.COM

Besides and together with a study of the social sciences and philosophy, this author's intuitive confidence in this hermeneutic design insights that underlies the arguments and discourse, is inspired from 'an intimate and spontaneous idiosyncratic philosophical exercise (praxis) in the quest for the essence of meaning', a 'craft' that has been nurtured continuously for nearly 25 years now (without conscious planning at the beginning nor at any time thereafter) since his discovery of 'philosophical questioning and discourse' at high school. An exercise that mirrors the intimate idiosyncratic exercise/praxis allowing an artist like a musician to grasp and develop memes that latter down the years enable the artist to be more or less 'consummate with respect to the personal orientation they give to their arts'. Central to all such idiosyncratic processes is a continuous idiosyncratic memetic refinement over time of rough-cuttings, internal coherences, insights, inspirations, intuitive validations, constraining, sense-of-failing, sense-of-succeeding, confidence, mental inflections and mental projections; of course as per ability and ultimate pertinence with respect to intrinsic reality!

Abstract

This paper is rather a profound hermeneutic enunciation putting into question our present understanding of psychopathy. It further articulates, in complement, a novel theoretical and methodological conceptualisation for a hermeneutic psychological science. Methodology-wise, it puts into question a traditional more or less categorical and mechanical approach to the social and behavioural sciences as it strives to introduce a creative and insightful approach for the articulation of ideas. It rather seeks to construe the scientific method as being more about falsifiability and validation but driven by a sense of creative understanding and insight of notions laid out as open-ended conceptualisations. Theory-wise, it sees continuity between anthropology and psychology as anthropopsychology behind an entropic construct of human psychology based on a recurrent re-institutionalisation mechanism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation.

Keywords: psychopathy, hermeneutic, theory, meaning, ontology

Introduction

Quite possibly everything about this paper whether the authoring, the approach and the substance sparks of novelty bordering on the outlandish. Further, why not take a traditional categorical approach and clearly present scientific ideas the traditional way? It is a personal insight developed more than 20 years ago, and just when the author began his B.Sc. in Sociology and Anthropology; that a study of the social and behavioural should carry the philosophical and insightful at its very core above anything else given the inherent ephemeral nature of its subject matter. When I came across the term hermeneutics (and others like phenomenology), this author felt as a personal conviction that that was the chart for the future of the social sciences. My vision in this regard is one of a social science that delves directly into the core of things and avoids platitudes. To come back to the point of this abstract, this explains my apparently tattered approach. But tattered really? No, as the central insight of my articulation is that the scientific method is a validation and falsifiability method, and not necessarily the creative method. The creative method as a hermeneutics isn't supposed to roll down and stifle its very expressiveness, and at the same time it should be articulated in such a way that an exercise of falsifiability, validation and open-ended questioning can be undertaken over it. Such a hermeneutic science calls for a mutual sense of such a hermeneutics by both the author and would-be critic. I hopefully believe the way I have articulated ideas should be able to allow for such an examination. My hermeneutic inspiration in this regard can be analogised with musical creation and music theory. The latter is there to

ensure the appropriate articulation of rules but is not really the drive of musical creation, as musical creation is rather the musician's hermeneutical insight of how to go about creating music while adhering to music theory, such that any such music is analysable/critiqued by the way it credibly adheres to music theory, and actually in exceptional cases further develop music theory. A second point that makes this method ideal is that the apparent enunciation of this paper (an outright call for a reinvention of the state of the art regarding our understanding of psychopathy and the underlying psychology science); is that it is doubtful such an articulation can be credibly presented in simple categorical terms, without rather utilising an entropic hermeneutic-referential approach based on an open-endedness for falsifiability and validation in future elaboration and development of ideas. Further, I thought it more critical (wary of platitudinising the occasion) that the purity of ideas expressed herein shouldn't be overly clouded particularly as the treatment of this paper is largely in substance virgin territory, as of the underlying conceptualisation referential drive (beyond just simplistic rhyming/speculative/interpreted categories of philosophical theories and concepts but rather as 'a driven distinct comprehensively coherent/contiguous operant-level of insights articulation, and carrying implicative and applicative operant-level possibilities going forward'; more like a song is a coherent referential whole beyond just naïve categories of disjointed percussions-and-tunes-more-or-less-similar-to-those-of-the-song construed as constituting the song.) As a matter of fact, I would rather I wrote another paper talking about influences for such an articulation for this paper going by my hermeneutic design insights. Moreover, going by the very nature of how humans develop new ideas; while many, if not most, of my arguments may be more or less 'plainly intelligible', I equally thought it important to articulate ideas I hold in deep conviction and further as many such ideas come with their requisite precise convoluted qualifications even if such ideas might not be quite intelligible from a plain and simple reading, with the notion that such a requisite insight will

be forthcoming in future critique as the very nature of the introduction of new ways of thinking often mean their unintelligibility at first (equally explains my repeating of many terms for ‘habituation’), but then it is not the pertinence of reality that compromises it is the impertinence of human certitudes that does!

In the bigger scheme of things, it is herein contended that human social and institutional progress and development is not structurally/paradigmatically contiguous as to the very inherent nature of any given institutionalised framework as all such frameworks arrive at apathetic threshold as these rather develop into denaturing <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives stifling prospective possibilities, thus requiring prospective fundamental reconception. While such prospective re-projection/re-anticipation recognises prior human cumulated knowledge as enabling institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure right up to the present, it also recognises at a certain point the ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’ becomes critically a drawback for the possibility of knowledge-reification of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, as the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness behind the ‘inventing’/‘creation’ of prior knowledge fades into secondnature mechanical dispositions requiring the renewal of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness prospectively. At which point, the more decisive issue is recognising and assuming the reality of a fundamental apriorising/axiomatic/referencing intellectual break/schism/estrangement with such ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’, as so-implied across sublimating

historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing between non-universalising sophistry and prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation as well as in the case of medieval-pedantic dogmatism and prospective budding-positivism, and it is herein contended likewise with regards to our modern day intellectual muddlement as of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (associated with a predisposition for disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>) and prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy>). Underlying all such apriorising/axiomatic/referencing intellectual break/schism/estrangement because of teleological-decadence—as-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’ as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness perspective, as so-reflected in a <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives critically absconding (in <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to limited-mentation-capacity implications) on the basis of the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-

potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness–as-to-the-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-
 projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> (and
 rather reverting to eliciting untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality values being passed for
 knowledge-reification while undermining the prospective relative-ontological-completeness
 implications of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as for instance when
 statistics as the outcome of prior human originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-
 aestheticisation in resolving prior human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint are turned around to falsely imply progress
 occurs anyway to then paradoxically imply surreptitiously there shouldn't be any prospective
 human originariness-parrhesia,–as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in resolving prospective
 human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint), is the issue of the fundamental lack of
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness as 'knowledge becomes increasingly mechanical' and is rather a
 secondary and derivational tool for temporal self-serving posturing and is poorly perceived as
 worthy in of itself but for the imprimaturing so projected and the perceived temporal social-
 value arising with such imprimaturing and as it is increasingly associated with generalised
 incuriosity in genuine intellectual development and the substituting of mere imprimatur
 discretion/whim-of-thought over genuine knowledge-reification as of existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. This has developed in our present age of
 intellectual muddlement into the absurdity/ridiculousness of pop-intellectualism substituting

for genuine and reifying thought, as to the relentless expansion of our modern merchandising mentality to which nothing resists; and paradoxically, such a disposition hangs onto the ‘dereified as-deficient-reflexivity of our <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology it then sophistically usurp in its teleological-degradation rather than teleologically-elevating it out of its <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>; with media-driven imprimatur increasingly usurping the role of genuine academic standard production and ultimate validity hanging on the mere imprimatur. As what becomes critical in such a context is no longer prospective knowledge-reification as the primary and essential constraining worth but rather obsession with mere sway and influence even to the point of undermining prospective knowledge-reification as supposed intellection is increasingly infused with obfuscations, falsehoods and subterfuges (as to the fact that misrepresentations and pretences to misunderstand are rather conveniently given as of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction and hardly reflecting a discernment about the possibility for advancing human progress) that apparently render human-subpotency/mortality bigger than existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/immortality. But then human intellection across all ages and times come to an end not because of inherently right or inherently wrong ideas per se (as the very basic genuine striving for intellectual progress is what is critically decisive as that exercise ensures that down-the-line correct and reifying ideas will arise anyway), but critically when deliberate deception-and-induced-deception-as-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity

becomes more important than an aspiration for genuine intellection as an open-ended activity providing the possibility for human knowledge and reflexive empowerment from that knowledge. At which point, it is wrong for 'genuine intellection' not to recognise what is going on as to imply that it is veridically in dialogical-equivalence with such deception-and-induced-deception-as-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (whether or not, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) as this only leads to a destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance habituation and enculturation/endemisation of such deception-and-induced-deception-as-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity rendering the supposedly empowering activity of knowledge-reification impotent as in many ways such denatured intellection openly claims as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> inclinations that poorly appreciate existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness implications of transcendence. In many ways this intellectual falsehood (so-construed by this author as to the implausibility of genuine lack of understanding as from a serious intellectual engagement but rather a 'strategic/calculated behaviour of mere power even against genuine knowledge' which this author intimately construes as a 'decadent and dangerous conception of knowledge' that is effectively destructive of prospective human knowledge reifying and empowering possibilities) is at the 'root source' for surreptitiously ensuring that the public debate fails and thus leading to public policy defaulting into vested postures and interests especially so when such an intellectual teleological-decadence-as-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness whether by mystifications-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity-that-are-vague-and-imprimatur-driven, misinterpretation-of-statistics-holistic-implications, denial-of-relativism-

thus-foiling/undermining-relative-ontological-completeness-
 implications/conclusions/projections-of-prospective-knowledge-reification-in-a-dumbing-
 down-posturing-that-implies-that-the-present-is-unchangeable-as-of-presencing—
 absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, etymological-flouting-as-of-mere-conceptual-
 patterning-and-mere-stigmatising-of-competing-theories-and-concepts-on-the-naivety-that-
 such-stigmatising-representation-will-undermine/override-their-analysable-ontological-
 veracity and an-approach-as-of-the-ordinary-egotistic-perspective-in-existential-extrication-
 that-absolutises-the-present-that-is-passed-as-knowledge-reification all undermining
 informed insight and the requisite human intellectual and emotional sacrifice for genuine
 knowledge-reification and prospective progress involving the authentic self and social
 transformation rather than ‘gimmicks instilling a merchandising mentality of ideas’. This then
 provides paradoxically the underlying meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for
 upholding the status quo and inducing in many ways the impotence of the social sciences in
 thoroughly addressing human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of society that ultimately have serious
 structural/paradigmatic consequences associated with institutional failures (which such
 intellectualism is hardly inclined to address). Critically, such a ‘self-contented
 intellectualism’ increasingly focuses not on knowledge-reification as of existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness or the critical analysis of such knowledge-
 reification but in the face of criticism rather consciously substitutes strategies of institutional
 ascendancy as of a strategy of influence by default imprimatur status rather than genuine
 knowledge-reification pertinence. It will be as naïve as implying the validity of a common
 basis for doing arithmetic where an interlocutor insists on $2 + 2$ as 5 but when appropriately
 explained the veridical assumptions of arithmetic goes on to insist $3 + 3$ as 7, speaking not of

a fundamental problem of arithmetic operation as of dialogical-equivalence but a fundamental question of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity on the naïve mental reflex that anyway dialogical-equivalence is ever always assumed to then adopt an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing attitude of abusing the notion of dialogical-equivalence as to wrongly implied logical-duedness. Faced with such an orientation the genuine intellectual reaction is to engage it upfront as of an inclination ‘not just to evaluate logical coherence as of correctness or incorrectness or any other evaluation in-between on the basis of ontological-good-faith/authenticity’, but beforehand ‘to equally evaluate the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) as of underlying existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation/deblurring as well as whether the veracity of such apriorising/axiomatising/referencing can be established as being of relative-ontological-completeness implications as construed necessary herein and overriding naïve apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of presencing–absolutising-identitive-constitutedness in relative-ontological-incompleteness (that seem to undermine the absolute a priori of existence and imply that when existence doesn’t fit/digresses-from its conceptual-moulds then existence must have an inherent issue strangely enough as to be ignored/overcome by the stubborn/dogged/political upholding of such defective conceptual-moulds over inherent knowledge-reification implications as of existential-reality)’. We can appreciate that while many a subject-matter will often seem to imply that dialogical-equivalence is just assumed ‘as to the fact of merely engaging as of logical coherence without questioning the underlying ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality in ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, the fact is this is rather the

consequence of their universal-transparency of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework rendering the possibility of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity directly ridiculous as in the natural sciences given its direct universal-transparency subjection to prediction, such that we can hardly contemplate of an interlocutor insisting to imply that gravity on earth is 7 m/s^2 to ensure that calculations conform to its expectations for one interest or another; but the reality of that universal-transparency as preempting such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity inclinations is not so directly obvious in many a social domain-of-study and that blurred possibility effectively elicits circumstances of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> not only as of wrong ontological-conception out of good-intent (failing ‘technical ontological-good-faith/authenticity’ as of its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective conceptualisation) but equally as of outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (in spirit). This idea is essential in the thought of many such postmodern thinkers as Derrida and Foucault given the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity as herein construed as reflecting human constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance. The fact is knowledge-reification is of ‘existential <formative>epistemic-totalising construal for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)’ and nothing can be construed in discretion/whim-of-thought whether as of ignoring or on the other hand exaggerating, and just as we can fathom that we don’t have the choice to fiddle with even a single number or operation without a mathematical equation going wrong as of its existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness over our human-subpotency motives, the same actually do apply in all knowledge-reification and claims of subject-matter specificities ‘rather speak of the

difficulty with respect to human emotional-involvement and associated lack of rigour relative to knowledge-reification in addressing human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’, but not inherent constraining existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness dissimilarity of subject-matters. Just as there is no magical arithmetic or physics to resolve such a more fundamental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing situation involving ‘abusing the assuming of dialogical-equivalence’, it is wrong and foolhardy not to bluntly recognise this reality in the social domain as to the possibility of then achieving prospective transcendence as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness implications. The fact is the ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ is effectively what precedes and validates logic as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, however there is no logical-basis for the ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ but for ‘its ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construal as of existence’ as can be validated as of strong prediction establishing its universal-transparency; and thus the ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ is rather about ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ as to mere ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing’

so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. However, the universal-transparency generated in domains like mathematics and many a natural sciences is so efficient (as of the underlying positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought achieved ‘universal-transparency as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules so-reflected as our present positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ first induced by budding-positivists like Copernicus, Galileo, Descartes, Newton, Leibniz, etc.) that in many ways mathematicians ‘don’t go on to be thinking about the soundness of axioms once these are construed as of existence’ for instance with the axioms-of-addition, but this doesn’t mean that the idea of unsoundness of ‘a priori or axiomatic conception’ (as to invalidate dialogical-equivalence) doesn’t exist especially so when it comes to blurred domains not only in the social sciences but sometimes in the natural sciences as well where lack of universal-transparency arises such that there is nothing that transparently renders someone ridiculous from fiddling around ‘wrongly implying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence’ not only out of good-intent or ontological-good-faith/authenticity but ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as well. (In this regards, the idea of ‘putting in question dialogical-equivalence by not merely engaging for logical coherence but equally putting into question the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is effectively central to all prospective institutionalisations in relative-ontological-completeness as reflected with the Socratic philosophers putting in question the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of non-universalising sophists specifically with Socrates during his trial as to his highlighting of the inconsistencies of his accusers arguments priorly for the notion of logical coherent engagement to arise in the very first place and budding-positivists putting into question the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of non-positivising/non-rational-empiricists medieval-

scholasticism pedants specifically as with Galileo's implicit dismissal of any such pretence of logical coherence engagement in the face of what he could see positively through the telescope with respect to the 'imaginary pedantic machinations' of his interlocutors; as in fact the very notion of prospective institutionalisation is one of renewing reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing putting into question the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness superseded/transcended). With such teleologically-decadent—as-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness spirit of intellectualism, it can difficultly be fathomed how such a ground-breaking event as the appearance of Einsteinian physics in early 20th century prompting great excitement and curiosity among physicists recasting the contributions of prior physicists, and then eliciting the work of many other physicists and mathematicians in the subsequent decades leading in-between to the superseding of Einsteinian physics with Bohrian physics and then Feynmanian physics, etc. as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness constraining, can be contemplated as of such a rather impoverished conception of genuine intellection which poorly recognises the pre-eminence of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness over human-subpotency, notwithstanding the fact that we are at the backend of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and so because in many ways it is hardly the case that the priority is obsession with such intellectual emancipation rather than obsession with institutional-being-and-craft muddlement. While the

natural sciences are ‘naturally’ constrained by the stronger necessity for prediction, there is nothing that says because the social domain is relatively blurred the possibility for such rigour cannot be achieved in the social as well even as it is highly subject to social-stake-contention-or-confliction meddling; as the possibility of the undercutting of the latter’s <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives with asceticism does exist as has existed throughout sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Beyond the seemingly intellectual ebullience ever so portrayed today, the question can be asked to which extent it usually reflect deep curiosity for prospective knowledge-reification rather than a culture of pop-intellectualism today that seem to define our human-subpotency/mortality purposes as superseding existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/immortality purposes, as so-reflected in the supposed intellection values conferred in many a press operation with such vague catchphrases as ‘the-greatest/most-influential thinker of our times’ as of mere influence peddling and poorly advancing the inherent importance of prospective knowledge-reification as addressing the human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of our prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, notwithstanding the sometimes crude and unsavoury social discomfort implications in this respect. Thus in many ways such an orientation is unsettling to upcoming/future young thinkers as to what can be of profound intellection value with respect to opting for a profound intellectual commitment for prospective knowledge-reification rather than just strategies of socially perceived intellectual success within deified temporal/mortal existential frameworks; especially in the underhanded institutional presence of such avowedly teleologically-

decadent—as-lacking-in-~~<formative>~~epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness mantras like theories
 die with the passing of their authors as so-implied with regards to many a postmodern
 scholar, wherein such highbrowing has been surreptitiously inclined to put-up their
 temporalities/mortalities (notwithstanding that knowledge is as of existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-~~<formative>~~epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness consequences accruing to the entire
 humankind) to institutionally and socially undermine prospective knowledge-reification with
 stooges/foils muddying the ontological-veracity of genuine thought as of its true human
 emancipatory implications, as they ‘sneak-in and sneak-out about knowing and not knowing’
 in a distorted conception of intellectualism as a Machiavellian/political exercise rather than
 the requisite magnanimity of engagement for a genuine knowledge-reification exercise!
 Actually the projection of values including intellectual values in such ~~<formative>~~epistemic-
 totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag are often
 prospectively deficient, given the fact that notions of value are only as pertinent as of their
 transvaluation implications in relative-ontological-completeness since the very same
 conception of value when construed on the basis of relative-ontological-incompleteness may
 actually be associated with vices-and-impediments, and so beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought (given that virtue is
 rather as of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework conceptualisation as to transcendental-enabling/sublimating and not
 the vagueness of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness ~~<formative>~~wooden-
 language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-
 dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-institutionalisation-
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in human-subpotency social-aggregation-

enabling). We can grasp in this respect that the value conception as from the non-universalising sophistry perspective had construed as decadent the prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation just as did medieval-pedantic dogmatism of budding-positivists like Galileo and Descartes; as in many ways prospective knowledge-reification requires that we supersede our emotional-involvement starting with the very intellection striving for such prospective knowledge-reification. (In any case, ultimately the reality of human knowledge-reification involves ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so in transvaluation; as for instance, it can hardly be imagined that the reference-of-thought of the non-positivism/medievalism mindset as of its structural/paradigmatic ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology is apt as of its edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to grasp our modern day conception of say physics given its ‘valuation framework as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ that needs to be transvaluated into a positivism mindset, and it can fairly be contended that prospective issues of knowledge-reification in modern day physics having to do with theory-of-everything conception arise because of our inappropriately apt edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of an occlusive-consciousness reference-of-thought requiring prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of a protensive-consciousness (out of a full insight about causality as from the epistemic ‘relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-

ontological-contiguity in conflatedness' herein implied as ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework involving a 'direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-
 of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology' as implied prospectively in 'construing of both
 the right apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination and thus the knowledge for that
 right mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination'), and we can better
 understand as such why underlying confliction arises with all registry-
 worldviews/dimensions transcendences because these involve human-subpotency–
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint
 transvaluation as putting in question the old valuation, and in this regards the
 transcendental/transvaluating conception is universally existential and cannot be just about
 the physical world without social world implications and vice-versa as so-underlined with
 the fact that both are for-human-studies/for-human-constructs by the underlying fact that
 these are the very same human-subpotency implications as to overall panintelligibility-as-
 reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated–human-
 subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation;
 as inevitably the apparently innocuous Copernican, Galilean, Cartesian, Newtonian, etc.
 conception of the material world in superseding the human-subpotency–
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of
 'traditional mythological/supernatural conceptualisation of material world/things as of the
 universalising but non-positivism–medievalism preclusive-consciousness' have constructive
 implications about corresponding requisite prospective social-values in superseding the
 human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of 'traditional mythological/supernatural

conceptualisation of the social-construct as of the universalising but non-positivism–medievalism preclusive-consciousness’, and the possibility for the further advancement of such material sciences arises from the effectively enabling social-values like freedom-of-speech, opened communication, etc. availing as of the transcending positivism/rational-empiricism occlusive-consciousness. Likewise, it is herein contended that the future possibility for the natural sciences advancement is inseparable from the possibility of social and social-organisational as of meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint transvaluation of the prospective protensive-consciousness over our present occlusive-consciousness, and in effect this conjoint-epistemic-relationship-and-fate in the conceptualisation of the material and social world is even confirmed today as with the social and social-organisational framework that underlied and was necessary for most of the scientific and technological advances after the second-world war). Basically, <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as such reflects the successively induced originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation specific ‘constructiveness-by-destructuring cut-offs/thresholds of ontological-performance’ so-construed as of notional-protensive-consciousness (trepidatious-consciousness/warped-consciousness/preclusive-consciousness/occlusive-consciousness/protensive-consciousness) implications; and as eliciting any such specific construction-of-the-Self and its given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology overall structural/paradigmatic construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of secondnatured institutionalisation. The ‘destructuring cut-offs/thresholds of ontological-performance’ reflect prospective lack of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness

so-reflected in the shiftiness-of-the-Self's <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives implying an ontological-performance that is rather constrained on the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation 'despite the implications as from budding/nascent insights of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy>' for the need for prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation, to which the Self absconds (in <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to limited-mentation-capacity implications) until the perceived induced notional—positive-opportunism from any such prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation elicits the requisite human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) (involving prospective knowledge-reification and/or deferential-formalisation-transference) for prospective secondnatured institutionalisation as of renewed prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. Furthermore, besides the conceptualisation articulated herein, what vindicates this idea of apriorising/axiomatic/referencing intellectual break/schism/estrangement is effectively that the possibility for prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is associated with a renewed framework of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology which is in 'affirmation/projection by its underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing perspective as of edginess/incisiveness' to

the superseded framework of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as unaffirmed/deprojected; as to the possibility of the recovery of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness prospectively, disentangled from ‘prior knowledge-as-of-mechanical-knowledge predisposition and its developed temporal institutional self-serving predisposition’. And finally, after many years of formative contemplation this author is rather dedicated to writing henceforth even if read/skimmed just by a handful or fortuitously or never-but-potentially, whatever cometh, hopefully over the next half a century, and thinks any human who genuinely feels strongly about the need for profound human thought should be able to do likewise, as ultimate responsibility and choice notionally lies with the individual.

Topical Index

what a psychopath is philosophically-speaking	143
‘correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument— producing-measurements’ (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness)894	
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing899	
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy901	
transcendental knowledge (as relatively ‘consecrated’ by relative intrinsic- reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) necessarily carries a ‘cynicism-of-grandeur-as-of-effective-intemporal-solipsistic-commitment’	911
relative teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of- thought	921
difference between postlogism and prelogism	924
‘existential perpetuation in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability’	941
upholding of prospective transcendental-enabling/sublimating over any temporal extricatory paradigm	996
acting as-of-a-secondnature nature is not enough for articulating prospective institutionalisation	999
The psychopath is in a state of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised- transposition’-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-‘impulsive- dementation’	1024
it is critical to distinguish between the notion of slanting (cingle in French) as postlogism-as- of-non-conviction and the notion of a lie which is prelogism-as-of-conviction	1027
we ‘consistently’ have two sets of mental-disposition having to do with the uninstitutionalised-threshold of all registry-worldviews/dimensions; as of metaphysics-of- presence and metaphysics-of-absence representations	1034
rational-realism attends to the idea of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as enabling its more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by way of a concurrently more and more ‘rational realistic’ construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of a natural human psychological growth disposition (‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’)	1041
wrongly elevate psychopathic meaningfulness as of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’ rather than reflect the reality of its ‘formulaic meaning’	1509
The underlying fact about meaning is that apriorising—registry (as basic element of reference- of-thought) precedes logic	1513
‘social protraction of psychopathy across individuals and society’	1521
The suspected psychosomatic basis for the psychopath to be slanted/‘cingle’ is a ‘faulty- mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge (entitlement folie/folie raisonnante)’ as opposed to a logical motivation of a conviction or prelogical mental-disposition	1522

‘mental roaming/drifting-cycle disposition known as postlogism-retreating’	1525
‘formulaic perception of meaning’	1526
social-discomfiture is in reality not a veridical logical ‘contention’ but in veridicality/ontologically a ‘protracted manifestation’ of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of- reference-of-thought	1529
bad conviction, contrasted to the psychopath’s non-conviction-or-‘existential- decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing	1531
the psychopath overemphasises in a consciously active manner the empty forms of prosody in-of-themselves first and over the intrinsic attributive essence of meaning like overemphasising the toning form (toning triggering) and the supposition form (presumptuousness) in their expressed deductive reasoning, as it mimicks the fact that the forms of prosody tend to be overemphasised spontaneously when naturally expressing profound/deep conviction	1532
mechanism of ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of- ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at the point of lack of social universal-transparency about the psychopathic postlogism/slantedness non- conviction in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and- failing-intemporal-preservation	1537
mechanism for psychopathic and postlogical slantedness is relayed to apparently sound conviction interlocutors, and so along five factors	1539
psychopathy as postlogism is associated with temporal-dispositions in their ‘perversion-of- reference-of-thought (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought—categorical- imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of the various institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels	1546
‘institutionalisation intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological- preservation’ is what creates ‘a sounder scientific foundation’ for ‘a hermeneutic psychological science’ termed ‘anthropopsychology’ or the ‘anthropological continuity’	1549
transcendental analysis (institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure analysis) is not, as may wrongly be thought, analogical but is rather ‘a contiguous meaningful reference’	1551
Memetic-reordering (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional- recomposure process) can then be defined as arising when a registry- worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology is transcended	1552
stranded registry-worldviews/dimension.....	1554
illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing- syncretising/mirage.....	1556
The very specific nature of the deprocryptic transcendence/institutionalisation is to recognise and articulate the veridicality of the fact of human-subpotency— aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint— imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor	1557
Knowledge notionalisation as such carries a transcendent-existentialism/in-full-existential-	

depth-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–implications which is more than just reactionary to the possibility of temporality/shortness (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) but rather ‘a transcendent-existentialism maturing of thought’ (intemporality as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that takes abstract cognisance of temporality/shortness as an intransient potency (hitherto accounting for the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of human circular-uninstitutionalised-thresholds) to be conceptually understood and superseded recurrently and perpetually 1560

The conceptualisation of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology refers to the same deconstructed/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness notion 1562

The reference-of-thought is the fundamental-dispositional mentation architecture for human referencing or construing of meaningfulness-and-teleology 1562

a registry-worldview/dimensional defect is one of systematic defect of reference-of-thought 1564

‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought does not arise because of failure of logical-processing-or-logical-implication but rather because of failure of reference-of-thought as of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought 1565

Soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought on the other hand implies being-or-ontological-or-existential-or-meaningfulness-and-teleology disposition as of ‘conviction-being-teleology’ 1565

‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ 1569

intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis with respect to their social-stake-contention-or-confliction specific to each registry-worldview/dimension defining its ‘inherent institutionalisation and snowballed recomposuring’ going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor 1572

with regards to adult psychopathy and the induced social psychopathy, it will be naïve to simply analyse on a dichotomous basis of psychopathy and its violation of social norm 1576

psychopathy is associated with temporal-dispositions destructuring-thresholding ‘as the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’/socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation 1576

requisite ontological/intemporal foundation, to formalise (as deferential-formalisation-transference) contexts of psychopathy and social psychopathy (and generally formalise contexts of ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought 1576

potentially nefarious influences that may arise from pseudo-formalisms as well, and where these are construed out of their inherent context to wrongly imply a genuine ontological analysis 1577

implied intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-

recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, contrasted with a temporal extricatory paradigm, is necessarily the prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension	1579
Ontological-normalcy (postconvergence) abstractly refers to any relevant/implied registry-worldview/dimension that is in a reflected/perspectivated state of prospective transcending/superseding.....	1580
‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’ is the reflection of the contiguity of successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications across varying meaningful frames, references and registry-worldviews/dimensions	1591
‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as being ontologically-driven	1592
fundamental construct of rational-realism that human progress is the outcome of human increasingly realistic grasp of what man is with ‘lesser and lesser vague idealisations’	1595
grasping the social psychopathy dynamism is by articulating an intemporal-referencing transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality construct	1600
hermeneutic ‘ontological reasoning’ to arrive at ‘ intemporal-or-ontological meaning’ that is beyond any <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/self-centered/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/mirage mental projection within just a given registry-worldview/dimension	1604
Referentialism involves a reference-of-thought (characteristic of deprocrypticism) construing existence and existential-conceptualisation/construal as about the ‘precedingness of becoming’ as of conflation rather than constitutedness.....	1605
BODMAS characters and character A (Addition) as the additionality defect character	1608
the reason why a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension needs its own knowledge-construct reference-of-thought psychologism has to do with the fact that every registry-worldview/dimension has ‘its own specific constitutedness/conflation psychological complex reflex mechanism’ wherein its limits in the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality are defined...	1612
‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ (which holds the resources for individual and collective human possibilities, like prior developed culture, language, skills, etc. available for individual and collective intersolipsistic exploitation and renewal)	1615
human uninstitutionalised-threshold	1653
an incidental study like psychopathy and social psychopathy with respect to the grander deprocrypticism institutionalisation level within the treatment of the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures meta-conceptual frame	1656
Institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) involves.....	1657
the psychopath’s and other postlogical articulations have a nefarious effect, on social meaning particularly in ‘spheres of extended-informality-⟨susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology⟩’ of	

society in general and social institutions	1660
a registry-worldview dimension that so misanalyses is not ‘shaped’ to review but rather syncretises/is-circular in its failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation rather than implying prospective ones for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation	1663
no need to breach the scientific principle known as the ‘mediocrity principle’, (which says that there are no exceptions/specialness in science), to wrongly say that man is inherently intemporal.....	1666
transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisation, for a novel genuinely universal psychology as anthropopsychology, involved in all successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation	1669
The intemporal-disposition is rather about emphasising institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels as the means and basis for prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation	1671
the teleology for ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics is about attaining cross-generational transcendence with corresponding dialectical and psychoanalytic existential reorientations	1676
stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dialecticism of transcendence involving the transcended and the transcending dimensions	1683
uninhibited/decomplexified and forward-looking perspective imbued in a deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation with respect to procrypticism.....	1684
‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation articulation)’	1687
ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibilities for	1689
‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’	1691
‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness (organic-comprehension-thinking) holds that ‘critically what matters with respect to ontology and virtue is simply and completely intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought	1693
point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought construal technique for distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with respect to the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing	1709
Without ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness disposition the possibility for transcendence from perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as prior intemporal	

reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) to prospective ones which are intemporal-preservational, the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposeure process will not occur and be regenerative..... 1714

categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are not by themselves the definitive basis for ontology/intrinsic-reality/existential-reality as these are only as pertinent as they are ontologically-veridical/ontologically-continuous/contextually-contiguous 1716

new requisite reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation not only for this particular circumstance of the BODMAS characters but all such circumstances that may arise as a perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought thus requiring ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics 1720

why the different registry-worldviews/dimensions are seemingly demented with respect to one another (from the prospective perspectives) 1721

human ontological transcendental possibilities arise from human individuations that correspond to the appropriate intemporal-projecting existential becoming allowing for such ontological possibilities, and the latter is enabled by apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as it ‘reasons’ beyond the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology within just a given registry-worldview/dimension 1723

our psychological nature is actually about mental-devising-representation which is meant to serve notionally the pertinence of supposed ontological articulations with respect to intrinsic reality, and it doesn’t has any end to itself but for such dialectical readjustments to ontological-veridicality..... 1727

3 transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestals of meaningfulness 1727

analysing perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a different nature in a superseded registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension1733

when it comes to deciding between ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) and the human temporal psyche, what gives-in is the human temporal psyche 1742

Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as such redefines psychology as a postdicatory science (tying the mental-devising-representation process to the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality referencing/correction-tool)..... 1746

soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness is not given, as it is a devising mechanism (mental-devising-representation) for ontological-veridicality as dialectically upheld for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence)..... 1747

registry-worldviews/dimensions are rather prospectively <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-

narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of their own specific evolving successive existentialisms	1748
contrasting ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in its purity as ontological-normalcy and that human temporal inclination at all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures threshold this purity and pervert ontological-normalcy/postconvergence	1754
particularity for achieving all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures is about bringing the prior registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought to its placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology awareness for the collective-mind to psychoanalytically-unshackle/memetically-reorder/institutionally-recomposure	1764
a psychological science which is more comprehensive, timeless and unbounded by its conceptualisation as it emphasises psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation as more 'ontologically-driven/ontologised' rather than 'conventionally-driven/conventionalised'	1773
deconstruction when extended from its 'textual basis' to its 'full meaningfulness basis' as 'ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness', has to do with the fact that the full implications of 'ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness'/deconstruction is that it prospectively calls for suprastructuring-or-construal-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-of-a-prior registry-worldview mindset/reference-of-thought	1785
what is 'ontologically normal' beyond the subjective conventioning of the psychology science (before even worrying about the abnormal)?.....	1789
deprocrpticism institutionalisation will imply a superseding memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure/new-mentation and further extension of formalisation as 'deferential-formalisation-transference' of 'deprocrptic formalisation' into the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)	1794
narrative/storying technique for a comprehensive thinking-dementing dialectical representation involves articulating a comprehensive organic-comprehension-thinking narrative in 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness	1797
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution as against an extricatory/temporal/non-ontological paradigm resolution fundamentally implies putting into question a registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought.....	1802
reality is as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural and doesn't respond to and have nothing to do inherently with human mental-devising-representation incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness, as it is up to us to proxy to it.....	1803
knowledge notionalisation is about 'a deterministic and operant construct preserving intemporality/longness as ontology	1804
fundamental construal about the conceptual-and-institutionalisation-phenomena has to do with how any and all conceptualisations and meaningfulness harken back to 'intemporal-	

preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’	1806
‘EMPTINESS of psychopathic postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ and the conjugation/inflection/derivation of that EMPTINESS to the temporal-dispositions as postlogical conjoining-looping-sets-of-narratives in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation	1809
Dementing as thus implied can be defined as the reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting of mental-devising-representation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness with respect to ontological-normalcy	1813
fundamentally one can’t conjugate/inflect/derive intemporality/longness out of demonstrated temporality/shortness (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>) as then one is just in <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and wrongly implying the registry-worldview/dimension is beyond transcendence or is non-transcendable	1818
‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness points out that conventioning constructs like sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising do not supersede the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/intrinsic-veridicality, as may be naively advance with circumventing/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought.....	1820
we don’t have absolute mentation capacity and the most intemporal of our dispositions should take pride of place in defining our achievement motives whether as philosophies, causes, skillsets and talents in our value and valor aspirations	1823
transcendental institutionalisation is basically an ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation preemptive conceptualisation	1824
articulating a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of deprocrepticism	1826
anthropopsychology as the-anthropological-continuity as implied by intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation relation to reality as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/precedingness points out that at registry-worldview/dimension-level ontology as the transcending dimension is veridically an abject organicalism	1827
‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’	1829
Entropy as defined (‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’) relates that the intemporal-preservation-institutionalisation entropy is the preceding-and-defining reference for the hermeneutic-referencing of the ontological meaning of all other associated conceptualisations and notions	1839
‘referentialism’ which makes reference to the supersedingness/precedingness of the ‘intemporal preservation institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy/contiguity’ before	

articulating concepts and notions in referential and organic elucidation of the entropic construct	1839
‘Intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’ with respect to uninstitutionalised-threshold.....	1840
Logic as logical-congruence only arises where there is a mutual registry-worldview reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology	1844
*the mind is actually a mental devising tool’ whose veracity/ontological-pertinence must be validated by an abstractly veridical intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality	1844
contention is an ‘ontological-entrapment’ not about logical operation/processing/contention of the ‘non-veridical hollow perversion-of-reference-of-thought narratives’ but rather reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) it as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework	1846
virtue is a ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ and not a ‘good-natured/impression construct’	1847
each registry-worldview/dimension is only capable of the virtue reflected by its intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation	1852
a defect of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing-postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation operates on the ‘parasitising/co-opting’ basis that intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are formulaic determinants of human thought and action	1853
it is ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics that enables the mental-reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with)-representation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> as perversion-of-reference-of-thought in construing unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought.....	1854
Reality being blunt/incisive as it is rather preceding/superseding and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to us, is in essence an operant and deterministic construct that doesn’t have any place for discrete incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness notions.....	1855
‘traditionally 4 human mental projections/representations/dispositions’ associated with virtuous paradigmatic construct.....	1857
Human mental development across time validate the notion that we have consistently been in a state of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure	1862
Rational-Realism which is the first institutionalisation/intemporalisation recomposure that goes beyond just articulating reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation by anticipating and preempting the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a prior/superseded registry-worldview’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation.....	1865
two dilemma with respect to the conceptualisation of virtue	1866

intemporal-preservation is a memetically/psychoanalytically evasive construct at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the pursuit of which is veridically the human species eudaemonic contemplation.....	1869
‘human progress/transcendence happens as a matter of fact, with no registry- worldview/dimension having any ontological and veridical claim/pretence to extricate itself from psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure—as- dialectical-stranding-backdrop-for-prospective-transcendence.....	1870
Stranding (-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions-of-reference-of-thought) should be construed at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised/solipsistic threshold (the threshold where the registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding-as-of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or- ontological-preservation).....	1871
There is no reason for stranding-dialectics and recomposuring but for the fact that the internal coherence of a registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding-as-of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or- ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold.....	1872
an ontological construct ‘escalates’ specific/particular instances of phenomena (in this case psychopathy and social psychopathy phenomenon) into a universal conceptualisation which ‘knowledge principle conceptualisation’ then addresses (percolates into) the ‘infinity of related incidental phenomena and cases’	1874
temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation before logical processing/operation.....	1877
‘ontology is about working with what is/knowledge-driven, and not wishful- thinking/impression-driven’ to accede to intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as it enables ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework.....	1883
it is critical to distinguish between a true philosophical development that arises by intemporal and an institutionalised development that is articulated to elicit ‘positive-opportunism’ in humans.....	1884
the concepts of intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness is more scientific than the impression notions of good and bad	1884
‘ human mental-dispositions/individuations’	1889
pedestal is meant the ‘temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions of meaningfulness’	1889
‘Unconscionability-drag’ (from an ontological/intemporal reference) refers to the comprehensive state of undisambiguation of temporal-dispositions individuation-pedestals which are wrongly associated to the intemporal-disposition to be ontological as these conjugate/inflect/derive (in mimicking-protraction)	1892
at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ human learned behaviour is primarily geared towards what is ‘perceived as succeeding’	1894
‘unconscionability-drag’ carries the resolution for disambiguating reference-of-thought in the ontological social construction of meaning	1896
Unconscionability-drag (enabling ontological reference), by which the perversion-of-	

reference-of-thought/mental-perversions teleologies of meaning is accounted for 1898

‘an ontological psychoanalytic/memetic-contiguity deconstruction across anthropology’
which the present treatment of psychology doesn’t recognise 1899

the transcendental requirement for a ‘habituation’ to a so-called ‘prospective intemporal and
more veridical mental-devising-representation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-
of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is rather ‘unfathomable’ for the
prior <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-
form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-
prior-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-institutionalisation-categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology 1904

For Deprocripticism, ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-
escalation/aetiologisation’ teleology: will involve identifying, defining, characterising,
qualifying and articulating the aetiology of this individuation perversion-of-reference-of-
thought dynamism 1906

Conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding thus refers to the fact that in a ‘social
construction of meaning’, intrinsic-reality by itself and in of itself (as may be grasped
ontologically from superseding/transcendental categorical-imperatives preserving
intemporality) is not necessarily the deterministic basis for human social adherence to it1911

an exercise in institutionalisation/intemporalisation beyond just intemporal philosophical
projection is needed for the social integration of any transcending veridicality paradigm1912

conventioning’ is not wholly antipodal to ‘ontologising/intrinsic-veridicality’ as the latter
prospective integration in the social-construct is through the former 1914

The application of the universal technique of human transcendence to procripticism-
deprocripticism transcendence can be basically be articulated as follows (the ontological
entrapment)..... 1920

Institutionalisation and formalisation are based exactly on the fact that we don't have a
universal intemporality/longness or the-good disposition, but rather according to the
mediocrity principle of science we are solipsistically temporal-to-intemporal in our mental-
disposition with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-
confliction’ 1922

By ; solipsism means I exist alone (with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality)
..... 1923

By ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is meant that ‘intrinsic reality’ is one and given
(ontology), and that the flaws and corrections in how we go about representing ‘intrinsic
reality’ (metaphysics/temporal-human-centered) has no influence to reality’s intrinsic nature
..... 1924

how can meaning be represented in a ‘prospective apriorising–registry state’ which is
ontologically more real contrasted to a present ‘retrospective apriorising–registry’, as
meaning ‘temporally seems’ to vary depending on the uninstitutionalised-threshold point-of-
reference to imply at one moment it is intemporal and at another it is temporal? 1929

Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation explains the dynamism of human
institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposeure going by a recurrent emanance template

.....	1932
There is no such thing as ‘intemporal temporality’ as mental-dispositions ‘geared to accommodate temporality’ are doing nothing but providing the anchoring for the endemisation and enculturation of the vices-and-impediments associated with such temporal registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>.....	1942
Technically, it can be said that the underlying psychopathic phenomenon known as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction is associated with all the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures by its eliciting of ‘protracted slantedness’ in temporal-dispositions	1946
distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought (mental-slantedness or decandoring-of-the-mind or denaturing, and not soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candor)	1948
the articulation of reality as referentially ontological-normalcy/postconvergence enables and allow creative thought possibilities that the all too common ‘fixated traditional categorisation conceptualisation of reality’ doesn’t allow	1951
the specificity of the would-be intellectualism involved in a transcendental construct, as different from just intellectualism as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft	1957
Memetism as suprastructural-meaningfulness will refer to the abstract conceptualisation of meaning beyond and superseding an intradimensional registry-worldview	1958
ontologically (i.e. ‘the-Good/understanding’ contrasted with ‘good-natured/impression-driven’) the bigger issue is how do our development and institutionalisation/intemporalisation of true knowledge ‘save us from potent-temporality and its vices-and-impediments with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’	1960
The notion of higher teleologies as such is specific to the human species in holding that beyond just ‘a physical animal passing of specie generational succession’ for survival and optimising-specie-flourishing, with higher teleologies there is ‘an even	1967
Meaningfulness of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as to ‘existential idealism/success’ as these define mental orientations or registry-worldview teleological-dispositions	1967
the entropy behind such a philosophical-driven conceptualisation of human meaning and corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling.....	1971
the perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence is that it is ‘weakly positive opportunistic’ to the cross-section of the social construct.....	1979
transcendence is more of a human-mentation-capacity driven construct and its mundane recognition is not inherently by its supposed virtue	1982
Meaning (defined previously as what defines/predicates value, thought and action) ..	1982
all the vices-and-impediments of successive registry-worldviews can be directly ascribed as corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought of temporal-dispositions of the registry-worldviews	1984
‘knowledge notionalisation’ or knowledge as a continuum from ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions to knowledge/intemporality.....	1987

dementing arises simply by a shift of reference-of-thought (in the strive for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation wherein the latter reference-of-thought as a registry-worldview/dimension is shown to be more intemporally-preservational.....	1990
a new reference-of-thought and reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as transcending/superseding deprocrypticism, will sound unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to the positivism—procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought	1992
why ontological-normalcy/postconvergence indicates that ‘good-naturedness constructs’ are defective is quite simple as it is based on adhering to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation.....	1995
this insight in transcendental analysis is that by its very nature in that it puts into question ways, assumptions and traditions of thought and practices, the possibility of truly profound insights that go well beyond more or less platitudes and inevitably requires taking stock of the full-depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism of transcendental-meaningfulness/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument	2003
dialectical succession of registry-worldviews as the successive/snowballing institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations	2005
‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’	2007
‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’	2012
‘postlogical denaturing of temporal-dispositions individuations dynamism as conjugated-postlogism’ is behind the registry-worldview perversions	2014
proof of the Sartrean notion of ‘existence-preceding-essence’ or the Derridean notion of ‘there is nothing outside the text’	2015
‘expression of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness in suprastructuring construal	2017
‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ technique	2020
how individuals arrive at their various teleologies/finalities of the intemporal-disposition as ‘logically sound acts’ or temporal-dispositions as ‘logically unsound acts’ or ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance	2023
‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’	2024
‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-	

recomposure.....	2026
at uninstitutionalised-threshold, we should be expecting nothing less than the ‘normal’ human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought- indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal- dispositions–existentialism-form-factor	2028
distortion of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness from postlogism and conjugated- postlogism/dementing-integration leading to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality- preservation occurs at the three levels of contextualisation as individuation, registry- worldview/dimension and transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising- recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisations	2034
The vocation of the intemporal-disposition (intemporality/an-ontological-construct/longness- of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) is not-to-come-to-and-construe meaningfulness at a same pedestal as a temporal extricatory paradigm	2037
with or without postlogism including psychopathic individuations, human limited-mentation- capacity-⟨as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation⟩ warrants that our temporal-dispositions will nonetheless still fail the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or- contiguity—or—ontological-preservation at the uninstitutionalised-threshold	2039
postlogical/psychopathic mental-disposition will seem to be the ‘weakest human mental- disposition for acting intemporally/in-conviction or meaning as its intrinsicness/essence/ontological-veridicality’	2044
derived-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance- caricaturing social constructions of meaningfulness are in effect the uninstitutionalised- thresholds requiring corresponding prospective institutionalisations/intemporalisations.....	2049
approach of temporal-dispositions of dealing with temporality/shortness with temporality/shortness with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts in all the registry- worldviews (institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures) is what endemises/enculturates the dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive	2051
maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness is actually the drive for transcendence in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation- process successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures.....	2054
incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness tends to operate as if at any one instance human meaningfulness is absolutely set (and so rather as a mere form) and thus incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is non-transcendental	2055
intemporal projection-of-thought in an intersolipsistic relation to intrinsic- reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality	2061
maximalising-recomposing-for-relative-ontological-completeness summoning a depth of ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction as of ontological-faith- notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality enables humankind to supersede the circularity of intradimensional hollow-constituting—as-disjointed- misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation	2080
solipsism enables the requisite ‘moulting’ of human limited-mentation-capacity-⟨as of	

relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions to allow for successive transcendences.....	2085
‘ontological implications’ of the Derridean conceptualisations of Différance, Répétition, Altérité and Iterabilité.....	2087
the exercise of institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not about transforming temporal-dispositions as of an <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness exercise but rather institutionalisation/intemporalisation or secondnaturing.....	2092
the philosophical pessimism of many a philosopher stems from this confusion about the achievement of human emancipation and virtue	2094
postdication (as metaphysics-of-absence) highlights that ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is rather conceptualised more effectively with the present-considered-as-being-in-epistemic-abnormalcy-perspective-(‘dialectically-dementing’-reference-of-thought)-and-hence-suprastructurable by ‘metaphysics-of-absence’-perspective	2096
postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration-of-temporal-dispositions as a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’	2099
issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought including postlogisms are more-than-just-and-beyond an issue of a temporal frame of contemplation as this requires an overall registry-worldview/dimension transcendental structural/paradigmatic resolution	2101
ontological focus should rather be placed on the ‘abstract conceptualisation that enables institutionalisation-as-virtue and not any naïve purported <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection transformation-as-virtue arguments	2102
need for an operant conceptualisation of psychology in grasping human dynamics ...	2104
need for defining human psychology from a transcendentially-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism and thus operant perspective of ontologically-dynamic-and-coherent construal/conceptualisation, as a profound superseding-oneness-of-ontology	2104
stranding-dialectics is rather about decentering and dementing/oblongating the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the present as ‘dialectically-dementing’ which becomes ‘old present’/retrospective as prior’	2107
by transcendence is meant dispose to construe the ontological resolution of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> transcendently/transdimensionally/interdimensionally, as needing a prospective registry-worldview/dimension	2110
deeper superseding-oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation and shallow superseding-oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation, central to a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness	2110

social phenomenon being ‘atomically’ grounded on human-subpotency— aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint— imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor	2116
condition of ‘recurrence’ and ‘non-transience’ transcendability arising from postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration that is ontologically relevant for ontological- reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction for prospective transcendability ...	2119
‘Existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical- meaningfulness/intemporality’ is actually the central tool of suprastructuring or a conceptualisation that can integrate both relevant metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics- of-absence	2120
‘consciously-spoken’ as herein highlighted in this paper is that it enables the necessary uninhibitedness/decomplexification that allows the requisite ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’	2123
without a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness disposition no prospective institutionalisation transcendence will be possible	2125
deprocrypticism, with respect to the central concept of ‘knowledge notionalisation’ wherein understanding is much more than about grasping the ideals but equally preemptively construing the possibilities of ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions as part and parcel of knowledge construct	2125
<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic- residuality/spirit-drivenness in the psychoanalytic dynamism of human-subpotency— aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint— imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor across all the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as human shallow to deeper limited- mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as- sublimating-withdrawal).....	2131
‘storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration of comprehensive intuitive insight’ grounded on: the construal of temporal-dispositions ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’	2132
the capacity for philosophy to further clarify such an ‘ontological-consistency’ will be a further critical foundation for broadening the efficacy of all second-level ontologies	2133
the uninstitutionalised-threshold is characterised by the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental- dispositions as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-primemovers-totalitative- framework.....	2138
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or- ontological-or-existential–defect> (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated- postlogism)	2145
perpetuating the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency over reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of the intemporal-disposition as ontological over the temporal-dispositions	2162
‘structural or paradigmatic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold– defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> that defines a registry-	

worldview/dimension as dialectical-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase with respect to intrinsic-reality	2163
‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is dealing with perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought	2165
a reference-of-thought construal is simply as of a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect conflation	2168
faced with incidental issues arising in various effective social contexts, the ‘ontological/intemporal paradigm approach’ is to have at hand a ‘universal cadre’ that conceptualises and is geared towards attending-to/resolving all such and other incidental issues as it is suprastructural to all such incidentals	2170
human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as such is ontologically a preceding and defining construct that provides insight on ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications issues’ across all the institutional-recomposures	2170
‘knowledge notionalisation’ or knowledge construct not only based on intemporal idealisation but that also factors in how the temporalities will relate to meaning.....	2174
‘institutionalisation devising and devices’ already speaks a lot about human potential and capacity (and are basically our virtue with no need for ‘false idealisation’	2175
with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, our formalisation mechanisms acknowledge unspokenly/tacitly/by-mere-intuition the veracity/ontological-pertinence of our potential ‘perverting temporal-dispositions inclinations’ by its ‘abstract preemptive mechanisms’	2177
‘referential-as-natural’ conceptualisation of knowledge that consciously tautologically subsumes temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions	2180
Suprastructuralism ultimately reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process by bringing to the ‘collective-human-psyche-and-consciousness as a transparent-pillar or social universal-transparency	2182
mobilising an ‘ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ (like a hermeneutics-derived psycho-ontological, bio-ontological, econo-ontological, mathematico-ontological, etc.) construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence teleology thus postdicatory	2185
It is rather naïve to depart from a philosophical angle and try to imply causal effectiveness of a science nature (rather than effective validation techniques relevant to philosophical conceptualisation) just as the same holds true the other way round.....	2188
tautological/referential/existential-reference nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/existence allowing for ‘predication or predictive-insight’ and ‘postdication or projective-insight	2193
with our human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), we are actually involved in a ‘developmental notional-teleology of ontology’ construed as coherent shallow superseding—oneness-of-ontology to coherent deeper superseding—oneness-of-ontology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-	

institutionalisation-process	2194
spatialisation, indirectness and craftiness are critical to postlogical and conjugated- postlogical mental-dispositions so as to avoid their prospective interlocutors ‘putting one and one together as will arise in an existentially veridical context	2199
need for a retracing to establish the existential reality of the breaching or non-breaching of axiomatic rules, before determining the ontological-veridicality of the results of the arithmetic operations	2202
notion of temporality/shortness as actually ‘pseudointemporality’ provides a deeper insight to such traditional notions as bad, evil, wicked, etc. that we attach to temporal-dispositions	2203
‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ rather captures the ontological undercurrents that constantly redefine human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness- awareness-teleology.....	2206
Existence is actually a contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective- digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically- unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality (so-construed from our given ‘limited-mentation-capacity as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference- of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and- performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought’)	2208
readjustment for intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is actually a human ‘changing-of- the-psyche’/psychical-readjustment (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic- reordering/institutional-recomposure) with its increasing-ontological-completeness or reducing-ontological-abnormality	2219
‘increasing relative realism’ over the corresponding-successive-prior-uninstitutionalisations- registry-worldviews	2221
ontological-contiguity implied by ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s- reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of- thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression— rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very- ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ as of deepest being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation that induces the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process	2225
‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration conceptualisation’	2238
inducing uninstitutionalised-threshold, as it is impossible to critically extend ontological- capacity on the basis of the same reference-of-thought/psyche/psychological-paradigm but for a new reference-of-thought/psyche/psychological-paradigm with respect to existential reality to enable prospective institutionalisation over the uninstitutionalised-threshold	2241
not allowing for the ‘breaking of the threadedness/thread of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness (as such a breaking induces virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal leading correspondingly to the false uptake as ontologically-veridical of the wrongly implied	

soundness/non-perverted-reference-of-thought.....	2247
a traditional approach of analysis of psychopathy (as so construed from this papers holistic/nested-congruence insight including psychopathy and social psychopathy) will tend to be just as palliative as a non-positivism/medievalism world's postlogism of say notions- and-accusations-of-sorcery	2259
humans actually come into existence which avows an existential-contextualising- contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of- reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective- digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically- unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality within which they come to grasp rules and principles	2262
The reason for the disambiguation of transversality-of-disambiguated- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing into a supratransversality— apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought over a subtransversality— apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought.....	2263
knowledge is not constructed as a 'human mutual agreement exercise for its construal/conceptualisation/discovery/invention/development' since solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly we are of temporal/shortness to intemporal/longness mental-disposition and this cannot be averaged to get transcendental knowledge which is rather the outcome of an enabling process as 'intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling'	2269
virtue is a 'The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers- totalitative-framework construct' and not 'impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct' as reality is above all 'effectivity' by its manifestation.....	2271
ever-perverting effect on ontological-veridicality of subtransversality— apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) reflected by the 'contrastive intellectual-and-moral tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological constructs of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) in relation to supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non- pseudointemporality)'	2277
emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically temporal-dispositions do not appreciate that there is a more 'profound level of living in the realm of human thoughtfulness'	2280
ascetic intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological- completeness Socrates will be passed by the ordinariness/earthliness of thought in that institutionalised-being-and-craft setup as vague while upholding its shallow notion of value	2283
'irrealism and corresponding virtualities' that will undermine analytical pertinence, as man has to be understood exactly for what man is in effective reality, to then articulate effective knowledge constructs that are actually most efficient because of their realism.....	2284
'requisite specialness of the discipline of philosophy as a first-order ontology' among all subject-matters (or-as-it-protrudes-into-subject-matters-or-second-order-ontologies), as the one that can least afford to be of normal trade	2284
'subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/suprastraversality technique of	

transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing alignment'	2286
postlogism dynamism in its derivation elicits derived-non-conviction/temporality/non-transcendence/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in corresponding conjugated-postlogisms of temporal-dispositions	2290
teleology/teleological-differentiation is not a discrete construct but rather deterministic as of existential-reference/existential-tautologisation/ontology/ontological-veridicality of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context	2294
Existence/existential-reality is thus a teleological-contiguity/oneness-of-teleology 'with teleological-discretion being defined only by choice/differentiation'	2295
decentering is what divulges all the uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness	2296
the idea of pivoting/decentering extends to the notions of the 'self's own pivoting/decentering for understanding'	2299
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence points out that paradoxically the transcendental mindset/reference-of-thought associated with a 'knowledge construct of intrinsic-reality' should priorly be established ('centered' over the prior meaningful-frame which is 'decentered') for the knowledge construct to take hold by the continuing 'moulting' of its proponents and corresponding social construct.....	2310
the Social is much more than aggregativity (social-aggregation)	2319
fundamental 'paradox of post-structural deconstruction by its transcendental implications'	2337

Long-form of Terms and their Elucidations

absolving/fleeting /escaping-reflex- logic	<i>absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic-(in- 'disdain-of-sanctity-of- prelogism-as-of-conviction-mental-disposition'-as-of-circumstantial- extremes-of- 'vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection- or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or- subknowledging'-in-a-circularity-of- 'contemptuous-deceptive-elicitation',- 'contemptuous-engagement'-and- 'contemptuous-disengagement',-within- the-scope-of- 'the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought- for-social-functioning-and-accordance')</i>
accreting- substitutive- subsumption-as- futural-différance- freeplay	<i>accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay- (transcendental-futural-différance-freeplay-that-produces-ontological- aesthetic-tracing-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-epistemic-totalisation- sublimity:-as-of- 'ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued- underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being- as-of-existential-reality,-protracted-dynamics-of-ontological- correspondence',-in-superseding-the-successive-registry- worldviews/dimensions-reference-of-thought-temporality-as-of- neuterisation/relative-ontological-incompleteness/existential-extrication- as-of-existential-unthought')</i>
asceticism	<i>asceticism speaks of the disposition of value-ricochetting/transvaluation— as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness edginess/incisiveness—of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum ent cognisant of the fact that the living-development—as-to-personality- development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of- ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and- teleology of a prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere- form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing- narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's- institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to- leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology- as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective- apriorising-implications> is structurally/paradigmatically incompatible with the possibility at its prospective human-subpotency— aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought- indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of reference-of-thought as of its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological- performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold to integratively contemplate of the prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's living-development—as- to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social- function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework- expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of- meaningfulness-and-teleology by dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative- ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-</i>

*'notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity'-to- 'attain-sublimating-
 Humanity',-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human
 temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-
 of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-
 regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as it rather enters into
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of its prior registry-
 worldview/dimension edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum
 ent to any such prospectively implied meaningfulness-and-teleology
 reference-of-thought;¶ and thus all human transcendence can only occur
 as of asceticism induced psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure that is restructuring/reparadigming
 (in the face of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-
 spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-
 of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-
 re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-
 subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-
 prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy>) the possibility of the prior registry-
 worldview/dimension to 'perceive value in transvaluation as value-
 ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness reference-of-thought' as of the prospective registry-
 worldview/dimension implications of value-construct, and so practically as
 of the ascetic capacity to induce recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to
 perceive base-institutionalisation value-construct as of more pertinent
 transvaluation of value, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation
 value-construct to perceive universalisation value-construct as of more
 pertinent transvaluation of value, universalisation—non-
 positivism/medievalism value-construct to perceive positivism/rational-
 empiricism value-construct as of more pertinent transvaluation of value,
 and prospectively our positivism—procrypticism to perceive
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as
 of more pertinent transvaluation of value, and as we can appreciate that
 the non-universalising social-construct didn't perceive universalising-
 idealisation as of value but for the induced psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure
 afterthought/reasoning-from-results instigated by Socratic philosophers
 and their successors, and likewise with medieval-pedantic dogmatism
 social-construct relative to budding-positivists, and prospectively it is
 herein contended that our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought disposition with respect to deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospective meaningfulness-and-
 teleology;¶ and fundamentally the notion of 'asceticism as implying value-
 ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness' cannot be explained to any prior registry-
 worldview/dimension construed as a <formative>wooden-language—*

imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> on the basis of its relative-ontological-incompleteness aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology from its prior deficient/ontologically-impertient edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument since the asceticism is rather as of the prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and this explains why the asceticism in transvaluation of universalising-idealisation disposition over non-universalising sophistry disposition, budding positivism over medieval-pedantic dogmatism and prospectively deprocrypticism over our procrypticism are non-intelligible to their respective non-universalising/medieval-pedantic-dogmatism/procrypticism <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as in effect it is simply 'the projected habituation by the prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's veridically think-qualia-schema reflection of the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold as of dementing-qualia-schema' that carries the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposeure explaining the asceticism;¶ in other words, the full-picture of asceticism transvaluation implications can be garnered operantly by a dementing-qualia-schema projection of 'reasoning out' the relative-ontological-incompleteness meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology exposing the former's nondescript/ignorable void as of its dementing-qualia-schema;¶ and in the bigger scheme of things asceticism implied transvaluation speaks to the fact that 'notions of values in relative-ontological-incompleteness destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold are of teleologically-decadent—as-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivennessontological-performance as of vices-and-impediment' and 'notions of values aspiring-for-and-in relative-ontological-completeness constructiveness-of-ontological-performance are of emancipatory/teleologically-elevated ontological-performance', for instance in the sense that while there is nothing inherently wrong with achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions

conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc., their implications as of the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold in relative-ontological-incompleteness is bound to teleologically-decadent-as-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness vices-and-impediments and likewise regarding the same context their overlooking/foregoing/dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming- 'notionally-collateralising- protohumanity' -to- 'attain-sublimating-humanity', -as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of transvaluation for prospective relative-ontological-completeness constructiveness-of-ontological-performance brings about prospective emancipatory/teleologically-elevated ontological-performance, pointing out that all values are as ontologically-pertinent as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness transvaluation implications as to the fact that for instance 'supposed friendship/family/social/professional values' leading to involvement in say a genocide (as of the insight exposed from such an extreme example undermining human predisposition for 'a nihilistic <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) are effectively associated with vices-and-impediments as to existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, and thus pointing out that there are no true values without the prior conception of their transvaluation as of relative-ontological-completeness implications;¶ the effective manifest 'asceticism-as-of-parrhesiastic-askesis-or-acumen transvaluation development' (as enabling the superseding of human prior <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) can be contemplated as of reference-of-thought-level induced universalising-idealisation transvaluation as reflected with 'Socrates principled ascetic stances associated with his maieutic eliciting of a basic sense of universalising-idealisation in his interlocutors even when bordering on the incongruous during his condemnation while upholding the ontological-pertinence of the incongruous universalising-idealisation over sophistic/pedantic apparently congruous non-universalising' developing into 'Plato's perpetuating of the philosophical tradition with his Academy with a further phronesis/practicality emphasis in striving, as of the deferential-formalisation-transference implications underlying all true knowledge-constructs (as of the underlying Socrates maieutic exercise 'inconclusiveness insight' which is rather more critical in

eliciting/instigating a sense of knowledge-reification and so-reflecting the reality that the ordinariness as <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> framework lacks the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming- ‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to- ‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) for profound knowledge-reification as of human limited-mentation-capacity commitment induced disinterest/indifference/apathy and thus ‘veridical knowledge-reification is structured/paradigmed out-of-profoundly-developed-interest/concern/care-induced-institutionalising as of deferential-formalisation-transference for its requisite appropriate dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’), to influence Dionysus I of Syracuse along the philosopher-king paradigm’ and ‘Aristotle’s expansive approach to philosophical and knowledge inquiry along the universalising-idealisation paradigm, setting up the Lyceum together with the tutoring of Alexander the Great’ along the same lines of reasoning as Plato, as well as latter post-Socratic philosophical perpetuation like the Stoics, Cynics, etc. and their institutional influence on Greek and Roman leadership and society;¶ this same asceticism ideal can be recounted with budding-positivists as of Galileo, Copernicus, Descartes, etc. ascetic stances even against the condemnation of their then present-day medieval establishment creating the possibility for later enlightenment scientific and social emancipatory thought (highlighting the incontrovertible necessity for asceticism as of its broader meaning as to human originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation to overcome the <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of any prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation mere complexification, as so-implied with any given registry-worldview/dimension possibilities for prospective transcendence)

attitude/mental-
disposition/care—
and—episteme

attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme-(construed-as-of-ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributione-dialectics,-psychoanalytic-unshackling-reconstrual-of-thinking-as-of-assertion/dementing-as-of-deassertion,-as-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum

ent,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology)

beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought blurriness

beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought implies 'conscious' and/or 'unconscious' as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of a registry-worldview/dimension whether with regards to retrospective or prospective transcendental implications

blurriness speaks to 'lack of intellectual lucidity/clarity with respect to supposed knowledge articulation as of existential-reality' wherein a given human-subpotency registry-worldview/dimension edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument so-construed as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is rather wrongly construed in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as superseding ecstatic-existence/intrinsic-reality at its prospective destructuring-threshold/uninstitutionalised-threshold and so as of a lack of insight about <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness, and blurriness is reflected aporetically with such conundrums as existence-in-existence, disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>, is-ought problem, and logical issues of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity: blurriness thus fundamentally speaks of a 'closed-minded unilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge' wherein the human Self is wrongly construed as of a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness reference for the conception of knowledge rather than reflecting ontological-veracity with an 'open-minded bilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge' wherein the human Self itself has to prospectively be developed/constructed-out-of-its-prior-shiftiness-of-the-Self in 'epistemic conflatedness construed as epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity construct' to then be able to register the implications of prospective knowledge, in the sense that for instance without implying the need for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as of prospective positivism construction-of-the-Self/self-consciousness a non-positivism mindset as animistic or as medieval in its non-positivism 'closed-minded unilateral-conceptualisation-of-knowledge' will only end up 'complexifying the mechanical outcome of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of its non-positivism as animism or as medievalism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument' as implied in an animistic God of plane type of articulation and this applies likewise with our positivism—procrypticism with respect to

prospective deprocrypticism, as this is exactly what explains disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> of all registry-worldviews/dimensions as to the fact that successive registry-worldviews/dimensions involve successive renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of relative-ontological-completeness in reflection of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) grasp of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness at their destructuring-threshold/uninstitutionalised-threshold;¶ blurriness at the destructuring-threshold/uninstitutionalised-threshold is what brings up the is—ought problem (which had hitherto traditionally been wrongly framed rather in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, because going by ecstatic-existence as it reflects human historial becoming in existential-contextualising-contiguity, human ‘ontological/knowledge uncertainty’ inherently implies human sovereign choices and options are then necessarily of ‘ought indeterminacy’ as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness but prospective relative-ontological-completeness with respect to prospective knowledge implications provides the ‘ontological/knowledge certainty’ to turn such prior ‘ought indeterminacy’ into ‘is determinacy’ whether this ‘is determinacy’ transformation carries with it the given prospective knowledge support/backing of the acceptance, rejection or any other qualified attribution associated with the prior ‘ought indeterminacy’) given that the prior registry-worldview/dimension reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation specific elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity reaches its ‘is determinacy’ limits of analysis from whence its ‘ought indeterminacy’ arises, speaking of an issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness that is only resolvable by the very fact that prospective relative-ontological-completeness changes the prior ‘ought indeterminacy’ as of prior normativities/conventions/practices into the prospective registry-worldview/dimension ontologically-veridical ‘is determinacy’ as reflected in renewed normativities/conventions/practices, and in this regard we can appreciate how medieval-scholasticism non-positivism reference-of-thought-level pedantic dogmatism ‘ought indeterminacy’ emphasis gave way to the positivism/rational-empiricism scientific cause-and-effect ‘is determinacy’ emphasis or how ancient sophists non-universalising ‘ought indeterminacy’ gave way to the universalising-idealisation ‘is determinacy’ of Socratic philosophers or how notions like cannibalism, various practices of slavery and serfdom, etc. in human history as of ‘ought indeterminacy’ of their practices in relative-ontological-incompleteness gave way to the present ‘is determinacy’ of their rejection as of relative-ontological-completeness on the basis of human-subject-

emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation;¶ blurriness as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> highlights that the destructuring-threshold/uninstitutionalised-threshold of all registry-worldviews/dimensions are deadend of meaningfulness-and-teleology with the implication that without originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation there is basically no chance for non-universalising ancient sophists ever getting to universalising-idealisation, medieval-scholastics pedantic dogmatism ever getting to positivism/rational-empiricism, and just as well with our positivism—procrypticism ever getting to prospective deprocrypticism, and in all these instances as ‘foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of construction-of-the-Self’;¶ blurriness is ultimately associated with lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming- ‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’ -to- ‘attain-sublimating-humanity’ ,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’ -with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) with regards to human existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought in the perception and relation to the human existential narrative, with contrastive conceptualisation as of ‘an asceticism for opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that is reflexive of overall Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implications’ (as to the possibility of prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) and ‘a nihilistic <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’ -with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> that is rather reflexive of constraining secondnature institutionalisation positive-opportunism implications’ (as to a mechanical/mere-form disposition for reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation);¶ and finally blurriness is associated with sophistic/pedantic induced equivalence of teleologically-elevated knowledge-reifying meaningfulness-and-teleology and teleologically-degraded <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’ -with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction perverted inclination;¶ unblurriness as construed from the ontologically-veridical perspective of ontological-

*normalcy/postconvergence (in reflection of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness), highlights that there is a 'human capacity of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ontological-growth intimately associated with its prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge as to institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure so-implied in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process', as of an underlying human epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) (that speaks more of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in its becoming historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing) wherein foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) is more than just a question of arbitrary unification but rather is 'a paradigmatic/structural confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility of prospective relative-ontological-completeness ontological-veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology that is reflexive of ecstatic-existence', and foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) effectively implies that at reference-of-thought-level 'intellectual-entitlement to disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> possibilities as from *recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation's edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument' is invalid and rather of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) (in epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prospective aporetic implications) of rulemaking-over-non-rules (excludes all other supposed meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge 'based on prior non-rules') inducing prospective 'base-institutionalisation foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)', likewise foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as from *base-institutionalisation (in epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prospective aporetic implications) to universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules (excludes all other supposed meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge 'based on prior rulemaking-over-non-rules') to then induce prospective 'universalisation foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)', likewise foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as from *universalisation (in epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prospective aporetic implications) to*

positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-
 rulemaking-over-non-rules (excludes all other supposed meaningfulness-
 and-teleology/knowledge 'based on prior universalisation-directed-
 rulemaking-over-non-rules') to then induce prospective
 'positivism/rational-empiricism foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-
 down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)', and
 likewise foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as from *positivism/rational-
 empiricism (in epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically as of
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prospective aporetic implications)
 to deprocrypticism-or-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-
 in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules (excludes all other
 supposed meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge 'based on prior
 positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-
 rulemaking-over-non-rules') to then induce prospective 'deprocrypticism
 foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)', and in all such cases the idea is
 ever always to move from a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-
 regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> to an opened-construct-
 of-meaningfulness-and-teleology reflexive of ecstatic-existence
 increasingly as of its 'rules—universalising—positivising—non-disjointing
 foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)' while superseding any
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (failing to imply this
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of 'rules—
 universalising—positivising—non-disjointing foregrounding—entailment-
 (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal)') which by its very token elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-
 outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity rather wrongly supersedes
 ecstatic-existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, with
 foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) 'paradigmatic/structural
 confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility of the ontological-veracity of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology' implying for instance that there can be no
 conception/theory/idea of positivism/rational-empiricism devolving
 meaningfulness-and-teleology that is not rational-empirical like
 mentioning say magical or supernatural causes and effects, and likewise
 prospectively with deprocrypticism any conception/theory/idea in
 disjointedness that fails to reflect 'existential-contextualising-contiguity as
 of parrhesiastic and reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-
 disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation organic coherence and
 as ultimately reflecting all human knowledge as to overall
 panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-

existence—imbued-and-educated—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation’, furthermore with regards specifically to say the ‘positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought-devolving level of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ we can factor in that any ‘supposedly deepening/profound’ conception/theory/idea say about biological hereditary is rather inconceivable as a phenomenality that fails foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) (in epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prospective aporetic implications) rather to a specific-and-coherent conceptualisation of gene regulation and so except it can demonstrate a further foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) (epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prospective aporetic implications) that implies the ‘holistic complementing-and/or-superseding-and/or-subsuming of gene regulation’ and the life scientist will hardly take seriously any such conceptualisation of biological hereditary that fails to fulfil the above conditions on mere ‘pedantic grounds of intellectual-entitlement to disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>’ and so as of the life sciences need for existential-reality constraining ‘foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as so-reflected consistently in gene regulation ‘as of foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) paradigmatic/structural confiscation/selectiveness of the possibility of the ontological-veracity of biological hereditary meaningfulness-and-teleology’;¶ (the overall implications of unblurriness reflected as from ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ is in highlighting that ecstatic-existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is of the inherent ‘<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity primacy and on this basis is all-defining/deterministic in the construing of knowledge-reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness’, and so as ecstatic-existence is what can ‘validate-and-falsify the ontological-veracity of any supposed ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ and as it overrides any human secondary epistemic inclination that may wrongly be of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, with the inherent becoming of ecstatic-existence rather reflected in ontologically-veridical ‘knowledge-reification gesturing/process expandable/universalisable—as-of-relative-ontological-completeness epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity implications of aetiolisation/ontological-escalation’ and in so doing ‘abstractively-and-

systematically justifying the socially imbued intellectual deferential-formalisation-transference' as to the fact that the knowledge-reification is not of 'mere imprimatur discretion/whim-of-thought that fails to justify abstractively-and-systematically any such expandable/universalisable—as-of-relative-ontological-completeness epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation', and thus 'superseding-and-resolving the epistemic aporia of prospective knowledge-reification' with regards to 'determining intrinsic-reality/ontological-veracity' as the latter is ever always caught up, given human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, between 'intemporalising/ontologising ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality' and 'temporalising ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity', beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought)

categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology *apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—as-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology*

conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives *conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives- (construed-as-of-slanted-cohering-'unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought'-of-the-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought,-and-avoiding-any-wrongly-implied-logical-processing-engaging)*

circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability *circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability-as-reflected-from-conflation-perspective,-in-structural/paradigmatic-registry-worldview-'terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct'- (of- 'perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-uninstitutionalised-threshold-circularity/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing'-and-'corresponding-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing')*

conflatedness or conflation *conflatedness or effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology;¶ so-implied by '-<formative>epistemic-totalising epistemic conflating of conceptualisations with-and-as-of-the-precedence-of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity', as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation—as it is effectively underscored by difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism;¶ conflatedness is structurally/paradigmatically validated by the underlying reality of human limited-mentation-capacity (speaking of human*

*epistemic-abnormalcy to the human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of that moment) thus in a
 state of relative-ontological-incompleteness in need for prospective human
 limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-
 as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) to achieve relative-
 ontological-completeness, and so as of the-very-same-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-existential-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology;¶ and by that token as conflatedness aspires
 for relative epistemic-normalcy it becomes reflective of the ‘ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ as this effectively prompts the homely
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination as
 of reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, marked by the successive
 transepistemicity/epistemically-conflated of registry-
 worldviews/dimensions in relative-ontological-completeness giving
 warranty to conflatedness epistemic-veracity as to ontological-
 performance with regards to human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-
 intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor*

constitutedness

*constitutedness or effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-
 incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology;¶ so-implied by
 ‘atomising epistemic constituting of conceptualisations as to falsely imply
 their existence-in-existence since existential-contextualising-contiguity-is-
 thus-inherently-not-construed-as-<formative>formative>epistemic-
 totalisingly-preceding-and-redefining’, as of dissingularisation/epistemic-
 nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism by such misconception in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and logocentrism,
 failing to reflect the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-
 priori-of-conceptualisation—as constitutedness is rather falsely
 underscored by identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-
 dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism;¶
 constitutedness is structurally/paradigmatically flawed given the
 underlying reality of human limited-mentation-capacity at any moment
 (speaking of human epistemic-abnormalcy with respect to the human-
 subpotency—aporeia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of that moment) such that
 constitutedness poorly construes of relative-ontological-completeness
 implications (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
 existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) as it is in an underlying
 state of homelessness (as failing to grasp that homeliness as to the
 possibility of attaining originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-
 of-existence> can only arise as human-subpotency pursues-and-achieves*

relative epistemic-normalcy as of prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) to achieve relative-ontological-completeness) since the state of human limited-mentation-capacity implies that ‘human understanding has-ever-and-is-ever-always about attaining apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination conception of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-existential-meaningfulness-and-teleology as it strives to reflect as from relative epistemic-normalcy the ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’, but then the constitutedness epistemic stance by wrongly implying its prior attainment of epistemic-normalcy from the state of limited-mentation-capacity is in effect wrongly projecting flawed absolutising/presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness thus veering-off from originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> as of the absolute a priori that is existence/the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-existential-meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-validated with causality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

denaturing	<i>denaturing/usurping/arrogating/perverting-in-constitutedness</i>
deneuterising	<i>deneuterising-(disambiguation-of-intemporal-as-sound-and-temporal-as-denaturing,-construed-as-binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-as-respectively-in-ontological-contiguity-and-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>,-as-of-the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-existential-meaningfulness-and-teleology)</i>
deneuterising—referentialism	<i>deneuterising—referentialism/deascriptivity-as-of-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness-différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral-of-reference-of-thought-devolving</i>
deprocrypticism/p reempting— disjointedness-as- of-reference-of- thought	<i>deprocrypticism-or-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as conflation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument)</i>
destructuring- transitoriness	<i>destructuring-transitoriness-(construed-as-of-dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism-induced-deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity)</i>
difference- conflatedness-as- totalitative- reification-in- singularisation-as- veridical-	<i>difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism,-as-of-epistemically-differentiated-ontological-depth-of-reality-(as-of-the-differentiated-and-disambiguated-trace-of-dynamic-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances-as-dialectical-thinking-and-dialectically-dementing-respectively);¶ difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-</i>

epistemic-
determinism

veridical-epistemic-determinism is more fundamentally construed as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective as a reflection of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation underlying ‘the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and speaks to the fact that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) reflects an overall human existential foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) wherein as to ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’ human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) variously attains differing ontological-performance so-reflected as the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology implying that human meaningfulness-and-teleology can be construed as ever always two-faceted as to the facet of achieved sublimation-over-desublimation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated with predicative-effectivity—sublimation- (as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and on the other hand the facet of the existentially-withdrawn- (as- ‘unaccounted-for’ -leftover-or-residuality-or-spirit-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-so-construed-as-metaphoricity, -informing-prospective-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness, -so-reflected-and-compensated-with-the-notion-of-dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation) which is just as decisive for prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in the sense that ‘human intelligibility ever always projects of an underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising reference-of-thought striving to grasp existence as it is signified-as-to-immanency (speaking of ontological-contiguity perspective of the unchanging immanency of existence as oneness-of-ontology as to the coherence underlying the very possibility for construing-and-reconstruing of intelligibility in existence)’ and this facet structurally/paradigmatically acts as the ‘prior requisite human experiential framework to be challenged-disproved-invalidated’ which surpassing enables further sublimation-over-desublimation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated with predicative-effectivity—sublimation- (as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) (as to the fact that it is recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism respectively’ as reflecting the ‘prior requisite human experiential framework to be challenged-disproved-invalidated’

highlighting the facet of the existentially-withdrawn-(as- 'unaccounted-for'-leftover-or-residuality-or-spirit-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-so-construed-as-metaphoricity,-informing-prospective-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness,-so-reflected-and-compensated-with-the-notion-of-dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation) as limiting or of prospective human-subpotency aporeticism' which surpassing as to human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure enables the possibility for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism sublimation-over-desublimation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated with predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and so with regards to 'the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal')

difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising *difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising-<difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising-or-deriving-in-determining- 'mutually-relative-validity-by-invalidity-as-to-the-veracity-of-any-given-existential-instantiation' ,-though-in-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema>-of-the-very-same-mutually-abstract-apriorising-or-axiomatising-or-referencing-conceptualisation>*

difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising *difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising-<difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising-or-referencing-as-to-mutual-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>-of-abstract-conceptualisation,-rendering-irrelevant-any-mutual-aposteriorising-or-logicising-or-deriving,-as-validity-or-invalidity-as-to-the-veracity-of-any-given-existential-instantiation-is-aposteriorised-or-logicised-or-derived-from-the-more-profound-apriorising-or-axiomatising-or-referencing-conceptualisation>*

dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/trans epistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation *dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation-(as-from-prospectively-construed-reoriginariness/reorigination-as-reflecting-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-implied-difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism)*

dimensionality-of-desublimating- *dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-*

lack-of— <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/trans epistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation	<i>residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation-(as-from-prospectively-construed-distorted-originariness/distorted-origination-as-reflecting-presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness)</i>
disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-as-of-thinking-and-dementing	<i>disambiguated-binarity-of-reference-of-thought-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-as-of-thinking-and-dementing, -and-not-as-of-a-mutual-reference-of-thought-devolved-instantiative-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances-of-logical-processing-or-aposteriorising-or-intelligising pedestals of meaningfulness</i>
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification	<i>dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension-(as-‘dispensing-with-shallow-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification, -construed-insightfully-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-successive-originary-projections/anticipations-about-the-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality-for-articulation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, -that-in-that-succession-are-‘as-from-relative-ontologically-flawed-to-relative-ontologically-veridical-articulation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, -but-then-as-the-‘preceding-originary-projection/anticipation-of-relative-ontologically-flawed-articulation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-construed-as-habit-and-tradition’-is-‘structurally-determinative-as-reference-to-be-superseded’-by-dialectically-successive-‘originary-projection/anticipation-of-relative-ontologically-veridical-articulation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (as to ‘human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’); ¶ as-the-very-implication-and-reason-why-human-existential-thrownness-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-paradoxically-renders-prospective-‘non-presencing—or-withdrawal—or-metaphysics-of-absence—or-transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination-perspective/framing/reference/horizon-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-the-critical-determination-of-relative-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology-over-‘presencing—or-metaphysics-of-</i>

*presence—or—ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning-
 perspective/framing/reference/horizon-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’;-
 in-enabling-transcendence-and-sublimity) as for the need for human
 limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-
 as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal);¶ and operantly, dispensing-
 with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension doesn’t mean ‘giving up on life’ (as of
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
 as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-
 apriorising-implications>) dispositions and as prodded by
 sophistic/pedantic distraction inclinations will wrongly imply as of a
 propensity to construe ‘existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought
 as more of life as to the supposed precedence of human banality’), but
 rather speaks of ‘a more profound solipsistic contemplative appreciation
 of life as of the precedence of human sublime potential reflected in a
 projective disposition to rethinking human meaningfulness-and-teleology
 infrastructure’, and as validated by the fact that the succession of human
 registry-worldviews/dimensions are grounded on such
 ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for human secondnature
 institutionalisation for living-development—as-to-personality-development,
 institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology’ against the torrent of <formative>wooden-language—
 ‘<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
 as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-
 apriorising-implications> and as prodded by sophistic/pedantic
 distractive reasoning-from-results/afterthought’ that is ever always
 ‘parrhesiastically wanting’ for the prospect of prospective
 ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ transcendence-and-sublimity, as
 it can be appreciated that structurally/paradigmatically every
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness registry-
 worldview/dimension as of its <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-
 regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and as prodded by its
 given sophistry is paradoxically disinclined to its prospective reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning as it is ever always in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its prospectively
 ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology as it seem to poorly
 construe of the ‘implications of apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-
 notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>’ and as it wrongly substitutes*

for it a 'communication-as-of-dialogical-equivalence issue' like with the sophists accusing Socrates for not communicating well by the terms of their 'warped/twisted ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled-as-of-their-non-universalising-syllogising' faced with his universalising-idealisation or medieval scholastics by the terms of their 'pedantic dogmatism' blaming Galileo for not communicating well faced with his 'budding positivism/rational-empiricism', and a modern day naïve <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology communication discourse that is utterly clueless of the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of our positivism-procrypticism 'procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of an occluded self-consciousness' requiring prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics

dissemination/seed
ding maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality 'reification gesturing for prospective knowledge' arising as from existential-contextualising-contiguity <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument so-construed as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwtness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation amenable thus to existence's validation as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework;¶ wherein for instance the same budding positivists reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwtness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation dissemination/seed as reflected in different budding positivists like Copernicus, Galileo, Descartes, Newton, Leibniz are variously-and-transversally validated by existence as of positivism ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

dissingularisation 'epistemically-not-immanent'-as-lacking-internal-necessity-and-edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument;¶ as-of-apriorising-teleological-parsimony/disparateness of conceptualisations, dissingularisation-{operantly-construed-as-of-incrementalism/disjointing/internal-decoherencing};¶ and thus dissingularisation is construed 'as from <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness' rather as 'dialectically-dementing representation', with dissingularisation so-induced by-'prospective parrhesiastic-aestheticisation of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwtness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as dementing-qualia-

schema', reflecting the contrastive apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of 'prior dialectically-dementing temporal underpinning—suprasocial-construct, -<formative> wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, -and-sophistry reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition, —as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought' undermined/demented by 'prospective dialectical-thinking intemporal parrhesiastic-aestheticisation induced reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition, —as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation'

distractive-
alignment-to-
reference-of-
thought

'distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought'—as-ontological-destructuring-or-constitutedness

edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with regards to the very-same-purview-of-construal refers to the 'cut-through/deflating effect' of relative-ontological-completeness-as-singularisation construal as of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking over relative-ontological-incompleteness-as-dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism construal as of unaffirmation/deprojection/deassertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing (thus in both cases establishing their inherently-determinable- 'apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness' with relative-ontological-incompleteness prospectively deneutered from its <formative> epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness), underlying a dialectical-thinking representation over a dialectical-dementing representation as of the very-same-purview-of-construal, wherein for instance as of relative-ontological-completeness theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as dialectical-thinking representation runs-through/deflates classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as dialectical-dementing representation given that the former just supersedes/transcends the latter as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of 'the very same physics <formative> epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality' with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative> epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) and is not involved with the latter as of any incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness,

*and the same elucidation extends to the overall human
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence as of the very-
 same-purview-of-construal-as-existence wherein our present
 positivism/rational-empiricism <formative>epistemic-totalising–
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as dialectical-thinking representation runs-
 through/deflates prior non-positivism/medievalism <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–meaningfulness-and-teleology as dialectical-dementing
 representation or wherein prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–meaningfulness-and-teleology as dialectical-thinking
 representation will cut-through/deflate our ‘positivism–procrypticism
 shiftiness-of-the-Self’ <formative>epistemic-totalising–meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as dialectical-dementing representation;¶ such that we can
 fathom that this hermeneutic elucidation by its ‘mere prompting of what is
 implied by deprocrypticism <formative>epistemic-totalising–
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is rather ‘sparing to our positivism–
 procrypticism emotional-involvement for the sake of intellectual
 engagement’ as it ‘doesn’t directly project the true edginess/incisiveness—
 of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum
 ent as of prospective deprocrypticism construal’ relative to our
 ‘positivism–procrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self dereifying-gesturing
 perspective’, and this sparingness thus should not be naively construed to
 imply that we can engage as of epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-
 veracity such deprocrypticism <formative>epistemic-totalising–
 meaningfulness-and-teleology in prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness from our relative-ontological-incompleteness ‘positivism–
 procrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self perspective’ as if as of dialectical-
 thinking representation whereas in reality such perspectival
 enframing/engagement is rather flawed-and-untenable as it is just a
 furtherance of positivism–procrypticism dialectical-dementing
 representation warranting rather prospective psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the
 positivism–procrypticism mindset to effectively begin to contemplate and
 come to terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct with the <formative>epistemic-
 causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity of prospective deprocrypticism as a perspective that
 is prospectively-unenframed-to/edgily-and-incisively-spills-over-our-
 ‘positivism–procrypticism shiftiness-of-the-Self’, such that even in the
 expanded-view-of-things just as budding positivists existentially
 impregnated in many ways with a non-positivism/medievalism mindset
 more critically simply grasped of the wake for more salient human
 ontological possibilities as of positivism/rational-empiricism down-the-
 line likewise this author and many disseminating postmodern thinkers
 existentially impregnated in many ways with positivism–procrypticism
 mindset as ‘occlusive self-consciousness shiftiness-of-the-Self’ more
 critically project rather of the wake of more salient human futural
 ontological possibilities implied by prospective
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as
 of its ‘unenframed protensive self-consciousness nonshiftiness-of-the-Self*

as of mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation reifying-gesturing’

<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/trans epistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness	<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/trans epistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness- (construed-as-trans epistemic-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness);¶ reflecting intemporal-solipsistic—firstnatureness-of-epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/anamnestic-residuality-as-ratiocinative-integrity-(not-mythical-recollection)/transepistemicity
epistemic-totalising	<i>epistemic-totalising refers to ‘being-epistemically-all-defining-and-determining-in-effect-as-of-circumscribing/delineating,-and-so-as-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-underlying-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-implications and so-reflected as of the epistemic construal from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of analysis in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence’, and is contrasted with the notion of totalitarian as ‘being-all-defining-and-determining-rather-by-human-subpotency-obstinacy/ideology-overt-projection/assertion that ignores-and-overlooks the epistemic construal from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of analysis in determining ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence’;¶ such that the notion of <formative>epistemic-totalising is rather as of the epistemic reflection of ontological-veracity about say a given <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence registry-worldview/dimension effective <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected by the fact that apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by a positivistic mindset is <formative>formative>epistemic-totalisingly/circumscribingly/delineatingly different from a non-positivistic mindset whereas the notion of totalitarian as-of-ideology/obstinacy is rather about direct dogmatic commitment to a given meaningfulness-and-teleology with the inclination to dispense whether extensively or partially with ontological-veracity often on a supposed assumption of grander overall ontological-veracity</i>
-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag	<i>-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-(as-wrongly-implying-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-that-is-prospectively-dementing)</i>
epistemic-totalising—	<i>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence refers to the fact that the human mindset as of construction-of-the-Self is inherently of a given</i>

thrownness-in-existence

‘determinable relative-ontological-completeness/incompleteness apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ as reflected in its given <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence registry-worldview/dimension apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/axiomatising, such that ontologically there is variance of the human mindset <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence disposition successively as of the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation trepidatious-consciousness, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation warped-consciousness, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism preclusive-consciousness, our present positivism—procrypticism occlusive-consciousness and prospective deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness;¶ and so in reflection of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing metaphoricity of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of underlying ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process shifting phasing of ‘dialectical-thinking’ representation and ‘dialectical-dementing’ representation of the very ontologically same existence purview as of relative-ontological-completeness

epistemic-totalitative

epistemic-totalitative is rather ‘of epistemic/notional projective evaluation about the ontological-performance as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness of all epistemic-totalities (and specifically as articulating the underlying ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process reflected in the epistemic succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought given epistemic-totalities of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospectively deprocrypticism, so-implied as notional-deprocrypticism) so-construed as <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ whereas epistemic-totality is rather about any inherent ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating’ given meaningfulness-and-teleology representation arising as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence’, and thus epistemic-totalitative contrasts with ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating’ (as of human-subpotency apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) in that while the latter refers to any given registry-worldview/dimension <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of its social-stake-contention-or-confliction and so whether as of a given relative-ontological-incompleteness or relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension inherent ‘-<formative>epistemic-

totalising/circumscribing/delineating' of meaningfulness-and-teleology, epistemic-totalitative (as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum
 ent epistemic-veracity implications) rather refers to
 epistemically/notionally construing/evaluating projectively the human
 meaningfulness-and-teleology of any such '-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating' and so in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process opened-construct-
 of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in increasing relative-ontological-
 completeness as of the notional-deprocrypticism 'true-ontology—as-of-
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology' perspective of perception in reflecting human-subpotency
 potential to converge to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum
 ent;¶ with the implication that the '-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating' contingent-ontology—as-of-
 conventioning-referencing perspective of say non-positivism/medievalism
 or procrypticism cannot all of a sudden respectively start dialectical-
 thinking in positivism or deprocrypticism terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct
 and it is only an epistemic-totalitative sense-of-things 'as of existence-
 potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–
 renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-
 conflatedness epistemic/notional projective construal/evaluation' that can
 allow for the mental-projection out of any given registry-
 worldview/dimension <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-
 of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-
 regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> to reflect-and-
 contemplate of prospective dialectical-thinking representation as of
 transcendence-and-sublimity over prior dialectical-dementing
 representation, hence a <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal
 is intimately associated with dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of
 human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-
 'notionally-collateralising-protohumanity'-to- 'attain-sublimating-
 humanity',-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human
 temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-
 of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-
 regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of the
 'displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject induced as of
 ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-

dialectics' in undermining the 'shiftiness-of-the-Self' associated with '-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating' as of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> perspective

epistemic-totality epistemic-totality refers to the fact that human <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence structurally/paradigmatically induces the <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating nature of human meaningfulness-and-teleology in existence with this <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating varying as of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, such that human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology conception and thereof-its-devolving-institutional-and-living-conceptions-of-beings are reflected-as-of-its- '-<formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence' <formative>epistemic-totalising-and-internally-coherent apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology in existential-instantiations;¶ and epistemic-totality as such further speaks of the <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating nature of human 'reference-of-thought-which-varies-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness-implications'-as-labile-to-metaphoricity-as-of-reference-of-thought-evolving-and-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, and we can consider in this regards 'the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality' wherein existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional _projective-perspective of human ontological-performance/ontological-veracity shows a relative-ontological-completeness variation as of 'traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct' to theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs

event event speaks of 'existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation instigation(s) of humanity-level of possibilities of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and living-development—as-to-personality-development transformation of meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure' as of 'aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications' of metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation induced prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—

reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as structurally/paradigmatically providing the possibility for deflating/superseding the vices-and-impediments of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as so-implied with regards to the events instigating the successive prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process say with ‘Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation eventual instigation of universalising-idealisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation wherein prospective universalisation is dialectical-thinking and prior base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation is dialectically-dementing’ or ‘budding positivists existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation eventual instigation of positivism/rational-empiricism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation wherein prospective positivism/rational-empiricism is dialectical-thinking and prior universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism is dialectically-dementing’;¶
with the underlying insight here that ‘existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation eventual instigation(s)’ speaks of the possibility of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of ‘infinity/a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales implications’ of deflating/superseding the vices-and-impediments of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of a transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that structurally/paradigmatically recognises an issue of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> with regards to ‘ontologically-flawed
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and the dialectically-dementing implications’ warranting the superseding/deflation of prior relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought rather than the given prior relative-ontological-incompleteness underpinning—suprasocial-construct/sophistry <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> induced false pretence of an issue of ‘aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring on the basis of the its prospectively unrecognised ontologically-flawed
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and the dialectically-dementing implications’, such that the true ‘issue of prosecution’ with regards to Socrates or Galileo with respect to their asceticism stances was about the ontological-impertinence of their respective social-setup in failing to recognise prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

ent which then exposed them to their social-setup sophistry in a pretence that theirs were just case-issues-and-not-of-event-implications thus with their respective sophistry

‘aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring on the basis of their respective social-setup ununiversalisation and non-positivism/medievalism ontologically-flawed

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and as of the dialectically-dementing implications’, just as it is herein contended that the sophistic/pedantic disposition of our times will assume a nondescript/ignorable void pretence of case-issues-and-not-of-event-implications thus

‘aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring on the basis of our positivism–procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospectively ontologically-flawed

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ thus ‘ignoring the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications with regards to existentially-contextualised intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation eventual instigation of prospective

deprocrysticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implied prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for deflating/superseding vices-and-impediments of positivism–procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’

existential-
contextualising-
contiguity

existential-contextualising-contiguity refers to meaningfulness-and-teleology projective epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity construed paradigmatically/structurally as of ‘conflatedness-with-existence/conflatedness-of-construal-alongside-existential-sublimating-manifestation’, so-implied as existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context or logical-duedness-rather-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought or relative-ontological-veridicality-as-of-prospective-reference-of-thought;¶ {existential-contextualising-contiguity as ‘conflatedness-with-existence-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation, -re-perception, -re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness-construal-of-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/conflatedness-of-construal-alongside-existential-manifestation’ is effectively what allows for the projective epistemic countenancing of ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), and thus the corresponding knowledge-reification capacity towards singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as implied with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-

teleology'; ¶ such that existential-contextualising-contiguity <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conflatedness highlights that abstract notions/conceptualisations are only as pertinent as reflexive of existential sublimating manifestation which structurally/paradigmatically precedes ('not the unforegrounding-disentailment or vague-foregrounding/vague-entailment as background' implied with such abstract notions/conceptualisations, but rather as the foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) which is so-construed as: 'existential-contextualising-contiguity as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness' underlying causality with regards to <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) any such abstract notions/conceptualisations thus avoiding any elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity and reflecting the epistemic-veracity of human knowledge-reification/ontological-veracity rather as of the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity so-imbued in difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so contrary to atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness of poor projective epistemic countenancing of 'relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing' as of their ontologically-flawed reflection of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity given their <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism); ¶ thus existential-contextualising-contiguity <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of its implied epistemic maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness veridically implies the '(<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity) foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) meaningfulness-and-teleology' as of the existential reflexivity of epistemic causality with regards to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation (as existential-contextualising-contiguity is rather about human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor for human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming- 'notionally-

*collateralising-prot Humanity'-to- 'attain-sublimating-humanity',-as-to-
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-
 conflatedness), and this point is important to preempt the 'ontologically-
 flawed unforegrounding-disentailment' of existential-contextualising-
 contiguity by way of vague and naïve elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-
 outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as can be
 wrongly/unwittingly be projected with flawed used of 'human conceptual-
 tools' like language/logic/mathematics/statistics/algorithms/models/etc.
 that are only as pertinent as of their reflecting of the absolute a priori that
 is existence and 'not superseding/overriding existential-reality in
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness' (even
 as such conceptual-tools of formulation and representation can rather be
 of valid foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to their epistemically-construed
 phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—
 reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> but not epistemically
 overriding/superseding inherent existence which is ever always absolutely
 the foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)), and this explains why existential-
 reality is priorly affirmative as to the epistemic validity/invalidity of
 contrastive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisations such
 that 'the questioning of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
 validity/invalidity of existence itself doesn't arise in the very first place' as
 it is existence in its foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—
 sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as the absolute a
 priori that gives reasons and the 'human consciousness level of epistemic-
 sufficiency-constitutedness' doesn't inherently commits
 existence/existential-manifestation as to the fact that it is the human
 consciousness that recurrently has to readjust itself in its epistemic re-
 evaluation of existence/existential-manifestation from its prior posture of
 epistemic sufficiency, as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 (<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) (as starkly manifested with such epiphenomenon like quantum
 entanglement);¶ further knowledge-reification as of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity as underlined by the 'coherence/contiguity-of-
 superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-
 coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-
 intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness' reflects the
 veridicality that all epistemic-conceptions of
 phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—
 reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> speak to the congruence of
 overall existence as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-
 empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—
 human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation reflecting the
 'ontological-contiguity of the comprehensive supervening of
 phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—
 reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>' as enabling human*

*existential analysis as of transverse epistemic-conception
phenomenal/manifest_subpotency and so while invalidating any
reductionist subpotency substituting for any other epistemic-conceptions of
immanently imbued phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies thus 'enabling the
transverse hermeneutic process that brings-about/yields human
knowledge-reification' as ultimately validated/invalidated by prospective
sublimation-over-desublimation ontological implications;¶ and this
conception of human knowledge-reification as of existential-
contextualising-contiguity is different from the typical notion of
analogy/mere-analogising in the sense that the latter is rather generally
about 'mere conceptualisations of common/comparative patterning and
the accompanying vague elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-
outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity' without establishing the
analogy/mere-analogising coherent ontological-contiguity as of
existential-contextualising-contiguity and thus do not speak to 'a dynamics
of existentially reflected ontological-contiguity as to existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal' as is the case with 'thought-experiments of mere
common/comparative patterning' thus inducing blurriness of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as to disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-
contiguity> unlike thought-experiments of veridical existential-
contextualisation-contiguity such as Einsteinian relativity
conceptualisations as to their foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-
down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) and so
since thought-experiments reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity
because of their awareness of relative-ontological-completeness
implications don't fall into the ontological-flaws of presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness with respect to existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal given that existence—is-the-absolute-a-priori-of-
conceptualisation enabling sublimation-over-desublimation, and this
differentiation between veridical knowledge-reification and analogy/mere-
analogising also highlights that actually knowledge is more critically a
contiguous whole as to the underlying reference-of-thought—and-
reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology (and this
should be the overall expected epistemic attitude) but for the artificial
divisions arising as to human limited-mentation-capacity warranting
specialisations and the fact that various epistemic-conceptions of
specialisations are of their 'peculiar optimal epistemicity for inducing
sublimation' but then the requisite originariness-parrhesia,—as-
spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrum
ent—for—conceptualisation as to sublimating ontological-good-
faith/authenticity—structure remains of the same ontological-congruence
across all human knowledge-reification domains as reflected by the
overall registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought—and-
reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology implied
peculiar ('relative-ontological-completeness—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism*

enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)') foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) and this insight will explain why conceptual/axiomatic epistemic-veracity analyses across subject-matters like physics, chemistry, biology, psychology, the-social are not 'mere conceptualisations of common/comparative patterning' but speak to an underlying overall reference-of-thought epistemic-veracity for sublimation warranted across all the subject-matters so-reflected as of overall philosophical epistemological conceptualisation (and so specifically as to the positivism/rational-empiricism overall epistemic attitude of reference-of-thought) but more thoroughly implicated in many a natural science domain (given the natural sciences very strong constraining to predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and low emotional-involvement inducing the requisite candidness for prospective knowledge-reification sublimation) but requiring a thoroughly insightful philosophical expliciting and elucidation to induce a more consciously profound epistemic-veracity in the-social as well as overall reference-of-thought in enhancing overall human contemplation for knowledge-reification;¶ such an existential-contextualising-contiguity conception of knowledge-reification unlike analogy/mere-analogising as well as abstract dialecticism makes a most profound claim to being ontological/scientific by the more profound veracity that it is embedded as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal (thus averting vague elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) and construes of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation enabling sublimation-over-desublimation, that is, the existential-contextualising-contiguity of knowledge-reification projects/construes of transcendence and transcendental-enabling/sublimating in recognition of 'an effective reality basis that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)' implies more and more profound reconstruals/reconceptualisations (-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought) inducing transformative implications with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology as transcendence (and so in contrast to mere abstract dialecticism or analogy/mere-analogising) speaking thus of overall human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence, and we can consider in this regards for instance the veridicality that the convolutedness of say modern day genetics knowledge-reification in existential-contextualising-contiguity cannot be construed as of mere conceptual-patterning as say in terms of Mendelian hereditary (as conceptual-patterning can be so-elicited with analogy/mere-analogising or mere abstract dialecticism) since such a conceptual-patterning conception will be existentially/ontologically elusive and not structured/paradigmed in perpetually furthering/inducing the veracity of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal underlying the complex sublimating conception of genetics in

existential-contextualising-contiguity and in many case such an approach as to blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology will rather distract from the more ontological-profound issue of deeper and deeper induced sublimation as of 'existential-contextualising-contiguity imbued sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence' (and this mistake is often made as to mere academicism as to a flawed knowledge-reification gesturing that projects the insights of existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidations as to ontological-contiguity implications to abstract and vague conceptualisations which ontological-contiguity is hardly established invalidating any existential-contextualising-contiguity analysis and end up equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as to wrongly imply everything is of the same ontological-contiguity thus undermining historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing insights along the same lines like absurdly striving to idly rearticulate Mendelian hereditary as from the insight garnered from say modern day genetics with a poor capacity to discern their respective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing implications as to the overall human prospective knowledge-reification project of sublimation and human emancipation) and this insight underlies the contention herein to overcome blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology of our positivism—procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold for the prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and so-reflected as the deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation- (as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as 'preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism' given 'relative <formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising—structuring/paradigming projection as of human messianic intemporal and secondnature social-optimisation instigative potency' at its given/defined institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception of 'the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal' (and so over prior positivism—procrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation- (as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as 'mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules,-that-is-not-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness

*apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism' given 'relative
disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> as to prior descalarising-in-
structuring/paradigming discretion/whim-of-thought of individuals-
suboptimisation instigative potency as of human temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions accordioning' at its given/defined uninstitutionalised-
threshold ontologically-deficient epistemic-conception of 'the very same
overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal');¶ critically with regards to the '-<formative>epistemic-
totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—
entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-
ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-
perspective>', blurriness as to the very nature of the social will often lead
to the naïve 'epistemic obviating of the inherent existential-
contextualising-contiguity foreground/operantly-entailing-conception of
many a social-domain (as to their veridical ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework as <formative>epistemic-causality) accounting for
the resolution of underlying human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint implications', for instance,
with the 'flawed and paradoxical supposedly foregrounding—entailment-
(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal) statistics over the effectively veridical and potent social-
domain existential-contextualising-contiguity' thus 'ignoring the social-
domain existential-contextualising-contiguity effective
originariness/reifying/intellectualising—
idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-
institutionalisation responsible for the resolution of underlying human-
subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint' as prospectively
accounting for the manifestation of the statistical outcomes in the very first
place (consider for instance that the statistical outcomes arising from past
social aporia-resolving transformational initiatives like the New Deal, G.I.
bill, Medicare, civil rights, the post-war public infrastructure and
technology investments, etc. accounting-for/as-the-true existential-
contextualising-contiguity foreground/operantly-entailing-conception for
the growth of the U.S. middle-class specifically as well as the statistical
outcomes associated with both international organisations public policies
and countries-specific public policies worldwide are paradoxically being
raised-and-foregrounded-over-the-ontological-veracity-of-the-social-
existential-contextualising-contiguity to 'surreptitiously' imply that the
need for such social aporia-resolving transformational initiatives in the
future as advocated by many is unwarranted as 'the statistical outcomes
seem to be construed as their very own epistemic causation of the rise of
the US middle-class and global population data improvements' or in
another respect the aporia-resolving nature of budding-positivists and
before them universalising-idealisation thinkers in both instances as to
their foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) social commitments in*

contributing towards and enabling the overcoming of the corresponding social and emancipatory limitations and social-vestedness/normativity of their societies and epochs is naively being interpreted-and-unforegrounded/disentailed as of our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness to wrongly imply ours is the era that ‘would hardly harbour any such critiquing for its further aporia-resolving emancipation and growth’ as to a ‘humanism’ that hardly grasp the existential-contextualising-contiguity ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of ‘human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’), likewise as manifested for instance in the economics domain the extensive use of mathematics as a conceptual-tool often takes on a purpose all of its own that overrides/unforegrounds/conceptually-disentails the socioeconomic-domain existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation of veridical economic phenomena as it is often uncritically skewed in the direction of vested political and big-business interests perception of things bound to overlooked the underlying aporetic concerns associated with the recurrence of economic and financial crises and weak income growth and redistribution;¶ all such cases of blurriness that unforegrounds/conceptually-disentails existential-contextualising-contiguity are intimately related to the poor capacity of such blurry domains-of-study to naturally (as of their underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’) and clearly define their human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor framework/cadre (as to keep tab of the perpetual ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down-sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ and preempting its unforegrounding-disentailment with flawed use of conceptual-tools), as such blurry domains rather adopt a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness disposition construed as ‘discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity’ for their supposed originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation;¶ whereas in many ways there is relatively more profound universal-transparency in the natural sciences as to their very strong constraining of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint to ‘inherent existence-

potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness of construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as reflecting existential-reality/ontological-veracity’, (and where this fails as with climate change it again has to do with blurriness and the associated eliciting of social-vestedness/normativity) as we can appreciate as of a typical case in point how the similar integration of conceptual-tools like mathematics, statistics, algorithms, models, etc. operate between say the economic sciences and natural sciences wherein the latter relatively-tends to preserve their natural science existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) ‘as served by the conceptual-tools’ while the former (with the manifestation of mystification complexes of conceptual-tools) often end up overlooking their very own socioeconomic existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) ‘and seem to serve the conceptual-tools’ which take a purpose all of their own in the pursuit of a given ‘discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity’ construal of things bent on ‘collateralising other critically aporetic things’

existential-
transitioning-or-
iterability-trace-
of-narratives-as-
dots/existential-
contextualising-
contiguity-
reification/superseding-
oneness-of-
ontology

existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology-(in-lockstep-of-temporal-dispositions-hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation,-as-non-veridical-narratives-and-intemporal-corresponding-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction-realterations-for-ontologically-veridical-narratives)

falsifiability

falsifiability refers to epistemic-veracity ‘determinable as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as reflecting existential-reality/ontological-veracity’, and thus the broader implication of falsifiability is construed basically as ‘epistemic-veracity for determining existential-reality/ontological-veracity as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’;¶ with the implication that since existence is the absolute a prior, the ‘becoming of existence as ecstatic-existence’ is the inherent determinative basis of falsifiability as the latter is reflexive of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and where ecstatic-existence manifestation is rather as of an ‘overall singular/unrepeatable/nonrecurring/as-of-yet-unrepeatable-or-nonrecurring unfolding manifestation’ as implied with the ambit of such theories as the big bang theory, string theory, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process etc., falsifiability is reflected by determining the coherence-as-of-ontological-congruence and incoherence-as-of-ontological-incongruence of any such

ambit implied 'overall singular ecstatic-existence unfolding manifestation model-theory' as reflected by 'the falsifiability of its underlying-and-subsumed-phenomena' with regards to the epistemic-veracity of their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework going by their specifically relevant repeatable/recurring methodological evaluations or observations or experiments, whereas where ecstatic-existence manifestation is about just a 'repeatable/recurrent ecstatic-existence manifestation phenomenon' then such an ecstatic-existence manifestation phenomenon is falsifiable as of the epistemic-veracity of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework going by its specifically relevant methodological evaluations or observations or experiments

faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge	<i>faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge- (as-of-postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping- 'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' -with-succeeding-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci-as-deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts)</i>
flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought	<i>flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought- (of dialectically-dementing- 'denaturing-postlogical-backtracking-towards-social-aggregation-enablers' over dialectical-thinking- 'intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality-transcendental-enabling/sublimating')</i>
foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)	<i>foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), -as-to- '-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>' - (so-construed as the knowledge-reification exercise of 'foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness' with regards to prospective knowledge and its overall coherence with the relevant relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought's—nested-congruence/running-through/deflating—cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics—unification-of-explanations, -with-such-explanations-reflected-as-of-ontological-contiguity-and-inducing-corresponding-prospective-sublimity) and so as to the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness involved in the dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for such prospective knowledge-reification;¶ and with regards to 'the reference-of-thought of all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in their successive relative-ontological-completeness as so-construed in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process' implied knowledge-reification, the foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) of meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of 'the successive reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness conflatedness-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity-as-of-<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity';¶ it can also be appreciated for instance that the natural sciences aspire for comprehensive foregrounding—entailment-</i>

(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in other to reflect deeper and deeper ontological-contiguity and corresponding sublimation, and so in the sense that their articulated axiomatic-constructs and their ‘assemblages of axiomatic-constructs’ are meant as derivable-as-of-necessity-and-mutually-coherent in all existential instantiations and not as discretionary-and-incoherent, such that where issues undermining derivation-as-of-necessity-and-mutual-coherence arise at any given unreified-threshold then it is understood that prospective knowledge-reification requires defining-and-superseding that prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of poor derivation-as-of-necessity-and-mutual-coherence so-revealed as from foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) conception in existential-contextualising-contiguity;¶ foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), -as-to- ’-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective> speaks to the fact that existence can only truly epistemically be construed as of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity, -in-the-full-potency-of-existence> so-reflected as ‘foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation’, and this potency-driven epistemic-conception of existence’s foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) reflects ‘the relativeness to originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> of epistemic-situations as to phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-and-their-corresponding-manifest-teleological-aporeticism in the full-potency of existence (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective)’, and so with regards to the fact that transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation and desublimation in existence is structured/paradigmed around phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity, -in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (such that there is a notional-symmetrisation of phenomenal/manifest-subpotencies-and-their-corresponding-phenomenal/manifest-teleological-aporeticism that is equally reflected in ‘the human-subpotency consciousness phenomenal/manifest epistemicity in existence with regards to its notional-symmetrisation-<as-to-symmetrisation-by-desymmetrisation-in-reflecting-dialectical-thinking-by-dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology> underlying human ontological-performance’ and so with respect to the perspectival binarity as of human-subpotency epistemic-projection so-construed as temporality and human-subpotency epistemic-projection towards the full-potency of existence so-construed as intemporality, as so-reflected in both ‘Derridean

underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception' and 'Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment' with regards to human phenomenal/manifest sublimation and desublimation in existence, as to the insight for mitigating the attendant drawback of desublimating historicity-tracing in the pursuit for sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing at the very center of Foucault and Derrida contentions, instead misconstrued by their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness critics as to the latter's truth relativism accusations that speak of their social-vestedness/normativity posturing rather than profound critiquing accounting for the ontological-veracity of human sublimation and desublimation in existence underlined by Foucauldian historical-a-priori ontological implications and Derridean quasi-transcendental ontological implications as both directly undermining presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conceptualisations and indirectly-and-heuristically pointing to human self-surpassing relative-ontological-completeness implications as to human subjection to the sublimating possibilities of existence as herein fully-and-otherwise conceptualised as to the full implications of the notion of 'ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributione-dialectics of human reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology' as driving/dynamising the 'succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions in institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)' underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of 'human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology', and so decisively derived-and-construed as from 'the counterintuitive discernment about the full ontological implications of human cognisance-and-integration of postlogism/notional-psychopathy denatured meaningfulness-and-teleology at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as articulated herein specifically with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy manifestation in our positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension' providing insight on 'the human ontological-performance of registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology' so-reflected dialectically as of human notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> and notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> speaking of 'notional-symmetrisation-<as-to-symmetrisation-by-desymmetrisation-in-reflecting-dialectical-thinking-by-dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology> of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology');¶ such existence foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) conception is very much unlike

entailment as of vague elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity caught up in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness in distorted-originariness/distorted-origination failing to reflect ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-as-to-their-drivenness-and-their-corresponding-teleological-aporeticism in the full-potency of existence’ (as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective), in the sense that ‘existence is the overall originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> of ontological-contiguity’ construed as overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness with the implication that supervening phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation are all in originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>;¶ this further undermines naïve physicalism that ‘fails to perceive the comprehensive supervening of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> which is exactly what existentially avails as to the fact that it is the human-subpotency consciousness that epistemically conceptualises reality (as of for-human-studies) as to varied phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-corresponding-teleological-aporeticisms as from the physical, chemical, biological, psychological, social, etc. as to the ‘ontological-contiguity of the comprehensive supervening of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ so-reflected as overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence, and there is no veracity for a superseding physical epistemic-conception of the chemical, of the chemical of the biological, and of the biological of the psychological or social (and not even mathematics as of its transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency substitutes for any other epistemic-conceptions of immanently imbued phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies as to the comprehensive supervening of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> so-reflected as overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence), explaining the fact that such vague approaches turn out to be epistemically inefficacious/desublimating impracticalities when seriously considered, and reflecting that existence’s originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> is ‘the ontological-contiguity of the comprehensive supervening of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ as that is what is of applicative veracity as to inherent subject-matters epistemic-conceptions of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—

reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>;¶ it can further be appreciated in this regards for instance that no amount of abstract mathematics can substitute for the requisite inherent physics epistemic-conception foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal),-as-to-’-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating the inherent physics epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence’ given the inherent physics epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation implied originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>, and the same can be said of any other inherent subject-matter epistemic-conception with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence, and just as the same can be said even of inherent mathematics epistemic-conception notwithstanding its rather contemplatable peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency, but then all other subject-matters are equally epistemic-conceptions as of their very own peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence (as even the social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as of human living/institutional/Being implications do have transverse-phenomenal/manifest existential consequences as to the human organising-and-institutionalising capacity to elucidate the natural sciences phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> even as the former don’t substitute for the inherent natural sciences phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in elucidating the natural sciences);¶ rather the valid epistemic-conceptions of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to their peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies should not lead to naïve reductionist interpretations in constitutedness that pretend to then substitute for the other phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (as it can be noted not only with the naivety of physicalism reductionism or universal mathematical/informational reductionism or consciousness reductionism) ‘wrongly seeming to supersede the ontological-contiguity of existence/ecstatic-existence as of overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness’ whereas ‘ultimately it is sublimation in existence’ as of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> induced sublimation (so-reflected as ‘foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as

to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated-human-subpotency-epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation) that is the ‘defining and superseding epistemic-conception of originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> of the ontological-contiguity of existence’ as to the possibility of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) induced epistemic-conceptions of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (and this actually allows for the epistemic-conception of any other possible phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> that are not as of yet divulged as to their correspondingly inducible sublimation in existence), and so over all such reductionist epistemic-conceptions wrongly construing peculiar transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies in constitutedness as substituting for other phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (and thus fundamentally since a physics reductionism of existence cannot generate the profound sublimation in existence of say a biology epistemic-conception of living phenomena or a biological/neurological reductionism of existence cannot generate the more profound sublimation in existence of say a social and socio-psychological epistemic-conception of social-constructs and institutions meaningfulness-and-teleology, such pretences are often at best unscientific postures riding-the-wave/exploit-without-corresponding-sublimation-as-to-existence-potency-implications of the success obtained in their relevant epistemic-conceptions of physical phenomena and living phenomena respectively to then wrongly project substitutive sublimation in another domain-of-study, and so-manifested at worst with the usurpation of such natural sciences successes associated particularly with their desublimating projections in wrongly drawing profound social and socio-psychology interpretations)

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity *<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, and so-construed-as-from-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective-of-conceptualisation*

historicity-tracing *historicity-tracing—(is-so-construed-as-of-its-defining-shallow-structural/paradigmatic- ‘presencing-conceptualisation-disposition’)-as-to-human-psychological-entrapment-to-the-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-disposition-of- ‘defining-priorly-aestheticised-conceptualisations’ ,-as-so-resulting-from-prior-human-limited-mentation-capacity-ontological-performance-outcomes;¶ historicity-tracing-*

constrasts-with-prospective-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing-(which-is-construed-as-of-its-defining-more-profound-structural/paradigmatic-'reoriginariness/reorigination-futural-ontological-performance-projection,-superseding-presencing-conceptualisation-disposition')-as-to-human-psychological-uninhibitedness/decomplexification-for-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-disposition-of-'defining-prospectively-aestheticised-conceptualisations',-and-so-for-renewed-ontological-performance-outcome-as-from-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective;¶ as historicity-tracing is the 'repetitive presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness disposition' of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as to their <formative> wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> with respect to prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and so in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to prior, present and prospective human-subpotency potential of overall aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology

historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing *historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing—as-to-<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity,-as-reflecting-<formative>epistemic-causality-all-along-as-to-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-(construed-psychoanalytically-as-of-the-conflatedness-'dynamics-of-fundamentally-seeded-human-limited-mentation-capacity-of-intemporality-psychology-of-completeness-in-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema>-as-convictional-and-enabling-prospective-transcendence-and-sublimity—in-contrast-with-the-various-temporalities-psychologies-of-incompleteness-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>,-as-reference-of-thought—madeupness/bottomlining-and-reflecting-prospectively-desublimation/gimmickiness';¶ as-the-underlying-social-epistemic-totality-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-with-regards-to-social-stake-contention-or-confliction)*

human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation *human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation-(implied-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal),-for-construal-of-existential-reality/ontological-veridicality-and-human-emancipatory-potential,-and-so-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought)*

identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic- *identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism,-as-not-immanent-or-lacking-internal-necessity-or-undifferentiated-as-lacking-ontological-*

totality- dereification-in- dissingularisation- as-flawed- epistemic- determinism	<i>depth-of-reality- (as-of- 'no-differentiated-or-disambiguated-tracing-thus-neuterising-of'-dynamic-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances, -thus-falsely-implying-all-as-rather-dialectical-thinking)</i>
ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation	<i>ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation- (as 'existential-contextualising-contiguity reprises' of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness, inducing derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought from 'mental-as-prelogism-as-of-conviction investment followed by muddled-reference-of-thought in cohering-to-postlogism-set-of-narratives as of denaturing-prelogism-as-of-conviction'; ¶ arising as a result of the registry-worldview relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency or construed more precisely not on the positivism—procrypticism basis of such 'individuations <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in- 'occlusive-consciousness'-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorisation' but rather on the deprocrypticism basis of ontological-contiguity as 'individuations candidity/candour-capacity' as of 'notional ontological-normalcy'/temporality-as-shortness-to-intemporality-as-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance)</i>
incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness	<i>akrasiatic—incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as to lack-of-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness so-reflected in the lack-of-the-epistemic-projective-perspective-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence</i>
intemporality	<i>Intemporality / longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/dispensing-with-ontologically-perverting-immediacy-behaviour, -as-of-prospective-institutionalisation, -as-of-inherently-determinable-apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework-or-narrative-framework / upholding-of-categorical-imperatives-or-axioms-or-registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation</i>
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-	<i>limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), -as-recomposuring-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-of-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation, -re-perception, -re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, -as-of-human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-</i>

as-to-existence— as-sublimating- withdrawal)	<i>singularisation-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflatedness)</i>
logical- processing-or- logical- implication	<i>logical-processing-or-logical-implication-of-act-execution/logical-implication-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement</i>
maximalising- recomposuring- for-relative- ontological- completeness	<i>antiakrasiatic—maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as to <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness so-reflected in the epistemic-projective-perspective-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-(unwinding-as-unfolding/dépliage-as-détendre of elucidation-in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality over wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (dementing reference-of-thought in apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding))</i>
meaningfulness- and-teleology	<i>meaningfulness as of its inherent 'apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness' as of conflatedness-with-existence-as-defining-background-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-well-as-derived-conventioning-referencing-with-regards-to-institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development-and-living-development—as-to-personality-development-possibilities;¶ construed as <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology defining any given registry-worldview/dimension in reflection of the fact that there can only be one <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality for inducing intelligibility, such that the reification issue/problem with meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather derivational as of huma relative ontological-performance as of 'various relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought' in reflecting meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective over human-</i>

subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective (thus inducing successive relative apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology) as well as the given reference-of-thought-devolving temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances of its <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating of meaningfulness-and-teleology

metaphoricity

evolving-and-devolving—'—<formative>epistemic-totalising-conception-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification', construed ultimately as of the cross-generational superseding of any given registry-worldview/dimension <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology (as to 'human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology'), as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness superseding/undermining/deflating of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, as meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure restructuring/re-paradigming;¶ implying 'differing-and-incompatible meaningfulness-and-teleology finality' of the relative-ontological-incompleteness and the relative-ontological-completeness as of their respectively implied edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of the implied reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought (as to elicitable <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), thus rendering 'propositional compatibility as of mutual aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring' improbable as both are affirmative whereas in reality the former should be affirmed and the latter should be unaffirmed thus explaining why only a 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure' can arise from the former over the latter to restore ontological-veracity, and this is enabled/validated only by their mutually supposedly coherent ontological-commitment underlying any society/social-setup conventioning as so reflected by its 'self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction' enabling the relative-ontological-completeness 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-

narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (and not propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence)' over the relative-ontological-incompleteness cross-generationally as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework sublimating implications, reflecting the fact that there is no base-institutionalisation propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation but rather a 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure' arising as of their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework sublimating implications pointing out that base-institutionalisation is relatively as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of- \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness and this notion of 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (and not propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence)' applies likewise in 'affirming relative existence-potency-prospective-digression-of- \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation implications' of universalisation over base-institutionalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism over universalisation, and prospectively deprocrypticism over our positivism-procrypticism, and such a state of improbable propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence arises because of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness shiftiness-of-the-Self associated with human sovereign-constructs in \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which can naturally be overcome by human insight of its limited-mentation-capacity implications and 'as requiring knowledge-construct specialisms' involving human deferential-formalisation-transference to 'perceived significant others' with respect to such specialisms 'limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) resources-and-talent focussing for knowledge-reification', but then sophistic/pedantic dispositions as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction with regards to such issues like climate change, public policy, etc. can turn around and wrongly reaffirm the 'ontological-veracity of huma \langle formative \rangle wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought- \langle as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications \rangle as of propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence' to undermine such 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure' enlightenment from its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension specialisms even though we know that the truly specialist lawyer, chemist, etc. doesn't adopt any such propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence relation with \langle formative \rangle wooden-language—imbued-

averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> but rather is in an enlightening/educating deferential-formalisation-transference posture of 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure', and this relation between flawed sophistic/pedantic social-stake-contention-or-confliction encouraging of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence and veridical intellectual 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure' also arises when it comes to prospective knowledge-reification of preceding/traditional normativities, conventions, practices, etc. (such as manifested with sophistic/pedantic mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, ancient Sophists, medieval-scholasticism pedants and modern day intellectual muddlement), and hence ultimately with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity implications sophistry can-and-is only undermined by prospective relative-ontological-completeness 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure' knowledge-reification in inducing the universal-transparency of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension 'foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of its construction-of-the-Self' from whence its devolving specialisms/profound knowledge-construct can then be socially engaged in deferential-formalisation-transference undermining sophistry, and so in the sense that it is only because by-and-large every modern human construction-of-the-Self is positivistic/rational-empirical as of reference-of-thought-level that the possibility of devolving specialisms/profound positivistic knowledge-construct can arise (without the possibility of its sophistic/pedantic social-stake-contention-or-confliction undermining with regards to eliciting non-positivism, supernaturalism, etc. <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) even when the vast majority of humans never have a thorough grasp of any specifically given specialism/profound positivistic knowledge-construct say modern medicine, physics, social science, etc., and likewise the sophistic/pedantic difficulty facing the prospective possibility of deprocrypticism as it is prospectively reflective of our present positivism—procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold lies in the fact that it is highly liable to present social-stake-contention-or-confliction procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought sophistry 'flawed encouraging of propositional-convincing-of-dialogical-equivalence <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of present disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought' in undermining the 'prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology routing ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure' of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, and such prospective deprocrypticism organic knowledge-reification necessarily requires at least the induced universal-transparency of the deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought 'foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of deprocrypticism construction-of-the-Self' from whence its implied specialised/profound knowledge-construct can be engaged in deferential-formalisation-transference (without the possibility of sophistic/pedantic undermining like the eliciting of various temporal manifestations of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications) even if the vast majority of humans don't have a thorough grasp of deprocrypticism implied profound/specialisms knowledge-construct implications

neuterising *neuterising—ascription-hardening/pseudo-referentialism-as-epistemically-flawed-presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-or-identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism*

neuterisation *neuterisation- (undisambiguation-of-temporal-as-denaturing-from-intemporal-as-sound:-construed-as-binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-with-temporal-as-denaturing-falsely-represented-as-if-in-ontological-contiguity-with-intemporal-as-sound,-rather-than-disambiguated-in-prospective-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>-and-prior-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-representations;¶ but-wrongly-implying-as-of-the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-of-construal-as-existential-meaningfulness-and-teleology)*

nondescript/ignorable void *in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) grasp of 'ecstatic-existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation', a 'prior registry-worldview's/dimension's nondescript/ignorable void as of its ontologically-flawed dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema' refers to the fact that no registry-worldview/dimension going by its relative-ontological-incompleteness as of prior registry-worldview/dimension perspective is representatively cognisant-and-integrative of its meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its*

prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold implied/appreciable dementing—qualia-schema (so-reflected as from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-completeness perspective), as it rather reproduces circularly its 'prior registry-worldview's/dimension's nondescript/ignorable void as of its ontologically-flawed dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema' over any such prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's veridically implied/appreciable dementing—qualia-schema representation of the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold, with the implication that the 'destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold dementing—qualia-schema' respectively of prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension) as reflected from the perspective respectively of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism (as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension) are rather construed by the respective prior registry-worldviews/dimensions circularly as of their 'prior registry-worldview's/dimension's nondescript/ignorable void as of its ontologically-flawed dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema': and any such 'prior registry-worldview's/dimension's nondescript/ignorable void as of its ontologically-flawed dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema' can only veridically be conceptualised-and-analysed as of 'the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (ecstatic-existence prospective digression induced epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity) <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism' with regards to the transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in human epistemic-retotalising grasp of ecstatic-existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation', and so as of the relative-ontological-completeness prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument 'induced dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema as from its apriorising-psychologism/mental-schema implicated value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness' superseding of the relative-ontological-incompleteness prior registry-worldview's/dimension's edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument 'implied prior dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema which becomes prospectively a dementing—qualia-schema'(thus grasping the

'teleologically-determinative ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' of the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's meaningfulness so-construable as of its dementing-qualia-schema reflection of its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold);¶ as the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold is construed as a <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of the implied reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought, speaking of human-subpotency prospective lack of 'platonic anamnesis' (rather as of human- 'limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)')-construal-of- 'superseding-oneness-of-ontology' with respect to the prior pertinence of the 'organic-spirit of knowledge' over 'mechanical-knowledge', so-implied beyond the 'epochal literal mysticism' as naively analysed from their universalising-idealisation presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective, and noting as well here that the conceptual-patterning naivety of Platonism as merely prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is alien to Plato and the Socratic philosophers whose anamnesis rather speaks of originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation conceptualisation of their universalising-idealisation), as human-subpotency doesn't constrain 'the becoming of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier' as of the latter's transcendence-and-sublimity inducing implications such that ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,—to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> as from such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in restoring <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness, implies the prospective registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-completeness is of superseding value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness so-reflected as of 'the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (ecstatic-existence prospective digression induced epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity) <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism' induced 'prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-

so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance' over the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold 'presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness'/identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism induced 'temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance';¶ with the above reflecting the fact that originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation inducing of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation is rather a 'secondnatured positive-opportunism implied mechanical-knowledge' but then the very possibility for prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation inducing of prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation (as to when ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> from such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is implied), lies with the organic-knowledge reconstrual of anamnesis as of 'the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (ecstatic-existence prospective digression induced epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity) <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism' induced 'prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance';¶ and it is herein that the notion of construction-of-the-Self is central as to the implication that meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance involves 'direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology' in order for the upholding of anamnesis (as

to when ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> from such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is implied), as to the fact that with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives at its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot uphold/uptake the prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's meaningfulness-and-teleology as it rather engages with such prospective knowledge in complexification of its prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives which is alien to the requisite prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's parrhesiastic value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness implications;¶ hence the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of a prior registry-worldview's/dimension's destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold as its human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint emerges as of 'asceticism consciousness point-of-referencing projection (<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity) towards the prospective registry-worldview/dimension' eliciting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, wherein the ascetically implied metaphoricity as of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension, by its prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for the prospective construction-of-the-Self, induces 'value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology' thus overriding the 'prior registry-worldview's/dimension's nondescript/ignorable void as of its ontologically-flawed dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema' with regards to its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold, such that a <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives simply speaks of a registry-worldview's/dimension's

*<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of the ‘shiftiness-
of-the-Self’ whether as of trepidatious/warped/preclusive/occlusive
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-
constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-
as-flawed-epistemic-determinism*

non-presencing

*non-presencing—or–withdrawal—or–metaphysics-of-absence—or–
transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination
perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology as
to the transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation implications of difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-
epistemic-determinism; ¶ reflected as existence-potency-prospective-
digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation, -re-
perception, -re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness or existence-potency-
prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-
existential-reality; ¶ non-presencing speaks to the transcendental-
signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating that is ecstatic-existence as
phenomenologically reflecting existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal
‘both as signifier-as-to-transcending (speaking of human-subpotency
ontological-performance perspective of the changing transcending-and-
sublimity of existence reflected as to sublimating notional-
contiguity/epistemic-contiguity and desublimating notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity as of human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening- (<formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—
as-sublimating-withdrawal) implications) and signified-as-to-immanency
(speaking of ontological-contiguity perspective of the unchanging
immanency of existence as oneness-of-ontology as to the coherence
underlying the very possibility for construing-and-reconstruing of
intelligibility in existence)’ so-construed as reflexivity-in-ecstatic-
existence, and critically in this regards reductionist conceptions will
wrongly tend to imply ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-
to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-
the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ supersedes the
‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence’; ¶ this further explains why reductionisms (as
to their <formative>epistemic-totalising implications) fail to reflect non-
presencing as to the requisite human limited-mentation-capacity-
deepening- (<formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal) knowledge-reification gesturing and with such
reductionisms rather inducing presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness as to elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-
outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity poor and relic/artifactual
knowledge-reification gesturing that poorly contemplates of human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -
as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) implications, and so as
‘failing to override apriorising constitutedness with apriorising
conflatedness as the latter enables relative-ontological-completeness*

implications to be drawn' in keeping tab of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal 'both as signifier-as-to-transcending (speaking of human-subpotency ontological-performance perspective of the changing transcending-and-sublimity of existence reflected as to sublimating notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity and desublimating notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) implications) and signified-as-to-immanency (speaking of ontological-contiguity perspective of the unchanging immanency of existence as oneness-of-ontology as to the coherence underlying the very possibility for construing-and-reconstruing of intelligibility in existence)' so-construed as reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence;¶ the failure to adopt such a non-presencing apriorising conflatedness construal (underlined by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to existential-contextualising-contiguity 'implied <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation, -re-perception, -re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness of ontological-contiguity') is critically associated with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness academicism proliferation of isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning' articulated rather as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (wherein the knowledge-reification gesturing is simply construed 'out of idly/singly abstractable logical possibilities for such 'isms conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning' and not-or-poorly aspiring to portray the unchanging immanent-backdrop construable-and-reconstruable as of existential contextualising in ontological-contiguity in <formative>epistemic-totalising conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology') as to disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment, -failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> and thus with the 'ontologically-flawed implication that the absolute a priori is not construed as existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal' but instead any of such given isms—conceptualisations and associated reductionisms now substituting for the unchanging immanent-backdrop of existence-contextualising-contiguity as the absolute a priori of conceptualisation as of vague academicism proceduralisms in discretion/whim-of-thought, and so rather than a knowledge-reification gesturing of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) that starts-from-and-remains-in/is-of-epistemical-embeddedness-with existential-contextualising-contiguity (as to prospective knowledge-reification gesturing 'implied <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation, -re-perception, -re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness of ontological-contiguity') in construing of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint to be conceptually superseded/overcome in transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation as is the case with all true science/ontology so-reflected in their

historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (consider in this regards the apriorising conflatedness, in reflecting the unchanging immanent-backdrop of existence-contextualising-contiguity, of recurrent aspiration for ontological-contiguity across Galilean/Cartesian/Newtonian/Leibnizian physics to present day string-theory/loop-quantum-gravity/etc. as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, ever always being about conceptually superseding/overcoming the physics epistemic-conception prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in producing the ‘successive sublimating physics as successive <formative>epistemic-totalising conception of ontological-contiguity of physics across-the-times’ rather than an apriorising constitutedness disposition for the mere articulation of idle/single ‘isms conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning’ as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity lacking <formative>epistemic-totalising profound-and-contiguous knowledge-reification gesturing and in fact one of the most critical/challenging epistemic concern of physicists today given the increasing theoretical abstraction is in preempting such a development of a conceptualising that poorly aligns with the epistemic-totality of existential-contextualising-contiguity however difficult the available experimental possibilities for portraying prospective sublimation, and it should further be noted here that the successive sublimating physics across-the-times ‘are of complementary historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing and rather so as successive <formative>epistemic-totalising profound-and-contiguous knowledge-reification gesturings and ‘not any naïve shallowminded comparison of commonality of ‘isms conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning’ failing priorly to disambiguate the successive knowledge-reification gesturings across-the-times as preceding-and-framing any given concepts’ like failing to realise that the ‘notion of time in physics’ priorly speaks to different physics ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications’ across-the-times as to physics relative-ontological-completeness conception as from pre-Newtonian/Leibnizian notion of time, Newtonian/Leibnizian notion of time, Einsteinian notion of time up to present-day physics theories notion of time reflecting the epistemic-veracity that there is no sound concept and conceptualising without the ‘priorly projected ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity and as of the relative-ontological-completeness implied profoundness’ within which any such concept and conceptualising is articulated and ‘this effectively contrasts with such apriorising constitutedness disposition naïve shallowminded isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning’ that equates/level-down everything across space and time as to wrongly imply everything is of the same ontological-contiguity thus with a poor grasp of ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness

implications' and so 'as to a superficiality and inauthenticity that is patently incapable of construing underlying human thrownness-in-existence relevant human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint to be superseded and rather often directly/indirectly contravene/disregard such parrhesiastic insights' as so-often instigated with such idle/single 'isms—conceptualisations mere conceptual-patterning' in apriorising constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity and which in so doing do not satisfy foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to '<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>' with the consequence of failing/poorly reflecting 'the requisite ontologically-pertinent dynamic theoretical—conceptual—operant depth/profoundness for addressing subject-matters as epistemic-conceptions as to their given/defined human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint with respect to originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation'), with foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) operantly implying 'drawing out the full <formative>epistemic-totalising implications of assertions/claims/conceptualisations as of ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity such that there is hardly any notional-disjointing of the assertions/claims/conceptualisations as validating their ontological-veracity';¶ on the other hand, the 'knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications' of deconstruction, genealogy and other critical theory practices are meant to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology/conceptualisations by their derivation/delineation/disambiguation as from human epistemic-embeddedness in existence so-construed as thrownness (as to the phenomenological aspiration/possibility for overcoming imbued deficiency construed as metaphysics-of-presence as defining/given human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint by their originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation) in reflecting relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness implications of knowledge-reification gesturing and in many ways the poor appreciation of postmodern thought is very much associated with their critics

fundamentally poor grasp of the precedence of 'knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications' over mere apriorising constitutedness shallowminded articulation of conceptualisations with a poor sense of relative-ontological-completeness implications 'as so-exemplified with naïve truth relativism accusations as to the weirdly and wrongly implied posture that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) doesn't occur';¶ and the specific articulation herein by this author is rather of a profound 'knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications' as reflecting '-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>' prompted derivation/delineation/disambiguation of conceptualisations in apriorising-conflatedness-as-to-difference (over-and-undermining apriorising constitutedness-as-to-absolutising-identity) with regards to the conceptual 'overcoming of metaphysics-of-presence intermediating-ascriptivity or neutering of human meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising conceptualisation' (so-articulated from the 'deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneutering—referentialism or deascriptivity' as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective and in reflecting 'the temporal-to-intemporal-binarity of human ontological-performance at uninstitutionalised-thresholds' in the face of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint' and so-construed as human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor), so-underscored by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attribution-dialectics implied 'notional-symmetrisation-<as-to-symmetrisation-by-desymmetrisation-in-reflecting-dialectical-thinking-by-dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology> of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology' as to their 'aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology of human ontological-performance';¶ (as to 'human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology') in notionally/epistemically construing the ontological-performance of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-

withdrawal) implied successive registry-worldviews/dimensions translated as the various specifically given de-scalarising of the 'scalarity/immanency of existence's ontological-normalcy/postconvergence' (as to the specific neuterising/ascriptivities construed as specifically given 'human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>') and so-reflected respectively as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive de-scalarising', base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious de-scalarising', universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying de-scalarising' and positivism-procrypticism '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising de-scalarising' while paradoxically wrongly assuming (as to their <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) the ontological-performance of the 'scalarity/immanency of existence's ontological-normalcy/postconvergence' so-implied veridically as to the deneuterising/deascriptivity of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism scalarising';¶ (thus 'scalarising of human meaningfulness-and-teleology' effectively speaks of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence analysis as to non-presencing implications while 'de-scalarising of human meaningfulness-and-teleology' effectively speaks of epistemic-abnormalcy analysis as to the specifically given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness registry-worldview/dimension), and it should be noted as well that besides the defining de-scalarising of any specifically given registry-worldview/dimension as reference-of-thought epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology, the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as to their reference-of-thought-devolving further involve 'devolving de-scalarising and scalarising of human meaningfulness-and-teleology' (that is, de-scalarising as to epistemic-devolving_random-as-impulsive, epistemic-devolving_nominal-as-tendentious, epistemic-devolving_ordinal-as-qualifying, epistemic-devolving_intervalist-as-categorising and scalarising as to epistemic-devolving_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism) reflecting the manifest specifically given registry-worldview/dimension ontological-performance of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to 'human living-development—as-to-personality-development and human institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development', as rather so-devolving conjugatively under the specifically given and defining registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought de-scalarising as epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology implied 'human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology' (reflecting the ontological-veracity of 'human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordions at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating

historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’);¶ thus in the bigger scheme of things, the more thoroughly profound/fundamental deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought issue is about the ontological-contiguity (as of ‘- <formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>) of assertions/claims articulated in today’s intellectual muddlement institutional-being-and-craft ladened (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) with sophistic strategies of empty/vague process and pedantry, vague sensibility/decorum-drivenness, providing credence to frivolity over equanimity, emotional gimmickiness/manipulation as well as surreptitious practices of perfidious/double-dealing/betraying as to ‘dilutive/drowning and sabotaging imposturing/jumbling/sleight in undermining prospective genuine knowledge-reification’ for agenda-driven deceitful/dastardly/scheming purposes in proximity with deceptive supposedly objectively mediative institutions, and so as to underlying ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure inducing a social intellectual impotency undermining the supposed purpose of veridically cumulating/expanding the breadth of human knowledge as to an intellectual potency that never/hardly comes but for its institutional-being-and-craft human-subpotency agency (in disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>) substituting for and in many ways not exposed to the sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, so-associated with sycophantic beholdenness to socially dominant vested-interests/actors reflecting an underlying overall procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives;¶ as the evaluation of assertions/claims as to such a prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought projected ontological-contiguity overcoming procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) and strictly-defined as of ‘deprocrypticism originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’ so-reflected as of deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-

to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism' given 'relative <formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising—structuring/paradigming projection as of human messianic intemporal and secondnature social-optimisation instigative potency' at its given/defined institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception of 'the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal' (and so over prior positivism—procrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as 'mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules,-that-is-not-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism' given 'relative disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> as to prior descalarising—in-structuring/paradigming discretion/whim-of-thought of individuals-suboptimisation instigative potency as of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning' at its given/defined uninstitutionalised-threshold ontologically-deficient epistemic-conception of 'the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal'), with the 'deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)' peculiarly/uniquely differentiated from the 'positivism—procrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)' in that deprocrypticism as of its originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective construes of prospective knowledge-reification as of 'the full ontological implications of full human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to its deepest/most-profound foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)' thus speaking to deprocrypticism requisite structural/paradigmatic delineation of both the existentially contextualised 'sublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure underlying intemporal ontological-performance (as of dimensionality-of-

sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension projected apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism)’ and ‘desublimating ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure underlying temporal ontological-performance (as of dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation shallow/lack-of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension projected apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism)’ associated with any ‘deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospective knowledge-reification as ever always about preserving the ascendancy of organic-knowledge in superseding-and-overriding mechanical-knowledge (with the latter rather associated with <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasitic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) thus involving the anticipation of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance of prospective knowledge-reification imbued reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology;¶ and critically so, as to the fact that acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation rather speaks of ‘one long continuous whole of human originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as of notional-deprocrypticism’ which as guiding spirit no human prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation can pretend to ignore-and-override without falling into perversion of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to pedantry and/or sophistry by mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency enframing in gimmickiness/desublimation, as it underlies the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation imbued ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure with regards to the fact that by the inherently implied institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds of any given registry-worldview/dimension as reflecting the dementing perspective in shallower teleological depth ‘there is no neutrally sound knowledge in relative-ontological-incompleteness as to when prospective insight about the relative-ontological-incompleteness deficient ontological-performance existentially avails as reflecting prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ with prospective knowledge-reification in relative-ontological-completeness necessitatively about overriding relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure metaphoricity implications in transversality-of-disambiguated-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing such that any ontologically-flawed engagement as 'wrongly implying underlying logical-processing-or-logical-implication deficiency validating logical re-engagement' rather leads to the mere complexification of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation (as to its deficient ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments undermining the ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure) and so as analysing-and-accounting-for the instigative underlying 'ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality human solipsistic necessitative-drivenness' either as of 'parrhesiastic seeding-promise-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance-correspondence-with-the-full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity' or 'seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance' (as the latter conception with regards to the notional-deprocripticism of the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process reflects the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology is much 'more profoundly than just about projected reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, which at uninstitutionalised-thresholds actually involves <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, but speaks of instigated and reinstigated originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness' as to the fact that knowledge cannot be articulated to imply other human-beings are not warranted to project the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension arising from ontological-good-faith/authenticity but rather 'just responding mechanically to the untenable constraining of social universal-transparency of any prospective knowledge-reification as to positive-opportunism' as wrongly and seemingly implying that if such prospective knowledge-reification untenable constraining and positive-opportunism doesn't avail then the human-being is enabled/entitled for corresponding intellectual-and-moral irresponsibility notwithstanding the fact that the possibility for all prospective knowledge-reification arises as of ontological-good-faith/authenticity reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced sublimation-over-desublimation), and in many ways human cognitive confliction at uninstitutionalised-thresholds doesn't imply the given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness is the ontologically-veridical framing for reconstruing human ontological-performance even as it is the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism/mental-schema since it is fundamentally about overcoming the latter's <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of prospective

*secondnaturing institutionalisation as revealed when it turns away from inherent-and-genuine knowledge-reification into strategies of social-chainism/social-influence and effectively the possibility for all prospective human sublimation-over-desublimation rather implies the possibility for human solipsistic firstnature superseding and overriding of any given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness with outlier intemporal-disposition prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation (as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) and the corresponding social secondnaturing, as thus enabling and explaining the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with genuine-knowledge ever always about ‘adopting an uncompromising bluntness to solipsistic falsehood and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ as to its self-contained intemporal purpose as of the very defining tradition of all such historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing sublimation-over-desublimation so-construed as intellectualism with respect to the fact that there can’t be any ontology/science where any mortal by mere status and influence can be excepted directly or indirectly from ontological analysis implications as this then structurally/paradigmatically defines how the supposed ontology/science is bound to flop theoretically—conceptually—operantly (and in many ways explains the current crisis/usurpation of the social intellectual-function wherein socially dominant vested-interests/actors come to surreptitiously assume ascendance as to generalised social intellectual apathy that leads to the relegating of ‘true intellectualism’ into ‘expertising as a useful secondary adjunct’ to any whatever primary interest hence rendering the latter susceptible to perversion/impertinence/impotency and incapable of genuinely driving a specific or general human and social emancipatory vision) and this is particularly the case with an ontology/science that claims to construe of the pervasiveness of postlogism social implications as associated say with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in non-positivistic social-constructs or postlogism psychopathy social implications as to our positivism—procrypticism social-construct thus requiring that any such ontologically illegitimate perverted dynamics of social status and influence is necessarily trampled upon to structurally/paradigmatically preserve the possibility of an ontology/science and so notwithstanding any sophistic disposition to elicit
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag against the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension associated with all such prospective aporeticism superseding sublimation-over-desublimation;¶ in this respect, the ‘equalisation of all historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to the*

dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation is exactly what reflects acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as ‘one long continuous whole of human originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ (that precedes-and-defines registry-worldviews/dimensions mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising as to human-subpotency) as it is so-fundamentally tied down to ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure reflecting the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in the face of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, as to the fact that the intemporal-projection (driven as of ontological-good-faith/authenticity) associated with the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in respectively superseding prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism addressing/bound-to-address their given prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint are flipped-about mechanically as of mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising temporal-projection (driven as of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity) in respectively undermining the attainment of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism as to the fact that such temporal-projection associated with sophistic and pedantic tendencies are rather of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness relation with prior reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology originally meant to address prior human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint (as so-reflected with the sophists satisfaction with non-universalising sophistry in the face of Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation, medieval scholastics satisfaction with non-positivising pedantry in the face of budding-positivism as well as with today’s intellectual muddlement of poor knowledge-reification gesturing that fails ‘knowledge-reification gesturing in ontological-contiguity in reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity as to relative-ontological-completeness implications’ and for instance naively interprets enlightenment thinkers in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness terms while lacking the originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness for addressing our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint and wrongly and defectively decontextualising enlightenment thought into the present as of

*disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> that fail the notional-
deprocrypticism foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—
sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) operant test of
‘drawing out the full <formative>epistemic-totalising implications of
assertions/claims/conceptualisations as of ontological-contiguity in
reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity such that there is hardly
any notional-disjointing of the assertions/claims/conceptualisations as
validating their ontological-veracity’);¶ and to perfectly understand what
is meant by ‘equalisation of all historicity/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation—and—
aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to the dimensionality-of-
sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—
equalisation, the idea is that as of underlying maximalising-
recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for institutional-
cumulation/institutional-recomposure with regards to reference-of-
thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-
teleology implications had Socrates as typifying universalising-
idealisation Socratic philosophers been at the more profound human
limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-
as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) aporetic possibility for
prospective positivism/rational-empiricism as to existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal he would have acted as Descartes as typifying the
budding-positivists and likewise had Descartes and Socrates been at the
more profound human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal) aporetic possibility for prospective
deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as
articulated herein they would have adopted this same
deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
insight as to the scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence (as the underlying idea of notional-
deprocrypticism as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal speaks of ‘the
successive maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness as scalarisation for institutional-cumulation/institutional-
recomposure cross-generational levels of human limited-mentation-
capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—
as-sublimating-withdrawal)’ with regards to ‘reference-of-thought—and-
reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology so-
construed as of notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and so in the successive
foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as from non-rules of recurrent-
utter-uninstitutionalisation, rulemaking-over-non-rules of base-
institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation-directed-
rulemaking-over-non-rules of universalisation—non-
positivism/medievalism, positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of positivism—
procrypticism and preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-*

thought, -as-to- <formative> epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of deprocrypticism) and thus reflecting the human limited-mentation-capacity centrality of 'originariness-parrhesia, -as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure' as preceding-and-defining in addressing human-subpotency-aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint before-and-over any so-derived mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, -as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation with respect to the fact that ontological-pertinence rather priorly lies with the addressing of prospective human-subpotency-aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint (and this is the fundamental insight about all knowledge and philosophical interpretations as rather construed implicitly or explicitly as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in aporetically reflecting prospectively the ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure underlying human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<formative> epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) and so as superseding presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness which poor aporeticism hardly contemplates of such profound prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (<formative> epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) implications and rather adopting prior mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reflecting the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative> epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation), and their equalisation exactly implies that Descartes and budding-positivists and Socrates and universalising-idealisation Socratic philosophers are more profoundly construed more than just as of their mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition, -as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but are rather critically construed as to their 'parrhesiastic disposedness' with regards to their prospective aporeticism addressed in foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) and it is this that more profoundly informs their thought and make them ever always relevant as to their respective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in the overall human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (as the 'veracity of all prior human aporeticism self-surpassing of reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflection of the immanence of existence as the very same all

along' has ever always veridically been about attaining
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 but for human limited-mentation-capacity implications thus inducing the
 entailing development of 'the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
 aporeticism thresholds of existential apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
 rule' as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) towards originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-
 of-existence> as deprocrypticism in overcoming any relative presencing—
 absolutising-identitive-constitutedness' and so no different from say
 human aporeticism self-surpassing associated with construing what-
 matter-is-made-up-of as of the succession of such defining questioning and
 answers across registry-worldviews/dimensions even if just as with overall
 existence concerning overall human meaningfulness-and-teleology what-
 matter-is-made-up-of equally remains immanently the same all along but
 for human aporeticism implications of limited-mentation-capacity-
 deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal) pointing out that the veracity of the questioning
 and answers about what-matter-is-made-up-of by the Democrituses and
 others is veridically as of the prospective profoundness of such questioning
 and answers being wrestled with today as the sublimated modern day and
 future developments of physics and so as to the physics epistemic-
 conception human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) implied 'originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-
 of-existence> in overcoming any relative presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness'), and our own present 'originariness-
 parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
 acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-
 good-faith/authenticity—structure' is rather about not construing of their
 prior mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity
 failing to factor in their relative-ontological-incompleteness human
 limited-mentation-capacity aporetic context so as to falsely justify our
 present procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and then fail to
 address our own prospective aporetic context but rather lies in
 conceptualising how to reconstrue of their projected 'originariness-
 parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
 acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-
 good-faith/authenticity—structure' in the light of our present human
 limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-
 as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) aporetic context so-reflected
 as our prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint and this is what crucially
 explains the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection

perspective of analysis assumed herein as to our prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought aporeticism resolvable as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as a further human foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) with this insight pointing to ‘the unassailability/centrality across all times of human dimensionality-of-sublimating— <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation with regards to human knowledge-reification’ (given that later generations don’t need to reinvent from scratch the ontological-performance level achieved by the successive preceding generations as to institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure and can then redirect more critically their limited-mentation-capacity to further advance human self-surpassing to overcome prospective human aporeticism);¶ and this insight points out that human <formative>epistemic-causality is more fundamentally formative as to human projected ‘originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness as to the ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure’ and is a central conceptualisation for the deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment- (narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in undermining temporal distorting/undermining of prospective knowledge-reification categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology

notional-
contiguity/episte-
mic-contiguity-
<mentally-
aestheticised_dial-
ectical-thinking-
qualia-schema>

notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>- (in-mutual-edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, -whether-with-regards-to-mutual-relative-ontological-incompleteness-or-mutual-relative-ontological-completeness-(of-the-underlying-reference-of-thought-level), -notwithstanding-differing-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-ontological-performances-as-to-reference-of-thought-devolving-level-as-implying-differing-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring);¶ notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> (as of such mutual-edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) rather speaks to difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising;¶ and finally, as-of-the-epistemic-veracity-implications-for-knowledge-construal as implied with ‘the-specific-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>-of-ontological-contiguity’, notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> speaks-of-the-epistemic-normalcy-and-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-of-analysis

notional-
discontiguity/epist

notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>- (in-differing-relative-

emic-discontiguity	<p><i>ontological-incompleteness-and-relative-ontological-completeness-at-reference-of-thought-level-as-implying-differing-edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-fundamentally-implying-at-their-reference-of-thought-devolving-level-the-irrelevance-or-ontological-impertinence-of-the-relative-ontological-incompleteness-in-relation-to-the-relevance-or-ontological-veracity-of-the-relative-ontological-completeness-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring); ¶ notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> (as of such differing-relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-relative-ontological-completeness-at-reference-of-thought-level-as-implying-differing-edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) rather speaks to difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising; ¶ and finally, as-of-the-epistemic-veracity-implications-for-knowledge-construal as implied with ‘the-specific-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema>-of-ontological-contiguity’, notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>,-speaks-of-the-epistemic-abnormalcy-perspective</i></p>
ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity	<p><i>ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity-(as-to-manifest-or-induced-discrete/noncontiguous/incoherence-human-subpotency-epistemic-perspective-of-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity,-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-as-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness)</i></p>
ontological-commitment	<p><i>human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment—construed-as-of-existential-reality,-thusly-as-reifying-and-empowering-given-human-subpotency-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence-(with-human-‘historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’-(as-it-reflects-the-accrued-transcendence-and-sublimity-underlying-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process-so-constrained-by-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness)-construed-as-‘prospective-transcendence-and-sublimity percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as-to-social/institutional/conceptual-constructs formation/establishment/superseding—metaphoricity’,-and-so-as-of-relative-ontological-completeness-implications-of-nonextricatory-firstnatureness-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-in-‘prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>’-(beyond-and-superseding-wrongly-implied-‘prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>’-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-implications-of-extricatory-secondnatured-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness’)); ¶ critically the basis for human</i></p>

sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring as to human-subpotency potential for social formation, modes-of-living, language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence, cultural practices, etc. is rather as of ‘prospective transcendence-and-sublimity percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as-to-social/institutional/conceptual-constructs formation/establishment/superseding—metaphoricity’ with respect to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’, in the sense that human social, institutional and conceptual constructions (as to their projected ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction’) warrant that ‘the capacity to fulfil the prospective transcendence-and-sublimity function’ like prospective cure from the doctor, prospective technical transformation from the technician/engineer, prospective scientific breakthrough from the researcher, prospective social transformation from the social scientist/advocate/policymaker, etc. rather supersedes human prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> (as to its naïve pretence of mere logical convincing rather than prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implications) as the prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> is more of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought secondnatured institutionalisation derived from ‘prior reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced transcendence-and-sublimity out of prior human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’;¶ thus dialogical-equivalence as of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation (especially as prospectively susceptible at the uninstitutionalised-threshold to human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> induced <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) cannot substitute for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity as of prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as to prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> as rather tied/constrained to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, explaining why all prospective transcendence-and-sublimity are rather about breaking from prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-

aestheticisation;¶ and in this regards, the ontological-commitment significance of prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> rather arises as ‘a prospectively conflated possibility/invention’ as from prospective human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality wherein the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness for human reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology generation of ‘prospective base-institutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ out of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ‘prospective universalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ out of base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, ‘prospective positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ out of universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, and ‘prospective deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ out of positivism—procrypticism, and in all the above instances of ‘prospective transcendence-and-sublimity percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as-to-social/institutional/conceptual-constructs formation/establishment/superseding—metaphoricity’ actually rendered possible as of the successive prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> (and not the successive prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> respectively on the basis of ‘prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’, ‘prior base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’, ‘prior universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ or ‘prior positivism—procrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’);¶ and likewise the dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) choices (as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality) of the Socrates, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Darwins, Einsteins, etc. and as associated with corresponding human knowledge and scientific breakthroughs did not have any valid prior-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> but for the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity that could invent/made-possible the prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> and so as of their ‘prospective transcendence-and-sublimity percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as-to-social/institutional/conceptual-constructs formation/establishment/superseding—metaphoricity’;¶ human ontological-commitment as such implies that the doctor, researcher, technologist, etc. initiative is not critically about logically engaging the social framework in its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> but rather eliciting ‘prospective transcendence-and-sublimity percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as-to-social/institutional/conceptual-constructs formation/establishment/superseding—metaphoricity’ as to historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing and critically as of prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> in reflecting the underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment of the social as to ‘fulfilling the prospective transcendence-and-sublimity function’ like prospective cure from the doctor, prospective technical transformation from the technician/engineer, prospective scientific breakthrough from the researcher, prospective social transformation from the social scientist, etc. (but only as so-validated by the ontological-veracity of the manifest prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implications structurally/paradigmatically as upholding their deferential-formalisation-transference statuses or institutionally-and-socially surpassing-and-substituting-for prior deficient deferential-formalisation-transference statuses as to quackery, scamming, sophistry, etc.);¶ interestingly it is only as of the inventing/making-possible of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conception of genes-and-genetics, quantum mechanics, prospective greek-philosophy-out-of-sophistry, etc. that the prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> of the respective notions arose in the first place as before then such notions did not notionally/epistemically entail any prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> and likewise it is herein contended that prospective deprocrypticism rather notionally/epistemically entails its prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> beyond-and-superseding any pretence of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> as to our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness manifestation of positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so as of human reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness implied existence-potency-

ontological-
contiguity

prospective-digression-of- \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness

ontological-contiguity- $\{$ as-of-the-effectively-operant-implications-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring $\}$;¶ as-of-affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, while implying as of the same unaffirmation/deprojection/deassertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought;¶ and ontological-contiguity speaks-of-and-inherently-implies notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity- \langle mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema \rangle as from the perspective of relative-ontological-completeness in ontological-contiguity, for instance as of ‘the very same physics \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, the state of relative-ontological-completeness of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs with respect to the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness of classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs implies that the former perspective is of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity- \langle mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema \rangle since its perspective provides knowledge about itself and enlightens the interpretation of the latter as to its correctness-and-flaws, while the latter perspective is rather of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity- \langle mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema \rangle since it cannot grasp the overall picture of its own correctness-and-flaws and furthermore it is inherently in no position to analyse and account for the picture of the correctness-and-flaws of the former, and insightfully this equally explains why prospective notional-deprocrypticism perspective implying existence-potency-prospective-digression-of- \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism is the notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity- \langle mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema \rangle for articulating and explaining the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process since it is the most profound human state of relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought;¶ it should be noted here that there is no such thing as ‘ontological-discontiguity’ by the mere fact that ontology/intrinsic-reality/existence/existential-reality is the superseding–oneness-of-ontology and any ‘supposedly implied ontological incoherence’ (that may arise from human poor grasp of ontology/intrinsic-reality/existence/existential-

reality) is rather as of human reference-of-thought relatively deficient perception/construal that then actually speaks of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> just as human reference-of-thought relatively efficient perception/construal 'supposedly attaining ontological-contiguity' speaks of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>, likewise there is no such thing 'ontological-decadence' but rather 'epistemic-decadence', and going by the very same reasoning while there is 'ontological-normalcy' however there is no such thing as 'ontological-abnormalcy' but rather human 'epistemic-abnormalcy', and further there is no such thing as ontological-causality/metaphysical-causality as 'existence as of its inherent immanency is tautologically all the causation that there is as to its overall ontological-contiguity' and all the notion of causality that is relevant thereof is undissociable from human-subpotency epistemic-situation (as to human teleology so-construed as 'human phenomenal/manifest epistemic reflexivity in existence as ontological', reflected as of overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency-epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation) speaking of epistemic-causality as to human relative-ontological-completeness conflatedness implications, with the idea of ontological-causality/metaphysical-causality rather a confusion arising out of human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness;¶ interestingly it is important to grasp that 'ontology as of ontological-contiguity' is integrative of both notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> and notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> in the sense that 'existence is a full-potency that reflects the epistemic-conception of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in both their notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> and notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>' explaining why existence is rather tautologically construed as overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence (as epistemically-deficient and epistemically-efficient phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> ontological-performances in existence are part-and-parcel of existence 'with epistemic-deficiency rather speaking to phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> perspective of ontological-deficiency construal'), and it should be pointed out as well that 'existence's panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence is conceptually/theoretically exactly what is most profoundly of epistemic-normalcy and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence about existence' as starkly manifested with such epiphenomenon like quantum entanglement (even as 'classical interpretations about reality' superficially as of human conscious level of

epistemic-sufficiency-constitutedness' seem to overlook-the-reflexivity-or-wrongly-imply-the-non-reflexivity of existential sublimating manifestation reflected with the epistemic-conception of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>, failing to grasp that the ontological-veracity is one of transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity speaking of an 'imbricated/threaded/recomposuring reflexivity-connection between epistemicity and ontologisation of existential-phenomena-and-epiphenomena-subpotencies as to overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness') basically because there is nothing beyond existence and 'all phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies are epistemic situations that speak to the transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity that is existence' as 'there is no whole that is construable as existence and then beside that whole the epistemic-conception of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> of the said whole' but rather 'the full-potency of existence is integrative of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies in transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity as the whole' such that a full human epistemic construal of existential phenomena/manifestations should necessarily involve insight (as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency-epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation) about 'the specific human-subpotency in transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity in existence (just as of all other phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> of sufficiently relevant epistemic-conception)', and this is exactly what epistemically underlies the the construal of knowledge-reification as the 'coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness';¶ critically, (as from its notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> perspective of construal as human knowledge-reification and sublimation) ontological-contiguity implied ontological-normalcy/postconvergence thus reflects that what is central-and-defining is human notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> as of its formativeness/formative-existential-process (that is as of notional/epistemic lack of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>), so-construable as to the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency-epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation with regards to 'varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency-aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-existentialism-form-factor', and this then explains

the defective ontological-performance of all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness meaningfulness-and-teleology as structurally/paradigmatically (as to ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of mental-aestheticisation induced level of human notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>) tied down to underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness of a registry-worldview's/dimension's edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology in existence and thereof the social dynamics of the derived temporal manifestations of postlogism/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in situations as to social-stake-contention-or-confliction

ontological-
contiguity—of-
the-human-
institutionalisa-
tion-process

ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (as of its '-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>'), speaks of overall philosophical depth of contemplation as to 'coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness' as 'a deflating-and-unifying conception of human ontological-performance across prior/present/prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing' as 'true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology', reflecting human underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment;¶ wherein such a conception 'deflates-and-unifies-by-its-more-profound-explication all hitherto philosophical ideas and insights as well as raising up questions-of-coherence-beyond-the-prism-of-enframed-traditional-thinking' as from 'relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness (renewing edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, as of apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework-or-narrative-framework) induced <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of knowledge-reification gesturing';¶ so-construed as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism or protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism', thus providing 'a seeding-level of philosophical meaningfulness-and-teleology that overcomes human-subpotency emotional-involvement and

institutional enframing', and can enable the social domain to truly attain the same ontological-depth of operant construal of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as is sought in the natural sciences, given that the 'conflatedness-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity-as-of-<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity knowledge-reification' is herein explicitly articulated with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process just as it is rather implicitly reflected in the natural sciences and as of yet is hardly/poorly countenance in the social tradition which 'tends to be lost in a maze of constitutedness as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity ending up in its very own <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology that in many ways (as of our present positivism-procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension) increasingly amalgates in its practice knowledge-reification with social/media-driven influence and is poorly discriminating with <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of a sophistic/pedantic inclination, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought';¶ the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as such is reflexive of human-subpotency-aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-existentialism-form-factor as of the structural/paradigmatic accordioneing implications of 'human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in eliciting the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing possibility for prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance as construction-of-the-Self' and 'huma <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in eliciting the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as shiftiness-of-the-Self' as generating, by the successive psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of human reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology (so-construed as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics), the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation,

ontological-
dementia/diale
ctical-
dementia—
stranding/attributi
ve-dialectics

*universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, our positivism—
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and prospectively
deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought*

*ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia—stranding/attributive-
dialectics, -as-to- ‘prior-dementing—qualia-schema’ -and- ‘prospective-
dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema’ -{rescheduling-of-placeholder-
setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
teleology; ¶ as-human- ‘limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal)’ -construal-of- ‘superseding—oneness-of-ontology’ -in-
successive-registryworldviews/dimensions-uninstitutionalised-threshold-
superseding-or-suprastructuring}; ¶ as so-implied with respect to the
ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia—stranding/attributive-
dialectics of human reference-of-thought (as the reference-of-thought is
the ‘superseding-axiomatic-construct structuring/paradigming of all other
devolving axiomatic-constructs’, and structurally/paradigmatically
underlies as of successive ontological-dementia/dialectical-
dementia—stranding/attributive-dialectics of human reference-of-
thought the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process) and ‘the operative/phronetic/practical ontological-
dementia/dialectical-dementia—stranding/attributive-dialectics of
reference-of-thought-devolving’ (as of reference-of-thought ‘implied level
of non-disjointing/notional-deprocrypticism’ induced foregrounding—
entailment- {narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal} meaningfulness-and-teleology as derivative axiomatic-
constructs from overcoming/superseding human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint), and in both reference-of-
thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-
teleology frames as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal) grasp of ecstatic-existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-
conceptualisation); ¶ and as of human aestheticisation—and-
aestheticisation-towards-ontology in inducing ‘both meaningfulness-and-
teleology and its existentially incipient metaphoricity’ (as to
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of conceptualisation),
ontological-dementia/dialectical-dementia—stranding/attributive-
dialectics is metaphoricitically-and-meaningfully reflected as the human
mental-aestheticisation—architectonically-consigning—aestheticised-
perceptibility-and-disposition that underlies
‘edginess/incisiveness/astuteness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
as to dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-
attribution and dementing—qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-
attribution and then their mutually-reinfusing-attributive-possibilities, -for-
’ -<formative>epistemic-totalising-pseudoconflation/conflation-of-human-
limited-mentation-capacity’ -as-to-correspondingly-ensuing—
desublimating-or-sublimating-mental-aestheticisation-representation (with
regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-
restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living, -institutionalising, -*

	<i>and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’)</i>
ontological-good-faith/authenticity	<i>ontological-good-faith/authenticity- {as-to-the-nondiscrete/contiguous/coherence-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic-perspective-of-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-reflecting-ontological-contiguity, -in-nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought-as-of-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness}</i>
ontologically-hegemonising-narrative/narrativity/notional-deprocrypticism-narrative/totalitative-aspiring	<i>ontologically-hegemonising-narrative/narrativity/notional-deprocrypticism-narrative/totalitative-aspiring-or- ‘hegemonising-intemporal-as-ontological-narrative-metaphoricity-as-of-ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ - {ontologically-driven construal as of correspondingly profound supposedly coherent ontological-commitment underlying any society/social-setup conventioning as so reflected by its ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, which is then enabling for critical prospective metaphoricity ontological-veracity implications as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness given the absolute primacy of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation, -re-perception, -re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness over human-subpotency as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications, -for-explicating-ontological-contiguity}</i>
ontological-performance	<i>ontological-performance-including-virtue-as-ontology of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as to epistemic-veracity of conception-and-articulation in reflection of ‘existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as the absolute a priori going by its ecstatic singularity’, that is, epistemic-veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology supposedly coherent ontological-commitment;¶ with meaningfulness-and-teleology construed epistemically in reflecting the human subject ‘level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as from the epistemic perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation, -re-perception, -re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism, and the further operant reference-of-thought-devolving of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of any such given reference-of-thought existential-contextualising-contiguity instantiations of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring temporal-to-intemporal meaningfulness-and-teleology</i>
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-	<i>ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework / totalitative-accruing—relative-cause-and-effect-predicative-effectivity—sublimation- {as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} / operatives-of-ontologically-</i>

hegemonising-narrative: implicating- 'the-specific-human-subpotency-panintelligibility-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence'-as-of-its-knowledge-reifying-and-empowering-conflatedness-construal-of-existence/intrinsic-reality-and-so-reflected-as-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity-(as-the-panintelligibility-insight-about-ecstatic-existence-epistemically-deflates- 'existence-in-existence-constitutedness-construal')-(this speaks to the fact that any implied meaningfulness-and-teleology (as knowledge-reification) 'epistemic-veracity as well as its induced human empowerment for transcendence-and-sublimity/emancipation' can only arise structurally/paradigmatically as of its inherent supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as so-reflected in ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework—so-construed-as-from-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic-perspective / notional_projective-perspective-of-conceptualisation/totalitative-accruing—relative-cause-and-effect-predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) / operatives-of-ontologically-hegemonising-narrative;¶ with the result that vague articulations of 'supposed knowledge-reification' out of this framework are rather epistemically-impertinent and ineffectual given their elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity);¶ insightfully, the inherent human epistemic relation to ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy>, implies that human conception of causality inherently 'is-not-of/not-ontological' but rather 'is-as-of/is-epistemic' about ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier, and this explains the conception of causality herein as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as conflating towards the inherent ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier as from human-subpotency epistemic-abnormalcy to epistemic-normalcy as human-subpotency strives to converge-as-construing to ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier as of the projective—totalitative-implications of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construed as causality;¶ wherein for instance the appraisal of 'health epiphenomenon of existence' as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ranging from perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen, perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period, perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor, perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation, and perceptivity-as-of-factoring-in-socioeconomic,-education,-information,-environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-delivery reflects the 'epistemic-veracity of human conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising' towards construing the ontological-

normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier speaking of 'ontological-primemover-totalitative-framework as causality as of construction', whereas a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness will naively equate any one of the registry-worldview's/dimension's given perceptivity of 'health epiphenomenon of existence' in which it projects-mentally-by-its-reference-of-thought as the 'absolute basis for construing, defining and refining the conception of causality' failing to factor-in that it is rather in an 'epistemic situation as of epistemic-abnormalcy in relative-ontological-incompleteness' requiring not such a constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing but rather a conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in relative-ontological-completeness in reflecting the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier (this ontological-primemovers-totalitative-implications insight about causality as reflected with the health epiphenomenon can be extended to all domains construed as for-human-studies/for-human-constructs for the simple reason that all such domains are of 'epistemically manifest historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in existential-contextualising-contiguity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)');¶ and this explains why a registry-worldview/dimension is a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> with the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness just as well aspiring for progress just as the state of relative-ontological-completeness but the former failing to grasp that progress structurally/paradigmatically arises rather by a change of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology in existence, such that even such budding positivists like Newton or Descartes while making breakthroughs as of positivism/rational-empiricism are still caught up in 'reasoning as of the old' non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing respectively with Newton's interests in alchemy and in the case of Descartes lingering religious sacrality/inviolability influence/grip on his thoughts;¶ causality as herein construed as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework can thus be understood as the 'structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness' as so constructively implied herein, as to the reality that 'a traditional conception of causality as if human-subpotency is constituting the possibility for causations in existence' is herein construed as ontologically-flawed as it fails to reflect that existence is already a given and the very exercise of 'human-subpotency construal of causation is one of conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing about the already given existence'

and so as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation, speaking to the fact that existence is rather about ecstatic reflexivity as all phenomena/manifestations in existence (so-construed as phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>) are as of their specifically/notionally enabled reifying and empowering;¶ finally it is just as important to grasp also here that the ‘articulation as human-causative-construction’ of the notions of ‘temporal individuations or temporal-dispositions’ and ‘intemporal individuation or intemporal disposition’ are rather conceived epistemically as of their structural/paradigmatic implications from the perspective of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier and thus are construed as of their ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’, reflecting a human-causative-construction conception in conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing about existence as ontologically-veridical (as it is the ‘totalitative epistemic/notional_projective-perspective’ that points out the veridical conception of causation) and so over a traditional reflex construal of human causation in constitutedness as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (wherein for instance with regards to prospective human-causative-construction, as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation, prospective aetiologisation/ontological-escalation say with respect to a temporal-disposition for accusing others of sorcery in a social-setup cognisant-and-integrative of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in conjugation and protraction of other temporal dispositions, speaks to the structural/paradigmatic implications of ‘non-positivism notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ induced vices-and-impediments as destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance requiring prospective intemporal-disposition projection as of the ‘specific notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of positivism/rational-empiricism’ ontological-performance as prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance, and this fundamental conception of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation applies in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with respect to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, including prospectively say as of our present positivism—procrypticism requiring the structural/paradigmatic implications of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought aetiologisation/ontological-escalation)

perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought	<i>perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-(construed-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binariness-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-reconceptualised-rather-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought)</i>
perversion-of-reference-of-thought	<i>perversion-of-reference-of-thought-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought)</i>
positive-opportunism	<i>positive-opportunism speaks to the fact that unlike is the case with intemporal solipsistic constructs, 'suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as deterministic validation of ontological-veracity is never a relevant element for prospective knowledge-reification' given that the underpinning—suprasocial-construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected in any social-setup institutionally is rather 'a secondnatured/habituated institutionalisation construct as from deferential-formalisation-transference' arising from the 'untenable existentially constraining knowledge-reifying and empowering reflexivity sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness induced metaphoricity from <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in solipsistic transversality', and thus reflecting the ontological-veracity that any such suprasocial framework is not the inherently relevant basis for prospective knowledge-reification as of 'a convincing of human-subpotency' but rather what is relevant is 'the pertinence of its underlying deferential-formalisation-transference-as-non-sophistic' and/or susceptibility to prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness induced metaphoricity as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment and so validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with respect to 'adhering to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness implications', for prospective deferential-formalisation-transference suprasocial meaningfulness-and-teleology to arise;¶ as the fact is underpinning—suprasocial-constructs are rather afterthought/reasoning-from-results as for instance it is not the inherent budding positivists meaningfulness-and-teleology that induced a social transformation into positivist thinking but rather the 'accruing constraining effect on existence' of such budding positivism instigated positivist and liberal meaningfulness-and-teleology that then induced its social adoption later on as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction-with-</i>

regards-to-rationalising-the-benefits-of-the-world-as-of-technical,-well-being,-health-and-social-development-implications, as 'underpinning—suprasocial-constructs remain beholden to their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness framework of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness' as <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void' -with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> with poor nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought without such manifest positive-opportunism and the possibility for transcendence-and-sublimity can only arise as of untenable prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness constraining relative-ontological-completeness framework edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in its cross-generational transformative effect even as its initial instigation doesn't elicit immediate positive-opportunism as of its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming- 'notionally-collateralising-protohumanity' -to- 'attain-sublimating-humanity' ,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void' -with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) explaining the inevitable/inherent conflictedness to such budding transformative stances as articulated by the Socrates, Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, and relevant 'prophesiers of antiquity as philosophers', with the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity that any given suprasocial framework is inherently of 'epistemically underdeterminative contemplation for ontologically and intellectually assessing its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity' as the suprasocial mathetic/motified/thrownd state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is of epistemically underdeterminative contemplation as of its <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intellectually gauging about prospective base-institutionalisation, and likewise base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation with regards to universalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism with regards to positivism, and prospectively our positivism—procrypticism with

regards to deprocrypticism as in all such cases the suprasocial and <formative> wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology inclination is in an epistememic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its 'shiftiness-of-the-Self' whether as of trepidatious/warped/preclusive/occlusive identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, and this is exactly what renders all such transcendence-and-sublimity rather as of 'intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning' involving the 'displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject induced as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics' as to the fact that it is more critically 'a matter of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure' by 'projecting of the transcending of the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of reference-of-thought as of 'the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (ecstatic-existence prospective digression induced epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity) <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism' explaining why all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions sense-of-progress is foiled since such sense-of-progress is wrongly ever along the same line of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation so-construed as pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness whereas in effect progress rather occurs by the 'unshackling of any such reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation towards better-and-better existential reflection of the underlying parrhesiastic seeding-promise-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance-correspondence-with-the-full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity' speaking rather to their relative-ontological-incompleteness of reference-of-thought/psyche that has to be 'addressed psychoanalytically before engaging in prospective knowledge-reification'

postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'

postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'-with-'successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci'-construed-as-'deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts'-(construed-as-of-slanted-'unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought'-for-the-perversion-of-reference-of-thought;¶and-so-to-avoid-wrongly-validating-the-reference-of-thought-elements-as-veridical-and-then-wrongly-implying-engaging-with-in-logical-processing-or-logical-implication)

postlogism or postlogism-as-of- non-conviction	<i>postlogism-as-of-non-conviction- (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes- existentially-veridical-logical-dueness)</i>
postlogism/psych opathy-as-of- dialectically- dementing	<i>postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing- (as-of-lower- threshold-in-failing-dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological- completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, -with- 'slanting- qualia-schema' -manifested-overtly-at-childhood-psychopathy- destructuring-threshold-but-susceptible-to-be-wrongly-construed-as- 'dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema' -at-covert-adulthood-psychopathy- destructuring-threshold-and-as-the-latter-induces-conjugated-postlogism- destructuring-threshold)</i>
prelogism or prelogism-as-of- conviction	<i>prelogism-as-of-conviction- (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness- precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at)</i>
presencing or presencing— absolutising- identitive- constitutedness	<i>presencing / metaphysics-of-presence / ordinary-nontranscendental- reasoning / presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness / presencing-epistemically-enframed-encumbering-of-ontology-elucidation / pseudoconflation perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to identitive-constitutedness-as- epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic- determinism; ¶ with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness fundamentally arising as to the inadequacy of human-subpotency to fully grasp existence/ontological-veracity in reflection of human thrownness-in- existence as to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity (inducing presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing- syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions relative-ontological-incompleteness— apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologisms) such that without this issue of human limited-mentation-capacity then the human epistemic- projection of meaningfulness-and-teleology will fully grasp existence/ontological-veracity as so implied as from the prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought perspective of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (metaphorically reflected by the prospective deprocrypticism— apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative- effectivity—sublimation- (as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)), and effective human ontological-performance as to human limited-mentation- capacity can thus be construed-and-assessed as from the so-defining deprocrypticism perspective in reflecting the successive defining aporeticisms of the varying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing— ontologically-deficient human epistemic-projection of meaningfulness- and-teleology (underlined by the successive registry- worldviews/dimensions given presencing—absolutising-identitive- constitutedness in want of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative- rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness) as of the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation- process; ¶ with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness</i>

reflected as to 'discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity' of human meaningfulness-and-teleology of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as poorly amenable to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of- \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (so-arising as to 'human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening- \langle as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation \rangle of ontological-performance as undermining prospective ontological-veracity' so-reflected with regards to human-subpotency prospectively implied epistemic-abnormalcy construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness epistemic projection, in contrast to the scalarity/immanency of existence's ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as 'bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing-or-withdrawal-or-metaphysics-of-absence-or-transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination');¶ with the implication that more than just a question of dominance/vested-interest—drivenness- \langle as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation \rangle , 'presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of social-vestedness/normativity' (taking account of the \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising nature of human meaningfulness-and-teleology) refers to the overall construct of human meaningfulness-and-teleology (as manifested variously by all individuals within any given registry-worldview/dimension) assuming an \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with respect to prospective ontological-veracity sublimation possibilities, as to the fact that the priorly induced 'human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology' structurally/paradigmatically defines (given the already inculcated 'presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of social-vestedness/normativity') the possibility for re-engaging with ontological-veracity for prospective sublimation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so-reflected by the fact that any given registry-worldview/dimension operates on the basis of a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness 'supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence' whereas in reality 'human instigated meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance capacity' (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective-perspective) is rather practically 'an \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising signposting exercise' operating on the overall basis of the registry-worldview's/dimension's 'social-construct \langle formative \rangle epistemic-totalising given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnaturing' when it comes to social-stake-contention-or-confliction;¶ and as from the overall human aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology frame, 'presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of social-vestedness/normativity' thus speaks

*of human-subpotency beholdening-becoming—distortive-
 originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-
 mental-aestheticising and so undermining the bechancing-becoming—
 originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-
 aestheticising as to the scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence as ‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing—
 or—withdrawal—or—metaphysics-of-absence—or—transcendental-reasoning-
 of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’*

procrypticism or
 disjointedness-as-
 of-reference-of-
 thought *procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-(‘disjointedness-
 as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-in-arrogation,-out-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context,-construed-as-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-
 performance-caricaturing, so-construed by its ontologically-perspectival-
 degraded-as-decentered/dementing-teleological-differentiation-as-of-
 subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’)*

prospective-
 apriorising/axiom
 atising/referencin
 g—dialogical-
 equivalence-<as-
 superseding-
 logical-basis> *prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-
 <as-superseding-logical-basis>-<as-from-prospectively-construed-
 reoriginariness/reorigination>;¶ as-dialogical-equivalence-arising-only-
 after-secondnaturing/education-to-prospective-transcendence-and-
 sublimity*

prior-
 apriorising/axiom
 atising/referencin
 g—dialogical-
 equivalence-<as-
 superseded-
 logical-basis> *prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-
 superseded-logical-basis>-<as-from-prospectively-construed-distorted-
 originariness/distorted-origination>*

reference-of-
 thought *reference-of-thought-(registry/anchoring-of-meaning/meaningful-
 reference/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview
 reflected-as-of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’)
 construed as projected-or-anticipated-grandest-existential-axiomatic-
 construct;¶ the reference-of-thought speaks to ‘referencing of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and reference herein is underlined by both
 reference-of-thought (so-construed as human <formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating backdrop for constructively setting-
 up the prospect of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the
 projected apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism) and
 reference-of-thought-devolving (so-construed as to human becoming
 existential-instantiations effective delineating of human meaningfulness-
 and-teleology anchored upon the reference-of-thought backdrop of overall
 conceptualisation as to overall reference of meaningfulness-and-teleology
 and so for articulating devolving-conceptualisations as devolving
 axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology), with reference*

herein thus implying relative-ontological-completeness implications as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) (and this conception of reference is differs from a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective ‘of referencing existence in absolute identitive terms’ which fail to project the requisite epistemic insight as to the sublimating implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) underlined by its <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness associated with the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to its difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism and so with regards to ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’ so-reflected as from originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>)

reference-of-
thought-devolving

reference-of-thought-as-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness

registry-
worldview’s/dime
nsion’s-
uninstitutionalised
-threshold-defect-
<as-Being-or-
ontological-or-
existential-
defect>

registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>-<with-regards-to-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-given-structural/paradigmatic-denaturing-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-to-its-given-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance-defect,-as-defined-placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology>

reification

reification is teleologically reflected as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, as reification arises as of the structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality potentiative-aspiration for prospective relative-ontological-completeness as from prior relative-ontological-incompleteness and so with regards to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and implies the structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness construed as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness construed as incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness, wherein prospective relative-ontological-completeness is a reified/elucidated-as-of-more-profound construal overlooking/superseding

the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as a dereified/poorly-elucidated-as-of-more-shallow construal;¶ in other words, reification is about edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument resetting of the <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology purview to the prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal).

relative-
ontological-
completeness

prospective antiakrasiatic—relative-ontological-completeness

relative-
ontological-
incompleteness

prior akrasiatic—relative-ontological-incompleteness

relative-
ontological-
incompleteness
and relative-
ontological-
completeness
reference-of-
thought
implications

relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications reflect reference-of-thought-construed-ontological-veridicality-as-so-determined-by-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and speaks to the fundamental edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument meaningfulness-and-teleology implications as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) (so poorly recognised as from presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective that by 'elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity develop an ontologically-flawed overall absolutising perspective of construal of existence' by so-projecting of 'an underlying absolute intelligibility framework' that supposedly supersedes existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, with the consequence that such an ontologically-deficient knowledge-reification framework gesturing goes on to analyse sophisticated thought not making the same mistake as supposedly ontologically-flawed as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness instigated paradoxical criticism of relativity), factoring in that 'existence is not beholdening to human-subpotency' as to when the human projects any edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which needs to be validated as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal thus the conception of relative-ontological-completeness speaking rather of the validative pertinence imparted by existence and so relatively (with regards to registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought) as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to prospective deprocrypticism edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (whereas the presencing—absolutising-

identitive-constitutedness perspective by equating/leveling-down everything across space and time as to wrongly imply everything is of the same ontological-contiguity in absolute terms as to its epistemic lack of projective insights ‘will naively equate in absolution such a relative-ontological-completeness projective insight about the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to its difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ with say Ancient sophists non-universalising meaningfulness-and-teleology or basically unintelligible and so since it wrongly operates on the basis that its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective is supposedly of absolutely profound knowledge-reification gesturing); ¶ and operantly relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications refers to epistemic-veracity for knowledge-reification/ontological-veracity rather construed as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) induced ‘given axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of ‘affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of prospective relative-ontological-completeness’—by—‘unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness’ edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and so over the epistemic-impertinence and flawed approach of ‘atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness conception as knowledge-reification/ontological-veracity’

shiftiness-of-the-Self

shiftiness-of-the-Self as of mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation enframing dereifying-gesturing-⟨as of the defined registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘reference-of-thought existential-contextualising-contiguity presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness at its uninstitutionalised-threshold,-as-of-its-specific-immediacy-enframing’ as-trepidating/warping/precluding/occluding-as-to-notional-procrypticism ‘respectively as its so-shifty-defined apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’⟩

singularisation

‘epistemically-immanentized’-as-of-internal-necessity-and-edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument; ¶ as-of-apriorising-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence singularisation-⟨operantly-construed-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness/preempting-disjointing/as-internal-coherencing⟩; ¶ and thus singularisation is construed ‘as from <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—

implications, -for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness' rather as 'dialectical-thinking representation', with singularisation so-induced by 'prospective parrhesiastic-aestheticisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema', reflecting the contrastive apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of 'prospective dialectical-thinking intemporal parrhesiastic-aestheticisation induced reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation' undermining/dementing 'prior dialectically-dementing temporal underpinning—suprasocial-construct, -<formative> wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, -and-sophistry reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought' (with the implication that such 'prospectively induced singularisation is not really meaning but rather metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation with regards to the prior dialectically-dementing temporal underpinning—suprasocial-construct, -<formative> wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, -and-sophistry reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought', say for instance with regards to the structural/paradigmatic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implications of a God-of-plane type of assertion by a non-positivism social-setup speaking of its deficient prior-temporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation so-reflected-in-its-non-positivism-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition-that-is-not-positivistic/rational-empiricistic, as meaning rather requires that such a non-positivism social-setup operates a positivism/rational-empiricism social-setup specific edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and thus it is metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation because the non-positivism social-setup rather enters into 'a cross-generational non-positivism pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework' with the 'prospective metaphoricity as positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology', over which its pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness is cross-generationally involved-as-of-a-fooling-about-exercise in 'an internal parrhesiastic-aestheticisation transitioning accommodation towards positivism/rational-

	<p><i>empiricism so-induced by the positive-opportunism constraint of prospective positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology' as so empirically verifiable historically with regards to metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation induced transitioning as from relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought towards relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and this reality should equally prospectively be reflected with regards to our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness positivism—procrypticism prospective integration of deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology effectively rather implies metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation and not meaning to our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness positivism—procrypticism as we rather enter into a pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of our apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework' with the prospective metaphoricity—as-event-of-prospective-intemporal-parrhesiastic-aestheticisation as deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology)</i></p>
socially-functional-and-accordant	<p><i>socially-functional-and-accordant- (construed-in-terms-of- 'least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold'-and-not- 'maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation';¶ as-the-transdimensional/transcendental-dichotomy-of-ontologically-unsound-and-sound-shades-of-apparently-the-same-reference-of-thought-(so-disambiguated-as-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context))</i></p>
storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration	<p><i>storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration- (as-of- 'ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance')</i></p>
subknowledging	<p><i>subknowledging- (dementing-as-if-of-ontologically-veridical-sound-thought)</i></p>
sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence	<p><i>sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence- <so-construed-as-the-premeaningfulness/preframing-that-enables- 'foregrounding—entailment-as-reflecting-ontological-contiguity-and-thus-as-of-ontology/science', -and-so-over- 'merely-analogised-or-dialecticised-or-any-elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity'-induced-disparateness-of-conceptualisation-implied-unforegrounding-disentailment-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity-and-thus-not-as-of-ontology/science></i></p>
temporality	<p><i>temporality / shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-</i></p>

teleology/ontologically-perverting-immediacy-behaviour,-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold,-as-of-inherently-determinable-apriorising-teleological-thresholding-as-teleological-framework-or-narrative-framework / perversion-of-categorical-imperatives-or-axioms-or-registry-teleology

teleology

teleology speaks to 'phenomenal/manifest epistemic reflexivity in existence as ontological', and so as to any given phenomenal/manifest_subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence;¶ and teleology is thus the cognate to coherent intelligibility articulation of phenomena as to existential-reality, given that 'all phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> are epistemic situations that speak to the transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity that is existence' as 'there is no whole that is construable as existence and then beside that whole the epistemic-conception of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> of the said whole' but rather 'the full-potency of existence is epistemically integrative of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as the whole';¶ the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective-perspective of ontological-contiguity (as the implied 'full epistemic coherence of existence' as to overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness) inherently explains 'the specific decoherencing-effect of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>', wherein 'phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in relatively shallow <formative>epistemic-totalising mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition in existence' and 'phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in relatively deeper <formative>epistemic-totalising mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition in existence' are of a correspondingly shallow teleological-depth and deeper teleological-depth in the full-potency of existence, thusly reflecting the conflatedness epistemic-conception of existence as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence;¶ teleology as implied with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process 'as the cognate to coherent intelligibility articulation of human registry-worldviews/dimensions induced meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed as teleological-inflections of meaningfulness' rather speaks to 'scalarity/immanency of existence's ontological-normalcy/postconvergence' perspective as reflecting prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> and 'human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>' perspective as reflecting notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> (that is, as to notional-symmetrisation-<as-to-

symmetrisation-by-desymmetrisation-in-reflecting-dialectical-thinking-by-dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology>); ¶ with the implication that from an originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> epistemic-conception human meaningfulness has a latent structural/paradigmatic inherent teleology as to dialectical-thinking perspective (projecting a deeper teleological-depth) or dementing perspective (projecting a shallower teleological-depth), as without such an originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> epistemic-conception disambiguation of human meaningfulness as to dialectical-thinking perspective deeper teleological-depth or dementing perspective shallower teleological-depth, then human meaningfulness will wrongly/uninsightfully be construed as to the inherent presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in wrongly implying no ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness’ implications of human meaningfulness; ¶ thus the implied teleology of any given registry-worldview/dimension as to its reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology (as reflecting the registry-worldview/dimension human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening- (formative>epistemic-totalisingly, -as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) level) speaks to the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications, -for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds structurally/paradigmatically imbued ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments, and in this regards the ‘inordinary contemplation about any given registry-worldview/dimension dementing perspective shallower teleological-depth’ (as to its uninstitutionalised-threshold implied notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>) can be so-conceptualised as from the originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective ‘reflecting the meaningfulness-and-teleology contiguity of iterative-looping-narrations at any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold so-construed as uttered as of its specific notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontological-performance’ (as to the fact that with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisation-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds are ‘successive teleological-inflections of meaningfulness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—conceptualisation for their existential-instantiations aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring’ wherein the

teleological-inflection state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is 'structurally/paradigmatically cognisant-and-integrative-<as-to-its-notional-disjointing-imbued-dementing-qualia-schema> of failing non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidentated-or-random-mental-disposition', the teleological-inflection state of base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation while 'adhering to rulemaking-over-non-rules is structurally/paradigmatically cognisant-and-integrative-<as-to-its-notional-disjointing-imbued-dementing-qualia-schema> of failing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules', the teleological-inflection state of universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism while 'adhering to universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules is structurally/paradigmatically cognisant-and-integrative-<as-to-its-notional-disjointing-imbued-dementing-qualia-schema> of failing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules', and with the teleological-inflection state of positivism-procrypticism while 'adhering to positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules is structurally/paradigmatically cognisant-and-integrative-<as-to-its-notional-disjointing-imbued-dementing-qualia-schema> of failing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules')

transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism

transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism- (construed as 'reducing temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction)

transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing

transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—or-mutually-transverse-unintelligibility—or-logical-incongruence-<as-to-affirmation-of-relative-ontological-completeness-dialectical-thinking-meaningfulness-and-teleology-over-unaffirmation-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dementing-meaningfulness-and-teleology>: transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing involves the epistemic construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of 'existence-

potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ construed as knowledge-reification gesturing, and so over a human ordinary <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of- ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-reflex to construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘human-subpotency enframing pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness of its secondnatured institutionalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold’ thus exposing such meaningfulness-and-teleology to human <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which is exactly what needs to be superseded as of human developing self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity to arise as of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing induced reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, such that the notion of prospective human value and aspiration beyond the ‘given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation that underlies its underpinning—suprasocial-construct and <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology’ doesn’t exist and as to the consequent susceptibility to sophistic/pedantic manipulation of such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of social-stake-contention-or-confliction and this further explains why prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning has ever always been as of a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ in this respect in order to then outrightly commit to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity value-aspiration reflecting the fact that the given human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor potentiation construed as ‘human-subpotency convergence to existence’ is beyond ‘the averaging of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ or any secondnatured institutionalisation underpinning—suprasocial-construct but is rather as of ‘human intemporal individuation solipsistic/intersolipsistic instigation’ that is not fixated on the previous two for such requisite solipsistic/intersolipsistic instigation;¶ transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing equally reflects as of its implied ‘existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ a foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to

*existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) epistemic-disposition over a pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
 <unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> epistemic-disposition wherein the appropriate perspective of subject-matters/domains-of-study elucidation/knowledge-reification reflects their respective epistemic-conception
 phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation;¶ transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing further speaks to the fact of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument perspective ‘affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ over the ‘unaffirmation/deprojection/deassertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness’, wherein for instance the underlying misinformation/misanalysis/misrepresentation about postmodern-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness arises because of its assessment from the ontologically-flawed perspective of naïve identitive mere formulaic positivism—procrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought rather in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness with further susceptibility to sophistry of intellectual falsehood and muddlement as of institutional-being-and-craft, just as assessing budding positivism/rational-empiricism thought from medieval scholasticism perspective will induce a ridiculous and ontologically-flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing outcome about budding positivism which was further susceptible to medieval pedantic sophistry as of institutional-being-and-craft;¶ furthermore, transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of its implied ‘existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation entails that ‘appropriateness/soundness of human ontological-performance and hence value-and-aspirational-construct’ is ‘precedingly and absolutely determined rather as of relative-ontological-completeness over relative-ontological-incompleteness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ wherein for instance the positivist relative-ontological-completeness value-reference as walking into the forest to retrieve a plant cure overrides as of the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-*

*totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of
 'existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-
 conflatedness edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstru-
 ment' the animistic social-setup 'evil forest' value-reference as of its
 relative-ontological-incompleteness and the same applies prospectively
 with deprocrypticism relative-ontological-completeness 'preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought' value-reference over our
 positivism—procrypticism relative-ontological-incompleteness value-
 reference even if such a contemplation is rather beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-
 existential-unthought as the incoherence here will rather be to
 egotistically and sophistically imply that the very same fundamental
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of
 'true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology' doesn't apply to us;¶ ultimately,
 transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
 further entails that the inherent incompatible and contrastive
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of
 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstru-
 ment in edginess/incisiveness as of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness implied prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology in its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-
 surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming- 'notionally-
 collateralising-protohumanity'-to- 'attain-sublimating-humanity',-as-to-
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-
 conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
 as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-
 apriorising-implications>) as enabling prospective transcendence-and-
 sublimity' and
 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstru-
 ment in pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness as of human-subpotency
 implied prior relative-ontological-incompleteness <formative>wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
 as-of- 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-
 apriorising-implications> and as it is reinforced with sophistic/pedantic
 institutional-being-and-craft in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
 unthought', means that human and social transcendence-and-sublimity
 while critically instigated as from 'human <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-*

residuality/spirit-drivenness ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically’ is more effectively and existentially achieved rather as of ‘constraining positive-opportunism’ that is socially elicited as of the underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of more profound ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness in inducing secondnatured institutionalisation and prospective underpinning—suprasocial-construct

uninstitutionalised -threshold	<i>uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/temporal-solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation- (construed-as-of-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold-temporal-meaningfulness-and-teleology-in--<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag,-and-so-as-<formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-wherein-the-institutionalising-mathesis/motif/thrownessdisposition-attains-its-institutionalising-limits-as-of-human-subpotency-relative-to-existence-potency;¶ and-so-construed-as-from-the-instigating-intemporal-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality,-recurrent-shot-for-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-with-respect-to-the- ‘parrhesiastic seeding-promise-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance-correspondence-with-the-full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’)</i>
universal- transparency	<i>universal-transparency—or—understanding-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-existential-phenomena,-as-to-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction- (for-undermining-social-incoherency-by-constraining-transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism)’</i>
vices-and- impediments	<i>vices-and-impediments-as-to-living/institutional/Being—as-of-reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic-defect-of-ontological-performance</i>

There is a common word that already exists that best describes what a psychopath is philosophically-speaking. It is a French word that doesn't exactly exist in English. The word is 'cinglé' and is better translated in English as 'slanted mind' (in contrast to the straightness/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking of a 'convictionally predisposed human mind' as of prelogism or prelogism-as-of-conviction-(existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) so-construed as candidity/candour-capacity. It should equally be noted that sometimes the word cinglé is used intermittently with deranged (dérangé) which is a more general word that does not capture the socially-functional-and-accordant phenomenal specificity that is of relevance herein. In other words, 'the cinglé' perceives meaning as 'a hollow mimicking form in-of-itself that determines others behaviour' in contrast to the normal-as-of-candidity/candour-capacity human relation to meaning as of essence or conviction or prelogism we abide by (and so, even in the case of bad conviction or bad prelogism where the bad logic of the prelogism-as-of-conviction mind operates by an ad hoc and circumspect exaggeration or omission).

In other words, the psychopath manifests postlogism or postlogism-as-of-non-conviction-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) by its reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as 'how can a perverted sought after outcome be obtained with an interlocutor or interlocutors with respect to a targeted end-goal or targeted individual by falsely projecting hollow-abstract logic notwithstanding that it is existentially unreal or it is faked or it is opportunistically raised or raised out-of-context (existential-decontextualised-transposition)', i.e. meaning-as-form or pathologically/impulsively hollow-constituting—as-

disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, contrasted to the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction minds prelogical state ('existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at' construed as 'what does the veridical logical-processing-or-logical-implication of a given existential situation intrinsically imply as relevant and sound outcome', i.e. meaning-as-ontologically-veridical/in-conviction, whether thereafter the logical-processing-or-logical-implication is rightly or wrongly assumed). Hence prelogism or prelogism-as-of-conviction is all about the appropriateness of logic without any implication/questioning about any issue with the reference-of-thought on which logical-processing-or-logical-implication is based, and thus the idea of re-engaging is valid on the basis that the logical-processing-or-logical-implication can be well performed subsequently despite an initial failure or possible initial failures. Whereas with postlogism or postlogism-as-of-non-conviction this essentially has to do not with an issue of logical-processing-or-logical-implication but rather an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as logical-processing-or-logical-implication is on the basis of a sound reference-of-thought (non-perversion-of-reference-of-thought) such that fundamentally 'the notion of the dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implication' is ontologically jeopardised by the inherent perversion-of-reference-of-thought as 'first-order perversion, out of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context', of apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements which are denaturing of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. Further to this is the derived second-order level deception as of wrongly implied logical-processing-or-logical-implication thereof, as of infinite deception possibilities from this faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge arising where the implied first-order perversion-of-reference-of-

thought is wrongly acquiesced to as appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness thus wrongly implying that logical-dueness arises for logical engagement with interlocutors; and so in contrast to the infinite possibilities of ‘sound logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ from non-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. Hence postlogism is actually a usurpation/arrogation of the prelogism-as-of-conviction mentation reflex where social universal-transparency of apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements is not-available/obscured as of lack of insight on existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; with the result that with respect to the reference-of-thought, postlogism ‘induces as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, a teleologically-degraded-as-dementing differentiation of existential meaningfulness-and-teleology’ unlike prelogism which ‘induces as of ontological-normalcy perspective, an elevated-as-sound-thinking differentiation of existential meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The postlogical disposition is associated pathologically with the psychopathic character as a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge with respect to perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction but can equally extend ad-hocly or more profoundly as a manifestation of conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration (due to psychopathic/postlogism induced social loss-of-awareness of the social universal-transparency) where it elicits temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in situations of social-stake-contention-or-confliction.

[Fundamentally thus the issue of postlogism associated with psychopathy is structurally/paradigmatically related to human prelogism underlined by candidity/candour-capacity as to an ontological-contiguity in notional-symmetrisation-<as-to-symmetrisation-

by-desymmetrisation-in-reflecting-dialectical-thinking-by-dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology>; and so as the overall backdrop of human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance appraisal which elucidation underlines the more profound human hermeneutic psychology as to the elucidation of overall human becoming in existence implications of human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. ‘Candidty/Candour-capacity’ as such involves two-levels of construal with the first-level being with regards to ‘overall ontological-contiguity of variance as difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising as to the ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in the sense that at our institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds of positivism–procrpticism reference-of-thought—and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology various degrees of temporal-to-intemporal individuations ontological-performance varyingly-uphold-or-fail candidty/candour-capacity as of difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising (so-construed as within the positivism–procrpticism <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incindenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorisation construal/conceptualisation).

The notion of ‘candidty/candour-capacity’ is more fully/completely construed/conceptualised at the second-level as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspectivation underlying notional-deprocrpticism/notional-preempting—disjointing-as-of-reference-of-thought in reflection of overall human ontological-performance as to the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-

human-institutionalisation-process (so-construed as of the deprocrypticism <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in ontological-contiguity); as reflecting the variance of the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising as from the deprocrypticism point-referencing required for a construal/conceptualisation that is uninhibited/decomplexified with respect to our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension given <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so as from the conflatedness construal of the prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as so-reflecting the dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology while the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is construed as of dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. ‘Candidty/Candour-capacity’ as of the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising thus refers to the comprehensiveness or <formative>epistemic-totalising–social-context-construed-conflatedness of individuation and consequently social capacity for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, so reflected in <formative>epistemic-totalising–social-context-construed-conflatedness of individual and social construal/conceptualisation of

meaningfulness-and-teleology in upholding/failing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as reflected by reference-of-thought–closeness-of-tethering-to–prelogism-as-of-conviction (reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction) or reference-of-thought–looseness-of-tethering-to–prelogism-as-of-conviction (reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining) as explaining thus the possibility respectively of appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness or perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, behind the grander issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Basically, this points to ‘notional/epistemic reflexivity perspectives of construing/conceptualising’ with respect to ontology/ontological-normalcy so-reflected with the thresholds of ‘effecting-parsimony’ as to temporality/shortness and ‘effecting-wholeness’ as to intemporality; the elucidation of which brings out the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought social universal-transparency, enabling intemporal/ontological skewing for institutionalisation. It is the resolving as aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of ‘candidty/candour-capacity’ as of transcended/superseded psychoanalytic-backdrop for the prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operand-or-incidenting-predicative-insights overcoming human procrypticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought that will usher in futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation psyche on the same token that the resolving of non-positivism including ‘superstition’ as of transcended/superseded psychoanalytic backdrop for the prospective overcoming of human ‘non-positivising/non-rational-empirical’- hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing that ushered in our prospective Positivism institutionalisation psyche and the institutionalisation possibilities thereof. Notional candidty/candour-capacity

thus allows for meaningfulness to be recasted in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘narratives of candidity/candour-capacity in existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ reflecting more directly the candidity <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of successive temporal-to-intemporal individuations specifically as a capacity variance of the same construct. Furthermore, such a candidity/candour-capacity approach as syncing with a Deprocripticism reference-of-thought as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought conflatedness over our positivism-procripticism reference-of-thought and uninhibited/decomplexified from the latter, provides ‘direct ontological insight of deprocripticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology’ as to the nature of the positivism-procripticism social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction threshold as uninstitutionalised-threshold amenable to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought on the basis of its more simplistic and direct notion of candidity/candour-capacity variance of the same construct. Unlike the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorisation scheme’ which rather construes an

<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that is dialectical-thinking and centered positivism-procripticism registry-worldview/dimension; the ontological-contiguity of a

deprocripticism candidty/candour-capacity construal/conceptualisation articulated as of ‘deprocripticism narrative of candidty/candour-capacity’ is as of a uninhibited/decomplexified conflatedness in futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and construing our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Candidty/Candour-capacity as such highlights from the perspective of the dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought as deprocripticism opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology the dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of the positivism–procrypticism <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, despite the latter’s <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag apparent soundness, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. In this regard and dialectically, ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology is closed and opened successively’ as of the ‘successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds and institutionalisations’ driven by the ontological-faith-notion-

or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; - as closed by non-rules-as-
 impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition in ‘recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation’, - opened as rule-making by rulemaking-over-
 non-rules in ‘base-institutionalisation institutionalisation’ but then closed at the
 uninstitutionalised-threshold as ‘ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation’, - opened as
 universalisation by universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules in ‘universalisation
 institutionalisation’ but then closed at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as ‘non-
 positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalised-threshold’, - opened as positivism by
 positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules
 in ‘positivism institutionalisation’ but then closed at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as
 ‘procrypticism uninstitutionalisation’, and prospectively opened as deprocrypticism by
 preempting-procrypticism—or—preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-
 based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules in ‘deprocrypticism
 institutionalisation’. Candidity/Candour-capacity thus provides rather a simplistic, authentic
 and uninhibited/decomplexified storied construal in ontological-contiguity as of the
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of notional-deprocrypticism not saddled with our
 ‘relatively deficient positivism–procrypticism mindset complex’ of such
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-
 presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as highlighted before, and so-related, as a
 storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration candidly/candour-capacity construing
 meaningfulness-and-teleology contrastively as of the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
 assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-
 measuring/dialectically-dementing of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-
 of-thought and the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
 logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, in ontological-
 contiguity and respectively as of say positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism
 references-of-thought-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness. Interestingly
 pointing out effectively that such a candidly/candour-capacity construal of deprocrypticism
 placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
 teleology is what is normal–as-of-ontological-normalcy meaningfulness-and-teleology in the
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-
 abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reflection of candidly/candour-capacity and
 that our own positivism–procrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is relatively abnormal by its
 meaningfulness <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-
 abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-

incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. In order words, just as retrospectively we can
 construe that the respective placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation as of <formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive-
 phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'trepidatious-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation as
 of <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-
 presencing-in-'warped-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism
 as of <formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-
 presencing-in-'preclusive-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; were respectively defective in their reflection
 of the fullness/completeness of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-

epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, that of our positivism–procrypticism is defective as well as of <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; and so reflected from deprocrypticism <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context

candidty/candour-capacity

fullness/completeness of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality basis as conflatedness in construing their respective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-relative distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought arising as of their respective relative <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-dispositions in failing to contrastively-construe at their respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-

of-reference-of-thought, and thus wrongly implying issue of logical-processing-or-logical-implication in wrong ontological-contiguity equivalence of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology. Abstractly, the ontological-contiguity issue has to do with a prospective precise ontological-normalcy in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as of <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context precision but then construed in prior imprecise epistemic-abnormalcy as of respectively <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context or <formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context or <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context or <formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-
 impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘trepidatious-consciousness’-
 enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
 operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and all in subpar construals/conceptualisations
 to the <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-
 phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, with the successive imprecisions wholly
 operating as if utterly precise, whereas these are distractive to the profound precision in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-
 abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; thus equally explaining the requisite
 structural/paradigmatic construal/conceptualisation for prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of pure-ontology/existence-as-of-its-mimetic-
 echoness! Such a phenomenal insight as of ‘ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness’ is
 instructive of how a Derridean deconstruction critique as a bottomless chessboard of a
 Heideggerian destruktio as incapable of getting at the bottom of the archaeological-

layers/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of ontological
 axioms/horizons of meaningfulness as of its ‘attempt-at-such-a-delaying’ thus considered to
 be inherently ontologically-deficient/incomplete, can be superseded ‘beyond-and-
 sidestepping any such archaeological-layers/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
 aesthetic-tracing limitation’ by rather construing-of-and-informing-as-to the inherent
 possibilities of pure-ontology insight as reflected by ‘inherent notional-
 conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence phenomenal insight about
 pure-ontology/existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness’ as highlighted with the ‘various as
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive/epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-
 tendentious/epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying/epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-
 categorising/epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-
 phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construed as notional conflatedness’, and so
 conceptually as of an ahistorical-emancipation more like the science/laws of physics is
 inherently ahistorically-emancipated from physical phenomena occurrences/events
 archaeology/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing and is capable
 of construing-of-and-informing-as-to such physical phenomena occurrences/events
 archaeology/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, thus enabling
 for instance the veracity/ontological-pertinence of say astronomy as an
 archaeology/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing derived-
 science. Insightfully, such a candidty/candour-capacity deprocrypticism placeholder-

setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology
 construed as most ontologically-veridical human psychical representation and so over our
 present positivism–procrpticism psychical representation, is effectively grounded on the
 notion that placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-
 awareness-teleology is ‘by itself inherently an utterly discreet and arbitrary construct’ but for
 the fact that every registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought has been
 habituated to its own as of its existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications
 meaningfulness-and-teleology and considers its own by reflex to be sanctimonious. But then
 the fact is the true sanctimony lies with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construed as
 of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it so defines the
 placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
 teleology veracity/ontological-pertinence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, as implied with the notion of ‘dialectical-
 thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-
 dynamics’. Thus, however weird it may seem to our positivism–procrpticism psychical
 representation, in reflecting our positivism–procrpticism relative epistemic-abnormalcy to it
 a candidity/candour-capacity depocrpticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-
 presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is actually more real and profound

ontologically to ours as of our positivism–procrysticism <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
 ‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and so just as the latter being more profound
 ontologically with respect to the relative epistemic-abnormalcy of the universalisation–non-
 positivism/medievalism psychical representation will seem weird to the latter as of its
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-
 presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; underlying the placeholder-setup/mental-
 devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology transformative
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity involved with ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–
 stranding/attributive-dialectics as it induces the relative reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
 logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the
 unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-

logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of
 prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and so beyond any
 registry-worldview's/dimension's metaphysics-of-presence mental complexes. Thus
 candidity/candour-capacity deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology implied reference-of-thought–
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
 logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and
 unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
 logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of
 prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, contrary to the various
 'ascription-constructs' of the respective placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of positivism–procrypticism
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising 'ascription-construct of kindness-
 humility-helpfulness-etc. transience', universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying 'ascription-construct of good-to-bad
 transience', base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_nominal-as-tendentious 'ascription-construct of allegiance/subservience
 transience', and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_random-as-impulsive 'ascription-construct of impulsive-or-accident-ed-or-
 haphazard-or-random transience', is notionally construed not on a reference-of-thought–
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology basis as of ascription but wholly as an
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism 'ontological-

performance-construct of candidity/candour-capacity’ as of conflatedness with respect to the upholding/failing of ontological-normalcy by prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought ontological-performance; and so beyond a vague notion of virtue but rather as an overall superseding reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance. In other words from an ontological-normalcy perspective implied with candidity/candour-capacity deprocripticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, ascription-constructs are naïve <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construals of human reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance. The ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality implies human reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance is construed as it upholds/fails ontological-normalcy as from prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and is actually a wholly internal process of conflatedness, highlighting ‘the concatenation to intemporal-projection inextricably of derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection, with the former in relative intemporality/longness and the latter in relative temporality/shortness as of distractiveness’; construed as temporal-concatenation-to-intemporality-or-ontological-veridicality-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’. As a further elucidation, by ‘protensive-consciousness’ is meant the consciousness-awareness-teleology <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of conflatedness as an anticipatory mental-disposition with respect to depcripticism’s preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought Being-development and its meaningfulness-and-teleology certitude/uninhibited reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance wherein ‘limited-mentation-

capacity is overcome by its referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as of ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of the full-cohesive transcendental-enabling/sublimating determinativeness ingrained in social universal-transparency; in contrast to our positivism—procrypticism ‘occlusive-consciousness’ with consciousness-awareness-teleology implications as of ‘human limited-mentation-capacity by its categorising—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ for positivism—procrypticism Being and its meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance, or respectively for universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism ‘preclusive-consciousness’, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation ‘warped-consciousness’ and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘trepidatious-consciousness’, with consciousness-awareness-teleology implications as of ‘human limited-mentation-capacity by their respectively-qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’ as of their corresponding registry-worldviews/dimensions Beings and associated meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance. Underlying such graduated conceptualisation of human consciousness as of notional conflatedness, is the fact that as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, such human consciousness conflatedness ultimately behind the successive institutionalisation-cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-

human-institutionalisation-process is grounded on its least common human temporality/shortness-to-intemporality/longness denominator which is the ‘constraining social universal-transparency; and while the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ is aspirational as inducing the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness mental-disposition behind the ‘inventing’ of prospective institutionalisation, it is effectively occurs spontaneously to the intemporal disposition and cannot be the basis for collective grounding of such human consciousness conflatedness as this inevitably leads to temporal concatenation to intemporality, rather its import lies solely as of solipsistic intemporal projection drive given that ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality is beyond the possibility of its secondnatured institutionalisation just as implied with the notion of faith in creeds. Further, the dynamics of such a graduated human consciousness as of notional conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism can be reinterpreted operantly as of ‘notional-referentialism’ as it points to the fact that categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments are actually ‘various levels of failing to achieve the deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that ensure ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, and thus are construed as of the same notion of referentialism, as of ‘pseudo-referentialism mental-

dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments levels’ given their respectively underlying limited-mentation-capacity in achieving referentialism. While in reality these are respectively of ‘categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’ they still act as if of ‘deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and so ‘in their beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought dementing’ thus generating as of their ‘pseudo-referentialism mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments levels’ their respective neuterising construed as of ‘their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Neuterising thus refers to human attribution of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity misconstruing, with respect to existential social-stake-contention-or-confliction possibilities, such that its reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance is relatively ontologically-incomplete/of-ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness, and so-construed from the conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism; thus neuterising is specifically ‘a contextually developed perversion-or-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, that is secondnatured as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with the consequent implications of relatively defective meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. For instance, as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, an animist society might notice that going to a given forest leads to illness and ascribe evil to that forest but then

a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought positivism interpretation may be that at a certain time of the day and during a certain time of the year that forest attracts mosquitoes that cause malaria for instance which can be prevented by rubbing a certain leaf on ones cloths and body, together with the fact that a given root can be used to cure the malaria, and in addition to a whole web of nuanced understanding available to the positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology relative to the ‘abject and brute’ animistic interpretation as meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising that it is an evil forest one should not trespass together with a whole cohort of ‘imaginary tales’ in shoring up that posture, speaking of its dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing. This is a most elaborate articulation of neuterising but it equally applies where meaningfulness-and-teleology is ‘just about miscued’ say between positivism—procrypticism and deprocrypticism with the latter underlying the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of the former as it neuterising, for instance in the case of psychopathy and corresponding conjugated-postlogism as social psychopathy as in the various illustrations highlighted herein and particularly as more obviously revealed with childhood psychopathy. In the bigger picture, ascriptivity-or-ascription-hardening/pseudo-referentialism arises as of notional-referentialism/notional-deprocrypticism; wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s existential reference-of-thought deepest-level of neuterising is elicited by its ‘trepidatious-consciousness impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument failing rulemaking-over-non-rules’, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation existential reference-of-thought next level of neuterising is elicited by its ‘warped-consciousness tendentious—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument failing

universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism existential reference-of-thought after-next level of neuterising is elicited by its ‘preclusive-consciousness qualifying—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument failing

positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’, positivism–procrypticism existential reference-of-thought next-after-next level of neuterising is elicited by its ‘occlusive-consciousness categorising—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument failing

preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’, and ultimately futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism existential reference-of-thought overcomes-neuterising/fully-deneuterises by its ‘protensive-consciousness referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as

structurally/paradigmatically preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ and so by way of its more profound conflatedness as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Thus basically, neuterising of the

various references-of-thought-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness is as of ‘categorising–occlusive-consciousness/qualifying–preclusive-consciousness/tendentious–warped-consciousness/impulsive–trepidatious-consciousness—ontologically-compromised–mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’ by their respective relative human limited-mentation-capacities as their respective beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleologies dementing/de-asserting construed as their respective prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought neuterising, and revealing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism their ‘reference-of-thought-devolving—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ with regards to their respective reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic relative transcendently-unenabled-prior-institutionalisation-level-by-prospective-uninstitutionalised-threshold; underlining the ontological implications of understanding neuterising with respect to ‘retrospective and prospective Being underdevelopment elucidations of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of neuterising induced failing of reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance. Basically neuterising as so articulated is the conception of ‘the ontological-performance of the various institutionalisations references-of-thought-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ so-conceptualised from the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness, and such an ontologically-veridical evaluation of neuterising is construed as a deneuterising—referentialism reflecting-ontologically-veridical-‘affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking’-and-ontologically-flawed-‘dementing/deassertion’ as of the various institutionalisations references-of-thought-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. The implication here being that neuterising ‘can be disambiguated as of the fundamental human limited-mentation-capacity induced <formative>epistemic-

totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance misconstrual-as-neuterising, and so-construed as of referentialism as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness; thus gaining a superseding insight of the ontologically-flawed references-of-thought-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness fixations/hardening-construed-as-neuterising of the various relative-ontologically-incomplete institutionalisations as of their existential-contextualisation; as this deneuterising—referentialism reflecting-ontologically-veridical-‘affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking’-and-ontologically-flawed-‘dementing/deassertion’ as from notional-deprocrypticism, disambiguates neuterising as an insight into the ontologically-veridical ‘underlying phenomenological dynamics of human limited-mentation-capacity’ that explains the how-and-why of such ontologically-flawed references-of-thought-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness fixations/hardening-construed-as-neuterising associated with the various institutionalisations in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Insightfully and counterintuitively for elucidative construal, neuterising as of epistemic-abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is rather ‘a derived-construction as deficient of ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, as it is the elucidation of ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as truly reflecting intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, whether we are aware of it as of dialectical-thinking or unaware as unconscious beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of dementing/deassertion, that reveals neuterising as of epistemic-abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as it is construed in its ontological-veridicality as ‘a deficient derived-

construction of ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought'. This insight equally explains why it is 'through the deficient derived-construction of conflatedness' that is construed the ontologically-veridical nature of 'distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought'-ontological-destructuring-constitutedness. Understanding and overcoming neutering as such reveals the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought dynamism of human temporal-to-intemporal individuations mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as critical across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics. The ontological-veridicality of a 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' as associated with 'intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity' is one grounded as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics on 'decentering/pivoting around the uninstitutionalised-threshold rule' as a remaking of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument involving the resetting of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, pointing out that the prior apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument has been superseded as of its revealed perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought and so as of the uninstitutionalised-threshold rule. This explains why at uninstitutionalised-

thresholds which are subject to ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising’, prospective institutionalisation can only be achieved as of secondnatured constraining social universal-transparency that overcomes the given uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising’ thus enabling the ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the prospective institutionalisation. It also explains why naively implying at an uninstitutionalised-threshold that ‘the social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ is universally attributable as if humans had only the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation without temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations will simply fail to recognise the generation-and-upholding of neuterising and thus unable to reveal perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought; as it is naïve to think that while being at an uninstitutionalised-threshold like universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism by mere-and-vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in social-aggregation-enabling, people will ‘simply by magic’ find themselves articulating positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology without grasping that the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposeure cross-generational process is effectively the mechanism for ‘overcoming non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology neuterising’ to be able to then reveal, construe and uphold positivistic Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology, and this equally applies with regards to overcoming our ‘procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology

neuterising’ to attain futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology. As a further elucidation, a comparison can be made between a construct of ‘notional-referentialism’ disambiguated as referentialism, categorising neuterising, qualifying neuterising, tendentious neuterising and impulsive neuterising, and in parallel a reflection of ‘data conceptualisation’ disambiguated as ratio-contiguous referencing, intervalist pseudo-referencing, ordinal pseudo-referencing, nominal pseudo-referencing and random pseudo-referencing. We can grasp that effectively data conceptualisation as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is inherently ratio-contiguous as of ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought but then we don’t always have the capacity to reference ratio-contiguous data and so the other types of data conceptualisations are available to us as well ‘as of the limitations of our measuring capacity’, and we grasp that the latter are actually in ‘constructed-deficiency of <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism’ as of their respective epistemic-abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Here as well it is important to understand that it is the ratio-contiguous referencing data conceptualisation that provides the ‘overriding framework as of conflatedness’ for making-sense-of/construing the relatively deficient referencing data conceptualisations as of their ‘defined tolerable levels’ of neuterising. This elucidation is to point out that reference-of-thought constructs in epistemic-abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in the very first place cannot be the basis for articulating, as of their given constitutedness, by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘as if in referentialism as of referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-

conflatedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’
 but rather require ‘their ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology restoration’ by
 a conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-
 of-thought that factors in ‘their constructed-deficiency with respect to ontological-
 normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, so-construed as their
 neuterising’ as of their categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-
 compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness mental-
 dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments;
 thus enabling ontologically-veridical construal as of both ontological-
 completeness/incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of Being and meaningfulness-and-
 teleology retrospectively to prospectively in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process. To put it another way, as distinct articulations of the same
 physics intrinsic-reality, we cannot simply by constitutedness by elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ given its
 epistemic-abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought arrive-
 at/achieve the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs
 as of its ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as
 what is so generated is nothing as of reality but rather a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-
 construal. Instead such a construction of prospective relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-
 veridicality is a conflatedness of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ by an
 epistememic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness; driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality to reconstruct the same domain of physics as the theory-

of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs, and rather reflects the ontological-veridicality that ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of its epistemic-abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘construed as a constructed-deficiency of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective’, and the former can only be subsumed/implied/construed-as-non-contradictory to the latter. Such a basic conception of comparative axiomatic-constructs in their reflection of the very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality highlights that ontologically-veridical meaningfulness is a construction or derived-construction as of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or the closest axiomatic-construct approximation to it; the insight here being that ‘relative completeness/profoundness of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ is what is ontologically preeminent/critical for the notional perspective of ontological construal/conceptualisation. This is equally relevant with regards to the ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ which refers to the transcendental-enabling/sublimating conceptual framework that sets up the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought construction possibilities of derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as of existential-instantiations’, on the same unchanging intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construed/conceptualised by all registry-worldviews/dimensions, but generating with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) successive

more and more relatively profound/complete registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought constructions of derived axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue; with the ⟨given consciousness's neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of its intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as the registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought 'abstract teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities'. For instance, all subsequent axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension are possible only by its ⟨trepidatious-consciousness neuterising-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness which is non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidentated-or-random-mental-disposition as this basically defines the possibility of institutionalisation within recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as inherently non-existent. Likewise it is the habituated rulemaking-over-non-rules as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for the prospective institutionalisation of base-institutionalisation that is the ⟨warped-consciousness neuterising-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness for enabling intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue of base-institutionalisation. This

insight extends to all successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations in construing their teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities. This equally explains the divergence of individuals and societies ontological-performance across registry-worldviews/dimensions even though all humans have the same basic intellectual potential; as within the institutionalisation limits of a registry-worldview's/dimension's 'reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness' as its underlying reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, individuals cannot all of a sudden start thinking in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct enabled by a prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation 'reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness'; given that there is a need for the requisite institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as of successive psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure underlying the transcendences in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The fact is that all meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances, whether teleologically-degraded or teleologically-elevated, implied as of within a given reference-of-thought are necessarily in ontological-contiguity, construed as of a difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising of the same <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. Such that a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought associated postlogism-slantedness manifestation, which is inevitably being instigated as postlogism denaturing <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the implied reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of its meaningfulness-and-teleology, as well as the temporal manifestations of the registry-worldview/dimension including conjugated-postlogism, is inevitably in notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-

aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> with all other meaningfulness-and-teleology of that registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought since there are all grounded either in a ‘conscious-madeupness as teleologically-degraded’ or ‘naïve-conviction as flawed supposedly teleologically-elevated’ relationship with the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Such notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> is implied by the fact that a reference-of-thought is a ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and with all its meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances, given its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification, being mutually cognisant-and-integrative by ‘conscious-madeupness as teleologically-degraded’ or ‘naïve-conviction as flawed supposedly teleologically-elevated’ relationship with the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. In this regard, a non-positivistic as ‘a superstitious centered-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as associated with say a medieval or animistic social-setup implies that a postlogism-slantedness, conjugated-postlogism or any other temporal mental-disposition with regards to say with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery will meet with a mental-reflex across the registry-worldview/dimension <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology that is cognisant-and-integrative as of its

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity dereification in notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>, as in its questioning and analysing whether the accusation of sorcery is true and so as an assumed/presupposed-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of the overall reference-of-thought underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating belief in superstition, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. Such a construal equally applies to our positivism-procrypticism associated manifestation of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought associated with a postlogism-slantedness, conjugated-postlogism or any other temporal mental-disposition instigation wherein our underlying procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-disposition is a notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> of the positivism-procrypticism <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances as of ‘conscious-madeupness as teleologically-degraded’ or ‘naïve-conviction as flawed supposedly teleologically-elevated’ relationship with its centered-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This explains why it is structurally/paradigmatically impossible for either such a non-positivistic social-setup or our procrypticism social-setup to resolve the vices-and-impediments associated with the corresponding reference-of-thought centered-

<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, as it is in circular <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument centered–epistemic-totalisation grounding; thus explaining the endemisation and enculturation of the associated vices-and-impediments. Rather than a difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising implied as of ‘notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>’, it is rather a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising as of an ‘epistemic-break or notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>’ as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness of the prospective reference-of-thought ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied different and relatively-more-profound-and-complete reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which is non-cognisant and non-integrative and ‘not in notional contiguity’ with the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of the same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that can induce the ‘ontological break’ that is able to de-endemise and de-enculturate as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation the given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments cross-generationally. With a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising construal there is a double-gesture of reification as of implying more critically the inappropriateness of the centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought as of its underlying meaningfulness-and-teleology implied same/common/shared reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-

teleology, which then inherently points to the inappropriateness of logical-processing-or-logical-implication on the basis of the centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought and hence implying that there can’t be any dialogical-equivalence. Such that from a positivistic perspective, an argument in a non-positivistic social-setup of the type one may be accused of sorcery is construed as ridiculous since it is in notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>, with its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification cognisant-and-integrative with a non-positivistic superstitious meaningfulness-and-teleology centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought, and that itself is perceived as of ‘aetiological concern’ as to the possibility of an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification mental-disposition that can be cognisant-and-integrative in notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> with numerous existential circumstances reflecting the endemising/enculturating of non-positivistic superstition and its vices-and-impediments. The same applies from a deprocrypticism perspective with regards to a procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental disposition as an argument seeming to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in the same disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought terms-as-axiomatic-construct by which the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought arises in the first place is in circular <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of the same centered–epistemic-totalisation/reference-of-thought defect. Thus it is ontologically impossible to address any given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments as of that fundamental

<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-
 as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology centered—epistemic-totalisation,
 besides at best palliative constructs of a non-universal nature, as not of an
 aetiologisation/ontological-escalation nature. Thus further validating the idea that it is a
 cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure
 in secondnaturing such a prospective institutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-
 teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ that enables such a transformation whether from a
 retrospective or prospective transcendence perspective. This explains ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence referentialism as construing/conceptualising the most
 profound/complete ontologically-veridical ‘reference-of-thought construction of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as of the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions from
 the notional-deprocrypticism perspective construal/conceptualisation, as being ‘the most
 profound/complete ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-
 meaningfulness’ grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ among all the registry-
 worldviews/dimensions as of its preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-
 to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-
 based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules. Furthermore, within a registry-
 worldview/dimension for the disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions, its
 reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is its ⟨given
 consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced⟩ as reference-of-thought—
 devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, which by way of a différance/internal-
 dialectics/difference-deferral articulates the intradimensional relative ontological-veracity of
 all other intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of

meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue. Thus this is within the framework of the registry-worldview's/dimension's teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities; construed either in elevation-as-of-upholding-ontological-veridicality/institutionalisation as reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology' of the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, or in degradation-as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality/uninstitutionalised-threshold as of the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; noting that the dialectical nature of the elevation and degradation so implied are inherently affirmed/unaffirmed respectively as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, wherein prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought elevation/institutionalisation is in soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought degradation/uninstitutionalised-threshold is in unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. Furthermore, metaphysics-of-absence insight as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing reveals and attends to the notional-deprocrypticism 'perspective issue' involved for 'overcoming defect of ontological analysis arising from metaphysics-of-presence <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag' due to a

mental-reflex of representing/skewing-the-representation of presence with respect to its
 reference-of-thought as of flawed ‘-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-
 of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ at the uninstitutionalised-
 threshold, wrongly construed as rather being in elevation/institutionalisation and thus
 wrongly reflected as of ‘soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ rather than being
 veridically construed in degradation/uninstitutionalised-threshold and thus reflected as of
 ‘unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’; and so, when it comes to construing
 the ontological-veridicality of both elevation/institutionalisation and
 degradation/uninstitutionalised-threshold as of their respectively ‘relevant
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-
 of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, and so with regards to the-
 very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality which as of underlying relative-
 ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness is at the one hand
 elevated/institutionalised and on the other hand teleologically-degraded/uninstitutionalised, as
 of human deepening or shallow limited-mentation-capacity. Such historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of its notional-conflatedness as it implies the
 conflatedness of the most ‘sound/profound/complete anticipation/projection/thrownness-
 disposition as rather of elevation-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation—and-degradation-as-
 of-uninstitutionalised-threshold-paradigmatic-level-of-contrastive-devolving-analysis as of
 their respective reference-of-thought—elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-
 institutionalisation and reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-
 threshold’ brings out in anticipation/projection/thrownness-disposition the overall

fundamental elucidative contrast between the ‘degradation/uninstitutionalised-threshold
 unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought projection’ and the
 ‘elevation/institutionalisation soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought projection’
 at their respective reference-of-thought-devolving-level of analysis; as can be elucidated
 contrastively between ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation and base-
 institutionalisation institutionalisation’, ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation
 uninstitutionalisation and universalisation institutionalisation’, ‘universalisation–non-
 positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation and positivism institutionalisation’ and
 prospectively ‘positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation and deprocrypticism
 institutionalisation’. The implication here is that with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery
 in a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation social-setup, in order
 to construe ontological-veridicality; as of conflatedness we can’t simply imply the presence
 universalisationnon–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-
 thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as the basis of instigating
 logical-dueness for elucidation and thereof construing ontologically-veridical
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, as such a mental-reflex representing/skewing-the-
 representation of the presence as universalisationnon–non-positivism/medievalism
 uninstitutionalisation will overlook the presence uninstitutionalised-threshold and wrongly
 represent its meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of
 elevation/institutionalisation in soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought
 projection’. It is rather the conflatedness projective/anticipative contrast between the said
 uninstitutionalised-threshold however the mental-reflex complex of presence and the
 prospective positivism institutionalisation however the mental-reflex complex of the latter’s
 abstractness as from the presence uninstitutionalised-threshold perspective that enables their
 respective reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness

contrastive fundamental elucidations in grasping ontological-veridicality as of their respective prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-and-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective. Thus it is the ‘anticipation/projection/throwness-disposition of overall fundamental elucidative contrast’ between prior degradation/uninstitutionalised-threshold and prospective elevation/institutionalisation respectively implied reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness so-construed on the basis of ‘conflatedness as of the most ‘sound/profound/complete anticipation/projection’ relative to existence’s imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring existential-instantiations, which is at reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’-paradigmatic-level-of-contrastive-devolving-analysis as-of-the-contrast-of-elevation-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation—and-degradation-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold that is more profoundly elucidative of existential-instantiations issues of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought whether with regards to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in non-positivism or psychopathy and social psychopathy as of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought or generally issues arising as of being/existential/ontological/axiomatic-construct problem of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology speaking of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; in other words, with respect to the elucidation of existential-instantiations issues, beyond just issues of logical-processing-or-logical-implication as of logical coherence, we need to move at the <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating level of analysis which is the reference-of-thought and then construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as of contrastive elevation/institutionalisation reference-of-thought—elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation’ and degradation/uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘reference-of-thought—

degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’. That is, meaningfulness-and-teleology cannot be registered as of the degradation/uninstitutionalised-threshold but rather the elevation/institutionalisation as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with the implication that meaningfulness-and-teleology lies-with-and-is wholly as of elevation/institutionalisation reference-of-thought—elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation’. Insightfully, historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness points out that as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality: it is rather and critically more apt to ‘articulate organically as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ the transcendental construct of prospective base-institutionalisation institutionalisation while in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation ‘doing so by failing the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in de-emphasising non-conviction and emphasising the conviction essence of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’, and this insight extends as well with regards to ‘articulating organically as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ the transcendental construct of prospective universalisation institutionalisation while in base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation

uninstitutionalisation ‘doing so by failing the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—
 mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of
 base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in de-emphasising non-conviction and emphasising the
 conviction essence of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-
 teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’, ‘articulating
 organically as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality’ the transcendental construct of prospective positivism institutionalisation while in
 universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation ‘doing so by failing the
 <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
 drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in de-emphasising
 non-conviction and emphasising the conviction essence of prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity
 knowledge-reification’, and prospectively ‘articulating organically as of ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ the transcendental
 construct of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
 prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation while in positivism—procrypticism
 uninstitutionalisation ‘doing so by failing the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—
 mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of
 positivism—procrypticism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology in de-emphasising non-conviction and emphasising the conviction essence of

prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification'; such that essence is actually as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. This reflects historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of its notional-conflatedness nature of ontological-performance as anti-nihilistically grounded on ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as enabled by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. It points out that ontologically-veridical meaningfulness cannot be construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of a soulless nihilistic-teleology-for-the-attainment-of-temporality/human-mortal-whims as it simply brings an end to the transcendental potential for the human existential tale perpetuation; as the organic-knowledge behind the 'invention' of prospective institutionalisation necessarily has to take precedence in further driving the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process over a conceptualisation as of denaturing of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Such an approach to transcendence is exactly what validates transcendental knowledge as of a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment and not a grounded knowledge-construct commitment; as an approach as of grounded knowledge-construct commitment that merely implies transcendence as being incremental to the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought doesn't undermine/unshackle that prior reference-of-thought with respect to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of the requisite undermining/unshackling by the prospective enlightenment of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-

immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by the prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought ontological-performance given its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Rather implying a grounded knowledge-construct commitment merely 'circularly-complexifies' the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought as it adopts by mental-reflex an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-disposition rather than a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition and thus fails to fulfil the requisite <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought registering-of-its-reference-of-thought-rather-as-dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and its alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics, which is what allows for transcendence to the prospective reference-of-thought for renewal; that is, this will rather bring about the <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior reference-of-thought in 'incremental circular-complexification' and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought on a false notion of 'an intemporal temporality', naively passing for intemporality/longness as of intersubjective eliciting of temporality. Such notional-conflatedness for ontological-performance implication is easily understood as of metaphysics-of-absence when we grasp that a mindset as of a non-positivistic social-setup needs to 'wean off organically beyond mere mechanical adjustments' its non-positivism before the notion of 'a credible logical engagement in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of positivism/rational-empiricism with a mindset as of a positivistic social-setup' can be genuinely entertained. In this regard, the budding positivists had to implied an utter break with medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation to

avoid the circular problem of their positivism knowledge and science being interpreted in
 mystical and alchemic terms-as-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology.
 Such a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment equally highlights that the idea of a common
 universal human potential available to all individuals while true is not inherently existentially
 fulfilled/valorised if that human-subpotency is not effectively to-the-best-of-our-
 temporal/mortal-superseding-endeavouring unleashed as of a maximalising-recomposing-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation/re-perception/re-thought. This conceptualisation insight points out that prospective
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation associated with
 our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as of its epistemic-
 abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is effectively the
 defective result of our positivism institutionalisation ontological-destructuring-
 constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology at the positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation, wherein the prospective
 ‘procrypticism uninstitutionalisation’ arises as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–
 mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of
 the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘positivism institutionalisation’ reference-of-thought–
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, which then effectively generates the
 virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-
 of-thought uninstitutionalisation construed as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought of our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension. It

should be noted that, the ontologically-veridical reflection of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is rather construed from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension perspective as ‘a constructed-deficiency of the profound/complete deprocrypticism perspective’, with deprocrypticism in ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as procrypticism which is in epistemic-abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; and the ontological-veridicality of deprocrypticism itself construed as an epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. This explains why our positivism–procrypticism so-construed from a deprocrypticism perspective will be decentered and demented, just as our positivism in ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construal of non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought in epistemic-abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought show the latter to be decentered and dementing. As a further elaboration, the circularity and <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-disposition attached to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is fundamentally grounded on its teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities established as of its reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue. It is only a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in the medium to long-term that can transcendently ‘wean off’ from such a teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities of a registry-worldview/dimension by habituating a prospective institutionalisation as of its reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue. This explains as of metaphysics-of-absence why for instance the mere demonstration to approval/acquiescence of positivistic principles/interpretations of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in a non-positivistic as animistic social-setup or medieval social-setup however frequent the demonstrations within a given limited period of time doesn’t mean that the social-setup has been transformed into a positivistic social-setup; since their existentially habituated state of animism or medievalism teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities as of (warped-or-preclusive-consciousness neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, will need to be undone/unshackled psychoanalytically in the medium to long-run to veridically achieve positivism; given that that uninstitutionalised-threshold is in a state of circular-pervasiveness-of-‘reference-of-thought—degraded-

devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold'! This equally explains the
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inherent in our prospective
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation, together with
 its inherent manifestations of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness and social psychopathy
 conjugated-postlogism, when construed from futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought institutionalisation as in our metaphysics-of-
 presence beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-
 existential-unthought we systematically override the ontological-veridicality implications of
 such procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and proceed by mental-reflex to
 uphold our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <formative>wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> at this positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation
 as of an existentially nihilistic mental-disposition in degeneration of the human existential
 tale; as all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness by mental-reflex keep on
 representing their uninstitutionalised-threshold as institutionalised, that is as ‘centered and
 dialectical-thinking’, as a ‘delusion of an always institutionalised presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 rather than being veridically ‘decentered and dementing’ at the uninstitutionalised-threshold
 as of ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’, as

logical-dueness doesn't even arise in the very first place given perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. We can get a projected sense of this as of metaphysics-of-absence in that despite the articulation of positivistic principles/interpretations in the animistic social-setup or medieval social-setup, in the short to medium run individuals will keep on overriding and ignoring such positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology nihilistically, notwithstanding that we may recognise this as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and falling back to construe/conceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology in non-positivistic animistic or medieval terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct, construed from the positivistic perspective as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. As broadly speaking, a registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought is as of 'the existential individuations possibilities as to reference-of-thought—prelogism-as-of-conviction and reference-of-thought—madeupness/bottomlining' reflecting the teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities, established as of its reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue; and it is nevertheless so made-up/bottomlined nihilistically, notwithstanding a prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought that points prospectively to its relative ontologising-deficiency/epistemic-abnormalcy/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as it is in the bigger picture structurally/paradigmatically 'a lifetime mental and existential investment as of the specific prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought meaningfulness-and-teleology' that will not lightly give up on 'its invested specific prior relative-ontological-

incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as a
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ despite
 the ontological-veridicality of a valid anti-nihilistic
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology enabling the human existential tale as of the successive transcendences behind the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process notwithstanding that its
 very own institutionalisation arose out of that anti-nihilistic process, and at the more
 immediate social-stake-contention-or-confliction level involves temporal concatenation to
 intemporality/longness as denaturing of the prior institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought—
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by their elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity, and so as of postlogism-
 slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’, due to lack of constraining social universal-
 transparency at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such a madeupness/bottomline being rather
 as of a temporal extricatory paradigm and that naively considers the mutual intersubjective
 eliciting of temporal extricatory paradigms to be
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-

for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, given a failure to structurally/paradigmatically grasp intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications, and rather confusing this with social-aggregation-enabling implications. This is clearly made obvious when ‘the very same motif of reasoning’ is construed as of metaphysics-of-absence implications (as to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) say with respect to an animistic or medieval non-positivistic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought—madeupness/bottomlining <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> rather in social-aggregation-enabling, implying no possibility for prospective transcendence so-construed from a positivistic perspective of analysis in ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This further points out that, as herein implied with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrpticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination transcendental knowledge conceptualisations’ as putting into question a prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities, reconceptualised-rather-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, established as of its {given consciousness’s neuterising-induced}-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as its intradimensional knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, are rather as of ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment’ and

not as of ‘a grounded knowledge construct commitment’. Inherently, such ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment’ inevitably and fundamentally puts into question the axioms and underlying supposedly transcendental-enabling/sublimating notion as of the (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology which establishes its ‘grounded knowledge construct’, and so because of its denaturing of the prior institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by way of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity at the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold inducing prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so as a transitional construct that is in effect as of a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure articulation by its cross-generational transcendental implications projection. Such that such ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment’ cannot be construed in the same terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as ordinary intradimensional knowledge as of the established prior institutionalisation teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities for its ‘grounded knowledge construct’ as prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, but rather construed as of prospective ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought it more critically and organically points to the uninstitutionalised-threshold state of the present registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the prospective institutionalisation state of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus rather implies an ontological-

dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. It is psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment and not grounded knowledge construct commitment, because it is for instance about articulating ‘prospective positivism axiomatic-construct (occlusive-consciousness neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ with respect to a relatively underdeveloped registry-worldview/dimension in prior ‘non-positivism axiomatic-construct (warped-or-preclusive-consciousness neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, or in the case of articulating ‘futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism axiomatic-construct (protensive-consciousness referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ with respect to a relatively underdeveloped registry-worldview/dimension in prior ‘positivism–procrypticism axiomatic-construct (occlusive-consciousness neuterising-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness. It is important to grasp in both instances that such psychoanalytic-unshackling commitment implications are not to be understood respectively as of the uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions of non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness which will just induce their <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions for non-transcendence, but rather as of a habituated mental-projection perspective from the prospective institutionalisations of positivism or deprocrypticism reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness. Thus counterintuitively to metaphysics-of-presence conception, human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as ‘banally’ portrayed historically is not as of an expanding ‘grounded knowledge construct’ from time immemorial as of a wrong incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-reflex as if humans have had only one ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. But actually the underlying process is one of ‘a psychoanalytic-unshackling as of a succession of prospective institutionalisations maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construed from a succession of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ so implied by an ontology-driven ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ enabling successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy with respect to human notional deepening limited-mentation-capacity as of institutional-cumulation; such that counterintuitive to what we might be inclined to think, the development of human psychology is not as of ‘a grounded construction that simply varies incrementally across all times’, but rather ‘a construction which teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities/teleological-potency are sharply rearticulated in succession of institutionalisations as of ontological conflatedness’, and this is important ‘to avoid unduly considering our whole psychical-nature-and-potential as of our present positivistic institutionalisation mindset/consciousness as of metaphysics-of-presence’, but rather grasp that there are teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities/teleological-potency of our mental-projection and mental-disposition as of deprocrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘-<formative>epistemic-

totalising/circumscribing/delineating

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ beyond just what we can imagine as of our presence as positivism—procrysticism. This analysis brings out what is effectively meaningful as it shows that meaningfulness is more completely about apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights thus involving the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the {given consciousness’s neutering-induced-or-referentialism-induced}-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness and then ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ for effectively articulating their meaningfulness as of instantiative-context or existential-instantiations with respect to existence—as-the-absolute-apriori-of-conceptualisation imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring; and these are the two underlying commitments that make-up meaningfulness. Within a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation framework the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is utterly geared in an epistememic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought by mental-reflex presupposes-and-assumes the ontological absoluteness/indubitability of its ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-
 of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, and wrongly so even at its
 uninstitutionalised-threshold; such that it is only cross-generationally that it can attend
 effectively as of its transcendence to the reality of temporal denaturing of the said
 institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
 at its uninstitutionalised-threshold by elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity, pointing to its perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought, and thus the need for <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
 perception/re-thought as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
 recomposure, involving maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness,
 with respect to the implications of its ontologically deficient ‘-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-
 of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. Thus a transcendental
 engagement as articulating prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought in an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology strives to go beyond a prior
 institutionalisation <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> at its
 uninstitutionalised-threshold, which simply triggers ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-
 insights or logical-coherence’ on the basis of the priorly set/established ‘-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-
 of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ taken for granted without

questioning as of intradimensional grounded meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such a transcendental engagement recurrently put into question in conflatedness the prior institutionalisation ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold by substituting it with the prospective institutionalisation ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, before effecting any ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ for prospective institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology, and this explains its reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology; while on the other hand the grounded uninstitutionalised-threshold recurrently overrides as of constitutedness beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought any notion of its ontologically deficient ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold and just triggers ‘operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights or logical-coherence’ on that basis for its intradimensional grounded meaningfulness-and-teleology, and this explains its ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’, and explaining why transcendence fully occurs as of a cross-generational habituation process.

Remarkably, such a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process enabling the human existential tale in successive institutional-cumulations is always rather perceived intradimensionally as an exceptional-askance and unordinary. For instance, the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition in their own times advocating the end of such perverse human institutions like serfdom and slavery were construed in their own times by their dominant societies as of exceptional-askance and unordinary such that in effect these actually engendered great conflict before such practices came to an end; and such metaphysics-of-absence analysis does apply with respect to superstitions, universal human rights, free society, modern science, etc. but then as of our developed present institutionalisation the idea of not entertaining such practices is viewed as not an exceptional-askance and ordinarily to be expected. This explains human mental states respectively as of uninstitutionalised-threshold and as of prospective institutionalisation with respect to maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as the process enabling prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality hitherto considered off limits to any challenging maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness at the uninstitutionalised-threshold but then acknowledged thereafter after prospective institutionalisation; with the implication that the possibility for all prospective transcendences as of opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology arise only by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness but presences in their <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> consider

maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of exceptional-
 askance and unordinary due to their <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex avoiding being
 ontologically decentered and demented. Insightfully, this point out the circumspective nature
 of any transcendental knowledge construction exercise as of ontological-tolerance to avoid on
 the one hand outrightly articulating construed ontological-veridicality at the expense of
 avoiding any Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleological engagement,
 as such a psychoanalytical commitment necessarily recognises human potential to transcend,
 and the other hand the nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that ‘supersedes
 humankind and doesn’t factor in human moods and whims’ in its effectiveness. Caught
 between these two elements human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ‘often actually imbued
 with active and passive mental-strategies of compromise’ but which wouldn’t cut it with the
 maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness necessary for human
 development and progress. Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-
 depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and
 progress requires ontologically-veridical as
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm ‘responses’ as of universal implications and
 not temporal extricatory paradigms ‘reactions’ of mere circumstantial implications. Such a
 maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-
 totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought prospective reference-of-thought
 ‘construes as circularity and <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag pretences of knowledge and judgements
 which are rather in apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-

caricaturing in ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-
 <as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> social-
 aggregation-enabling’ when expounded by a prior reference-of-thought going by its prior
 relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, since there is no
 sound/authentic knowledge and judgements outside the prospective reference-of-thought
 relatively sound/authentic knowledge and judgements as of its ontological-normalcy/relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in an
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm; and so structurally/paradigmatically as of
 the relationship between non-positivism and positivism as well as our
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as
 preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This underlying notion of ‘notional-
 conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness construal/conceptualisation’ can further be
 expanded upon contrastively with regards to knowledge practice in manyan epistememic-
 totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
 veridicality not subject to immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework thus rather eliciting atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness that induces
 relatively poor ontological-performance. The central element here has to do with the
 pervasiveness of ‘conceptual patterning’ that actually speaks of a nombrilistic/-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag approach to conceptualising knowledge
 based on an intellectual exercise of producing patterns of thought with little consideration as

to their underlying intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. At its worst, such an orientation construes of categorisation/taxonomisation of knowledge as inherently representative of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by that mere exercise. Such a constitutedness ends up misconstruing the organical depth involved and renders all knowledge constructs so categorised/taxonomised on the same vague plane of mechanical equivalence undermining their transcendental-enabling/sublimating, originality, organic nature and more often than not turning them into platitudes as rather concerned with perceived academic formulations and formats in of themselves rather than ontological-veracity as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. The underlying mental-reflex for this intellectual disposition associated with conceptual patterning is the assumption that by mere categorising/taxonomising ideas on the basis of their similarities and differences it should be able to attain a grander truth as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. But then such an approach is naïve by its failure to reckon the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity which implies that human conceptualisation tends to develop from prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as of the incompleteness of the paradigm/structure of human reference-of-thought. Such that a naïve categorisation/taxonomisation conceptual patterning perspective on that basis equally inherits that relative-ontological-incompleteness of the paradigm/structure of human reference-of-thought; with the consequence that it is not ‘notionally structured’ to conceptually factor in human poor to perfect/near-perfect construal on the basis of conflatedness but rather suffers from constitutedness. This weakness is underlined and resolved by the notion of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—

imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that enables conflatedness in line with existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. It is such a conceptual patterning mental-reflex associated with categorising/taxonomising dispositions in constitutedness that is behind the naïve but poor influence of the saying that ‘every idea has already been thought of before’ with the nefarious consequence of ‘emphasising themes and authorial differentiation within such categorised/taxonomised thematics in of themselves’ as if an epistememic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-study mainly involves intersubjective evaluation or evaluation among humans within the scope of their mortality on the naïve assumption that such categorising/taxonomising effectively covers analytically the entirety/potency of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, whereas such is achieved rather by a conceptualising as implied by referentialism-as-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence that places existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context above intersubjective evaluation or evaluation among humans in their mortality in determining intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of intersolipsistic insight. Consider for instance that in the run up to the development of theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics in the early part of last century, the scientists involved weren’t in the exercise of evaluating their respective theories in a closed framework emphasising their respective ‘ownership-of-theories’ as mortals but rather an opened framework emphasising whosever theories contribute in disclosing intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as the superior third party. This can equally be compared to naively articulating categories/taxonomies of sounds on the basis that their constitutedness defines the entire existential possibility/potency of musical compositions

that can arise but then the ‘depth/axiomatic-construct of existence for musical compositions’ doesn’t submit to such a naïve categorising/taxonomising constitutedness but rather such ‘depth/axiomatic-construct of existence for musical compositions’ is as of an imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-instantiations that is graspable rather by a conflatedness as enabled by referentialism-as-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Given our limited-mentation-capacity, existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is then the preceding and transformative element of meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation as of our deepening limited-mentation-capacity enabling our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for grasping ontologically-veridical organic-knowledge articulated in any given <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality such that the wrong approach for prospective intellectual creation is one that simply lumps authorial articulations under given themes together in ‘mechanical association’ without factoring beforehand their respective ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating dynamism and implied organic-knowledge’ as of conflatedness. This equally underlies the pervasive disposition for misattributed and misfocused analyses as such blurry intellectual exercise becomean epistememic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-dispositions focussing less on the possibilities and insights of prospective elucidation and expansion of knowledge as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as being the transcendental-enabling/sublimating immortal/first-party, and turning more and more and placing the stakes rather on authorial second-parties/mortals competing analyses even to the extent on occasion of undermining the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating immortal/first-party. Further, such conceptual patterning will often fail

to identify the appropriate point for grasping intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as instead of emphasising conflatedness in ordinary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination projection into existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, it emphasises mere structural patterns inducing constitutedness, and so whether at specific or synoptic levels of analysis. This extends to the way issues are raised, questions are posed, as well as their supposed resolutions; ultimately lacking in providing theoretical, conceptual and operant constructs of universal applicative pertinence, and explains a certain position of closure that holds that philosophy is just a vague thinking exercise. Furthermore, whereas an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating construal highlights the ontological-contiguity of all knowledge as of their reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic relationship, conceptual patterning seem to naively imply a discreet relationship of knowledge constructs with little insight of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework interconnectedness as this is often not the primary driving focus, as it is naively assumed that the conceptual patterning is a correspondence of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of the mere structural conceptualisation in constitutedness rather than striving to expand the transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework existential-reality potential, and this easily leads to virtuality or ontologically-flawed construal. The defect of conceptual patterning is easily overlook mainly as philosophy is of first order knowledge, a level at which knowledge differentiation doesn't easily manifest itself. Such errors of conceptual patterning will hardly arise in second-level knowledge where transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications arise in a specular way. For instance, while hereditary is an underlying conceptual patterning idea in biology, it will be unthinkable to try to lump together and undermine the originality of subsequent hereditary notions of genetics

on the basis that these are of the same conceptual patterning as earlier notions like Mendelian heredity as the transcendental-enabling/sublimating differentiations are spectacular. Finally, one practical intellectual flaw arising out of such naïve categorising/taxonomising conceptual patterning has to do with a certain vague intellectual practice based on perceived intellectual pertinence in terms of the authorial ‘precedence of mentioned terms’ irrespective of association whether simple formalistic identifying of terms and notions with little consideration of the divergence of implied organic-knowledge as of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework nature and differences as well as their divergence in meaningfulness-and-teleology implications. This again leads to lumping, artificial categorising and undermines originality and organic-knowledge, turning this into simplistic mechanical associations with the more serious consequence being that the more decisive notion for human knowledge renewal as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, becomes seriously undermined; as it refers to a transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework renewal of a same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but with such effort for renewal often laden with a tradition that is naively of constitutedness undermining requisite creativity as of conflatedness, as it ‘critically presupposes beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought that prospective meaningfulness is deterministically tied down to a certain categorising/taxonomising relationship with the prior conceptualisations’ in the given <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Ultimately, the idea here is

that approaching intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with our given limited-mentation-capacity in order to achieve ontological-veracity requires a rather counterintuitive mental-reflex as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context that 'originally reconstructs the ontological-pertinence of axiomatic-constructs and their derived-conceptualisations'. Such an analytic insight as of a deprocrypticism (protensive-consciousness referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness analysis as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy, points out that actually, and according to this author's view, such a currently discussed philosophical issue as the hard problem of consciousness arises as a result of a fragmented thematic construal as of constitutedness wherein a more profound view of the philosophical enterprise as intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework here hasn't been entertained sufficiently to point out that effectively it is a problem that actually 'devolves out' of the more fundamental issue of Being as of its but is rather being posed as of a 'disjointed/fragmented analysis' as a consciousness grounded problem. This equally explains this author's construal of human consciousness development as rather of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; consciousness defined as of 'notional--<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human-subpotency/subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness'. The fundamental fact is that existence as of existential-

contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is the absolute a priori of intrinsic-reality/superseding-oneness-of-ontology prior to any human derived knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, and hence existence as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is the foundational absolute a priori any ⟨given consciousness's neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness constructs, by which our limited-mentation-capacity can most pertinently accede to by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight. Thus existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation implies it is as of the entire 'conflatedness for human construction of ontologically veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology' implied as of notional-deprocrypticism; this is notionally known as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. The implication here is that conceptualisations/construals not only of consciousness but virtue, aesthetics, episteme and nature together with their derived human notional--<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag notions like psychologisms, ethics and moralities, arts, epistemologies and methodologies, and natural sciences are but as of the ⟨given consciousness's neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as derived conceptualisations/construals of the very conflatedness

that is as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or existence-as-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/existential-possibilities. The underlying insight explaining human limited-mentation-capacity flawed mental-disposition for constitutedness lies with human misconstruing from ‘existential-instantiations’ the ontological-veridicality of axiomatic-constructs as derived from the ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. The ‘iterating nature of existential-instantiations in imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring’ as of existence’s is what provides humankind-as-of-it-subpotency with direct mental access to existential-reality/existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality, as humans don’t have direct mental access to conceptualised/construed existential-reality/existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality-as-of-its-full-potency, but rather projectively-or-anticipatorily construe of axiomatic-constructs about intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as derivable as from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring in elucidating existential-instantiations, as of ⟨given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness, and so as of the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Otherwise with a naïve mental-reflex of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of existential-instantiations, we will rather tend to wrongly construe ‘the conceptual patterning of existential-instantiations’ as rather being ‘axiomatic-constructs as of the ⟨given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as from

existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation

imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring ’, thus inducing virtualities or ontologically-flawed construals associated with the uninstitutionalised-thresholds. Thus, the ontological-veracity as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of ‘the axiomatic-constructs of a (given consciousness’s neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced)-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation

imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring ’, generating knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue implied as meaningfulness-and-teleology, is rather ensured by the construal of existential-instantiations as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness which is as of conflatedness, thus enabling the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. It is interesting to grasp here that we cannot from our ‘sense of conceptual patterning’ claim to put into question the inherent nature of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and as of its implied superseding-oneness-of-ontology, since existence is structurally/paradigmatically precedent and our conceptual patterning is arising secondarily as of our shoddy-and-incomplete construal of the ‘iterating nature of existential-instantiations’ as of existence’s imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring ; and any such pretence of conceptual patterning is nothing but a virtuality or ontologically-flawed construal as of naïve constitutedness. Of course, it is rather prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that will imply deeper ontological-veracity of the same underlying purview for the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition grounded on existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Insightfully and making the case against conceptual patterning as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-

elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of existential-instantiations, this points out that existence inherent superseding–oneness-of-ontology necessarily implies ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology is effectively as of a natural transcendental-enabling/sublimating existential-contextualising-contiguity-of-all-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness ‘in wait’ to be elucidated however imbricated/threaded/recompusured such an exercise, explaining why our knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue of a given <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in conflatedness need to be as of a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, and more than just conceptual patterning that doesn’t or poorly attends to a natural transcendental-enabling/sublimating existential-contextualising-contiguity-of-all-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. For all the above elucidations highlighting the ontological-veracity implications of constitutedness and conflatedness, it should be noted that emphasis is rather on the deficiency of limited-mentation-capacity in construing intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality such that the more profound/complete recomposuring of the very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality highlights/reflects in its subsuming interpretation the true deficiency of the shoddy/incomplete. This can be expanded upon as follows, the reason why relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/epistemic-abnormalcy/ontological-destructuring-constitutedness can only be construed with certainty-as-to-their-real-ontological-deficiency ‘rather as a constructed-deficiency of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/conflatedness’ lies in the fact that the construal/conceptualisation of an

epistememic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ‘supposedly as of a perfect or near-perfect or relatively-perfect ontological correspondence between such human construed/conceptualised meaningfulness-and-teleology and the inherent ontological-veracity/intrinsicness of the <formative>epistememic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of human construal/conceptualisation of it’. The only human construal/conceptualisation that can guarantee or relatively guarantee such a perfect or near-perfect or relatively-perfect ontological correspondence is as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/conflatedness. Since there is no direct correspondence between relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/epistememic-abnormalcy/ontological-destructuring-constitutedness with the inherent intrinsicness of the <formative>epistememic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of human construal/conceptualisation of it, it is thus only from a constructed-deficiency of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/conflatedness which has such a direct correspondence that the certainty-as-to-their-real-ontological-deficiency of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/epistememic-abnormalcy/ontological-destructuring-constitutedness can be established. A direct approach to determine the certainty-as-to-their-real-ontological-deficiency of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/epistememic-abnormalcy/ontological-destructuring-constitutedness will simply lead to a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal, as failing to elucidate the correspondence of ontological-deficiency to the inherent intrinsicness of the <formative>epistememic-totalising-devolved–

purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with such a
 virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal often wrongly involving ‘reference-of-thought—
 elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation’-as-of-upholding-ontological-
 veridicality rather than ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-
 threshold’ as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality since a logical correspondence with intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality will be vaguely implied by mental-reflex; as is often the case
 with postlogism and conjugated-postlogism. By and large, this overall conceptualisation
 explains the nature of ‘notional constructs’ as implying a variance of poor-to-perfect
 ontological-performance of the same underlying idea conceptualised as of its perfect/near-
 perfect/relatively-perfect ontological-performance as in-sync/corresponding with inherent
 intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
 existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of human construal/conceptualisation of it.
 This fully articulates the dynamic relationship of human limited-mentation-capacity as of its
 poor to perfect relationship-with/conceptualising-of existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-
 ontological-veridicality; respectively as poor as of constitutedness and as relatively-
 perfect/near-perfect/perfect conflatedness, construed as notional-conflatedness as of
 constitutedness-to-conflatedness of human limited-mentation-capacity. Insightfully, it
 highlights that constitutedness arises as of human limited-mentation-capacity
 ‘poor/unsound/shoddy/incomplete unanticipated/unprojected’ construal/conceptualisation-of-
 axiomatic-constructs-as-knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-
 notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue from ‘the
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring iterating of existential-instantiations’ as of
 ‘existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’, while conflatedness arises as of
 human limited-mentation-capacity ‘good/sound/profound/complete anticipated/projected’
 construal/conceptualisation-of-axiomatic-constructs-as-knowledge-

constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
 notions/articulations/virtue from ‘the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring iterating
 of existential-instantiations’ as of ‘existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’.
 Notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as such highlights an underlying
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of the constitutedness-to-
 conflatedness dynamism of human limited-mentation-capacity with respect to human
 ontological-performance-as-of-its-broadest-implications amenable to human-
 subpotency/‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-
 or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’, and so whether as of
 natural ontology/natural sciences, social ontology/social sciences, aesthetics-as-ontology,
 virtue-as-ontology, etc. of critical relevance is the notion of existence as of human-
 subpotency or human subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-
 or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, implying the
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of pivoting nature of human
 knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
 notions/articulations/virtue wherein it is about existence-as-enabling-of-humankind-
 potential/possibilities or existence-as-emancipatory-of-humankind-in-the-broadest-sense-of-
 its-thought-and-projective-potential-but-beyond-just-the-engrossed-contemplation-of-only-
 humankind. All knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-
 referential-notions/articulations/virtue are thus for-human-studies/for-human-constructs in the

sense that these do not add anything to the given abstract/imaginary existence but are simply enabling to human curiosity and emancipation; that is, whether humans in 2000 BC or 2000 AD are knowledgeable about notions as genetics, theory-of-relativity, universal human rights, etc. doesn't add anything to 'abstract/imaginary existence as a pre-given' pointing to the fact that human existence is about human-subpotency construed as of successive defining transcendently-enabled-institutionalisation-levels-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as levels of human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-'notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity'-to-'attain-sublimating-humanity',-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). Thus in effect the natural sciences are actually for-human-studies/for-human-constructs whose specific ambit of human-subpotency is about 'human consciousness as for material and physical effecting devolving teleologies as meaningfulness' while the social domains of study are actually for-human-studies/for-human-constructs whose specific ambit of human-subpotency is about 'human consciousness inherent effecting devolving teleologies as meaningfulness'. This validates the idea of dualism as ultimately human-subpotency effecting can only arise from the conflatedness of human consciousness in-its-embodiment as the potent 'phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle' for human self-

conscious existence and meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation as of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, whereas the human body as matter though physically existent cannot as of such its constitutedness conception be construed/conceptualised as of such a ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle’. In the bigger framework, human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) as of collective human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity implies that human knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue inherently suffer in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures from ‘an extended metaphysics-of-presence deficiency’ on human ontological-performance that can be traceable as of a notional-deprocrypticism ‘extended metaphysics-of-absence insight’ construed as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing; and we can always grasp insightfully of human existential hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances from the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/relative-ontological-normalcy as of base-institutionalisation realisation of the hyperbole of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation realisation of the hyperbole of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism realisation of the hyperbole of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticism realisation of the hyperbole of positivism/procrypticism. historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of notional-deprocrypticism perspective refers to the underlying idiosyncratic, intricate, compounded and pervasive succession of premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-

psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> as of notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness from human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as it reflects relative ontological-performance-as-of-its-broadest-implications of any {given consciousness's neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced}-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as its intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue and as the registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought 'abstract teleological-structure/teleological-possibilities'; and it reflects any given registry-worldview's/dimension's specific institutionalisation-by-uninstitutionalisation-or-uninstitutionalised-threshold dialectical-thinking/dementing construct as a specific aesthetic trace of 'ontologically elevated-by-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold. historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism equally supersedingly enlightens the idea of holism which is often somewhat articulated as in the statement 'the whole is greater than the sum of its parts' but failing to specifically clarify that 'limited-mentation-capacity constitutedness conceptualisation construes of an 'ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness that is relatively shoddy and incomplete' and generates virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal when it construes of parts and whole in a given <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality and so as a derived/unoriginary mental-reflex as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, whereas limited-mentation-capacity

conflatedness conceptualisation as of notional-deprocrypticism-as-preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construes of a ‘non-mediating incisive as
 referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness
 profoundness/completeness’ by an incisive <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation/re-perception/re-thought that further expands human grasp of the given
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality as a non-derived/original mental-reflex of maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. The latter is effectively what relays the ontological-
 veracity of the <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-
 as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality implied axiomatic-construct as of
 completeness/profoundness subsuming the reality of the perceived whole and parts within the
 incisive conflatedness; pointing out that the fundamental issue is how human limited-
 mentation-capacity effectively construes intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of its
 profoundness/completeness. Consider in this particular regards the intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality reflected as akin to an engineering product like a jet engine
 wherein the conceptualisation is an incisive conflatedness that goes beyond the whole and
 parts of the jet engine to grasp a conceptualisation profoundness/completeness of required
 critical performances like fuel burn, maintenance cycles, robustness, etc. construed as of the
 articulated depth of the reference-of-thought of aircraft engine engineering science. This
 overall notional conception extends as well to the various ways by which human limited-
 mentation-capacity ‘accosts’ intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, bringing about the
 various registry-worldviews/dimensions categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—
 ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness induced

neuterising or prospectively deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness meaningfulness-and-teleology. That is, the deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in its referencing of conflatedness, with no intermediating construct as of constitutedness, thus achieves ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness meaningfulness-and-teleology. While the occlusive/preclusive/warped/trepidatious-consciousnesses mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments by their successive intermediating categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive constructs as of constitutedness on conflatedness induce their successively categorising/qualifying/tendentious/impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-respective-specific-constitutedness meaningfulness-and-teleology. This ultimately points to the centrality of the implications of the 'notion of limited-mentation-capacity' as of its deprocrypticism referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness as a notional conception in construing meaningfulness-and-teleology, while avoiding its ontologically-flawed constitutedness construals in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the various neuterising. Hence the 'notion of limited-mentation-capacity' as it overcomes ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness towards ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness is what is effectively and ontologically defining of issues of reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology given that as of its ontologically veridical conflatedness it is the cumulative recomposuring of human limited-mentation-capacity as deepening limited-mentation-capacity that is behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process itself, and also underlies temporal-to-intemporal individuations differentiation as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-and-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as

of limited-mentation-capacity, and as this is so-conceptualised from the ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective of deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness sound conceptualisation perspective’. This equally underlies and is in sync with the notion of candidity/candour-capacity as a variance of the same as of notional-deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness sound conceptualisation perspective’. It is the 'notion of limited-mentation-capacity' that as of its deficiency is falsely-composited by ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’ into ontologically-flawed constructs of neuterising. historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism highlights that humankind in its projected-or-anticipated relationship with ‘existence as-the-absolute-a-priori’ is rather in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, and not the full potency of existence; existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought construed rather as ‘shoddy-and-incomplete actualising in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ of the full potency of existence. Existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought refers to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s overall historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism construct, wherein its <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construes beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of its ‘projected-or-anticipated-grandest-existential-axiomatic-construct’ as the absolute framework of ontological-performance-as-of-its-broadest-implications and thus failing to factor in the implications on its ontological-performance of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-

of-reference-of-thought as this induces <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought thus highlights the overall constitutedness of humankind's access to existence given the 'imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of iterating-of-existential-instantiations ', such that humankind's axiomatic-construct/theory of existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of its 'projected-or-anticipated-grandest-existential-axiomatic-construct as reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness' is rather as of various successive relative constitutedness implied with the successive institutionalisations, and explains a natural human mental-disposition to nihilism as of each of such institutionalisation's <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> at its uninstitutionalised-threshold in a mental-reflex aversion of an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology behind the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism equally implies a humankind outlier/originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination and effective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness capacity for inducing the requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling registering-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-rather-as-dementing-and-decentered-to-the-prior-institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and its alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic while construing prospective opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as dialectical-thinking-and-centered-to-the-prospective-institutionalisation's-categorical-

imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-in-authenticity, thus literally expanding human access to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as to the existential possibilities that arise with successive institutional-cumulations or institutional-recomposures associated with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This thus divulges the essence of existence as ‘the full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’. In other words existence is already given rather as of its potency, and the real problem of existence is humankind’s access to existential possibilities as of humankind’s limited-mentation-capacity. That is, human transcendence is what achieves existence as a ‘potent construct’, as the notion of existence-as-a-grounded-construct doesn’t-make-sense/is-unavailable for any specific human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought as an epistememic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construct, including our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, as this will falsely imply that our reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is ‘developed enough’ as of Being-and-contemplation to have achieved the full potency of existence to then know what’s existence whereas in reality such <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag highlights human-subpotency/subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence. Thus our construal of existence can only be an ‘as of existence’ exercise that rather highlights human potential to transcend towards grasping existence/existential-possibilities; with that potency only instigated as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-

underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality for transcendence. Basically, existence as of prospective base-institutionalisation
 reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-an-<formative>epistemic-totalising—
 self-referencing-syncretising-meniality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to
 recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought but for the former transcendental
 instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality, existence as of prospective universalisation reference-of-thought is circularly-
 unintelligible-but-for-an-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-
 meniality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to base-institutionalisation—
 ununiversalisation reference-of-thought but for the former transcendental instigation as of
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, existence as of
 prospective positivism reference-of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-an-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-meniality-or-hyperbole-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology to universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-
 thought but for the former transcendental instigation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, and prospectively human-subpotency futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism reference-
 of-thought is circularly-unintelligible-but-for-an-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-
 referencing-syncretising-meniality-or-hyperbole-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to
 positivism—procrypticism reference-of-thought but for the former transcendental instigation
 as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; such that all that is left of permanence determination about existence is its transcendental construct as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Interestingly, from our vantage positivism/rational-empiricism perspective, we’ll certainly construe the supposed intradimensional resolution of existential issues of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of ontological-performance including virtue-as-ontology arising in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of base-institutionalisation superseding projection/anticipation, and same with base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation as intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of universalisation superseding projection/anticipation, and same with universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism as intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of positivism/rational-empiricism superseding projection/anticipation, but we won’t or hardly construe of the same as of our <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag about our positivism—procrypticism as it being of intradimensional meniality-or-hyperbole and rather resolvable as of deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought superseding projection/anticipation! This points to the flaw of a Heideggerian Dasein conceptualisation as it wrongly implies ‘humankind has any developed mental state as of Being-and-contemplation in any past-to-present epoch’ to ‘fully register as of that epoch’s metaphysics-of-presence’ what is existence/existential-possibilities not factoring Being conflatedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as rather driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, and further in

contradiction to the notion of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances). Existence is rather a ‘potency construct of transcendence as of human existential potential’ and not ‘a grounded construct for construing existence’ as wrongly implied/attempted with the Heideggerian Dasein notion, as all what ‘grounding’ does is to wrongly elevate the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in which such a construct is articulatedly grounded thus contradictorily undermining the possibility for transcendence by wrongly implying that the said registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is of absolute ontological-performance, whereas it is deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality in inducing prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments that allows for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought thus expanding human notion of existence/existential-possibilities. Anecdotally, the prophesying social scientists of their times who insist on the recurrence of the practices of the creed are ‘not stupid’ as they know very well that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for meaningfulness-and-teleology are just that with respect to an animal of limited-mentation-capacity beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought who is bound to circularly elicit shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology on such renewed reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for meaningfulness-and-teleology and further denaturing them as of the prospective institutionalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold! In other words and as relevant with all other registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendental implications, base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology cannot

truly be-grounded-as-explained to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as this wrongly implies the latter's reference-of-thought as of its reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is a sound basis for construing the meaningfulness-and-teleology of base-institutionalisation inducing rather a circular-complexification of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought as it adopts by mental-reflex an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-disposition rather than a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition and thus fails to fulfil the requisite registering-of-its-reference-of-thought-rather-as-dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and its alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics, which is what allows for transcendence to prospective base-institutionalisation reference-of-thought for cross-generational renewal as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; but rather such unground articulation is one rather eliciting prospective metaphoricity as of its implied prospective existential reference. Transcendence implies that as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances), humankind has no 'absolute past-or-present ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought' for grounding the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as such pretence circularly turns into constitutedness at the given reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold; highlighting the fact that human potential attainment of

the deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is actually a ‘perpetual transcendence’ as of notional-deprocrypticism as notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which points out that the various uninstitutionalised-thresholds from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to procrypticism are actually levels of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and that the various institutionalisations from base-institutionalisation to notional-deprocrypticism are actually levels of preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought all reflected as of notional-deprocrypticism. The validity of the construal of existence as-of-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness rather as transcendence is that in the state of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) humankind can only credibly adopt a ‘conflatedness exercise’ rather as of effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology in re-projection-or-re-anticipation to match existence as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness given existential ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of iterating-of-existential-instantiations ’ to further elevate its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This thus validates the notion that existence can only be construed as a transcendental conflatedness as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality and not as a

grounded constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity wrongly inducing <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Transcendence emphasises organic-knowledge as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality underlying conflatedness pointing to the ‘false certainty and denaturing implications’ involved with knowledge construed mechanically as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity in a constitutedness and extricatory relationship with human <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances), failing to factor in maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Existence as of its potency implies that what underlies historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism is always the issue of ‘divulging prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ as of conflatedness, and so as the very essence of human limited-mentation-capacity relating to existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Hence the very essence of a deprocrypticism institutionalisation is one that comes into terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct with existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness and as reflected in transcendence as of conflatedness in avoiding meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing involved with grounded constitutedness

posturing. Operantly, the phenomenological quest for an underlying and superseding knowledge construct, construed here as an enabling construct of <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance determination as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances), is fulfilled by the notion of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought/nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought as the construct that reflects any registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism highlighting the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the implications of its conflatedness as its given reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology and its constitutedness as of the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of its given prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This author’s notion of centered-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology as ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ fundamentally grasps that the Derridean critique of centered–epistemic-totalisation as

impossible to achieve and postulation instead of decentered-infinite-freeplay is actually a critique arising on the implied assumption of finite human limited-mentation-capacity as of its impossibility as finitely limited to come into the full terms of grasping the full potency of existence/existential-possibilities; but then this author construes that human limited-mentation-capacity is not finite as it deepens as of the possibility of transcendence enabled as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributional-dialectics thus involving paradigmatic/structural transformations/shifts of human limited-mentation-capacity reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ to grasp existence/existential-possibilities, such that as of notional-deprocrpticism or notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process retrospectively to prospectively, centered-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its attaining of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is/can-be achieved as ‘involving the superseding/transcending of successively defining human finitudes as the uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds towards attaining successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as the institutionalisations’. This thus undermines the implications of a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay in its critique of ‘centered—epistemic-totalisation as of circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative deficient/flawed ontological-performance’ since such a criticism is based on assuming only a same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought, and so-construed mainly because such a Derridean conception construes of centered—epistemic-totalisation as only within one registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, failing to

reflect the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of notional-deprocrypticism implied paradigmatic/structural shifts of reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ overcome the limitation of ‘centered—epistemic-totalisation circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative deficient/flawed ontological-performance’ within a same reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ by way of the successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as enabling successive prospective reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ marked by the shift of ‘centered—epistemic-totalisation circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology inducing relatively less and less deficient/flawed ontological-performance’ right up to the attainment of deprocrypticism ‘centered—epistemic-totalisation circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology of theoretically perfect/sound ontological-performance’; given that the ‘succession of institutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument rules of the successive reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’s’ overcome retrospectively to prospectively the problem of human limited-mentation-capacity by its deepening thus inducing successive human transcendence of human finitudes as uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds. Here as well the Derridean postulation of decentered-infinite-freeplay in lieu of such a conceptualisation of a ‘projected ultimate centered—epistemic-totalisation circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology of theoretically perfect/sound ontological-performance’, as implied by this author’s notion of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, operantly displays the philosophical tradition problem of constitutedness as failing to project of the transformational implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ for

successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in bringing about successive registry-worldviews/dimensions as of conflatedness that prospectively ultimately grasps the centered-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process or notional-deprocrypticism. Despite such a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay conception being the most radical attempt hitherto to overcome the philosophical tradition constitutedness, it perfectly grasps the implications to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance of ‘centered—epistemic-totalisation as of circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative deficient/flawed ontological-performance’ but rather as within a same horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. However, it fails to grasp that such a centered—epistemic-totalisation itself arises because an axiomatic-construct is a circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance of the very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality it refers to, and so-implied by extension with respect to a given reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ a centered—epistemic-totalisation is rather the circular meaningfulness-and-teleology representation of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as the said reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ is ‘supposedly always the systemic and indefinite resolution’ of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Now, the issue of a centered—epistemic-totalisation defect arises where the given reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ is ontologically-flawed/deficient as it

will systematically induce a ‘centered–epistemic-totalisation circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative deficient/flawed ontological-performance’ construed as of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. But then human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) achieving prospectively of an ultimately theoretically perfect/sound reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the full ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as notional-deprocrypticism implies the circular ontologically-flawed/deficient implications of centered–epistemic-totalisation are done away with as of ontological-completeness with the <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of the reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with such a conceptualisation of centered–epistemic-totalisation also construed as transcendental centered–epistemic-totalisation or extrapolated-centered–epistemic-totalisation or extrapolatory–epistemic-totalisation or transcendental–epistemic-totalisation and reflects the reality that a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay can also be construed as an interpolatory–epistemic-totalisation or interpolated-decentered–epistemic-totalisation. For instance, we can grasp that ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ is a given ‘centered–epistemic-totalisation circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology of ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ as of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of less ontological-performance of ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-

reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality', while with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs brings about a new 'centered—epistemic-totalisation/circularity of meaningfulness-and-teleology of 'the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality' as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought as we can do more things with the latter axiomatic-construct more-profound/grander meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance; and interestingly, physicists will surely fancy that they could do better in ultimately grasping theoretically the full-potency of existence divulgeable as of 'the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality' with an ambition for a theory of everything. However, a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay is nevertheless critical as a first step for breaking away from a prior centered—epistemic-totalisation of a very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in relative deficient/flawed ontological-performance, and thus by extension with regards to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality which is a given reference-of-thought, construed as 'reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness'; and for all practical matters this has been the way Derridean deconstruction has been commonly applied as in effect all our meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance has been as of our positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought-as-of-'reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness' horizon and such a Derridean decentered-infinite-freeplay is an inspired conception providing

the groundwork as it initiates the centered–epistemic-totalisation exercise for the insight of a futural *différance* as of the latter’s transcendental–epistemic-totalisation that underlies conflatedness in breaking with the philosophical tradition or human knowledge conceptualisation tradition or towards fulfilling the understanding of Being. In this regard talking about the physics example again, such a Derridean freeplay *différance* is akin to the ‘putting in question exercise’ that surrounds the cooperation/mutual-complementing-ideas-among-various-physicists leading up to the critical breakthroughs; which then establish such physics centered–epistemic-totalisation schemes as Newtonian physics and later on Theory-of-relativity and Quantum-mechanics, and today with respect to various theoretical efforts with the potential of leading to a physics Theory of Everything. Inherent to futural *différance* is the notion of <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, construed in the immediate-and-short-term as of ‘self-referencing’ as the uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal individuations circular undermining of the prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic implied transformation/shift as transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as well as the idea of temporal individuations ‘syncretising’ that underlies a spiralling cross-generational increasing undermining of the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought which is in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with its ultimate cross-generational collapsing for the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought; and so as of prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction dynamism with increasing social universal-transparency as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought. Insightfully again, this idea of

infinite-possibilities/circularity implied as of a Derridean infinite-decentered-freeplay of a given meaningful-frame/axiomatic-construct/model such as mathematical models/axiomatic-constructs circularity is familiar to physicists and other scientists who understand that there is no infinity in the real-world/existence and infinity showing up in mathematical models/axiomatic-constructs point to the fact that there is a circular or undefined or undecidable problem arising from poor human limited-mentation-capacity conceptualisation implying the given mathematical model/axiomatic-construct is in circular-existential-disjointedness-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of the axiomatic-construct relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in constitutedness, and thus a need for a more ontologically-complete mathematical model/axiomatic-construct that as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) then resolves/overcomes the circularity/circular-existential-disjointedness-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness reflected in the prior mathematical model/axiomatic-construct by the infinities-as-circular-or-undefined-or-undecidable with a new mathematical model/axiomatic-construct in relative ontological-contiguity as of conflatedness, and so as of the very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; and so because human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) induces paradigmatically/structurally grander human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance of human implicit-or-explicit constructed axiomatic-constructs of purviews/domains of construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and this equally applies by extension to reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-

reality/ontological-veridicality. It should be noted thus that an axiomatic-construct is as of an implied correspondence with the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and it supersedes and is defining of logic which is rather the 'inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions' as reflected with any given explicated axiomatic-construct in the same way that insight/intuition is reflected rather with regards to any given implicated axiomatic-constructs; with an axiomatic-construct such as an idea or a concept or a notion or a theory being any conception as of meaningfulness-and-teleology of supposed existential-implications correspondence. That is the traditional knowledge conception articulated as 'axioms of logic' is rather vague, with the appropriate articulation being rather 'logic of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought', as the axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought is the effective human limited-mentation-capacity supposed correspondence relation with existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation for human-subpotency possibilities for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, with increasing ontological-performance as of human transcendence; even though such a conception as 'axioms of logic' could be perceived rather as a meta-conception or more like a technical practicality akin to say the scaffolding of a building! In other words as the 'inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions', logic and by extension mathematics imply elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, whereas axiomatic-constructs as reflecting ecstatic-existence/the-

nature-of-the-world/conditions are construed in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-
 validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking
 as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. But then as of
 ‘ontology of logic’ and ‘ontology of mathematics’ as their very own respective
 conceptualised meta-axiomatic-constructs as ontologies in terms of reflecting their
 philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-
 ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-
 enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, both logic and
 mathematics are construed practically as formalisations which are mainly as such constructs
 of faithful/reproducible syntaxisation on the supposed basis of ‘smarter and simpler
 articulations’ for the sake of succinctness, clarity and fungibility; however, without the
 implication of any other inherent transcendental-enabling/sublimating of such formalisations
 besides their succinctness, clarity and fungibility usefulness ‘thus-limitedly construed as their
 inherent meta-conceptualised ontological-veracity/axiomatic-construct of logic and
 mathematics transcendental-enabling/sublimating’. But then it is naïve to construe of
 mathematics, as logicians have tended to do, as essentially an exercise of mathematical
 formalisation. The fact is that mathematics have always been developed implicitly or
 explicitly in association with or inspired from the context/existential-contextualising-
 contiguity of other applied and transcendental-enabling/sublimating activities as of their
 axiomatic-constructs development and mathematics very own existential-reality of developed
 axiomatic-constructs applicative orientation, including developing together with heavily
 dependent mathematics domains like physics, engineering, other applied sciences and
 statistical studies. This latter situation which is more real than generally said and makes of
 mathematics ‘an <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-
 as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality created axiomatic-constructs’

and more so than the ‘abstract romantic image portrayed as of the mere manipulation of numbers and forms’ as if not inspired as of existential-reality contextuality itself. Thus naively taking cue from the formalisation of mathematics as if it will enable the inherent transcendental-enabling/sublimating of any discipline is bound to lead to disappointment, as the inherent axiomatic-constructs as theories, concepts, notions and ideas of the existential domain in question have to be critically developed as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for logic and mathematics to then be relevant as of a secondary tool or at best a concomitant tool. In this regards, the ‘truly mathematical proof’ (over and above any formal mathematical proof) is rather about validation/invalidation of any such mathematics as it can be so-demonstrable in the occurrence of existential phenomena/manifestations; even as such a mathematical demonstration is rather so ‘existentially nominal’ that such phenomenal/manifest veracity of mathematics is often for all practical purposes mostly overlooked by mathematicians when involved in their formalisation exercise including ‘formal proofs’ as to the fact that the existential validation/invalidation of mathematics is so nominally obvious that hardly any experimenting is warranted for confirmation and this existential nominalism can easily lead to a reductionist confusion that mathematics (as to its epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> with regards to the ontological-contiguity of existence’) is not priorly subject to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal (and this very insight about the ‘existentially nominal’ sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation of mathematics as of a ‘very existentially nominal acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as to the mere adequacy of formalised mathematics’ explains on the other hand why the mere introduction of mathematics, statistics and data in domains requiring

‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’ is not construed as sublimating-validation in such domains where such mathematics, statistics and data are rather ‘distracting-from and not-contributing-to’ the inherent domain’s epistemic-conceptions phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> given ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’). In physics the Newtons, Leibnizes, Einsteins, Poincarés, Schrodingers, Bohrs had to elicit the transcendental-enabling/sublimating of the physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality created axiomatic-constructs with mathematics being accessory to the transcendental-enabling/sublimating. They didn’t just start to develop ‘patterns of mathematical equations’ without the prior insight about the physics domain and what to strive for, and actually from that ‘physics reality precedence perspective’ got the insight to further develop their relevant branches of mathematics. Nor do even pure mathematicians just go about constructing ‘mathematical patterns’ as of formalisation without striving to get insight and inspiration from existential-reality as transcendental-enabling/sublimating; and we can appreciate in this regards how the human mathematical disposition adjust from a classical reflex with regards to existential phenomena/manifestations that assume a non-classical character like statistical-constructs, quantum phenomena, black holes, etc. as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal. The naivety of logicism lies exactly in this respect of construing formalisation as most of what is

supposed to be achieved, and failing to grasp that when it comes to social reality its own transcendental-enabling/sublimating has to be ‘creatively construed’, and this in many ways explains the frustrated conclusion that will often then arise from such a naïve formalisation perspective that the philosophical exercise is not necessarily transcendental-enabling/sublimating, contrary to the precept of all other knowledge! Thus the conceptualisation of logic implied by any given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality points to the fact that the various registry-worldviews/dimensions operate their own conception of logic as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as we can appreciate inherently as of metaphysics-of-absence that however deficient, that each registry-worldview/dimension does have its own sense of logic as of its self-conscious construed meaningfulness-and-teleology. The notion of an absolutely valid logic can only arise on the backdrop of an absolutely valid reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as implied by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation, wherein such a logic is its ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. In this regard, the link-up of all the concepts and notions articulated herein by this author speaks of ‘suprastructural logic’ that is critically articulated as of a prospective notional-deprocrypticism psychoanalytic-unshackling metaphysics-of-absence and conflatedness, and further subsumed in the word candidity or candour-capacity. Such ‘suprastructural logic’ is even more damning about the naïve

constitutedness construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology that besets the knowledge and philosophical tradition. Such a conception of logic and logical analysis points to the <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag naivety and vagueness involved when construing logic and logical analysis as absolute without any explicitly implied or formulated reference-of-thought, construed as ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’; usually in our case, in a non-transcendental <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that is unconsciously implied as of our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension. Insightfully, such a ‘suprastructural logic’ undermines metaphysical notions like good, essence and truth as being naively construed as of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and in lieu emphasises Being construed as ontology’s-directedness-as-Being which best reflects and captures meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’. Being as of its implied notional-deprocrypticism’s conflatedness provides elucidation to such question as: what is the meaning of good/truth/essence in a recurrent-utter-institutionalised, an ununiversalised or a non-positivistic society? And invariably the answers will be a vague <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of each registry-worldview/dimension,

and it is rather the emanant insight of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that carries the prospective transcendences which are the resolution of the successive prior registry-worldview's/dimension's uninstitutionalised-threshold vices-and-impediments; and so by successive Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism respectively, and prospectively deprocrypticism. Being construed as of ontology's-directedness-as-Being thus enables the superseding of <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence. Further, the fact is that it is rather axiomatic-constructs whether explicit or implicit that are supposedly in a meaningfulness-and-teleology correspondence relation withan epistememic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality as of their given meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; so-construed as of the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity when developing axiomation-constructs, with the latter subject to their transcendence when prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought avails prospectively with regards to their meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. The implications here as well are that implicit axiomatic-constructs like analogies and supposed intuitions/insights that do not reflect/align as of the coherence/contiguity of superseding—oneness-of-ontology implied as of the full-potency of existence coherence/contiguity, are ontologically naïve and vague. Thus axiomatic-constructs

ontological-veracity are dependent on relative ontological-contiguity; as axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought in relative ontological-contiguity of <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality. An axiomatic-construct is in such relative ontological-contiguity by its conflatedness as of the coherence/contiguity of superseding—oneness-of-ontology implied as of the full-potency of existence coherence/contiguity. An ‘axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> as of an epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ highlights two points of failure/as-discontinuity of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, having to do with its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument unsoundness-or-inauthenticity and by derivation the aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring; such that a more ontologically-complete ‘axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought in relative ontological-contiguity as of the very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ exists rather beyond the scope of construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prior ‘axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> as of the very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. Thus the relationship between a prospective institutionalisation and the uninstitutionalised-threshold is one of relative ontological-contiguity—by—notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> of their differing references-of-thought as of the-

very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; for instance, with regards to the relative ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought implied as of base-institutionalisation over the relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> of reference-of-thought implied as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, as of their differing references-of-thought and thus implied logic with regards to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, reflected as of relative mutual unintelligibility. In axiomatic-construct terms, it is ‘mentally-unsound/demented and by derivation illogical’ to be insisting on articulating notions of relevance to the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs like space-time or quanta in terms of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ as of their respectively corresponding relative ontological-contiguity and relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>, and so with regards to ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. Such mutual unintelligibility, with regards to reference-of-thought, speaks of differing ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as axiomatic-construct’ of the differing references-of-thought, with the traditional philosophical and knowledge anti-psychologism stance fundamentally grounded on a mix-up about the nature of ‘axioms wrongly construed as elements of logic’ as implied with statements like ‘axioms of logic’ rather than the fact that axiomatic-constructs are ‘ontological wholes of correspondence’ as of supposed correspondence with <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality and thus carry transcendental-enabling/sublimating

implications as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-
 totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), whereas logic and logical analysis
 is rather the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-
 existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and at best yields formalisations grounded on
 the implied ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as
 axiomatic-construct’ but doesn’t reify meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge which can
 only arise as of the ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
 affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
 validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as axiomatic-
 construct’. Such a logicism disposition is rather in constitutedness and is behind such naïve
 contention that philosophy doesn’t carry transcendental implications and actually undermines
 other approaches that strive for transcendental-enabling/sublimating by way of conceptual
 patterning arguments blinded to transcendental implications of knowledge as derived from
 existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. In the bigger scheme of things, this
 author holds that the deepest ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle’ in
 the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of transcendence
 reflected by metaphysics-of-absence is wholly sufficient as of human limited-mentation-
 capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) in accounting for ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
 veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of relative ontological-

contiguity of reference-of-thought with regards to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This author phenomenological transcendental conception is articulated as of non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant implications construing/conceptualising in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, not as an external speculative dialectics, but as a wholly internal natural dialectics in conflatedness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Such that human phenomenological <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) is the ‘complete scientific archaeological depth’ for grasping ontology and Being as of the conflatedness of human limited-mentation-capacity implications construed from notional-deprocrypticism perspective as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, and consequently doesn’t carry any external ideological implication but rather for the inherent ontological and Being implications. Further as of such phenomenological transcendental conflatedness, there is no issue about existence itself as it is pre-given, as existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, but rather an issue to humankind arising as of human-subpotency in the full-potency of existence with all the problem of existence being the issue of humankind’s limited-mentation-capacity implications as failing Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. The phenomenological insight here about the nature of ‘existence as so construed as of

ontology's-directedness-as-Being' is that Being is the conflatedness as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation selectivity inherent in existence that rather skews presence states towards the 'ontological statistical-exception' of intemporality/longness over temporality/shortness possibilities, thus rendering existence as of relative teleological orderliness and not teleological chaos in the case were all ontological-possibilities as of temporality-to-intemporality were to be arising in equivalence/equal-measure. Thus, such ontology's-directedness-as-Being conflatedness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness existentially supersede abstract/imagined/misconstrued/virtual constitutedness possibilities as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity implications that are effectively as of non-existence. The further implication is that human 'prior existential-reality insight as arising by conflatedness as of the coherence/contiguity of ontology's-directedness-as-Being' rather 'points to the ontological-veracity of prospective existential-reality as of conflatedness upholding prospective coherence/contiguity of ontology's-directedness-as-Being'; wherein as of human-subpotency the ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as leading up to our present positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension speaks of a conflatedness as of successive opened-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and from which Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology exercise we can't as of soundness-or-authenticity exculpate

ourselves to then pretend ours is the registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought that is non-transcendable as of our <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, when the insight of prospective transcendence implications as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought avails, and so as the conflatedness upholding prospective coherence/contiguity of ontology's-directedness-as-Being. This further explains why there is need for corresponding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to human technical development, and as with prior technologies future technologies will necessarily imply renewed human self-consciousness which is not by itself a given and needs to be 'thought through and effectively conceptualised' with respect to the future implications of human development, nuclear weapons knowledge, electronic communication, artificial intelligence, etc. as 'intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity' is subject to epistemic-decadence as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. Such 'ontological statistical-exception' of intemporality/longness as of ontology's-directedness-as-Being permeates all existential processes including life itself. This explains why the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness mental-disposition behind the 'inventing' of prior institutionalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—

as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as prior ontology's-directedness-as-Being is necessarily the requisite mental-disposition for the 'inventing' of prospective institutionalisation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as prospective ontology's-directedness-as-Being; and so, overcoming temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology on <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of uninstitutionalised-threshold failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. Ultimately, phenomenology is all about grasping the conflatedness of ontology's-directedness-as-Being. Furthermore, just as a transcendental-enabling/sublimating biological science in relative ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought will dissociate modern day heredity DNA genetics as of its theoretical, conceptual, methodological, operant and applicative implications from say 19th century Mendelian heredity however its inherent merits, and will not naively purport to analyse the former on the grounds of the latter which as axiomatic-construct is in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> on the basis of a naïve conceptual patterning implied as of the common term 'heredity'; this author likewise is very much critical and averse to such conceptual patterning mental-reflexes imbued in traditional non-transcendental philosophical and knowledge analysis all too ready to construe and articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in sophistic/pedantic conceptual patterning terms overlooking transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications, and failing to fathom that conceptual patterning is no substitute for transcendental-enabling/sublimating work required for all knowledge notwithstanding setbacks and failures that may be involved, given the reality that human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance arises as

an exercise of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of relatively profound and complete axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality!

Consider for instance criticisms often levied against post-structuralism and specifically Derridean deconstruction as simply convoluted expressions of familiar and trite ideas. But then the effective transcendental-enabling/sublimating insight as of their applications arising in the social sciences and literal studies clearly demonstrate otherwise. Further many such critiques have tended to be naïve about what passes for theory whereby naïve conceptual patterning of general knowledge are articulated devoid of ‘new theory’, with little or no transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications, which in reality is nothing more than a sophistry of argument from authority. This conception of relatively profound and complete axiomatic-constructs/reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity can equally be demonstrated in graphical terms as a problem ‘not along the curve created-by-human-limited-mentation-capacity’ in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of axiomatic-construct but rather a problem arising as of the need for ‘a change of the curve to-be-created-by-deepening-human-limited-mentation-capacity’ in relative ontological-contiguity of axiomatic-construct for grander human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, as of the very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. The <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought involves taking cue from existence/existential-contextualising-contiguity/contexts as of existential-instantiations

imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring in a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness exercise as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality; wherein say with a demand curve, the insight as of human limited-
 mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal) of a significant rise in consumers’ salaries implies that everything
 else being equal the demand curve-axiomatic-construct will shift to the right as of relative
 ontological-contiguity. The notion of axiomatic-construct in ontological-contiguity arises out
 of its existential completeness and profoundness, for instance the axiomatic-construct in
 ontological-contiguity as concept of a bicycle arises by the completeness and profoundness of
 the bicycle in its existential wholeness of functionality and contents as its ontological-
 contiguity. Ontological-contiguity rather highlights relative perspectives as of ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence depths of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought of construal;
 which for instance renders the idea of general relativity in relative ontological-contiguity and
 newtonian physics in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-⟨mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema⟩ rather as uncorrelated, whereas a notion of
 ‘continuity of ontology’ as is implied by ‘ontological-continuity as of relative ontological-
 continuity and relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-⟨mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema⟩’ will seem to imply correlatedness by the very
 nature of the term continuity. Ultimately, the overall analysis above points out that this is not
 an inherent ontological-as-of-the-full-potency-of-existence problem but rather a problem of
 human-subpotency as of its limited-mentation-capacity that is resolvable by the deepening of
 human limited-mentation-capacity as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
 stranding/attributive-dialectics with respect to reference-of-thought; as contrary to the
 ‘Derridean différance decentering’ freeplay that is entrapped in circularity of meaningfulness-

and-teleology on the wrong implied assumption of the same perpetual horizon as registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought so-implied as of our positivism mental-disposition, a ‘futural différence’ recognises that human limited-mentation-capacity transcendence brings about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus it centers-as-dialectical-thinking the prospective institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought to override the circularity as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of meaningfulness-and-teleology implications of the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought-as-of-‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and thus broadening human-subpotency in the full-potency of existence/existential-possibilities as implied retrospectively to prospectively with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of notional-deprocrypticism. What underlies such a centered-epistemic-totalisation as of its transcending nature, is that given humankind’s limited-mentation-capacity as of human-subpotency in its <formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) with respect to the full-potency that is the ‘inherent centered-epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’, humankind devises its meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its requisite human-subpotency ontological-performance to construe of the ‘inherent centered-epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’ by way of ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-

of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
notions/articulations/virtue’ as of human comprehension/deciphering of underlying rules/non-
rules as from existential-instantiations in imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring
supposedly reflecting the ‘inherent centered–epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’, with such
human-subpotency approximation construed by the specific human existential-extrication-as-
of-existential-unthought historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing
underlying the successive institutionalisations/finitudes in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. But then this highlights six issues with
respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance with regards to such
implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-
and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-
referential-notions/articulations/virtue. Firstly, this has to do with the successive
institutionalisations reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-
meaningfulness prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought due to
human limited-mentation-capacity of projection-or-anticipation in grasping the ‘inherent
centered–epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’. Secondly, even within each of the successive
given institutionalisations as of their given underlying specific rules there is a variance of
meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance among human individuations-as-
mental-dispositions-manifested-by-individuals,-with-the-individual-construed-as-the-
existential-receptacle-of-temporal-to-intemporal-possibilities-of-individuations as of
intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation that
notionally upholds the given institutionalisation's reference-of-thought–categorical-

imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and as of temporality/shortness individuations that in its relative ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought fails to uphold the given institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology due to lack of social universal-transparency in the social-stake-contention-or-confliction dynamism thus highlighting the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein the ‘circular reference-of-thought of intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of sound ontological-performance is not disambiguated from the ‘circular reference-of-thought of temporal-as-denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of ontologically-flawed/deficient ontological-performance. Thirdly, there is thus beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought temporal individuations denaturing dynamics relations to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, arising as of the conjugation of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’. Fourthly cross-generationally, the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reconceptualises of a transcending elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation of implicit-and-explicit articulation of new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as of its human comprehension/deciphering of underlying rules/non-rules from existential-instantiations in imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring supposedly reflecting the ‘inherent centered—epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’ reinitiating the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, and thus right up to human attainment of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with this ‘ultimate social universal-transparency’ supposedly overriding human temporality/shortness and thus ultimate basis of a centered—epistemic-totalisation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance construed theoretically as paralleling the ‘inherent centered—epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’; and so as of humankind’s human-subpotency given Being project! Fifthly, the implications of such transcendental centered—epistemic-totalisation with regards to the ‘certainty of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of sound ontological-performance’ rather lies with such meaningfulness-and-teleology as being so-construed notionally as of a given institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ transcendental-enabling/sublimating rules on the basis of social universal-transparency, and so as of its implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought: wherein, – non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition in Recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation enables the grasp of certain meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of non-rules-as-of-accidentedness-or-randomness-of-occurrences/existential-instantiations by its non-rules-abstracted-as-

accidented-or-random human-limited-mentation-capacity type of construal, as relevant in the
 meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of trepidatious-consciousness
 about occurrences/existential-instantiations; – rulemaking-over-non-rules in Base-
 institutionalisation enables the grasp of certain meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of
 rules-abstracted-as-of-tendentiousness-of-occurrences/existential-instantiations by its
 rulemaking-over-non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random human-limited-mentation-
 capacity type of construal, as relevant in the meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-
 performance of warped-consciousness about recurrences/existential-instantiations; –
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of Universalisation enables the grasp of
 certain meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of universalising-rules-abstracted-as-of-
 qualifying-of-occurrences/existential-instantiations by its universalisation-directed-rule-
 making-over-non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random human-limited-mentation-
 capacity type of construal, as relevant in the meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-
 performance of preclusive-consciousness about recurrences/existential-instantiations; –
 positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules
 of Positivism/Rational-Empiricism enables the grasp of certain meaningfulness-and-teleology
 on the basis of positivising/rational-empiricism-rules-abstracted-as-of-categorising-of-
 occurrences/existential-instantiations by its positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random
 human-limited-mentation-capacity type of construal, as relevant in the meaningfulness-and-
 teleology ontological-performance of occlusive-consciousness about recurrences/existential-
 instantiations; and prospectively as theoretically/notionally attaining transcendental centered-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of perfect/sound ontological-performance, – preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
 formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules of Deprocripticism enables the prospective grasp of certain meaningfulness-and-
 teleology on the basis of deprocripticism-as-preempting-‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought’-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-referentialism-rules-abstracted-as-of-
 ‘conflatedness’-of-occurrences/existential-instantiations by its deprocripticism as
 preempting-‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ human-limited-mentation-capacity
 type of construal, as relevant in the meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance of
 protensive-consciousness about recurrences/existential-instantiations. Sixthly, the resolution
 as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ is ultimately with the notional-deprocripticism
 protensive-consciousness as of its notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-⟨mentally-
 aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema⟩ superseding of transversality-of-
 disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing temporal-to-intemporal human limited-
 mentation-capacity implications. Such superseding is actually attained as of the specific
 protensive-consciousness specific human premeaningfulness/preframing-⟨metaphoricity-
 disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake⟩. That is, as of the-
 very-same-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the limited-mentation-capacity
 meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance with regards to the-very-same-
 ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality of the successive consciousnesses as of the successive
 institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures differ by their Being
 premeaningfulness/preframing-⟨metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-
 psychologism-of-existential-stake⟩, which ultimately undergo

‘decomplexifying/uninhibiting-(as-of-elevating-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness-as-prospective-institutionalisation) maturing as ontology’s-directedness-as-Being all along the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures involving ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reference-of-thought in relative ontological-contiguity over relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>, construed as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; wherein as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing conflatedness construal as of notional-deprocrypticism, – the trepidatious-consciousness of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is of a ‘trepidatious Being complexified/inhibited-(as-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold) premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, – the warped-consciousness of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation is of a ‘trepidatious Being uninhibited/decomplexified-(as-elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation) but warped Being complexified/inhibited-(as-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold) premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, – the preclusive-consciousness of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism is of a ‘warped Being uninhibited/decomplexified-(as-elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation) but preclusive Being complexified/inhibited-(as-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold) premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, – the occlusive-consciousness of positivism–procrypticism is of a ‘preclusive Being uninhibited/decomplexified-(as-elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation) but occlusive Being complexified/inhibited-(as-degraded-devolving-as-

of-uninstitutionalised-threshold) premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, – and prospectively the protensive-consciousness of deprocrypticism is of an ‘occlusive Being uninhibited/decomplexified-(as-elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation) construed as protensive Being premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction. This repleteness in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with such successive ‘Being uninhibited/decomplexified-(as-elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation) and Being complexified/inhibited-(as-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold) premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ arises given the grounding of human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance on its various specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments for meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-peformance as reflected by their respective ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ associated with the successive consciousnesses, as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; such that the prior Being premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> has to be uninhibited/decomplexified-(as-elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation) to enable prospective Being premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> for the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendences towards the attaining of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-

and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism. Thus the deprocrypticism ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle’ thus warrants a superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as-decomplexifying/uninhibiting-(as-elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation) our positivism–procrypticism occlusive Being premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’. This overall deneuterising conception of transcendental centered-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance is reflected notionally as of notional-deprocrypticism, underlying that the successive registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisations are always about preempting ‘their successive types of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ up to its theoretical preempting with conceptual deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so as of successive human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ of the successive institutionalisations. Basically human existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought is operantly construed as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism underlying the idiosyncratic, intricate, compounded and pervasive mimetic dynamism of human conflatedness and human constitutedness, defining any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought beyond its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising; with such ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ maximally/most-profoundly/most-completely construed as of metaphysics-of-absence insight over presence institutionalisation reference-of-thought as implying meaningfulness-and-

teleology is as of a transcendental level of appreciation beyond an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag temporal-dispositions thus divulging the conflatedness of existence/existential-possibilities as of prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought. Such an existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought phenomenological construal obviously goes ‘beyond our ordinary intradimensional <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag framework of phenomenological contemplation’ in drawing out the full transcendental implications of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) from a prospective notional-deprocrpticism perspective as the full depth of <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrpticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance; as it is akin to how we can imagine ‘budding science’ in prior non-positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions say in the ancient and medieval worlds but grasping that you really get to systemic scientism rather in a positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights construed as positivism/rational-empiricism ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, reflected as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules. Such a phenomenological construal as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrpticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance thus goes beyond a grounded conceptualisation and rather involves a psychoanalytic-

unshackling construal as it reflects an epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance in the sense that the 'normal intradimensional mental-reflex' of representing the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the prior transcended registry-worldview/dimension as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) or a-registry-worldview's-or-dimension's-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing is overridden to attain full ontological elucidation by the <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance involving the uninstitutionalised-threshold reflection as dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in lieu of the nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) or a-registry-worldview's-or-dimension's-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Furthermore, notional-deprocrypticism as the ultimate registry-worldview/dimension by notionally undermining human disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought will factor in that since successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations articulations of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or–

ontological-preservation have always led at the uninstitutionalised-thresholds to human limited-mentation-capacity induced beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought denaturing of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives thus failing prospective intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, inherently the issue of human limited-mentation-capacity as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’, is a paramount and permanent one such that the construct of deprocrypticism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is exactly about an epistememic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing preemptive projecting/anticipating of the denaturing possibility of human limited-mentation-capacity as of deprocrypticism social universal-transparency ontological-performance; inherently a deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness is one which totalises-for-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-notional-deprocrypticism with no nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-

historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of extended metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation and as of the insight of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. The latter highlights the recurrence of such ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold phenomena’ as <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and institutionalised-being-and-craft. For instance, the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology have arisen as secondnature constructs that have substituted for their uninstitutionalised-threshold free-for-all <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> framework, such that many a subject matter domain like the heavens, forces of nature, material nature, social laws, etc. are now effectively construed socially as of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as abstract intemporal/ontological-driven conceptualisation as of respectively formal religion, formal science, legal system, etc. voiding free-for-all construals as of temporal social-aggregation-enabling teleological dispositions as of respectively animistic dispositions, alchemic and essences-driven explanation of nature, crude mob justice, etc. Insightfully, as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, anthropologists are very much aware that the social diffusion of new transcendental-enabling/sublimating practices into a given society are more likely to be adopted as of the society’s institutional and formal percolation-channelling-

<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> framework than as of an
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness ‘direct convincing’ at individuals-level underlying deferring to
 institutional and formal meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the need for profoundness and
 rigour that doesn’t avail in ordinary thought for transcendence. Likewise, on occasion in the
 face of prior institutionalisation established and perceived vested interest such intemporal-as-
 ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology could be ontologically undermined as of
 institutionalised-being-and-craft. Consider in this regard Establishment efforts undermining
 the Diderot-led Encyclopédistes project. Furthermore, every registry-worldview/dimension
 relates to its value construct as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-
 of-thought constitutedness as more or less absolute, and doesn’t factor in that its prior
 relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is a structural/paradigmatic
 deficiency inducing the <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of its value construct. But then
 prospective institutionalisation necessarily implies a notion of prospective value construct as
 of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness
 which will be unintelligible to the prior value construct, such that it is only a sense of
 intemporal consummation that drives transcendental dispositions as it is paradoxical to expect
 that what is in need for transcendence acts as transcended, as transcendence is inevitably and
 so across all registry-worldviews/dimensions a state of paradoxical conflictedness as more
 profoundly involving a cross-generational meaningfulness-and-teleology psychoanalytic-
 unshackling than a grounding conceptualisation! Furthermore, both the prior
 institutionalisation value construct and the prospective institutionalisation value construct are
 their respectively given centered–epistemic-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-
 teleology, with transcendence conflictedly implying overriding the prior institutionalisation’s

centered—epistemic-totalisation-facticity for the prospective institutionalisation’s centered—epistemic-totalisation-facticity. But then ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is an empirical fact, and thus the resolution of this transcendence paradox is rather reflected by the dynamics of human positive-opportunism as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as social universal-transparency avails with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction, wherein while in the immediate-and-short-term human ‘self-referencing’ will seem to imply that it is almost impossible to transcend from a given social conventioning centered—epistemic-totalisation facticity but cross-generationally human ‘re-conventioning whether driven by a sense of pure ontology as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality or otherwise with say cultural-diffusion’, as ‘syncretising-effecting’ on meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction induces human transcendence. Consider in this regard historical transcendence elicited by cultural diffusion whether with respect to trading or invasion or voyages of exploration. The fact is a social-setup is structurally/paradigmatically a framework where individuals are naturally involved in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities, and thus individuals and social groups are not in an absolutely given/set self-referencing centered—epistemic-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology within their social-setup and are predisposed on critical occasions as of syncretising-effecting to ‘reinvent’, circumvent or adapt as to what they perceive as optimum existential possibilities, such that a social-setup is already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation as of its very own internal ‘self-referencing and

syncretising-effecting construed as <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and it is this element that enables all human societies to have a minimal opening/overture/receptivity to each other, including at the very extreme between an industrial age society and a hunter-gatherer society. Without such a structural/paradigmatic ‘self-referencing and syncretising-effecting construed as <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human nature’, both internal social transformation however lethargic and cultural diffusion will be basically impossible, and <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag induced transformation arises because human perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction drifts within-and-across social-setups whether with regards to basic trading, curiosity, social competition and generally as of a predisposition to achieve optimum existential possibilities. In this regard, the rapid transformation implications of cultural diffusion arise because it makes relatively immediately available to individuals and social groups a comprehensive set of options however limited the nature and speed of their adoption. This syncretising-effecting mechanism ultimately explains why cross-generational transcendence occurs notwithstanding a seemingly self-referencing centered–epistemic-totalisation-facticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology within a given social-setup in the immediate-and-short-term. Transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought occurs because structurally/paradigmatically it is social-dispositions and mental-dispositions of intemporal-as-ontological nature as of longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of more profound ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-

epistemic-conflatedness, even if outlier, that are most likely to be syncretised cross-generationally as providing the most overall positive-opportunism by their relative universal projection implications and are formally-and-overtly assumed, and so over temporal-as-ontologically-flawed social-dispositions and mental-dispositions which are more or less formally-and-overtly unassumed as of their temporal denaturing nature or poor universal projection. However, such a conception of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is not actively contemplated socially but occurs latently and passively with any given registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology as its inherent social-dispositions and mental-dispositions are rather as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought with regards to such transcendental implications! Despite the fact that all social-setups tend to be surreptitiously permeated with individuals temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology social-dispositions and mental-dispositions of suboptimal ontological implications for social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, every social-setup as a conventional-construct can only be held together in the long-term as of its requisite given registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation-level of minimally-expected basic conscious-adherence-at-best or token-adherence-at-worst to the said institutionalisation-level's reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with regards to meeting a basic level of individuals and social existential-possibilities expectations. It may thus seem from within just one human generation perspective that the underlying human metaphoricity for transcendence is rather marginal especially when not associated with any external cultural diffusion. However, human metaphoricity as of cultural transformation had tended historically, in the main, to ebb in peaks and lows, and so as of the relative universal-transparency about such metaphoricity instigative reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwtness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-

aestheticisation direct, indirect and/or devolving implications. The fact that individuals in a social-setup are already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities and is thus of a minimal opening/overture/receptivity to internal and external metaphoricity, also critically speaks to the fact that any social-setup is only able to hold together because of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that is subject to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness validatory ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. As of its circularity, the lack or poorer cause-and-effect determinism of any such supposedly coherent ontological-commitment threshold of a social-setup meaningfulness-and-teleology' allows for the possibility for prospective metaphoricity to reconstrue-and-redefine the social-setup meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such prospective metaphoricity possibility cannot be preempted because even the social-setup conventioning in its functional operation of meaningfulness-and-teleology needs this supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in order to affirm itself over any spontaneously arising disruptive meaningfulness-and-teleology that may be articulated by individuals or groups, with the result that a social-setup ever always exposes itself to prospective metaphoricity in one way or the other when such spontaneously arising disruptive meaningfulness-and-teleology is not of poorer but rather of a superseding ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the social-setup given supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. We can consider in this regard that an animistic non-positivistic or medieval non-positivistic social-setup will certainly imply a supposedly coherent ontological-commitment respectively as of superstitious spiritualism meaningfulness-and-teleology or

scholasticism pedantic dogmatism meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of the given social-setup
 ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ in
 its capacity to demonstrably and objectively uphold and function going by its specific
 registry-worldview/dimension as of superstitious spiritualism or scholasticism pedantic
 dogmatism. It is exactly this ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-
 contention-or-confliction’ that equally makes available the possibility for prospective
 metaphoricity to demonstrably undermine the implied supposedly coherent ontological-
 commitment of such prior social-setups registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-
 teleology, and so as of the prospectively induced ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology as from existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective
 of relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by way of ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework such as with prospective positivism/rational-empiricism
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, given the inherence of social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction, inevitably prospective metaphoricity undermines vested interests as of the direct,
 indirect and/or devolving implications of prospective metaphoricity and by that token elicit
 sophistic/pedantic inclinations to such prospective metaphoricity meaningfulness-and-
 teleology. Further any such prospective metaphoricity ultimately takes hold rather as of
 within the social deferential-formalisation-transference framework wherein it is driven by a
 sense of positive-opportunism as of particular and general social interest. That said, a social-
 setup is ever always ‘existentially invested’ to a given registry-worldview/dimension and the
 fact of greater existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification from prospective
 metaphoricity which may involve undermining such ‘existentially invested’ registry-
 worldview/dimension in its <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-

<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> means
 that it doesn’t necessarily construe such prospective metaphoricity as pertinent and so where
 it is nihilistically disinclined by its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-
 surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-
 protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), as of its
 beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
 unthought manifestation. The abstract notion of antinihilism as implied by such prospective
 metaphoricity is not construed in human temporal terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as a
 ‘living notion’ going by an epistememic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag elicitation of value as of untransvaluated–
 temporal-intemporality. In this regard, as of the temporal ‘mental and existential investment’
 of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation prospective base-institutionalisation antinihilism
 meaningfulness-and-teleology is basically nothing and worthless, likewise as of the temporal
 ‘mental and existential investment’ of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation
 prospective universalisation antinihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology is basically nothing
 and worthless, same with universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and prospective
 positivism, and equally so for positivism–procrysticism and futural Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism. Explaining in many ways why the elicitation of value as of prospective secondnature institutionalisation rather occurs as of the superseding of <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality. Ultimately, prospective metaphoricity in a reflection of the individual-as-receptable-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations realistically implies that it is rather fundamentally a question of grasping the mechanism that tips the balance towards human intemporality/longness and subsequent prospective institutionalisation which is ontologically sufficient for prospective ontological-effectiveness, rather than a naïve engagement as if the human is all-essentially intemporal-as-of-an-absolute-ontological-commitment-disposition. More critically, such a conception of prospective metaphoricity cognisant of the decisiveness of deferential-formalisation-transference for institutionalisation and thus subsequent social percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>, come to grasp that sophistic/pedantic predispositions are the more salient entrenched interests beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought with respect to prospective metaphoricity as of the implications of such undermining of social deferential-formalisation-transference. In this regard, the sophistic/pedantic barriers to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity implications are necessarily spurious and associated with our positivism—procrypticism institutional-being-and-craft as of the direct, indirect and/or devolving prospective metaphoricity implications. We can appreciate in this regard that for the medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation, it doesn't matter that budding

positivism can be demonstrated as more ontologically pertinent as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, so long as it is socially and institutionally credible to uphold non-positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in effect by undermining its deferential-formalisation-transference. It is with regards to such sophistic/pedantic disinclination to prospective metaphoricity that the latter elicits contortioning gesturing, wherein for instance Socrates with respect to the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation (as we can appreciate that however say a Protagoras engagement with Socrates may project coherence as of his contextual appreciation of Socrates predisposition for coherence, this doesn't exclude the possibility of a 'floating sophistic' inclination that simply adjusts to its interlocutor thus undermining in the bigger picture the notion of knowledge as of universal coherence idealisation, or still maybe Protagoras is just at the lower end of the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation) and budding positivists with respect to medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation (as we can appreciate that the recognition and then censure and then banning of Copernicus's heliocentric world work or engagement with Galileo's support of heliocentrism then his persecution for publishing, rather speaks paradigmatically of the covert/underhanded nature of the medieval establishment pedantic disposition as of the implications of ideas undermining medieval dogma as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction) construe of such sophistic/pedantic disinclination as implying notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> with their prospectively implied metaphoricity; with the consequence that there can't be common/mutual aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as of dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence and inherently so because of the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation and medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation inauthentic/unsound apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of

respectively non-universalising and non-positivism/medievalism dogma prior relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought warranting their
 unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
 logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing for
 the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
 logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of
 prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and prospective positivism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 meaningfulness-and-teleology respectively. Likewise, this author's critique of the spurious
 institutional-being-and-craft muddlement of our positivism–procrypticism with respect to its
 structural/paradigmatic implicated undermining of the possibility of futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism is not an
 idle exercise, and so as of such <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of direct, indirect and devolving
 undermining of the possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity implications and so with
 respect to the social analysis implications of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 associated phenomena as reflected in social-stake-contention-or-confliction issues including
 psychopathy and social psychopathy. As of the conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism
 construal, what underlies the notion of human existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
 unthought is the idea that human existence is as of 'human existential-extricating projection-

or-anticipation about existence/existential-possibilities as of human limited-mentation-
 capacity construing ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-
 meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
 notions/articulations/virtue’, and transcendently-complemented by ‘human ontological-
 faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality projection-or-
 anticipation of this human prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
 as of human existential-unthought’, and thus enabling an epistemic/notional possibility of
 correspondence of human implied meaningfulness-and-teleology with the achievement of
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of prospective
 deprocrypticism ‘inherent centered—epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’. It is those elements
 of an epistemic/notional possibility of correspondence, as of the <formative>epistemic-
 totalising—thrownness-in-existence
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and onto, that
 together effectively make human transcendence and the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process possible given that it immanently enables the possibility
 of successive human prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
 as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations. In other words, it is
 human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that ultimately
 ‘vouches’ for every given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation at its
 uninstitutionalised-threshold for the possibility of a correspondence between human limited-

mentation-capacity and the ‘inherent centered–epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’, as of Being orientation of pursuing-and-attaining ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. It is only such a conflatedness perspective as of notional-deprocrypticism that can articulate a conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of a notional-correspondence to existence/existential-possibilities, thus avoiding <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag misconstrual as of constitutedness. Insightfully with respect to human temporality/shortness including postlogism and conjugated-postlogism and as reflected by psychopathy and social psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism, the conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism points out that given human limited-mentation-capacity its ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue’ as of institutionalisation, is subject at its uninstitutionalised-threshold to human temporality/shortness structural/paradigmatic denaturing (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) undermining meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance due to lack of social universal-transparency. This arises because fundamentally as of notional-correspondence with existence, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance is ultimately rather vouching of such a notional-correspondence with existence on the basis of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-

reality as transcendently-complementing at its uninstitutionalised-threshold the said human limited-mentation-capacity ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue’ construed as institutionalisation, as the latter’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology can be denaturing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of their <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives by the various temporalities in madeupness at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This latter is only undermined driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of prospective human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue’ construed as prospective institutionalisation, by its greater social universal-transparency. Again, the latter institutionalisation’s meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance is equally vouched by transcendently-complementing ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality at its given

uninstitutionalised-threshold, as its own reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology can also be denaturing as of beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as
 of their <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-
 form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives. The
 overall implication here as implied by historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
 aesthetic-tracing is that only a contextual ontologically contiguous transitioning construal of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected as of the conflatedness of notional-
 deprocrypticism can reveal-the-ontological-veridicality of such inherent systemic beyond-
 the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought
 perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-
 capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology associated with every institutionalisation in prior
 relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought since it ultimately depends on
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality to transcendently-
 complement its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at its
 uninstitutionalised-threshold for upholding intemporality-as-of-ontology that reflects the
 ‘inherent centered–epistemic-totalisation-as-existence’. Hence the deprocrypticism registry-
 worldview/dimension institutionalisation as notionally construed as in full fulfilment of
 transcendently-complementing ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality, as of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-
 dialectics contextual ontologically contiguous transitioning construal of meaningfulness-and-
 teleology ontological-performance that anticipates and accounts for human inherent

intemporality/longness and temporality, purports to avoid wrong elevation of temporality/shortness in madeupness ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ and wrong degradation of intemporality/longness in conviction ‘reference-of-thought—elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation’, given the inherently confounding ontological-veridicality of human potent beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. Broadly speaking thus, the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions in social-stake-contention-or-confliction implies that it is naïve to conceive of a ‘neuter framework of reference-of-thought putting the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as of the same axiomatic teleological projection’ as in effect as of conflatedness this simply wrongly elevates temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions teleologically-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold and wrongly degrades the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation; as the former is in reality denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought while the latter is upholding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. Actually such an ordinary mental-reflex of a ‘neuter framework of reference-of-thought putting the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as of the same axiomatic teleological projection’ when it comes to social-stake-confliction-or-contention is only valid as of ‘mutual conceptualisation as of a given institutionalisation with a common ontological-reference-of-thought’ wherein it is then strictly a matter of logical-processing-or-

logical-implication in determining ontological-veracity. But then at such a registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation's uninstitutionalised-threshold, there is a relative variance of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in intemporality/longness entailing the prospective institutionalisation and the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in temporality/shortness entailing the uninstitutionalised-threshold; thus implying a relative variance in such intemporal and temporal teleological projection respectively as of elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation and teleologically-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold in determining ontological-veracity. In this sense we can garner that it is inappropriate to imply a 'neuter framework of reference-of-thought putting the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as of the same axiomatic teleological projection' and so, as of an uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation; given the variance of temporality/shortness rather as respectively in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism-or-medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with intemporality/longness rather as respectively in base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The bigger point here being that the very notion of transcendence as of conflatedness actually construes of more profound reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that override the prior reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as failing to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation', and so as of differing references-of-thought in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological unintelligibility. Neuterisation of analysis as so articulated is effectively a deficient human mental-reflex as of its naïve predisposition to imply 'equivalence of

consideration’ without factoring prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of differentiated axiomatic/reference-of-thought teleological projection as of temporal teleologically-degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold and intemporal elevated-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation. The fact of temporal-to-intemporal variance of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought ultimately reflects the fact that the apparent ordinarily assumed ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ is in effect ‘only valid as of within a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation framework’, and so as of its implied ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue’. This articulated delimitation of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ within only a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation framework as of reference-of-thought is critical for an ontologically-complete profoundness/depth of ‘phenomenological departure in-its-overcoming-of-neuterisation’ reflected by metaphysics-of-absence as the ‘requisite conflatedness of understanding’, necessarily entailing transcendental implications for ontologically-veridical knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-

notions/articulations/virtue; as we can appreciate the inherent reality that there isn't
 'axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards
 to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality' between recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation-universalisation, between base-
 institutionalisation-universalisation and universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism,
 between universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism and positivism-procrypticism, and
 prospectively between positivism-procrypticism and deprocrypticism! In this case such
 overcoming of neuterisation with reference to the variance of successive registry-
 worldviews/dimensions is rather conceived as deneuterising as of the variance in prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology disambiguation of
 uninstitutionalised-threshold and prospective institutionalisation, and so reflected as of
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the conflatedness of
 notional-deprocrypticism wherein the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought is in
 relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-
 qualia-schema> to the prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought in relative
 ontological-contiguity as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-
 construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; imply a
 disambiguation as of mutual unintelligibility of prospective institutionalisation's reference-
 of-thought soundness-or-authenticity and the uninstitutionalised-threshold's reference-of-
 thought unsoundness-or-inauthenticity. Deneuterising, from a storied-construct/ontologically-
 valid-narration perception perspective insight, highlights a temporal mental-disposition
 uninstitutionalised-threshold issue' as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
 existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought involving human temporal limited-
 mentation-capacity at its uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein the reference-of-thought as

temporal-mental-disposition-is-actually-of--<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-in-an-‘apparently-elevated’-devolving-as-of-prospective-institutionalisation whereas inherent-superseding-existential-reality-unattached-to-its-temporal-limited-mentation-capacity-mental-disposition-points-to-its-degraded-devolving-at-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such a deneuterising binarity of storied ontologically-flawed--<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-temporal-mental-dispositions and storied background of ontologically-veridical-inherent-superseding-existential-reality-unattached-to-such-temporal-mental-dispositions portrays how a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration can be articulated as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought ‘emphasising exclusively that it is the construal of human temporality-to-intemporality limited-mentation-capacity transversal-and-cumulative-implications’ that accounts for ontologically-veridical human character-and-social-formation-dynamics as of both uninstitutionalised-threshold representation and prospective-institutionalisation representation. Such a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration is ultimately articulated rather as of the implications of the failing to uphold Being as of the temporal-to-intemporal transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human limited-mentation-capacity in temporal constitutedness mental-reflexes at presence reference-of-thought, and so reflected by the implied intemporal conflatedness of phenomenological transcendence as of notional-deprocrypticism. We can appreciate the metaphysics-of-absence insight about such a deneuterising storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration from the fact that a non-positivism/medievalism or animistic social-setup is ‘not committed in an <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ to positivistic/rational-empiricism

meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to occurrences and incidents best explained and dealt with by such positivistic meaningfulness as of the latter's prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. As such non-positivism/medievalism or animistic social-setup 'will not be self-effacing as of its ontologically-flawed--<formative>epistemic-totalising--self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-temporal-mental-dispositions as-if-always-in-a-state-of-institutionalisation, failing to psychoanalytically project about its uninstitutionalised-threshold of non-positivism and the prospective institutionalisation of positivism'. This equally explains how our positivism--procrypticism mental-disposition is construed in deneuterising from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion--as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism perspective 'as not self-effacing as of its ontologically-flawed--<formative>epistemic-totalising--self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-temporal-mental-dispositions as-if-always-in-a-state-of-institutionalisation, failing to psychoanalytically project about the uninstitutionalised-threshold of its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective institutionalisation of deprocrypticism'. This is actually the ontologically-veridical phenomenological transcendental framework for construing/conceptualising human temporal character and social formation mental-dispositions as of uninstitutionalised-threshold and prospective-institutionalisation based on the dynamics of limited-mentation-capacity, unlike a naïve neuterising mental-reflex that by its <formative>epistemic-totalising--self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag fails to attain such a conflatedness as of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising insight. Central and critical to achieving such a deneuterising analysis in grasping the full and complete possibilities of ontologically-veridical construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology given human

temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions as of prospective institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold is the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. It is exactly what renders a veridical ontological-escalation or aetiologisation of the human condition possible as the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of conflatedness as of notional-deprocrypticism. It is most critical because at any registry-worldview/dimension, human self-consciousness is an <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex as of being-only-in-institutionalisation-and-hence-only-of-a-meaningfulness-and-teleology-that-is-intemporal while defectively ignoring-and-undermining the veridicality of uninstitutionalised-threshold-and-its-assorted-and-conjugated-temporal-meaningfulness-and-teleology such that transcendence is always perceived as unnatural when <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, in the sense that ‘it-is-others,-as-of-the-prior-registry-worldviews/dimensions,-that-have-an-uninstitutionalised-threshold-and-the-notion-of-transcendence-is-only-relevant-to-them-as-the-current-presence-is-normal’. The implications of such human <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex as it overlooks human uninstitutionalised-threshold points to the reality that the implied institutionalisation ‘projected reflex of universalised conviction towards meaningfulness-and-teleology’ while a social psychological reference is actually not ontologically-veridical as of human practical reality given lack of social universal-transparency. Such that with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction possibilities the social psychological reference as of wrongly implied institutionalisation ‘projected reflex of universalised conviction towards meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is an abstract social constraint to human temporal mental-dispositions. In practicality such human temporal mental-dispositions involve ‘rationalising

threads of part-conviction/part-madeupness perception-and-relation to meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction social-functioning-and-accordance temporal constraints of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’, and so as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought reflecting uninstitutionalised-threshold Being underdevelopment; wherein with specific regards to a postlogism-slantedness/psychologism mental-disposition and less and less so as of temporal exacerbation/opportunism/affordability, such instigated part-conviction is rather as of a relevant generalised social projection as ‘<formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of conviction’ in relevant social engagement not perceived as of critical social-stake-contention-or-confliction as providing a ‘conviction credibility backdrop’ for subsequent targeted madeupness mental-disposition in relation to specific social engagements perceived as of critical social-stake-contention-or-confliction. Effectively, such part-conviction/part-madeupness with respect to pertinently-perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction contexts arises due to ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ constraint of human limited-mentation-capacity as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor such that this induces as of various existential-

instantiations ‘ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance’,
 subpar to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as
 fundamentally underscored by the prospective institutionalisation. Thus this determines a
 consequential ‘dynamic beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-
 extrication-as-of-existential-unthought limited-mentation-capacity constraint’ as reflected
 from a ‘notional-deprocrypticism-referentialism-as-of-its-nonascriptivity backdrop-for-the-
 ontologically-veridical-construing’ of ‘temporal-to-intemporal individuations
 meaningfulness-and-teleology and actions of individuals and the collective-social as of their
 varying-existential-instantiations-mental-dispositions-ontological-performance or their
 characterisations-as-of-varying-existential-instantiations’, as fundamentally underscored by
 the implied uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought, wherein such temporal
 thresholding neuterisation with regards to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-
 teleology reflects Being-underdevelopment; and so from the ‘deepest phenomenological
 transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-
 deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ as of metaphysics-of-absence insight that
 ontology’s-directedness-as-Being lies with Base-institutionalisation institutionalisation over
 Recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation <formative>epistemic-totalising—
 self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, it lies with
 Universalisation institutionalisation over Base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation
 uninstitutionalisation <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, it lies with Positivism institutionalisation
 over Universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and it lies prospectively with
 Deprocrypticism institutionalisation over our Positivism—procrypticism

<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This operantly defines
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as beyond just the construal of new
 supposedly intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology of the prospective institutionalisation to preempt the temporally denaturing
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior
 institutionalisation, but rather the deneuterising construal of the very ‘limited-mentation-
 capacity as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
 teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought constraining dynamism’
 behind the denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology in the very first place; conceptualised henceforth as the very reference-of-thought–
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of the Deprocrypticism registry-
 worldview/dimension institutionalisation as of its implied notional-deprocrypticism. Overall,
 the fact is that given that what is most relevant to the individual is the practicality as of their
 ‘rationalising threads of part-conviction/part-madeupness perception-and-relation to
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over just abstract universal propositions, when it comes to
 social-stake-contention-or-confliction social-functioning-and-accordance constraints such
 temporal part-conviction/part-madeupness mental-dispositions tend to be ultimately
 translated decisively onto issues of public repercussions like corruption, mismanagement,
 nepotism, etc. It is very much naïve to imagine that as of such uninstitutionalised-threshold as
 of Being/ontological-framework-expansion underdevelopment, individuals in positions of
 social-stake-contention-or-confliction with respect to upholding/failing probity will simply

adhere, at the exclusion of engrained-habits-and-mental-dispositions, to mere propositions of probity rather than in the face of weak-institutional-constraints-and-penalties to perceive such universal propositions as mere linguistic appendages of relative practical insignificance. The notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought is the effective and credible deneuterising enabling articulation that grasps such an ontologically flawed mental-reflex that recurrently permeates consciously and unconsciously human phenomenological mentation, as it ‘credibly’ grasps-and-accounts-for, without resorting to any neuterising, the full and complete possibilities of human mental-dispositions as of the exclusive dynamics of human limited-mentation-capacity across all registry-worldviews/dimensions involving the conjugation of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’. Ultimately, the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought given its psychoanalytic-unshackling as of prospective deprocrylicism transcendence, points to a self-consciousness that should rather come to terms with the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions resolved beyond just the notion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but rather their protraction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-

existential-reality conflatedness of Being as implied as of deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The issue of Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology or Being underdevelopment is associated with that of the
 construal of knowledge as organic-knowledge or mechanical-knowledge respectively; with
 the latter construed as of the ‘mere effecting possibilities of knowledge’ without a
 coherence/contiguity with the ‘knowledge inventing’ mental-disposition as of ontological-
 faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the given
 knowledge, as implied with organic-knowledge. It is such a mechanical-knowledge as of
 ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions towards
 the mere effecting possibilities of the knowledge’ that induces the forgetting of Being
 construed as ontology’s-directedness-as-Being, by undermining the ontological-faith-notion-
 or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality upholding of
 intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation that is behind
 organic-knowledge. Human <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal mental-dispositions as of
 beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
 unthought are all too ready to construe of the comprehensiveness of knowledge as mere
 effecting possibilities of knowledge at the given institutionalisation’s uninstitutionalised-
 threshold in temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology terms-as-of-
 axiomatic-construal as of the plainly implied opportunism with little consideration of the
 projective intemporal value dispositions behind the ‘knowledge inventing’ and its organic
 preservation. Thus the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process

arises exactly to ensure deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing of knowledge as of organic-knowledge comprehensiveness. The following is enlightening in this regard. (For what it takes to get a medieval as non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, that is, suppose for instance where in a medieval social-setup an accusation of witchcraft is demonstrated by an outsider from a positivistic social-setup to be incorrect and unsound to the approval of all in that social-setup, that outsider understanding fundamentally that the medieval setup by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought is in a state of <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of a medieval worldview will grasp that that unique demonstration of medieval-postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as accusation of witchcraft) is not to be construed naively as an adequate basis for a new logical-processing-or-logical-implication as ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging mental-reflex’ that re-engages with non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought, given the possibilities of further accusations of witchcrafts or by-and-large the vices-and-impediments potentially arising from such a non-positivism/medievalism worldview as of the ‘local community dynamism of individual interests involved’ that endemises and enculturates notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. It is rather the cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure transforming of the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought that is ontologically-speaking to be construed as the structural/paradigmatic resolution of the vices-and-impediments arising from a non-positivism/medievalism worldview with respect to such notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. The same applies with respect to our positivism–procrysticism worldview and futural Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrpticism worldview). We can appreciate such metaphysics-of-absence insight as of say in a situation of cultural diffusion the requirement that a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation social-setup opportunistically grasping mere effecting possibilities of base-institutionalisation knowledge, as of relative convenience to individuals, are much more better off equally coming into terms institutionally with the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality induced intemporality/longness behind the ‘inventing of the base-institutionalisation culturally diffused knowledge’ for an optimum accrual of the Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation; that is, based on base-institutionalisation’s ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules’ enabling the superseding of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation vices-and-impediments as of its ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’. Such conceptualisation extends to all registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective institutionalisation including our positivism—procrypticism prospective transcendental emancipation to deprocrpticism. Underlying Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is the construal of knowledge in both its ‘immediate, cause-and-effect and non-blurry practical and scientific knowledge’ and the ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’. In many ways as of human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-reflex, the former is structurally/paradigmatically associated with relatively immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that quickly portrays Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-

ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology by its mere relative disambiguation effectiveness of ‘ontologically-veridical knowledge agents’ over ‘ontologically-flawed knowledge agents’. For instance as of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality induced intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology positivism/rational-empiricism mental-disposition behind the articulation of Newtonian mechanics inducing its mere effecting possibilities of knowledge, the inherent possibilities of inventing things on this positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge intemporal value reference inherently undermines the pertinence of any other supposed knowledge value reference, like a mystical knowledge construal, of the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, such that their inherent contrast disambiguates what is of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology from what is of Being underdevelopment. But then this ‘immediate, cause-and-effect and non-blurry practical and scientific knowledge’ is just one aspect of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as its mere effecting possibilities of knowledge however effective do not exist in a vacuum but rather within the ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’ which is the complementary background for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; as we can appreciate that despite the positivistic inclinations of the Copernicuses, the Galileos and the Newtons, the scientific advances that ultimately took hold arose because those budding scientists had a sense that the very ‘detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge’ background had to be

superseded as of its scholasticism and mysticism underlying knowledge background for a
 positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge background to take hold as transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating not only to science but transcendental-enabling/sublimating as well to
 the open society equally required for the sound functioning of science. It is this dynamic
 relationship as of 'immediate, cause-and-effect and non-blurry practical and scientific
 knowledge' and 'detached, contemplative and blurry human social-construct of knowledge'
 that is behind Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to
 the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as resolving the vices-and-impediments of the
 prior registry-worldview/dimension. But then no matter the succession of institutionalisations
 as successive Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, there is an ever
 present issue of Being underdevelopment as of human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor wherein
 institutionalising reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are
 always subject at uninstitutionalised-thresholds to their denaturing as of their
 <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
 drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives, as of temporal failing to uphold intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. Hence Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given human limited-mentation-capacity is
 rather upheld by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation

wherein the abstract intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology behind the prior registry-worldview institutionalisation should equally be reflected as of prospective registry-worldview institutionalisation, and involving the requisite deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturating of knowledge as organic-knowledge. We can appreciate the latter point in the sense that with the development of various positivistic scientific and knowledge fields, the knowledge agents weren't naïve to imply that the 'normal social temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions as of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>' are appropriate framework for engaging their subject-matter, as they rather promoted formal knowledge/scientific societies and adopted their specific jargons to ensure that the intemporal value reference mental-dispositions behind their respective 'knowledge inventing' was the institutional mental-disposition for engaging with the knowledge formally or as of secondnature education practically available to everyone interested, and so while alienating and considering general social <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as improper and unqualified. This was to avoid a circularity of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> undermining of the intemporal-projection of their specific knowledge/science, as they contribute in overall Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The point here is that at uninstitutionalised-thresholds the idea of 'equal opinionatedness' doesn't apply by the mere fact that knowledge of intrinsic-reality

itself doesn't arise by <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
'non-descript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> but rather ontological-pertinence, and the point in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as knowledge-led is to harness ontological-pertinence and not
<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
'non-descript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, thus explaining deferential-formalisation-transference as of institutional percolation-channelling. This point is central and critical to the very notion of society-as-social-construct, as society is caught between the notion of sovereignty as-allowing-basic-level-of-universal-individual-and-collective-self-affirmation-striving-for-social-equality and the notion of knowledge as-of-selective-construal-of-social-value-and-institutional-hierarchisation-as-of-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-overriding-social-equality-for-the-sake-of-individual-and-social-emancipation-as-of-efficient-ontological-performance-implications. The implication of this dilemma is the reality that society is always subpar to a knowledge social determination as well as subpar to a sovereignty social determination. This dilemma is unavoidable by the very implications of a society: every social-setup as a conventional-construct can only be held together in the long-term as of its requisite given registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation-level of minimally-expected basic conscious-adherence-at-best or token-adherence-at-worst to the said institutionalisation-level's reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to meeting a basic level of individuals and social existential-possibilities expectations; such that the notions of knowledge and sovereignty can only be 'socially

effective’ within this articulated framework as enabled by ‘social universal-transparency’.

This articulation can be elucidated more explicitly in cases of cultural diffusion between societies of differing institutionalisation level as such cultural diffusion isn’t by a simplistic institutionalisation knowledge-level transference, but involves a mutual sense of sovereign selectivity and recognition among the societies, however the drive for cultural diffusion; thus allowing for ‘acculturating-indigenising-pidginising transitioning settings and their social constructions as of <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ prior to eventual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought accommodation. This is equally the knowledge and sovereignty dynamics that prevails within any given society. Thus, knowledge can effectively and efficiently be pushed forward but rather through an exercise of increasing ‘social universal-transparency’ thus enabling ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, all along this ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process a suboptimal relation between knowledge and sovereignty undermines Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of various pertinent social manifestations: – wherein sovereignty is affirm over knowledge as ‘supposedly being knowledge’ by a culture of mere social-aggregation-enabling of temporal-to-intemporal hotchpotch opinionatedness, notwithstanding the underlying transcendental-

enabling/sublimating in formal institutional deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>, with the result that beyond the underlying implied institutionalisation-level such a social-aggregation-enabling hotchpotching opinionatedness culture tends to critically and decisively inform individual and collective thought and action in a manner that is suboptimal to intemporality-as-ontology as of the manifestation of such a temporal-to-intemporal hotchpotching culture in the extended-informality that permeates even formal institutions; – wherein by exploiting of temporal mental-dispositions as of individuals and the collective-social sovereignty, knowledge is undermined by wrongly implying the pertinence of social-aggregation-enabling construed as ‘exploitation of sovereignty’/mobbishness as of ‘intellectual institutional-being-and-craft self-serving’ in lieu of upholding institutionalisation, including the tendency to degrade knowledge conceptualisations into popular frameworks of knowledge appraisal thus subverting institutional deferential-formalisation-transference rigorous knowledge framework as of their transcendental-enabling as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness; – the ontologically-flawed articulation of knowledge by an intellectual disposition akin to <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology undermining knowledge as of its organic true nature implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind prior ‘knowledge inventing’ and prospective ‘knowledge inventing’, and so as of intellectual institutional-being-and-craft; – ultimately the

very paradox of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag means that the human sovereign psyche is one that is geared to construe of ‘presence as all-encompassing meaningfulness-and-teleology value construct’ such that the transcendental implications of knowledge by mental-reflex are construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness to presence, rather than as of <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of presence construed as of prospective relative ontological-contiguity over presencing-as-prior relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>. However despite this knowledge and sovereignty dilemma associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, the insight about human <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of self-referencing and syncretising-effecting intemporal implications means that the requisite intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology psychoanalytic-unshackling positive-opportunism can cross-generationally be induced for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology despite the inherent circular distractiveness of temporality, and ultimately so as enabled by ‘social universal-transparency’. The above analysis point out that transcendental knowledge in particular involves more than just knowledge as a grounded construct but as well an understanding of how such knowledge is instigated in society as part and parcel of the knowledge construed as organic-knowledge; given that the social-construct-as-society is not necessarily of immediate receptivity and is of a suboptimal disposition to such transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications that are not priorly as of grounded constructs of knowledge. This will explain why the mere articulation of positivism/rational-

empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology constructs of knowledge wasn't enough in undermining medieval mental-dispositions, and the persistent initiatives of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Rousseaux, Diderots, etc., were not vague actions but informed by an intuition about the nature of human society and how it develops given the inherently untransformable human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as of human limited-mentation-capacity. Thus in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, crucially the issue of ontological-veracity is only half the problem of knowledge, with the other half being the grasp of the underlying sovereignty and knowledge dynamics as of eliciting 'social universal-transparency'. As it is the latter that induces that social positive-opportunism for deferential-formalisation-transference and institutional percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>, as of social deferential attribution of power for the beneficial effect of knowledge as empowering various institutional domains. Further, as implying the superseding of entrenched grounded knowledge as of its psychoanalytic-unshackling implications and in destabilising the underlying existential reference-of-thought, transcendental knowledge is of a circular but consistent exercise of <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, and so due to the 'existential and emotive commitments' it is involved in undoing with regards to the implied prior notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> reference-of-thought and introducing the prospective ontological-contiguity reference-of-thought as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Consider in this regard, that the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as of propective ontological-contiguity is

more than just a reification gesturing of its very own axiomatic-construct affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking but extends to encompass a de-assertion/dementing/unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, at the threshold where it supersedes ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, as being of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> when analysed as of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, and so with regards to ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’.

The ontological veridicality here is that such ‘double-gesture reification as the prospective axiomatic affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking together with the prior axiomatic de-assertion/dementing/unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing’ implied as of the non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> induced transcendence-and-sublimity is not to be construed as an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of the superseded presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, but is rather a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in subsuming ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-

veridicality/existential-reality'. While the emotional involvement and sense of 'existential ego undermining' involved in such a transcending reification gesturing of axiomatic-constructs as of the very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality is relatively trite as occurring within the same registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought as of the positivistic/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology mindset as well as its distance rather with respect to physical reality, such a transcending reification gesturing as of the grandest axiomatic-constructs having to do with consciousness with regards to the 'very reference-of-thought itself' wherein the prospective ontological-contiguity reference-of-thought as deprocrpticism/disjointedness-as-reference-of-thought implies a transcending reification gesturing that not only affirms deprocrpticism prospective registry-worldview/dimension but in that affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought de-asserts/dements our positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, this will elicit an existential and emotional involvement that will rather convert into a circular neuterisation of deprocrpticism by a mental-complex avoiding such emotional discomfort and sense of existential ego undermining as is the case with all uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds with respect to their prospective institutionalisations. This explains why it is not a fundamental contradiction as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor at uninstitutionalised-thresholds that the positivistic/rational-empiricism initiatives of such enlightenment thinkers like Galileo, Descartes, Diderot, etc. were met with counteracting reactionary views, and as it further elicits ontologically-flawed 'uninstitutionalised-threshold by prospective institutionalisation

dialogical-equivalence'. This can't be the case because dialogical-equivalence can only arise where there is 'common reference-of-thought' whereas a state of institutionalisation as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is veridically in an institutionalising/enlightening/educating exercise relative to a state of uninstitutionalised-threshold as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and not such a flawed notion of dialogical-equivalence. We can appreciate even within a same reference-of-thought like our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension that there is no dialogical-equivalence between the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs in ontological-contiguity and 'traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct' of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> but for the former's enlightening the latter's undefined-or-undecidable-threshold-of-ontological-veridicality. This insight reflects the reality of transcendence in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, wherein uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-reflexes of <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in their incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity tend to perpetuate the representation of prospective institutionalisation as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) in an ontologically-flawed dereification gesturing of neuterisation, rather than maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought implied

as of prospective institutionalisation's deneuterising. It should thus be noted that such a transcendental exercise is not about passing the test as of the judgment of uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-reflexes of <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which is 'ontologically flawed and wanting' but rather is as of a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal-projection transcendental-enabling/sublimating for prospective institutionalisation relative to such <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that circularly reinstitute the uninstitutionalised-threshold temporality/shortness as if intemporal in incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. In other words prospective institutionalisation arises as of 'transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination' which as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought is introducing a 'new-as-of-the-prospective-institutionalisation ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning' that blocks-out/supersedes/de-asserts/dements as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> the 'prior-or-old-as-now-uninstitutionalised ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning'; with the implication that our 'procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning' is not admissible to prospective 'deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning' and so from the moment of the event-construed-as-the-prospective-ontology-origination of deprocrypticism, just as 'non-positivistic medieval reasoning' is not admissible to prospective 'positivism reasoning' from the moment of the event-construed-as-the-prospective-ontology-origination of positivism, etc., across the successive institutionalisations in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-

institutionalisation-process; and so as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of the uninstitutionalised-threshold and
 the prospective institutionalisation. Such a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology ontologically-flawed predisposition in circularly striving to reassert the ‘prior-
 or-old-as-now-uninstitutionalised ordinary-nontranscendental-reasoning’ over the
 ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ is fundamentally
 due to the paradigmatic/structural lifetime ‘mental and existential investment’ in the former,
 such that by and large it is mostly a crossgenerational transcendence-and-sublimity that fully
 brings about the adaptation of the induced ‘transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-
 ontology-origination’ as the ‘new-as-of-the-prospective-institutionalisation ordinary-
 nontranscendental-reasoning’. Such a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology ontologically-flawed circular predisposition arises due to human temporal-
 dispositions as of Being underdevelopment that tends to lead to the beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought
 denaturing of knowledge as mechanical-knowledge and undermining organic-knowledge;
 wherein knowledge is related to as of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, that
 is, knowledge related to as of ‘the mere positive-opportunism it engenders at best’ with little
 or no cognisance that there is an attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as of
 intemporality/longness behind ‘knowledge invention’ that must be preserved and perpetuated
 as ‘the very core of knowledge’ and so to undermine knowledge denaturing, so-construed as
 organic-knowledge. Organic-knowledge requires the articulation of meaningfulness-and-
 teleology rather in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought terms-as-
 of-axiomatic-construct as the profound-and-complete articulation of knowledge, and as the
 very attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme behind knowledge that induces the
 appropriate psychoanalytic-unshackling for its reception. In other words, we can’t seriously

contemplate a profound positivistic knowledge engagement with a non-positivistic as animistic or medieval mindset without the idea of priorly eliciting the appreciation-and-adoption of a positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme when contending about any salient positivistic articulations as otherwise all such positivism/rational-empiricism articulations and explaining will be reconstrued circularly in animistic or medieval terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as of the latter teleologically-degraded prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought relative to our positivism–procrypticism necessarily requires priorly the requisite apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity from positivism–procrypticism’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset into deprocrypticism’s preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as otherwise such knowledge will be teleologically-degraded in circular positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though in the latter case our <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence blinds us to appropriately appreciating this given the human mental-reflex of representing any uninstitutionalised-threshold as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) as of our <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. The point here is that the

meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed has to supersede the prior registry-
 worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold perspective/framing/reference/horizon for
 its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity-enabling purpose, even if that implies being
 temporally unpalatable, given that the fundamental purpose for the underlying
 aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is of
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not temporal extricatory paradigm. Put
 another way, for instance, Newtonian physics doesn't have any inherent meaningfulness-and-
 teleology as we can appreciate from a positivism/rational-empiricism
 perspective/framing/referencing/horizon with an animistic social-setup as of the latter's
 attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme underlying its meaningfulness-and-teleology
 thus requiring the latter's prior apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity to
 a positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme 'for the
 notion of the mutual contemplation of Newtonian physics to even arise'. This speaks of the
 centrality of attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme with respect to human
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, as it is what underlies
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of a given
 registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought. Attitude/mental-
 disposition/care-and-episteme as such carries a registry-worldview's/dimension's
 'underlying sense of end-teleology/end-purposefulness' and thereof its operative-construct
 and implicative-construct with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology. It further implies a
 'the human toddling potential' for living-as-of-human-personality-developing, social-
 projection-institutional-orientations and Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology; with the 'human toddling potential' implying the human potential to develop

from a relative-ontologically-flawed to a relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme with respect to living-as-of-human-personality-developing, social-projection-institutional-orientations and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. This ‘human toddling potential’ is what enables notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> induced psychoanalytic-unshackling for grounding meaningfulness-and-teleology prospectively as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>. The attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme structure is what fundamentally determines mental-states in their ‘projection/anticipation of the coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity’ whether with respect to any individual within any registry-worldview/dimension as well as the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s overall reference-of-thought, as of its specific reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus an attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme can pertinently be defined as the ‘assumed-and-unflinching apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ inducing a given specific non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> outcome with regards to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-or-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the construal-as-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,

and establishing-and-upholding the underlying framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with that attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme; and so, whether such a framework is a reference-of-thought as of overall construal-as-existence/existential-possibilities, or within a reference-of-thought like a social projection <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or specifically with living-as-of-human-personality-developing. For instance, with respect to coming across and living say in an early hunter-gather society with its interpretation of ill-health as of bad omen, we will still maintain an ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ as of the attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme of positivism’s/rational-empiricism’s perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation, at least as of our self-conscious awareness, even as this reflects mutual beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as when we publicly pretend to act otherwise by subscribing to the interpretation within such a social-setup. As construed within a given reference-of-thought, say in our positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought we can further have the conception of the physics or biology or law or literature or even just entrepreneur or accountant or technician specific attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme, and further at the individual level as of changing attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme with living-as-of-human-personality-developing. Attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as so-construed is critical fundamentally because the notionally inherent human capacity for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is directly associated with ‘attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-

‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications>) to be able to achieve transcendence-and-sublimity’,
 and so as of intemporality. With regards to living-as-of-human-personality-developing, we
 can appreciate in the case of a child’s personality development as of its given attitude/mental-
 disposition/care–and–episteme that it has a poor dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of its more direct focus
 on instant-sensations-and-carefreeness requiring that the child is directed to end at successive
 stages infantile habits as it grows up with an increasing sense of dispensing-with-immediacy-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension that ultimately
 involves major stages like schooling, greater social autonomy and responsibility, and
 developing into an adult with even greater dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as for instance the notion
 of pleasure is increasingly substituted with that of work-and-pleasure, etc. Such living-
 development–as-to-personality-development as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is construed as the more
 profound attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme for human optimum living, and so
 over say an animal-like immediacy attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme of living.
 With regards to the second-level of social aetiologisation/ontological-escalation associated
 with ‘attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’, for achieving
 transcendence-and-sublimity; humankind construes of existence as ‘more than just plain

living as animals’ but as enabling for various domains of social projections dispensing-with-
 immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension so-
 implied across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions, whether in an animistic social-
 setup involving animistic practices or in the modern social-setup as of our modern practices
 involving subject-matter specialisms, trade roles, functionaries, arts, research, sports and
 other activities, etc.; with each involving their specific attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-
 episteme dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension. The idea being that this provides more existential
 possibilities by the overall expanded human capabilities available directly or indirectly to
 fulfil individuals desires and needs. Finally the third-level reflects ‘intemporal ontological-
 faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity’ with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
 depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology,
 beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
 unthought, implying specifically a nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-
 unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-by-reification/contemplative-
 distension thus transcendentally enabling the successive registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
 ontological-possibilities construed as of human
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm; with such dispensing-with-immediacy-for-

relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-by-reification/contemplative-distension construed as rejection of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme which will imply a stalling in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology at the given registry-worldview/dimension, and so-construed as temporal extricatory paradigm. Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as such implies increasingly more profound-and-complete enabling framework of human emancipation as of technical and existential possibilities arising from prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. We can get an insight of registry-worldviews/dimensions attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme contrast as clarified in the preceding example as of the technical and existential emancipatory possibilities that can be contemplated with a positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme in an early hunter-gather social-setup inclined to construe of ill-health as bad omen; and appreciate that the human-subpotency is much more than stalling at any prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension, and so not only retrospectively but equally prospectively. Thus, an attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme can pertinently be defined as the ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ inducing a given specific non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> outcome with regards to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-or-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the construal-as-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and establishing-and-

upholding the underlying framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with that attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme. It can be construed with regards to prospective transcendence as a structural/paradigmatic adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification inducing-and-upholding a prospective ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct as <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’. In other words, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is utterly apprehended decisively by its given attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as of the ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’. This insight is critical as for instance with appreciating what is implied by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme by its given specific non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> outcome; as we simply have to project/anticipate its ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ implied as of preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construed as thinking as it remains unintelligible to our positivism—procrypticism’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reconstrued as of dementing. Attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ is a corresponding disposition for reflecting the ‘incisive-and-intransigent nature of existence as absolute a priori’ to which we

can only get in-relative-synchronisation with a corresponding level of projection-or-anticipation/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that as of its relative dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) is the appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ required for the correspondingly required meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. Basically, attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme is simply a reflection of level of deneuterising—referentialism as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrpticism. Ultimately for living-as-of-human-personality-developing, social-projection-institutional-orientations and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, ‘the human toddling potential’ or the human potential to develop from a relative-ontologically-flawed to a relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme, can only arise by notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> induced psychoanalytic-unshackling as of relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ over relative-ontologically-flawed attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme, with the latter necessarily having to ascend to

the relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme for the former’s implied meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological-performance to avail, and so in reflecting the ‘incisive-and-intransigent nature of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’; as we can appreciate this with regards to existence’s relative validation of the positivism/rational-empiricism ‘perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation’ interpretation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s ‘bad omen’ interpretation. Such an ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ has ultimately nothing to do with the deliberate willing of the relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme. As we can appreciate that without implying a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of a child’s living-as-of-human-personality-developing, the child’s poorly developed attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme will poorly face optimum living of adult life or where such was the case about all human children then the human species will be no more culturally unique than any other animal. Again, as of human social-projection-institutional-orientations we know that subject-matter, trades and bureaucratic expertise come with a requisite implied attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme in detachment from <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as we know that, everything being equal legitimately, it is the professional electrician as of its assumed-and-unflinching professionally-institutionalised-as-dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme whose workmanship is guaranteed to produce the best and safe outcome for electrical installations; and so dispensing-with-

immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as
 of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 dispositions thus expanding human needs and desires possibilities. Likewise, the dispensing-
 with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-
 distension ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ of a relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-
 disposition/care—and–episteme as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought over a relative-ontologically-flawed attitude/mental-disposition/care—
 and–episteme is implied for prospective reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity,
 as of overall human existential and technical emancipation. Basically, while attitude/mental-
 disposition/care—and–episteme implied with regards to both living-as-of-human-personality-
 developing and social-projection-institutional-orientations arises as of secondnaturing
 institutionalisation. However, attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme specific
 instigating of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is originary/event-of-
 prospective-ontology-origination as of humanity level
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm; inducing thereof social institutionalisation
 secondnaturing by way of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-
 <epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>. Inherently, the very
 grounding of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology attitude/mental-

disposition/care-and-episteme is beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, and actually lies prospectively in existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. The implication here is that as of its very ‘nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme cannot be contemplated as of secondnature institutionalisation living-as-of-human-personality-developing and social-projection-institutional-orientations attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme in ‘existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ which structurally/paradigmatically ‘do not project beyond reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ to grasp prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. Thus with regards to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, overall it is the underlying intemporality-or-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme of successive institutionalisations as associated with the intemporal-as-conviction reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, rather than temporal-as-token-or-madeupness denaturing of the same reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology,

that are responsible for the underlying ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and so construed as of an abstract notion of perpetual/eternising preservation of Being, and so beyond temporality/shortness existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought lack of the projecting attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme for prospective institutionalisations as mainly concerned with the physical human lifespan extricatory framework as absolute reference of meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘with little sense of coherence as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and thus the latter cannot unlike the former be the framework for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of universal implications, and particularly so as of the ‘naivety of eliciting mutual temporality/shortness as intemporality/longness or eliciting of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’. This notion of fulfilling a given prospective institutionalisation’s requisite attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme underlies the very idea of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence as well as dialogical inequivalence/non-correspondence; as where one party does fulfils the attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of a given institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought and thus its corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology, and the other doesn’t as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. This further explains why epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting arise with the successive prospective institutionalisations in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, wherein for instance the positivism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme of say a Galileo or Descartes is circularly beyond the contention framework of scholasticism meaningfulness-and-teleology, speaking of the impossibility of logical-congruence between the positivists and scholastics with only the utter dominance of positivism arising as of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework induced positive-opportunism as of scientific, medical, technical advancements, free society, etc. shat leads to the crossgenerational collapsing of scholasticism. It is interesting to note here that such positivist scholars were ‘never beholden to a convincing exercise with scholasticism but rather with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’, and for which purpose rather opted to create internally-coherent positivist networks and societies for the perpetuation of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology while averting its denaturing by wrongly implying notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> with scholasticism. But rather implying notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> given the latter’s flawed paradigm as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The insight here is that knowledge is not about ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’ but more critically about a third party validator known as ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ which is the transcendental-enabling/sublimating above the mortals that are humans, and that the exercise of knowledge construction is rather an interhuman transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing exercise in search for the validation of the ‘superior party that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, and so beyond institutional-being-and-craft and social-aggregation-enabling <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-

prospective-apriorising-implications>. Where these latter practices become de rigueur as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought denaturing of the requisite intellectualism required for further Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and start undermining knowledge construction as of its intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, effectively there shouldn't be any compunction as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm to overlook them and imply intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence and/or dialogical inequivalence/non-correspondence in order to preserve genuine knowledge over charlatanism; as such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity practices do not speak of 'genuine intellectual disagreement' but undermining of intellectualism basically and do not merit to be elevated teleologically to the level of intellectual contention because of their underlying knowledge denaturing predisposition. This is critically the case with registry-worldview/dimensional reference-of-thought transcendence implied knowledge given that the old/prior/superseded as of its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construes of 'implied grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology' in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness while the new/prospective/superseding as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construes of 'implied grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology' in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of prospective non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>. This brings home the reality that it is

inevitable that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are necessarily ‘paradigmatically/structurally conflicted’, with prospective transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework being the critically fundamental determining arbiter of what will prospectively pass for knowledge rather than the naivety of logical-congruence of dialogical-equivalence at any such uninstitutionalised-threshold; as fundamentally the issues faced by the Descartes, Galileos, Diderots, etc. as of ‘budding positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme’ are structurally/paradigmatically fundamentally inevitable as of their articulation within a non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism context. This is the case since at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, such a framework of logical-congruence of dialogical-equivalence is structurally/paradigmatically superseded, in the sense that every institutionalisation say for instance scholasticism scholarship has its ‘genuine intellectual engagement framework’ as of its underlying attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme reference-of-thought edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, but then at its uninstitutionalised-threshold (as implied from prospective positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme reference-of-thought edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) scholasticism and positivism are rather in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing; as so reflected in their mutually beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. This is equally reflected with regards to the prospective transcendence implying knowledge proponents, as the very notion of implying a prospective transcendental conceptualisation as of organic-knowledge is one that undervalues the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme as of its social-stake-

contention-or-confliction while the very notion of perceiving highly the meaningfulness-and-teleology within a prior institutionalisation framework is one that is necessarily apprehensive and shallowminded to the notion of a prospectively undermining prospective non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> transcendence attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme reference-of-thought edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In addition, the disruptive uninstitutionalised-threshold contextualisation as of such divergent commitments and ‘lack of perceived constraining framework of logical-congruence of dialogical-equivalence’ further radicalises the human disposition to act temporally beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought institutional-being-and-craft as of perceived vested interest, striving to undermine prospectively implied transcendence meaningfulness-and-teleology attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme. What is then the manifestation of such intellectual undermining which must necessarily be understood as of knowledge-notionalisation required as of the notional-conflatedness of deprocrypticism/preemption-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought protensive-consciousness? ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of its charlatanic effect fundamentally involves the undermining at any human uninstitutionalised-threshold of the possibility of intellectually induced social universal-transparency; for the ultimate outcome of undermining any such intemporal knowledge deferential-formalisation-transference behind the secondnaturing for prospective institutionalisation. Such a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought undermining exercise is geared towards the ontologically-flawed apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity of social <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-

teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality social-chainism, on the conation of upholding ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity contentions; by its deflating of the conception of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human mortals contentions in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, wherein the ‘superior party’ of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is the validator of ontological-pertinence as of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and thereof ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’ as new reasoning-from-results/afterthought, and so over and above ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’. Thus ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as of its charlatanic effect undermines, as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, the articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that could jeopardise pre-established temporal interest, and cultivating rather incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought in overlooking concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework strife to uphold-and-promote the ‘superior party’ which is the non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; with such intellectual-bad-faith rather advancing such an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness accommodating framework for strategically cultivating pre-established temporal interest. Central to such incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is a simplistic, poor and inadequate articulation of the notion of scepticism usurping genuine intellectual scepticism. Such a poor notion of scepticism operates by a spurious relationship with intellectual contentions that is

susceptible to legitimise-or-delegitimise arguments however ontologically pertinent or
 impertinent as of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, rather as of its
 commitment to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness that in many ways
 could just as well validate <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-
 <as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and
 untransvaluated-temporal-intemporality attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme and
 their social contentions. As in effect, such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity scepticism
 fails to act as a ‘knowledge-growth-mechanism with regards to the perpetuation of
 knowledge coherence and pertinence’ as is the case with genuine intellectual scepticism, but
 is rather geared towards a dogmatic pedantry/mandarinism that usurps the very notion of
 scepticism, and so as of the naïve implication that proceduralism is the substitute for
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as transcendental-enabling/sublimating.
 This poor scepticism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme usurping the pre-
 established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived
 overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, has enframing implications as of the forestalling of
 prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ upholding of the
 primacy of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and
 so over mere ‘interhuman negotiating or agreeableness’; as this subsequently undermines
 intemporal knowledge deferential-formalisation-transference behind the secondnaturing for
 prospective institutionalisation. Rather the attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme of
 genuine intellectual scepticism is encrusted within the very notion of prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness of human meaningfulness-and-teleolgy, given human limited-
 mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal). Such a genuine intellectual scepticism construes of knowledge by

its given <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the competing contending construals elicited relative credibility and relative scepticism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, thus enabling the upholding of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, which as of its transcendence-enabling nature brings about prospective human emancipation. While genuine intellectual scepticism rather strives in a comprehensive intellectual credibility and scepticism framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity scepticism avoids such constraining as it rather emphasises a predisposition for discreet, ‘ontologically unconstrained framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ and non-comprehensiveness, that rather allow for selectivity, incompleteness and perfidy passing for genuine intellectual scepticism. Effectively while genuine intellectual transformation involves dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, a perfidious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity scepticism involves eliciting a sense of immediacy and temporality/shortness as of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality social-chainism as ‘developed thought’, thus deflating the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension intemporal detachment/backstep for transcendence-and-sublimity. In this latter respect, and for the possibility of prospective social transcendence and emancipation, social practices at any given period as ‘becoming constructs’ are not inherently ontologically sacrosanct by the fact that these are the outcome

of preceding prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of preceding intemporal
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension, and by that very implication this is what carries the
possibility of ‘inventing’ as-of-prospective-institutionalisation social practices as of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness. ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity ad-hoc
pretences extolling social practices as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
but of a poor conception outside the prospective relative-ontological-completeness behind
such social practices ‘inventing’ as-of-prior-institutionalisation and so-implied as of Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, are but denaturing and down the line equally
undermines prospective relative-ontological-completeness for the further emancipation of
human social practices. As such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity ad-hoc pretences
extolling social practices as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness are of the
same notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-
qualia-schema> kind that bathe in the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and
untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality social-chainism that implied as much about extolling
social practices presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of existential-extrication-
as-of-existential-unthought reasoning-from-results/afterthought attitude/mental-
disposition/care—and—episteme of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-
institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism and
today’s positivism—procrypticism, with little prospect/opening for prospective transcendence-
and-sublimity. Essentially and constructively, all intellectualism as of their intemporal job
description as emancipative is to relay in uninhibited/decomplexified terms-as-of-axiomatic-

construct the blunt reality of the social as this is the very attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme that empowers prospective social emancipation however socially uncomfortable it may sound; and so beyond habituated <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. The fact that many that are institutionally anchored may speak otherwise or naively against such a stance doesn’t diminish in any way the ‘natural appropriateness’ of such a job description as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, but rather speaks of a poverty of institutionalisation that creeps into institutional anchors as of their reasoning-from-results/afterthought constructions subject to temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. As a result of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, the ever present reality of human uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected successively with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism, has always implied resolution beyond just reasoning-from-results/afterthought that warrants successive non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> as of the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought together construed as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism. Reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme implicitation

arises as of metaphoricity at uninstitutionalised-thresholds where blurry/vague/undeveloped construct of any given ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ is unamenable-or-poorly-amenable to reasoning-from-results/afterthought attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme explication of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework procedure of transversal-contention-for-determination-of-veridical-meaningfulness. Such reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme ‘implication of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is as of intemporal solipsistic and intersolipsistic internalisation, construed as more fully articulating the notion of authenticity, in reflecting such uninstitutionalised-threshold impracticable reasoning-from-results/afterthought attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme explication of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework procedure of transversal-contention-for-determination-of-veridical-meaningfulness. In this regard, reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is driven as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality intemporal projection, and reflects the fact that however explicated, as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme explication of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework procedure of transversal-contention-for-determination-of-veridical-meaningfulness, human meaningfulness-and-teleology is always about *différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral* of ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’; so-implied as of the *différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral* of the very apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument epistemic-

totality that is the reference-of-thought (inducing ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’), and as the reference-of-thought then aposteriorises/intelligises/logicises meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance *différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral of the <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving, involving existential-instantiation devolved temporal denaturing of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology.

The implication here is that ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality is rather about a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’, but that reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning adduced transcendence prospectively comes out short with the prospective reasoning-from-results/afterthought outcome, and so because of human limited-mentation-capacity at any moment. Thus the successive reasoning-from-results/afterthought outcomes as the logocentric constructs of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions arrive at their successive reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as of successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, but fail

to grasp/capture all the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity about the full-potency of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology that can fully reflect human-subpotency existential potential/possibilities of ontological-performance in correspondence with the full-potency of existence in its coherence/contiguity. But then, ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ can always be ‘reinvigorated as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for ordinary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought overriding prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought now in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought at such uninstitutionalised-thresholds; and so, in a renewing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument instigation as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme impitication for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology, which is construed as more fully articulating the notion of authenticity. This practical conceptualisation of authenticity as of its method is further critical because however well elicited, even reasoning-from-results/afterthought constructs still need their good ontological-performance in practice, and given human-subpotency–

aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, there is always room
 for human denaturing temporal ontological-performance of such reasoning-from-
 results/afterthought constructs induced by reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning; pointing
 to the fact that ultimately the underlying ‘sanctity of knowledge’ arises from ontological-
 faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of such
 authenticity based intemporal organic-knowledge that is wary of the denaturing that can arise
 as of temporal mechanical-knowledge that ‘dispenses with the originary/as-of-event spirit of
 reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ and adopts a mere pedantic relating with the
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology.
 Thus human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven
 reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning holds the prospect for an ever renewal of reference-
 of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, undermining
 institutional-anchoring and logocentric complexes/denials/pedantry of such prospective
 transcendental possibilities. Such prospective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument transformation
 for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology
 is the reflection of a reality of human mental regeneration potential that speaks of the
 continuity of humankind as of the same relative-emancipatory potential as pertinently
 reflected with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-

ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
 ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity’ retrospectively and prospectively; with relative-emancipation
 construed as the inherent meaningfulness-and-teleology truth form of existence, wherein truth
 is as of immanented-teleologically-pertinent-truth over truth-devoid-of-immanented-
 teleology, for instance, like the teleological disposition of living organisms for self-
 preservation beyond just their organical composition. Thus, human ontological-faith-notion-
 or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality underlies the
 conception of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-
 dialectics stranding dialectics cross-generational as enabling human transcendence-and-
 sublimity, and is reflected in ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
 veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of grander dispensing-
 with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-
 distension. Finally as a further analysis, Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology is by a rather surreptitious manner undermined by what this author qualifies as

‘subterfuges of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which are rather as of ideology; ideology in the sense that these are ‘commitments’ ready to ‘forego the pre-eminence of knowledge construed as of its ontological-veracity’ which is the only assurance of optimum construct of knowledge for human emancipation. Ideology as such takes the form of either ‘ideology denaturing of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ or ‘reactive fear of ideology denaturing of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In both instances what is lost is Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology itself, such that besides temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology interests undermining natural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, natural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is perceived as a risk that will foster ‘ideology denaturing of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ or ‘reactive fear of ideology denaturing of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology itself losing out. These subterfuges are behind the awkward, unnatural and clobbered nature of human development for the past two centuries as civilisation is construed and developed in ‘an undertone reaction/anticipation of

threat’ rather than natural as of human communion. Thus ‘subterfuges of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ arise as of the suboptimality of human intemporality/longness which suffers from human apprehensiveness of humans, thus undermining the notion of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. This underlying human mental-disposition arises as of the successive human as trepidatious/warped/preclusive/occlusive-consciousness in neuterising; as such neuterising is the outcrop of human limited-mentation-capacity. In other words neuterising can effectively be ‘decomposed-as-from-a-conflatedness-perspective into the ontologically-veridical underlying limited-mentation-capacity manifestation’ as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so-construed from the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’. Such an exercise can be conceptualised as an abstract reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level of deneuterising—referentialism, wherein for instance, with regards to ‘the very same medical <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ as structurally/paradigmatically defining ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the social-collective’:

- the trepidatious-consciousness of an early hunter-gatherer recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation society direct experience of misfortune say like catching an unknown disease in a given forest may imply an existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen as of its relative neuterising as of its random-as—

uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accident-ed-or-random-mental-disposition (noting that such a poor reification is better than no reification at all in the sense that where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen provides a basic reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its trepiditious nature as to ‘a crude predisposition to avoid the forest’);

- for the warped-consciousness of an animistic base-institutionalisation society imply existential-contextualising-contiguity-second-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period as of its relative neuterising as of its tendentious–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its rulemaking-over-non-rules (noting as well that in the case where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period provides a relatively better reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its tendentious nature as to inducing tendentiously crude behaviours and psychological assurances associated with positive experiences over negative experiences);

- for the preclusive-consciousness of a universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism society imply existential-contextualising-contiguity-third-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor as of its relative neuterising as of its qualifying–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules (noting that in the case where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes

carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor provides an even better reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its preclusive nature as to comprehensively-qualified narrative of a non-ad-hoc and weighty/profound existential interpretation inducing the predisposition as of a fateful universal narrative of human behaviour implications);

- for an occlusive-consciousness as of our positivism/rational-empiricism implying existential-contextualising-contiguity-fourth-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation still as of its relative neutering as of its categorising—circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules (noting also that in the case where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation provides a decisively better reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency however its occlusive nature as to an existential interpretation as of rational-empiricism/positivism conception of human behaviour implications with direct understanding of immediate cause-and-effect implications); and prospectively

- for the protensive-consciousness notional-deprocrpticism existential-contextualising-contiguity-full-level-of-reification notional-deprocrpticism deneutering—referentialism as of referentialism—circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given its preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-

drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules implied as of say post-structuralism ‘which factors in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery’ (noting finally that in the case where the given forest is infested with say mosquitoes carrying malaria for instance, such a perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation provides the best reifying-and-empowering reflexivity to human-subpotency as of its protensive nature as to coherent existential interpretation drawing out the full implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as a projective—totalitative-implications conception and superseding presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness naiveties as to the socially extended constructive construal of healthcare as more than just as of immediate disease/illness cause-and-effect implications). The latter as deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is the effective basis for evaluating the ontological-veracity of all preceding reference-of-thought as of its deneuterising—referentialism that breaks-down the various neuterising to their basic human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics implications.

In this regard, their successive profoundness as of their ‘successive (uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ speaks of more and more profound convergence-as-of-accumulation of human-subpotency grasp of the full-potency of existence coherence/contiguity. It should be noted as well that the afore is

focused on the abstract reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level of
 différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, as it is actually reflecting ‘the backdrop
 construed as human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-
 becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ for the effectively devolving
 différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral teleological process of meaningfulness;
 given that the abstract reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level so-established rather
 enframes teleologically-devolving-as-drifting meaningfulness with regards to ‘social-stake-
 contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the
 social-collective’ construed from notional-deprocrpticism deneuterising, to fully reflect the
 ontological-veridicality of mental-states as of affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-
 validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking
 and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
 logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing
 stranding dialectics. For instance, reflecting in an early hunter-gatherer society the ‘candid
 existential expressiveness’ of how one is suffering from bad omen on the backdrop of its
 ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation <formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving—différance/internal-
 dialectics/difference-deferral’ construed as disambiguation its uninstitutionalised-threshold
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus this will disambiguate, specifically ‘with regards to the
 ill-health <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
 intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation random-as-
 uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality ‘existential—epistemic-totalisation-
 scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as it construes any ill-health issue as of the idea of
 bad omen given its ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’.
 The uninstitutionalised-threshold as such, as of the reference-of-thought beyond-the-

consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, is the basis for determining both intemporal as well as temporal ontological-performances specifically as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’. This thus involves the disseminative-as-rearticulated <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral as conjugations as of intemporal-as-conviction ontological-performance and also as the various temporal-as-token-or-madeupness denaturing, all as conjugating variously to the very same implied reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology underlying idea of bad omen interpretation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation going by its random-as—uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality ‘existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and with this reflecting the metaphoricity of ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the social-collective’. The foregoing conception of disseminative-as-rearticulated <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral is equally pertinent with respect to all the other registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought but rather as of their own given ‘candid existential expressiveness’ with regards to their own respective specific same reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology conjugations as intemporal-as-conviction ontological-performance and as various temporal-

as-token-or-madeupness ontological-performances’ by the respective underlying interpretations as evil-forest-bad-omen/failure-to-heed-the-Deity/full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation/and-further-factoring-in-socioeconomic,-education,-information,-environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-delivery respectively as of their base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation warped-consciousness, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism preclusive-consciousness, positivism–procrypticism occlusive-consciousness and deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness, inducing their respective tendentious/qualifying/categorising/referentialism–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality ‘existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and so, respectively due to their rulemaking-over-non-rules, universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, and preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules. Insightfully, the foregoing points out that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ‘a metaphoricity of social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of existential-instantiations dynamics among individuals and the social-collective that is fundamentally already an epistememic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with the shifts in human meaningfulness-and-teleology induced by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-

of-thought’, such that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is not absolutely identitive but shifting as of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, given that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) is in a constant <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology; with the implication that the ontological-performance of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as to sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence is fundamentally construable as of the developing scope of ‘the respective relative neuterising’ towards prospective deneuterising—referentialism. Overall, the emphasis here is as of a Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that is as of ‘various relative mentally-closed limited-mentation-capacity as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ as <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag towards ‘mentally-opened limited-mentation-capacity overcoming beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so as of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>; wherein as for the trepidatious-consciousness <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, ‘human mentally-closed limited-mentation-capacity as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ induced neuterising can be construed as

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—originariness/origination as of random-as-
 uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality ‘existential–epistemic-totalisation-
 scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as reflected in the idea of bad omen, for the
 warped-consciousness as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-
 origination as of tendentious–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-
 epistemic-totality ‘existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology’ as reflected in the idea of evil forest, for the preclusive-consciousness as
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination as of qualifying–
 circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality ‘existential–
 epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as reflected in the idea of
 failure to heed the Deity, while for the occlusive-consciousness as
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination as of categorising–
 circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality ‘existential–
 epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as reflected in the idea of
 full disease and scientific theory construct as the exclusive cause-and-effect
 conceptualisation’. Such that in the final analysis, there is an underlying tendency of Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that decomposes-as-of-conflatedness ‘human
 mentally-closed limited-mentation-capacity as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
 teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ induced neutering into the
 underlying limited-mentation-capacity manifestation disambiguation basis for their
 ontologically-veridical construal’, and so-construed from a notional-deprocrypticism
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective. Thus for
 the protensive-consciousness as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-
 origination as of referentialism–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-

epistemic-totality ‘existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implied say as of post-structuralism factoring in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery’; as of deprocrypticism is as of deneuterising—referentialism. This analysis conveys the reality of human cross-generational institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure due to the impossibility of the very first humans as of their limited-mentation-capacity and yet inexperience/unaccumulated-experience to be able to reason more than their initial apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument will permit as of their state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidental-or-random-mental-disposition’, and hence their construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘their relative neuterising’. Likewise the ultimate possibility of human cross-generational institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as enabling the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of notional-deprocrypticism/pre-empting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is the backdrop for deneuterising—referentialism enabling the full transparent ontologically-veridical elucidation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing; as of the possibility of deneuterising. In the bigger scheme of things, as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism as deneuterising—referentialism, what had hitherto been conceived notionally as logicism is herein exposed as effectively superseded by the notion of *différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral so-construed as of ‘reference-of-thought-or-axiomatic-construct-devolving-as-of-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness-*différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ and as implied as-of-the-construal-of-*différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and so with respect to the more ontologically-veridical reality of human conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-

teleology always from a position of limited-mentation-capacity as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, thus in need for its prior deepening so-captured in the ‘human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism *différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ as transcendence-and-sublimity-enabling, whereas such a human limited-mentation-capacity implication is naively ignored with logicism in its metaphysics-of-presence/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage. Such a ‘human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism *différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’, by its insight with respect to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology construal, is best predisposed to grasp the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>reference-of-thought as this enables transcendence-and-sublimity, thus fulfilling the full implications of knowledge as of its ontologically-veridical knowledge-notionalisation and organic-knowledge nature. Fundamentally this all has to do with human limited-mentation-capacity, as if at a given originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination moment humankind-as-of-its-integrand-individuals had a profound-and-complete mentation-capacity, then human meaningfulness-and-teleology will be absolutely identitive with no implied-*différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology requiring as of existential-constraint human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as the

circular driving notion of *différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral. *Différance* as internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, beyond just an ontological conception as expressed herein, had already always been existent notionally as a wholly internal process of human self-referencing-syncretism for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought,-as-of-devolving-axiomatic-constructs as-so-reflected in ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ construed-as institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and with regards to the successive registry-worldview/dimension rearticulated as of temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. The notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought also highlights theoretically why the Husserlian epoché or bracketing method construed as eidetic reduction is ontologically-flawed by its constitutedness as it naively imply circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality/delineating-as-epistemic-totality meaningfulness-and-teleology for its essence in presence, rather than the fact that presence reference-of-thought as ‘metaphysics-of-presence is structurally/paradigmatically an ontologically-flawed bracketing or epoché as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and is representing metaphysics-of-absence implications as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives)’ when it comes to presence uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought in its relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing_qualia-schema>/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-

reference-of-thought for meaningfulness-and-ontology ontological-performance, as well as ignoring prospective institutionalisation implications construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Such an eidetic reduction is circularly constraint in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag at its given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought without factoring in the phenomenological implications of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as ‘Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology coherence/contiguity implications as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being’, and thus fails to get to the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ reflected by metaphysics-of-absence in the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance which is as of the transcendental implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The further insight here is that, such a most ontologically-complete profoundness/depth of ‘phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle in-its-overcoming-of-neuterisation’ reflected by metaphysics-of-absence for the construal of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue points to a fundamental epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting; with the latter arising as a result of lack of ‘axiomatic commonness-in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-

same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ as of the variance of uninstitutionalised-
 threshold prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and prospective
 institutionalisation relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. For instance,
 such epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting associated with the overall ontological-contiguity—
 of-the-human-institutionalisation-process necessarily explains the ‘mutually transversality-of-
 disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing unintelligibility’ of the Galileos,
 Newtons, Diderots episteme articulating prospective positivising/rational-empiricism
 meaningfulness-and-teleology and the Establishment scholasticism medieval dogmatic
 episteme. The implication here is that the articulation of transcendence as of reference-of-
 thought is by itself tied up to a prospective epistemic disruption, construed as of soundness-
 or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, beyond just grounded knowledge as of the prior
 episteme which is rather construed as of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-
 thought. Such transcendental epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting arise because humankind
 is subpotent as of its knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-
 notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue to the full-potency of existence, and
 in the human construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the
 ‘superseding party’ is not any involved humans as knowledge agents but inherent existential-
 reality itself, with any such humans as knowledge agents only ‘pertinent in delegation’ as of
 their ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’, with such delegation inherently revoked as of their
 failed ‘kowtowing to existential-reality’. To the extent that human knowledge agents ‘achieve
 sufficient-and-recurrent credibility as of their knowledge methods and approaches’ with
 respect to social universal-transparency, an apparent episteme as of ‘axiomatic commonness-
 in-sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-

reality/ontological-veridicality' arises as of institutional-being-and-craft. But then, where
 transcendental implications as of prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought point to more profound reference-of-
 thought for construing/conceptualising existential-reality putting such a prior episteme in
 question, this induces a state of mutual ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity between the
 prospective episteme and the prior episteme as of the lack of 'axiomatic commonness-in-
 sharedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the-very-same-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality' with respect to social universal-transparency; and so more
 than just as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-
 of-existential-unthought, but further because as of human-subpotency–
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, there is 'a drift from
 the ideal of knowledge agents only as 'pertinent in delegation' as of their 'kowtowing to
 existential-reality' towards a teleologically-degraded exercise of institutional-being-and-craft
 muddlement. It should be noted that such a notional construct of episteme interpreted herein
 is implied as of 'dynamic social <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising' across the entire social spectrum as of notional-episteme dynamically covering
 both informal institutional settings and formal institutional settings. In the bigger scheme of
 things, such transcendental epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting in transition associated with
 the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human-
 subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor arise wherein 'the prior shaman is being contested by
 a new shaman in a hunter-gatherer society' with possible accusations of witchcraft as of

institutionalised-being-and-craft, wherein ‘two or more traditional priesthoods of an early civilisation foment against one another’, wherein ‘sophistry and philosophy vie for what passes as valuable and true knowledge’, wherein ‘medieval scholasticism dogmatic knowledge and positivism/rational-empiricism knowledge vie for the interpretation of human and physical nature’, and in our case wherein ‘knowledge traditions including philosophical traditions are put into question as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, antinihilism and transcendental-enabling/sublimating knowledge perspectives’. Ultimately, this point out that epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting become inevitable wherein the prior knowledge episteme paradigmatically/structurally loses its way as of its initial justification as safeguarding the prospective possibility of enlightening human knowledge as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being, but then by its institutional-being-and-craft uninstitutionalised-threshold actually paradigmatically/structurally beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought undermines the prospective possibility of prospective enlightening human knowledge; and so, as increasingly the prior epistemic disposition is one that overlooks prospective inherent transcendental-enabling/sublimating of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance turning rather towards social-aggregation-enabling implications as meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, undermining the very notion of the intellectual exercise as about developing/institutionalising the social and not kowtowing-to-it construed as charlatanism! Further in all such transcendental contexts despite the fact that the-new is derived from the-old as for instance the Descartes, the Galileos, the Leibnizes and the Newtons as budding positivists are the outcrop of Scholasticism itself, the-new epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting is justified in that even the-old is predicated on upholding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-

infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontology's-directedness-as-Being going by the human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Insightfully, that exercise is actually reflected as of temporal-to-intemporal individuations wherein the individual is rather a receptacle of temporal-to-intemporal individuations with variance of mental-dispositions among individuals an issue of variance as of skewness towards temporality/shortness or intemporality; such that even the budding positivists carried elements of scholasticism but were more definitely of a positivistic outlook, and many scholastics articulated notions which could more fruitfully be developed in a positivistic outlook but were stifled by their scholasticism dogmatic intellectual commitments. In effect, human limited-mentation-capacity however the institutionalisation-level as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor implies that it is impossible for the intemporal projection as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that prospectively construes of successive frameworks of 'reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of implicated-and-explicated reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue' as of the specific institutionalisation, to ensure that human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance will remain intemporal-as-ontological as of their reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology given 'the impossibility of overcoming the abstract human seed of temporality/shortness dynamically involved, as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, in a formulaic-non-conviction

deterministic relation with such reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives thus failing to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’. Thus the ontological effectiveness of such intemporal-projection as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology lies rather in undermining the existential possibility of the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninsitutionalisations as of bringing about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontoligical-fideism thus inducing social universal-transparency which renders untenable temporality/shortness as of the given uninstitutionalised-threshold instigated from the prior institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology denaturing; as implied with base-institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over positivism–procrypticism. Such that we can garner that it is a positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought social universal-transparency that makes it untenable for non-positivism/medieval temporal mental-dispositions to elicit non-positivism/medieval implied temporality. Likewise, prospectively it is a deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought social universal-transparency that can render it untenable for procrypticism temporal mental-dispositions to elicit

procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought implied temporality. Thus
 aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is not about transforming the reality of human-
 subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as overcoming temporality/shortness inherently, but
 rather it is about bringing about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-
 of-thought. The reality of huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation and
 uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions imply that at the uninstitutionalised-
 threshold prospective institutionalisation knowledge as transcendental-enabling/sublimating
 is not socially integrated directly as of an <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness exercise engaging
 with intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such prospective intemporal-
 as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is not necessarily perceived at the
 uninstitutionalised-threshold as any more pertinent for attaining social approbation than other
 temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the said uninstitutionalised-threshold. This
 point out that maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-
 dispositions in their intemporality/longness or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology are as of a projected-or-anticipated conflatedness of social universal-transparency
 for institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-
 channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>. That is at the
 uninstitutionalised-threshold such intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is
 pragmatically expounded socially not in terms of its inherent <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 ideal which is socially-too-abstract but rather as a structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured
 construct of positive-opportunism as of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-

transference percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> to attain social approbation. It is such a 'conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct of positive-opportunism of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> to attain social approbation' that holds together in social universal-transparency temporal-to-intemporal solipsistic mental-dispositions as of a given secondnatured institutionalisation. Out of such a conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct, intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology is not necessarily perceived as any more pertinent for attaining social approbation than other temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, the ideal articulation of base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, just as that of universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism; are only pertinent for attaining social approbation as of their conflatedness structuring/paradigmatic secondnatured construct of positive-opportunism of institutional and formal deferential-formalisation-transference as of percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>.

This highlights that from the perspective of immediate-or-short-run social approbation, it is simpler though ontologically flawed as of constitutedness to engage a registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold rather by an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-disposition on the basis of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought or its same metaphysical framework of contention rather than adopting at its uninstitutionalised-threshold a more complex but ontologically-veridical maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition on the basis of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-

reference-of-thought or superseding metaphysical framework of contention as of conflatedness. That is, engaging a non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery on its same terms in case of an accusation of sorcery to imply the other is the sorcerer, etc. will sound more credible as of its <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in a non-positivism social-setup than say projecting to prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology and implying that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are not real speaking of both the defect of such accusation and the defective superstitious <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in the non-positivism social-setup. Ultimately, such a profound phenomenological <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance construal faced with the inherent dogmatic and psychological biases of human <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) in many ways necessarily has to project out of ‘ordinariness of thought’ for pretence of arriving at a sound construct capable of a most profound reflection of social ontological-veridicality. Consider with respect to a most profound emotional-involvement the issue of human imperilment as a test for the capacity for such requisite depth of transcendental contemplation. Consider for instance that tens of millions including soldiers killed in both the first and second world wars pass for mere

victims of the wars in a bizarre twist of mutual <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that shuts-off-the-mind to the odious reality of mutual genocide, to say the least. Consider that in Russia a dictator responsible for killing about 25 millions of his own citizens is still considered a national hero by the majority. Consider that the first president of the United States in position of power was a slave-owner thus encouraging the Atlantic slave trade that led to genocidal proportions of deaths but he is venerated by a majority as the greatest U.S. President. Consider in a different sense though non-exculpatory that Heidegger a leading intellectual joined the Nazi party leaving 2 years later with hardly any critical influence on the party and is universally condemned today. Consider as well that many an intellectual or public figure today actively or passively voiced for the recent wars killing millions whether in the Middle-East or elsewhere with a corresponding social indifference and mental shut-off. These profound considerations highlight the contemplative depth to which the social thinker needs to get to in order to truly be engaged in a transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal as implied with notional-deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so be able to keep their head up from drowning in human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) in order to be able to produce ‘veridical ontology’ on a same parity as nature constrains on the natural sciences. Effectively, such transcendental insight points out that existence/existential-possibilities is inherently a radical ontology beyond our <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existence/existential-possibilities as ‘hyperbolic pretences of ontology’. This author thinks that there can effectively be an engaging and constructive approach for arriving at such a depth of radical ontology warranted

by existence/existential-possibilities that is transcendental-enabling/sublimating for the social avoiding the platitudes of our times such that many an intellectual have even given up to ‘this all-powerful emotional-involvement element of the social’. Human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) implies the need for a sound perpetuating construct of universal projection as intemporality-or-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the opportunity for prospective transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Such a construct is a ‘response construal’ that inherently enables transformative universal implications as beyond presence issues and complexes as it sublimates presence out of its failure. This is unlike the all too frequent construct of ‘reactionary construal’ caught up in presence as it is presence-serving, and so whether as of positive or negative reaction; as even as a positive act a reactionary construal is hardly universalisable thus hardly as of aetiologicalisation/ontological-escalation. A hero as of a positive ‘reactionary construal’ may perfectly prevent a crime from happening and save the day but then such action is not dependable and the outcomes are unreliable as well together with the possibility on occasion of wrong judgement and/or wrong action or usurpation; thus the social construction of crime prevention needs an intellectualised social ‘response construal’ mechanism of universal implication that ensures dependability of crime prevention as of the foresight of law and policing management construed as of an intemporal-as-ontological intellectual projection exercise. This same depth-of-thought is warranted across the dynamic scope of the social including the political for true transcendental-enabling/sublimating beyond normative conventioned constructs bound to hold-up the possibility of prospective ‘visions of humankind emancipation’. Such a depth of contemplation will fathom for instance that humankind appeared on earth about 100000 years ago but the pervasive structural/paradigmatic determinism of the nation-state which became

common just about 500 years ago has been a source of much of humankind's problems as of 'reactionary construal' and humankind's constitutedness to the notion of nation-state seems to create an impasse for human Being-and-contemplative development. Consider again the possibility capable of arising as of a 'response construal' as effectively articulated by Derrida in his analysis of spirit. Derrida grasps that Heidegger strove to produce universal human meaningfulness-and-teleology but was caught up in the <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) as spirit failed to universalise and so Heidegger couldn't carry the effective implications of his work to its true universal conclusion as he was caught up in the 'reactionary construal' of them-and-us, as his commitment to the 'us' overlooked/didn't-come-into-grips with what the 'us' was doing, not to mention the possibility of him actually acting as transcendental over the them-and-us as a position of making a universal 'response construal'. This problem isn't particular to Heidegger but for the fact that the underlying regime of 'us' were the Nazis, as the them-and-us logic is intellectually rampant such that even Derrida was being condemned by many for not adopting it. The question can be asked whether any genuine intellectualism as providing a 'response construal' for humankind overall can construe of emancipation meaningfulness-and-teleology in them-and-us basis and whether this isn't a recipe for potential disaster as all them-and-us rationale are just variances of the same insanity! We can imagine that a true understanding and universal application of Derrida's spirit insight as a 'response construal' could have educated thought-and-intellectualism and prevent say the subsequent Rwanda and Burundi genocides in Africa from occurring with many supposedly normal and educated persons caught up in the overall mobbishness; but such a lesson can hardly come out from the prevalent them-and-us lazy intellectualism 'reactionary construal' which simply provides <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag comfort to protagonists by its lack-of or pseudo universal projection. Basically, a phenomenological extended metaphysics-of-absence as of notional-deprocrypticism perspective points out that humankind does have the possibilities of adopting an uninhibited/decomplexified posture for ‘inventing’ a whole new renewal/re-perceiving/re-thinking beyond our apparently constricted metaphysics-of-presence framework which in reality is just presence ‘hyperbolic dazing effect’ utterly distinct from the radical ontology possibilities of existence/existential-possibilities. Transcendence as implied here is with regards to reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalisation level ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ which is the ‘ontologically veridical enabling notion of transcendence’ as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in epistemic conflatedness as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought. Such a conceptualisation of transcendence is actually what a Kantian transcendental imagination and other subsequent philosophies of transcendence it inspired would have strove to arrive at, but according to this author wrongly understood transcendence rather as of ‘phenomenal-abstractiveness’ as the basis/grounding to then construe/conceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology failing to factor in that ‘existential phenomenal-abstractiveness conflates-in-effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology all the way to consciousness as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for the possibility of meaningfulness-and-teleology to then arise on the basis of such a given apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; given that it is consciousness that teleologically-registers/recognises phenomenal-abstractiveness as of meaningfulness-and-teleology in addition to the implications thereof with regards to the

varying-as-transcending nature of consciousness with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) arising in further conflatedness as of human maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in an exercise of ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought that re-projects-or-re-anticipates the-very-same-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so as of a retrospective to prospective insight. Hence such philosophies failing to grasp that phenomenal-abstractiveness is ultimately as of ‘a conflatedness and so construed from the perspective of ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ actually ended up inducing constitutedness in striving to construe meaningfulness-and-teleology vaguely from phenomenal-abstractiveness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Consciousness as the enabling point-of-focus for ‘human-subpotency existential meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance’ as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness induced apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights is actually the conflatedness point-of-focus that registers-as-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology all human phenomenal-abstractiveness whether as derived from sense organs like eyes construed specifically as sight ontological-performance, the ear construed specifically as hearing ontological-performance, etc., derived from embodied phenomenal-abstractiveness like health/illness ontological-performance, vigour/tiredness ontological-performance, etc., and/or derived from mind phenomenal-abstractiveness like thought ontological-performance, emotional ontological-performance, etc.; and so-registered

in conflatedness as of consciousness's point-of-focus <formative>epistemic-totalising-
 conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance,
 so-derived as it solipsistically constructs-and-reconstructs underlying 'coherence/contiguity-
 of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-
 and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-
 consciousness' (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
 projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion
 of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as
 human) and developing meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
 understanding/reconstruing/correcting/adapting/maturing, taking its cue from the
 conflatedness of existential-instantiations successions as it construes of existence/existential-
 possibilities as living-being! Put another way, consciousness as point-of-focus conflatedness
 of meaningfulness-and-teleology is 'operative of human-subpotency as of the
 coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-as-of-inherent-existence-
 coherence/contiguity', so-implied with 'explicited axiomatic-constructs' construed as
 concepts/notions and 'implicated axiomatic-constructs' construed as
 intuitions/insights/foresights, and so correspondingly as of the explicited-focusing and
 implicated-coherencing/contiguity as of a supposed living-being reflection of existential-
 instantiations and contextualisations in forming knowledge-
 constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
 notions/articulations/virtue; thus explaining by this 'explicited-focusing and implicated-
 coherencing/contiguity existential dynamics for producing knowledge-
 constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-

notions/articulations/virtue', the constitutedness of the Kantian understanding of concepts and intuitions as being mutually dependent for meaningfulness-and-teleology articulation. In other words, constitutedness tend to fallaciously imply existence-in-existence or existence-of-things-in-existence whereas conflatedness rightly implies becoming-in-existence-rather-as-subsumed-in-existence or things-becoming-in-existence-rather-as-subsumed-in-existence as of underlying 'coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness' (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) or 'consciousness's ontological-performance construed in amalgamation as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality'. This notion of conflatedness construal of existence as of becoming-in-existence-rather-as-subsumed-in-existence is critical in that all notions that naively imply an intercession between human becoming and existence construed as existence-in-existence, such as the transcendental ego perspective, end up in constitutedness as the said 'transcendental ego cannot invent existence as if preceding existence' thus inducing constitutedness. Rather existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is by itself construed as 'the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with nothing else outside or preceding it'; as existence is an implied-axiomatic-construct-construed-as-reference-of-thought as an implied-theory, with the 'implied about existence' arising as of a given/specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of a given

human limited-mentation-capacity implied registry-worldview/dimension consciousness, such that meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of existence's implied axiomatic-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness-as-of-instantiative-context with no meaningfulness-and-teleology construable outside it but for an epistememic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of prospective 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibility setup/measuring instrument reference-of-thought' as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) implied prospective registry-worldview/dimension consciousness and its corresponding existence's the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality implied axiomatic-devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness-as-of-instantiative-context, with no meaningfulness-and-teleology outside or preceding it. Thus conflatedness warrants that human-subpotency becoming is amalgamated as of existence as of the underlying 'coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness' (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) for appropriate construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. The insight here is that we can't be at a posture of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in relative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of the the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-

veridicality and then pretend to ground meaningfulness-and-teleology about the nature of existence as if we are of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as our state of relative-ontological-incompleteness perverts that grounding objective and rather points to the need for an notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> induced psychoanalytic-unshackling towards a prospective state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. What is fundamentally warranted is priorly attaining psychoanalytically, as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in ontological-contiguity of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, rather than a flawed attempt at grounding as with say a transcendental ego basis of construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology, unsuspectedly grounding as of our positivism-procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; as such a role is simply undertaken by conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and is rather construed then as of such prospective underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) for appropriate meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. Such a conflatedness insight as of

notional-deprocrypticism rather points out that soundness-or-authenticity of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance arises as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology involving the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process induced various consciousnesses up to the protensive-consciousness enabling transcendental centered—epistemic-totalisation, as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Actually, this author holds that the very fundamental handicapping issue to meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the philosophical tradition lies in the naïve human mental-reflex of implying that ‘a given human determination of the effecting basis/foundation/axiomatic-construct derived/deciphered from existential-instantiations as underlying the presence institutionalisation <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology carries-and-reflects all the depth/profoundness of existence/existential-possibilities’, thus not allowing for the possibility for further imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existence/existential-possibilities of existential-instantiations outside any such reference-of-thought determination; such reference-of-thought determination being affixed rather in constitutedness as of any of the various registry-worldviews/dimensions specific underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought such as ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of prospective base-institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules of base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of prospective

universalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought,
 ‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of universalisation–non-
 positivism/medievalism’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of prospective
 positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and in our
 case ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-
 rules of positivism–procrypticism’ not cognisant of the conflatedness possibility of futural
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
 deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such
 that it thus construes as absolutely reflecting existence/existential-possibilities by operations
 of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-
 elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity on the basis of that given
 determination reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with
 the consequence that its constitutedness, since it doesn’t allows for superseding
 existence/existential-possibilities, now ‘contradictorily-and-naively supersedes-and-is-
 determinative-of existence itself’ rather than taking its cue from the conflatedness of
 existence/existential-possibilities given the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of
 existential-instantiations and as reflected at registry-worldview/dimension depth of construal
 as of reference-of-thought; as it then fails to grasp that ‘there is no understanding to be had
 outside the conflatedness of existence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought’ with any such conceptualisation being nothing but vague virtuality that
 is not as of ontological-contiguity and ontological-veracity. Thus the problem of the
 philosophical tradition is notionally one of erroneous constitutedness, and this issue is
 recurrent-beyond-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing-with-the-
 latter-only-a-bi-manifestation-of-the-reccurence,-as-psychically-recurrent as of human

shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity due to inherent human temporality/shortness and intemporality/longness across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, and speaks of a human existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought disposition reflected as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism behind the reality of a conceptualisation of human nature rather more completely as of institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions. As highlighted before: consciousness is the point-of-focus <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-performance, so-derived as it solipsistically constructs-and-reconstructs underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) and developing meaningfulness-and-teleology as of understanding/reconstruing/correcting/adapting/maturing, taking its cue from the conflatedness of existential-instantiations successions as it construes of existence/existential-possibilities as living-being. Such ‘focusing construed as consciousness’ explains why axiomatic-constructs are explicited and implicated/intuited as of a living-being <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology in coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology. The above conception fundamentally underscore the development and how all human knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-

notions/articulations/virtue arises existentially as of consciousness, and is singularly reflected as of language development which is the ‘signifying mirroring’ of human meaningfulness-and-teleology. The implication here is that meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘existential self-referencing’ and ‘existential syncretising-effecting’ construed as <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag corresponds to language as of its ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct’ and its ‘metaphoricity’; in reflecting how human social-stake-contention-or-confliction induces human transcendence-as-of-full-transcendental-potential underlying knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue. Consciousness, and as reflected by the signifying mirroring of meaningfulness-and-teleology that is language, is thus a point-of-focusing axiomatic/reference-of-thought devolving-construal disposition for meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the ‘human species sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of existential-stakes migration enabled by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)’ that speaks of ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay construed here as the human species consciousness-différance’, reflected by notional-deprocrypticism conceptualised historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the conflatedness of the successive human consciousnesses ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. Thus consciousness by its full development as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology speaks fundamentally of the entire narrative possibilities of the human species as of human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-

interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence. Such ‘consciousness conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is reflected by the signifying mirroring of meaningfulness-and-teleology that is language as of its metaphoricity. Metaphoricity can thus be construed as the signification of articulated meaningfulness-and-teleology as of reference to existential-instantiation contexts adjunctively and not as naturally devolving into the ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as signification of reference-of-thought, such that metaphoricity is rather an ‘adjunctive incorporation’ to the ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. The ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of its self-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology is always susceptible to the further deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought such that prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology arises out of the adjunction to this ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and is adjoined to it as metaphoricity, with metaphoricity construed as the signification implied as of syncretising–effecting meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus language effectively reflects the <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reality of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as language is always a blending of the ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ with the conflatedness adjunction of its metaphoricity. It is interesting to grasp here that a signifying-construct as signification of ‘the self-referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is always <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating and is effectively signifying a reference-of-thought as of ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-

meaningfulness'. Such centered-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
 meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as reference-of-thought, and its signification as
 implied by an 'underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
 signifying-construct of language' necessarily has to do with the fact that meaningfulness-and-
 teleology is as of a 'coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-
 inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-
 intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness' (so-enabled by underlying supposedly
 coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent
 human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) for intelligibility to arise, thus is
 construed as reference-of-thought as of the the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—
 purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; as we
 know intuitively that meaning is always about the-one-meaning as well as a
 perspective/framing/reference/horizon were all the-one-meaning cohere/are-in-ontological-
 contiguity metaphoricity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 <formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) adhocly
 produces by conflatedness adjunctive significations where these do not fit in with the
 'underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct
 of language' due to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity as of relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought when conceptualising about such an
 'underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct
 of language'. But then an adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification so produced as reflected by
 'a transcendental syncretising-effecting meaningfulness-and-teleology' like the construal of
 budding positivism/rational-empiricism in medieval society, may turn out in-due-

course/cross-generationally to be of an even greater meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating effect over the prior notion of the ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and thus prospectively become the ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’; and so as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, by SUBSUMING some significations of the prior ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, while ELIMINATING some significations of the prior ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and so together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, and finally LEAVING-OUT some significations of the prior ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and so together with some adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations of the prior ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, as its very own as the prospective ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations to which other adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations could be incorporated adjunctively. Effectively, with the positivism/rational-empiricism self-referencing <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology, its adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification can be construed as of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of cross-generational positivism/rational-

empiricism reappropriation of the ancient mathesis universalis metaphoricity as its very own
 ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct
 of language’ ‘behind the instigative-drive for construing all human knowledge’ by such
 enlightenment thinkers like Galileo and ubiquitously with Descartes that rolled-over into later
 thinkers like Leibniz, Newton, and ultimately subverted medievalism and scholasticism
 leading to our present positivism/rational-empiricism dominant <formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology. Existence
 itself as the absolute a priori underscores such a conception given the human species
 sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-
 interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of existential-stakes migration; since the
 existential dispositions of human subjects relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction
 arises as of ‘their living existential-instantiations’, and where they construe meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as not self-referentially covered by the ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’, they will inevitably
 articulate adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations to that prior ‘underlying
 <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of
 language’. This explains the lockstep nature of human meaningfulness-and-teleology and
 language, with the latter as the former’s signification mirroring, such that institutional-
 cumulation/institutional-recomposure is actually as of ‘accreting-substitutive-subsumption-
 as-futural-différance-freeplay construed here as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
 singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
 projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ différance’, with

regards to ‘human species sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence as of existential-stakes migration’, and speaks of a non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant construal of an internal-dialectic in existential-contextualising-contiguity/Derridean-différance/Sartrean-existence-precedes-essence/Heideggerian-essencing-as-of-the-ontological-difference construed as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Such adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness ⟨formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity mirror the syncretising-effecting as of the acculturation-indigenisation-pidginisation behind dialectal differentiation, national language formation, and the cultural diffusion associated pidginisation and creolisation; as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction context adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness induced ‘underlying ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of languages’. In another respect with regards to language acquisition as mirroring a child’s existential integration into the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes, a new born child existential integration into society, from its perspective, develops as of a dynamics of adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations in ‘significations accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay construed here as the phenomenology of human language acquisition différance’ that fundamentally mirror the child’s developing existential social relationships as an ordered process of social existential overtures constraining-and-cohering the child’s adoption-of/integration-with the supposedly ‘underlying ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of a peculiar, intuitive and dynamic developing metaphoricity where ‘both the child and members of the overall social-construct existentially adjust to each other as of

spurious meaningful utterances like mutual babbling and baby-talk' while implicitly converging towards the child's adoption/integration at various stages of its existential development of the 'underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language' as it is reflected by the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes. But then as might be phenomenologically appreciated the notion of language as of its existential import is thus utterly dynamic as an overall signification construct that is never 'absolutely present' but rather 'immensely existentially present' with an 'absolute language signification construct imagery rather implied as of projection/anticipation but not phenomenologically real' explaining the concrete variation of individuals linguistic performance, as the phenomenality of language is rather held together by 'the given social-setup underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for its evolving-and-devolving construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology'! Thus phenomenologically, 'language arises, ebbs and flows as of a continuously-elusive individual and collective-social consciousness steering that reflects the <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag dynamics of individual and collective-social meaningfulness-and-teleology', and this equally explains why language evolves and transforms over time. In effect, 'language is never phenomenologically the complete possibilities of language as an absolute present conception but is rather a becoming as of an immensely-existentially-present signification reflected by individuals and the collective-social along existential development stages as of the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes'. The above insight further points out the pertinence of construing-of and analysing language more completely as of human existentialism/throwness/facticity, giving that language is more phenomenologically-and-pragmatically a signification accompaniment of 'individuals and the collective-social along

existential development stages as of the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes'. This highlights the 'knowledge implications as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay with regards to such a phenomenological conception of language as a lockstep veridical reflection of human personality development all along the various existential stages as of a notion of the dynamics of social-construct existential situations/instances, stakes, institutions and processes from childhood to adulthood', notwithstanding the fact that the privileged social conceptualisation of language is as of 'language as the complete possibilities of language as of an absolute present conception usually of a privileged end-institution purpose'. Metaphoricity is thus rather construed as of its overall conflatedness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of full consciousness development as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence, beyond just mere figurativeness but as of figurative projected implications of individuals and the collective-social meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their peculiarity/differentiation to the entire textual/hermeneutical rhetorical-stylistic-semantic delivery, and as such metaphoricity induces <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signification in producing, as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, 'underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language' and together with its associated adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations. Overall, human explicit and implicit signification as of language as articulated above is equally reflected in human aesthetics/arts like music and even science. Ultimately, human adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness reflecting syncretising-effecting superseding of

human self-referencing signifying-constructs as of the need to supersede the limited certitude as of human limited-mentation-capacity, inherently implies that the possibility for ‘absolute certitude as of its theoretical possibility’ lies with such an adjunctive-metaphoricity-significations conflatedness as of syncretising-effecting as ultimately converging towards a deprocrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so as of the prospect of an ontologically-veridical Theory of Everything, and insightfully with regards to elucidating the pervasiveness of ‘accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay construed as différance in conflatedness’ associated with human existential grasp of knowledge as of the implications of its limited-mentation-capacity. The notion of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay as underlying human limited-mentation-capacity induced différance highlights the phenomenological reality all along humanity’s existence of ‘the privileging of ontological-construction’ as from the perspective/framing/reference/horizon of the end-purpose of the various relevant dominant social agencies and social institutions, and so as reflected as of humanity’s existence historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While such a privileging as of immediate/instant existential implications like say parents and society privileging the conception of what is language in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its end-purpose as of the perspective of the child’s integration in various social structures and institutions; however, in the bigger picture the fact that social structures and social institutions dysfunction as of human limited-mentation-capacity, point to the ‘ontological-veracity of fundamentally re-evaluating the pertinence of only-a-social-and-institutional-end-purpose-perspective/framing/reference/horizon driven basis for ontological-construction’, and so as of a putting into question exercise. Ultimately, such privileged perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of its ‘non-recording and negation’ of a ‘diverse-and-complete existential effecting possibilities accountability for ontological-construction’,

and rather assuming the approach of a ‘select privileged historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontological-construction’, instead incompletely portrays the operant reality of humanity’s existence as of the cumulation of successive humanity’s

<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag

as implied with the various institutionalisations finalities. But then while that is pertinent, and so with regards to the successive institutionalisations outcomes of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’

as successive transcendental outcomes, so reflected by the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing; this doesn’t reflect an inherent *différance* operant phenomenological process reality. Such a reality is actually reflected as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-*différance*-freeplay as of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of various temporal-to-intemporal perspectival existential amalgamation that structurally/paradigmatically reflect the dynamics of human ontologically-veridical construals and misconstruals towards transcendence. Accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-*différance*-freeplay is thus reflective of the fulsome humanity existential ontological-conceptualisation dynamics than just as of the select ontological-veracity of the privileged as dominant social and institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Consider in this regard supposedly that ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs reflect an historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-

aesthetic-tracing as transcendental outcomes of such *différance*, accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-*différance*-freeplay is not only about the successive <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as *différance* transcendental outcomes as of ‘developed classical mechanics’ and then ‘developed theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness/relative-ontological-contiguity as axiomatic-constructs of ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, but will grasp the deeper-level phenomenological insight with regards to all the background efforts and contributions that ultimately brought about these two successive <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construed as the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of the *différance*. The implication here resonates with the idea that knowledge is much more than the construal of conceptual knowledge outcome, but rather its construal as notional-knowledge involving the dynamic understanding of both its temporality/misconstrual and intemporality-as-ontological-construal as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-*différance*-freeplay involving specifically disambiguation as of human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics as of deneuterising—referentialism and thus beyond neuterising’ reflecting the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising of the uninstitutionalised-threshold and the prospective institutionalisation; as the ‘effecting implications of knowledge’ are more than just about its conceptualised intemporality-as-ontology but involves grasping this together with the implications of temporality, and so because of the circular existential implications of human limited-mentation-capacity. Hence language can be more pertinently construed ontologically as of the social dynamics of existential meaningfulness-and-teleology

signification than just as of just an outcome privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon that is in many ways ad-hoc and phenomenologically un insightful as of the many existential implications behind comprehending language. Thus human privileged social and institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon tend to be in constitutedness. Further such accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay is the existentially veridical and effective basis for reflecting historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing transcendental outcome as can be implied in a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration as of existentially insightful meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such a perspective should possibly usher in a ‘suprastructural postmodernism in everything’ including such nascent contemplations for breaking out of currently perceived subject-matter doldrums as implied with postmodern social sciences, postmodern humanities, postmodern art, postmodern science, postmodern mathematics and postmodern physics, and so notwithstanding a history of post-structuralism critiques of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity ‘with moronic incantations that fail the mark of even bad intellectual arguments as social-aggregation-enabling invocations’, granted as of their beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought; as such a statement is not gratuitous given the mere fact that where knowledge-as-of-organic-knowledge as of human intemporality/longness doesn’t take its due place, it is occupied by ignorance as of human temporality/shortness with consequent nefarious ramifications for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Basically, just as the adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification instigation of positivistic rationality as a potent construct took the form of a centered—epistemic-totalisation permeating all aspects and subject-matter domains of human existence and so for the better with regards to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-

development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, postmodern-thought and as of its underlying phenomenological depth transcendently carries prospective Being adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification as of a potent construct for a centered–epistemic-totalisation permeation and sublimation of all aspects and subject-matter domains of human existence, and so for the better of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology.

Such phenomenology as the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ is operantly enabled by accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay and is the maximal ontologically veridical articulation of conflatedness that ‘undermines the privileging of <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its ubiquitous-protractedness/structural-or-paradigmatic ‘ontological-contiguity or difference-of-kind’ disposition, and so beyond just reflecting such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness privilege undermining as of transcendental outcomes implied by historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ by its rather quasi-transcendental-freeplay orientation doesn’t quite get to such a phenomenological depth of conflatedness, it does effectively elicit such an underlying conception of phenomenological profoundness. As such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’ is what is meant to be understood as a relatively more pertinent ontologically depth for such a more evolved and ‘experimental’ articulation of différance in the strive to maximally undermine <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag implied in the Glas experimental project which goal is well beyond the two texts but more fundamentally a demonstration of ‘sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-

existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence’ as multifaceted. Ultimately, ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay *différance*’ unsuspectingly points out that meaningfulness-and-teleology imply by default a given perspective/framing/reference/horizon, such that as of an <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-teleology facet it is then already compromising non-presencing–or–withdrawal–or–metaphysics-of-absence–or–transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination meaningfulness-and-teleology facet. Thus, this author holds that such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay *différance*’ is fundamentally incomplete as of comparison with the implied conflatedness of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-*différance*-freeplay which is truly transcendental. The former fails to factor in that human limited-mentation-capacity has to establish the appropriate ‘perspective/framing/reference/horizon implications’ with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as disambiguating presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness from non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> by their respective edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, such that unsuspectingly the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay *différance*’ not doing that rather represents the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as the common perspective/framing/reference/horizon for both, thus falsely pointing to ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising between presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> (rather than difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising), and so contradictorily as if both are of the presencing edginess/incisiveness—

of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. With the reality that non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> is wrongly-and-unsuspectingly given as of common presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, thus inducing a relative ontologically-flawed quasi-transcendental freeplay as non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> is rather in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> when analysed as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Consider in this regard ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ with the articulation as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness being ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the articulation as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought being the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs; now, articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology of ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ as of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ construed as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness makes the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs construed as non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> to wrongly be of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> with the ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness.

Consider in this regard that the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay *différance*’ is akin to the contributions of many prior seminal scientists like Poincaré, Lorentz, Plank, Rutherford and others to the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs but whose works were still being interpreted in terms-of/adjunctive-to ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ thus explaining the reality of a notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> between the two as of their distinct edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Whereas accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-*différance*-freeplay is akin to the complete ‘epistemic-break’, as of Einstein’s defining-threshold contribution with the-theory-of-relativity and Bohr’s defining-threshold atomic-model contribution to quantum-mechanics together with other seminal scientists subsequent contributions that ultimately led to ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ transcendence-and-sublimity as of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs interpretation as of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>. In any case thus such a ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay *différance*’ doesn’t have any serious ontological consequences with respect to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness since it is reflected with the Glas experimental project, but it fails to recognise the possibility of a futural *différance* where meaningfulness-and-teleology is construed as of the prospective non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which points to

a prospective relative-ontological-completeness/ontological-contiguity as of the very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; even though it is the first step towards such a futural différance transcendence-and-sublimity. It equally explains such a Derridean conclusion that human sublimation is an always evasive notion given its failure to recognise the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising as of the transcendental implications of prospective non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> in inducing sublimation, with such a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising arrived at by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics involving ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven re-projection/re-anticipation as of prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument about ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), and validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-

Insightfully, we can grasp that the Derridean freeplay *différance* becomes as of constitutedness because ‘reasoning itself has become defective’ as presupposing-by-the-Derridean-freeplay to supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. So because at the point of transcendence-and-sublimity reasoning is still presupposing thought-determination instead of given up to the possibility of existence’s divulgation construed as ontological-faith-notion/ontological-fideism, and so erroneously become the transcendental-signifier of existence despite the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity which priority at that point should be the need for validation from existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and not make any determination priorly, even as of freeplay. Furthermore, it is wrong to construe/equate as imagination such ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that as ‘hunch’ restores existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, since in reality it is rather pushing reasoning to its very limits in a notional disposition that is not guaranteed, and only occasionally as of tendential-deliberation-of-decidability is it confirmed by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as validatable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Thus behind ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as ‘hunch’ is a transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing depth of reasoning and perspective which is pushed to its brink in projection/anticipation/expectancy. The fact is ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality exhausts-and-supersedes-reasoning as of projection/anticipation/expectancy with no prior certitude, and is more than just imagination which rather comes prior to and is exhausted-and-superseded-by-

reasoning. Such a lack of prior certitude explains why transcendence-and-sublimity ‘are not really reasoned-out’ but rather discovered-as-divulged by existence, with the human-subpotency concern being one of adopting the right attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme that allows existence-as-full-potency to come up with the divulgation. Ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as such is equally the basis for implying a correspondence theory of human thought and reality, as not really arising as of any instantative absolute correspondence but rather as of the ‘promise of prospective human ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> in continually opening-up ‘the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’, and so-reflected in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. It should be noted that reasoning-as-intelligibility rather harkens back to a given ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag established existential—epistemic-totalisation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to which it tends to be engaged with in an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness reflex as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. We can appreciate that the medieval mindset reasons in terms of

medievalism–non-positivism just as we reason in terms of our positivism–procrysticism
 mindset. The question can thus be asked is there more profound meaningfulness-and-
 teleology beyond any given registry-worldview/dimension mindset divulgeable by
 existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation? It is herein that we get into the
 realm of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of ontological-
 dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics inducible
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In other words,
 under sufficient constraint of existence/existential-reality-itself given its absolute a priori
 status, as reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/contingency, human
 intemporal individuation is predisposed to put in question even a ‘registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag established existential–
 epistemic-totalisation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of a reconstrual of reference-of-
 thought and devolving-axiomatic-constructs implications, and so as of maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This insight about ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality further reveals that
 prospective non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness> implies prospective renewal of attitude/mental-disposition/care–
 and–episteme, as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-
 dialectics which at once draws out the renewed implications of what qualifies as
 affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
 validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-

assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-
 measuring/dialectically-dementing respectively as of prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought and prior relative-ontological-
 incompleteness. In this regard we can imagine as of ‘the very same physics
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, the strange feeling upon physicists wedded
 to ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ with respect the prospective theory-
 of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness articulation of such ideas as space-time,
 considering the ether as unreal, considering that the laws of physics are different at atomic
 scale, etc. as the fundamental basis for understanding the new physics as of its prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such a construal as a shift in
 axiomatic-construct is more-or-less within the same positivism/rational-empiricism registry-
 worldview, though it might pretty much be argued that the theory-of-relativity-together-with-
 quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs marks the beginning of a proto-postmodern
 science as of the fundamental human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-
 recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation developments in physics since then,
 even though its meaningfulness-and-teleology remains intelligible, more or less, to the
 positive science essentially by the modern conception of observational and experimental
 validation. However, the idea of requisite shift in attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—
 episteme from that simplistic ‘modern conception’ cannot be contested. Such an
 attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme implied shift as articulated above, construed
 as of an overall registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought transcendence is rather
 ‘massively distressing’ when implied ‘as of an instant of transitioning’ since the reality of
 such attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme transitioning have tended to take place

rather cross-generationally as of human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. As we can now imagine the transitioning of positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme from earlier crude conceptualisations of positivism/rational-empiricism as presently reflecting a more universal valid notion of positivism/rational-empiricism as of its spread worldwide and profoundness in today's societies. Interestingly, this transitioning nature of human attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme renewal manifestation as of the social collective evolution, and is equally reflected in the individual as-receptacle-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-ontological-performance; as at any given moment individuals and society are rather inclined to adopt an attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme of dual-language/split-mentality as of <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances). The implied notion of human emancipation is always being articulated in an existentially dual-language/split-mentality that on the one hand fails the implied emancipation and on the other hand implies a strife for such emancipation. Consider in this regard, the attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme of warring nations in the early 20th century all too ready to arm themselves massively in preparation for the world wars and equally very much aware of the need for international peace, or in the 18th and 19th centuries the dual-language/split-mentality of universal human rights and ending slavery in the new world and the slave trade on the one hand and on the other still practicing it up to the point of wars like the American civil war to bring an end to it. In a more prosaic note, the dual-language/split-mentality associated with the evasiveness of emancipatory social and political dispositions as of relevant settings and contexts. In fact, this author will surmise that in many ways we already carry inklings of postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as of the dual-language/split-mentality at appropriate contexts and settings extolling our liberality with progressive stakes while in other secluded settings and contexts espouse a damning language regarding such progressive stakes. The idea of requisite attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme renewal as implied for notional ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality induced transcendence-and-sublimity speaks of a ‘reality as of underlying human beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’, that reflects a human tacit awareness that the grounding of its meaningfulness-and-teleology is not-certain-as-absolute at any given moment, and that it should be prepared to shift its attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme for more profound-and-complete meaningfulness-and-teleology. While such an inclination is more forthcoming as of less profound-and-perceived personal existential implications with regards to the axiomatic-constructs within a reference-of-thought as articulated priorly with a shift for the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs within the positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought, however, as of more profound-and-perceived personal existential implications as drastically implied at the phenomenological depth of reference-of-thought transcendental conceptualisation this turns out to be much more difficult to countenance given individuals ‘mental and existential investment’ into meaningfulness-and-teleology as grounded on a given ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag established existential-epistemic-totalisation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as well as the ‘psychological comfort’ habituated at the given neuterising. But then every registry-worldview/dimension has its own

specific hurdle to clamber-over and that of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism is exactly the capacity to construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as of full/complete human consciousness implications as implied by its protensive-consciousness which ultimately doesn't allow for meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought arising as of human prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The fact is the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality conflatedness implication with respect to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-*<formative>*epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness is such that in reality we are always tacitly aware of the evasiveness of absolute certainty but often rather inclined as of practicality to hang on to a delusion of the results of prior non-presencing-*<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-*<formative>*epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>* as if of absolute certainty, so-construed as reasoning-from-results/afterthought. But then veridical absolute certainty is ever a promise always held in prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-*<formative>*epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, and so as of the certainty of of human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought *<formative>*epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity for transcendence-and-sublimity, implied as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. This

explains why ontology's-directedness-as-Being is the direction of meaningfulness-and-teleology grounding as always prospective as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and so, as of the successive base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> respectively as successive meaningfulness-and-teleology grounding for recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation-universalisation, universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism-procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Interestingly we can appreciate that the attitude/mental-disposition/care—and-episteme as of relevant existential issues of all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are wanting-as-relatively-ontologically-flawed from our positivism-procrypticism as prospective perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, we are hard-pressed to concede that from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, our positivism-procrypticism is wanting-as-relatively-ontologically-flawed; as by reflex every registry-worldview/dimension is inclined to hang on to a delusion of the results-as-afterthought of prior non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> even at its uninstitutionalised-threshold despite its notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> with the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. Thus, induces its specific neuterising as it fails to

construe of meaningfulness-and-teleology projectively as of prospective existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. The implied maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness notion also underscores the postmodern conception of human-
 subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-
 singularisation with regards to any <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-
 domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as fundamentally driven as
 of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as so validatable by their
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Hence it is ‘more real in its human-subject-
 emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation
 understood as a double-gesture reification for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-
 of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought’ by its maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness than any other prior non-constructed meaningfulness-and-teleology
 simply because of the profoundness of its phenomenological depth of projection/anticipation
 in the quest for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation, which ordinary
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> doesn’t
 even bother contemplating about by its incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-
 incompleteness reflex of elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-

contextualising-contiguity as of existence's presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. This social knowledge human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation insight translate the reality that 'conventioning and tradition grounded critiques' of postmodernism fundamentally misconstrue that they are departing, as of their reference-of-thought, from a less real position to evaluate a more real position; more like the irony of trying to evaluate the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs from a posture of 'traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct'. Here is what fundamentally underlies the naïve misunderstanding of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation. For instance, the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs actually reflects that priorly conceptualised-notions like 'space', 'time', 'ether' and 'the laws of physics at atomic scale had to be the same as at the macroscale', were all wrong. Thus 'speaking of the reality of human limited-mentation-capacity as of its existential analytic capacity' in a state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It is human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as subsequently assuming as more real the notion of 'space-time', 'considering the ether as unreal', 'considering that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale from the macroscale', etc. that as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation exercise brought about the more profound insight enabling the conception of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs ultimately validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-

epistemic-conflatedness; as all along humankind existence as of human-subpotency, the new reality so-espoused ‘is never about existence in itself as-existence-is-given-whatever-it-is-that-is-given’, but about human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) for human emancipation. Thus implying existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness is ‘not really about any variation as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation directed directly to inherent-existence-as-of-existential-reality/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality whatever’, as it rather comes down to the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) bringing about a more profound and complete grounding for human construing of the full-potency of existence, which remains-whatever-it-is-ultimately. The postmodern insight here is rather that what is relevant to humankind is human-subpotency development towards the abstract full-potency of existence-whatever-it-is-ultimately. So the notion of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation has nothing to do with the inherent nature of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Rather it has to do with ‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’ of human limited-mentation-capacity which needs to be deepened before humankind embarks on the task of ‘conceptualising meaningfulness-and-teleology that increasingly reflects existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridical’. Thus this actually lead to ‘more and more objective meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as we cannot argue that the

theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs is less objective than classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs since it involved the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation that led to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Quite the contrary, it is that exercise in inducing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought that brings about greater objectivity, as reflected in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process behind Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. That naivety in failing to grasp this lies in the ontologically-flawed mental-reflex of temporal <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, wherein mental-dispositions operate by default without a double-gesturing, on the ‘wrong assumption that they already have the most ontologically-developed perspective/framing/reference/horizon for grasping prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and failing to project/anticipate prospectively the implications of their very own shallow limited-mentation-capacity implications from a deeper prospectively-construed perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Such a ‘modern take’ is susceptible to construe of the presence as of metaphysics-of-presence/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage, with hardly any contemplation of the retrospective and prospective projective-insights for construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. This paradox for human knowledge, as implied with the postmodern double-gesture reification, highlights that the human paradigm for construing knowledge is similar to H.G. Well’s country of the blind narrative, with the more critical issue being about ‘human blindness which needs to be resolved first before proceeding to see’, as what is to be seen as of the world is already given-whatever-it-is, and our true issue-as-of-knowledge is to develop

the necessary human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) to see it. This fundamentally underlies the idea of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument/‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ as underlying a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought for meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation and ontological-performance. In registry-worldview/dimension terms, the naivety of ‘failing to recognise that human limited-mentation-capacity deepens by human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’ paradoxically and ridiculously amounts rather to construing of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in terms of the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold’s/uninstitutionalised-threshold’s reference-of-thought as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. The argument traditionally made about postmodern-thought as ‘sceptical with regards to ontologically-flawed-metanarratives/ideologies and the lack of objectivity of meaning’ is a wrongly articulated/made argument ontologically, since it is being wrongly articulated/made from the ‘modern perspective/frame/reference/horizon’ which is actually in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of a shallower limited-mentation-capacity and thus has to be decentered-as-dialectically-dementing. Rather the ontologically-veridical articulation of the postmodern argument as of its actual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought which has to be prospectively centered-as-dialectical-thinking over the modern take as prospectively decentered-as-dialectically-dementing, should be affirmatory in articulating that postmodern-thought is

about: the appraisal and supplanting of ontologically-flawed-metanarratives/ideologies including socio-econo-political ideologies and ontologically-flawed professed ideologies like demarcating ontological-flawed-ideology-of-science-and-its-distortive-implications from ontologically-veridical-science-in-practice, and its pursuit for the most profound-and-complete objectivity of meaning as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by renewing appraisal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of human-subpotency existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness'. The implication here is that hitherto postmodern-thought had been naively and falsely conceptualised within the 'modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme' as of its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, instead of implying the ontologically-veridical 'subverting of the modern take' by its very own 'postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme' which prospectively represents the modern as dialectically-dementing while the postmodern is dialectical-thinking; as the point of assertion of postmodern-thought as deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is actually a point of prospective ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics. Of critical insight here is the fact that many postmodern authors like Foucault, Lyotard and Derrida adopted stances as of constructivism, relativism and deconstruction are rather ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/'constatations' about the conception of social reality from their authentic analysis 'without going further out-of-the-scope-of-ontological-veracity to ideologise constructivism, relativism and deconstruction beyond their implied ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/constatations' as many of their critiques poorly misinterpret them; with

the implications that their stances are open-ended and receptive to the elucidative justifications for their non-ideologised ontologically-veridical observations/remarks/‘constatations’ about the constructivism, relativism and deconstruction manifestation/conception of social reality. Thus the ontologically affirmatory position adopted herein as of the prospective ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ is not contradictory but rather complementing their positions as it rather reinterprets their observations/remarks/‘constatations’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity; wherein for instance, for the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought ill-health is as of an existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen while for the positivism reference-of-thought ill-health is as of a perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation. Basically, the ‘hitherto ontologically-flawed postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ in its relation with modernity wrongfully implied that it seeks the validation of modernity, and so as ridiculously as implying that budding positivism/rational-empiricism should have sought for its validation from medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation. In both cases, the fundamental issue once universal-transparency avails, as herein implied originarily/as-of-event with the ‘prospective/new postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme', is mostly about dismissing the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as when a critique of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> exposes the reality of a dialogical and intellectual inequivalence given their anti-intellectual stances against postmodern-thought preferring to 'circumvent genuine intellectual engagement' for extra-intellectual activities of institutional-being-and-craft meant to preserve vested narrow interests beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. Just as it was perceived as a fool's errand by the Descartes, Galileos, Diderots, etc., to contemplate of genuine intellectual engagement between their budding positivism/rational-empiricism ventures with traditional medieval scholasticism, especially with regards to the latter's institutionally-associated dogmatic censure and persecution, and thus with the former resorting to discursive strategies for universal-transparency; it is inevitably the case that what is most critically warranted is for the 'prospective/new postmodern deprocrpticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme' to articulate its full-fledged discourse as of universal-transparency as of the liberality of thought allowed for in open society notwithstanding such extra-intellectual and media-driven perverted representation of postmodern-thought. The reality of human-subpotency-aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor speaking of human shallow-to-deeper limited-mentation-capacity implies that prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge by its so-projected intemporality, at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, is not necessarily grasp as intemporal in the overall human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework as of the

lack of universal-transparency for its prospective institutionalisation. Critical for the social validation and institutionalisation of any paradigmatic transcendental knowledge is the fact that its ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is not sufficiently decisive given that human temporal-to-intemporal nature as of the social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at the uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot adjudge-and-commit-to the ontological-pertinence of such prospective transcendental knowledge ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. Consider in this regard, the ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ of the prospective positivism/rational-realism transcendental knowledge articulated by the Copernicuses, Descartes, Galileo, Diderots, etc. as meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought validated by corresponding prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. Such ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ was not a sufficient basis for their ideas to be socially adopted by the medieval establishment social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of non-positivism/medievalism. The point being made here is that within a given registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation framework the idea of ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ is only more or less determinant as of the institutionalisation’s internal basis of validation of knowledge grounded on its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’. However, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold the prospective ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as of the prospective institutionalisation’s basis of validation of knowledge grounded on the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prospective institutionalisation’s <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ will not necessarily meet with the approbation of the prior institutionalisation now construed as the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so as of mutually beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. This has to do with the fact that the full-potency of existence that divulges relative ontological-vericality supersedes human-subpotency epistemising orientation towards its, and thus epistemic constructs as of human-subpotency construal are inevitably ad-hoc to ontological-veracity as of the full-potency of existence; as existence doesn’t adjust to human-subpotency with the reverse being true, equally it is human epistemic constructs that ad-hocly adjust to ontological-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. Thus while the idea of ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as the basis for the validation of knowledge is inherently ontologically veridical as of a given institutionalisation’s internal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of its <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, however, this is an overrated notion with regards to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as external/prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of its <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, which should and cannot be ignored by any proponent of prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge. Rather human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework fundamentally subscribes to knowledge, given this paradox, as of ‘detour to social

goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness' induced as of a paradigmatic transcendental knowledge 'concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' establishing and upholding it. The idea here is that the inherent and direct notions of positivism/rational-empiricism expounded by the Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, Copernicuses, etc. were not the fundamental basis for the ultimate human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework validation but rather their derived positive-opportunism that brought about the 'detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness' implied-by-and-deriving-from their notions of universal human rights and open society, technical advances, better social organisation, etc., then leading to a reasoning-from-results/afterthought institutionalisation and enculturation of such ordinary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination positivism/rational-empiricism thought. In other words, human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as inclination to adhere to prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge as of its 'concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' is very much limited and such prospective 'concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' however its ontological-veridicality cannot be naively construed as all that which is needed to effectuate social transformation and transcendence. We can appreciate this for instance in the case of cultural diffusion with respect to many a non-modern traditional social-setting where modern day medicine however its overall 'concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' over other types of premodern medicine, will often be suspected and avoided as of its poorly established 'detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness', and it is only after it has been 'socially habituated-as-institutionalised' that it has the requisite 'detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-

transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness'. This equally manifests as of prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge construal, as implied for instance by postmodern-thought and particularly so as postmodern-thought has still been undergoing its full construction. The implication here is that all prospective transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding uninstitutionalised-thresholds do not come about as of simplistic continuity but rather as of epistemic-breaks/epistemic-resetting, involving successive 'detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness' instigated-and-upheld by the associated successive prospective 'concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' paradigms of 'reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology', as of successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The implication of such an indirect nature of human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework validation of transcendental knowledge as of 'detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness' and not just direct 'concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' implies that just as prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge prospective 'concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' could be 'objected to as of human social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework' notwithstanding its inherent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought given its prior lack of 'detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness'; any such prospective paradigmatic transcendental knowledge must be construed and thought-out strategically as of its ultimate establishment of 'detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness' that as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought supersedes the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, just as positivism/rational-empiricism superseded non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism. Likewise ‘concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ ontologically-flawed knowledge can be legitimately overlooked where such knowledge is implied as of priorly established ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. This latter cases arise with many a bogus social or natural science study and methodology grounded on the ‘mystifying imprimatur’ of positivistic science, as ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’, but then on closer examination turns out to be poorly designed as well as the prevalence of institutional-being-and-craft suboptimal dispositions with regards to truly upholding the science ethos in many situations with regards to the ideal operation and promotion of scientific research; and so, as of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance of any ‘reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’.

Already, postmodern interpretations have increasingly been much more relevant practically to many subject-matter domains and activities, with even greater potential for transformative implications if fully acted upon. Furthermore, the ‘prospective/new postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ warrants that postmodern-thought hitherto articulated beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’, need to be translated-as-reconceptualised into its very own ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ as of its own truly postmodern organic-knowledge. The fact is that organic-knowledge is fundamentally driven as of attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme, wherein for instance Newtonian Physics as of positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme organic-knowledge makes little sense and is of little potential if construed as of a medieval or animistic social-setup alchemic or mystical attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme. In this regard, attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme is fundamentally the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument notional-conflatedness as implied by its ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ in reflecting the ‘incisive-and-intransigent nature of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’ for the given attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme true meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance. Where beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, the new/prospective attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme given its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is wrongly construed as deriving posteriorly from the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, this induces constitutedness ‘as has been the case with prior postmodern-thought construed as of a modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’; thus leading to a sort of postmodern-thought mechanical knowledge that is in many ways just budding and poorly acted upon. Ultimately, a ‘new/prospective postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ cross-generational development, which is its

very own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme, as of deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is rather a notional-conflatedness as of
 deneuterising protensive-consciousness. The practical implications as well should be that
 meaningfulness and definitions often articulated about postmodern-thought that do not
 capture the postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme should be rejected; as the tendency for
 postmodern-thought to be misconstrued or perverted is not accidental, given the very fact that
 at its very core postmodern-thought is implying a prospective/new prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought requiring its own
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In this regard,
 central to translating-as-reconceptualising prior and new postmodern-thought as of its very
 own ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
 disposition/care-and-episteme’ organic-knowledge is the requirement for an affirmative
 mental-reflex with postmodern-thought construed ‘as the appraisal and supplanting of
 ontologically flawed metanarratives and its pursuit for the most profound-and-complete
 objectivity of meaning, by renewing appraisal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
 involving its human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-
 towards-singularisation as of human existential-contextualising-contiguity’. The ‘postmodern
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
 disposition/care-and-episteme’ should equally enable the avoidance of the erroneously
 implication that postmodern human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-

constructivism-towards-singularisation is so with regards to the inherent nature of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and rather emphasise that it is actually with regards to the need for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). We can garner insight about how we tend to misconstrue any attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme that is different from our own ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’, whether it is a ‘prior/old/superseded attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ or a ‘prospective/new/superseding attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’. For instance, in the previous articulation of the existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen with ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ given its ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’, the reality is that our mental-representation still remains in our ‘present positivism-procrypticism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ as of its ‘perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation’, and only ‘adhocly-and-scantly identifies’ the ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ as it is wholly immersed-and-engrossed in its ‘positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme for the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’; which it ‘skewedly construes as the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ while tempering down any prior/old/superseded or prospective/new/superseding apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implied as of ‘the reality of human shallow-to-deeper limited-mentation-capacity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implications’ on the-very-same-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-

existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, in defining which reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme is ‘relevant as the attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme of wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The point being made here is that our natural inclination is never meant to truly-and-comprehensively reflect any prior/old/superseded or prospective/new/superseding attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme by itself but rather in any such exercise always apriorises the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ and then reflect the other attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme referred to posteriorly, and hence the latter is adhocly-and-scantly identified. We can grasp this insight about this natural inclination to uphold-as-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ from the fact that ‘originary contacts’ between two cultures of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-and-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t mean a wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology between the cultures, since their natural inclination is to both apriorise ‘their own present attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ and respectively posteriorise the other culture attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as of their respectively apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument present attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme; and so, as the framework of any subsequent cultural diffusion metaphoricity. Thus to fully grasp what is implied here ontologically by attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme, beyond the natural inclination, is to understand that attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as ‘assumed-and-unflinching transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ implies a mental-projection exercise ‘reflecting-and-contemplating a wholly immersed-and-engrossed meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of their given neuterising-as-of-prior-relative-ontologicl-

incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought if a ‘prior/old/superseded attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ or deneuterising-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought if a ‘prospective/new/superseding attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’, whilst the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ is then rather adhocly-and-scantly identified now as either deneuterising if it in relation to the prior/old/superseded or neuterising if it is in relation to the prospective/new/superseding. In other words, when it comes to registry-worldview/dimension implications, ontologically-veridical representation of attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme means ‘to be or exist as of the given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought’ rather than ‘to refer to it’; as the ‘referring to’ natural inclination is ontologically-flawed as it registers into the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ unlike the ‘to be or exist as’ approach which is ontologically-veridical but is not the natural inclination of representation as it overrides the ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’. ‘Postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ construed as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is thus in its potentiation the very summum for the ‘conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ implied as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, successive institutionalisations reflect ‘successive and changing conceptions of human-subpotency existential scope’, and so from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as ‘the most supernatural/mythical/idolised conception

of human-subpotency existential scope’ to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as the most ‘realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’. Insightfully, what is critical about ‘the conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ is the paradoxical fact that the more waywardly supernatural/mythical/idolised it is, the least potent has been human-subpotency mastery of the the-very-same-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, while the more waywardly realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle it is, the more potent has been human-subpotency in its mastery of the the-very-same-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Effectively, ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ implied deprocrypticism is about a radicalisation of the ‘realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ as of its maximum potency for human subpotent mastery of the the-very-same-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This radicalisation is grounded on the rational-realism postulate that humankind as of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) has always encountered its uninstitutionalised-thresholds all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process retrospectively and prospectively, reflecting the reality that humankind is of both a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology and intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology nature at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, as of prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and uninstitutionalised-threshold prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. This departs from the ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’, which poorly appreciates the continuity implied by ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and is rather caught up, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, in the reasoning-from-results/afterthought effect of the positivism/rational-empiricism institutionalisation outcome as of its transcendence from non-positivism/medievalism, and as it construes of that outcome as the absolute possibility of human existential emancipation failing to factor in the positivism/rational-empiricism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, such that the latter is construed as not having its own uninstitutionalised-threshold which then implies its failure to apriorise the notion of a human temporal-to-intemporal nature at its ontologically-veridical uninstitutionalised-threshold. Consequently, by assuming such a positivism/rational-empiricism transcendental outcome reasoning-from-results/afterthought predisposition as the complete basis for construing humankind existential emancipation, ‘the modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ adopts an ontologically-flawed ‘conception of human-subpotency existential

scope’ that is construed essentially as-of--<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality at its ontologically-veridical uninstitutionalised-threshold, as it doesn’t even and fails to recognise any such uninstitutionalised-threshold pointing to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Thus, the manifestations of temporality/shortness at its unrecognised ontologically-veridical uninstitutionalised-threshold are construed as aberrations/oddities going from this wrongly implied intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology posture in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, rather than a recognition of it prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, implying recognising its uninstitutionalised-threshold with the temporal-to-intemporal implications as of knowledge notionalisation; thus providing the potency/empowering-consciousness for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity, as knowledge notionalisation not only factors in conceptual knowledge dynamics but equally the dynamics of the conceptual ignorances to better skew meaningfulness-and-teleology towards intemporality/longness as of organic-knowledge. The paradox here is that by its ‘most realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ as of its maximum potency/empowering-consciousness for human subpotent mastery of the the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme’ grounded on such rational-realism recognition of humankind temporal-to-intemporal nature at its uninstitutionalised-threshold is actually ‘effectively empowered’ to incisively tackle issues arising from human temporality/shortness as of its

prospective structural/paradigmatic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and so beyond just <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and ad-hoc palliative resolution of a ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ very much inclined to aberrational/oddities conceptioning of such temporality/shortness manifestations thus leading to their endemisation/enculturation from ‘ontologically-flawed and inevitability analyses’ conception. Thus a ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ is structurally/paradigmatically disempowered .to address issues of its temporality/shortness as of the vices-and-impediments at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. So because its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is ‘existentially invested’ in modern social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought from where it derives its value-construct and value-reference, as it hardly countenances that prospective transcendental knowledge implied value-construct and value-reference is not meant to be of ‘idle’ relevance to the modern social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework but rather redeploy an altogether empowering perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought postmodern social-stake-contention-or-confliction framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology of value-construct and value-reference at the procrypticism uninstitutionalisation. Such prospective change as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme can be appreciated retrospectively with respect to non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme which from our modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme we rather construe as vague scholastic pedantic dogmatism with regards to

budding positivism/rational-empiricism, but then such a conclusion as of their non-positivism/medievalism habits and traditions is not necessarily obvious to the non-positivism/medievalism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme. Ultimately, a deprocrypticism coherent ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ is one that comes into terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct in conceiving of the implied prospective need for deneuterising—referentialism. Put another way in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics with regards to reference-of-thought, dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-by-reification/contemplative-distension as from the-most-immediateness/shallowness-of-

‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by its ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’ right up to the-most-unimmediateness/profoundness-of-‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology with deprocrypticism by its ‘preemption-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ is what, so-construed comprehensively as notional-deprocrypticism as of notional-conflatedness, increasingly induces corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology convergence of human-subpotency with the full-potency that is existence; thus reflecting that dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-

reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) is rather the human empowering potential inducing Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. We can appreciate with respect to the ‘ill-health <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ that as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, it is rather ‘relatively realistic/authentic/unexceptional-as-of-the-mediocrity-principle conception of human-subpotency existential scope’ which have the relative potency for human greater subpotent mastery of the ‘ill-health <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, as implied successively as of:

- recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation random-as–uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology trepidatious-consciousness ‘omnidimensional’ systemic-recomposuring construal of ill-health, existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen;
- base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation tendentious–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology, warped-consciousness ‘bidimensional’ seclusive-recomposuring systemic construal of ill-health, further existential-contextualising-contiguity-second-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period;

– universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism qualifying–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, preclusive-consciousness ‘tridimensional’ circumstantiating-recomposuring seclusive-systemic construal of ill-health, further existential-contextualising-contiguity-third-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor;

– positivism–procrypticism categorising–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology occlusive-consciousness ‘quadridimensional’ categorising-recomposuring circumstantiating-seclusive-systemic construal of ill-health, further perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation;

– deprocrypticism referentialism–circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential–epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology protensive-consciousness ‘transdimensional’ referentialism-recomposuring categorising-circumstantiating-seclusive-systemic construal of ill-health, further existential-contextualising-contiguity-full-reification perceptivity-as-of-factoring-in-socioeconomic,-education,-information,-environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-delivery. And so, as of the intemporal ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-by-

reification/contemplative-distension thus transcendently enabling the successive registry-worldview's/dimension's ontological-possibilities construed as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. This underscores Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implied notion of responsibility as reflected by the Nietzschean metaphor 'God is dead', castigatory of 'beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme' which is inclined to pass on to 'a certain Messiah' the possibility of our Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with the paradox of assuming the pretence of understanding Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology on that basis on the naivety that such passing on is teleologically-elevating and exonerating of our mortal-as-temporal manifestations so-construed as a ridiculous untransvaluated-temporal-intemporality notion. This equally points to what is the central ethos of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implied as of 'deprocrypticism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought'; as much more than just with regards to a resolutive conception of acts and miscuings in temporality/shortness as of themselves circumstantially, but rather as of the relevance to myriad human social situations is much more critically an issue of universal import, escalated as of humankind's temporal ontological-contiguity as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme with its

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of
 existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification cognisant-and-integrative of
 such acts and miscuings in temporality, thus endemising and enculturating the reference-of-
 thought vices-and-impediments. Thus such Being underdevelopment, construed as of
 dynamic social-chainism of human temporality/shortness endemisation and enculturation as
 of the universal implications of such endemising and enculturating paradigm/structure in
 ontological-contiguity, warrants corresponding aetiologisation/ontological-escalation
 superseding ethos as of ‘deprocrypticism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme as of
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-
 preempting-of-existential-unthought’ notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>. The fact is any registry-
 worldview/dimension as of its ‘present attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ is
 structurally/paradigmatically oblivious-to and does-not-reflect its very own prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as the underlying basis of its own
 specific-level induced vices-and-impediments, and is rather palliative as of its selecting,
 triaging, mutually-concurring-and-accommodating and power-relations driven palliating
 virtue constructs. The question can actually be asked, as of prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> of this ‘made-up’ normativity supposed
 ontological-contiguity, whether such a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
 reference-of-thought as <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is actually as of Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, and in a
 position, on the basis of such palliation, to address the actual fundamental grounding of its

vices-and-impediments; which in reality are actually ontologically addressable/resolvable as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness so-implied as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. What is particular with notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> is this insight that fundamentally the appropriate prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme precedes-and-is-the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-to its requisite meaningfulness-and-teleology as prospective aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. This reflects the salient and underlying idea about Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that a given reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology cannot be apriorised as of a prior/old prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme to that given reference-of-thought. Insightfully, we can thus grasp that the non-positivism/medievalism scholastic pedantic dogmatism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme

‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is inherently not structured to be transcendently-enabling and operative of positivism/rational-empiricism aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology which precedingly needs its very own positivism attitude/mental-disposition/care–

and-episteme ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’; as
the former is in a circular state of reasoning-from-results/afterthought of non-
positivism/medievalism scholastic pedantic dogmatism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–
episteme ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’
instead of positivism attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as
of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Thus Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology points out that a reference-of-thought
requisite apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme necessarily precedes-or-apriorises its
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology as
the latter is about systematic existential-instantiations devolving of the former, that is, as
teleologically-devolving-as-drifting meaningfulness it systematically makes reference to its
appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; as we know that no ‘normal
person’ in our positivism/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought makes reference to the
non-appropriate non-positivism/medievalism scholastic pedantic dogmatism attitude/mental-

disposition/care-and-episteme
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
 thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology that
 is positivistically intelligible. This insight about Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology, that a reference-of-thought requisite
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
 disposition/care-and-episteme necessarily precedes-or-apriorises its
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology,
 equally applies prospectively whereby at our prospective positivism-
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation, the idea of
 prospective institutionalisation as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought implies that the latter's
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
 disposition/care-and-episteme as reflected by the prospective 'postmodern
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
 disposition/care-and-episteme' is the requisite appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care-
 and-episteme apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as so implied by postmodern human-subject-
 emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation, for
 prospective postmodern-deprocrypticism
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology

referencing. A further naïve misconstrual about Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is one that ignores this bigger picture of attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument preceding aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology, and thus strives to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology while oblivious to its attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology, and thus naively implying its said given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is unaffected by any such notion of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought since it doesn't factor in that it is operating by a corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold deficient

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme. Consider in this regard, the <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag certitude of the

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring mental-states of medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation articulating

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology as of non-positivism/medievalism pedantic dogmatism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’.

Such an orientation is no more different from an interpretation that every registry-

worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is the absolute framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its given practices and habits failing to account retrospectively and prospectively for the succession of institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underscored by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics behind the succession of transformation of attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology bringing about the successively transformed registry-worldviews/dimensions aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’. It is this naivety that underlines the Heideggerian techne concern as we fail to appreciate that the technical and organisational possibilities preceding and associated with a registry-worldview/dimension prospective institutionalisation transitioning of meaningfulness-and-teleology need to be rethought as of the prospective

prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ prior relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-of-axiomatic-construct as of the-very-same-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality, in that our appropriate-or-inappropriate-at-various-
 successive-levels conception as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-
 of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality has nothing to
 do with inherent existential reality but with us adjusting our
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
 thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology in
 order to reflect ontologically-veridical signification as of existence. And intuitively from our
 positivistic angle we can effectively recognise this about all the prior registry-
 worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought as we appreciate that by reflex these are just
 beholden to their very own
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
 thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
 reasoning-from-results/afterthought, but it is hard from our positivistic angle to then
 appreciate that prospectively we are equally in such a beheld positivism–procrpticism
 attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme
 ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
 thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 for our positivism–procrpticism aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, which when shown to be of prior relative-ontological-

incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-
 of-thought implies necessarily the need for futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme
 ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
 thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as
 so implied by postmodern human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-
 constructivism-towards-singularisation for prospective postmodern-deprocrypticism
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology. But
 then with respect to the possibility of prospective human transcendence, the question arises as
 to how it is possible for human transcendence to occur given its ‘outlier metaphoricity
 instigation’ in the face of any registry-worldview/dimension <formative>wooden-language—
 imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> natural inclination rather for construing
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as ‘wholly of its cloistered-consciousness living experience
 only’ whether as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation only, base-institutionalisation—
 ununiversalisation only, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism only or in our case
 positivism—procrypticism only, with a rather poor inkling for appreciating meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as of a protracted-consciousness associated with grasping Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. This brings home the fact that however the
 human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-

recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm implied as of a protracted-consciousness, and specifically the prospective protensive-consciousness of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is practically inevitably constrained-and-potentially-jeopardised as of the framework of the <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag cloistered-consciousness of any of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in their respective reasoning-from-results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness; as the ‘reasoning enframing’ of the registry-worldview/dimension

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is underdeveloped for contemplating-and-construing of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the prospective institutionalisation. A registry-worldview/dimension as of its <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> is structurally/paradigmatically bound to existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective at its uninstitutionalised-threshold rather than the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought; such that articulation of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective transcendence is beyond its reasoningness as of its ‘reasoning-from-reasults’/afterthought logocentric constitutedness conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology. Inevitably thus this conundrum points out

that the instigating of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness individuation reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, more like Derridean messianic reasoning, arising as of intellectual-and-moral inequivalence and thus implying the dialogical inequivalence of intemporal and temporal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>; given that no secondnatured institutionalisation grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology exists for prospective transcendence. The ontological-veracity of such an <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness individuation reasoning-through as of Derridian messianic reasoning can be grasp when we contemplate that in a secondnatured institutionalisation framework of differential-formalisation-transference we give pre-eminence to say a professional or technician for resolving a technical problem, and as non-technicians we don’t get involve in <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> exercise to resolve the technical problem. This outlook is actually ‘seeded’ within the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness individuation reasoning-through that is instigative of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thereof, what is critical for enabling human successive transcendence is ‘appropriate prospective institutionalisation secondnaturating

metaphoricity'. Consider in this regard, that the instigative *masesis universalis* metaphoricity by the Galileos, Descartes, etc. of budding positivism/rational-empiricism is structurally/paradigmatically 'not a reasoning with non-positivism/medievalism' but rather 'reasoning-through or Derridian messianic reasoning' over non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism's pedantry as of its <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> reasoning-from-results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness. Such altogether new metaphoricity as of its instigating 'out of thin air' the budding positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme further inspired its subsequent radicalisation by latter thinkers; wherein for instance, the more thoroughly positivism/rational-empiricism development of 'the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality' was undertaken by Newton and Leibniz, extending the metaphoricity further even when we contemplate that in many ways these metaphoricity relaying scientists were still imbued with non-positivism/medievalism mystical and alchemic ideas. This 'out of thin air' metaphoricity possibility arises because the 'full-potency of existence in relation to human-subpotency-as-human-knowledge grasp of that full-potency of existence' is ever one of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>; as the very notion of 'human-subpotency-as-human-knowledge grasp of the full-potency of existence' given human limited-mentation-capacity implies that such a grasp only opens up a 'limited framework of the full-potency of existence' for new human existential and knowledge possibilities as of new/prospective habits-and-tradition. But then this 'limited framework of

the full-potency of existence’ as of new habits-and-tradition construed as ‘reason-from-results/afterthought framework, ‘doesn’t induce a commitment upon the absolute transcendental possibility in the full-potency of existence’. Such that by dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) with respect to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, the further insight of ‘out of thin air’ metaphoricity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) comes with the possibility of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. In this regard, the ontologically-veridical ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme’ with respect to our modern take <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> reasoning-from-results/afterthought logocentric constitutedness is rather as of ‘reasoning-through or Derridian messianic reasoning’ over our

positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and so as of a postmodern affirmatory stance of dialogical inequivalence that goes beyond idling in the ‘modern take rigmarole language’, just as we can appreciate how budding positivism obviate non-positivism/medievalism pedantic dogmatism language to affirm meaningfulness-and-teleology weeding out ornate pedantic detours, to articulate blunt reality as of deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Insightfully, and as is the case with all prospective transcendence implied meaningfulness-and-teleology, we can appreciate that the foremost goal of budding positivists ‘was not to elicit the direct approval’ of the non-positivism/medievalism established arrangement, as in many ways they adopted a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ with respect to establishment social stakes, but rather sought to induce the requisite metaphoricity of budding positivism for the destruction-deconstruction of non-positivism/medievalism for prospective positivism, as their conception of achievement motive were tied down to prospective positivism institutionalisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, the prospective ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme’ is well beyond the notion of eliciting the approbation of the modern take established arrangement in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct, but rather is of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’, in inducing budding postmodern metaphoricity for the destruction-deconstruction of the modern take for prospective postmodern-deprocrypticism institutionalisation as of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In both cases, the prospective

institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme is ontologically validated as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, divulging the <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag vagueness and futility of the pretences and judgments of the uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds. We can equally appreciate here that such a conception of transcendence is rather as of organic-knowledge and not mechanical knowledge, in the sense that what is critical is the induced apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument metaphoricity for prospective institutionalisation as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not simply a mechanical knowledge conception possibly tolerated as of a stale a posteriori adjunctiveness as with the Copernican heliocentric idea initially, needing a latter apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument metaphoricity reinvigoration as of the overall renewal of ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. It should be noted that such metaphoricity rather points to psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification organic-knowledge nature of such prospective institutionalisation transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology, which in its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘a <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness inventing’ of the prospective notion of ‘thinking/dialectical-thinking’ as positivism/rational-empiricism thinking or deprocrypticism thinking respectively, and so as their successive prospective reasoning-from-results/afterthought. In both cases, such metaphoricity as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning cannot be construed as grounded-as-intelligible on the superseded/transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s attitude/mental-disposition/care–

and—episteme of medievalism—non-positivism or positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, but rather as of its very own transcendental-enabling/sublimating prospective institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme of positivism or deprocrypticism respectively. Thus such metaphoricity is rather induced as of the framework of prospective concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in establishing its prospective ‘detour to social goodwill deferential-formalisation-transference to perceived overwhelming-relative-effectiveness’. Thus such metaphoricity as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is more aptly and consciously articulated at a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) depth/profoundness of human posterity; projecting well beyond the narrow and decadent obsessions of shallow as of extricatory/temporal paradigms of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, as it actively strives as of its prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology to supersede such enframing and their associated institutional-anchoring and pedantry/mandarinism temporally induced denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Reasoning-

through/messianic-reasoning metaphoricity brings about the prospectively renewed reasoning-from-results/afterthought instigating the secondnaturing of prospective institutionalisation, and so as of implied reference-of-thought/axiomatic-constructs reflection of the pre-eminence of the full-potency of existence as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over human-subpotency with the latter adjusting to existence as-of-ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics enabling its prospective relative-ontological-completeness. The <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness articulation of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning cannot be construed as amenable to the contending disposition of prior deferential-formalisation-transference secondnatured institutionalisation, thus the irrelevance/impertinence of any such implied contending as of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as any such contention can only re-arise as of the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning renewing of secondnatured prospective ‘reason-from-results’/afterthought. Thus the direct implication of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is that it can only call upon ‘a kindred sense of things’, as of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness contemplation that can surpass/overcome temporal nihilistic <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of a protracted-consciousness cognisant of the prospective ontological-performance and human emancipation implications of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. It should be noted here that the notion of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-

of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of its nihilism rather speaks to social apathy towards veridical prospective ontological possibilities of emancipation as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications going by the very implications of knowledge-reification as being as of the relative-ontological-completeness perspective, and is not to be confused with naïve and literal interpretations in ‘untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality non-ontological terms of social-stake-contention-or-confliction conceptualisations’ that wrongly seem to imply that knowledge-reification can be contemplated paradoxically as being as of the relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective as may be reflected by mere conceptual-patterning in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness without contemplating that the underlying knowledge-reification process/gesturing implications is definitely as of the relative-ontological-completeness perspective since a untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality non-ontological interpretation will rather imply knowledge dereification and endemising/enculturating of temporal-dispositions as of vices-and-impediments for the simple reason that the latter ‘cannot be ignored and then by magic become virtue’ as the overall for knowledge-reification is to understand human destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold and then bring about prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation. This tendency to misconstrue the meaning of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and associated philosophical notions like leveling, critically arises because of a poor construal of philosophy as ontologically-driven just like any other knowledge as of ‘baseline originary up-

to-date knowledge-reification process/gesturing of the specific knowledge area as of inherent existence/ontological implications' subject to validation and falsifiability rather than a naïve construal of philosophy as an imprimatur discretion/whim-of-thought exercise on the basis of 'relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge' induced disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>. It is herein contended that the critical notion underlying <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, ressentiment and leveling specifically with reference to Heideggerian and Nietzschean thought can actually be interpreted critically as relating rather to 'originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation over the human atrophying tendency for prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation' with regards to ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy>. But then Heidegger failed to realise that the induced transcendence-and-sublimity of the Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation as well as that of Descartes and other budding-positivists rational-empiricism/positivism were both originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation disseminative events induced as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-

perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-
 overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> involving transcendence from non-
 universalising sophistry and medieval-scholasticism pedantic dogmatism respectively. These
 induced transcendences later on became prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-
 disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of their mere ‘atrophying mechanical
 practice’ with succeeding generations, and so just as Nietzsche equally appreciated that
 Christianity was becoming a mere ‘atrophying mechanical practice’ of succeeding Christian
 generations as for instance with ascetic practices become more of symbolism/aura and losing
 their inceptive emancipatory inspiration. Thus with all these instances rather warranting
 renewed originality-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and so as of prospective
 projection as implied with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process, but instead Heidegger will elicit a naïve turn to the pre-Socratics while Nietzsche
 will express admiration of Buddhism as both being of grander originality and authenticity.
 However going beyond a ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’ disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
 <unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> notion of
 philosophy, it is herein contended that this relatively deficient analysis reflects the
 fundamental ontological-deficiency of subsequent philosophies influenced by Kantian
 philosophy which is rather ‘as a projection within the very same intelligible
 Cartesian/budding-positivists induced rational-empiricism/positivism registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ failing to
 conceive of the ontological-veracity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity successiveness of registry-worldviews/dimensions, with the result that
 Kantian implied transcendental idealism is veridically ‘phenomenal-abtractiveness within
 the very same intelligible rational-empiricism/positivism registry-worldview/dimension
 reference-of-thought’ (as the true reality of transcendence is rather one of ontological-
 dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics involving ‘human
 mental-disposition successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reprojection-or-
 reanticipation capacity of registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought, inducing
 human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of the very ontologically same
 existence/existential-reality’ so-reflected as the ‘difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-
 reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism of successive registry-
 worldviews/dimensions as of their successive reference-of-thought imbued
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ construed ‘as the successive
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring of meaningfulness-and-teleology
 in existence’, and so-construed as the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
 consciousness-enabled phenomenal-abtractiveness), and this basic deficient and vacuous
 assumption fundamentally disorientated Nietzschean and Heideggerian thought wherein a
 more complete appraisal of Nietzschean transvaluation should rather be as of relative-
 ontological-completeness implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness implications beyond

just ‘transformation from Roman/Master/Hierarchising/Aristocratic value-construct to Judeo-Christian-Islamic-monotheisms/Slave/Dehierarchising/Commoner value-construct as of the very same universalising-idealisation’ speaking rather more of revaluation than transvaluation. It is this underlying misconception that induces subsequent philosophical misinterpretations of notions like <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, ressentiment and leveling failing to appreciate that these are ontologically-driven as of underlying relative-ontological-completeness knowledge-reification basis of such conceptualisations arising as to the need for prospective emancipatory inspiration of prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation inducing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Thus <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> is herein rather construed as <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity with respect to ‘mechanical practice’ of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, we can appreciate that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology arises as of aestheticisation before converging towards ontologisation, just as rightfully implied by Nietzsche’s genealogy of morals, but this doesn’t imply valuelessness (as is often naively implied with Nietzschean thought) since aestheticisation convergence towards ontologisation leads to grander ontological-performance. In this regards, we can

appreciate that while from our vantage modern perspective the ontological-veracity of the Egyptian cultural system aestheticisation behind the construction of the pyramids will seem inherently impertinent, but that specific human aestheticisation induced technical, scientific and mathematical innovations were of lateral civilisational ontological-pertinence; likewise we can appreciate that while for the atheist the ontological-veracity of religion is unproven, however various specific religions human aestheticisation in many ways relayed laterally the ontological-veracity of universalising-idealisation thinkers as of the relatively conducive social conditions allowing for the arrival of medieval thinkers who then instigated the possibility for modern day science ontologisation; and besides, it can equally perfectly be claimed that even our modern day positivistic civilisation is not beyond a critique of 'deficient ontologisation' as we can appreciate the reality of the human aestheticisation of many modern activities (even those associated with technological development) held as of higher interest/worth which ontologisation value is questionable with respect to other possible activities of grander ontologisation but not necessarily held as of higher interest/worth (with the very worst case being media-driven merchandising associated with a generalised dumbing-down and de-intellectualisation increasingly and surreptitiously substituting for reifying intellectualism, increasingly undermining the citizenry capacity for democratic sovereign judgement). This analysis points to the convoluted relationship between human aestheticisation and ultimate ontologisation value. Rather than naïve and simplistic analysis, it is such an insight that better informs Heideggerian and Nietzschean thought with regards to ressentiment and leveling (as to <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>); pointing to the centrality of originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as more critically about inducing the necessary human-subpotency—

aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of prior
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation transformation towards prospective ontologisation rather than the mere
 critique of any given human aestheticisation as of its inherence, as the fact is all human
 aestheticisations including religion (which is often a target in modern times, however rightly
 so on many an occasion) are sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-
 its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> and the more
 salient point is in instigating their more profound ontologisation/ontological-
 veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-
 ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—
 implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Such a possibility recurrently arises
 mainly as of human value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-
 ontological-completeness implications. Transvaluation notionally refers to the
 structural/paradigmatic referencing basis of human value structure as of social-stake-
 contention-or-confliction, and is what critically defines the variation of human ontological-
 performance as from ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal inclination for human-
 subpotency as of the underpinning—suprasocial-construct meaningfulness-and-teleology or its
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 determination’ to ‘ascetic intemporal inclination for existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness determination’; and so as to the fact that prospective
 sublimation-over-desublimation of human reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-

devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology involves prospective ‘originariness-parrhesia,—as—
 spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
 conceptualisation’ in attending to the ‘prior requisite human experiential framework to be
 challenged-disproved-invalidated’ highlighting the facet of the existentially-withdrawn-(as-
 ‘unaccounted-for’-leftover-or-residuality-or-spirit-of—meaningfulness-and-teleology-so-
 construed-as-metaphoricity,-informing-prospective-
 acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness,-so-reflected-and-compensated-with-the-
 notion-of-dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation) as
 limiting or of prospective human-subpotency aporeticism’ and so-captured by the notion of
 prospective <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness for prospective
 human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure. Transvaluation as of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness implies the ontological-veracity of all values is derived
 from their relative-ontological-completeness implications in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-
 epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity (that doesn’t allow for any
 nondescript/ignorable void to allow for notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-

aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>) while the value proposition as of human-subpotency is one that is based on absolutising the present reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism (allowing for nondescript/ignorable void inducing notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>); and this basic human value dichotomy explains the outlier and epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity nature of human transcendence-and-sublimity instigation as of prospective <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and subsequent prospective secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, it is important to grasp that human secondnaturating capacity is just as critical as human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness capacity for the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process to be able to materially/substantively arise, notwithstanding the contradiction that secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is ‘bound to be reflected as teleologically-degraded’ prospectively as a destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold as of mere ‘mechanical practice’ that fails prospective anamnesis as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-

projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> from such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, we can appreciate that when base-institutionalisation ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument arises, the value structure of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation collapses, and likewise across all the prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions, with the implication that our naïve conception of value as of mere-and-vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness is not what is structurally/paradigmatically deterministic but rather the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework lies in the structural/paradigmatic effectuation of transcendence-and-sublimity in the bigger social construct as of the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure involving the prospective construction-of-the-Self from trepidatious-consciousness, warped-consciousness, preclusive-consciousness, occlusive-consciousness and prospectively protensive-consciousness so-implied with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity induced prior to prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions transvaluation ‘reflecting deterministically the structure of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. Transvaluation thus speaks to human value-construct foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) on the reference basis of the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process anamnesis as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, as undermining the

successive registry-worldview's/dimension's implied temporal/sycophantic-sophistic
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ontologically-flawed disparateness-of-
 conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>
 value-construct conceptions. Transvaluation rather reflects human value-construct as
 derivational as from the very enabling fundamental self-consciousness instigation for the
 possibility of 'human self-conscious awareness of value-construct' to arise in the first place
 as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism implied reference basis-of/base
 meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure. Thus the more critical contribution to human
 value-construct has to do with the requisite value-construct instigating as of dispensing-with-
 immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as
 of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-'notionally-
 collateralising-protohumanity'-to-'attain-sublimating-humanity',-as-to-existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)
 associated with the successive registry-worldview's/dimension's self-conscious
 meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure so-implied successively as of trepidatious—self-
 consciousness, warped—self-consciousness, preclusive—self-consciousness, occlusive—self-
 consciousness and prospectively protensive—self-consciousness; as the human proclivity to
 even recognise and pursue any value-construct can only arise in the very first place with its
 correspondingly induced self-consciousness. But then, the fact remains that such
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness induced self-consciousness meaningfulness-and-teleology

infrastructure as instigative of the human reference basis reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of value-construct tend to be related to by the suprasocial-construct and <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> dispositions as being beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought (as to when the inherent ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology implications of prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) is blanked out as nondescript/ignorable void), and rather tends to come at ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness induced self-consciousness meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’ in a secondnature positive-opportunism disposition and so in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; explaining the inclination of all successive registry-worldviews/dimensions to be engrossed in a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag difficultly recognising the idea of prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold, and wary of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implications that can be instigated as of prospective

‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness induced self-consciousness meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’.

It is thus not odd that as of

human emotional-involvement implications, Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and budding positivists projected meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure rather met initially with the antipathy of their underpinning—suprasocial-construct and <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and specifically had to face up respectively with the value-construct conception of their temporal/sycophantic-sophistic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ontologically-flawed disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> whether with the Ancient Sophists or medieval-scholasticism pedants. We can further appreciate the critical impact of the universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure of the Socratic philosophers and their successors as providing the appropriate meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for the Roman Empire and subsequent religio-political developments unlike the case with say Ancient Egypt and Persia whose non-universalising sectarian cults perpetual ideological conflicts ultimately sapped their stability despite their technical advancement, and likewise Western enlightenment effectively arose as of the induced meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure of budding positivists, with perverted consequences like annihilation of Native Indians in the New World and the Transatlantic slavery rather arising as of their outlying societies opportunistic activities distortive of budding positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as so-construed in their core societies in Europe with respect to the ending of serfdom, nascent socioeconomic emancipation and human rights. Thus basically the idea of human value-construction is ever always caught up between on the one hand human limited-mentation-capacity to come to terms with ‘transvaluation as <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-

ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process anamnesis as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism underlying the human construction-of-the-Self’ and on the other hand ‘the effective ontological-impertinence/dereification arising in the conceptualising of human value-construction as of a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as construing of value-construction within any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so whether as of trepidatious (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), warped (base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation), preclusive (universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism) or occlusive (positivism–procrypticism) implications’. This discrepancy (between the human capacity to achieve transvaluation and effective social–value-construction narrative as of any given registry-worldview/dimension) is reflected in the underlying reality that effectively practised human value-construction is the ‘outcome of privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon’; wherein social–value-construction across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions arises as a functional necessity that is meant to reflect supposedly coherent ontological-commitment and so in order to elicit stable social-functioning-and-accordance for social-stake-contention-or-confliction, whether such social–value-construction is ontologically-pertinent or not. In this respect, the reality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process points to changing ‘structural/paradigmatic marginal equity of social–value-construction’, so-construed as

‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’ and so rather as from the structural/paradigmatic reference basis of ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ whether the latter is implied-and-justified as of talent, royalty, class, productivity, mere traditional and cultural practice justification, etc.; thus effectively reflecting the overall consequence of social–value-construction as the ‘outcome of privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon’. In this regards, social–value-construction arises from two levels; as of the inherent structural/paradigmatic implication of ‘outcome of privileged institutional end-purpose perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ as of ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ and this in conjugation then with the individual inherently appraisable social–value-construction as of ‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’. In this respect, we can appreciate that an autocrat is more capable of ‘displaying greater social–value-construction’ than an ordinary denizen by the former’s mere social–value-construction ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ as of its status in the autocracy (however an autocrat’s apparent magnanimity on the basis of the prior perspective of the autocratic society will rather be construed as of deficient value-construction as from a prospective perspective of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity comparison to the overall social and virtue progress implications of a better accountable political system, while on the other hand individuals effectively advocating for such a prospective political system may be construed as of deficient value-construction in the prior autocracy), while modern day social–value-construction ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ arises as of politico-bureaucratic, talent, entrepreneurial, socio-historical, traditional and cultural practice justification, etc. implications (but is just as well subject to transvaluation analysis as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, as it can perfectly be argued that the apparent magnanimity of plutocrats as of a capitalistic

economic value-distributive system ‘excessively skewed towards final product/service/financial delivery as-of-first-come-near-monopoly and institutionally-skewed-possibility-for recurring wealth accumulation’ while excessively overlooking/devaluing the return to massive public externalities/external-resources contributions to economic production such as public education, human and social development, infrastructure, basic research, technological research, etc. rather speaks of deficient social–value-construction, especially as such a system ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ as of its occlusive presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness is geared towards propping special interests, warfare spending, anti-taxation, anti-immigration, trivial interest in global human development, co-opted media narrative, etc. as of a suboptimal social–value-construction). But this doesn’t cancel the fact that individuals throughout sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing notwithstanding any disadvantaged ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ for social–value-construction, intuitively cognisant of the pertinence of human transvaluation have elicited the underlying ontological-veracity/ontological-impertinence of their social-construct value-construction as of its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment to induce the transformation of the social-setup value-construction; such that at various critical times the more salient ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ for social–value-construction had thus been basically intellectual-pertinence-as-of-ontological-veracity such that all other ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ for social–value-construction have tended critically to ultimately be grounded on intellectual-pertinence-as-of-ontological-veracity whether of genuine or surreptitious justification. The more salient issue then for the knowledge-reification of social–value-construction thus lies with its ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ narrative(s) with respect to underlying knowledge-reifying transvaluation implications projection as being of most profound intellectual-pertinence-as-of-ontological-

veracity. In this regards, our present rational-empiricism/positivism occlusiveness warrants prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure transvaluation so-implied as of notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought appropriate foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal>; and so as the disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> of our rational-empiricism/positivism occlusiveness in its <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> tend to rather reflect our <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. The occlusiveness of our positivism/rational-empiricism social—value-construction as such from the prospective perspective of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought can be analysed-and-construed as imbued with occlusive collateral aspects of rather nondescript/ignorable void falsely implying ‘the appropriate exhaustiveness of our rational-empiricism/positivism stances’ thus speaking rather of ideology than ontological-veracity as aptly reflected upon by postmodern thought. Such occlusive collateral aspects take the form of economic dysfunction and inequities as collateral to economic ideologism, social dysfunction and discriminations as collateral to domineering and secluding social narratives, sophistic/pedantic and vested interest undermining genuine sovereignty paradoxically as of obscured-and-deluding knowledge and misinformation that undermines individuals sovereign competence and choice with regards to increasingly skewed-contrived-and-limited stakes of the democratic process thus eliciting protest voting, and in the bigger global framework of competing politico-cultural values with individuals and societies rather construed as collateral damages. Transvaluation analysis thus ensues from the human akrasia-susceptibility-or-

akrasiatic-drag complex which implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potentially conducive/endemising/enculturating of its vices-and-impediments. But then while such an abstract transvaluation perspective for the construal of social-value-construction is cogently obvious, however the fact remains that the human subject as of its limited-mentation-capacity exists in circumstances of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint as of its given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation inducing its deficient ontological-performance thus explaining its given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments. Thus the transvaluation of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is critically of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure implications of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of successive human construction-of-the-Self as from based animality to trepidatious—self-consciousness, warped—self-consciousness, preclusive—self-consciousness, occlusive—self-consciousness and prospectively protensive—self-consciousness. Thus human limited-

mentation-capacity implies that ‘more than just a thought-of ontological notion’ as of transvaluation, social–value-construction is rather accomplished phronetically/in-practicality as of the specific social-setup universal-transparency of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and is bound rather to be highly infused with ‘priorly implied-and-justified inequity’ narrative(s) where such universal-transparency is muted and where such universal-transparency is unmuted rather infused with ‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’ narrative(s). Basically, thus the reality of prospective social–value-construction critically arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation with respect to the prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, which when naively construed in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation simply reflects the <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior registry-worldview/dimension as reflected with its social value-construct dilemmas. Consider in this regards the implications for an individual having to respond to an accusation of sorcery in a non-positivism social-setup as the individual and the social-setup both effectively believe in superstition. Transvaluation insight will point out that ontological-veracity as of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) lies with the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-

<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness–as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-
 perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-
 overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> required prospective rational-
 empiricism/positivism registry-worldview/dimension construction-of-the-Self in deflating the
 non-positivistic social-setup value-construction dilemmas as impression-driven/good-
 naturedness/wishfulness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-
 to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in social-
 aggregation-enabling of the prior non-positivistic registry-worldview/dimension so-
 associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. Likewise implied social–value-
 construction dilemmas in our positivism–procrypticism are ontologically deflated as of
 foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) with the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework as of ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-
 spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness–as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-
 perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-
 overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> required prospective
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-
 worldview/dimension construction-of-the-Self (as of deprocrypticism protensive–self-
 consciousness over our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought occlusive–
 self-consciousness social–value-construction induced dilemmas). Basically, as highlighted
 above such a transvaluation knowledge-reification of social–value-construction reflects the

prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of any relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance as of its ontologically-flawed implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment; pointing to the ontological-veracity of a ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This ontological reality basis of social–value-construction, it is often claimed, needs to account for the reality of human sovereignty and free-will as to the ‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’. But then such a conception of human sovereignty and free-will seems to imply an ‘existence-in-existence constitutedness ontologically-flawed paradigm’ as to imply human sovereignty and free-will supersede-and-override existence—as-the-absolute-apriori-of-conceptualisation so-reflected as of <formative>formative>epistemic-totalisingly-preceding-and-redefining-existential-contextualising-contiguity. We can effectively appreciate that such human sovereignty and free-will implied ‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’ say with regards to a mystical cause of disease in a non-positivistic society doesn’t stop existence as reflecting bacteria theory or any other biological reason from being the cause of disease and such a reference-of-thought-devolving-level manifestation of the primacy of existence equally extends to reference-of-thought-level wherein overall existence ‘as transcendental-enabling’ for a rational-empiricism/positivism registry-worldview/dimension as of its edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘is more effective’ with respect to human grasp of existential reality manifestations than a non-positivism registry-worldviews/dimension, just as a prior universalisation registry-worldview/dimension ‘is more effective’ as of its edginess/incisiveness—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in grasping existential reality manifestations than a preceding ununiversalisation registry-worldview/dimension. This however doesn't implies the elimination of human sovereignty and free-will but rather effective speaks of human-subpotency within existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, so-construed as 'human-subpotency ontological-performance within the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity'; and specifically speaks as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency-epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation, wherein within the absolute a priori framework that is existence, humankind can construe of existence becoming/emanance manifestations allowing for human knowledge-reification and empowerment from the knowledge-reification within existence, with this in itself inducing a human reflexivity as of a human reflexive influence within existence (wherein for instance, a positivistic disease theory of bacteria and biological causation structurally/paradigmatically induces a whole set of human existential disposedness of emancipatory and curative implications in existence as of human sovereignty and free-will, but also in the very first place the fundamental human existential disposedness at reference-of-thought-level to rational-empiricism/positivism is structurally/paradigmatically conducive/preparatory for the possibility of such a positivistic disease theory of bacteria and biological causation to be construed by such humans). This then speaks to the fact that 'human sovereignty and free-will is deflated going by the ontological-veracity of human thrownness-in-existence' as of 'the specific human-subpotency implications as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence'; and so, as it applies to human knowledge-reification and empowerment from such knowledge-reification within existence as this

defines human ontological-performance reflected as of constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance. In this regards, the broader and more profound conception of human sovereignty and free-will as reflected by human thrownness-in-existence is rather grounded in the reality that all humans come into existence as of an overall framework of living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology within which the notion of human sovereignty and free-will then arises in the very first place; such that in many ways human sovereignty and free-will is collectively predicated to the social-setup social-functioning-and-accordance as of its implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. Thus, on this basis, the reality of human ontological-performance (reflected as of constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance) towards the effective articulation of human sovereignty and free-will is actually one that involves, with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity: ‘the deferential-formalisation-transference overall and underlying social-setup conception of knowledge-reification and empowerment from such knowledge-reification as enabling the framework of living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and then ‘the individual <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness mental-disposition and expression’ within the former (and it is the latter that often comes to the mind when speaking of human sovereignty and free-will as ‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’, while naively ignoring/overlooking the underlying ‘superseding existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation

reflected in <formative>formative>epistemic-totalisingly-preceding-and-redefining-existential-contextualising-contiguity implications upon human sovereignty and free-will’). Interestingly, such a broader conception of the manifestation of human sovereignty and free-will will recognise that the overall human deferential-formalisation-transference actually has a historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing character that extends right up to the very first humans and as with the production of language and human institutions, with regards to constraining existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, and as these institutions and institutional practices undergo metaphoricity all along towards our present, and carries effective/ontologically-veridical teleological implication in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity successiveness of registry-worldviews/dimensions. The point here is that, ‘the individual <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness mental-disposition and expression’ driving the deferential-formalisation-transference knowledge-reification and empowerment from the knowledge-reification as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, even as of poor ontological-performance of social-value-construction so-construed as destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance, can only achieve social-functioning-and-accordance by a claim to be as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, whether relatively real or surreptitious; and it is this preceding broader human sovereignty and free-willing

disposedness for claiming social–value-construction for social-functioning-and-accordance as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that gives the teleological orientation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as it then exposes human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) to the prospective constraint to be as supposedly coherent ontological-commitment thus inducing the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity when its any given meaningfulness-and-teleology is discovered/shown not to be ontologically veridical leading to its effective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Thus the bigger picture here with regards to social–value-construction for social-functioning-and-accordance as of human sovereignty and free-will implications speaks to relative-ontological-completeness as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and so as of existence constraint implied ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism ⟨formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting both destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness implied dementing–qualia-schema and constructiveness-of-ontological-performance as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness implied dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema as elucidation of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Ultimately, the naïve articulation of human sovereignty and free-will as of strict ‘autonomy and independence of human disposedness’ rather speaks of a poor ontological

sense-of-things, and as such ontological-veracity ensues the notion of human sovereignty and free-will is rather subsumed as of human-subpotency knowledge-reification and derived empowerment reflexivity in existence; and as apparent in the sciences, we can't imply that we have a choice of gravity on earth as 6 m/s^2 rather than the existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-~~formative~~epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness manifestation of 9.8 m/s^2 and our human sovereignty and free-will is then enabled reflexively with the latter and not the former where we develop and operate technology on that basis for instance, the same equally applies with respect to the social domain in other to avoid mere disparateness-of-conceptualisation-~~unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity~~. The conception of human sovereignty and free-will so-implied as of 'the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence' basically underlies all human knowledge-reification whether with regards to philosophy as first-level ontology pertaining to 'overall existence phenomenal appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology' as of the-very-same-~~formative~~epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or with regards to second-level ontologies 'specific epiphenomenon-(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness) appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology' as of ~~formative~~epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; differentiated by the fact that 'overall existence phenomenal appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology' across human generations as of 'cumulative reference-of-thought relative-ontological-completeness implications' is surprisingly of high ontological-contiguity explaining the cross-generational relative intelligibility of philosophical meaningfulness-and-teleology (for instance the questions and answers/contemplations about the why and how of human existence phenomena from the very first humans are just as

relevant today even as of the differing contextual discernments, and so with regards to virtue, value attribution, aesthetics, episteme and Being) while ‘specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness) appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving relative-ontological-completeness implications’ is of high notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> explaining the unintelligibility of the explanation of epiphenomena as contrasted cross-generationally with various superstitious beliefs in the past compared with modern day science epiphenomenal explanations (for instance with the appraisal of ‘health epiphenomena of existence’ as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ranging from perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen, perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-period, perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor, perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation, and perceptivity-as-of-factoring-in-socioeconomic,-education,-information,-environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-delivery). Insightfully, the very essence of ‘overall existence phenomenal appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as associated with philosophical aspects (beyond the our artificial subject-matter divisions referring to aspect where virtue, value, ontological principles and epistemic issues are of central concern) is one of interpretation given that the ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence is ‘a directly comprehensive and fulsome framework amenable to interpretation’ whereas ‘specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness) appraisal of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ especially as of their unordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence like natural sciences while informed by ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence background/sense-of-things further

require and accentuate their epiphenomenal manifestations (which are beyond ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence) with the devising of experimentations (as providing the prolongation for human interpretation capacity with respect to such epiphenomenal manifestations, as in reality even the natural sciences are fundamentally interpretative as ‘specifically aphoristic/cogent/pointed extensions of the underlying human philosophical interpretative disposition for knowledge-reification’). It is important to grasp here that mere experimentations, as often practised in many domains, that do not arise because of the veridical need to effectively accentuate epiphenomenal manifestations as of unordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence but rather ‘on the vagueness and naivety that experimentations by themselves demonstrate profoundness’ are ontologically-impertinent (in the sense that the ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence as ‘a directly comprehensive and fulsome framework amenable to interpretation’ is the more critical basis for a profound knowledge-reification interpretation than any such ad-hoc and simplistic experimentation vagueness and naivety); and in many ways this explains experimental delusions in many domains associated with poor reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as to the misunderstanding that experimentation should focus on the very critical epiphenomenal manifestations that are not amenable to the ordinary human-framework-of-experiential-existence as ‘a directly comprehensive and fulsome framework amenable to interpretation’. However, as of underlying human-subpotency sovereignty and free-will, what is definitely central to knowledge-reification is that it is grounded on human empowering reflexivity from prospective knowledge as of ‘ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-

perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> from such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition’. This reflects the ontological-veracity that human sovereignty and free-will can only be construed in conflatedness as of human throwness-in-existence revealing the epistemic-impertinence of dispositions for ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as wrongly implying human sovereignty and free-will supersedes existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ rather than the epistemic-veracity of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of human meaningfulness-and-teleology. We can garner for instance that there is and has never been any truly ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ of the sciences as often wrongly implied by science ideologues, but that scientists across-the-times have allowed existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to manifest itself in determining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; and so, as from the budding science of the days of Galileo and Copernicus, to Newtonian science, to Lavoisier laboratory science, to Einsteinian science to modern day institutional practices of science, with all fundamentally driven not by any ‘purported science ideology’ but rather the practicality of results as of the constraint of the subject-domains of scientific study together with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) implications in transforming the conceptualisation within any such specific subject-domains of scientific study as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification rather than ‘any implied notion that naively supersede

existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’. A further twist to such a poor conception of human sovereignty and free-will in the social arises as of an improper appraisal of the ‘implications of deferential-formalisation-transference as being structurally/paradigmatically both-intensional-and-extensional to the fulfilment of human sovereignty and free-will’. The fact is human sovereignty and free-will is more critically about its ‘fulfilment as of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’ rather than ‘mere appearance-of-fulfilment usurping-the-sense of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’. For instance, a plumber who draws up the costing for a plumbing job explaining to the customer what is advantageously entailed in a convincing manner (as of ‘mere appearance-of-fulfilment usurping-the-sense of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’) as they fail to ensure that their professional assessment will truly resolve the technical issue (as they are just looking to contract the job) is not really advancing the sovereign choice of the customer compared to another plumber who undertakes a candid professional assessment that may not sound advantageous with the customer (as they are more critically interested in the ‘fulfilment as of sound-operating-of-human-sovereignty-and-free-will-towards-its-fulfilment’) but does solve the technical issue; as any such customer in a deferential-formalisation-transference situation will most likely agree. Such operation of human sovereignty and free-will, beyond more or less simplistic social situations as the case highlighted above, is supposedly implied in the operation of all human institutions as of their inherent deferential-formalisation-transference proxy nature; but in many ways such a notion of ‘implications of deferential-formalisation-transference as being structurally/paradigmatically both-intensional-and-extensional to the fulfilment of human sovereignty and free-will’ gets sunk with the increasing complexity and size of human institutions as to what such implications really are, and so especially as the idea of human

sovereignty and free-will increasingly becomes abstracted and diffused in the overall social-construct and its institutions as so-associated with ‘the protraction of political and institutional performance, evaluation and accountability’ as reflective of human sovereignty and free-will. However, with regards to the latter as of social protraction of political and institutional action, the possibility of protracted human sovereignty and free-will while indirect comes to be increasingly associated with the sense of ‘equanimity/balance of institutions’ as to their expected ‘equanimity/balance of contending frameworks and policy frameworks as reflexive of socially-perceived commendation and disapprobation’, whether as garnered ‘politically from the equanimity/balance of competing policies and politics as from polling and/or polls trends’ and ‘professionally with the equanimity/balance of mainstream/conventional complementary professional policy-recommendations and professional practices’. The question about the effectiveness of such implied equanimity/balance as reflecting of human sovereignty and free-will is often raised critically with regards to political and institutional performance particularly during crises. In many ways, the systemic interrelatedness of large institutions as to their complementary end purposes and practices, renders such an assessment of implied equanimity/balance rather structural/paradigmatic to the overall politico-institutional system itself; and particularly so as in many ways the possibility of readjustment is much more practically instigated politically especially as with public institutions the individual manifestation of sovereign choice is much more rigidly tied to political action unlike the relative ability for direct disengagement from private entities. However, the fundamental fact that human sovereignty and free-will is ever always a question of the ‘transverse relation of all humans sovereignty and free-will in society’ inherently implies the underlying possibility for the undermining of human sovereign choice as of inherent social differentiation. Beyond transvaluation implications as of the broader overall ‘expected equity of all individuals for social–value-construction’ in relative-

ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-
 causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; going by the
 phronesis/practicality as of our positivism–procrysticism occlusiveness, the assessment of
 institutionally implied ‘equanimity/balance of contending frameworks and policy frameworks
 as reflective of socially-perceived commendation and disapprobation’, as advancing human
 sovereignty and free-will as of deferential-formalisation-transference implications, can be
 rather straightforward with regards to relatively compact/self-contained institutional functions
 and roles usually involved in direct public service delivery but it is much more difficult with
 spurious/supporting institutional functions and roles. We can appreciate in this regards that
 public scandals generally tend to arise out of public services and private services delivery
 institutional frameworks as of their relatively compact/self-contained institutional functions
 and roles, and that issues of transparency rendering such assessment difficult generally arise
 with regards to underlying spurious/supporting/supervisory/regulatory institutional functions
 and roles. In another respect concerning the modern day media, the need for relevant and
 balanced/equanimous communication and information delivery to the general public has
 increasingly been taking a backseat, and so fundamentally as the media becomes more of a
 business-making institution and rather plays a weaker and ancillary/perfunctory role in public
 policies and politics accountability. This is paradoxically reflected in the reality that despite
 the huge choice of media today, strangely enough this has rather been associated with greater
 public muddlement with regards to political stakes and public policies; undermining the
 political process as increasingly public policies are paradigmmed/structured to default/revert
 into the interests of powerful groups and corporations with the support of increasingly astute,
 surreptitious and media-savvy political and economic think-tanks, as their media
 underhandedness in many ways foil the possibility for credible and effective public interest

debate as of the distractedness of media reflexive anchoring on a stale, traditional, simplistic and increasingly irrelevant age-old left and right political narrative (and its derived politics and policies narratives) poorly reflecting the sophistication of the electorate that ‘doesn’t live in left and right worlds but a realistic world in want for solutions’! Strangely enough, such a media environment is now laden with public gurus holding outlandish views increasingly given the forum for their opinions (presented as reified-knowledge) not only in marginal media but mainstream media as well out of all proportion with the social and/or relevant expertising academic/professional resonance of such ideas, and so as of the underlying pretence of freedom-of-speech; as the notion of freedom-of-speech is increasingly being portrayed rather as the rationalising foundation for all sorts of discreetly, whimsically/fancifully and strategically prejudiced influences on media orientation. In this regards, the notion of freedom-of-speech as of such consequentially biased and disproportionate representation undermining ‘equanimity/balance of contending frameworks and policy frameworks as reflective of socially-perceived commendation and disapprobation’ (as thusly failing to advance human sovereignty and free-will as of deferential-formalisation-transference implications), is increasingly becoming the unbecoming/undoing of the modern day democratic political process. Direct media surreptitious drumming-up of specific policy stances and political movements have often interfered with political governance as with the tea-party movement for instance; when considering how political orientations are ‘strategically advanced/framed’ in the media at critical moments for upholding favourable political policies or foiling unfavourable political policies while undermining sound analytic public debate. It is no small wonder that a public opinion increasingly exposed to such media-driven ‘subterfuges’, overlooking the age-old party politics narrative entrapment, has been turning to protest voting as an expression of political disdain. Furthermore, the idea of human sovereignty and free-will across all times is intimately tied down to human limited-

mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to the relative-ontological-completeness implications for knowledge-reification underlying sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence; as the fact is the conception of human sovereignty and free-will effectively varied in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as from the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, our positivism—procrypticism and will equally vary with prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). This effectively brings up the centrality of causality, as implied with ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conflating towards the inherent ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier, wherein human sovereignty and free-will is construed as of the ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’, reflecting a human-causative-construction conception in conflatedness/projective-conflating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing about existence as ontologically-veridical (as it is the ‘totalitative epistemic/notional_projective-perspective’ that points out the veridical conception of causation) and so over a traditional reflex construal of human causation in

constitutedness as of any given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in prospective relative-ontological-incompleteness. This insight about human sovereignty and free-will effectively points to the ontological-flaw of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conceptions whether as of the past, present or future, inherently as of failing to account for relative-ontological-completeness implications that effectively and empirically underline sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing; and so especially as it is often implied by a ‘naïve type of philosophising that the conception of human sovereignty and free-will can be abstracted outside existential-contextualising-contiguity as to the underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in wrongly implying that human sovereignty and free-will is rather veridically underlied by ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ outside existential-contextualising-contiguity implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness. But then such pretence of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness veracity of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ is both theoretically and empirically non-veridical, speaking more of the reality of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications than truly rational argumentations as of knowledge-reification implications. Such ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ argumentations are often intimately associated with providing the meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for the powerful and vested-interests, and their insinuations of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ as ‘outside existential-contextualising-contiguity implications of relative-ontological-

incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness' is in effect not truly about the irrelevance of existential-reality implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness but rather more critically 'is in effect about defaulting to specifically unavowedly/surreptitiously implied convenient/advantageous interpretations about existential-contextualising-contiguity which are not to be subjected to a fulsome analysis for ontological-veracity as of implications of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness and so on the basis of merely projecting the term 'human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction' and thereof implying logical-dueness and articulating logic on the so-narrowed and uncontested framework'. The reason why such a 'human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction' supposedly pertinent argumentation about human sovereignty and free-will cannot hold is that all meaningfulness-and-teleology (as implied with the logical operation of any such projected 'human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction') operate on priorly established apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and inherently all apriorising/axiomatising/referencing purport to be as of existential-contextualising-contiguity thus subject to analysis as of relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications as to their existential-reality veracity, such that fundamentally such 'human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction' argumentation about human sovereignty and free-will are rather 'internally inconsistent' and more aptly reflect manifestations of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications when analysed as of relative-ontological-completeness. Consider in this regards for instance as of the presencing—

absolutising-identitive-constitutedness notion of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ underlying slavery, such an implied ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is inherently making a claim on existential-reality which rather more aptly reflect a manifestation of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that one human being has the right to own another human being (as actually not even the logical-dueness of such a ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation can arise from the perspective of relative-ontological-completeness as what is then implied from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective is the edginess/incisiveness—of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of any such implied slavery ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’). The proof that this is priorly ‘a power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not of veridical logical-dueness’ lies in the fact that for instance the Haitian slave revolters wouldn’t countenance the logical-dueness of any such implied logic of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ underlying their enslavement but merely as of their relative-ontological-completeness perspective of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing undertake in revolt the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-

measuring/dialectically-dementing of any such implied slavery ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’. This points to the reality that ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ argumentation do not truly escape the ontological prism as of existence being the absolute a priori, and rather speak of epistemic situations in epistemic-abnormalcy with the possibility for true causality implications to be drawn in relative-ontological-completeness as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construable ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’. The confusion here arises because of the habituation of any such ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ which is then taken to be natural to the point of ‘forgetting/overlooking that it is underlied by apriorising/axiomatising/referencing power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications’ to which even the weaker party might end up getting habituated to (over years, decades or centuries) as of little alternate existential choice and possibilities, and from which point a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness false sense of logical-dueness as of relative-ontological-completeness implications may seem to arise; but as with say the American civil war and the Haitian slave revolt, the reality that such implied ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ is rather of flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications is met not with logical-dueness and logical-engagement in wrongly validating any such

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing but is rather meted with relative-ontological-completeness perspective edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in
 unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
 logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing. In
 fact, besides the more starkly demonstrable case with respect to say slavery this equally
 applies with less starkly obvious situations having to do with human social differentiation as
 well as any other situations requiring prospective knowledge-reification as the possibility for
 all human progress arises effectively as a result of the transcending of all such human-
 subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint power-
 grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications construed as ‘human social-
 vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-
 discrete-social–value-construction’ as well as their socially attendant situations in need for
 prospective knowledge-reification; and so not as of a falsely implied logical-dueness and
 logical engagement that wrongly validate the relative-ontological-incompleteness
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied
 contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’
 as being of existential-reality in relative-ontological-completeness, but rather as of the
 relative-ontological-completeness perspective edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in
 unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
 logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of
 such implied ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-
 or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation. In fact, such

an interpretation about the ontological-veracity of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation is not only relevantly undermined with respect to say highlighting the supposed weaker party perspective in such a framework of power-grabbing/appropriating/usurpatory/arrogating implications of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing but is equally undermined/subverted when conveniently so by the stronger party for instance in the case of the various allied powers of the second-world war overlooking Nazi scientists direct or indirect participation in war crimes on the rationale of strengthening themselves to ensure future security, and one can imagine the same with regards with many ad-hoc arrangements having to do with spying activities, etc.; thus pointing fundamentally to the ascendancy of the ontological implications of human limited-mentation-capacity as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ possibilities of relative-ontological-completeness analysis over the absolutising of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation. Thus any such pretence that ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ argumentation is absolute as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and not subject to prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications with regards to an animal of limited-mentation-capacity requiring its prospective limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ (and thus paradoxically in want of its very own ‘prospective<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness magnanimity induced originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ as to

cohere with ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy>) is effectively bound not to be able to address the very central/critical implications to prospective knowledge-reification of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (with the latter involving ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as implied prospectively in ‘construing of both the right apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination and thus the knowledge for that right mindset-as-of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination’). Even with the modern day polity and law, the reality of human sovereignty and free-will implied in human rights takes precedence over any ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ practicalities and is the basis for continual social and governmental reforms; and as so-implied by the ‘structural/paradigmatic implications of relative-ontological-completeness in superseding/overcoming/transcending human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of relative-ontological-incompleteness’ and this is the very legitimation for any intellectualism purporting knowledge-reification. Ultimately, the very possibility for prospective knowledge-reification as providing the illumination for prospective human sovereignty and free-will conceptualisation is itself bound

to be undermined, and so as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, in the interplay of huma presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness inclinations for vested postures and interests poorly appreciating relative-ontological-completeness implications in contrast to <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness inclinations very much appreciative of relative-ontological-completeness implications as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. In this regards, one can appreciate the human sovereignty and free-will expansion drive of the prospective knowledge-reification associated with the Socratic universalising philosophers, budding positivists/rational-empiricists and today’s postmodern critical thinkers emancipatory meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure while on the other hand the prospective dereification as reflected in <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of non-universalising sophists, non-positivising/non-rational-empiricist medieval scholasticism pedants and today’s manifestations of institutional-being-and-craft muddlement as providing the meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for their respective present-day vested postures and interests. The paradox here is that the lack of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness “human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conceptualisation perspective reasoning’ as of its ‘ontologically-flawed supposedly superseding of existential-contextualising-contiguity relative-ontological-incompleteness and

relative-ontological-completeness implications’ construes such ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ as teleologically-degraded, even as it is the previous same <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation meaningfulness-and-teleology that presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness formulaic interpretation adopt as the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; and so equating such ‘prospective<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness magnanimity induced originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ with teleologically-degraded meaningfulness-and-teleology as of blatant two-facedness/falseness that would hardly contemplate that ‘the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness institutional framework structurally/paradigmatically undermines in many ways the possibility for veridical prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’. Beyond and informing this analysis of human sovereignty and free-will ontological implications (in articulating the very underlying ontological-veracity insights that expand/broaden our specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence’), the

notion of causality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is basically tied to
 the resolving/elucidating of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as of the full potential for human knowledge-
 reification. Such a human-causative-construction as of the underlying notion of relative-
 ontological-completeness implications is construed as ‘more than just about direct re-
 apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-
 measuringinstrumenting in <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
 perception/re-thought’ as to wrongly imply that human transcendence-and-sublimity is just of
 a direct intemporal-as-ontological nature rather than truly involving both
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness implications and secondnature institutionalisation implications.
 That is, the all-pervasiveness of the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (as
 to temporal-to-intemporal individuations) regarding ontological-performance (as so-reflected
 as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor) interjects-and-invalidates the possibility of merely
 such intemporal-as-ontological <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness construal of human
 transcendence-and-sublimity; thus implying ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness and
 relative-ontological-completeness implications’ (and not ‘absolute-ontological-completeness
 implications’) given human limited-mentation-capacity at all moments, as so-reflected in the
 prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance of any specific registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s existential desublimation manifestation underlined by
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> or
<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>. This
more effectively speaks to the fact that ‘the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as originariness-
parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’, instigative of the ‘inventing’/‘creating’ of the
possibility for ‘prospective secondnature institutionalisation as prospective
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation’, gets lost effectively in the prospective secondnature institutionalisation
induced reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as
human temporality/shortness encounters it (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) and so rather as of the ‘secondnature-
institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—
epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as
of relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-
high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness’ beyond which its implied dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—
existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-
‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-

<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications>) is construed as relatively vague-and-irrelevant as
 human temporality/shortness now re-construes in constitutedness such ‘secondnature-
 institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness–
 epistemically-induced/constrained–reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-
 high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness’ in such a way that is obviating and becomes homeless as to the conflatedness of
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness reflected in perpetuating/preserving the ontological-veracity in
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and this
 ‘secondnature-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness–epistemically-induced/constrained–reproducibility-motif-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-
 opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-
 lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ fundamentally
 underlies the very idea of human notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought (so-manifested as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation,

ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought), such that none of any such ‘secondnatured-institutionalisation—
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness–epistemically-
 induced/constrained–reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relatively-
 shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-
 extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’
 structurally/paradigmatically carries the possibility (as of its constitutedness epistemic stance
 in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) for ‘prospective originariness-
 parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’, instigative of the ‘inventing’/‘creating’ of the
 possibility for ‘prospective secondnatured institutionalisation as prospective renewed
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation’ so-reflected in their existential desublimation manifestation of
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> or
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Hence the need for prospective re-
 structuring/re-paradigming apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as from the instigation of
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-

residuality/spirit-drivenness, as the latter as the intemporal-as-ontological structurally/paradigmatically reflects the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness depth/profoundness of conception of human-subpotency causality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process perpetuating/preservation. Basically, any such ‘secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ assumes a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness inclination in <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that cannot cohere to the ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy>. Thus this temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (as to temporal to intemporal individuations) interjection invalidating the possibility of merely intemporal-as-ontological <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness construal of human transcendence-and-sublimity, speaking of ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications’

(and not ‘absolute-ontological-completeness implications’), fundamentally validates ‘conflatedness-of-construal as potentiating the superseding of the structural/paradigmatic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing implications of human temporal-dispositions for the prospective conception of knowledge-reification as so-reflected in the transepistemicity/conflating-nature of notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospectively deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. It is this epistemic conflatedness veracity (construed as transepistemicity) over epistemic constitutedness (construed as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness), of human knowledge that underlies knowledge notionalisation as to ‘notional conceptualisations’ like conception / misconception, intellectualism / sophistry, leveling / deleveling, human-subpotency / existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing / dialogical-equivalence, organicism / mechanicalism, dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema / dementing-qualia-schema, etc., respectively as to ‘dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)

and existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought implications’ for veridical ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. The very ontological-veracity of any such ‘notional conceptualisation’ lies in construing how these reflect causality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-implications as so-implied with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. What is critical with respect to prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is effectively the fact that its prospective institutionalisation is much more than just any such ‘secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ as prospective deprocrypticism involves ‘superseding existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective with the integration of the necessary, abstract and non-eliciting-of-opportunism dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension into its secondnatured institutionalisation’ thus providing the structural/paradigmatic interlocking of deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘re-inventing’/‘re-creating’ <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness; as otherwise such supposedly prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation will in reality be just a complexification of our positivism/rational-empiricism institutionalisation were it to manifest a secondnatured incapacity for the ‘re-inventive’/‘re-

creative’ preservation/sustaining/upkeep of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The fact is the elucidation/resolving of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor has ever always been about the interplay of ‘immediacy of temporal-dispositions in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective’ and ‘dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as intemporal-disposition as intemporal-disposition’, wherein the former (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) is mainly responsive to ‘secondnature-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ and is rather critically apathetic to the necessary, abstract and non-eliciting-of-opportunism as of ‘dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as intemporal-disposition’ that structurally/paradigmatically enables the preserving/sustaining/upkeep and ‘inventing’/‘creating’ possibilities for prospective institutionalisation. Inevitably as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, such dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—

existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-
 ‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications>) with regards to prospective institutionalisation
 transcendence-and-sublimity ‘effectively implies the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
 epistemic-abnormalcy of all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’, wherein
 prospective base-institutionalisation implies the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
 epistemic-abnormalcy of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, and the same applies to our
 positivism–procrypticism as prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought implies the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing epistemic-abnormalcy
 of our positivism–procrypticism, even as no registry-worldview/dimension is
 structured/paradigmed to construe of itself paradoxically as of such
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing epistemic-abnormalcy where it is prospectively of
 dementing–qualia-schema at its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance; and this
 explains why the very essence of such metaphoricity of meaningfulness-and-teleology is
 rather of a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
 recomposure. Furthermore, the reality of all prospective transcendence-and-sublimity for
 prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation is that it can difficultly be
 expected that the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ‘ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic

askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning' induced originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation required for any such prospective institutionalisation can be contemplated of on the reasoning-from-results/afterthought basis of the priorly 'secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness'. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology has ever always been driven as of the instigative human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness, but so in a mismatch with secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the latter's relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness; such that structurally/paradigmatically the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of successive registry-

worldviews/dimensions in relative-ontological-completeness, has always developed more or less accidentally as to wrongly imply the requisite selfless projection of human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to construction-of-the-Self is only as critical when it enables the relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness for prospective secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so rather as of the latter’s ‘poor-cognisance and poor-integration into any such prospective secondnatured meaningfulness-and-teleology of the underlying <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness coherently perpetuating priorly-and-prospectively the possibility for huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation to arise in the very first place’. This explains in many ways temporal-dispositions to existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective over intemporal-disposition of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions reflected in the repetitive succession of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> assuming a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness inclination about all that ever existed and matters, implying an orientation to living-development—as-to-personality-development,

institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devoid of the homeliness of the
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination as reflected by the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-
 epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, as the latter attends to existence-
 potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
 epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality with regards to
 prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint thus enabling prospective human
 transcendence-and-sublimity. Such that paradoxically in many ways the prior secondnature-
 institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—
 epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of its temporal social-vestedness/normativity goes on recurrently (in its
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process to undermine prospectively the very
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness (from which it obtained its prior reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation) that carries
 possibilities for prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for

human transcendence-and-sublimity; paradoxically, recurrently elevating the human mortal beyond existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness implications as to the uninstitutionalised-threshold attendant framework of lack of social universal-transparency-or-understanding-of-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena and institutional ascendancy as to flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, against which the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness has to recurrently prospectively re-enable the relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness for prospective secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness–epistemically-induced/constrained–reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (resolving the prior uninstitutionalised-threshold/destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance given human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint), and so for the latter to paradoxically prospectively become homeless as reflected with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>. This protensive-consciousness analysis (as from the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-

worldview/dimension) in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process highlights that while in many ways such a conundrum of deficient ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology could structurally/paradigmatically be overlooked with regards to prior human registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations as to their specific notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of base-institutionalisation, universalisation and our positivism/rational-empiricism, the prospective possibility for deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is only imaginable/conceivable with the resolution of this specific underlying ‘conundrum of human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation formation discrepancy/sundering’ as to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. As human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor is herein construed as ‘the fundamental structure/paradigm/frame of human causative determination (underlying causality as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework)’, as so reflected in the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence; as to the fact that human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor is the underlying form-factor recurrently structured/paradigmed/framed across human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as ultimately reflected in

reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology, speaking of successive recurrent thresholds of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness with regards to human ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology up to ‘the given specific point of living-development–as-to-personality-development or institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology where the human fails in its capacity for human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’. The latter is construed as ‘the-human-threshold-of-<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of living-development–as-to-personality-development or institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; with this more profound ontologisation elucidation (of prior philosophers aestheticisation-towards-ontology elucidation of the notions of averaging, <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-

implications> and leveling) speaking more precisely rather of (from an individuations basis
 of conception) <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of
 ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-
 frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’ (and so with regards
 to human living-development—as-to-personality-development or institutional-development—
 as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—
 as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive
 registry-worldviews/dimensions). Such a threshold construal of human ontological-
 performance as to constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-
 of-ontological-performance (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-
 profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-
 ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-
 subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’), underlies the (ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence as to <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity) perspective of analysis herein of such
 ontological-performances (construed as of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions) reflected
 rather as of ‘individuations basis-of-analysis-as-can-be-reflected-with-individuations-as-

being-the-occurrent-manifest-outcomes-of-the-individual-as-a-subpotency' (as all human individuations can theoretically be manifested by all individuals at varying occasions even as specific individuals are more or less prone to the recurrence of specific individuations as to specific conceptual and contextual frames of contemplation) thus enabling 'precision of conceptualisation and knowledge-reification implications', and not individual basis-of-analysis-which-will-fail-to-construe-of-the-potent-variability-implications-of-the-individual-as-a-subpotency-subject-to-transformation-and-not-absolutely-deterministic-and-immuable-as-individuation-representations. Furthermore (even as prior secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implies the prior human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-'notionally-collateralising-protohumanity'-to-'attain-sublimating-humanity',-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness is massively already secondnatured in generalised human behaviour as of the prior living-development—as-to-personality-development or institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), such 'varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor' speak to the 'more and more profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-

distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-
‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as to
human self-consciousness capacity for construction-of-the-Self in inducing the requisite
edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of successive
registry-worldviews/dimensions underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process; as recurrently implied all along in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with the circular conflicting paradox of
human opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to prospective
originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness. This in many ways
will explain the underlying conundrum as to the prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—
spontaneity-of-aestheticisation associated with projecting prospectively the more profound
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension as to human self-consciousness capacity for
construction-of-the-Self to induce the required edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for prospective
deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought human self-

surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-
protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-
digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as to prospective deprocrypticism living-development—as-
to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development
and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; as effectively such
dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
reification/contemplative-distension is susceptible to sophistic/pedantic dispositions
presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness eliciting of human temporality/shortness
as to <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>. This
insight speaks of a more profound notion of human psychology as to a veridical ontology-
driven ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
psychology-of-dynamics’, reflecting the fact that the underlying conceptualisation involving
the notions of construction-of-the-Self as to human constructiveness-of-ontological-
performance and shiftiness-of-the-Self as to human destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-
performance in addressing human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor is effectively of more profound ontological-veracity
than naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conception of psychology in
many ways rather in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ‘as the latter in its epistemic-abnormalcy
naively and wrongly goes on to define the very human-in-its-temporality/shortness/mortality

in want for its prospective development paradoxically as the determining agent (as in its very presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) of such prospective development’; such that there is an underlying transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing between such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and prospective originality/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation that is fundamentally irreconcilable, as to the former’s lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness critical for prospective human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (as so-validated by the fact that we’ll effectively recognised that ‘supposedly constructing psychology’ on the effective <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag of any of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of either recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation and universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism is effectively sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> but then go on to falsely imply the profoundness of thought as of the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of our positivism–procrysticism in its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag; as insightfully, as herein implied, such a most profound notion of psychological science is one of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of ontology-

driven ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ underlying the construction-of-the-Self all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with regards to the prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibilities of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). In recapping, this ‘conundrum of discrepancy/sundering in ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology along huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation formation’ (with respect to living-development—as-to-personality-development or institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), is underlied by ‘human formative discrepancy/sundering of the relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness from <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness; and is elucidated as from the ‘formative structure/paradigm of ontologically-flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness that fails re-originariness/re-origination as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) so-elucidated as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal of causality as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, as can be so reflected in the ‘historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of the contrasting dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema and dementing—qualia-schema’ of any specific registry-

worldview/dimension as to its relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought); and effectively, <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> is operantly construed as the contrasted dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema and dementing—qualia-schema, as from the perspective of relative-ontological-completeness over relative-ontological-incompleteness (as to reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology). In the bigger picture (of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), the overcoming of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> (as to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications) has been the determinant for the possibility for the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations to even arise in the very first place and equally speaks to the prospective human potential possibilities, as the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing records of successive human civilisations shows that nothing is inherently given (particularly so as the cultural diffusion possibilities are already limited as to the already globalised world warranting our very own prospective reinvention/recreation) but for effective human effectuation. Humanity

is thus intimately tied to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor relative-ontological-completeness implications of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to the fact that the ultimate attainment of humanity as from Hegelian proto-humanity has ever always been as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as reflected by the fact that our mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is rather ‘a positive-opportunism exploitation that poorly projects humanity prospectively as to an existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and notionally-collateralising posturing that is unwary of its relative-ontological-incompleteness to then aspire for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ and all the prospective humanity that can arise is ever always as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation that goes after that relative-ontological-completeness, as to the fact that the possibility for humanity to arise is ever always tied down with the possibility for the human to address human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint.

Humanity as a dynamic construct speaks to the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness that structurally/paradigmatically re-enables the possibility for humanity to arise (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,—in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>); as the Foucauldian take truly reflects the fact that there is no given human nature but rather the becoming possibility of human nature as of the ultimate construction-of-the-Self towards attaining deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-reference-of-thought, thus overriding/overcoming the hitherto ever present ‘huma relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’, underlying prior successive registry-worldviews/dimensions destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance. In more explicit terms, this ‘conundrum of discrepancy/sundering in ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology along huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation formation’ speaks to the ‘social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of any human originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation as to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’; as so-reflected with the susceptibility to variedly teleologically-degraded ontological-performance in a ‘dynamic social and institutional conjugation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology at the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ that ends up ‘reconstruing any implied originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation in its very own terms as to the effectively manifest dynamics of institutional and social relations, constraints and performances’ that as of varying implicated stakes are not ‘necessarily absolutely tied-down’ to the abstract originariness/reifying/intellectualising—

idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation even as such framework-for-idealising/transcending/sublimating is clearly or abstrusely the reference of social and institutional deferential-formalisation-transference. Thus the underlying reflex in considering human originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation as more or less fulfilled with a satisfactory theoretical-and-practicable-projected-outcome in many ways is naïve and incomplete as to when it is ‘wrongly predicated on a conception of the social and institutional as merely a passive framework of exquisite integration of abstract originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation’ failing to factor in the dynamics of social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of any such abstract originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation as to a ‘dynamic social and institutional conjugation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology at the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’. Ultimately, with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction the effectively practised meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation while guided/constraint/structured by such originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation theoretical-and-practicable-projected-outcome elicited positive-opportunism, generalised human behaviour to various extents actually becomes operatively and anticipatively aware by itself (as reflected by its covertly uttered <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives that varyingly betray/reconstrues-of the originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation) of this possibility of discrepancy/sundering from

originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—
 meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation (not only as to undermining the former conceptual
 completeness but evolving with the contextual immediacy perceived underlying
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint) with
 respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and as generalised human behaviour
 varyingly assume existentially constraint pragmatic inclinations and temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions as of varying thresholds of constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and
 destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance in relating with such
 originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—
 meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. This points to the need to assume a notional
 construal cognisant and integrating the structural/paradigmatic implications of human-
 subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, as the ‘dynamic social and institutional conjugation
 of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-
 ontology at the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ speaks to the
 susceptibility of the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance (addressed as of
 originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) to teleologically-degraded
 ontological-performance and more profoundly so specifically with enculturated/endemised
 postlogism and conjugated-postlogism social and institutional manifestations, and with
 regards to many social-stake-contention-or-confliction circumstances of poor social and
 institutional accountability. Basically, the bigger point here is that however the socially
 transformative implications as of prior originariness/reifying/intellectualising—
 idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation and beyond
 the elicited positive-opportunism underlying deferential-formalisation-transference, there is

much more involved in overall social and institutional meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the ‘dynamic social and institutional conjugation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performances at deconstructing-threshold-of-ontological-performance’. This may be overlooked in critical ways as to the critical fact that prior secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology idealising/transcending/sublimating doesn’t necessarily speak of an outright/absolute prospective inclination for human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) for the possibility of renewed originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation to induced prospective secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology idealising/transcending/sublimating; as a naïve and <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought including our positivism—procrpticism may falsely project of itself (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-

extrication-as-of-existential-unthought). Thus prospective

originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—

meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation must necessarily contend/vie with social and

institutional wonkiness-of-secondnaturing as to the social-and-institutional-dissipative-

integration of originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—

meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. Critically such wonkiness-of-secondnaturing, as

to the social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of

originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—

meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation, involves ‘blurry social and institutional expanse

of accommodating, contradictory and modulatory <formative>wooden-language—of-

temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-

narratives’ that while of differing functional/dysfunctional implications however critically

lends itself to paradoxical accommodations, contradictions and modulations of the

prospective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—

meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. In many ways thus such social and institutional

‘cognisance-and-integration of the associated dysfunctional <formative>wooden-language—

of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-

narratives’ (as to shiftiness-of-the-Self and corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology

implications) by itself provides ‘preparatory/foundational causation’ for existential-

extrication-as-of-existential-unthought temporal-dispositions underlying institutional and

social failures and crises as to their destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance

(however the seeming remoteness from such direct social and institutional issues, crises and

failures); as associated with various social and institutionalised frames of

<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, and as further surreptitiously enabled with sophistic/pedantic dispositions predisposed to articulate meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms eliciting human temporality/shortness but then of teleologically-decadent—as-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness holistic social and institutional implications that default to vested postures and interests. This analysis is critical by the very ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as required for prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as to the reality of the implications of ‘wonkiness-of-secondnaturing as of the social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation’ associated with our positivism/rational-empiricism secondnatured-institutionalisation—existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—epistemically-induced/constrained—reproducibility-motif-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology); as what marks out prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is the necessity for the appropriate protracted self-consciousness as to deprocrypticism’s protensive-self-consciousness to overcome our human relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness, and this ‘notionally protracted dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension conception’ as of notional-deprocrypticism is what

underlies the homeliness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as to the direct bilateral relation of ‘the successive construction-of-the-Self induced human self-consciousness capacity edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as enabling ‘corresponding possibilities of meaningfulness-and-teleology transcendence-and-sublimity’ with regards to the successive registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology. The fact is ‘wonkiness-of-secondnaturing as of the social-and-institutional-dissipative-integration of originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation’ implies that any given registry-worldview/dimension is in an <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag conception of value-construction and overall meaningfulness-and-teleology that is subpar to prospective possibilities of human transcendence-and-sublimity; and this particular point is critical for the awareness that social thought can be developed that ‘transepistemically overlooks the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conception of value-construction and overall meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (as to its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance induced <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) for the possibility of prospective transvaluation as of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness, as so-reflected empirically in the instigation of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations. Thus, there is a direct relation between human-subpotency and existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-

realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (so underlied as of the
parrhesiastic seeding-promise-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance-
correspondence-with-the-full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity), and this is
effectively instigated/originated by the human capacity for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension in its construction-
of-the-Self with respect to prospective human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. The
underlying point here is that there is no inherent meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather as
of the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-
empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence, that is, as to ‘human-subpotency potential to
epistemically converge to the full-potency of existence’; and this underlying structure of
reflexivity is the very structure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
institutionalisation-process, however, the surreptitious and opportunistic temporal
interpretations to exploit its positive consequences at one moment and to reject it the moment
it prospectively challenges-us/puts-us-to-question as of prospective implications of living-
development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-
function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The
implication here is that all human knowledge is necessarily for-human-studies/for-human-
constructs whether with regards to the social or the natural sciences; as to the fact that all
such knowledge is ever only registered in the human consciousness (individual consciousness
and collective consciousness respectively as to direct knowledge and indirect knowledge as
of deferential-formalisation-transference implications) and functions to broaden-the-latitude-
of-human-collective-consciousness with regards to human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in

existence. The very possibility for prospective human knowledge generation thus calls for human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness given the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, with such human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness speaking of true humanity projection for prospective secondnaturing institutionalisation (that goes on to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness), and so over the wrongfully elicited self-satisfaction of sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought failing to address the universal implications of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. This underlying human knowledge notionalisation is what speaks of the distinction between the physician and quack-doctor, the technician/engineer and the scammer, the intellectual and the sophist, etc. Critically, the former as involved in prospective originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation bluntly profess that ‘human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ is in want for secondnature knowledge and institutionalisation, and so as to the former human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) (as to the specifically cultivated arts/skills and time investment, and on the intimation that the implied deferential-formalisation-transference is so-validated as

of the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment). In the bigger picture, this speaks to a human socially expanded framework of deferential-formalisation-transference as to various cultivated skills/arts and time investment with their knowledge deferential-formalisation-transference validation as of the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment; and implying a greatly expanded human collective consciousness as of differing for-human-studies/for-human-constructs of originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation. On the other hand, what is typical about quack-doctors, scammers, sophists, etc. with regards to prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint is a predilection for eliciting the idea that ‘human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ is basically of competent judgment (notwithstanding the latter’s underlying banal framework as to the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and lack of related cultivated skills/arts and time investment as to the requisite human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)). It is on the basis of ‘so-prepping the human ego’ in an exercise not truly meant to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness (going by the eventual outcomes of such falsehoods) given that in the very first place the issue has nothing to do with inherent and genuine originariness/reifying/intellectualising—idealising/transcending/sublimating—meaningfulness-and-its-institutionalisation but rather a lulling falsehood that sees our mortal egos as the very target for surreptitiously inducing our moral and intellectual

disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession; as in effect, overall sophistry as to its underlying social-vestedness/normativity undermining of human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is effectively about discouraging the possibility for prospective humanity to manifest. But then this intellectualism and sophistry conundrum underlying knowledge notionalisation (as of prospective human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), structurally/paradigmatically marks all human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint as to ‘the uninstitutionalised-threshold attendant framework of lack of social universal-transparency-or-understanding-of-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena’. This very fact is defining as without the latter there wouldn’t be any human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in the very first place; and this very much explains the defining relevance of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, as to the possibility for genuine human reification and emancipation to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness or disenfranchising falsehoods. The taxingness-of-originariness (as to the direct relation between human-subpotency and existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) is effectively what underlies human institutional paralysis and social-vestedness/normativity as well as the possibility for prospective human construction-of-the-Self in the face of increasingly technically aloof/remote and racing technological,

organisational and social transformation; such that the requisite human thoughtfulness that can correspondingly broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness is increasingly out of the loop as humankind in the modern positivism age has increasingly become rather a self-subjugating agent to such transformations as to their lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation implications with the notion of human consciousness sublimation increasingly passivised and blanked to vested social and institutional frameworks actions. But then humankind faces the challenge of contemplatively articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology capable of reinventing/recreating and keeping the human at the driver seat rather than an object of unformulated/unthought-of driven existential emergence/becoming as of lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation over a ‘dreary blankness of consciousness’ (rather functioning to be attended-to and accommodated/unaccommodated by that lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation) as human consciousness is in want of its very own corresponding sublimation as to redefining the possibilities/potential for prospective humanity that can further broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness. Such ‘dreary blankness of consciousness’ (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) is predicated upon and drags along the shiftiness-of-the-Self as from prior human stake-contention-or-confliction conceptualisation in a psychological entrapment of defining naiveties and complexes (so-construed in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as historicity-tracing)’, and so towards humankind’s supposed future (as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology); and in many ways this historicity-tracing has already been stifling/stalling the human prospective potential as from the ontological-normalcy/post-convergence perspective conception of future

historicality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing relevant to deprocrysticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Such historicity-tracing is fundamentally defined by a certain enduring reproducibility passivity and blankness of human social processes, wary of the implications of prospective renewal possibilities as the psychological entrapment constraints of historicity-tracing override prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation possibilities, and the prospect for the future is ever so tied down to the psychological entrapment of prior human stake-contention-or-confliction framework that nullifies the possibility for renewal of humanity. Institutionalised historicity-tracing thus foregoes the construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as a construct of re-originariness/re-origination of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) so-implied from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective as to maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness and rather adopts the temporality/shortness comfort of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness hanging on to historicity-tracing presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness notional framework of human stake-contention-or-confliction. Historicity-tracing thus involves an <formative>epistemic-totalising conception of social-vestedness/normativity as to an underlying human psychological entrapment (as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that is incapable to re-stake/put-back-at-stake meaningfulness-and-teleology out of its historicity-tracing social-vestedness/normativity in order to reflect the true prospective overall aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as to the unbridled ontological-normalcy/postconvergence

epistemic/notional_projective-perspective re-originariness/re-origination of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Such social and institutional social-vestedness/normativity for instance like in many ways the practice in modern day scholarship (especially when poorly constrained to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) is bound to ‘make its own weather’ rather as from human-subpotency temporality/shortness; wherein ‘invested’ institutional and theoretical/conceptual postures take on an essence all of their own, and so independently and overlooking the precedence of existential-reality for the possibility for prospective sublimation and knowledge-reification and failing to ‘effectively re-stake/put-back-at-stake in re-originariness/re-origination the capacity of human ontological-performance in a renewing originality-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ over already set/established/determining prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, and so failing to be responsive to the fact that human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) rather invokes prospective ⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness for re-originariness/re-origination (and as ever always such destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions abuse of the idea of being at the backend of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as speaking to its own exceptionalism in a naïve ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag posture instead of the true instigative exceptionalism of the underlying ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process). This temporal/shortness disposition to fail re-originariness/re-origination is of

overall social recurrence as to human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—
 imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> as of ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-
 profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-
 ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-
 subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’; and so in all situations particularly those poorly
 constrained to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–
 renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. Such that such
 ontologically-flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness becomes a
 psychological entrapment of an overwhelming presence hardly capable of profound re-
 originariness/re-origination but for its thresholding to the accrued historicity-tracing
 perception of temporal/shortness human stakes-contention-or-confliction framework; with the
 consequence that this mitigates the possibility to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-
 consciousness off-the-beaten-path of historicity-tracing (as of living-development—as-to-
 personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as to the relation with
 human lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation, as such a consciousness
 increasingly adopts a desublimation/gimmickiness rather than its very own sublimation in
 tandem with material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation. This is reflected with the
 increasing remoteness/alooness and alienation of the generalised human subject from such
 material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation captured under abstract institutional

frameworks of stewardship expecting a ‘dreary blankness of consciousness’ (rather functioning to be attended-to and accommodated/unaccommodated by the lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation) in order to maximise passive enculturation and merchandising as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given historicity-tracing’. Thus, the possibility for the generalised human subject capacity for consciousness sublimation is seized up and constrained in such socially and institutionally bureaucratising and deterministic frameworks that now structurally/paradigmatically determine the possibilities of human consciousness sublimation as to their abstracted defining conception of human stake-contention-or-confliction (as of living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) such that the generalised human subject re-originariness/re-origination sublimation imaginary possibilities are already truncated as from prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of re-originariness/re-origination as implied with prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Today, many agile initiatives allowing more or less for the expression of the human subject imaginary and so specifically with start-up entrepreneurship increasingly highlight that in many ways traditional social and institutional frameworks are suboptimal conceptualisations of human consciousness sublimation possibilities as to their thoroughgoing beholdenness to ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given historicity-tracing’ bounded to prospective thresholds of passivity and blanking of human consciousness sublimation possibilities. In many ways because of poor appreciation of the ‘direct bilateral relationship of appropriate construction-of-the-Self for appropriate cognisance-and-integration of

prospective relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness-and-teleology’ the modern mindset has tended to construe of its lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation implications naively as implying the comprehensive fulfilment of human potential with poor appreciation/sense that effectively as reflected with prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, the proximity of technology then never implied as today a generalised human consciousness passivity and blankness to the point of relative desublimation/gimmickiness over sublimation (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought); and so as potentially contended by Baudrillard simulacrum conception wherein gimmicky formulaic representations of overall aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology increasingly substitute for more profound possibilities of human aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to the potential for prospective human consciousness sublimation as of a holistic projection of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. Whereas historically the technological accessibility and proximity to the generalised human consciousness of such events like the invention of metal implements, the plough, writing, the printing press, etc. provided more profound possibilities for human consciousness sublimation in re-organariness/re-origination, beyond mere lopsided technological as of lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation in the framework of ‘a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology given historicity-tracing’ that passivises and blanks thus undermining/stifling the possibility for prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. While a traditional conception of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring is often articulated as resting on ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ presencing—

absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation perspective thus supposedly rendering irrelevant their analysis as of inherent ontological-veracity (as to supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’), but rather tending to a construal as of ‘inherent prior aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of human social-vestedness/normativity’; such a traditional conception from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective is actually unfounded and rather speaks to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness manifestation of human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness (as to historicity-tracing implications of human limited-mentation-capacity). The reality of the dynamic relation between human constitutedness and conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation (as to the successive relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldviews/dimensions adopted human reference-of-thought edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness), as reflected in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with: base-constitutedness at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness at base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness at universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness at our positivism—procrypticism, and prospectively full-conflatedness at prospective deprocrypticism; rather speaks to a more fundamental driver as

to underlying ontological-veracity (as to supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’) but that such a reality is oblivious to the traditional construal in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation that speaks of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. This is so inherently because of the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence, by the mere token that human-subpotency reflexivity of existence at any such given apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation shallow <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness in relative-ontological-incompleteness (that is, in epistemic-abnormalcy as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) will rather imply its corresponding apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ (and this is no more correspondingly different from the relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness human-subpotency reflexivity of existence as to say the ‘health epiphenomenon of existence’ in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with ‘various registry-worldviews/dimensions shallow <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presencing—absolutising-identitive-

constitutedness/constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation of healthcare’ as to their successive relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness). In both cases it is rather from the full <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that the ontological-veracity as of prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (as to supposedly coherent ontological-commitment with regards to the ‘full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’) truly reflects the deterministic epistemic causality of existential sublimation manifestation, and so over any such conceptualisation of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social—value-construction’, rather in shallow <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation (and not full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation with existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness). Such prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of re-originariness/re-origination is of the most profound <formative>epistemic-causality conceptualisation of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), that is, as driven as of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness

ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of
 human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-
 potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. This reality speaks to ‘fatedness-of-
 sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
 fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
 as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity-over-deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, as the driver of
 the human-subpotency potentiating existential becoming manifestation of sublimating-over-
 desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-
 cumulation/recomposuring all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process; as it dynamically induces (as of ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-
 to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-
 institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of
 prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’) successive prospective reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning for reasoning-from-results/afterthought as the secondnature-
 institutionalisation of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-
 reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed as ‘generating
 varying human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring of

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as to their pre-eminence as of their ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’. It is rather such an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence conceptualisation as reflected by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness imbued ontological-veracity (reflected in supposedly coherent ontological-commitment) that actually reflects the underlying notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> of existence/existential-reality speaking of ontological-contiguity, whereas the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation implied from ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social—value-construction’ perspective are actually varying levels of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism speaking of their discreteness as not reflecting ontological-contiguity as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective (since there are not in full-conflatedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-

totalising–renewing–realisation,–re-perception,–re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness imbued ontological-veracity). This ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation,–re-perception,–re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ (arising as from the very first/primordial existential becoming manifestations of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,–in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,–as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation,–re-perception,–re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) can be observed with the traditional first peoples like the pygmies. As for instance the very basic initiation of trading/exchange itself with the ‘other person’ as to the possibility of developing community is as of ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation,–re-perception,–re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, wherein an

item of trade/exchange is placed at a neutral location/spot in the hope that the other will take it and reciprocate out of ontological-good-faith/authenticity with a satisfactory trade/exchange item (and so with the very real possibility that it might be taken without reciprocity out of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity), and so as to their underlying correspondingly ‘instigatable/promptable ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’, with ‘mutually-and-complementarily instigated/prompted ontological-good-faith/authenticity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’ inducing the very creative dynamics for human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring as to human-subpotency potential for social formation, modes-of-living, language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence, cultural practices etc., as such ‘instigative/prompting ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’ ontologically precede and define the possibility for the creative dynamics of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring as to human-subpotency potential for social formation, modes-of-living, language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence, cultural practices, etc. (as of the historial selectivity/deselectivity of underdetermined human social constructs, conceptualisations and theories as to existence constrained transcendence/sublimation as knowledge-reification and human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation in a foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) dynamics of the human reference-of-thought, as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation non-rules, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, positivism–procrysticism positivising/rational-empiricism-based-

universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, and prospectively deprocrypticism
 preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, while excluding disparateness-of-
 conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-
 contiguity>). It can be appreciated that without perceived reciprocity out of ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity, as to disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—
 over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, an ontologically natural and
 mutually consenting underlying framework of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-
 and-institutional-constructs—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring
 is not sustainable but for where any such party is of ‘overall-survival constrained to the
 perceived ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity of the other party’ as with respect to say
 contexts of engrained social subjugation, enslavement, etc.; and in the bigger scheme of
 things the possibility for sustaining any human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-
 institutional-constructs—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring lies
 with the ‘totalitative implications as to the pre-eminence of ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity in the dynamics of ontological-good-faith/authenticity by ontological-bad-
 faith/inauthenticity perception by all parties involved’ as so-perceived by the parties rather as
 of ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness’. This ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—
 of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-

ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ is the instigative
 driver of human social relationships for clanic formations and breakups associated with early
 human migratory dynamics together with their institutional formations and
 breakups/diversification as to human-subpotency potential for social formation, modes-of-
 living, language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence, cultural practices, etc. This insight further
 points out that the central deterministic argument made as from ‘human social-
 vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-
 discrete-social-value-construction’ conceptualisation perspective (in presencing—
 absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation) as underlying justification for the
 sustainability of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs—
 of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring is actually of shallow
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in relative-ontological-incompleteness, as
 ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-
 of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
 fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
 as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ rather inherently
 implies that the true underlying justification for the sustainability of human sublimating-over-
 desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-

cumulation/recomposuring lies with ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ as to the inherent transcendence-and-sublimity implications with respect to human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (and this effectively explains everything in ontological-contiguity and notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> and so in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as from relative-ontological-incompleteness to prospective relative-ontological-completeness as there is nothing left to be explained about the human-subpotency phenomena, unlike the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> discreteness perspective of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’); as we can appreciate that the very possibility for prior successive and prospective human emancipation paradoxically lies in superseding any such ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/constitutedness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation perspective in relative-ontological-incompleteness as underlying justification for the sustainability of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring (as it rather becomes prospectively from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective a <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-

teleology, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought). This point out that just as prior registry-worldviews/dimensions specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation rather implied their corresponding human-subpotency ontological-performance transcendental-enabling/sublimating reflexivity in ecstatic-existence, this ontological-normalcy/postconvergence <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness equally implies its correspondingly more profound human-subpotency ontological-performance transcendental-enabling/sublimating reflexivity in ecstatic-existence with regards to the prospective ontological-veracity of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought re-originariness/re-origination construction-of-the-Self meaningfulness-and-teleology as to its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure projection of prospective dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema (over our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought dementing—qualia-schema). Critically, from the notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective (beyond any relative-ontological-incompleteness given registry-worldview/dimension <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, which basically ‘projects a unified referencing construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema’ wrongly implying ‘an absolute-coherent-rationalising-framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that fails to reflect from the prospective relative-ontological-

completeness perspective its dementing–qualia-schema), the projection of ‘an absolute-coherent-rationalising-framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is actually of unreal ontological-veracity as to the effective temporal ontological-performance at any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold. The reality at any such uninstitutionalised-threshold is rather one of ‘dynamically-convergent-rationalising-frameworks of meaningfulness-and-teleology of differing ontological-performance implications’ hence defining both the given institutionalisation/constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and its uninstitutionalised-threshold/destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance. This effectively ‘dynamically-convergent-rationalising-frameworks of meaningfulness-and-teleology of differing ontological-performance implications’ reflects the fact that human meaningfulness-and-teleology operate along criss-crossing rationalising-frameworks: as of ‘social-rationalisation—as-reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation construed as of token/emblematic absolute (and thus equally giving rise to the possibility of its temporality/shortness articulation as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasitic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives’ as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold) as defining the given registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology, and secondly ‘the ordering-of-values within the scope of the social-rationalisation—as-reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation construed as of token/emblematic absolute’, and thirdly ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness,—as-to-the-prospective-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of the social-rationalisation—as-reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. These three criss-crossing rationalising-frameworks are

parametrically reflected as of ‘the varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-
 restructuring/reparadigm-ing-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-
 ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-
 subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’. This theoretical elucidation is critical from the
 notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of
 dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension, in properly garnering the requisite ontological-
 veracity/insight as to prospective deprocrypticism re-originariness/re-origination
 construction-of-the-Self as of its implied psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise of <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning; to further broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-
 consciousness; beyond the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 ‘gimmickiness of consciousness’ (as to the blanking and passivity associated with its
 <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
 drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) to the requisite prospective
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘sublimation of
 consciousness’, as the latter’s protensive–self-consciousness prospectively overcome huma
 relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-
 high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness. This disambiguation of ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-

institutionalisation-process ‘dynamically-convergent-rationalising-frameworks of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology of differing ontological-performance implications’ speaks to
 the fact that, as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, the ontological-performance of human temporal-
 to-intemporal-dispositions (rather operantly construable as temporal-to-intemporal
 individuations) reflect a ‘formative underlying human decoherencing-structure—of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’ in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The ‘imaginary of
 deprocrpticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ is a projection
 towards the prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
 aesthetic-tracing bound to overcome desublimating historicity-tracing imbued psychological
 entrapment, as to the potential for a full human psychological
 uninhibitedness/decomplexification in superseding the ‘formative underlying human
 decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’ as to its
 hitherto recurrent instigation of huma relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-
 opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-
 lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness. Desublimating
 historicity-tracing imbued psychological entrapment arises inherently because of the
 taxingness-of-originariness as to the fact that: what has gone before aesthetically
 structures/paradigms distortedly the possibility for the later aestheticisation, with regards to
 human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of its decoherencing-structure—of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation. But then existence is not beholden to
 any such human reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-

of-aestheticisation residuality that induces human decoherencing-structure—of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation stifling/stalling of the full possibility of
prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Desublimating
historicity-tracing aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology decoherencing-
structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation (as construed from the
prospective deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) can be reflected with respect to the very
supposedly most enlightening-giving notion of philosophy as to its decoherencing-
structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation (as from the ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) from human
philosophy, to varying philosophies as of African, Oriental, European, Arab, etc. as to
desublimating historicity-tracing psychological entrapment that ultimately denatures the
historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing purity of the very notion of
philosophy. This patent elucidation of the decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-for-institutionalisation as to such a supposedly most abstract and enlightening-
giving notion that is philosophy is a basic insight (as construed from the ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) of desublimating
historicity-tracing psychological entrapment with respect to the overall prospective
sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (which
structurally/paradigmatically seems to be entrapped/stifled in human taxingness-of-
originariness). Effectively, human decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology-for-institutionalisation arises as of ‘taxingness-of-originariness (what has gone
before aesthetically structures/paradigms distortedly the possibility for the later
aestheticisation). The idea of superseding the huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
institutionalisation decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-

institutionalisation (as to ‘abstractly projected finality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’) for prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, patently makes obvious what the true implications of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought project with respect to its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension re-originariness/re-origination conceptualisation in relation to our present positivism—procrypticism aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology. This is reflected in the projected underlying ontological-performance divergent relation between historicity-tracing (as constrained to human taxingness-of-originariness as to: what has gone before aesthetically structures/paradigms distortedly the possibility for the later aestheticisation) and prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Historicity-tracing as implied at all uninstitutionalised-threshold is what underlies the notionally-collateralising inclination of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of any given registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness; speaking in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as ‘an overall human aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect’ for corresponding human consciousness sublimation. But then the implication of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as supposedly superseding huma relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness, as to its ‘aspiring pureness of re-originariness/re-origination’, is effectively ‘a reconstrual in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to the obviating of its decoherencing-structure—of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation induced historicity-tracing (beyond the implications of taxiness-of-originariness as to: what has gone before aesthetically structures/paradigms distortedly the possibility for the later aestheticisation)'; such that the deprocrpticism potential is 'a wholly other of historicity-tracing' as to the implications of its re-originariness/re-origination for prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing beyond foregone aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (in truly reflecting the 'full human-subpotency potentiation' as to the most profound human capacity for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension). Its defining question is whether and how can the human reconstrue meaningfulness-and-teleology in re-originariness/re-origination beyond its trailing/dragging foregone aestheticised meaningfulness-and-teleology construal? This limitativeness of historicity-tracing is fundamentally an issue of human psychological entrapment 'defining naiveties and complexes' as to human shiftiness-of-the-Self as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (construable abstractly as fundamentally subpar to human effectuation potential but for the fact that the psychological entrapment is a paradoxical circular constituent of the human as to its 'notionally-collateralising-protohumanity/sublimating-humanity existentialism-form-factor'). Human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as the very seeding disposition for historicity-tracing is ever always characterised by its immediacy-reactive-criticality (over panoramic-sublimating-criticality) as to its constraining aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology framework; such that the propensity for human meaningfulness-and-teleology to be instigated (as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) before any construable human panoramic-sublimating-criticality outcome of meaningfulness-

and-teleology) has ever always been bound to take ‘a notionally-collateralising inclination
detour of aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ (as of the defining
‘originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect of human ontological-performance’), and
so as of the ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-
restructuring/reparadigm-ing-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-
ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ (with regards to human living-development—as-to-
personality-development or institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development or
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions). From the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
epistemic/notional_projective-perspective (as to panoramic-sublimating-criticality),
immediacy-reactive-criticality inherently implies human-subpotency induces discreteness
(and not ontological-contiguity) by its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
that undermines the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity
foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ (inducing notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>).
Thus as of ultimate human deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
thought ideality/imaginary—as-to-its-sublimation-beyond-prior-aestheticisation paradox:
‘human originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect’ underlying historicity-tracing

speaks to the ‘succession of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (so-construed from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) rather as of their ‘manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ towards the ultimately reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> and ontological-contiguity as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence; however, prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing is about human re-ordinariness/re-origination as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence exclusively, as to its obviating of prior desublimating historicity-tracing for prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as unbeholding to the ‘successive notional-discontiguities/epistemic-discontiguities—as-dementing-qualia-schema failing to achieve notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> as to ontological-contiguity’ in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (and so with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development or institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). Such that, prospective deprocrypticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (with respect to obviating of prior desublimating historicity-tracing imbued ontological-performance) implies the superseding of the ontological-veracity of such presencing—absolutising-identitive-

constitutedness human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring (and so with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development or institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), as to the fact that these end up overtly or covertly drawing their inherent justification on the basis of their inherent prior aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of human social-vestedness/normativity rather than any relevant underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as their social-vestedness/normativity increasingly become dépassé (prospectively ontologically-invalid), thus rather stifling the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension and thus marring prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Actually, the notion of hyperreality—as-to-its-simulacrum implications highlighted by postmodern thought is more profoundly manifested in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to historicity-tracing implications with regards to ‘prospective taxingness-of-originariness’. Historicity-tracing-imbued-hyperrealisation implications rather speaks of human limited-mentation-capacity ‘paradoxical prior epistemic reinfusion (as of prior notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>) into the supposed construal of prospective ontological-veracity—as-to-inherent-ontological-contiguity in the face of ‘manifest existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness in epistemic conflation’ rather veridically construable in the prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing transepistemicity (as of prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema>)’.

Historicity-tracing-imbued-hyperrealisation as such actually

reflects the structural/paradigmatic limitation of the given human registry-worldview's/dimension's epistemic-gesturing for the construal of ontological-veracity-as-to-inherent-ontological-contiguity at its prospective uninstitutionalised-threshold; speaking of a state of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> in relative-ontological-incompleteness in relation to the now prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> of the relative-ontological-completeness, as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective. Effectively, historicity-tracing-imbued-hyperrealisation as of its implied contrastive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism of (relative-ontological-incompleteness of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>) and apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism of (relative-ontological-completeness in prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>), can be reflected historically with respect to say 'an engrained traditional non-positivism/medievalism conceptualisation of the world' incapable/could-not-bring-itself to mentally process the implications of planets shown with a telescope to be rather going around the sun in a nascent positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme implied by Galileo and further conceptually articulated by Descartes' thinking proposition as to its mathesis universalis implications, such that it is as of a cross-generational transformation that humankind develops the positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism (as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) to grasp the full structural/paradigmatic implications of positivism/rational-empiricism as from the initial non-positivism/medievalism historicity-tracing-imbued-hyperrealisation with regards to the prospect of positivism/rational-empiricism aestheticisation-and-aestheticisation-towards-

ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, this insight can be extended in reflecting the historicity-tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation of ‘an engrained traditional non-universalising conceptualisation of the world’ incapable/could-not-bring-itself to mentally process the implications of the nascent universalising-idealisation attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme implied by the Socratic philosophers as to its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism (as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) induced cross-generational transformation. In both instances it speaks to an underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism ‘wanting of human consciousness sublimation’ to effectively come to terms with ‘manifest existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness in epistemic conflation’, thus inducing its notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> as to the fact that notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> is now implied prospectively as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective. Thus in the bigger picture, Baudrillard’s conception of hyperreality (as implied with respect to our present lopsided technological as of lopsided material/equipment/accoutrement sublimation) speaks to the underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism ‘wanting of human consciousness sublimation’ as to its capacity to sublimate beyond our positivism–procrypticism historicity-tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation of aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology; reflected as the epistemic insufficiency of our ‘gimmickiness of consciousness’ with regards to the potential for re-originariness/re-origination beyond procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought historicity-

tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation inclination now reflected as prior notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>, as so-construed projectively from the prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>. Human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> as to its prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism recovery of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> with regards to ‘manifest existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness in epistemic conflation’ (overcoming the prior apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism ‘loss of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>’ now of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>) is rendered possible by human metaphoricity-of-aestheticisation—as-of-‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-holistic-instigation,-process,-and-outcome-of-reoriginariness-of-aestheticisation’-in-preserving-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-by-the-given-redefining-prospective-epistemic-digression-implications-as-to-ontological-contiguity. Thus in the bigger scheme of things, the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation given edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument enters into historicity-tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold in its epistemic construal of prospective base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, likewise the latter in its epistemic construal of prospective universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and

likewise the latter in its epistemic construal of prospective positivism–procrypticism, and the latter as well in its epistemic construal of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; as so-reflected from the relative-ontological-completeness implied notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>. In other words, (with regards to human living-development-as-to-personality-development or institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) historicity-tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation is associated with uninstitutionalised-thresholds in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘recurrently renewed reference-of-thought-level and reference-of-thought-devolving-level apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism for conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and in the face of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor; and historicity-tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation speaks of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions states of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>, so-construed in their given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness eliciting an underlying sense (as from the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness,-as-to-the-prospective-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of any given registry-worldview/dimension) of ‘drift/homelessness/destitution of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and eliciting the prospect for ‘renewed reference-of-thought-level and reference-of-thought-devolving-level apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of conceptualisation of

meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’. Hence historicity-tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation reflects the given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology (as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism) ‘saturation of ontological-performance’ with respect to prospective relative-ontological-completeness existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness at its uninstitutionalised-threshold (where it induces the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> of aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology relative to the ‘requisite prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation implied notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>’); such that the ‘mere complexification of given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation’ doesn’t suffice to recover ontological-performance as to prospective relative-ontological-completeness existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. Historicity-tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation is so-reflected with the mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology of any such registry-worldview’s/dimension’s underlying intellection induced meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure (whether positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation or recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) and its corresponding hegemonising institutional and

social narratives, as to their notionally-collateralising framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology increasingly construing their defining prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (reflecting their uninstitutionalised-threshold) rather as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable as to their given historicity-tracing—imbued-hyperrealisation psychological entrapment (in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> of aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology) induced lack of universal-transparency of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and its relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness. The <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness,-as-to-the-prospective-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of all registry-worldviews/dimensions is effectively what renders (by its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought) the possibility for the succession of prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and it is this <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness,-as-to-the-prospective-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness prospective

reformulating/revamping of human aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism of conceptualisation in prospective
 notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-
 schema> (over the ‘saturation of ontological-performance’ of prior aestheticisation—and-
 aestheticisation-towards-ontology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—conceptualisation in
 notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-
 schema>) for the prospective sublimation of aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-
 ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 psychologism of conceptualisation as of ‘renewed notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema>’ (so-construed as human
 limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—
 as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of ‘prospective <formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness recovery of notional-
 contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema>
 of aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism conceptualisation’) that is entailed in the
 very notion of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-
 dialectics as reflected with renewed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism as to
 prospective dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema over prior dementing—qualia-schema. The
 implication here is that the overcoming of any historicity-tracing—imbued-hyperrealisation is
 intimately tied to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-
 totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure so-implied as its prospective
 construction-of-the-Self as of its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. Insightfully, while with prior registry-

worldviews/dimensions human consciousness sublimation ontological-performance had rather assumed ‘an overall human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect’ (involving ‘a notionally-collateralising inclination detour of aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to the underlying ‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity/sublimating-humanity existentialism-form-factor’), the requisite protensive–self-consciousness of prospective deprocrypticism is one that as to its full grasp/understanding/universal-transparency of the restructuring/reparadigming possibilities of prospective human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology should be amenable to a self-consciousness projection that should be able to engage with its corresponding level of taxingness-of-originariness (as to its own ‘humanity-sublimation homework’ at its given supposed growth/maturity at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) in adopting a re-originariness/re-origination consciousness sublimation over historicity-tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation that overcome ‘a notionally-collateralising inclination detour of aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ implicated in the originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect (as so-implied with the deprocrypticism prospective superseding of huma relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness). Thus (as it projects beyond human ‘social-stake-contention-or-confliction presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness psychological entrapment’ imbued notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> of aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology), prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as the ‘wholly other’ of historicity-tracing–imbued-

hyperrealisation speaks to the succession of human edgy/incisive/astute renewed aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus the prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of deprocrypticism very much equates to human consciousness sublimation as of its successive transcendences of registry-worldviews/dimensions as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, given that prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing is more than just the prospective reproducibility potential of aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology but is actually the ‘equalisation of all historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology’: as to imply that ‘the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’ = ‘the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation’ = ‘the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism’ = ‘the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of positivism–procrypticism’, (even as their mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology do not equate ‘as of their differing positive-opportunism structure/paradigm of underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as to prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-

indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’); and so-construed as ‘the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness for notional-deprocrypticism/deprocrypticism dimensionality’ as of a prospective imaginary/ideality of human consciousness sublimation beyond just mere secondnaturing reproducibility aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology. Thus this underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation (as ‘equalisation of all historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology’) speaks to ‘a transverse dimensionality about human consciousness sublimation originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> in ecstatic-existence’ as underlying ‘authentic-humanity and its homeliness-drive’; of as yet tenuous, but central-and-defining to the very implication of the prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension as to its prospective superseding of human relatively-shallow-frame-of-elicited-positive-opportunism-of-low-intrinsic-attribution-and-high-extrinsic-attribution-susceptibility,-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness. Critically, the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (with regards to the overall manifest ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘human aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology originariness-by-reproducibility-laddering effect’) contrastively speaks of a dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation that recurrently pops up in the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (as to the implications of the lack of universal-transparency of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework), which acts as of mere reproducibility cynicism (in the face of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint) in fundamental ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought), so-reflected in its <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives; further speaking of the differentiation of these two dimensionalities as of originariness/mere-reproducibility, driveness/mere-function, sublimation/mere-gimmickiness, reification/mere-extrication, existential-thoughtfulness/mere-existential-unthoughtfulness, responsibility/mere-indulgence, antinihilism/mere-nihilism etc. in the face of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. This highlights that the the epistemic-instigation of prospective deprocrypticism contemplation is necessarily as of disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, and so before logical-dueness as to ontologically-valid language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence can even arise in the first place; explaining in many ways the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective projecting of a dynamic differentiated transversality-of-disambiguated-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human-subpotencies ontological-performance as to the selective-and-deselective determination of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, and so over the purported inherent human-subpotency/mortal perspective pre-eminence over the sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. Thus more than just about ‘prospective succession’ as to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (beyond just their mere secondnaturing reproducibility aestheticisation—and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology), prospective deprocrypticism protensive–self-consciousness is more critically bechanced as to an originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> projection of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation beyond mere reproducibility. Prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as such is more profoundly the abstractive conceptualisation (beyond the reproducibility constraining upon human limited-mentation-capacity implications) as to ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation/ontological-foreordination of human-subpotency underlying dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension projection reflexivity in ecstatic-existence’. Ultimately, the very conception of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) underlying metaphoricity-of-aestheticisation—as-of-‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-holistic-instigation,-

process,-and-outcome-of-reoriginariness-of-aestheticisation'-in-preserving-notional-
 contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-by-the-given-redefining-prospective-epistemic-digression-
 implications-as-to-ontological-contiguity is tied to human ontological-performance; as to the
 possibility for 'prospectively recovering notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> of aestheticisation-and-aestheticisation-
 towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology' faced with the 'saturation of ontological-
 performance' at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the relative-ontological-incompleteness
 (inducing its notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of aestheticisation-and-aestheticisation-towards-
 ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-
 renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness; even as any
 specific human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (as of its social-stake-
 contention-or-confliction) is 'susceptible to prospective desublimation/gimmickiness as to
 taxingness-of-originariness', such that in many ways our present mental state of positivism-
 procrypticism historicity-tracing-imbued-hyperrealisation (and so with regards to human
 living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-
 function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-
 depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)
 obviate human appreciation and cultivation of its prospective consciousness sublimation as of
 the prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of
 deprocrypticism. Metaphoricity-of-aestheticisation—as-of-‘<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness-holistic-instigation,-process,-and-outcome-of-reoriginariness-of-aestheticisation’-
 in-preserving-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-by-the-given-redefining-prospective-

epistemic-digression-implications-as-to-ontological-contiguity very much explains the diversification as to human-subpotency potential for social formation, modes-of-living, language-as-of-dialogical-equivalence, cultural practices, etc., as ‘manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this regards and more fundamentally (and as it is reflected in the aestheticisation-and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology as meaningfulness-and-teleology of human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), historicity-tracing-imbued-hyperrealisation is aestheticised (as from human mental-aestheticisation—architectonically-consigning-aestheticised-perceptibility-and-disposition) more as of beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination-as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising as from human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of ontological-performance (in contrast to the edginess/incisiveness/astuteness of bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination-as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising, as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of ontological-performance as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness). Insightfully, ecstatic-existence (existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) is not beholden to human reference-of-thought-and-reference-of-thought-devolving-meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to human mental-aestheticisation—architectonically-consigning-aestheticised-

perceptibility-and-disposition as of human-subpotency beholdening-becoming—distortive-
 originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising.
 While it is human mental-aestheticisation—architectonically-consigning—aestheticised-
 perceptibility-and-disposition that underlies ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-
 dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics edginess/incisiveness/astuteness of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing for mental-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-
 teleology’ as to dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and
 dementing—qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and then their mutually-
 reinfusing-attributive-possibilities,-for-’-<formative>epistemic-totalising-
 pseudoconflation/conflation-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity’-as-to-correspondingly-
 ensuing—desublimating-or-sublimating-mental-aestheticisation-representation (with regards
 to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-
 frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’), explaining the
 dramatically ‘differing and extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-
 historically-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-
 institutional-manifestations of human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-
 institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring’
 out of the very same process of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
 stranding/attributive-dialectics edginess/incisiveness/astuteness of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing for mental-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-
 teleology’; the ontological-pertinence (as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) of human mental-aestheticisation—

architectonically-consigning—aestheticised-perceptibility-and-disposition rather abstractly lies in notionally-skewing towards bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising (as from any priorly given ‘reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation reference-point of beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising’), such that prospective deprocrypticism mental-aestheticisation as predicated upon its dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation is rather skewed towards bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising (beyond reproducibility-of-aestheticisation) as of increasingly unbecoming to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation (spontaneity-of-aestheticisation). Actually, all prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising with respect to their ontological-performance are priorly of bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising with regards to initially spontaneous ecstatic-existence epistemic-digression implications (as despite its implied taxingness-of-aestheticisation such an abstract perspective of bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising is the full-depth of the potential to aesthetically reflect the implications of the full-potency of ecstatic-existence). The historicity-tracing—imbued-hyperrealisation of intellectual muddlement as of

institutional-being-and-craft in our positivism–procrypticism age is one ‘that in many ways implies an abandonment of even the reality of prior human thoughtfulness that led to its present as its present is construed as of decisively absolutised capacity of thought’, thus falsely rendering/construing of human capacity in its present ‘the exceptional capacity of excogitation’ unwary of its own ontological-impertinence as to the need to projectively integrate the structuring/paradigming relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness implications of excogitation in its own present and the prospective projection as reflected herein with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process conception. This occlusiveness of thought then goes on to ride-the-wave/exploit-without-corresponding-sublimation-as-to-existence-potency-implications of a lopsided scientific and technological sublimation as it falsely ‘usurps the latter’s speakership as of a science ideology elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ even as notable natural scientists as to their candid knowledge-reification intuitions put in question such a naïve science ideology hardly recognising the so-implied commonality of epistemic and methodological applications reflected by the naïve institutional-appendage of gatekeeping scientism such a naïve intellectual muddlement projects as truly science and knowledge; and so, as its disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> and desublimation/gimmickiness is poorly inclined as to its blurriness to be critically exposed to the validative/invalidative sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (as it hardly recognises the epistemic pre-eminence of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and the consequential relative-ontological-completeness implications), as its advancing of authority here is rather

more seminal than the requisite confident knowledge-reification and elucidation of true thought for justifying its deferential-formalisation-transference beyond its mere institutional pre-eminence, and ‘an alien exercise of supposed intellectualism’ that fails to truly engage with critiques as it is surreptitiously involved in extra-intellectualism rather than reify and argue/prove/disprove speaking of a political development that can only undermine true human knowledge-reification potential as all such posturing end up assuming a corresponding social-vestedness/normativity role incapable of the requisite mental adventure for human consciousness sublimation as it is hardly bothered by the state-of-affairs of intellectual impotency it projects in the face of the conceptual and practical challenges of the social it construes as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable (explaining in many ways such an intellectual muddlement supposed conception of the end of history that fails to account for the fact that the ‘end of any human minds’ is not the end of the ecstatic-existence possibilities of human consciousness sublimation as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as so effectively pointed out by Baudrillard), and as eventually the tool of the sophist is wielded as to a supposedly intellectual approach that increasingly overlooks true knowledge-reification work rather turning to the surreptitious eliciting of the <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as it hardly portrays the requisite <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as of human self-

surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-
 protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, in a stance that is oblivious to the recurrent need for
 metaphoricity-of-aestheticisation—as-of-‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-holistic-instigation,-
 process,-and-outcome-of-reoriginariness-of-aestheticisation’-in-preserving-notional-
 contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-by-the-given-redefining-prospective-epistemic-digression-
 implications-as-to-ontological-contiguity underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process with regards to the fact that as of ‘their holistic
 instigating/process/outcome conception’ defining/critical notions like democracy,
 independent press, human sovereignty, social emancipation, etc. are increasingly losing their
 sparkle in want for their prospective <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness over the
 <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
 drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives now increasingly inducing sovereign
 disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession. But then the requisite human
 intellection sublimation from our positivism–procrypticism historicity-tracing–imbued-
 hyperrealisation (as from prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence deprocrypticism
 perspective) is reflected in the fact that the true prospect of the deprocrypticism
 imaginary/ideality as prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-
 tracing will effectively have to be as of a variedly sublimating-humanity that humankind
 could generate cross-generationally by its <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of
 human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-
 potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ towards its potentiative-attainment of
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so construed as of
 ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-
 consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-
 reasoning’; as we can fathom that no singular minds in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
 could metaphoricitically generate the comprehensive imaginary/ideality for the human
 possibility of base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, and likewise for prospective
 universalisation—non-positivism-medievalism, likewise for prospective positivism—
 procrypticism, and likewise for prospective deprocrypticism. Dimensionality can thus be
 construed as the more salient/critical/determining factor for the ontological-contiguity—of-
 the-human-institutionalisation-process historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
 aesthetic-tracing of aestheticisation—and-aestheteticisation-towards-ontology (as of human
 self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-
 protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), as so
 reflected contrastively with the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness—equalisation and the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—

<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation; and so as this profound disambiguative elucidation of dimensionality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process up to the prospective consciousness of deprocrypticism (as to our human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation) is thus bound to induce a more profound consciousness implied as of the deprocrypticism protensive—self-consciousness for overcoming the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to a much more profound deprocrypticism imaginary/ideality projection (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’). This is very much in line with the idea that every registry-worldview/dimension certainly has a conceptualisation of the notion of progress but such a conceptualisation is naively grounded on its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (as it engages in the complexification of meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of its very same apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument it construes/reproduces as absolute) and fails to appreciate that it is rather by putting in question its edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of the

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism that it then aligns to existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness; and so because the initiation by human
 limited-mentation-capacity of the edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to reflect
 ecstatic-existence is of limited ontological-performance such that inherently the human
 should be able to anticipate the need for its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 <formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of re-
 apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-
 measuringinstrumenting so-explaining the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation, as if the human had absolute-mentation-capacity as
 falsely implied by presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness inclinations the very
 first humans will not apriorise/axiomatise/reference meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
 recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation but will directly attain prospective
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In this regards, the
 dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and the
 dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation are
 intimately related respectively to ontological-good-faith/authenticity (enabling the possibility
 of human transcendence-and-sublimity) and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (assuming a
 desublimation/gimmickiness as to its perceived presencing social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction), and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-
 as-of-existential-unthought. Prospective deprocrypticism thus is ‘a projection beyond just

about a deterministic edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, but a
fundamental grasp of the underlying dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation and the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation implications in reflecting the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (for prospective critical/decisive
skewing towards dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation); as enabling ‘organic attainment’ of deprocrypticism/preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (rather than a ‘mechanical conception’ which will
unbeknownst still be subject to the same dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation, rather as to a mere and further complexification of
our very same positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). This is
critical to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness so-implied as of the
sublimation possibilities enabled by the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation over the desublimation/gimmickiness of the
dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation.

Interestingly, human rememoration/historical-recording is highly skewed towards the
rememorising/recording of ‘transvaluative sublimating-outcomes-of-institutionalisation’
while overlooking the underlying ‘recurrent mental-orientations involved contendingly’ in

producing the ‘transvaluative sublimating-outcomes-of-institutionalisation’. ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity-over-deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, as the driver of the human-subpotency potentiating existential becoming manifestation of sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (with regards to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness); inherently implies that at any given registry-worldview/dimension, its ‘transvaluative sublimating-outcomes-of-institutionalisation’ tend to be construed as instigated as of the prior underlying ‘disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity mental-orientation’ inducing the institutionalisation while ultimately ignoring/blanking-out the prior ‘disseminative—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity mental-orientation’. The consequence of ignoring/blanking-out the prior ‘disseminative—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity mental-orientation’ is that with regards to prospective transcendental-enabling/sublimating sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring, the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation reflected in the ‘disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-

faith/authenticity mental-orientation' is falsely implied as the all-encompassing social disposition (thus wrongly reflecting only an intemporal-disposition rather than the reality of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions) while the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation reflected in the 'disseminative—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity mental-orientation' is unmemorated/unrecorded-and-unaccounted resulting in the prospectively induced 'lacking-in-transvaluation relation with the sublimating-outcomes-of-institutionalisation' (as to the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives), such that human ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity (as to its lack of prospective <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness) is again prospectively manifestable (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) with respect to prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint (thus speaking of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor). Basically, the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation reflected in the 'disseminative—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity mental-orientation' is more than just a question of ad-hocness and speaks to the recurrence in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive

registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds implied notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema (as
 rather failing to attain prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>), in reflecting prospective ontological-
 contiguity: as to imply that ‘the lack-of-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation’ = ‘the lack-of-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of base-
 institutionalisation-ununiversalisation’ = ‘the lack-of-<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 of universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism’ = ‘the lack-of-<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 of positivism-procrypticism’; so-construed as ‘the lack-of-<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 of notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought dimensionality’.
 The bigger point in contrasting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 processs’s dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation (as of
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-
 preempting-of-existential-unthought, as reflecting prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-
 contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>) and dimensionality-
 of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation (in
 existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic
 perspective, as it rather reflects prospective notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-

<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>) with regards to upholding/failing
 ontological-contiguity (as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness), is effectively to reflect the idea that there is a more fundamental
 dimensionality issue involved in all human social-stake-contention-or-confliction in
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (and
 particularly as it bears upon prospective deprocrypticism as the ultimate
 structural/paradigmatic issue with regards to addressing prospective human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint). This
 dimensionality issue in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process can be reflected in the recurrent variance of ‘lack-of-
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness and <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’; as implied
 contrastively say with the-sophists/medieval-scholastics lack-of- <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 and Socratic philosophers/budding-positivists <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as reflected say in an
 ordinary non-universalising/non-positivism—medievalism world inclined to construe of its
 ‘normality’ (notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-
 thinking-qualia-schema>) as given even in the face of its prospectively implied ‘abnormality’
 (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-
 schema>) from the projected universalising-idealisation/rational-empiricism implications.
 This reality is equally applicable to our state of positivism—procrypticism as to a
 disinclination to perceive its prospectively implied ‘abnormality’ (notional-

discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> as projected from prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In many ways, as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, this paradox is inevitable as the very state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation do not have the directly operant means as to its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism to project of the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, just as the latter with prospective universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism, likewise the latter with prospective positivism-procrypticism, and likewise our positivism-procrypticism with prospective deprocrypticism. This emphasis is made rather to point to the <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag underlying the supposed projection of intellection on the basis of the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation (in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective, as it rather reflects prospective notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>); as reflected in the fact that the supposed intellection of the non-universalising sophists, the medieval-scholastics and our present intellectual muddlement ends up in gimmickiness-of-thought (poorly-constrained or unconstrained to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) skewing towards an exercise of eliciting human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> with

respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction rather than true knowledge-reification and human emancipating conception that faces prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint with the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). In this regards, this author construes such gimmicky pretences of intellection in our present day rather ‘intimating of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective’ with regards to otherwise structural/paradigmatic human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint issues (requiring the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought), which articulation and constructive addressing should actually be the very conceptualisation of intellection. In this regards, we can appreciate that the Socratic philosophers and budding-positivists actually addressed and resolved the human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of their respective times as of sublimating intellectualism (as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought, involving a sense of intellectual-and-moral sacrifice as to the pre-eminence of ecstatic-existence implications as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-

of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness) undermining their respective gimmickiness-of-thought (in
 existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic
 perspective) associated with sophists and medieval-scholastics then respectively defining the
 ‘thought/intellectual Establishment’, and that the possibility for such sublimating
 intellectualism as to its crude and unsavoury social discomfort implications is hardly a
 question of eliciting human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
 implications> as of moral and intellectual
 disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession. In the bigger scheme of things the
 dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation warrants
 that the prospective projection of any human meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 transcendental-enabling/sublimating should be articulated in such a way as to imply that all
 human meaningfulness-and-teleology should assume the same disposition as to the possibility
 of enabling the sublimation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process; such that ‘supposed reifying’ meaningfulness-and-teleology in
 existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic
 perspective effectively comes out as epistemically-decadent and in ontological-bad-
 faith/inauthenticity, as to the fact that in the face of human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint, if no
 human minds projected not of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought
 (eliciting the possibility for the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process) but rather existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought (undermining the

possibility for the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, in base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, in universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively in our positivism—procrysticism, then the structural/paradigmatic possibilities in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process wouldn't be possible. Such meaningfulness-and-teleology in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective as to the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation rather speaks of a parasitising conception of intellection that warrants that by some miracle the possibility of human sublimation induced as of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension should arise, for that sublimation to be then parasitised with gimmickiness-of-thought as to social-stake-contention-or-confliction eliciting of human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>. In many ways, this dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation explains a poor inclination-or-capacity to effectively interpret the projected meaningfulness-and-teleology of many a past thinker as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness institutional and social-vestedness/normativity <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that naively think that being at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process inherently grants epistemic-profundity (not factoring that this is not necessarily the case with

overall existence beholden frameworks which can actually suffer intellectual regression) unlike the case with epiphenomena as in the science domains (as providing the prolongation for human interpretation capacity with respect to epiphenomenal manifestations outside ordinary existential sublimation manifestations). In this regards, we can appreciate that the strong predictive constraining in many a natural science domain (as strongly constrained to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) induces the manifestation of sublimating thought as from induced requisite cogency of knowledge-reification (as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought) unlike is the case in many a blurry domain highly subjected to imprimatur discretion/whim-of-thought as to poor deferential-formalisation-transference justification as often in the social not the least bothered about the overall cogency of projected knowledge-reification (thus rather tending towards existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective). We can consider in this regards how authority actually serves its true deferential-formalisation-transference role quickly gives to prospective possibilities of sublimating knowledge-reification wherein for instance in the physics domain at the beginning of the 20th century the eminent physicists from say the cohorts of the Poincarés, the Einsteins, the Bohrs, the Feynmans, etc. successively passing on the baton (as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness), as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought; whereas in many a blurry domain-of-study, disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> tend to be the order of the day often assuming a quasi-political strategic orientation as to gimmickiness-of-thought as of

existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought postures (poorly appreciating the profound knowledge-reification sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) as to the fact that the human mortal whim/discretion-of-thought projected as aura-and-imprimatur comes to be enshrined as being bigger than ecstatic-existence structural/paradigmatic implications. In many ways (unlike is the case with the natural sciences directly constrained to ecstatic-existence predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) induced constraining reifying-and-empowering reflexivity undermining human-subpotency discretion/whim-of-thought), many a blurry domain-of-study tend to be inclined to conceptualise supposed knowledge-reification as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity without the defining ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ as to the lack or poor predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) induced constraining reifying-and-empowering reflexivity leading to a social-vestedness/normativity reflex rather than ontological elucidation reflex. Such an approach is often projected contradictorily as methodologically emulating the natural sciences on the one hand but on the other hand implying that the knowledge-reification implications for the social are different as to the supposedly non-metaphysical (as non-ontological) nature of the social and cultural; failing to grasp/intuit that there can’t be any such thing as non-ontological as ‘all that there is’ is ontological, as existence is effectively all that there is and it is rather a question of the specific human-subpotency as to overall

panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation to epistemically come to terms with the absolute a priori that is existence as the ontological as to the overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness. Furthermore, the ‘social and cultural is rather priorly constrained to the ontological’ with regards to the fact that ‘scientific and technical capabilities and their implicated socio-organisational and value-referencing construct’ as to their inherent human reifying and empowering reflexivity implications, speaking of the ontological, are not necessarily ontologically-tied-to and/or ontologically-exclusive-of any social and cultural framework or peoples (in the sense that scientific and technical phenomena like electricity, machines, modern medicine, etc., their enabling social utilities/utilisations, and the value/moral outlook of the underlying positivism/rational-empiricism conceptualisations like provision of modern public services, associated freedoms, prospective knowledge-reification and empowering implications, etc. are not strictly meant for given specific social and cultural frameworks, and are rather amenable to all human social and cultural frameworks with regards to relative-ontological-completeness implications as to ‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’); as the ontological inherently permeates all social and cultural frameworks so-reflected as of their underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment thus inducing the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity when any of its given meaningfulness-and-teleology is discovered/shown not to be ontologically veridical leading to its effective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Such that all human social or cultural frameworks are construable as of relative-ontological-completeness implications as to ‘enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’; and

the idea of such relative-ontological-completeness implications is not about the subjugation of the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness but quite the contrary as the state of relative-ontological-completeness (as to its true human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) implies an ‘emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ in relation to ‘the other’ that is in the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness. Interpreting the historical failures associated with colonising or slaving or otherwise-exploitative-or-exterminating societies (as in the specific case of positivism/rational-empiricism technical and scientific development it inevitably implied the coming-together/encountering/meeting of societies worldwide), to then imply such a notion of relative-ontological-completeness implications is irrelevant is rather a nuancing error that fails to assess/evaluate that the more critical issue had to do with ‘the appropriate emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ as effectively and paradoxically such a lack of nuancing can then lead to the interpretation that such historical failures should equally be the unavoidable expectation prospectively in analogous circumstances of socio-cultural disparity of societies, rather than interpreted to mean the prospective need for the requisite human knowledge-reifying and empowering reflexivity of appropriate human emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme in the relationship between the state of relative-ontological-completeness and the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness. Such a wrong interpretation arises as to lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-

residuality/spirit-drivenness (reflecting mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation) that fails to make a nuance between on the one hand historicity-tracing implications as to the ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social—value-construction presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism in <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ explaining the historical failures and on the other hand historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing implications as to ‘existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness given difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as to enlightening human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation reflected <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ that speaks to the ontologically-veridical and appropriate human emancipating attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme. Such a wrong interpretation actually falls back into prospectively disenfranchising and undermining the emancipation of the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness prospectively as to its human inevitability stance poorly cognisant of the implications of the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation (underlying human construction-of-the-Self). Incidentally, the ‘supposedly positivism/rational-empiricism formulaic/mechanical knowledge contenders’ as of the economic-opportunism-and-then-enculturation of their

nefarious practices, were very much countervailing the practice and trend within their own societies of origin undergoing-positivism/rational-empiricism-transformation and the underlying dual-language/split-mentality unscrupulousness was given away as of the ‘out-of-sight demeanour’ in their main societies pointing to historicity-tracing distortive-originariness/distortive-origination, rather than being fully assumed as marking positivism/rational-empiricism progress implied historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing originariness. Besides such an approach (that claims to mirror the sciences while at the same time claiming to be non-ontological as to non-metaphysical) fails to grasp that natural sciences are actually in ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ and so as of the ‘internally implicated epistemic reflection of natural sciences sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ in the sense that ‘scientists never-and-have-never really started scientific knowledge-reification apriorisingly/axiomatisingly/referencingly—as-from-scratch/as-from-zero-<wrongly-implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal>-implications-of-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-reflecting-historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes’ but rather the inherent ‘education of scientists as from basic notions while making reference to past scientists momentous contributions up to the state-of-the-art outcomes’ is the equivalent of ‘natural sciences own sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-

intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting construct’ (as of past, present and future projections of scientific sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing), and so as overall and defining ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’. It is critical to grasp here that this ‘internally implicated epistemic reflection of natural sciences sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ (as overall and defining ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’) as to the ultimate attainment of natural sciences state-of-the-art outcomes, is actually construable as of: ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity-over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’; as reflecting successive sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing contributions of cohorts of scientists (not to be contemplated/construed as to a relic/artifactual traditional conception of history as of historicity-tracing ontologically-impertinent implications of reoriginariness distorting) which are ‘historially alive/living’ (as of the historicity/ontological-

eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing selectivity/deselectivity of human posited underdetermined natural sciences constructs, conceptualisations and theories as to existence constrained transcendence/sublimation as knowledge-reification in a foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ dynamics leading to the natural sciences state-of-the-art outcomes while excluding disparateness-of-conceptualisation-⟨unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity⟩) reflected as part and parcel of the present state-of-the-art elucidative notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-⟨mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema⟩ and the prospective state-of-the-art elucidative notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-⟨mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema⟩, as to ‘-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ in elucidating ontological-contiguity-⟨as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective⟩’. This insight (as of present state-of-the-art elucidative notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-⟨mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema⟩ and the prospective state-of-the-art elucidative notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-⟨mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema⟩ as to ‘-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ in elucidating ontological-contiguity-⟨as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective⟩’) is equally pertinent with respect to the ontological-veracity of the social but for the confusion induced by its blurriness (unlike in the natural sciences where the constraint of predicative-effectivity—sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩ ‘naturally/intuitively’ guides the scientist in its directly operational purpose without overly needing to epistemically

explicit the underlying successive projections of its past, present and prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as so-required in the social domain, and as herein explicated with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process elucidative notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> successive registry-worldviews/dimensions difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness implications, and as reflected with the specific <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness insights about universalising-idealisation thinkers and budding-positivists). The idea of ‘logically’ conceptualising the social apriorisingly/axiomatisingly/referencingly—as-from-scratch/as-from-zero-<wrongly-implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-> <formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)-implications-of-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-reflecting-historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes (and as the social is permeated with historicity-tracing as to the distorting epistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) makes the critical flaw of ignoring that such ‘a reference of conceptualisation/conception’ manifests its very own ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing defect of ontological-performance’ as to its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, that then fails to reflect the true social sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (as overall and defining ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-

down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} in elucidating ontological-
 contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>'), especially as it turns a blind eye to its more
 profound human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. Thus failing to allow existence—as-the-
 absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation and true transcendental signifier (going by the
 sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness) to epistemically enlighten the social sublimation process (as it is
 existence that enables without ever giving any reasons as existence is the effective reason and
 the human that epistemically adjust to it for sublimation) as to the social
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing transcendental-
 enabling/sublimation insights of prior, present and prospective ‘-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-
 down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} in elucidating ontological-
 contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’, so-construable as of: ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-
 over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-
 subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the
 disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity-over—deselectivity-of-
 ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’. Actually human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-
 or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘precedes-and-defines thought’ and so as prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning (as to originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) inducing secondnature and subsequent reasoning-from-results/afterthought (as to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation), with the latter being projected naively as absolute (in its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of conceptualisation as of its human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) when ‘logically’ conceptualising the social apriorisingly/axiomatisingly/referencingly—as-from-scratch/as-from-zero-<wrongly-
implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩-implications-of-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes (and as the social is permeated with historicity-tracing as to the distorting epistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness). Such a critical epistemic and true knowledge-reification implications flaw arises because of the failure in grasping the ‘projective implications’ of human limited-mentation-capacity (as to ‘human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩) when ‘logically’ conceptualising the social apriorisingly/axiomatisingly/referencingly—as-from-scratch/as-from-zero-<wrongly-
implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩-implications-of-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-reflecting-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-

directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes (and as the social is permeated with historicity-tracing as to the distorting epistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness); as human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ (reflected in its re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting of conceptualisation as to the dimensionality-of-sublimating—⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation) is what is projectively warranted to enable present and prospective state-of-the-art elucidative notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-⟨mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema⟩, going by the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing transcendental-enabling/sublimation insights of prior, present and prospective ‘-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ in elucidating ontological-contiguity-⟨as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective⟩’. This critical epistemic and true knowledge-reification implications flaw (as when ‘logically’ conceptualising the social apriorisingly/axiomatisingly/referencingly—as-from-scratch/as-from-zero-⟨wrongly-implying-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩-implications-of-re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting-as-so-reflecting-historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing>,-as-if-thereby-directly-producing-the-absolute-state-of-the-art-outcomes), is effectively a reflection of the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-

residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to its skewness towards hardly-
 adaptable/inflexible reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation frameworks of historicity-tracing reflected with ‘the
 muddling/pedantising of methods/methodologies/approaches as to prior-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>
 in a poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity
 relation to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—
 renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as to the requisite
 prospectively-profound-and-recreative insight implications about prospective appropriateness
 of methods/methodologies/approaches with regards to profound knowledge-reification
 beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—
 self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’. Insightfully, it is
 actually ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,—profound-and-creative
 acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
 conceptualisation’ (as to implied ‘conceptualising implications about existential-reality’ in
 reflecting the ‘relevant-level human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ to be surpassed/superseded/overcome for
 prospective transcendence-and-sublimity) that in-so-doing articulates the appropriate ‘-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—
 entailment-<narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> in
 elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ that precedes-and-
 defines the pertinence of ‘methods/methodologies/approaches as to reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. This

inevitably means that a naïve and traditional conception of methods/methodologies/approaches as ‘mere deterministic alibis of profoundness of studies’ is uncalled for as to the fact that ‘this doesn’t inherently commits existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ (when failing to truly reflect the requisite ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’), such that it is the precedence of the ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity drivenness of contemplation/analysis’ of the researcher/investigator that is vital as to cultivating ‘an internalised reappropriating of the existential-contextualising-contiguity implications of methods/methodologies/approaches as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’. The requisite ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’ reflect the ontological-veracity that ‘the human knowledge-reification project’ is rather a ‘commitment to origination/reorigination underlying originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)’ so-implied by its subjection to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness inducing of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as reflecting the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation (as the dialectical-thinking contiguity in reflecting the ontological-

contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process); and so well beyond mere methods/methodologies/approaches as to ‘the historicity-tracing of the merely affixed methods/methodologies/approaches of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in distorted-originariness/distorted-origination’ as reflecting the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation, explaining why the successive institutionalisations occur ‘by subverting their prior registry-worldview/dimension perceived methods/methodologies/approaches for prospective knowledge-reification’. The fact is ‘what is effectively lost-and-abandoned in practices of science ideology supposedly based on scientific methods/methodologies/approaches’ is the fundamental reality that such methods/methodologies/approaches came-about/were-introduced/were-invented in a tight-and-entwined relationship of prior ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ as to predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) and genuine-and-profound knowledge-reification; with science ideology rather becoming an enterprise that rides-the-wave/exploits-without-corresponding-sublimation-as-to-existence-potency-implications of achieved science prestige so effectively constrained, to then imply the ‘blinded epistemic-veracity of mere supposedly scientific methods/methodologies/approaches with little-or-poor heeding to the implications of the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-

epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>' (manifested as of corresponding-gimmickiness/desublimation-inducing,-shallow-and-uncreative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation that fails to reflect the 'relevant-level human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint' to be surpassed/superseded/overcome for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity as it gives too much a place to discretion/whim-of-thought and disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> and as it fails to represent ontological-contiguity implications of conceptualisation)'; and so with 'the muddling/pedantising of methods/methodologies/approaches as to prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> in a poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity relation to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as to the requisite prospectively-profound-and-recreative insight implications about prospective appropriateness of methods/methodologies/approaches with regards to profound knowledge-reification beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag'. The latter is so-criticised as to the fact that methods/methodologies/approaches, as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, are actually the mechanical-knowledge outcrop of the 'successive reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning prospective idiosyncratic-framing of existential-reality as to the organic-knowledge of the Socrates, Platos, Aristotles, Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes, Darwins, Rousseaus, etc. as to their induced prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—

dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>' (which never existed before as reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation), with regards to enabling 'human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation' (as to implied 'conceptualising implications about existential-reality' in reflecting the 'relevant-level human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint' to be surpassed/superseded/overcome for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity); speaking to the fact that ontological-good-faith/authenticity about existential-reality precedes-and-define the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity beyond just mere muddling/pedantising of methods/methodologies/approaches as to prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> in a poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity relation to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as to the requisite prospectively-profound-and-recreative insight implications about prospective appropriateness of methods/methodologies/approaches with regards to existential-contextualisation-contiguity. Critically 'human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation' (which is actually constrained to '-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-

epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>'), precedes-and-defines the pertinence of 'methods/methodologies/approaches as to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation'; and so as to the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) with regards to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. More than just about abstract knowledge-reification the implications of science ideology are ultimately social and institutional as to the implications of human emancipation; and so in the sense that contrary to what is generally thought, science itself as for-human-studies is the very first-level of social science as of the epistemic implications it projects upon society and social meaningfulness-and-teleology, and critically so because in reality budding-positivists were actually the very first modern social scientists in the sense that their posturing wasn't critically about the 'technicalities of the budding natural science they advanced' like a heliocentric world or rational-empiricism driven natural science basis of analysis (as to satisfy their mere natural science curiosity given that in many ways some of the notions were previously advanced in different forms), but they were rather critically engaged in a social posturing to epistemically reconstrue the society and social meaningfulness-and-teleology in those scientific terms and the future elaboration and development of the natural sciences could only be rendered possible with an open society responsive to such budding scientific meaning, and it was this social posturing which was the true source of their troubles and persecution. In fact, such ridiculous historical interpretations seeming to criticise budding positivists like Galileo for wrongly making the case for a heliocentric world for instance are paradoxically based on condemning the latter and other budding positivists for having a poor experimental framework as of ontologically-deficient presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness analyses that fail to factor in that the very

notion of ‘positivistic science experimental framework historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ was developed and enculturated/constructed as
 scientific practices by these budding positivists with their medieval societies previously
 knowing nothing of such as to their scholastic pedantry (as to the mere disinclination and
 incuriosity to even look through a telescope and draw contemplative consequences); and such
 a criticism on the basis of the subsequently developed and more precise modern day science
 experimental framework speaks of the characteristic nature of a supposed knowledge-
 reification exercise that doesn’t factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 {formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} as of
 relative-ontological-incompleteness to relative-ontological-completeness implications as to
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity. Thus in many ways ‘the possibility for science to prospectively arise’
 involved its very own dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension that projected of an underlying ‘scientific—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism enculturated/constructed social-
 pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity-sublimation-{as-to-underlying-ontological-
 commitment)’ at the very least (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-
 overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-
 to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human
 temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) in
 originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation; speaking to the requisite ‘human
 corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative

acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
conceptualisation’ about science (as to implied ‘conceptualising implications about
existential-reality’ in reflecting the ‘relevant-level human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ to be
surpassed/superseded/overcome for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity) in defining its
very own science prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-
<as-superseding-logical-basis> (as so-reflected along the entire historicity/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of science and knowledge-reification in rather
adapting to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—
renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness), and so much
more than just an exercise of mere methods/methodologies/approaches reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of prior-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>.

Thus it is such an ideological conception of science and knowledge-reification on the latter
basis (as of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-
superseded-logical-basis>) that ultimately translates into the ‘methodological, epistemic,
institutional and social sagging of human knowledge-reification’ reflected abstractly in crises
of methodology, epistemicity and scholarship as well as derived human institutional and
social crises as to underlying meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure; and critically so
with regards to our own positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
relevant-level of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor that has to be addressed. In another respect, given the
requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-

reification/contemplative-distension involved in true human consciousness sublimation, the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation warrants that the conception of veridical human knowledge and emancipation is not beholden on the mere eliciting of a basic positive-opportunism, as ‘the very abstract value-reference commitment for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’ that brings about sublimation needs to be construed as to imply ‘it is the underlying organic framing of the induced sublimation’, and so in order to avoid ‘sublimation value-reference usurpation’ wherein the temporal induced positive-opportunism elicits parallel competing meaningfulness-and-teleology (in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human-subpotency epistemic perspective of the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation) and come to foreclose/undermine the instigative intemporal/longness dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension inducing sublimation as of the secondnaturing institutionalisation exercise. In many ways the underpinning—suprasocial-construct itself as to ‘a rather acerbic and direct positive-opportunism inclination’, while of abstractive apprehension of sublimation possibilities, tend to poorly appreciate the underlying and implied dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and is functionally-speaking rather positive-opportunism beholden as to historicity-tracing implications; as in reality the fact is any underpinning—suprasocial-construct in its projection of social-stake-contention-or-confliction is hardly enamoured with the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-

drivenness—equalisation as of the instigative disposition for prospective transcendental-enabling/sublimation possibilities in the sense that even the underpinning—suprasocial-construct framework of say enlightenment despots or philosophising emperors are not truly instigative of budding-positivism or universalising-idealisation thought respectively, nor is our modern day presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness politically clouded historicity-tracing underpinning—suprasocial-construct environment the contemplative beholder of the panacea for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity potential; as so reflected in their ever always hardly-adaptable/inflexible reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation frameworks of historicity-tracing. This in many ways explains why ultimate responsibility lies with the abstract individual as to the requisite human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>).

Ultimately, the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension construed as the nascent prospect for overcoming the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation effectively projects the possibility of boundless human aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology well beyond our present contemplation of what is implied by meaningfulness-and-teleology, as in many ways the

reality of our past and present aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology as
 meaningfulness-and-teleology has ‘paradoxically hugely been burdened with desublimating
 historicity-tracing induced preemptive anticipation/anxiety about the human’ rather than the
 summoning of the full possibilities of the human; as by a soothing mental-reflex just as with
 all registry-worldviews/dimensions we tend to take comfort in our ‘beholdening-becoming—
 distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-
 aestheticising as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ rather than contemplate about prospective possibilities of
 ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising as of
 originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’. Interestingly, in this regards in
 many ways the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process possibility
 is hardly just about human ‘mere technical capacity potential’ but it is rather more critically a
 psychological issue as of desublimating historicity-tracing psychological entrapment
 implications that limit/stifle the human imaginary/ideality as to its <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 capacity ‘to project in disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—
 over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ (as to the underlying human
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of
 human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-
 potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity). It is important to grasp here that such a
 construal of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 highlighting the prospective implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process as of the specific human-subpotency as to overall

panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation (as to underlying human construction-of-the-Self) is not ‘an ideological advocacy’, no more than say the universalising-idealisation philosophers nor the budding-positivists were involved in any ‘ideological advocacy’, but rather just as modern day science such a conception speaks to ‘the inherent ontological implications as to human knowledge-reification and corresponding empowering reflexivity’ (as implied in the differentiation between postmodern ontological-reconstituting/deconstruction/genealogy that exposes itself and is phronetically/practically encrusted/embedded/inlayed with inherent existence as to its underlying ontological claim sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidity, and say a Hegelian dialectics and its derived-dialectics like Marxism wherein aspiration/ideology takes-a-leap-above/parts-with and is not utterly submitted to inherent existence ontological implications). Such a deprocrysticism conceptualisation of ‘boundless human aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ speaks in itself of the ‘potentative-paradox of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’ (as the underlying potentative-paradox of human paradoxes). Critically, at any given moment, potentiatively humankind is ever always inclined-and-amenable to face up to certain aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint while rather disinclined with respect to other aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint; and this very much explains the ‘potentative-paradox of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’ (as the underlying potentative-paradox of human paradoxes). It speaks to a metaphoricity potentiation imbued

in humankind defined by ‘human lack-of-capacity/capacity for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension’ as this relates to existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought/nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought. In this regards, human growth (with regards to human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) is ever always about ‘human consciousness tenuous self-surpassing shift in its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing appraisal’. Insightfully, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is a reflection of the fact that any given defining human contemplative moment (given registry-worldview/dimension) is marked by the ‘disseminative ontological selectivity/deselectivity play’ of ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ (reflecting its <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag for <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as to prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction) and ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’ (reflecting its <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology), so-reflected as to ‘human consciousness tenuous self-surpassing shift in its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing appraisal’; and so contrastively as

of human underlying <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness by lack-of-
<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness form-factor, sublimating-thoughtfulness / desublimating-or-
gimmickiness-unthoughtfulness form-factor, historicity-or-ontological-eventfulness-or-
ontological-aesthetic-tracing / historicity-tracing form-factor, prospective-ontological-
projection / social-vestedness-or-normativity form-factor, and ideality / positive-
opportunism-disposition form-factor. This contrast is very much aligned with the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dimensionality-of-sublimating—
<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation and dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation. That said all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of
their defining human contemplative moment arising from their very human limited-
mentation-capacity induced presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (while
effectively contemplative of prospective progress), hardly/poorly project of prospective
emancipation directly on the ontologically-veridical basis of the defining ‘prospectively
conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’ (associated with
its defining prospective transvaluative-rationalising / sublimating-thoughtfulness / historicity-
or-ontological-eventfulness-or-ontological-aesthetic-tracing / prospective-ontological-
projection / ideality as to prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation) but rather directly proceed as of the ‘perceived
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is
supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ (reflecting its threshold of lack-of-

<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness / desublimating-or-gimmickiness-unthoughtfulness / historicity-
 tracing / social-vestedness-or-normativity / positive-opportunism-disposition), but then the
 latter is improvisably/uncontrollably potentiatively-transformed into the former as to the
 former existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity. Thus the reality of
 prospective human emancipation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process rather as of such a ‘human consciousness defensive-
 driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process
 so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-
 commitment’ (as to the potentiative transforming/conversion, on the basis of existentially
 constraining implications of ontological-veracity, of human ‘perceived
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is
 supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ into human ‘prospectively conceptualisable
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is
 disinclined to face up to’) in many ways limits/stifles/undermines/derails human
 contemplative capacity for prospective emancipative implications (as can be so-contemplated
 from prospective notional-deprocrypticism conceptualisation of ‘boundless human
 aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology’); and so critically as to the
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human <formative>epistemic-totalising—
 self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag social-stake-contention-
 or-confliction state inducing human psychological entrapment in want for prospective
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. But then such
 apparently defining limitation to ‘boundless human aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-
 towards-ontology’ when analysed as to the reality of human transformation across the time
 scale in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process

as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and so over the requisite maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. The very forward-facedness of human consciousness as it defines human social-stake-contention-or-confliction is in many ways architectonically determinative and defining (as it projects dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema over dementing–qualia-schema), with regards to the structural/paradigmatic circular recurrence of ‘potentative-paradox of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ (as the underlying potentative-paradox of human paradoxes); as to the ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ (as of the potentative transforming/conversion, on the basis of existentially constraining implications of ontological-veracity, of human ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ into human ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’), and so with regards to the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process induced construction-of-the-Self. Effectively the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process possibility of successive transcendence-and-sublimity is a reflection of the ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ as to its ‘transitorily implied successive notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as from successive

human consciousness forward-facedness postures in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’, but which from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective in ontological-contiguity rather speaks of their successive notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>.

This ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic perspective as to its ontological-contiguity points out that the ontological-veracity of the registry-worldviews/dimensions successive ‘prior secondnatured reasoning-from-results/afterthought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation (as projected notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> reflecting the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation)’ contrasted with the successive ‘prospective firstnatureness reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation (as projected notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> reflecting the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation)’, is actually the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-

dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’). This very much explains transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘prior secondnatured reasoning-from-results/afterthought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation (as projected notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>)’ and ‘prospective firstnatureness reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation (as projected notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema>)’; explaining why knowledge-reification and sublimation as to the prospective registry-worldview/dimension elicited apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism is not necessarily intelligible to the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ordinary contemplation as to its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism, and further explains human consciousness discontinuity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> with each other (assuming paradoxically the form of ‘iterative-looping-narrations though in successive registry-worldviews/dimensions deeper knowledge-reifications where the prior is dementing and the prospective is dialectical-thinking’ with respect to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality). Such a ‘human consciousness defensive-driven/unhinging/unbalancing improvising/uncontrolled potentiative-transforming-process so-constrained existentially on the basis of human supposedly coherent ontological-commitment’ reflects the ‘potentiative-paradox of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’ (as the underlying

potentiative-paradox of human paradoxes) as to the fact that base-institutionalisation is instigated in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation is instigated in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism is instigated in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocrypticism is instigated in our positivism–procrypticism (and in all the above the given ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>’ is overridden with the ‘succeeding institutionalisation prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>’); and so as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics edginess/incisiveness/astuteness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing for mental-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as to dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and dementing–qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and then their mutually-reinfusing-attributive-possibilities,-for-’-<formative>epistemic-totalising-pseudoconflation/conflation-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity’-as-to-correspondingly-ensuing—desublimating-or-sublimating-mental-aestheticisation-representation (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’). Human prospective consciousness protensivity as of prospective deprocrypticism protensive–self-consciousness (with regards to the fundamental ‘human self-consciousness structural/paradigmatic seeding-disposition as to

epistemic/notional shiftiness-of-the-Self/construction-of-the-Self’ instigating of notional-procrypticism <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as-of-the-subsequent-reflection/translation-of-human-consciousness-seeding-disposition-into-‘induced-human-social-construction-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’) is thus critically about human ‘notional-deprocrypticism/deprocrypticism requisitely cultivated originariness in deneuterising exteriorisation-and-re-exteriorisations as prospective originariness-and-reoriginariness’ as to enable human attending-to/dealing-with its ‘prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to’ (associated with its defining prospective transvaluation / sublimating-thoughtfulness / historicity-or-ontological-eventfulness-or-ontological-aesthetic-tracing / prospective-ontological-projection / ideality as to prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation) and so over ‘notional-procrypticism distortive-originariness in neuterising interiorisation-and-re-interiorisations as prior distortive-originariness-and-redistortive-reoriginariness’ in merely drifting to its ‘perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to’ (reflecting its threshold of lack-of-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness / desublimating-or-gimmickiness-unthoughtfulness / historicity-tracing / social-vestedness-or-normativity / positive-opportunism-disposition): thusly construed as ‘human self-consciousness structural/paradigmatic seeding-disposition as to epistemic/notional shiftiness-of-the-Self/construction-of-the-Self’ instigating of prospective notional-deprocrypticism/deprocrypticism furtherance (as human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal))

so-reflected as of ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’ (as to implied ‘conceptualising implications about existential-reality’ in reflecting the ‘relevant-level human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ to be surpassed/superseded/overcome for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity); as of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics edginess/incisiveness/astuteness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing for mental-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as to dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and dementing–qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and then their mutually-reinfusing-attributive-possibilities,-for-’-<formative>epistemic-totalising-pseudoconflation/conflation-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity’-as-to-correspondingly-ensuing—desublimating-or-sublimating-mental-aestheticisation-representation (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’), and so as enabling the deprocrypticism protensive–self-consciousness-seeding-disposition-subsequent-reflection/translation-into-‘deprocrypticism-induced-human-social-construction-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. As a summary reconceptualisation of the possibility for such a deprocrypticism implied boundless human aestheticisation–and–aestheticisation-towards-ontology as to the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-

drivenness—equalisation, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process can be construed as human aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology in
prospective notional-deprocrypticism/deprocrypticism furtherance (as human limited-
mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
sublimating-withdrawal)) so-reflected as of ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-
profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
conceptualisation’ (as to implied ‘conceptualising implications about existential-reality’ in
reflecting the ‘relevant-level human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint’ to be surpassed/superseded/overcome for
prospective transcendence-and-sublimity); as of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics edginess/incisiveness/astuteness of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing for mental-aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-
teleology’ as to dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and
dementing—qualia-schema—mental-aestheticisation-attribution and then their mutually-
reinfusing-attributive-possibilities,-for-’-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising-
pseudoconflation/conflation-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity’-as-to-correspondingly-
ensuing—desublimating-or-sublimating-mental-aestheticisation-representation (with regards
to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-
frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’). This speaks to
human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) enabled by the tandemisation/abstractive-

conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning of both
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation and originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
 (tandemisation/abstractive-conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-
 attuning in the sense that the one notion is already caught up in the other notion in the
 sublimating/desublimating <formative>epistemic-totalising manifestation of aestheticisation—
 and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology just as for instance the notion of length is already
 caught up in the notion of width in the sublimating <formative>epistemic-totalising
 manifestation of a rectangle and so with regards to the fact that human aestheticisation—and—
 aestheticisation-towards-ontology of meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always about
 ‘idealised-typification in epistemic conflatedness sublimation or epistemic
 constitutedness/pseudoconflation desublimation/gimmickiness’ for eliciting
 sublimation/desublimation from the ‘full-potency of existence withheld as from ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projection-perspective’); as to the drivenness of
 originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for reoriginariness/reorigination
 of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness thusly eliciting prospective human aestheticisation—and—
 aestheticisation-towards-ontology transcendence-and-sublimity so-constrained by existence-
 potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. Originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-
 of-aestheticisation effectively reflects ‘human projective-capacity for
 reoriginariness/reorigination in <formative>epistemic-totalising conflation’ while
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation reflects ‘human derivational-disposition’: and so as to originariness-
 parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation driven re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-

referencing/re-intelligibilitysetup/re-measuringinstrumenting for the requisite 'human
 corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative
 acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
 conceptualisation' (as to implied 'conceptualising implications about existential-reality' in
 reflecting the 'relevant-level human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint' to be surpassed/superseded/overcome for
 prospective transcendence-and-sublimity); thus overcoming human presencing—
 absolutising-identitive-constitutedness induced historicity-tracing for prospective
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing with regards to the
 successive construction-of-the-Self, and reflection/translation into human sublimating-over-
 desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-
 cumulation/recomposuring underlying the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. Critically thus the very possibility for human aestheticisation—
 and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology as to the 'conflating <formative>epistemic-totalising
 reoriginariness/reorigination of re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-
 intelligibilitysetup/re-measuringinstrumenting underlying human conceptualisation and
 then the devolving existential-instantiation implications as to
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology'
 (with regards to 'varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-
 restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-
 ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-
 subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor') is fundamentally underlined by human

tandemisation/abstractive-conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning (driving ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics dynamics) as-so eliciting transcendence-and-sublimity or desublimation/gimmickiness; as of the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation. This tandemisation/abstractive-conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning (mental-aestheticising-becoming-manifestation as consciousness) eliciting of desublimation/gimmickiness or transcendence-and-sublimity, is respectively and intimately tied to its implied beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising desublimation/gimmickiness or bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising transcendence-and-sublimity. This speaks to human desublimating-or-sublimating-mental-aestheticisation-representation of the possibility of existence; with the ‘full-potency of existence withheld as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projection-perspective’ as to the ‘epistemic/notional sublimating-capacity-as-of-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing over desublimating-capacity-as-of-historicity-tracing’ induced from human tandemisation/abstractive-conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning (mental-aestheticising-becoming-manifestation as consciousness) driving ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics dynamics. Tandemisation/abstractive-conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning further reflects the fact that <formative>epistemic-totalising conflatedness is associated with human sublimating-capacity-as-of-historiality/ontological-

eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing whereas <formative>epistemic-totalising
 pseudoconflation/constitutedness is associated with human desublimating-capacity-as-of-
 historicity-tracing; as to the structural/paradigmatic implications of dispensing-with-
 immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension
 with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction, epistemically/notionally involving
 respectively ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence bechancing-becoming—
 originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-
 tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising epistemic/notional_projective-perspective’ and
 ‘human-subpotency beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—
 as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising epistemic/notional_projective-
 perspective’. Tandemisation/abstractive-conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-
 aestheticising-attuning as of human sublimating/desublimating reflection of existential
 possibilities as from the ‘full-potency of existence withheld as from ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projection-perspective’ rather underscores ‘a human
 exercise of epistemicity/notionality in circular reoriginariness/reorigination and distorted-
 originariness/distorted-origination reflexivity with its sublimation and desublimation’ so-
 construed as ‘generating meaningfulness-and-teleology and metaphoricity’. Critically, the
 possibility for notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought implied boundless human aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-
 towards-ontology as to the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness-equalisation, effectively requires human tandemisation/abstractive-
 conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-attuning converging towards
 ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-
 to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-

aestheticising epistemic/notional_projective-perspective as of deneuterising exteriorisation-and-re-exteriorisations as prospective originariness-and-reoriginariness' and so over 'human-subpotency beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising epistemic/notional_projective-perspective as of neuterising interiorisation-and-re-interiorisations as prior distortive-originariness-and-redistortive-reoriginariness' (as to the structural/paradigmatic implications of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction). This effectively comes down to human inclination for dealing directly with 'prospectively conceptualisable aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is disinclined to face up to' rather than just with 'perceived aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint it is supposedly inclined-and-amenable to face up to', and fundamentally so out of spontaneous ontological-good-faith/authenticity induced prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> organic-knowledge rather than just mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> mechanical-knowledge in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith; and critically so as of the enabling dynamics for human transcendence-and-sublimity as reflected by the fact that germinative/seeding projections as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning however their outlier nature are effectively what explain the possibility for the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process on the basis of eliciting the social-construct supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. Critically, the 'formative underlying human decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation' can be construed from the 'deepest phenomenological transcendental-

point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’: as its enabling reifying-and-empowering apprehension of both ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’ (that create/invent methods/methodologies/approaches as to prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> organic-knowledge in ontological-good-faith/authenticity so-constrained by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) and ‘the desublimation/gimmickiness of mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> mechanical-knowledge in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith overlooking existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’. This ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ is critically cognisant of the reality of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications>); as to the fact that the ‘firstnatureness of human
 intemporality as of its inducing of transcendence-and-sublimity for secondnatureddness’ in
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has ever
 always been an outlier reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning phenomenon as to the
 structural/paradigmatic possibility of breaking away from ‘the desublimation/gimmickiness
 of mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> mechanical-knowledge prospectively
 in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith overlooking
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ for prospective
 transcendence-and-sublimity; even as prospectively the reality of human temporal-to-
 intemporal nature sets in again as such transcendence-and-sublimity is further related to at its
 own implied uninstitutionalised-threshold in terms of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
 least common denominator as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-
 form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the
 registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-
 stake-contention-or-confliction (in a social dynamics at the given uninstitutionalised-
 threshold that is a drawback-to/undermines prospective-knowledge-and-institutional
 deferential-formalisation-transference as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and rather is oriented
 towards sovereign extrication over knowledge-reification at this uninstitutionalised-threshold
 as of social-aggregation-enabling), as of its bare constraining mechanical-knowledge since

reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only ‘mechanistically’ constraining, lacking the organic-spirit or ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Correspondingly (despite the otherwise sophistic/pedantic moral and intellectual disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession inclination in eliciting human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), prospective human knowledge-reification (as herein articulated-and-implied) has to factor in the reality of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’, in order to articulate and construct prospective knowledge taking account of the structural/paradigmatic implications of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ for prospective knowledge-reification; and so as to ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation’ (that create/invent methods/methodologies/approaches as to prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> organic-knowledge in ontological-good-faith/authenticity so-constrained by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness). The structural/paradigmatic implications

of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ for prospective knowledge-reification, for instance means that with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction the Socrates/Platos/Aristotles (nor the succession of other prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> thinkers in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) ‘are not engaged in an exercise of convincing the whole of humankind-as-to-human-mortal-subpotency but rather aligning to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implications’; and what is critical at the intemporal firstnatureness reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning level is the inducing of ‘the requisite intemporal accordioneing dynamics of such reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for prospective deferential-formalisation-transference as to the social-construct underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment such that such prospective transcendence-and-sublimity prospectively put in question sophistic-pretences-of-playing-an-intellectual-and-moral-function as to when the social-construct is ultimately concerned with the prospective transcendence-and-sublimity intellectual-function to which such sophistic/pedantic pretences paradoxically rather adopt a tempering/discouraging penchant in a social disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession inclination’ (and further as to the sophistic/pedantic pretence that no human idealisation is warranted failing to factor in that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology is already idealisation that has already selected-and-deselected what is idealiseable and unidealiseable as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, such that from the ontological perspective the issue is not about no idealisation but rather the ontologically appropriate idealisation and appropriate human contemplation

and execution as ‘postures of no idealisation’ carry with them poor contemplations and executions already ‘ignoring-and-devaluing’ human existential-contextualising-contiguity epistemic-situations of relative-ontological-incompleteness associated with vices-and-impediments). Thus the point in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has never been a direct convincing process (as to the shallowness of contemplation projected by sophistic/pedantic thought in eliciting human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), but is rather reflected in an exercise conveying ‘profound human transcendence-and-sublimity enabling conceptualisations’ at the ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ (with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development or institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development or Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive registry-worldviews/dimensions). Such a profound conceptualisation as herein contemplated is ‘not at all concerned with satisfying the shallower perspectives elicited from sophistry as to our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag social-stake-contention-or-confliction state’, but rather targets the bigger picture to which sophistry poorly

contemplate of; as to the fact that such sophistry ‘fails to even display a prior-and-basic curiosity-and-enlightening-attitude about inherent/authentic knowledge itself’ before even moving to the next stage of contemplating the validity/invalidity of knowledge argumentations. The fact that prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint means prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always caught up in ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordions at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’, speaks rather of the opportunity for the social-construct intellectual-function to induce human elevation as of prospective secondnatured institutionalisation (as herein implied as to prospective deprocrpticism/preempting-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with regards to its underlying intellectual exposition to falsifiability and validity/invalidity sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) and not adopt sophistic/pedantic moral and intellectual disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession eliciting of human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> (passed for intellection out of poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity). In this regards, as to the ‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ associated with the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, just as the possibility

for prospective base-institutionalisation could not arise without the ‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, and so successively up to our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension; the sophistic/pedantic pretence as impliciting that our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension is the ‘absolutely unassailable epistemic framework even beyond ontological analysis’ is its fundamental contrivance for eliciting human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in an exercise forestalling the meaningfulness-and-teleology implications for contemplating prospective ‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ as projected with postmodern thought and herein implied as from the notional-deprocrypticism/deprocrypticism epistemic projective-perspective. Such sophistic/pedantic implication of no ‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ is often articulated sophistically in terms of <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and more brazenly in terms of intellectual misanalyses/misrepresentations, pretences-of-misunderstanding and muddlement of prospectively emancipating conceptualisations as so-directed towards postmodern thought. The fact is the possibility for prospective human knowledge in all domains can only and have only been able to arise on the basis of the ‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-

residuality/spirit-drivenness’ involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to the
‘conflating <formative>epistemic-totalising reoriginariness/reorigination of re-apriorising/re-
axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting underlying
human conceptualisation and then the devolving existential-instantiation implications as to
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology’
(with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-
restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-
ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’); as to the fact that even secondnature
meaningfulness-and-teleology involves the exertion of the requisite prospective curiosity,
contemplation and elevation ‘beyond a historicity-tracing gimmickiness/desublimation
relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Critically, an ‘underlying dumbing-down public
intellection and media industry’ thrive on cultivating ‘a historicity-tracing–imbued-
hyperrealisation gimmickiness/desublimation relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology’
and is in many ways at the root source of the modern day democratic crisis of political and
socio-economic disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession, as it
disenables/paralyses the possibility for sublimating debates thus in many ways rendering the
public decisionmaking process ‘a defaulting process as to the social-vestedness/normativity
of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Such undermining of the possibility of ‘requisite
human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ is effectively critical
with regards to historicity-tracing–imbued-hyperrealisation, as to the fact that by mitigating

the possibility to broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness off-the-beaten-path of historicity-tracing for prospective possibilities of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, the human mind is psychologically entrapped in mental-reflexes of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to the elicited <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>. At the root of this undermining of prospective ‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ is the social dilution/enfeeblement of value-construction/value-aspiration as to their ‘ad-hoc and incoherent <formative>epistemic-totalising implications supposedly non-ontological as to non-metaphysical’ (with regards to conceptualising the social-construct prospective transcendence-and-sublimity value-construction/value-aspiration), as associated particularly with ‘the specious usurpation of the overall social-construct’s intellectual-function as to prospective transcendence-and-sublimity’; with the paradox of such usurpation especially as of its drivenness in ‘intellectually mediating institutions as to popular-sovereignty’ including the media effectively projecting arbitrary social-vestedness/normativity constructs and frameworks of value-construction/value-aspiration while failing to intellectually editorialise/articulate/reflect the ontological equanimity/balance of conceptualisations as to the momentous implications of prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing (thus implicitly upholding the notion that the social is non-ontological as non-metaphysical); especially given that the equanimity/balance for upholding democratic sovereignty is in effect achievable only as of ‘structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-

totalising operant considerations for equanimity/balance with regards to the social, political and media landscapes decision-making/editorialising processes’, as the often sparing instantiating existential frames of day-to-day social, political and media landscapes decision-making/editorialising processes are poorly amenable naturally to such ‘-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising operant considerations for equanimity/balance’ and end up assuming social-vestedness/normativity defaulting postures with occasional clamours for equanimity/balance of the decision-making/editorialising processes quite often the niggling exceptions to entrenched and existentially-unthought reflex. Such that beyond ‘gimmickiness/desublimation frameworks of aestheticisation’ in many ways the social-construct’s intellectual-function itself (as of aestheticisation-towards-ontology with respect to prospective human emancipation) becomes capitalistically-captured-at-the-exclusion/denaturing-of-reifying-and-empowering-intellectual-reflection as to the precedence of media-business-relevant-aestheticisation, underhanded-media-capitalist-direct-ownership-and-indirect-sponsorship-distortive-influence, blatant-intellectual-misanalyses-and-sophistry, public-influence-and-lobbying-overtaking-inherent-intellectual-veracity, politicised-institutional-stakes-overtaking-inherently-objective-social-knowledge-production-in-higher-academia, a-consciously-aware-intellectual-functional-impotence-that-cynically-construes-of-the-possibility-for-prospective-sublimating-social-knowledge-as-the-opportunity-for-its-muddling-and-archiving, etc. These all contribute in making-more-and-more-of-an-empty-shell the supposed intellectual transparency and sovereign independence of the social-construct in present day democracies. But then more than just the more consciously immediate emancipation possibilities for momentous human prospective historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing with regards to ‘present-day social and human emancipation concerns’ floundering/wallowing as to our present historicity-tracing induced psychological entrapment as undermining the prospective

‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’; the more potently existential-unthinking (as to human aestheticisation-towards-ontology) is in the overall historicity-tracing induced paralysis/disabling of abstract contemplation about the ‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ implications underlying the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (as of a defaulting social-vestedness/normativity posture clouded in its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag), and specifically so with regards to the ‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ implications for prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as to the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation very much reflects the fact that all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness are effectively manifestations of underlying ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity with regards to their prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; as all such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness fail to account for their ‘prior and prospective becoming’ which ontologically-veridical rationalisation effectively lies with the nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought human emancipatory disposition associated with the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-

drivenness—equalisation. Similarly with respect to the ‘requisite human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension, in many ways just as prior human scientific and technological sublimation momentarily induced historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing inevitably required its accompanying social sublimation (as the manifestations of failing social sublimation were in many ways the reason for conflictual and exploitative encounters associated with budding-positivism), and so as of the contiguity of both human techno-scientific and social sublimations giving their mutually for-human-studies sublimating nature; it is inevitably the case that a naïve construal of prospective science and technological development that seem to imply the requisite prospective sublimation of the overall human as to its prospective construction-of-the-Self is not critical, will inevitably lead to conundrums of prospective science and technology development as to the very possibility for developing the full human potential of science and technology as well as with respect to the underdevelopment of the human as to its shiftiness-of-the-Self in the capacity to handle and deal with prospective science and technology in such a manner that doesn’t imperil mankind’s very own survival (departing as from the larger conception of survival, beyond ‘reactionary construal’ of them-and-us in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that end up ‘destructively dehumanising’ the various ‘the other’). Thus the very notion of human value-construction is entwined with ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ and the idea of prospective human emancipatory transcendence-and-sublimity possibilities critically lies in appreciating the

enabling ‘prospective predicative-effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-performance capacity’ as of the ‘elucidative foregrounding—entailment–{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’. The bigger point here (as of the ‘elucidative foregrounding—entailment–{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’) lies with the fact that the ‘social-construct <formative>epistemic-totalising given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ (that is, as to any specific registry-worldview/dimension given throwness-disposition) effectively precedes-and-defines-as-ontologically-flawed any notion of a ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ (as wrongly upheld by presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness postures that fail to appreciate the succession of projective stances of ‘human reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for conceptualisation’ as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation right up to prospective deprocrpticism) but for the ontological-veracity of ‘prospective predicative-effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-performance capacity’ as to induced prospective sublimation; and so as ‘reflecting the ontological-performance of the reference-of-thought-devolving in formativeness—as-to-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology-constituting-and-reconstituting of desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-

institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of
 prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’). In this regards, ‘human instigated meaningfulness-
 and-teleology ontological-performance capacity’ (so-construed as from the ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective-perspective) is rather practically ‘an
 <formative>epistemic-totalising signposting exercise’ operating on the overall basis of the
 ‘social-construct <formative>epistemic-totalising given institutionalised-and-
 uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnatured reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ when it comes
 to social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so overriding all presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness ontologically-flawed representation of such ‘human instigated
 meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance capacity’ as of a ‘supposed human-
 subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-
 potency of existence’. This reflects the reality that the transcendental meaningfulness-and-
 teleology of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-
 empiricism and deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 respectively are effectively only marginally integratable respectively to prior recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as to cross-generational
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), and so only as
 the former induce their ‘prospective predicative-effectivity—sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-
 ontological-commitment⟩ constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-
 performance capacity’; thus reflecting the tight-and-entwined relationship between the
 overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and

predicative-effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} as the critical enablers for the possibility of prospective transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such an insight divulges the underlying structural/paradigmatic possibility that arise for sophistic/pedantic dispositions across all registry-worldviews/dimensions as to the prior ‘social-construct <formative>epistemic-totalising given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of human meaningfulness-and-teleology when not subjected to ‘prospective predicative-effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-performance capacity’. Critically, deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as converging to the ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ effectively implies the converging of prior ‘social-construct <formative>epistemic-totalising given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnatured reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ towards deprocrypticism’s ‘prospective predicative-effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-performance capacity’. Such a ‘deprocrypticism predicative-effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} protension’ is encapsulated herein with the projected human-subpotency protensivity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; as to the budding prospect of an extensively systemic notional-deprocrypticism ‘prospective predicative-effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} constraining that prospectively transforms human

ontological-performance capacity', that protends to a comprehensive unification of human social and techno-scientific sublimation in overcoming human disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>. The insight arising from this extensively systemic notional-deprocrypticism 'prospective predicative-effectivity—sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩ constraining that prospectively transforms human ontological-performance capacity' is the ontological-veracity that all social-vestedness/normativity value-constructions are effectively ever as of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> as so-construed from 'notional-deprocrypticism inducing relative-ontological-completeness of prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>'. In other words, the human as 'manifesting presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is intellectually-and-morally incompetent with regards to articulating prospective sublimating value-construction'; as we can appreciate that the state of prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (so-construed as of 'supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence' in their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness) are respectively intellectually-and-morally incompetent with regards to articulating prospective sublimating value-construction as of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively. This insight points to the fundamental deficiency of all frameworks supposedly involved in articulating human prospective transcendence-and-sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology whereas there are as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness prior-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>; as to the fact that with regards to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, the ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ (as reflected by its given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation) is prospectively underdetermined for articulating prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus the ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ can only be construed in terms of notional-deprocrypticism imbued dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective-perspective) ‘as it resolves human underdetermination for articulating prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. In other words, ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ inherently mean that all human frameworks of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> are structurally/paradigmatically intellectually-and-morally incompetent with regards to articulating prospective sublimating value-construction, as to the fact that the possibility for human prospective sublimation is a ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ as to solipsistic ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-

underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality: as reflected by prospective ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-
and-creative acuity/perspiscacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
conceptualisation’ (that create/invent methods/methodologies/approaches as to prospective-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>
organic-knowledge in ontological-good-faith/authenticity so-constrained by existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) so-construed as originariness-parrhesia,—
as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation (which is actually constrained to ‘-<formative>epistemic-
totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-{narrowing-
down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} in elucidating ontological-
contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’), and so over ‘the desublimation/gimmickiness
of mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> mechanical-knowledge prospectively
in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith overlooking
existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’. The implication here is
that with regards to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as
to the possibility of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence, the
underlying ‘notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-
of-thought imbued dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness—equalisation is what accounts for human sublimation as of the succession of

prospective institutionalisations’ (associated with its coherencing restructuring/reparadigming of the ‘successive registry-worldviews’/dimensions’ reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of their overall decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’, speaking of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as the inherent ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure) while the underlying ‘notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought imbued dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation is what accounts for desublimation as uninstitutionalised-thresholds’ (as so-reflected with the ‘successive registry-worldviews’/dimensions’ reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of their overall decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-for-institutionalisation’, speaking of the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as the inherent ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure); and so as ‘reflecting the ontological-performance of the reference-of-thought-devolving in formativeness—as-to-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology-constituting-and-reconstituting of desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ (with regards to ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-

dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’). The overall insight we can garner herein is that all registry-worldviews/dimensions will have their value-construction conception as of their social-vestedness/normativity presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that fails to factor in their prospective desublimation as to their given notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and that notional-deprocrypticism prospective sublimation will structurally/paradigmatically ‘dismiss fundamentally’ the registry-worldviews/dimensions very presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> pretence of being involved in prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as to the notional-deprocrypticism projected prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. But then the structural/paradigmatic implications of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ implies that the mere eliciting of prospective sublimation as of notional-deprocrypticism ‘is not structurally/paradigmatically transformative of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ as to the fact that ‘prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology doesn’t transform the underlying reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performance with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of the ever-present precedence of human ontological-good-faith/authenticity or ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—

imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality associated with human limited-mentation-capacity with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic projective-perspective). But rather the mere eliciting of prospective sublimation as of notional-deprocrpticism ‘can only undermine the prior uninstitutionalised-threshold

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—as-reflecting-its—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology in rendering ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity ridiculous-and-untenable’ as to the cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, such that with regards to the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions as to their notional-procrpticism uninstitutionalised-thresholds in prospective desublimation there is ever this underlying reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-performance requiring ‘the prospective undermining of the prior uninstitutionalised-threshold

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—as-reflecting-its—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology in rendering ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity ridiculous-and-untenable’ (so-construed as ‘the reference-of-thought human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint for prospective sublimation’ or ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’). The possibility for prospective human sublimation as to the very essence of human knowledge-reification exercise as underlined by ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ is: ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-

perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—
 of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’. Prospective
 human sublimation is ever always an exercise involving the primacy of notional-
 deprocrypticism projected prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-
 equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> over prior social-vestedness/normativity
 notional-procrypticism prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-
 <as-superseded-logical-basis>; as to the implication that ‘the breadth of human temporal-to-
 intemporal-dispositions is not structurally/paradigmatically a competent intellectual-and-
 moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’ as all the possibility for
 prospective human sublimation arises as to the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension exclusively
 associated with human prospective intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology projection (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 epistemic projective-perspective) as so-associated with the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure
 eliciting of prospective ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative
 acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
 conceptualisation’ (that create/invent methods/methodologies/approaches as to prospective-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>
 organic-knowledge in ontological-good-faith/authenticity so-constrained by existence-

potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) so-construed as originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation (which is actually constrained to ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’), and so over ‘the desublimation/gimmickiness of mere methods/methodologies/approaches of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> mechanical-knowledge prospectively in poor ontological-good-faith/authenticity or outright ontological-bad-faith overlooking existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’. In order words, the possibility for prospective human sublimation has ever always arisen by undermining ‘the breadth of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions not structurally/paradigmatically a competent intellectual-and-moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’ and upholding the ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’; as so-constrained to ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ enabling ontological-normalcy/postconvergence notional-deprocrypticism induced overriding of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> with prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>. Critically, social-vestedness/normativity presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag are opportunistically wedded to eliciting
 ‘the breadth of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions not structurally/paradigmatically a
 competent intellectual-and-moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’
 as to the sophistic/pedantic possibility for eliciting human temporality/shortness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> with
 regards to prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction; such that Establishment
 intellection in the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions project-a-blindness-
 reflecting-their-desublimating-historicity-tracing with respect to the projected coherencing
 restructuring/reparadigming of the ‘successive registry-worldviews’/dimensions’
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation as of their overall decoherencing-structure—of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-for-institutionalisation’ as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as the inherent ontological-good-faith/authenticity—
 structure. Prospective sublimation as to the overriding of prior-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>
 with prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-
 superseding-logical-basis> as critically constrained to ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising
 existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—
 sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-
 contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’, speaks to the transformation of ‘supposed
 knowledge-reification framework of human-subpotency determination as to a temporal mere-

methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency enframing as desublimating’ into ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. In this regards, we can appreciate that ‘supposed knowledge-reification framework of human-subpotency determination as to a temporal mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency enframing as desublimating’ tend to eliciting ‘the breadth of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions not structurally/paradigmatically a competent intellectual-and-moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’ while ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’ tends to be rather constrained to both the ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing. The possibility of such a transformation critically constrained to ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ underlying notional-deprocrypticism is only possible because of the tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and predicative-effectivity—sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-

ontological-commitment) as the critical enablers for the possibility of prospective
 transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology; with foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-
 down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) thus being an exercise of
 satisfying that tight-and-entwined relationship to then enable ‘genuine knowledge-reification
 framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is
 structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling
 prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’ as of prospective-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>.
 Foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) as to its implied transformation of prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
 dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> into prospective-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>
 as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–
 renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as prospectively
 overcoming human-subpotency underdetermination is conceptualised along the same vein
 with the ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian
 knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-
 disempowerment’ with regards to human phenomenal/manifest sublimation and
 desublimation in existence (as to the insight for mitigating the concomitant drawback of
 desublimating historicity-tracing in the pursuit for sublimating historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing at the very center of Foucault and Derrida
 contentions). Foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal) invalidates presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness

conception of knowledge-reification as of ‘supposed knowledge-reification framework of human-subpotency determination as to a temporal mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency enframing as desublimating’; that fail to realise that ‘human self-satisfactory mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising constructs’ are not beholden to existence with regards to ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. We can appreciate in this regards that the classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis> that did not recognise notions like space-time, considered the ether real, did not consider that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. speaking to ‘human self-satisfactory mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising constructs’ wasn’t in any way beholden to existence as to the prospective sublimation of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> that recognised notions like space-time, considered the ether as real, considered that the laws of physics are different at atomic-scale, etc., and so as ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. It is interesting to appreciate that given the

prior enculturation of an underlying ‘scientific—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ induced by budding-positivists (associated with their persecution), the stage was set for the foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) of such a theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis> as to the tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as the critical enablers for the possibility of prospective transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology, without eliciting (as was the case with the Galileos/Descartes, etc. in the face of the medieval-scholastics pedantic dogmatism Establishment) ‘the breadth of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions not structurally/paradigmatically a competent intellectual-and-moral framework for instigating prospective human sublimation’ as to the sophistic/pedantic possibility for inducing human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> with regards to prospective social-stake-contention-or-confliction. Interestingly as well, we can appreciate the more or less socially enculturated disposition in our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension (with regards to the ‘profoundly sublimating natural sciences’) of human appreciation of the ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing, with regards to such sciences foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as to the tight-and-entwined

relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and predicative-effectivity–sublimation–(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as critically enabling prospective sublimation. Foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as such induces the requisite ontological-faith-notion/authenticity and discipline both among natural scientists and any contending interlocutors as to the constraining implications of prospective sublimation thus allowing for ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’.

In contrast this author is critical of the notion that disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> subject to discretion/whim-of-thought associated with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conception of knowledge-reification as of ‘supposed knowledge-reification framework of human-subpotency determination as to a temporal mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency enframing as desublimating’ that falsely ignore the structural/paradigmatic implications of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ in want for ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment–(narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’. Critically, the possibility of such a physics

dialogical-equivalence for instance is fundamentally enabled by such foregrounding—
 entailment-(narrowing-down-sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) of
 physics: and where say for instance proponents of classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs
 became involved in ‘the muddling/pedantising of methods/methodologies/approaches as to
 prior-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-
 basis>’ as to their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conception of
 knowledge-reification, then in many ways proponents of theory-of-relativity-together-with-
 quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs ‘would rather point out the transversality-of-
 disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the former rather than wrongly imply
 any mutual logical-congruence of dialogical-equivalence involvement in knowledge-
 reification exercise as they will do with respect to other proponents of theory-of-relativity-
 together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs with whom they may disagree
 within the prospective-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-
 superseding-logical-basis> framework’. This speaks to the fact that human dialogical-
 equivalence framing doesn’t supersede prospective sublimating existence’s necessitating
 implications and consequences, at which point existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness manifests ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence
 conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-
 empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ with regards to the possibility of prospective
 human phenomenal/manifest sublimation and desublimation in existence; as the proponents
 of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs ‘cannot
 produce any magical logical-congruence implication as of the prior-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseded-logical-basis>
 of the proponents of classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs’ but for the prospective-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—dialogical-equivalence-<as-superseding-logical-basis>
of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs
foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
withdrawal) of physics implied tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human
ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and predicative-
effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as critically enabling
prospective sublimation. In effect, such a controversy of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity
never arose (as explained by the prior enculturation of an underlying ‘scientific—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-
pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-
commitment)’ induced by budding-positivists and associated with their persecution), and
further because of the very high predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-
ontological-commitment) associated with the physical sciences and generally ‘much of the
basic/fundamental and disinterested natural sciences’. However, the case with psychological,
social and ‘interest-driven scientific frameworks’ is quite often ‘hardly one of high
predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ with the
result that such a ‘purist ontological and scientific framing of supposedly knowledge-
reification issues as to prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ is either indirectly or directly undermined with
social-vestedness/normativity ideas which ‘structurally/paradigmatically speak to an
underlying disengagement with the deeper notion of veracity/truth supposedly projected as
pure scientific and pure ontological analysis in the relevant domains’, as to the ‘social-stake-
contention-or-confliction relative privileging of human
methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising epistemic gadgetry’
(surreptitiously associated with <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-

form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) over
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. This difference between a
 ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ and the conception of
 veracity/truth as from the latitude of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied
 contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’
 is critically reflected in the fact that the former orientation is priorly-and-ultimately
 concerned with existence’s foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) imbued sublimation whereas the latter is critically
 concerned with ‘conceptions of human abstract interpositions as of elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity’ that are not necessarily subject to phenomenal/manifest
 existence’s foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal)’; and so-peculiarly implied with the ‘importing/exporting of
 reductionisms’ (as to the fact that there is no physics reductionism of physics or say
 mathematics reductionism of mathematics or biology reductionism of biology as to being the
 real and natural orientation for the specific physics, mathematics and biology epistemic-
 conceptions of their respective epistemic-conceptions phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-
 <in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>) to explain human
 psychological and social phenomena that ‘end up implicitly denying the very obvious reality
 of the psychological and social
 subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’. In
 many ways taking such ontologically-flawed interpretations seriously induces human
 impotency and desublimation (as to the implicated contention that the human ‘supposedly has
 no profound sublimating social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-

<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ with the
 ‘supposedly profound phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–
 reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ construed rather in reductionist terms of
 biology/neurology or physicalism) as is often also associated with social-
 vestedness/normativity disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-
 failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>; thus ‘actually denying the metaphysical nature and
 thus ontological nature of the sublimating social and socio-psychological’ such that
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimation implications
 with regards to the social and socio-psychological are hardly contemplated and recognised as
 so-projected herein as to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process. But then such reductionism actually fails the ‘necessitation test of any
 science/ontology’ as in reality it is a gimmicky exploitation of the sublimation of the natural
 sciences as to their inherent phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–
 reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> to then ‘utilise the clout to falsely imply
 substitutive/reductionist sublimation over the social and socio-psychological
 phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-
 potency-of-existence>’ (as so-reflected with practices of science ideology associated with
 biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social
 and socio-psychological). But then the giveaway of such a flawed conception of
 science/ontology lies in the fact that such approaches do not project any ‘-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame-of-ontological-contiguity’ as all
 pretences of science/ontology must demonstrate and aspire to (consider in this regards the ‘-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame-of-ontological-contiguity’ of physics,
 chemistry, biological, genetic theories as to the ontological-contiguity imbued

foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) of their respective inherent sublimating phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies—(in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> wherein for instance with the physics frame—of—ontological-contiguity succession of theories are developed aspiring cogently for ontological-contiguity of the whole physics epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency—(in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as from say Galilean/Cartesian/Newtonian/Leibnizian physics to present day string-theory/loop-quantum-gravity/etc. which all profess ontological-contiguity). In other words, such biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological shouldn't epistemically be selective in discretion/whim-of-thought (if truly of science/ontology as to '—(formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame—of—ontological-contiguity') but should rather go on to effectively explain away the entire social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies—(in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development—as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so comprehensively articulating human organisational and institutional driven/potent sociocultural, economic, political, legal, etc. manifestations on such biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist basis of supposed sublimation as to their '—(formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment—(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity—(as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>'. The reality of such biological/neurological and evolutionary

substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological is rather one that points out that the ‘traditional nature versus nurture debate itself is fundamentally an axiomatically bankrupt conception’ since ‘not even such proponents implicitly point to an underlying human drivenness and functioning of the social and socio-psychological framework on the basis of any such supposed ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame-of-ontological-contiguity of biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations’, but rather the strategies of such proponents (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) work paradoxically only by impliciting the reality of the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame-of-ontological-contiguity of the social and socio-psychological epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> (as to their implied sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences)’, and then surreptitiously project/select/pop-up (in discretion/whim-of-thought) opportune/ad-hoc biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations of the social and socio-psychological frame-of-ontological-contiguity, and so as of vague disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>. Such flawed and surreptitious representation that biological/neurological and evolutionary substitutive/reductionist interpretations are the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame-of-ontological-contiguity’ of the social and socio-psychological (rather than the truly inherent social and socio-psychological epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as of their ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame-of-ontological-contiguity’) in effect wrongly implies a dialogical-equivalence ‘nature versus nurture debate’ between these two perspectives as to a ‘naïve academicism mere procedural

argumentation reflex’. The reality at best is that of ‘biological/neurological and evolutionary interpretations transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency’ in relation to the social and socio-psychological frame-of-ontological-contiguity (and not such biological/neurological and evolutionary interpretations substitutive/reductionist epistemic-conception overriding the social and socio-psychological epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>, just as the transverse epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency of mathematics in relation to physics doesn’t substitute for and override the inherent physics epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>). The consequence of such vague disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> as to failing ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame-of-ontological-contiguity’ (as implied as of the requisite ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down-sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’), is that (besides their basic epistemic innocence/naivety) such biological/neurological and evolutionary interpretations substitutive/reductionist epistemic-conception then provide the room for sophistic/pedantic dispositions that construe of the inherent sublimation in the natural sciences qua natural sciences as the surreptitious opportunity to project gimmicky/desublimating interpretations about the social (on the basis of the ‘hollow impressiveness of the natural sciences’) as a psychological trick/gimmick as to rendering knowledge-reification sublimation in the social impotent with regards to varied social-stake-contention-or-confliction purposes. Such claims often project/imply that analysing the social qua social is just about irrelevant (or

paradoxically ‘make their very own subterfuge social interpretations’ as from the psychological trick/gimmick of the projected hollow impressiveness of the natural sciences so-derived from the clout of a natural science without demonstrating the epistemic-veracity for such a bypassing/dodgery as to arrive at the social ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame—of—ontological-contiguity’ sublimating implications and consequences). Besides, such claims are often so-associated with vague non-metaphysical as non-ontological conceptualisations of the social in vague disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> as to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, and thus in many ways further undermine/distract-from the social ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising necessitation frame—of—ontological-contiguity’ conception of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint in dealing with direct social and institutional issues, crises and failures. A ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ equally differs from the conception of veracity/truth as from the latitude of ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social—value-construction’ with the former construing of ‘knowledge as to existential knowledge-reification privileging manifest sublimating outcome in existence’ in contrast to the latter construing of ‘knowledge as to collective acquiescence as to the privileging of human commendation-or-agreementing/convincing-among-mortals (rather than a detour to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) even over manifest sublimating outcome in existence’. Such a ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ construes of knowledge as a ‘perpetual off-balance act associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—

as-sublimating-withdrawal)’ (as involved in the reconceptualisation of the physics state-of-the-art from Einsteinian physics, Bohrian physics, Feynmanian physics, etc., emphasising rather ‘the constancy of the intemporal individuation as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective’ and ‘not about the constancy of any notion of intemporal individual’). Such a ‘perpetual off-balance act associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)’ speak to the more profound reality that the ordinariness of human thought across the succession of human registry-worldviews/dimensions points to their ‘epistemic-abnormalcy’ despite the delusion of all registry-worldviews/dimensions in their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as being of ‘absolute epistemic-normalcy’; and it is because of this latter fact (as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective) that prospective human progress and emancipation as of human transcendence-and-sublimity can occur in the very first place (in contradiction to all such registry-worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness failure to directly grasp their very own <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, even as the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity necessarily involves such a requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). In other words, the ‘effective equilibration of human sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions’ does not lie with any ‘ordinariness/commonsensicality as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as falsely elicited by their sophistic/pedantic dispositions, as in reality it rather lies in ‘the dynamically differentiated transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the ontological-performance of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions narratives’: and so as to

‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity-over-deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, as the driver of the human-subpotency potentiating existential becoming manifestation of sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; as it dynamically induces (as of ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’) successive prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for reasoning-from-results/afterthought as the secondnatured-institutionalisation of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed as ‘generating varying human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as to their pre-eminence as of their ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’. This latter insight is critical for all prospective human

sublimation as ‘a false sense of a categorically/absolutely sublimated social-construct
 ordinariness/commensality and social-vestedness/normativity’ effectively renders any
 prospective human emancipation and construction-of-the-Self pointless-and-contradictory; as
 to the fact that even such advocates turn out to be incoherently muted-and-muddled with
 regards to such an argument about ‘a false sense of a categorically/absolutely sublimated
 social-construct ordinariness/commensality and social-vestedness/normativity’, revealing
 their true motives rather as status quo preserving with regards to social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-
 existential-unthought). The ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ is
 ever always about the ‘prospective upholding of existence-potency-prospective-digression-
 of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness’ and structurally/paradigmatically so-explains the very possibility for
 human progress. In contrast the conception of veracity/truth as from the latitude of ‘human
 social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-
 coercion/given-discrete-social–value-construction’ is rather more bent upon emphasising
 human-subpotency methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising grounds for
 veracity/truth rather than eliciting prospective sublimating existence’s necessitating
 implications and consequences. Such notions of veracity/truth without articulating existence-
 potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness are vague disparateness-of-
 conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>,
 and worse still when accompanied by claims of humility as to inherent institutionalised
 prescience are more often than not mere manifestations of intellectual entitlement; (as to
 imply the society is inherently beholden to the mere institutionalised imprimatur of
 intellection even as to when it projects intellectual desublimation associated with intellectual-

muddlement as well as intellectually-distortive practices such as blind institutionalised priming/funnelling/staking of specific theoretical postures over genuine and profound ontological elucidation as to existential contextualisation with the associated academic careerism at the very antipode of genuine sublimating intellection) and so as reflecting the modern day intellection relevant prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint.

Interestingly, the ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ projects prospective sublimating existence’s necessitating implications and consequences to implicitly underscore ‘interlocutory humility’ induced as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness; as to the fact that humility was rather imbued with the Einsteinian/theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs perspective over the prior institutionalised/classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs with the latter never assuming any arrogance as to its prior methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising conception of physics. Critically, with regards to the blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology in the social that exposes prospective transcendental dispositions (as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure) to sophistic/pedantic <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives eliciting of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, it is important to articulate such prospective sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology while

equally reflecting upon the sophistic/pedantic to its dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure as part and parcel of the prospective sublimating meaningfulness-and-teleology, and not wrongly imply the desublimation is in apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity as to the transcendence-and-sublimity attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme (in this case reflecting sophistic/pedantic procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought); and as so articulated elsewhere with the case of the Socratic philosophers and budding positivists it is always the case that the sophistic/pedantic dispositions will fathom that in relation to prospectively sublimating base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism the effective ‘world that exists to the majority people (as of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordions at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’) respectively is recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to go on cynically eliciting <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of the latter. Ultimately, there is a ‘social underlying sublimating intellection proficiency’ to which all specific domains of study need to account for their sublimating pertinence; and the possibility of putting into question all ‘Establishment intellection as of their given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ (from across the most ancient civilisations to modern times and so as instigated by the Socrates, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, etc.) has always arisen within-or-without such epochal Establishment intellection

by the prompting of their ‘social underlying sublimating intellection proficiency’ which contemplative consciousness is not to be underestimated as to a ‘decadence posturing of intellectual entitlement’. Critically, the possibility of prospective value-construction and pretence of projecting more profound value is indissociable from the capacity of producing the relative-ontological-completeness knowledge that broaden-the-latitude-of-human-collective-consciousness as to the fact that just as prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively are intellectually-and-morally wanting with respect to prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought value-construction respectively; pretences of profound intellection as to the former are nothing but sophistic/pedantic exploitations of human limited-mentation-capacity as to ‘a delusion of generating knowledge and value from thin air’, and of vital importance in that regards is the fact that that which is in relative-ontological-completeness has to occupy the intellectual-and-moral ground imbued by such relative-ontological-completeness. Vague notions of arrogance and wretchedness are nothing but the ontological-veracity of the state of relative-ontological-incompleteness arrogance and wretchedness of thought (as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) as to an epistemically-decadent <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives; and so as to the fact that the magnanimity of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension out of concern about human prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘is the most important human and humanity-producing enterprise’ notwithstanding the paradox that the

prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively are intellectually-and-morally undeveloped to be the framework for appraising value-construction as of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought respectively in many ways explaining the underlying implications of huma registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation as involving cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. This affirmation is not articulated idly as to the fact that part and parcel of human knowledge-reification is not to allow desublimating thought to occupy the ground of sublimating thought (as the latter has to include a challenge to the knowledge-destroying desublimating thought arrogance and wretchedness), however the subterfuges available to such desublimation whether as of sophistry and mere-institutional-appendaging as reflecting the veridical prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint; taking hint that it is fundamentally a question about existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness and no amount of human mortals methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising can supersede prospective sublimating existence's necessitating implications and consequences as otherwise the very idea of ontology/science then collapses and the supposed knowledge-reification exercise becomes pointless but as for institutional parading value. There is simply no knowledge without the effective demonstrated knowledge-reification implications and pretending otherwise as to 'virtual wisdoms' is nothing more than <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Hence basically the overall differentiation between 'purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth' and

‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ lies with their
 constraining whether towards inherent existence projected implications or towards human-
 subpotency projected implications respectively. This underlying point has
 structural/paradigmatic implications with regards to human meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 to human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-
 to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-
 as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology.
 This differentiation can be rearticulated in aestheticisation terms to imply that existence (as to
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) is ‘the scalar conception
 that enables prospective human sublimation as of aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ while on
 the other hand human-subpotency (as to human presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) is ‘a non-scalar conception that induces
 prospective human desublimation aestheticisation’. The ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ as such is reflected with regards to prospectively
 implied ontological-normalcy/postconvergence construed as of maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness epistemic-projection perspective while ‘human-
 subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-
 structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ is reflected
 with regards to its prospectively implied epistemic-abnormalcy construed as of
 incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness epistemic-projection perspective.
 Basically, ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ and
 ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-
 structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ thus speak to

the fact that human prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implied limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ (as to dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension) is actually induced as from human uncontemplative-distension so-construed as ‘dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension imbued prospectively of both sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-trace and desublimating historicity-tracing’; as to prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-trace ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ as prospectively preserving ontology/ontological-veracity and ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ prospective desublimating historicity-tracing as prospectively obviating ontology/ontological-veracity. This insightful grasp of the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ (construed as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective): ‘as rather occurring as from an ontologically deficient grounding’ of relative human limited-mentation-capacity (however ‘the better relative ontological-deficiency’ implied as of relative-ontological-completeness), emphasises the necessity for the bifurcation of the construal of prospective human ontological-performance (associated with prospective human sublimation) into: ‘a scalarity/immanency perspective (as to a scalarity/immanency that will arise if the human had absolute-mentation-capacity so-construed as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ and ‘a non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation> perspective

(with regards to residual human ontological-deficiency implications as to relative human limited-mentation-capacity notwithstanding ‘the better relative ontological-deficiency’) of historicity-tracing’. Uncontemplative-distension is thus rather the recognition that human dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension doesn’t achieve absolute ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ (as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension rather reflects the epistemic perspective towards ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and not ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’); with the effective ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ as of the absolute distension (beyond just relative-ontological-completeness) underlying the overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as the inherent ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure effectively reflected as of notional-deprocrypticism. Deprocrypticism as such by its ontologically-uncompromised nature ‘technically entails’: prospective human ontological-performance as to sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ in overcoming the desublimating historicity-tracing of ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Translated, this ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ and ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ underlying prospective human ontological-performance with regards to human meaningfulness-and-

teleology speaks to the fact that prospectively induced human sublimation is bound to paradoxically distort-and-desubliminate the ontological-veracity appraisal for inducing further and concomitant human sublimation (and so because of the structural/paradigmatic effect of relative limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-~~{formative}~~epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in contrast to what will prevail in case of ‘absolute-mentation-capacity of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’). But then such effect critically varies as to both ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ and ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’; in the sense that the latter poorly constrained to high predicative-effectivity–sublimation-~~{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment}~~ is strongly prone to desublimating historicity-tracing of ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-~~<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>~~’ in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, while the former strongly constrained to high predicative-effectivity–sublimation-~~{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment}~~ is rather relatively amenable to sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. That said, human sublimation increasingly implies a ‘generalised background cultural,-organisation-and-institutional framework’ that itself needs to be sublimating, and it is here as well that even the propensity for sublimation of ‘purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ can be desublimated by an ontologically-impertinent ‘generalised background cultural,-organisation-and-institutional framework’ adopting ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’. In many ways with regards to the overall social framework, the usurpation of the intellectual-function arising as of ‘social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth’ is often associated with

vague-and-surreptitious conceptualisations of business success and media-and-social influence (in desublimating historicity-tracing) as superseding social intellection itself as an inherent exercise for the social domain's 'purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth' (as to the latter's prospective sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing). Critically such a 'purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth' analysis very much point out that the social-construct is riddled with narratives of 'supposedly veridical ontological justifications/grounds' but which on closer examination as of 'purist science/ontology epistemic-conception of veracity/truth' turn out to be at the least sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence>; and so as to the relative impertinence of the 'social-vestedness/normativity epistemic-conception of veracity/truth' (so-construed as from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective). This insight further informs prospective deprocrypticism appraisal of the 'tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and predicative-effectivity–sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩ (reflecting 'scalarity/immanency of existence's ontological-normalcy/postconvergence')'. In this regards, the structural/paradigmatic implications of 'human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities', reflect the fact that the originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness for prospective knowledge-reification implying a projection out of a prior huma registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation framework cannot be construed as of any exercise of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-

elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity on the basis of the prior
 institutionalisation secondnatured
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (thus wrongly
 implying that there is an underlying absolute sound basis for human knowledge-reification as
 of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-
 elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, whereas in reality such grounds are
 recurrently restructured/reparadigmed for relative-ontological-completeness as to re-
 apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-
 measuringinstrumenting); hence implying that prospective sublimating
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing at any uninstitutionalised-
 threshold is necessarily imbued with prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-
 aestheticisation ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ and its derived deferential-
 formalisation-transference secondnaturing. We can appreciate in this regards that budding-
 positivists meaningfulness-and-teleology however relatively intelligible to us today, wouldn’t
 make sense to the ‘ordinariness/commonsensicality of the non-positivism/medievalism prior
 institutionalisation secondnatured
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as to
 elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-
 outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ but the fact is that such budding positivism in
 its restructuring/reparadigming for relative-ontological-completeness rather induced the
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for our present
 day positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument.
 Such a restructuring/reparadigming for relative-ontological-completeness induced
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure equally applies
 with respect to prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-

thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In this regards, just as the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension that projected of an underlying ‘scientific—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ by budding-positivists allowed for the enculturation of a human positivism/rational-empiricism social orientation with regards to the natural sciences (then more-or-less subsequent ‘aspirational sciences’) epistemic-conceptions phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to their implicated ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>’ as to imbued positivism/rational-empiricism sublimation over non-positivism desublimation (and so over a long-and-sustained period of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) inducing the strongly enculturated predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) constraining of positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology today; likewise the deprocrypticism epistemicity further speaks to the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for the enculturation of a ‘human deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construction-of-the-Self psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ with regards to (the overall originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> of ontological-contiguity’ in overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-

conflatedness) so-implied across all human domains-of-study epistemic-conceptions
 phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-
 potency-of-existence> as to their explicited ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-
 contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down—sublimation as to
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-
 prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-
 perspective>’ as to imbued deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought sublimation over procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 desublimation, thus prospectively inducing a strongly enculturated predicative-effectivity—
 sublimation-<as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment> constraining of
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-
 teleology, (and so overriding disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-
 disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> as to the latter’s implied
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). But then as across the successive
 registry-worldviews/dimensions, the uninstitutionalised-threshold is a fertile spot for
 sophistic/pedantic practices whether as with the Ancient sophists or medievalism-scholastics
 or today institutional-being-and-craft intellectual-muddlement. What is central to all such
 sophistry is their emphasis on the notion that prospective knowledge is attained as to the
 sensibility/decorum as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; explaining their pedantic obsession. On
 the other hand, what is central with prospective genuine knowledge is ever always the
 emphasis on the fact that knowledge-reification is fundamentally about sublimation-over-
 desublimation as to the implications of the ‘tight-and-entwined relationship between the
 overall human ontological-commitment (across all registry-worldviews/dimensions) and

figures) has had the consequence of ‘undermining the natural social critical thinking that should enable the proper intellectual framing and addressing of human and social issues leading to a rather subservient intellectual posturing to socially dominant vested-interests/actors’ as so-reflected in the current impotence of the political exercise with mediating institutions failing sovereign-equanimity as political, economic and social stakes cumulatively default to vested-interests as to their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing. Such an underlying intellectually deficient orientation is the surreptitious underhandedness failing social intellectual engagement in many ways explains the surreptitious campaigning against many a critical theory as to the possibility for a revitalised genuine and healthy social critique (and as it is especially so-directed at muddling promising postmodern thought which portrays a very profound ontological-veracity as to prospective sublimation possibilities in the face of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint); and so-enabled as to no ‘deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩’ (notwithstanding a natural scientific culture that points out that substantive issues are analysed on the basis of their relevant and operant substantive pertinence) as to the overriding possibility of ‘projecting such a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum of institutional imprimatur’ that is rather obsessively defensive of institutional prescience over inherent knowledge-reification. But then the Ancient sophists and medievalism-scholastics were the institutional imprimatur of their periods but their pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum was never in any way beholdening upon sublimating existence as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness allowing for prospective

Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and budding-positivism as to their respectively induced ‘universalising-idealisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ and ‘positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ constraining in the face of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’. The strategic reflex of assuming a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum preemptively ‘shuts-off the possibilities of relative-ontological-completeness interpretations’ and arbitrarily defines ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’ as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; such that effectively the social is interpreted (as of surreptitious disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>) as non-ontological thus implying not it is subject to analyses as of social and socio-psychological phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>. But then human sublimation in existence effectively speaks of the notional-symmetrisation-<as-to-symmetrisation-by-desymmetrisation-in-reflecting-dialectical-thinking-by-dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology> underlying human ontological-performance as to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions, and such a

presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum strategy as to its implicated denial of such an ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of human ontological-performance underlined by human historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, effectively reveals its non-scientific nature notwithstanding the confusion of vague academicism proceduralism with true sublimating science/ontology. All the knowledge-reification that effectively can be is of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal having to do with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ as enabling human-subpotency epistemic-projection towards the full-potency of existence so-construed as intemporality, and not a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness human-subpotency epistemic-projection in <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag so-construed as temporality. But then the inclination to assume an ontologically-flawed sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum strategy is ever always associated across all registry-worldviews/dimensions with blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to meaningfulness-and-teleology rather unconstrained to predicative-effectivity-sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩ as to lack of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity-sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩’. Consider in this regards, the structural/paradigmatic possibility of such an abstract human sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum strategy exercise with regards to say Einsteinian/theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs if there was ‘no positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity-sublimation-

⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩’ (as produced by the efforts of budding-positivists even as during their own epoch this was contested by their Establishment) that allowed for sublimating scientific thought to be integrated or rejected by its mere predicative-effectivity–sublimation–⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩ (as to the ‘positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation–⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩’), then there is nothing inherently telling that the latter physics Establishment will have just acknowledged such a theoretical construct as to its then human sophistic/pedantic presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction (as to the reality of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’). The point here is to highlight that across all registry-worldviews/dimensions blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology at uninstitutionalised-threshold as to lack of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation–⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩’ inherently induces sophistic/pedantic dispositions (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction as to the social lack of universal-transparency in the face of its prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint. Further, all such successive ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation–⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment⟩’ are structurally/paradigmatically about

phenomenal/manifest sublimation-over-desublimation in existence as to: ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, as the driver of the human-subpotency potentiating existential becoming manifestation of sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; as it dynamically induces (as of ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’) successive prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for reasoning-from-results/afterthought as the secondnatured-institutionalisation of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed as ‘generating varying human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as to their pre-eminence as of their ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-

residuality/spirit-drivenness'. Sublimation in existence as such is rather as of originariness-
 parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
 acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness that doesn't adhere to professed naiveties
 implied with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness sensibility/decorum
 supposed projections of candour that tend to arise with social lack of universal-transparency
 associated with blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology poorly amenable to predicative-
 effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment); and reflect the idea that
 there is no knowledge without sublimating knowledge in the very first place and such
 pretences often thrive on exploiting 'a false sense of a categorically/absolutely sublimated
 social-construct ordinariness/commensicality and social-vestedness/normativity', but then
 such an ontologically-flawed conception can be divulged when we contemplate of
 prospective transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation reflection of the relative-ontological-
 incompleteness of the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions rather pointing out that
 the latter are ever always involved in an exercise of presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when analysed as from
 originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
 perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of notional-deprocrypticism.
 Insightfully it can be garnered that blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology (as leading to
 disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-
 ontological-contiguity> due to lack of the universal-transparency of sublimating-over-
 desublimating '-<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity
 foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>') is intimately linked

with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds; as to the lack of ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’. In this regards, blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to the respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism as to their respective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism is overcome respectively (as so construed from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective as of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)) with the induced social universal-transparency of:

- base-institutionalisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism’ given ‘relative <formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising—structuring/paradigming projection as of human messianic intemporal and secondnature social-optimisation instigative potency’ at its given/defined institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’ (and so over prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-

(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as 'non-rules-as-impulsive-or-
 accidented-or-random-mental-disposition,-that-is-not-rulemaking
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism' given 'relative disparateness-of-
 conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>
 as to prior descalarising-in-structuring/paradigming discretion/whim-of-thought of
 individuals-suboptimisation instigative potency as of human temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions accordioning' at its given/defined uninstitutionalised-threshold ontologically-
 deficient epistemic-conception of 'the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal'),

- universalisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism
 enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity-sublimation-
 (as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as 'universalisation-directed-
 rulemaking-over-non-rules apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism' given
 'relative <formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity
 foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down-sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective> as to its prospectively
 induced scalarising-structuring/paradigming projection as of human messianic intemporal
 and secondnature social-optimisation instigative potency' at its given/defined
 institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception of 'the very same overall
 phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal' (and so over prior
 base-institutionalisation-universalisation—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-
 effectivity-sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) construed-as
 'rulemaking-over-non-rules,-that-is-not-universalisation-directed

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ given ‘relative disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> as to prior descalarising–in-structuring/paradigming discretion/whim-of-thought of individuals-suboptimisation instigative potency as of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing’ at its given/defined uninstitutionalised-threshold ontologically-deficient epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’),

- positivism/rational-empiricism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-<as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment> construed-as ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ given ‘relative <formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> in elucidating ontological-contiguity-<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-

epistemic/notional_projective-perspective> as to its prospectively induced scalarising–structuring/paradigming projection as of human messianic intemporal and secondnature social-optimisation instigative potency’ at its given/defined institutionalisation ontologically-pertinent epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’ (and so over prior universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism

enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-<as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment> construed-as ‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules,-that-is-not-positivising/rational-empiricism-based

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ given ‘relative disparateness-of-

that-is-not-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ given
 ‘relative disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-
 reflect-ontological-contiguity> as to prior descalarising–in-structuring/paradigming
 discretion/whim-of-thought of individuals-suboptimisation instigative potency as of human
 temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing’ at its given/defined uninstitutionalised-
 threshold ontologically-deficient epistemic-conception of ‘the very same overall
 phenomenality/manifestation of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’), with the
 ‘deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism
 enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-
 <as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment>’ peculiarly/uniquely differentiated from the
 ‘positivism–procrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism
 enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-
 <as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment>’ in that deprocrypticism as of its
 originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
 perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective construes of prospective
 knowledge-reification as of ‘the full ontological implications of full human limited-
 mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal) as to its deepest/most-profound foregrounding—entailment-
 <narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal>’ thus speaking to
 deprocrypticism requisite structural/paradigmatic delineation of both the existentially
 contextualised ‘sublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure underlying
 intemporal ontological-performance (as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-

residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension projected
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism)’ and ‘desublimating ontological-bad-
 faith/inauthenticity—structure underlying temporal ontological-performance (as of
 dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation
 shallow/lack-of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension projected apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 psychologism)’ associated with any ‘deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought prospective knowledge-reification as ever always about preserving the
 ascendancy of organic-knowledge in superseding-and-overriding mechanical-knowledge
 (with the latter rather associated with <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-
 form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) thus involving the
 anticipation of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance of prospective
 knowledge-reification imbued reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology (and so as to the deprocrypticism—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 psychologism given ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension-
 <of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism> projection of
 originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
 perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>’);

with the above articulation of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘relative-
 ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism
 enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-
 (as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ so-reflecting comprehensively the ontological-

contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process involving human limited-mentation-
 capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal⟩ increasing ontological-performance as to ‘its originariness-parrhesia,—as-
 spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
 conceptualisation inducing of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions increasingly
 profound secondnature methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation’ and so as human reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—
 meaningfulness-and-teleology engendered sublimating historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal and
 existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation (with the critical insight here for
 instance that the Socratic philosophers meaningfulness-and-teleology as of universalising-
 idealisation ‘is not a relic of thought’ and it is very much ‘historially alive/living’ as to being
 pertinent to modern day universalising implications of thought but for when prospective
 contextualisation requires universalising positivising/rational-empiricism just as we can
 garner that Newtonian/Leibzinian physics ‘is not a relic of thought’ and it is very much
 ‘historially alive/living’ as to being pertinent to modern day physics but for when prospective
 contextualisation requires theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-
 constructs, and thus reflecting comprehensively that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process as to its implied overall notional-deprocrypticism—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologisms ‘enculturated/constructed social-
 pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-
 commitment⟩ of relative-ontological-completeness’ rather speaks of human limited-
 mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-

sublimating-withdrawal) as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
 recomposure prospectively induced meaningfulness-and-teleology as the successive registry-
 worldviews/dimensions apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologisms). Further,
 ‘human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over-
 deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ implies that the successive registry-
 worldviews/dimensions given ‘relative-ontological-completeness—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism enculturated/constructed social-
 pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity-sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-
 commitment)’ as to their relative ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure sublimating
 affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
 validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking’ supersede-and-override their prior ‘relative-
 ontological-incompleteness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism
 enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity-sublimation-
 (as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ as to their relative ontological-bad-
 faith/inauthenticity—structure desublimating unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
 assertion/undueeness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-
 measuring/dialectically-dementing and ‘thus establishing the relative-ontological-
 completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism respective
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 logical-basis’ and this is so-reflected with: ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism’ superseding-and-overriding ‘non-rules-
 as-impulsive-or-accidentated-or-random-mental-disposition,-that-is-not-rulemaking
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism’ for ‘base-institutionalisation—

ununiversalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology induced sublimation as of existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal’; ‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ superseding-and-overriding
 ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules,-that-is-not-universalisation-directed
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ for ‘universalisation–non-
 positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology induced sublimation as of existence—
 as-sublimating-withdrawal’; ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-
 directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’
 superseding-and-overriding ‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules,-that-is-
 not-positivising/rational-empiricism-based apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
 psychologism’ for ‘positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology induced
 sublimation as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’; and ‘preempting—disjointedness-
 as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
 formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ superseding-and-overriding
 ‘mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-
 rulemaking-over-non-rules,-that-is-not-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism’ for ‘prospective deprocrypticism
 meaningfulness-and-teleology induced sublimation as of existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal’. The implication here is that there is no logical-basis as of our positivism–
 procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness for the so-projected
 prospective deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather its prospectively

induced sublimation as of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal. This further points out that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ are rather ‘existence sublimation imbued cut-off points of logical engagement as transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ wherein for example there is no common logical-basis between non-universalising sophistry and universalising-idealisation of Socratic philosophers and likewise between budding-positivists and non-positivising medieval scholasticism and this author claims as well between present day institutional-being-and-craft intellectual muddlement and prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as already being manifested in the patently non-intellectual and ontologically-decadent populism and media-driven campaigning against postmodern thought that is wary of genuine intellectual engagement as to the sublimating veracity of postmodern thought; and thus rather requiring the sublimating affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of the prospective ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ imbued foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) while reflecting the desublimating unaffirmation/deprojection/deassertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of the prior ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-

commitment)’ (and so reflecting ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ as to mere ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueeness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing’ so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal and existence—as-the-absolute-apriori-of-conceptualisation). This insight equally explains why human <formative>epistemic-causality at its most profound construal is rather as of underlying ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure over ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure imbued sublimating-over-desublimating ontological implications and so with regards to underlying human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; as the ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure (as of dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to its profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension) reflects the originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as intemporal-projection reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that runs all along the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) enabling human reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—

meaningfulness-and-teleology induced transcendence-and-sublimity whereas the ontological-
 bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure (as of dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness–equalisation as to its lack-of/shallow dispensing-with-
 immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension) is
 besotted in temporality upon the logical-basis of relative-ontological-incompleteness
 <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
 drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as so-enabled with lack of universal-transparency
 (explaining the latter’s iterative-looping-narrations as successive shades of universal-
 transparency arise speaking to a more fundamental ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–
 structure when reflecting <formative>epistemic-causality). This underlying ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity–structure over ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity–structure imbued
 sublimating-over-desublimating ontological implications as most profound construal of
 human <formative>epistemic-causality inevitably highlights the requisite ‘ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ of sublimating base-
 institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism
 respectively over desublimating recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation,
 non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism, and the failure to articulate this requisite
 ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ is a failure to meet the ‘prospectively
 warranted organic-knowledge epistemic-veracity’ as failing to reflect
 acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—

conceptualisation in implying that ‘the sublimating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism is the valid logical-basis’ and ‘the desublimating apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism is the invalid logical-basis’. In other words, genuinely projected knowledge as of ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure is more than just the mechanical construct but speaks of the ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ as of veridical existential relationship/signature as organic-knowledge. This is more obviously grasped with respect to human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as to the positive-opportunism implications eliciting a decomplexed placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of such ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ but less obvious and poorly grasped with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In this respect with regards to human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as of our positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension we can appreciate for instance that in a professional–client relationship like between a physician and a patient or a plumber and a customer, the two parties do not normally engage one another in equivocating as of the ordinary meaningfulness-and-teleology desublimation which wouldn’t achieve the sublimation of medical care meaningfulness-and-technology or plumbing technician technical meaningfulness-and-teleology (as to the fact that the client doesn’t go on pretending to engage the professional at its more profound level of technical knowledge contemplation) with the relation thus involving the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure

existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism> of the professional with a corresponding deferential apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism of the client' and so as reflecting the sublimating knowledge ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure beyond-and-above the desublimating ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure of ordinary meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, this sublimating knowledge 'ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism>' across all registry-worldviews/dimensions is ever always poorly appreciated with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (even though from a retrospective perspective we can grasp the dementing-qualia-schema of 'the God of plane' type of articulation of say base-institutionalisation as of animistic social-setup as from our positivism/rational-empiricism reflex 'ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism>' but it is important to note that such an animistic social-setup doesn't project of any such dementing-qualia-schema placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology going by its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness just as we will be disinclined to contemplate about the more veridical dementing-qualia-schema as to our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold as from a prospective deprocrypticism perspective projected placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology). This poor appreciation arises for the simple reason that the uninstitutionalised-threshold speaks of the registry-worldview/dimension notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>, and thus it is disinclined to recognise the prospective 'relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-

psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-
 effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ imbued
 foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) that can instill such a prospective sublimating knowledge ‘ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension-<of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ as to prospective living-development—
 as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-
 development. In this regards, it can be appreciated with respect to budding-positivism and
 universalising-idealisation respectively that where the epistemic-veracity of looking through
 a telescope and drawing positivistic ontological implications do not avail as in the scholastic-
 medievalism underpinning—suprasocial-construct or where construing meaningfulness in
 coherent universalising terms do not avail as in the non-universalising sophistry
 underpinning—suprasocial-construct, then there is a fundamental reality of desublimating
 ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity over which prospective sublimating ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity knowledge respectively as of budding-positivism and universalising-
 idealisation can only be established as of their respectively requisite ‘ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 psychologism>’ and naïve present day presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
 interpretations in terms of the supposed arrogance of the Socrates, Galileos, Descartes,
 Diderots, etc. is nothing more but a manifestation of dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-
 of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation (as to the failure to appreciate that the surpassing of
 human-subpotency aporeticism is all about originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-
 aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—

conceptualisation that only arises as of ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing’). Indeed, as to when such ‘relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ is institutionalised say with modern day positivism/rational-empiricism the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ of modern day scientific breakthroughs sublimation projected knowledge hardly put into question. Likewise, this insight about the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ for organic-knowledge needs to be explicated with regards to the blurriness of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with today’s institutional-being-and-craft intellectual muddlement with cynical, ridiculous and paradoxical pretenses of humility and sensibility/decorum that by that token (not unlike ancient sophistry and medieval-scholasticism) go on to induce ‘existentially invalid condescension’ as to their veridical desublimating presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ as of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity—structure. The fact is where such pretenses are nowhere found in the terrain of knowledge-reification but rather surreptitious enterprises of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> this signals their emperor has no clothes moment. In

this regards, as to ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
 logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking’ over
 ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
 logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing’,
 the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity existential-condescension-<of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ for organic-knowledge ‘speaks to an
 intellectual-and-moral responsibility associated with knowledge as of the requisite
 dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension for its elucidation and appropriate second-natured
 institutionalisation that is not dissociated from the very construction-of-the-Self’, and
 knowledge cannot thus be construed as ‘a minor and side thing of mere influencing and
 stature’ that is dissociated with veridical human mental-development and emancipation in
 order to rather surreptitiously serve human-subpotency as mortal
 methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising perverted purposes (as so-often
 implicitly construed by many a social dominance/vested-interest actor and sycophantic-
 sophistry throughout human history in eliciting <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
 implications> hardly showing disinterested interest in genuine knowledge). The blunt fact is
 that as explained above and clearly obvious with human living-development—as-to-
 personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development
 the ordinariness of meaningfulness-and-teleology is not to be exploited as if it is a credible
 state of profound ontological-veracity given the lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as to a
 disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-

ontological-contiguity> which pedantry and sophistry thrives on this lack of universal-transparency) with regards to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying the social intellectual-function. Intellectualism as such is much more than just about presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising enterprise as to the fact that ‘all given registry-worldviews/dimensions as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness underpinning—suprasocial-construct relate to their given meaningfulness-and-teleology in absolute terms whereas in reality there are veridically relative subontologisations of ontology as metaphysics-of-presence’; and it is here that the social intellectual-function comes in to veridically reflect the reality that a social-construct is not of absolute scalarisation of human ontological-performance for the possibility for its prospective scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation, and the social intellectual-function as such is not about a naivist ‘discrete functionalism of social-vestedness/normativity’ as otherwise the possibility for the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity right up to our present wouldn’t have availed speaking to our very own intellectual-and-moral responsibility for prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The social intellectual-function means that human thought can project beyond, overlook and override presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing conception of sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition; and so as to the fact that presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing actually tend to be skewed towards ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ over ‘non-immediacy sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’, and in fact in many ways individuals intersolipsistic actions in society implicitly recognise this reality even as the

overall underpinning—suprasocial-construct tends to be abstractly structured/paradigmed to skew towards ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’. Part and parcel of the social intellectual-function is to undermine this skewing towards ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ and reconstrue human-subpotency aporeticism in terms of ‘non-immediacy sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’. In this regards historically, without individuals making choices not to optimally pursue ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ as to their given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ but instead optimising their effort for ‘non-immediacy sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ then the possibility will not arise for the very backbone of human value and ontological-veracity sublimation (reflecting the ‘non-immediacy sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’) upon which ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ is grounded. History knows that the ‘contorted human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness mentality of registry-worldviews/dimensions’ as of ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ do not truly pay their dues to the Socrates, Descartes, Kant, Newtons, Leibniz, Rousseaux, Diderots, Einsteins, Teslas, etc. upon whose meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure building ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ arise and outlandishly skew human meaningfulness-and-teleology (and so not only with human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but is equally reflected in living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development). This insight is critically important not as an idle exercise of merely stating the appropriateness of sublimating value and ontological-

veracity disposition but in reflecting that the skewed underpinning—suprasocial-construct projected and structured/paradigmed ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ cannot be construed as absolute as in effect it will ultimately prospectively stultifying the requisite ‘non-immediacy sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ that acts as the backbone for human value and ontological-veracity sublimation (as has always been the manifest case for surpassing the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of registry-worldviews/dimensions). The fact is ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ as underlying presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness end up as the registry-worldviews/dimensions Establishments underpinning—suprasocial-construct as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation> of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing of social-vestedness/normativity and social-stake-contention-or-confliction. It is the ‘non-immediacy sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ (as reflected in human historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing) that goes beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and generate the requisite structural/paradigmatic sublimation-over-desublimation as reflected with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process while superseding ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ disposition of ‘immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition’ as the latter at best construes of social reformation (and so across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions) in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing subontologising palliative terms that as to their specifically defined ‘human social-vestedness/normativity implied contract/political-arrangement-or-political-coercion/given-discrete-social-value-construction’

are very much integrative of collateral aspects as imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction and thus by dulling the social-construct's conscience in this way rather distracts from the realisation and contemplation of the full possibilities for profound structural/paradigmatic transformation of 'non-immediacy sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition'. The subtle manifestation of the social implications of 'immediacy supposed sublimating value and ontological-veracity disposition' with regards to our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension can be appreciated in present day sycophantic-sophistry and intellectual-muddlement, media-driven disenfranchising narrative framing and dominance/vested-interest diffused institutional influence in many ways and occasions rendering formal and official languages of institutions smokescreens for underhanded <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives. In many ways this presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing analysis as to the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview's/dimension's structural/paradigmatic social institutional beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising implications is very much relevant however the underlying socio-econo-political subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology whether technocratic, capitalistic or communist (as in fact all such systems mirror each other as to their beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising, besides the differentiating specificities as to ingrained cultural context, speaking of a more fundamental issue of positivism–procrypticism ontological-performance as to the prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint for prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—

disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought); as to the fact that the underlying institutional formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of these systems are rather as of ‘dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation> of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing of social-vestedness/normativity and social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, and prospective human scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation rather points to prospective deprocrypticism aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology (as to the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation) dissociative/unbeholdening/bechancing—supererogation and parenthesising-in-deflation ‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing—or-withdrawal—or-metaphysics-of-absence—or-transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ as to ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising sublimation reclamation/recovery from beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising’ (so-construed as reclamation/recovery of unenframed-conceptualisation). Such a deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontological-performance (as to its fundamental ontology aspiration) is not oblivious to the ‘notional-symmetrisation-<as-to-symmetrisation-by-desymmetrisation-in-reflecting-dialectical-thinking-by-dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology> underlying human ontological-performance as to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions’ and ‘the facet of

the existentially-withdrawn-⟨as-‘unaccounted-for’-leftover-or-residuality-or-spirit-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-so-construed-as-metaphoricity,-informing-prospective-acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness,-so-reflected-and-compensated-with-the-notion-of-dimensionality-of-sublimating—⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation⟩ as limiting or of prospective human-subpotency aporeticism’ which surpassing as to human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure enables the possibility for human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩’; and the ‘deprocrypticism driving aesthetic-touch/aesthetic-sensibility of scalarising aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ is one that in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process projects of human ontological-performance as: formativeness-of-unintelligence-towards-intelligence, so-rearticulated as formativeness-⟨as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation⟩-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of unintelligence (beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising) towards intelligence (‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing—or-withdrawal—or-metaphysics-of-absence—or-transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ as to ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising sublimation reclamation/recovery from beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising’). It is herein contended that the veridical social intellectual-function (as to the creative dynamics of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-

framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and so across the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions as to its orientation towards reclamation/recovery of unenframed-conceptualisation is effectively what underlies the dissociative/unbeholdening/bechancing—supererogation and parenthesising-in-deflation possibility of all prospective human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology enabling the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity reflecting the fact that their underpinning—suprasocial-constructs as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing are otherwise hardly transcendental with regards to prospective construction-of-the-Self implications given their beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising.

It is for the sake of preserving the full possibilities of prospective human value and ontological-veracity sublimation beyond presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing that the social intellectual-function must ever always remain independent and not be usurped by dominance/vested-interest actors and sycophantic-sophistry. Ultimately as with all human uninstitutionalised-thresholds the prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ urges the human along beyond its limit of contemplation at which point such a taxingness-of-originariness upon human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality is more appropriately construed not as meaningfulness-and-teleology but metaphoricity as merely the setup for prospective human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibility for prospective

transcendence-and-sublimity; and this reality is what avails across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions instigated transcendence-and-sublimity for their respective prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the fact that the intemporal messianic-reasoning/reasoning-through instigation respectively of prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism are not actually as of meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather are as of metaphoricity with regards respectively to prior recurrent-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism-procrypticism, and so as to the fact that the latter (as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) are ever always urged along beyond their uninstitutionalised-thresholds given ‘taxingness-of-originariness upon human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ in the face of their ‘specifically given reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’ for the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibility enabling prospective transcendence-and-sublimity as effectively involving the veridically uninhibited/decomplexified <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness in cognisance-and-integration of the requisite ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity—structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism>’ for the availing of the organic-knowledge meaningfulness-and-teleology of base-institutionalisation,

universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism respectively (as to their
 respective ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure ‘inducing of their reference-of-
 thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying
 logical-basis of logical operation/processing/contention of narratives’ reflected as of their
 respectively induced ‘relative-ontological-completeness—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism enculturated/constructed social-
 pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity–sublimation-⟨as-to-underlying-ontological-
 commitment⟩’ imbued foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down–sublimation as to
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩). This conception of ‘ontological-good-
 faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-⟨of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism⟩’ rather speaks to the fact that ‘human
 thrownness-in-existence as to its limited-mentation-capacity is intimately tied-down/laden-
 with prospective human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor’ as to human teleology so-construed as ‘human
 phenomenal/manifest epistemic reflexivity in existence as ontological’, reflected as of overall
 panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-
 educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 conceptualisation. The underlying insight here is that unlike the flawed mental-reflex
 associated with presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that
 structurally/paradigmatically projects of a ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-
 performance state failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given thrownness-in-existence’, human
 thrownness-in-existence as to human limited-mentation-capacity veridically implies that

‘existence is not beholdening to that human thrownness and the critical human teleological as to ontological-performance issue is how to adjust to existence and is not about how existence adjusts to the human who is rather of a subpotent epistemic relation to the full-potency of existence’. The implication here is that the ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ is thus merely reflecting the veridicality of the possibility of prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity which is only possible as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation with regards to human formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus it is only the possibility of ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ that can thus allow human existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation beyond naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (given that human ontological-performance cannot be neutrally be separated from human thrownness-in-existence and the reflexive temporal-to-intemporal ontological implications on human ontological-performance). This insight can be illustrated as follows: supposed say in 5000 BC an asteroid or virus could bring about a human cataclysm, such a ‘potential manifestation of existence is not beholdening to human appreciation of the existential implications of the notion and science behind the asteroid or virus’ and in this regard suppose extraterrestrials living in a ‘supposedly habitable Mars’ had achieved our present day civilisational and technological level, it is inevitable that they will effectively adopt ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ with regards to the human species on

Earth and strife to preempt such a cataclysm as to their technical capacity. We can appreciate that the human species on Earth as to its relative-ontological-incompleteness doesn't have a pretence to being of a 'neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance state failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given thrownness-in-existence' but together with the extraterrestrials is rather structurally/paradigmatically in existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation relation as to the primacy of the full-potency of existence over any subpotency (speaking fundamentally to prior human ontological-commitment) with regards to the fact that the ontological-veracity of all humans as human-subpotency is priorly of existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation superseding pretenses of mere methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising presciences as to entitlements of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness articulated induced elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Speaking of the requisite 'owning-up' as to when relative-ontological-completeness avails rather than ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity in upholding relative-ontological-incompleteness (given that immortality/existence-perspective as to intemporality cannot be construed as arising from our prior mortals whims superseding of existential sublimation entailment and such presumption rather speaks to dementing and not dialectical-thinking). It is this pre-eminence of existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation that explains why the availing of relative-ontological-completeness as to dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation takes precedence in defining human intellectual-and-moral ontological-performance and so as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal. This thrownness-in-existence implied existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-

desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-
 <of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ effectively underlies the
 ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian
 knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-
 disempowerment’, as the premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-
 psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> from which human meaningfulness-and-
 teleology veridically arises. Besides such a more stark elucidation as to Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, existential-discursivity—implicated-
 sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure
 existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’, thus
 points to the primacy of ‘the very thrownness-in-existence of human discursivity as to the
 possibility for prospective existential sublimation’ so-reflected in originariness-parrhesia,—as-
 spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection
 as to overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness–equalisation. The bigger point here is that prospective human sublimation
 underlying prospective knowledge-reification in relative-ontological-completeness cannot be
 engaged with any given registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness
 as if the latter is of a ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance state
 failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-
 deficiency arising from its specifically given thrownness-in-existence’ with regards to the
 fact that human thrownness-in-existence is already engaged in existential-discursivity—
 implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–
 structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–

psychologism>’ (and so very much countering the deceptive eliciting in desublimation of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> by dominance/vested-interest actors and sycophantic-sophistry seeming to imply human-subpotency takes precedence over existence). In this regards, and in the bigger scheme of things existential-discursivity—implicated-sublimation-over-desublimation as to ‘ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure existential-condescension-<of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism>’ implies that as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, the respective state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism cannot be construed as of ‘neutrally/objectively sound human ontological-performance state failing to factor in human specific apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—ontological-deficiency arising from its specifically given thrownness-in-existence’ with respect to prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism respectively; and as relative-ontological-completeness avails intellectual-and-moral responsibility is rather reflected as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation. Unlike it is often assumed from a sloppy conception of human sublimation in existence (caught up in any given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness self-justification of uncertainty of prospective human sublimation), the comprehensive coherence of human sublimation in existence as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal is effectively highly regular and consistent (and this can only be fully appreciated from an ontologically sound conception of ‘existence as of its immanently tautologous coherence speaking to its ontological-contiguity’ as to the

possibility for intelligibility to arise as so-reflected with the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process so-associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)). This conflation in the perception and relation to human sublimation in existence between metaphysics-of-presence as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ on the one hand and on the other hand difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as to relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, is aptly reflected in the entangled/enmeshed nature of human sublimation in existence as reflected with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This is so fundamentally because of human teleology speaking of ‘human phenomenal/manifest epistemic reflexivity in existence as ontological’ (as reflecting the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process); such that human sublimation is hardly ‘purist’ and rather occurring as from successive human registry-worldviews/dimensions projections of their specifically flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness given apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. The insight here is that human state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness structurally/paradigmatically impacts reflexively on human appraisal of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness sublimation implications, and so across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions right up to the

originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
 perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which purportedly escapes any such reflexive
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of its
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
 conceptualisation. The so-implied notional-deprocrypticism as such points out that the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is rather associated with a
 ‘directly relevant trace of prospective human effectively-purist-sublimation as to existence—
 as-sublimating-withdrawal’ but that, as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness construals/conceptualisations, that
 ‘directly relevant trace of prospective human effectively-purist-sublimation as to existence—
 as-sublimating-withdrawal’ is rather ‘beholdening wrongly upon the overall relative-
 ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ such
 that a contrasting assessment rather highlights the ‘entangling/enmeshing of prospective
 effectively-purist-sublimation and overall relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation
 construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology induced desublimating of the prospective
 effectively-purist-sublimation’ as to the concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-
 ontological-performance of overall prospective sublimation. Human sublimation as such in
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is
 existentially susceptibly instigated mostly as of materially/technically induced sublimation
 associated with tools, equipment, technical knowhow and natural science as to their
 immediately amenable positive-opportunism social implications ultimately leading to
 subsequent human methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising sublimating
 overall meaningfulness-and-teleology. But the overall structure/paradigm of human

sublimation in existence as such is not always coherent as to the discrepancy in the occurrence of specific sublimations and desublimations say material and technical sublimation pointing to relative-ontological-completeness and ‘immaterial/social relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct as to induced desublimation of the material and technical sublimation’. In this regards, we can appreciate how the subsequent immaterial/social sublimation required for prospective positivism/rational-empiricism came to be appreciated by such thinkers like the Rousseaux, Diderots, etc. as to the fact that the material possibilities of their epoch associated with the printing press and increasing technical knowhow rendered the immaterial/social relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct conception of their epoch wanting, explaining for instance Rousseau’s appreciation of the ‘noble savage’ and nature as speaking to an aporeticism that recognised that mankind needed a more mature conception of interhuman relationship and human relation with nature as to when mankind/some-of-mankind began manifesting a more developed relationship with nature beyond just as of the immediacy of subsistence/survival relationship with nature (say for instance having technical more efficient guns with gunpowder didn’t imply just killing animals at whim); thus speaking of the prospectively requisite immaterial/social sublimation as to prospective positivism/rational-empiricism dialectical-thinking. In this regards even budding-positivists like Galileo, Descartes, etc. just as well implicitly recognised this discrepancy of prospective material and technical sublimation positivistic science in relative-ontological-completeness and the immaterial/social relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct conception of medieval-scholasticism associated with alchemic/magical thinking, to the point that in many ways their actions were directed towards articulating at the very least an underlying ‘scientific—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism enculturated/constructed social-pragmatics-framing-of—predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment)’ as the requisite

immaterial/social sublimation for enabling positivistic science as we know it today to arise. This very insight explains the enlightenment struggle against feudalism and slavery as to the fact that the technical and scientific progress as to relative-ontological-completeness weren't the occasion to put such technical and scientific progress like shipbuilding and other ocean voyage technologies at the service of the prior mediievally clouded immaterial/social relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct value-construct and methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising enframing, but rather called for a renewed conceptualisation of humanity beyond a mentality of immediate subsistence/survival. Thus it is always the case that the positive-opportunism driving the second-natured institutionalisation of human sublimation induces discrepancy as to immediate material and technical possibilities of sublimation and the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension immaterial/social sublimation considerations that rise to the aporetic challenge of the immediate material and technical possibilities of sublimation. In many ways this discrepancy of material and technical sublimation and immediate distortive immaterial/social desublimation is reflected in the 'historicity-tracing-imbued-hyperrealisation gimmickiness/desublimation relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology' of our positivism-procrypticism, for instance as associated with an 'underlying dumbing-down public intellection and media industry'; as media-access and its commercialisation function in many ways rather adhocly substitutes-for/undermines a profound social intellectual-function as to social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications. The further implication of this discrepancy is in highlighting that the supposed equanimity/balance of the overall politico-institutional system as to sublimating notions of sovereignty, democracy, free-will, etc. is only veridically effective as to the originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of

notional-deprocrypticism given the perpetual challenge of material sublimation upon human immaterial/social relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct; as prospective material/technical sublimation is associated with a discrepant ‘immaterial/social relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct as to induced desublimation of the material and technical sublimation’ that goes on as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness to render the supposed equanimity/balance of the overall politico-institutional system as to sublimating notions of sovereignty, democracy, free-will, etc. increasingly of relic/artifactual human ontological-performance reflected in their failing effective outcomes of equanimity/balance; wherein their practice increasingly tends to dominance/vested-interest actors and sycophantic-sophistry induced desublimating narratives as to the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> displayed in the public domain (caught-up/entrapped in ‘a politico-institutional beholdening relic/artefactual disenfranchising notion of both-sides’ as psyching-subterfuge that renders the common concrete pragmatic aspirations of sovereign individuals increasingly politically irrelevant as to the paradox for instance that the post-war healthier political framework , as hardly subject to closed-circles of effective direct/indirect politico-institutional influence rampant today, notwithstanding its even greater social prejudice/bigotry/closed-mindedness was able to induce critical progressive social transformations that in many ways the present day political framework as to a period of rather profound and real-world cosmopolitanism/opened-mindedness can only dream about) as the more potent possibilities for social transformation are increasingly subdued under politico-institutional defaulting frameworks-and-practices rather surreptitiously subjected to closed-circles of effective direct/indirect politico-institutional influence ‘as to a strategic capacity to elicit old and

relatively aporetically irrelevant beholdening narratives of identity as a divide-and-conquer strategy for undermining the real and concrete common social sovereign narrative of social transformation possibilities’ as so-reflected with commonly objective sovereign aspirations that cut across party/ideological affiliations when not subjected to the disenfranchising effects of crafty politicised beholdening narratives of identity with their ‘ad-hoc popping-up at critical electoral moments involving high emotional charge quelling cerebral thinking as of the modern day efficient disenfranchising technique of flawed apriorising deception involving arbitrarily-skewing-or-debasing-the-terms-of-supposedly-constructively-opened-public-debate’ (as to the wrong mental enculturation of the notion that the ‘political game’ in-of-itself precedes individuals and social sovereign aspirations as if the latter were just ‘paying fans to a sports encounter’ rather than serving the latter as so reflected with an enculturated media political narrative hardly/poorly making room for direct individual and social sovereign aspirations as centrally defining such that substance is increasingly overwhelmed by political characters portrayal of the political debate with political actors then effectively turning over rather towards the levers of their potential power which is paradoxically not necessarily/deterministically social sovereign aspirations as to a relic/artifactual projection in the public domain but rather surreptitious/private closed-circles of effective direct/indirect politico-institutional influence plainly exposed by the long-term consequences of public policies recurrently ‘defaulting for dominance/vested-interest actors’). Even in the purely intellectual sense, modern day scientific advancements and achievements have correspondingly given rise to a distorted manifestation of science ideology as a usurpatory mouthpiece of veridical science-in-practice that effectively rides the wave of natural sciences accomplishments and in so doing projects of a naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic conception of science that in many cases poorly reflects upon effective scientific practices and craft as it poorly appreciates the dynamics of the overall

human knowledge and scientific enterprise as to the aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology underlying the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, so-reflected from such science ideology poor appreciation of the implications of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing render the scientific adventure as of a living existential-contextualising-contiguity exercise. Such that by this token science ideology conception of science the requisite dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟨ implications in fully appreciating human underlying aestheticisation scheming in conceptualising existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal behind the ultimate development of human knowledge and science is lost to a flatminded interpretation of human progress based on the mere elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity conception of methods/methodologies/approaches as to mere reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation with a poor appreciation for prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation. Further science ideology as to its dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation equally fails to appreciate how prior human aestheticisation scheming including human superstitions, belief systems and religions were a necessary pathway to the present even as modern science demonstrates their limits (given that we are an animal of limited-mentation-capacity reflected as to our human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality to which the notion of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is vital for perpetually enhancing that limited-mentation-capacity as of our aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology); as such mystical/spiritual narratives were veridically ‘trialing aestheticisation frameworks of human apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation as of the affirmatory possibilities inducible as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’ that ultimately enabled and propelled human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ (so-associated with the strong selective cultural diffusion as to the sublimating strengthening and anchoring upon the social-setup such mystical/spiritual narratives enabled), and so as to the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure that led to our present day non-superstitious clairvoyance/clearsightedness with the important insight that since human aestheticisation scheming has always been central and preceding human aestheticisation-towards-ontology (as even manifested in modern day natural sciences creativity), it would be foolhardy to adopt a mental-disposition as of science ideology that poorly recognises the critical creative role for human aestheticisation in the perpetual development of human meaningfulness-and-teleology. The veracity of human sublimation with regards to the ‘directly relevant trace of prospective human effectively-purist-sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’ as rather ‘beholdening wrongly upon the overall relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is the more accurate conception in reflecting the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, and so as to: ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency

ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the
 disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity-over-deselectivity-of-
 ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, as the driver of the human-subpotency potentiating
 existential becoming manifestation of sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-
 institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring all
 along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; as
 it dynamically induces (as of ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-
 restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-
 ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-
 subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’) successive prospective reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning for reasoning-from-results/afterthought as the secondnature-
 institutionalisation of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-
 reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed as ‘generating
 varying human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as to their pre-
 eminence as of their ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness’. Critically thus the veracity of human sublimation is rather as to
 the originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
 perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of deprocrypticism as effectively
 reflecting existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal, and so as to the fact that the

deprocrypticism given ‘directly relevant trace of prospective human effectively-purist-sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’ is not ‘beholdening wrongly upon the overall relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This projected deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective points out that human sublimation in existence actually reflects the overall ontological-contiguity as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing (as to their instigating relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism)’ manifested as of the notional-symmetrisation-<as-to-symmetrisation-by-desymmetrisation-in-reflecting-dialectical-thinking-by-dementing-perspectives-of-human-meaningfulness-and-teleology> of the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This further highlights that the prospectively defining possibilities for unleashing further human sublimation (and so over ‘beholdening wrongly upon the overall relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’) will stall without the appropriate reconciling of the ‘overall relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to the prospective comprehensive meaningfulness-and-teleology implications of the instigated relative-ontological-completeness prospective effectively-purist-sublimation, and so as to ‘reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing (as to the instigating relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism)’ prospective reconciling. This is fundamentally the case because the implied dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation inducing the nascent particular material/technical

sublimations is lost to the prior ‘overall relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as to a narrow-minded positive-opportunism driven exploitation of such nascent particular material/technical sublimations while failing to come to terms as to construing the <formative>epistemic-totalising relative-ontological-completeness implications with regards to ‘reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought–devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing (as to the instigating relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism)’; thus inducing the discrepant ‘immaterial/social relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct as to induced desublimation of the material and technical sublimation’ that is associated with human social subontologising of nascent particular material/technical sublimations. This insight underlines the fact that instigated ‘relative-ontological-completeness nascent particular sublimations’ (as associated with nascent particular material/technical sublimations) ‘critically points to an overall nascent knowledge-reification gesturing directly or indirectly prescient to a comprehensive meaningfulness-and-teleology conception of the given prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension’ as so-reflected with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions given ‘reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought–devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing (as to the instigative relative-ontological-completeness—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism)’ underlying specific overall-knowledge-reification-gesturings as so-manifested with any such relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension ‘specific overall-knowledge-reification-gesturing-<in variously devolving axiomatising-conjugations as reflected by its nascent particular sublimations>’. The specific overall-knowledge-reification-gesturings of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (as reflecting the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process)

projectively entail ‘reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing’, and so as from: recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘non-rules overall-knowledge-reification-gesturing-<in variously devolving axiomatising-conjugations as reflected by its nascent particular sublimations>’ (as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing’), base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules overall-knowledge-reification-gesturing-<in variously devolving axiomatising-conjugations as reflected by its nascent particular sublimations>’ (as base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation ‘reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing’), universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism ‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules overall-knowledge-reification-gesturing-<in variously devolving axiomatising-conjugations as reflected by its nascent particular sublimations>’ (as universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism ‘reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing’), positivism–procrypticism ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules overall-knowledge-reification-gesturing-<in variously devolving axiomatising-conjugations as reflected by its nascent particular sublimations>’ (as positivism–procrypticism ‘reference-of-thought–and–reference-of-thought-devolving–meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing’), and prospectively deprocrypticism ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules overall-knowledge-reification-

gesturing-<in variously devolving axiomatising-conjugations as reflected by its nascent particular sublimations>' (as deprocrypticism 'reference-of-thought-and-reference-of-thought-devolving-meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing'). This comprehensive elucidation highlights that human sublimation is not inherently haphazard as the wrong perception of haphazardness arises as from the varying presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic-projection perspectives whereas from a comprehensive notional-deprocrypticism epistemic-projection perspective in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence human sublimation is rather wrongly apparently haphazard because of human limited-mentation-capacity epistemic-projection perspectives of apprehension of prospective sublimation with 'the ontological-veracity of notional-deprocrypticism epistemic-projection perspective associated with comprehensive human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) rather reflecting the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process structural/paradigmatic coherence of human sublimation as of successive reference-of-thought-and-reference-of-thought-devolving-meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing'. Thus such a deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence perspective warrants the requisite <formative>epistemic-totalising relative-ontological-completeness appraisal of singularly induced prospective sublimations as to projected overall human reference-of-thought-and-reference-of-thought-devolving-meaningfulness-and-teleology imbued <formative>epistemic-totalising relative-ontological-completeness implications as of 'reference-of-thought-and-reference-of-thought-devolving-meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing'. Critically this discrepancy between 'relative-ontological-completeness nascent particular sublimations' (as associated with nascent particular material/technical sublimations) and 'overall relative-ontological-incompleteness

presublimation construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (as involving ‘immaterial/social relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct as to induced desublimation of the material and technical sublimation’ associated with human social subontologising of nascent particular material/technical sublimations); is effectively the hallmark of all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing as to their <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and beyond just the ontological implications with respect to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as highlighted above this is equally reflected as to a human living-development–as-to-personality-development and institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions inclination for presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing (so-reflected as of human ‘formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-differentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology): defining the construal/conceptualisation of human transcendence-and-sublimity (with regards to the requisite human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>). Such an ultimate construal of human self-surpassing as to the notional-deprocrypticism epistemic-projection perspective in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence effectively grapples with the requisite ‘<formative>epistemic-

totalising relative-ontological-completeness implications as of reference-of-thought—and—reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology comprehensiveness of sublimation gesturing’ as so-elicited by ‘relative-ontological-completeness nascent particular sublimations’. Insightfully this can be reflected upon creatively as the requisite underlying deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought institutionally projected (implying structural/paradigmatic institutionalising of prospective scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation) ‘<formative>unbeholdening-<as-to-dissociative/unbeholdening/bechancing—supererogation and parenthesising-in-deflation>parameterisation/reparameterisation for prospective aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (as of human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and so as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal. Such a ‘deprocrypticism institutionalised <formative>unbeholdening parameterisation/reparameterisation for prospective aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (as to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) will call into question as of pure ontology the very apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism defining overall human social-stake-contention-or-confliction associated with such notions like tribes, nations, races, regions, etc. (and any other notions) as of their structured/paradigmed dehumanising implications (and so rather as of their degeneracy/breaking-down/distortion of human ontological-performance from the more apt ontological-normalcy/postconvergence conception of the human as to humanity); so-reflected by a beholdening conceptualisation/construal of the human as of their underpinning—suprasocial-construct

implied presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing as being ‘the imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable framework of human agency’. However, as to a constructive knowledge-reification gesturing with respect to the haunting fact of human thrownness-in-existence as to any such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing speaking to such a thrownness, such a ‘deprocrypticism institutionalised <formative>unbeholdening parameterisation/reparameterisation for prospective aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is more immediately-and-constructively bound to ‘appraise the conception of sovereign equanimity/balance driving human agency imbued sublimation as to <formative>epistemic-totalising relative-ontological-completeness implications’. This double epistemic orientation to ‘deprocrypticism institutionalised <formative>unbeholdening parameterisation/reparameterisation for prospective aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology’ can be understood in the sense that just as we can appreciate that if supposedly we are found in say an exclusively animistic social-setup with supposedly no possibility to rejoin a positivistic social-setup, while at the very least we appreciate that the material/technical capacity of a positivistic social-setup overall meaningfulness-and-teleology will enhance such an animistic social-setup, the fact remains that our thrownness in the animistic social-setup requires at least a basic engagement tolerable to its meaningfulness-and-teleology before any pretense to a projection of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology (as can so be appreciated with the cultural diffusion encounters throughout human history). In this regards as to a decisively globalising world we can’t conceive that ours will be the human generation bereft of ‘profound diffusionary/non-diffusionary aestheticisation insight’ given the increasingly relic/artefactual nature of traditional cultures in our modern age as to the potent lack of prospective creative

aestheticisation off-the-beaten-path of an increasing convergence deadening of the possibility prospective reappraisals of human meaningfulness-and-teleology (as so-construed as of the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation), as to the fact that overall human beholdening inclination (as to any defining overall relative-ontological-incompleteness presublimation construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology concerned mostly with human living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development in the priorly achieved Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) rather tends to reconverge to shallow thrownness-in-existence concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance as reflected by the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing (when it comes to human overall ‘aestheticisation as reflecting the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’); thus as not necessarily speaking of the absolute possibility of human consciousness projection in want for its recurrent parameterisation/reparameterisation in optimising human ontological-performance (and our positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension cannot be overlooked in this regards notwithstanding the fact that it is at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure). But then just like with all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, our positivism—procrypticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing effectively projects a hurdle to any such structural/paradigmatic deprocrypticism conception of re-ontologisation as to its inherent <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag poorly

amenable to profound alternative institutional aestheticising contemplation ‘given its calamitous conception and relation to the possibility for prospective re-ontologisation from its subontologisation’ such that any such profound alternative institutional aestheticising contemplation are traditionally bound to arise as disruptive institutional transformations whether or not involving power-showdown as associated with sudden/revolutionary transformations with ‘their drawback of having to think on their feet inducing deficient ontological-performance as well as social apprehension which is then enigmatically held against them’ (however the merits of their underlying case) very much unlike ‘the latitude for articulating conceptualisations available for presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing’ (however their structural/paradigmatic flaws). Today manifestations (in the political domain) of protest votes for instance, more than just a question of poor political leadership actually has to do in many ways with ‘an alienating politico-institutional entrapment/frame-up of sovereign choice’ within the supposed democratic process that ‘forestalls-and-narrows as of strategic rules and processes’ the effective political fulfilment of individual and social sovereign choices inducing anti-sovereign consequences as to defaulting policy consequences to dominance/vested-interest actors without truly being institutionally subject to competing profound alternative institutional aestheticising contemplation given their institutional ascendance. Such a beholdening presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing skews the fundamental ontology question by its inherent <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag gatekeeping stifling of the possibility for inquiring on the ontological-veracity of its practice as to a reflex for advancing the quietude of social-vestedness/normativity. This latter issue is the ultimate challenge to prospective ‘deprocrypticism institutionalised <formative>unbeholdening parameterisation/reparameterisation for prospective aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-

towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology’; as to the paradox that a social-setup as to its thrownness-in-existence is so pragmatically self-focussed that its aestheticisation and hence aestheticisation-towards-ontology dynamic-potential as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal is narrowed however its level of development (explaining the decisiveness/criticality of cultural diffusion imbued originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in re-ontologisation accompanying the human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as can be appreciated throughout human history). This is explained by the fact that the human can relatively easily appreciate the ontological-pertinence of new practices arising as from outside cultural diffusion but it is very much difficult to reconstrue of such practices as from the taxingness-of-originariness involved in surpassing an internalised <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag’ posture; and this very much explains the double epistemic orientation to ‘deprocrypticism institutionalised <formative>unbeholdening parameterisation/reparameterisation for prospective aestheticisation—and—aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology’ highlighted above (as to the requisite to feed our thrownness-in-existence decisively globalising world with re-originariness/re-origination as from the capacity for pure ontology). The so-construed notional-deprocrypticism epistemicity conception of predicative-effectivity—sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) as to the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process provides the requisite basis for prospective human ontological-performance convergence towards ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’, and so as to the fact that prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as of its superseding/transcending conception (beyond ‘social-construct <formative>epistemic-totalising given institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds imbued secondnaturing’) technically equates to ‘supposed human-subpotency abstract self-

determinative ontological-performance capacity as to the full-potency of existence’ so-
implied with the protensive-consciousness ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-
of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism
deneuterising—referentialism’; and so as to the effective construal of the possibilities of
human meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond ‘mere
methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising as of human-subpotency non-
scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-
distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation> in presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’. However, in effect despite the reality of
‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-
structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’, the human
psychology in any of its registry-worldview/dimension presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness paradoxically projects a notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-
aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> wrongly implying it is actually as of
‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’, as to its
ontological-performance; and so as the very manifest condition of human thrownness-in-
existence. This reflects the sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-
reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> nature of all registry-
worldviews/dimensions meaningfulness-and-teleology however the more-and-more profound
ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology with relative-
ontological-completeness implications as to prospective psychoanalytic-
unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure induced re-apriorising/re-
axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysettingup/re-measuringinstrumenting as
conflating towards the possibility of ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-

normalcy/postconvergence’; so-implied as of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding/overriding prior reference-of-thought temporally neuterising ‘interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology. The more critical issue thus has to do with how relative ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity arises, and so as to the ‘reclamation/recovery of unenframed-conceptualisation beyond any sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’. Given the <formative>epistemic-totalising nature of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, the sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ in effect reflexively assumes its ontological-performance is as of ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’; with the consequence that the human <formative>epistemic-totalising conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology develops an ‘aestheticisation of enframing/imprintedness’ that unconsciously/surreptitiously overrides any abstract notion of purist ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as to its incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation and ‘reflexively presupposes its very own purist ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology’. In this regards, any registry-worldview/dimension as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness is, more-or-less as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness, ‘a usurpation of abstract purist ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology projected as of

notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’; so-reflected by all registry-worldviews/dimensions forward-facing constructiveness-of-ontological-performance as to social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The structural/paradigmatic nature of any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness given ‘aestheticisation of enframing/imprintedness’ as usurping/overriding ‘notional-deprocrypticism abstract purist ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology’ as to non-presencing—or-withdrawal—or-metaphysics-of-absence—or-transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination; is existentially so-reflected as to ‘dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation> of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness social-vestedness/normativity, and underlined with the registry-worldview/dimension priorly defining human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in re-engaging with ontological-veracity as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) for prospective sublimation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The implication here is one of a ‘double-faceted recurrence structure/paradigm of overlapping human sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> subversion/undermining (so-construed as enframed-conceptualisation) of the possibility of prospective purist human ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology implications’ (as to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology); so-reflected in the sublimating possibility for prospective ‘bechancing-backdrop of non-presencing-or-withdrawal-or-metaphysics-of-absence-or-transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’ as to ‘bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising sublimation reclamation/recovery from beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising’ (so-construed as reclamation/recovery of unenframed-conceptualisation). Critically, enframed-conceptualisation and unenframed-conceptualisation possibilities as so articulated rather speak to human limited-mentation-capacity idiosyncratically imbued paradoxical social behavioural characterisations arising from ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ reflexively assuming human ontological-performance as of ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. Thus a ‘notional/epistemic disquisitive enframed-conceptualisation-by-unenframed-conceptualisation knowledge-reification constructive conception’ for prospective ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology (so-construed as disquisitive ‘scalarising of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence analysis of ‘human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’) as so-relevantly analysable across the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions (as reflecting the underlying ‘human social psychology of dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-

of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation> of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’) involves presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing manifestations as to:

- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing with the subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation> (with the latter rather epistemically analysed as from the originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> perspective of notional-deprocrypticism implied ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ underlying the possibility for prospective scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation as reflecting the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness unenframed-conceptualisation necessary for prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and its induced prospective living-development-as-to-personality-development and institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction, as otherwise an analysis as to ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing with the subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation>’ implied as of ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ will wrongly project the accomplishment of prospective ontologisation and value-construction as from presencing—

absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as to its prior Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness
 enframed-conceptualisation induced living-development-as-to-personality-development and
 institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction and wrongly implying that any given registry-worldview/dimension is an
 imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in-
 surmountable/unovercomable framework since it fails to factor in how registry-
 worldviews/dimensions are transcended for prospective re-ontologisation and value-
 construction in the sense that it is ‘outlier human intemporal-disposition rescalarisation of
 ontologisation and value-construction within any given registry-worldview/dimension that
 induce prospective sublimation-over-desublimation meaningfulness-and-teleology
 infrastructure thus effectively superseding any such given registry-worldview/dimension
 underpinning-suprasocial-construct prior conception of ontologisation and value-
 construction’ and so as to the underlying ‘tight-and-entwined relationship between the overall
 human ontological-commitment across all registry-worldviews/dimensions and predicative-
 effectivity-sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment) inherent in the
 ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ perspective that
 such outlier intemporal-disposition can induce, and with such ‘outlier human intemporal-
 disposition rescalarisation of ontologisation and value-construction induced sublimation-
 over-desublimation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure’
 structurally/paradigmatically explaining the possibility for the succession of registry-
 worldviews/dimensions as to their induced induced living-development-as-to-personality-
 development and institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development social-stake-
 contention-or-confliction),

- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing (beyond ‘subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation>’), structurally/paradigmatically speaks to underpinning—suprasocial-construct inherent susceptibility to subontologisation associated with the descalarisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected with <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and thus ‘prospective reference-of-thought re-ontologisation as to rescalarisation’ in many ways occurs rather as an outlier intemporal mental-reflex of rescalarisation however its criticality for the underpinning—suprasocial-construct prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with the reality of all such induced re-ontologisation whether with say the Socratic philosophers and budding-positivists rescalarisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology effectively implying a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with the prior registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness descalarisation in inducing the requisite positive-opportunism for prospective sublimation of the underpinning—suprasocial-construct since the underpinning—suprasocial-construct appreciation of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology most critically arises only as the backdrop for prospective induced living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction in the sense that the underpinning—suprasocial-construct appreciation of Socratic philosophy and

budding-positivism didn't arise as to their abstractly articulated universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism respectively (explaining their persecution at that instigative stage) but only took hold respectively as to the positive-opportunism respectively of a universalising-idealisation backdrop and positivism/rational-empiricism backdrop for the subsequent induced living-development-as-to-personality-development and institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction implications these ushered at which point the need to draw from their respective meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure for prospective induced living-development-as-to-personality-development and institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction then elicited their appreciation (as to the fact that the rescalarising re-ontologisation respectively as of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought over the respective subontologisation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construed as descalarising, rather speak of a 'messianic-structure of intemporality' and its derived deferential-formalisation-transference secondnaturing that goes well beyond the sophistic/pedantic contemplative pertinence of any of the transcended registry-worldview/dimension caught up in its <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) with the further implication that such 'a merely manifest positive-opportunism underpinning—suprasocial-construct conception of the instigative dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for prospective Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology rather as to the positive-opportunism
backdrop for prospective induced living-development-as-to-personality-development and
institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-
confliction’ in its ontologically-deficient originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-
aestheticisation implies an aloofness to the ‘messianic-structure of intemporality’ as of the
overall existential dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-equalisation as the inherent ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure
effectively reflected as of notional-deprocrypticism such that such a underpinning—
suprasocial-construct conception as of positive-opportunism will rather be in a
complexification of positivism-procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought that
can’t truly contemplate of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought which is a notion beyond just the possibility for secondnature
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-
aestheticisation as so-reflected by the requisite inducing of the capacity for originariness-
parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection as to overall existential
dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-equalisation as the
inherent ontological-good-faith/authenticity-structure to truly contemplate of
deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of rescalarition
possibilities for re-ontologisation. In this regards with respect to presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness enframing conception of social-stake-contention-or-confliction in
its <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, in many ways the core incipient/nascent/instigative social intellectual-function as keeping opened/alive the ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ is about an intemporal-disposition that is consummated as to its unenframed-conceptualisation and so in ‘articulating the universal-transparency of the dead-end as to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of the presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing with respect to its implications for prospective induced living-development—as-to-personality-development and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ and thus ushering the possibility for prospective ontological-good-faith/authenticity within-and-without such presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing in renewing the social intellectual-function engagement for such prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so as to the fact that Socratic philosophers were more critically/precisely involved in reparadigming/restructuring thought rather as of philosophy implied universalising-idealisation ontological-good-faith/authenticity over non-universalising sophistry ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) implications of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness projection beyond just an absolutising divide between philosophers/sophists as reflected by the fact of Socratic philosophers engagement with supposed sophists as to the eliciting of the universal-transparency of philosophy implied universalising-idealisation as ontological-good-faith/authenticity over non-universalising sophistry as ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity and likewise in many

ways budding-positivists were rather critically/precisely involved in the eliciting of the universal-transparency of positivism/rational-empiricism as ontological-good-faith/authenticity over non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism as ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, and in both cases respectively projected the universal-transparency that prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology resided respectively with universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-empiricism with respect to any solipsistic ontological-good-faith/authenticity inclination notwithstanding any prior influences it had, and effectively the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process speaks to the fact that (as to their mere formulaic reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology that fail prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—conceptualisation) recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism and positivism—procrypticism are dead-ends of human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology so-reflected as from notional-deprocrypticism implied ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. Ultimately, ‘human-subpotency non-scalarity/beholdening-<as-to-what-has-gone-before-aesthetically-structures/paradigms-distortedly-the-possibility-for-the-later-ontologisation>’ speaks to a mental-disposition that reflexively assumes incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation as to the priority of meaningfulness-and-teleology and value-construction

as of induced living-development-as-to-personality-development and institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development social-stake-contention-or-confliction enamoured to the prior ontologically-deficient/relative-ontological-incompleteness Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with a poorer capacity for the dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for the more profound implications of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ projected maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness unenframed-conceptualisation (given that originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) implications is as of the apriorising conflatedness of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implied maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness unenframed-conceptualisation and not apriorising constitutedness implied incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness enframed-conceptualisation) and in many ways structurally/paradigmatically explains the engrained manifestation for the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions elapsing into ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing with the subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation>’,

- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enfaming skewed <formative>epistemic-totalising conception of value-construction as to social-vestedness/normativity,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enfaming construed as the imponderable/inscrutable/unavoidable/inevitable/inescapable/unpreventable/unchangeable/in surmountable/unovercomable framework with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enfaming <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag closed framework of sanctified probity and probationary exercise,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enfaming social disenfranchising underlying desublimating influence-networking-<subverting-supposedly-universal-possibilities-and-opportunities> falsely construed as prospectively sublimating,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enfaming flawed exemplifying/epitomising/palliation as supposedly sublimation in substitution of relevant ontological optimisation exercise for prospective sublimation,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enfaming institutional and social dysfunctional stultifying/hampering as to constricted enframned outlets of sublimation and defensive institutional threatening of chaos with regards to unenframed prospective sublimation possibilities it construes as valuelessness,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enfaming institutionalised pedantising/muddling desublimation in undermining unenframed prospective sublimation possibilities,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enfaming desublimation as to formulaic hollowing-out/pedantising of priorly induced sublimation,

- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing catchmenting of budding sublimating ontologisation and value-construction into its constricted desublimating enframing of institutionalised social-vestedness/normativity undermining the full potential for prospective ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology and value-construction,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing structural/paradigmatic demobilisation of human sovereign and full prospective sublimation capacity,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing sophistic/pedantic <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives eliciting of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as to preempting prospectively subverting sublimation,
- presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing structurally/paradigmatically construing as calamitous the possibility for prospective re-ontologisation from its subontologisation; with ‘human superseding of so-articulated presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing’ keeping opened/alive the ‘scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. Critically, scalarisation analysis operantly implies projecting the implied ‘scaling/scalar of reference’ as from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective implications of analysis as to the prospective possibilities for ‘human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this regards, it can very much be appreciated that human scalarisation potential (existentially manifestable as of successive

rescalarisation as re-ontologisation as to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 {formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal}
 implications) reflects all the sublimation-over-desublimation possibility for the full
 possibility of human ontological-performance as can be so-construed as from notional-
 deprocrypticism prospectively implied originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>. But
 then inevitably human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{formative>epistemic-
 totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} implications speaks to
 tandemisation/abstractive-conjugation/perspectivation/depth—as-to-mental-aestheticising-
 attuning in the sense that human descalarisation is already caught up in the human aspiration
 for scalarisation as to the underlying sublimating-by-desublimating <formative>epistemic-
 totalising manifestation of aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology. In this
 respect, scalarisation analysis is a projection beyond just a conceptually implied
 originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-
 perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> but is comprehensively and
 notionally/epistemically reflective of underlying structural/paradigmatic rescalarisation and
 descalarisation of human ontological-performance as to human limited-mentation-capacity
 implications. This incipient descalarisation reflex is critically manifested by the fact that the
 human is structurally/paradigmatically as of its thrownness-in-existence (as so-attendant of
 overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—
 imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation abstractly) imbued with human
 ‘formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-
 and-differentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 and so as to human inherently embodied—vitality/survival/subsistence in existential becoming

with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-defining the-social or human-social-potency’ (so-reflecting perpetually/continually human bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising scalarisation potential) as preveniently/priorly preceding any concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance (as to human overall ‘aestheticisation as reflecting the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so as taxingness-of-originariness induces beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising descalarisation reflex). Thus the very notion of ‘human formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in relation to ontological-performance’ is very much incipient/inchoate/preceding with respect to concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance, such that the issue of human ontological-performance is more rightly and veridically ontologically construable in terms of these two aspects of formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (as to bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising scalarisation potential) and concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance (as to beholdening-

becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-
 mental-aestheticising descalarisation reflex) by its inducing of presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness enframing; as reflecting scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-
 ontologisation of human formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-
 premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-
 desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology for superseding/overcoming
 ‘concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance descalarisation
 reflex’ (with scalarisation projection implied originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> ever
 always about ‘formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-
 imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology construed scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-
 ontologisation with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 {formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal}’, and so
 preveniently/priorly to phenomenal/manifest concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-
 ontological-performance descalarisation reflex). This inherent ‘human limited-mentation-
 capacity implied phenomenal/manifest concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-
 ontological-performance descalarisation reflex’ is however concomitant with the
 corresponding potential capacity for rescalarisation as to human formativeness-<as-to-
 intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-
 sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to human gesturing of
 dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness. Such a scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation
 construal of human formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-

imbued-mediativity-and-differentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology (so-implied as of originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-
 aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
 conceptualisation) underlies the very possibility for human limited-mentation-capacity-
 deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The overall
 point here is that the human as ever always caught up in ‘human limited-mentation-capacity-
 implied phenomenal/manifest concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-
 performance descalarisation reflex’, the human capacity for scalarisation lies in a
 ‘distending/dragged-out scalarisation relationship’ with this ‘phenomenal/manifest
 concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance descalarisation
 reflex’ as to the fact that human absolute scalarisation cannot be achieved as to any resultant
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation of concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance,
 as human absolute scalarisation is always a potential held-up in originariness-parrhesia,—as-
 spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as to the capacity for ‘human gesturing of dispensing-with-
 immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for
 maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness’ (as can be so-
 appreciated with the notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought underlying the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process); such that acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—
 of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—
 conceptualisation rather speaks of ‘one long continuous whole of human originariness-
 parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as of notional-deprocrypticism’ which guiding

spirit no human prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–conceptualisation can pretend to ignore-and-override without falling into perversion of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to pedantry and/or sophistry by mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human-subpotency enframing in gimmickiness/desublimation. This insight about human ‘distending/dragged-out scalarisation’ points to the ontological-veracity of a necessary hermeneutic <formative>epistemic-totalising understanding associated with human ontological-performance, with the dearth of such hermeneuticism often associated with social contemplative fragility as well as the sophistry that further exploits this social contemplative fragility as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness; and originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation imbued scalarisation effectively speaks of the ontological-veracity of the requisite difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism for the appropriately optimisable ontological-performance of human conceptual and operant meaningfulness-and-teleology. Scalarisation analysis as such provides human boundless possibility for human scalarisation—as-to-rescalarisation—as—re-ontologisation with regards to human living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The more critically conceptual and operant issue lies with how priorly induced ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’ as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness shapes any such ontologically-flawed presence human psychology as to its given ‘aestheticisation of enframing/imprintedness’ with regards to prospectively addressing such

‘phenomenal/manifest concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance descalarisation reflex’ concerns identified above (as to ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing with the subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation>’); and so with regards to overall underlying human ‘social and institutional crises/suboptimisation as to subontologisation’ prospective need for re-ontologisation. This overall construal of the determinative structure of human ontological-performance (as it reflects the ontological-veracity of human formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over any given conception of human of intersubjectivity-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’) rather undermines the ontological-pertinence as to the ontological-performance of the notion of human intersubjectivity-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and so very much along the same lines of the Derridean criticism of intersubjectivity-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology going by his ‘heterogeneous genesis’ conception (even as the latter is more-or-less caught up in metaphysics-of-presence epistemic constitutedness as to its quasi-transcendental implications since genesis is rather truly as of the ‘full-conflatedness in the apriorising/referencing/axiomatising of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ involved with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) and so-reflected rather as from ‘originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> implied scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation’), such that intersubjectivity-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather an ontologically-flawed conceptualisation ‘poorly reflecting the ontological-veracity of the-social/human-social-

potency as to the full potential for human ontological-performance’ and so since intersubjectivity—of—meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather beholdening to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing with the subontologisation of ontology as to dominance/vested-interest—drivenness-<as-to-its-eliciting-by-or-exploiting-of-descalarising-sycophantic-sophistic-interests,-as-inducing-prospective-threshold-of-institutional-and-social-desublimation>’) unlike is the case with human ‘formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology construed scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as—re-ontologisation with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)’ which perspective of ontological conceptualisation is not beholdening to any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing. That is, the reality of the full potential for human-subpotency ontological-performance (as enabling the superseding of any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing) rather lies with human underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment (so-associated with ‘originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence> implied scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as—re-ontologisation’ as reflecting human formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology full potential for human-subpotency ontological-performance so-underscored as of originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness) and so, as to: ‘fatedness-of-sublimation-over-desublimation, to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-

epistemic-conflatedness (in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process), of human-subpotency ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as to the disseminative—selectivity-of-ontological-good-faith/authenticity—over—deselectivity-of-ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’, as the driver of the human-subpotency potentiating existential becoming manifestation of sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; as it dynamically induces (as of ‘varying magnitudes/scales—as-to-successively-profound-restructuring/reparadigming-frames-as-from-living,-institutionalising,-and-Being-ontologising/infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’) successive prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for reasoning-from-results/afterthought as the secondnatured-institutionalisation of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology so-construed as ‘generating varying human sublimating-over-desublimating social-and-institutional-constructs-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology—in-cumulation/recomposuring of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as to their pre-eminence as of their ‘prospectively projected relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’. It is the profound ontological-veracity of such implied human intersolipsism of meaningfulness-and-teleology (as of formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-

over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology full potential for human-subpotency
 ontological-performance) and so over intersubjectivity-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing, that reflects the
 intemporal-disposition possibility for the ‘abstract individual’ to venture at eliciting the
 transcendence-and-sublimity/sublimation possibilities of existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal beyond and superseding human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> enabling prospective human living-development—as-to-
 personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-defining the-social or
 human-social-potency. This fundamental undermining of intersubjectivity-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as to its ontological-performance conception lies in the fact
 that as of its implied presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing, it goes
 on to induce human-subpotency beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-
 origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising and so undermining the
 bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising as to the
 scalarity/immanency of existence’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as ‘bechancing-
 backdrop of non-presencing—or-withdrawal—or-metaphysics-of-absence—or-transcendental-
 reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination’; wherein the prospectively requisite
 rescalarisation as to human formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-
 premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-differentialism,-in-sublimation-over-
 desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is obfuscated on the basis of such

ontologically-flawed implied intersubjectivity-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing associated with such
 ontologically vague notions like ‘institutionalised facts’ as of ‘mere-
 methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising human enframing’ that supposedly
 and wrongly supersede ‘genuine knowledge-reification framework involving a detour to
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness induced prospective
 determination which then is structurally/paradigmatically preceding-and-constraining to
 human-subpotency as enabling prospective sublimation-over-desublimation’. The supposed
 consequence of such ontologically-flawed analysis as to intersubjectivity-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing
 that fails to grasp relative-ontological-completeness implications is that the ‘institutionalised
 facts’ of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions are then construed wrongly as
 ‘beyond ontological analysis’ such that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process herein implied is then construed as ‘unintelligible’ as even the
 notion of how successive registry-worldviews/dimensions come about is obfuscated. This
 overall insight points to the fact that all the potentiality for human ontological-performance
 rather lies with grasping: human ‘formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-
 premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-
 desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (so-construed as human
 <formative>epistemic-causality potentiality of ontological-performance) and so as to human
 inherently embodied-vitality/survival/subsistence in existential becoming with regards to
 human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-
 social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-
 to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as

so-defining the-social or human-social-potency'. Human 'formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-differentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology construed scalarisation-as-to-rescalarisation-as-re-ontologisation' (as to prospective human ontological-performance potential for historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing over historicity-tracing) structurally/paradigmatically encompasses:

- human 'germinative intensification—amplification of aestheticisation—beholdening-out-of-bechancing' (in reflecting human formative notional-conflatedness of motif-and-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism) as generative-and-regenerative of meaningfulness-and-teleology/aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology (as from inherently embodied-vitality/survival/subsistence in existential becoming inducing the dynamics of living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) as descalarisingly restructuring/reparadigming concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance so-existentially reflected as 'the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation',
- human individual as solipsistic sovereign-emergence beyond just socially induced emancipatory/non-emancipatory drivenness as to the individual thrownness in any registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds of ontological-performance,

- human formative convoluted developmental echeloning in any registry-worldview/dimension as of socially translative ontological-good-faith/authenticity and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity reflecting respectively human intemporal and temporal ontological-performance,
- the social-construct uninstitutionalised-threshold defined as to the given registry-worldview/dimension prospectively 'descalarising—in-structuring/paradigming first-moving/rentier/prerogative induced beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance (as to living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)' so-underlined by the 'descalarising—in-structuring/paradigming discretion/whim-of-thought of individuals-suboptimisation instigative potency as of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning' (and so as poorly-amenable-to and forestalling prospective bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising as sublimating bechancing-backdrop of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence so-underlined by the 'scalarising—structuring/paradigming projection as of human messianic intemporal and secondnated social-optimisation instigative potency'),
- human social-formativeness defined as to the given registry-worldview/dimension surreptitious flipping-around/flipping-about of social-stake-and-contention framing as to 'intemporality-disposition authenticity-and-equanimity of social/institutional process towards social/institutional outcome' and 'temporal-dispositions inauthenticity-and-lack-of-equanimity of social/institutional process towards priorly-defaulted/usurped

social/institutional outcome', with such flipping-around/flipping-about rather reflecting respectively the implications of 'originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness underlying the dimensionality-of-sublimating—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to its profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension' and 'reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation mere-methodologising/mutualising/organising/institutionalising underlying the dimensionality-of-desublimating-lack-of—<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness—equalisation as to its lack-of/shallow dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension' (with the latter associated with <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatric-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology).

This overall elucidation points to 'human ontological-performance as more rightly and veridically ontologically construable in terms of the two aspects of formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (as to bechancing-becoming—originariness/origination—as-to-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing_disinhibited-mental-aestheticising scalarisation potential) and concreteness/concretism/enframing—of-human-ontological-performance (as to beholdening-becoming—distortive-originariness/distortive-origination—as-to-historicity-tracing_inhibited-mental-aestheticising descalarisation reflex) by its inducing of presencing—absolutising-

identitive-constitutedness enframing’. Insightfully, a most fundamental ontology/science as aspired herein in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process rather points to human formativeness-<as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation>-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of recurrently self-surpassing meaningfulness-and-teleology and the resultant consecutive consequent presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing reflecting the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions imbued reference-of-thought—and-reference-of-thought-devolving—meaningfulness-and-teleology respective less-and-less relative-ontological-incompleteness of ontological-performance; such that inherently the construal of their social-stake-contention-or-confliction are ever always construed in <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to any such given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing (as to living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), whereas an ontologically more profound construal as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism (reflecting originariness/origination-<so-construed-as-to-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-perspective-scalarising-construal-of-existence>) rather highlights ‘an utterly superseding construal of ontological-performance’ of any such registry-worldview/dimension presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing construal of social-stake-contention-or-confliction wherein base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism respectively are rather of dissociative/unbeholdening/bechancing—supererogation and parenthesising-in-deflation with

regards to the social-stake-contention-or-confliction of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as to the prospective emancipatory possibilities of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) ‘in the face of existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal enabling of human ontological realisation as of human formativeness-⟨as-to-intersolipsism-of-premeaningfulness/preframing-imbued-mediativity-and-deferentialism,-in-sublimation-over-desublimation⟩-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and so rather than any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing construal inevitably caught up in human-subpotency subontologisation/ideology-over-ontology. Incipiently, an ontology that professes to be of the most profound science as fundamental ontology should be able to see-through/unblur the superficiality of human-subpotency presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enframing imbued social-stake-contention-or-confliction projections (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) of any given registry-worldview/dimension, and articulate prospective aestheticisation—and-aestheticisation-towards-ontology/meaningfulness-and-teleology that is of dissociative/unbeholdening/bechancing—supererogation and parenthesising-in-deflation enabling prospective human re-ontologisation possibilities.

Such a depth of contemplation as to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology effectively reflects a rather more profound conceptualisation of human psychology as to its transcendence-and-sublimity inducing potential as to the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (at the crossroads of prior meaningfulness-and-teleology and prospective metaphoricity) over approaches of relative

gimmickiness-of-thought as to our positivism/rational-empiricism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that poorly address human egotistic/self-referential complex in the face of prospective human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint and with the corresponding possibility for sophistic/pedantic moral and intellectual disenfranchisement/swindling/corruption/dispossession (as the fact is when it comes to social-stake-contention-or-confliction ‘knowledge-reification tends to be notionally/epistemically caught up between a sublimation and desublimation/gimmickiness paradigm’ as reflected in the social reality of ‘a veil of knowledge associated with subterfuges’ reflected say in an ambiguous continuity between genuine-knowledge and chicanery, social/institutional intellectualism and social/institutional sycophantic-sophistry, treatment and placebo, alchemy and chemistry, quackery and medicine, technological-advancement and technical-mystification, flawed-industrial-analyses-and-certifications and disinterested-scientific-analyses-and-certifications, etc.). In other words, the notion of ‘the other’ as aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is much more than ‘magnanimity towards the other’ but more fully a stance that ‘calls upon a principled commitment to the notion of the other’ by the other as enabling the completeness of universal responsibility. Paradoxically, viewed from this angle as of the possibility of inducing prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> for ontologically-veridical virtue transcendence-and-sublimity, a different interpretation can be made about the posture of a thinker like Heidegger during the troubled years of the 1930s; as effectively, the implication of Heidegger’s analysis of the situation which he associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>epistemic-

causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity points to ‘a conception emphasising ontology as defining virtue thus ultimately geared towards prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> as of the need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, but failing not because of the said orientation but with regards to the wrong conclusion about Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology misunderstood as implying that it lies with an originary tradition like the Ancient Greece tradition or German Folk tradition rather than lying with an underlying transcendental universal notion construed as ‘going beyond them-and-us logic’ as of the implications of universal human emancipatory potential, and this fundamentally scuppered his possibility of ‘attaining a conception of prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> as of the need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, rather than an ‘ontologically-flawed idea implying a certain given historical tradition’. Likewise, but with regards to virtue analysts analyses that are naively articulated on the basis of the ontological-contiguity of our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ as of our <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought leading to palliation as of selecting, triaging, mutually-concurring-and-accommodating and power-relations driven palliating virtue constructs, an altogether different drawback is decisively apparent as we know that since those troubled years, wars, genocides, and other crimes against humanity have still been taking place and will probably continue to take place, as of the structural/paradigmatic consequence arising with such manifestations in ontological-contiguity of our ‘modern take

attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme'; divulging that conceptualising virtue in ontological-contiguity is at best only of palliative consequence and not truly aetiologisation/ontological-escalation which rather warrants prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The fact is well-meaningness, good-intentions and/or good-naturedness however comforting to contemplate about doesn't substitute for ontology/ontological-veridicality as of the need to truly understand the human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics behind human action for appropriate aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that brings an end to the endemisation and enculturation of any given registry-worldview's/dimension's vices-and-impediments. This existential reality about ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is no more different between the social world and the natural world, and so as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation inherent ontological coherence/contiguity. This insight about virtue as lying with ontology has been to varying degrees implicitly understood by many postmodern thinkers, beginning with Heidegger pointing to a sophistication of thought but for the poor development and poor conclusions of his analysis during the troubled years of 1930s; and rather poorly interpreted by virtue critiques adopting a 'modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme' in ontological-contiguity as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought perspective construed-as reasoning-from-results/afterthought of modernity. Such sophistication of thought to think in terms of inherent ontology, however ontologically-flawed with respect to Heidegger, has been further implicitly pursued by latter postmodern thinkers as of quasi-transcendental implications for construing virtue from the orientation of prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-

contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as implicated with the case of Derrida's spirit insight. Ultimately, the 'postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme' should ontologically nurture the requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification for prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought implied as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as implied by postmodern human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation thus inducing the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation addressing/resolving our 'modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme' vices-and-impediments. As a further elucidation, prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought actually points out that the uninstitutionalised-threshold is rather a point of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics which is what justifies the pre-eminence of the prospective institutionalisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme over the uninstitutionalised-threshold attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme. We can effectively grasp why Heidegger's implicated insight as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> but rather being associated with a given tradition actually couldn't break through the barrier of perceiving notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> as 'futural way of thinking', as it misperceived that any tradition can reveal as of its inherent

nature the ‘futural way of thinking’, rather than that this lies with ‘a universal principle understanding of the transformation of traditions’ and thus how such universal principle understanding as of its universal implications informs about the ‘futural way of thinking’. In this regard, we can equally understand why Heidegger’s supposed criticism of Cartesianism was altogether a misplaced analysis given that ‘a universal principle understanding of the transformation of traditions’ as herein implied by this author in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, would have provided the insight that Descartes was actually ‘establishing a positivism tradition as of futural way of thinking’ breaking away from non-positivism/medievalism; such that budding positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument becomes intelligible, thus revealing that Heidegger notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> why intending to be of prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is actually of an epistememic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring with prior positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme, even though in its attempt it effectively elicits many insights for the prospect of ontologically-veridical prospective postmodern apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with its corresponding postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme. In other words, Heidegger’s issue should have actually been about future Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology just as Descartes issue in articulating budding positivism construed-as-rationalism was not with

setting up its meaningfulness-and-teleology in contention with prior non-positivism/medievalism as of its then future Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, apart from mere intellectually contrastive elucidation, but rather implied affirming prospective positivism as of its very own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme; and so as of the fundamental implication of positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over non-positivism/medievalism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. We thus see why the future redevelopment of Heideggerian misconceived prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as undertaken by latter thinkers like Foucault, Derrida, Deleuze, Lacan, Lyotard and others are full of prospective quasi-transcendental ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications’ as reflecting an underlying reality of prospective reference-of-thought ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics construed herein as of prospective postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme, and so just as searing with ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications’ was the mathesis universalis metaphoricity extended development/influence on the works of the Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes and others that ultimately reflected an underlying reality of prospective reference-of-thought ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics implied as of prospective positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-

disposition/care-and-episteme in superseding/transcending non-positivism/medievalism. In effect it is herein contended that what is implicitly missed about the Cartesian proposition 'I think therefore I am' is not the idea that Descartes contemplates that he is the first person to be self-conscious about his thinking; rather his underlying reasoning is 'more than just speculative doubting' but 'motivated doubting' that is highly contextual-as-of-the-non-positivism/medieval-epoch and highly prefigurative-as-to-what-Descartes-wants-to-do-of-transformative-with-thinking-given-that-context. That is, Descartes seeks to affirm the 'mereness of thought' beyond any existing habit-and-tradition-of-thought as of non-positivism/medievalism scholasticism pedantic dogmatism reasoning-from-results/afterthought, and so liberated rearticulate thought 'out of thin air' as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as reflected by his novel mathesis universalis metaphoricity rationalism schema/dissemination that permeates all of his works such that even with his ontological argument something subtle and more original is happening, in that unlike many medieval scholasticism dogmatic interpretations that construe of a supernatural permeation into the natural, in affirming the ontological argument Descartes blocks-out/passivises the supernatural from the natural with the metaphoricity implication that the natural can be thought of in its own terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct. Thus Descartes 'I think therefore I am' is rather a statement of intent as of a 'futural way of thinking' and its budding positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme, that is unique as 'consciously setting up the pre-eminence of thinking in eliciting-and-resolving systemic doubting and structuring/paradigming the possibility of elucidation of any subject on this basis'. In effect Descartes project is actually as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of positivism, and so from the presencing—
 absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of non-positivism/medievalism. With both the
 budding positivism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
 disposition/care—and—episteme and postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-
 as-of-reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
 disposition/care—and—episteme, we may be forgiven to confuse-and-dismiss their
 schema/structural-or-paradigmatic-disseminative-implications as of prospective reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning as incoherent from a shallow-and-immediate un insightful
 analytical perspective on the basis of the respectively prior reasoning-from-
 results/afterthought of non-positivism/medievalism and positivism—
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; thus failing to perceive that
 dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-
 factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-
 humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to
 supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-
 of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
 as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), as
 of deneuterising ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding/overriding prior reference-of-thought temporally
 neuterising ‘interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, reflects Being-development/ontological-framework-

expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity implications wherein ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality is rather about a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ that comes out short and which ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ induces the successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the human ‘ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of reference-of-thought différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’. The appropriate contemplative perspective for the appreciation of their schema/structural-or-paradigmatic-disseminative-implications is effectively cross-generational as of the amplitude/breadth of reference-of-thought implied transcendence-and-sublimity; as we can effectively appreciate that the very mathesis universalis schema/disseminative metaphoricity engendering our positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme is still ongoing today even as it is more clearly demarcated as initiated about 500 years ago. The overall logic of this notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> analysis, implied as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, can be understood simply as of the relation between existence which is already given and human-subpotency which as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought grasp more and more what is of the full-potency of existence by way of its axiomatic-constructs of

existence or of purviews/domains of existence, with its grandest axiomatic-construct as an epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating construct being the reference-of-thought. We can grasp that it is not existence and purviews/domains of existence which will adjust to human-subpotency for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather human-subpotency adjusting as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness; with such adjusting being construed as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. But then humankind as of its developed-and-invested habits and traditions about existence counterintuitively relates to existence and purviews/domains of existence as if it supersedes them, and thus do not or poorly construes of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct as an issue of human-subpotency adjustment as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification, implied as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics with regards to the reference-of-thought transcendence. In lieu the poor intuition is to imply that we are already well grounded and that prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness to our already established psychoanalytic disposition rather than a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in resetting-our-psychoanalytic-disposition/prospective-grounding as of <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought in conflatedness, such that this leads to constitutedness when so poorly psychoanalytically grounded on the naïve and ontologically-flawed basis that it is existence and purviews/domains of existence that adjust to our human-subpotency. Thus however counterintuitive, this overall conception structures the fact that it is as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics that our human <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought

is transcended for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought implied as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>. In this regard, 'intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity' as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is essentially one of shifting attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme by the successive institutionalisations reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought human induced bias leads to a wholly immersed-and-engrossed focussing only at its given present institutionalisation's reference-of-thought 'present attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme' as if other retrospective-and-prospective institutionalisations' reference-of-thought do not have their own attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme as of their underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought. This phenomenological insight in recognising that there is 'an underlying metaphoricity-induced relative-emancipatory migration' from the mindset of the early hunter-gathers as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation towards modern man as of positivism—procrypticism to the prospective postmodern man as of deprocrypticism, calls for a full appreciation of this most profound

phenomenological transcendental process of corresponding ‘human attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme migration’ inducing successive apriorisings/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and so, as of retrospective and prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology interpretation construed as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Such a conception that goes beyond our natural inclination of ‘referring to’ and ‘ad hocly-and-scantily’ identify other retrospective and prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme from our present attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme, towards an ontologically-veridical transparent ‘to be or existing as wholly immersed-and-engrossed’ existential projection insight about all registry-worldviews/dimensions attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme is what underlies the protensive-consciousness of deprocrypticism, from which standpoint as of its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought such an ontologically-veridical analysis of ‘human attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme migration’ can be undertaken, for retrospective and prospective attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme conception, and specifically as relevant for understanding prospective ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’. In this regard, ‘human attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme migration’ in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process induced Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology

is instilled as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics wherein the prospective reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme is intemporally induced as deneuterising ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding/overriding the prior reference-of-thought temporally neuterising ‘interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology. The ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ implies meaningfulness-and-teleology as not registered-as-ascribed/neuterised as of a prior reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, that is, not as of the prior reference-of-thought ‘interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’, but rather registered-as-deascribed/deneuterised as of the prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology; with the latter construed as dialectical-thinking and the former construed as dialectically-dementing. Thus a registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought always operates as if it is the absolute framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology, that is, by its ‘interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’, notwithstanding the ontological-veridicality of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, as reflected by the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought in an ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ towards it. Consider in this regard the ontologically-veridical reflected immersed-and-engrossed attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme with respect to the ‘ill-health <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—

purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality'
 wherein the 'to be or existing as wholly immersed-and-engrossed' recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification
 perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme could involve a
 conversational stance of the sort, 'I have been stricken by a spirit', in an effusive-
 conversational-as-of-existential articulating of what can be done to allay such a spirit; or with
 respect to our positivism-procrypticism, in an effusive-conversational-as-of-existential
 articulating of a clinical analysis mainly as a patient ill-health state; or with respect to
 prospective postmodernism, in an effusive-conversational-as-of-existential articulating of
 associated socio-economic and socio-political factors behind a patient's ill-health. Basically,
 'exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme', as relevant for the
 conception of a 'postmodern exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme
 relative to our modern take interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme', as
 of notional-deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness in ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought encapsulates: - underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and
 relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought as of relative-nonextricatory-
 existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought over relative-existential-extrication-as-of-
 existential-unthought; - notional-disconguity of the prospective reference-of-thought over the
 prior reference-of-thought; - and prospective deascription/deneuterising
 psychologism/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 over prior ascription/neuterising
 psychologism/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument.
 When so-construed prospectively, 'postmodern exteriorisation attitude/mental-
 disposition/care-and-episteme' is all about such a deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as implied by its human-subject-emancipatory-

relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation ‘originary postmodern-thought-process and other postmodern creative-processes avant-gardism’ that are not in a reasoning-from-results/afterthought ontological entanglement with our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’. Consider in this regard the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning prospective structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications as of Derridean *différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral, Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse and Deleuzian immanence experimentation that can all be construed (and as equally implied by this author’s ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism conception of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing), as of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism for perpetuated/disseminative preemption of conceptual disjointedness. Thus ultimately the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is one that will be marked by sharper and sharper singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, construed as of its perpetuating/disseminating of the preemption of disjointedness. In this regard, singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism retrospectively and prospectively reflects the notional conflatedness/conflatedness implied as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality but with the latter as a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ ever always coming short due to human temporal ontological-performance denaturing as of temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology pedantic/formulaic alignment to ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-

thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness, so-construed
 at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as constitutedness, thus requiring prospective
 intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology institutionalisation
 renewing of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology
 that overcome the distortional implications of such pedantic/formulaic denaturing; by way of
 ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
 determinism as such is a conception that grasps that ‘axiomatic-constructs as of
 <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’
 is the meaningfulness-and-teleology format implied by the ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-
 performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
 coherence/contiguity’ with respect to any given ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising-
 devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
 veridicality/existential-reality’, with potentially divergent meaningfulness-and-teleology
 implications as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-
 completeness arising from human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 <formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal); with
 relative completeness increasingly attained, by way of ‘reinvigorating as of furthered

ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. Thus
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism by its implied
 notional-conflatedness highlights that ‘axiomatic-constructs as of <formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ in reflecting of
 ‘human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of
 existence in its coherence/contiguity’ as of implied human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-
 driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation, is effectively as of
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism to
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. That is
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism points out that there is
 no inherent meaning of existence about existence as existence is tautologically what it is as
 existence, rather the notion of meaning arises as of the notion of human-subpotency strife to
 ‘grasp what is existence’, and that latter notion is all about human-subpotency ‘axiomatic-
 constructs as of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
 thought-devolving’ human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-
 constructivism-towards-singularisation. In other words, meaning is always a human project to
 construe existence as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-
 totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) of ‘axiomatic-constructs as of
 <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’.
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and as reflected by
 this author’s notion of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism conception of
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, points out that
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of human-

subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is ontologically-flawed, and that prospective relative-ontological-completeness reflects that singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is what is rather ontologically-veridical. It is this prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism that reflects the effective possibility of a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ as implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; attainable as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) of ‘axiomatic-constructs as of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’, and so reflected by the notion of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension.

This reality of the need to construe of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism has increasingly been revealed as from the ‘strangely axiomatic teleologically-thorough singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism manifestations’ of quantum entanglement, relativity theory implications, the teleologically constrained nature of biological processes as more than just the parsimonious-or-disparate nature of organic matter but rather singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of whole

living organisms, and likewise human meaningfulness itself is a structuring/paradigming singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of sharply defined teleological possibilities of social and individuals existence with respect to the different registry-worldviews/dimensions specific institutionalisations, etc. (Interestingly, as of this author's conception of such a teleological perception of existence as of its singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation insights of postmodern-thought has been subject to naïve obfuscation grounded on the supposed privilege of 'science ideology' over science-in-practice as an opened construct of scientific knowledge as of cause-and-effect constraint, and with the form of science at various times continually moulting as from the budding science of the days of Galileo and Copernicus, to Newtonian science, to Lavoisier laboratory science, to Einsteinian science to modern day institutional practices of science, with all fundamentally driven not by any 'purported science ideology' but rather the practicality of results as of the constraint of the subject-domains of scientific study as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification rather than 'any implied notion that naively supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation'. In this regard, it is interesting to note that the notion of science practised by the successive pioneers cited above are markedly different from each other and all subjected rather to the implications of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of their purview/domain of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It is interesting as well to note for example that when equations didn't work out in reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity, Einstein rather rethought and subjected human assumptions to existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation for his science, with such notions as space-time rather than traditional space and time; pointing out that there cannot be any ideology about science and it is rather

the constraint for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification that determines science practice, and so in existential conflatedness. Further, it had long dawned on this author that scam studies meant to undermine the validity of underlying constructivist and relativist insights about existential reality as implied by postmodern-thought including with respect to such implications in the natural sciences are rather ‘supposedly invalidating’ wholly with respect to the authors of such scam studies coming out with the arguments of their ‘intendedness of invalidation’; with the legitimate contention that such ploys are thus surreptitious manoeuvres for preempting a given orientation of thought ‘not because of the inherent invalidity of such orientations as of inherent theoretical knowledge arguments in undermining such orientations’ but rather as a ploy of ‘inducing popularised scientific ideology’ to surreptitiously stifle such orientations without truly engaging in undermining its theorisation. Bogusness or non-bogusness is not a relevant scientific criteria, though granted it can be a relevant criteria for ‘surreptitious media-driven invalidation’, as science-in-practice is about ultimate cause-and-effect relationships, and in practical terms many scientific studies are rather elaborated as of ‘deferred cause-and-effect constraint’ as a reifying gesture for ultimate cause-and-effect determination. The fact that similar scam studies for the ‘intendedness of invalidation’ cannot be construed as scientifically valid with respect to any given orientation of study renders such manoeuvres intellectually void, and whatever their underlying ‘covert goals’ and however genuine their authors are of intent. It is very much important in this regard that intellectuals, whether in the natural sciences or in the social and humanities, not be cowered/enframed by non-intellectual/extra-intellectual approaches to ‘acknowledged intellectual ways and approaches for intellectual argumentation’, and not even if such approaches are media-driven, so because much that is central and critical to intellectualism is about exploring all possibilities.) All these highlight an underlying ontology’s-directedness-as-Being that bears notional-conflatedness

singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism implications, as of
 ontologically-veridical singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism
 of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality over ontologically-flawed
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism; and yet our
 psychological disposition is more often than not geared to ontologically-flawed
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that tend to be
 absolutised in constitutedness of prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought mental-reflexes of
 <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
 drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and so failing to grasp that the very principle of
 human institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process itself is one driven by the future as of
 its own reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning attitude/mental-disposition/care—and-
 episteme’ which reflects an increasing orientation away from identitive-constitutedness-as-
 epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as-
 cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought towards difference-conflatedness-as-
 totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-
 teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’, and so because the future is as of prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and takes precedence for its
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of increasing
 axiomatic teleological wholeness/nested-congruence or prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought. For instance, with regards to ‘the very same ill-health

<formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality/ontological-veridical’, with the successive reference-of-
 thought ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics at
 their uninstitutionalised-thresholds inducing successive displacement of human-subpotency
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, it
 is rather singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontologically-
 veridical reference-of-thought-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
 singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-
 congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
 determinism’ that effectively reflects the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
 aesthetic-tracing (and so over identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
 dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-
 of-thought that will simply imply the obliviousness of one reference-of-thought from the
 other since ‘identity of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is wrongly fixed-and-set as of each
 registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought cloistered-consciousness). As it is
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of human-subpotency
 that brings about ‘better and better axiomatic teleological wholeness/nested-congruence of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ increasing human-subpotency ontological-performance
 correspondence with the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality,
 and so from: existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-
 bad-omen with recurrent-utter-ininstitutionalisation, to existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 second-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-
 specific-evil-period with base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, to existential-

contextualising-contiguity-third-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor with universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, to existential-contextualising-contiguity-fourth-level-reification perceptivity-as-of-full-disease-and-scientific-theory-construct-as-the-exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation with positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively to existential-contextualising-contiguity-full-reification perceptivity-as-of-factoring-in-socioeconomic,-education,-information,-environmental,-gender-and-power-relations-issues-underlying-healthcare-and-medical-delivery with deprocrypticism that then achieves difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. This insight about ontological-performance as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness of human-subpotency can be garnered with respect to any axiomatic-construct as the meaningfulness-and-teleology representation of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity or a purview/domain of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so not only with regards to the reference-of-thought as the grandest axiomatic-construct. This fundamentally points out that at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, human cognition which is rather in ‘excogitative-blanking of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ suffers-and-fails to relay the ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ for prospective institutionalisation as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-

the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’; since this potential for such singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is denaturing as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. We can appreciate that with regards to ‘the very same ill-health <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ highlighted above, the various successively human-subpotency ontological-performance of prior perceptivities as successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds are rather in ‘excogitative-blanking of the prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ (by their identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought), as overlooking their successively prospective perceptivities which are actually in prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as enabling/cogent-with difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. The notion of human ‘excogitative-blanking of the prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ can equally be elucidated with regards to a devolved axiomatic-construct of the reference-of-thought. For instance, we can grasp that with regards to ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, the perceptivity of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ had rather been in ‘excogitative-blanking of the prospective

construal of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification' reflected by the prospective theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as the latter's prospective relative-ontological-completeness reflects the former's prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as dialectically out-of-phase/dementing. This insight about human 'excogitative-blanking of the prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification' at uninstitutionalised-thresholds actually highlights that from a prospective perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought our positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is very much imbued with a flawed ontological-performance, as is the case with all other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, 'when we seem to perceive-and-think that our social world of meaningfulness-and-teleology is coherent, failing to factor in that it is dementing at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought dementing by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective depcrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought'; as this false sense of coherence is actually the effect of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which we necessarily relate to as if of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and this further explains as reflected from their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought the notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness of all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-

dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-
of-thought. Concretely, the latter translates at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as of human-
subpotency temporality/shortness or shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
flawed ontological-performances, ‘being construed temporally as determinative by
<formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, of a given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-
thought supposedly intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, as
of temporal dynamic manifestations of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-
eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. This arises because within the
institutionalisation framework of a registry-worldview/dimension human construal of its
existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification is only as effective as of the
institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-
for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-
teleology’ in universal-transparency, thus providing a ‘perceptual
perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about its existential-
contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’. But then at uninstitutionalised-thresholds

where meaningfulness-and-teleology is denaturing, this prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’ gives a false certainty/assurance, such that human-subpotency existentially-constrained temporal ontological-performances as of <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in usurpation of that ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about its existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ tend to be overlooked as of mental-reflex since existentially the bulk of meaningfulness-and-teleology within the given registry-worldview/dimension as of its institutionalisation conforms-to/complies-with its ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’, but with a shadowy uninstitutionalised-threshold always eloping to such institutionalisation conforming/complying as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and as lack of universal-transparency as to ‘excogitative-blanking of prospective existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ elicits human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology uninstitutionalised mental-dispositions. Such ‘excogitative-blanking of prospective existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ can be construed as to when say the non-positivistic mindset goes about articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology falsely as if superstitious notions ontologically-veridical out of prospective positivism existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification, and likewise with regards to a positivism—procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology that utterly overlooks the structural/paradigmatic reference-of-thought denaturing implications of its prospective disjointedness of meaningfulness-and-

teleology out of prospective existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification, as such disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought can be instigated originally from a postlogism-slantedness mental-disposition and the developing social dynamics with human temporality. We can appreciate in this sense that even within a non-positivistic social-setup as animistic or medieval for instance, despite the fact that it is susceptible to ontologically-flawed superstitious beliefs like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, the bulk of human action will be in good intent as of its institutionalisation framework ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’; but then at its uninstitutionalised-threshold where its reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic ontological-flawed implications of believing in superstition set in as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, it always systemically faces notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness as of vices-and-impediments arising from non-positivism/superstitious human-subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performances as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in usurpation of the prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’ now in false certainty/assurance. This points out that when consciously aware of uninstitutionalised-threshold manifestation, we can’t naively operate as of our prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’, as of the fact of the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought dementing human-subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performances as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-

drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in usurpation; such that an enlightened insight is able to bring up and examine a dementing representation as temporal denaturing ontological-performances of the prior institutionalisation ‘perceptual-perspective/framing/reference/horizon of meaningfulness-and-teleology about existential-contextualising-contiguity’. But this conception is a reflection of more than just ad-hoc temporal manifestations at uninstitutionalised-thresholds but rather points out, besides the trite or more grave consequences of this state of affairs as a result of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, that the possibility for all prospective institutionalisations necessarily passes through understanding ‘human-subpotency existentially constrained temporal ontological-performances as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the prior institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in usurpation’, which understanding is actually what empowers the possibility of prospective institutionalisations that supersede/transcend it. In other words, humans in the various prior institutionalisations before our positivism were not limited to their various registry-worldviews/dimensions as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation and our positivism just because they were inherently different from us as a species, but because of the need for the necessary institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of understanding as of its organic-knowledge to enable the very same species to accede prospective institutionalisations as of human-subpotency adjusting to the full-potency of existence, and not the false certainty/assurance that any human registry-worldview/dimension is fully developed and that existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will adjust to it,

however our myopic/cloistered 60 – 100 years of living perspective. That is, grounding of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology is certainly required, but as of transcendence-and-sublimity it
 is not about grounding as of the present but rather as of psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification for prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and as highlighted elsewhere it is
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (of human-subpotency as of its limited-
 mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal)) that can imply human-subpotency ontological-performance
 correspondence with the full-potency of existence. It should be noted here that this
 ontology's-directedness-as-Being/ontologically-veridical notion of human-subpotency
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism ontological-
 performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence is a notion of teleology in
 notional conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (with teleology
 fundamentally construed as 'phenomenal/manifest epistemic reflexivity in existence as
 ontological' and so as to the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-
 reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-
 subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 conceptualisation), as utterly different from a traditional conception of teleology as of
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that is rather in
 constitutedness as it reflects prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
 thought as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
 dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism cloistered reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. The operant
 insight here can be articulated as follows: singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
 epistemic-determinism speaks of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-

totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as-of-the-trace-or-‘historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’-of-dialectical-thinking-‘apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity’-as-intemporality-and-dialectically-dementing-‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>’-as-temporalities,-given-human-limited-mentation-capacity-dynamic-implications-of-ontological-performance-that-are-respectively-thinkingly-and-dementatively-traceable-as-of-ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism and so in contrast with dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism which speaks of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as-of-‘no-apriorising-teleological-variance’-by-elevation-as-intemporality-and-degradations-as-temporalities,-on-the-‘flawed-axiomatic-mental-reflex-of-no-human-limited-mentation-capacity-dynamic-implications’-on-ontological-performance-which-is-falsely-construed-identitively-as-of-identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. We can appreciate that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology speaks of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity ontological-performance as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; thus validating registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought-level meaningfulness-and-teleology differentiation as ‘ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. It is exactly because any given registry-

worldview/dimension as of its given reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology is a cloistered-consciousness (as wholly set/focusing only on its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances failing to appreciate meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the prospective <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought implied by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) that its postlogism-slantedness manifestation as temporal manifestation, whether with regards to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-positivism social-setup or psychopathy and social psychopathy in a positivism–procrypticism social-setup, arises as ontologically-flawed identive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification meaningfulness-and-teleology, so because the given registry-worldview/dimension beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought meaningfulness-and-teleology isn't cognisant in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and hence 'wholehearted identify meaningfulness-and-teleology as absolute as of the specific registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with little or no sense of mental projection as to the reality of 'differentiation of meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology occurring with prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought'. Hence, the reference-of-thought-devolving in its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag existential-instantiations as of human living and institutional disposition is inherently inclined to identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism for construing meaningfulness-and-teleology with a correspondingly weak existential disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) warranting an ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism strong existential disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. Thus the fundamental operant insight for reflecting reified human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘disambiguation of veridical/intemporal ontological-performance from flawed/temporal ontological-performances’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is: one that is as of ‘difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism underlying ontologically-veridical epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology in a subsuming wholeness/nested-congruence/contiguity-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness’ (so-construed as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism); that reflects ‘human susceptibility as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-

epistemic-determinism to ontologically-flawed parsimony/disparateness/discontiguity-as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness in distractiveness from the ontologically-veridical epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and the latter so-reflected as of human limited-mentation-capacity temporal dynamic implications of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ reflecting the trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing (so-construed as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism). In the bigger scheme of things singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism notionally reflect respectively the profoundness and shoddiness associated with human intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism fully-reflects-abstractly the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’, as existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is being so at the exclusion-and-surpassing of any apriorising/axiomatising/referencing notion including the often misconstrued apriorising/axiomatising/referencing notions of space and/or time, as all such notions are

rather in constitutedness since such notions seem to apriorise as if superseding the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing precedence of existence itself as the absolute a priori; construed herein rather as ‘ecstatic’ but not as of Heidegger’s ‘time/period ecstatic’ analysis, as it is herein contended that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation construed as ‘ecstatic apriorising’ subjects even time and any other notion, with the implication that the phenomenality of the analysis herein is not time-bound but solely existential more like the principles of physics are abstractly existential and so beyond the time-archaeology of astronomical manifestations reflecting such physics principles. singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism thus speaks of how human subpotent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of its limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) induce transcendence-and-sublimity, with the ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. This ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is what has ever always debunked human subpotent dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as from the human subpotent reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to our present positivism–procrysticism, as of an ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ that is increasingly in teleological nested-congruence along ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-

process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity', pointing to the ontological-veracity of human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so beyond just the seeding promise of such ontological-performance correspondence solely as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Such singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism conceivable human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension avoids human temporal individuations denaturing of ontological-performance, as of temporal denaturing of prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, behind the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions logocentric constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology. So because it requires going beyond just secondnaturing of 'reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive' 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology' induced for the successive prior institutionalisations in order, in Foucauldian terms of

parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen, to reflect the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of
 human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-
 potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ towards its potentiative-attainment of
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so construed as of
 ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-
 consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-
 reasoning’. Thus the very essence of ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ is the idea of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning’ strive for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-
 uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-
 consciousness’ as it induces prospective transcendence-and-sublimity as of ‘ecstatic
 releasement of existence to human-subpotency’; going beyond the successive prior
 institutionalisation reference-of-thought intemporal reifying reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation—as-of-
 ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, as

well as their correspondingly associated uninstitutionalised-threshold dereifying ‘<formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives as of temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing ontological-performance. Thus what is particular about the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as of preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with its consequent transcendence-and-sublimity implications beyond notional-deprocrypticism logocentric implications, is what can be construed in Foucauldian terms of parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen, as the superseding of prior institutionalisation reference-of-thought intemporal reifying reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, as well as their correspondingly associated uninstitutionalised-threshold dereifying ‘<formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives as of temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing ontological-performance, ultimately as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as so-implied’, and so-facilitated with grander universal-transparency. Insightfully, we can contemplate that the specific logocentric practices of the successive registry-

worldviews/dimensions institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process are effectively the successive shortfall-outcomes-of-human-subpotency-ontological-performance-correspondence-with-the-full-potency-of-existence from intemporal <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ that go on to induce secondnature institutionalisations as of the successive prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought intemporal reifying reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as well as their correspondingly associated uninstitutionalised-threshold dereifying ‘<formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives as of temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology denaturing ontological-performance; and it is rather the intemporal <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive for potentiative-
 attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism
 construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-
 consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’
 that holds the possibility for ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
 veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ to arise and be perpetuated
 in the very first place as it invigorates-and-reinvigorates the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. The successive transcendence-and-sublimity as
 ‘ecstatic releasement of existence to human-subpotency’ induced as from intemporal
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive
 for potentiative-attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
 determinism construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism
 emancipated apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 self-consciousness’ parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-
 reasoning’, highlights the ontological-veracity as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-

conceptualisation, of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism which is ever always sought-and-resought by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (that is, as of the teleological wholeness/nested-congruence from non-rules of recurrent-utter-ininstitutionalisation towards prospectively preemption-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of deprocrypticism); with ontologically-veridical singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism further implying, as of its potentiative-attainment of ontological-performance correspondence with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, that existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation is as of ‘ecstatic singularity’. This ‘ecstatic singularity’ about existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation can be delineated as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, and so-construed as of human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-of-existence *différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral for transcendence-and-sublimity in ‘phenomenological ecstatic releasement’. Thus our logocentric sense of certainty as marked by our ‘pervasively enframed logocentric constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, as with all the prior logocentrisms of prior successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, as of their relatively ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism is misplaced manifestation of ignorance, and thus in our case in need for our prospective intellectual-and-moral maturing as of prospective ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics for the deprocrypticism/preemption-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension. Thus the <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reality of human meaningfulness-and-

teleology as ever always subjected to its successive registry-worlds/dimensions relatively ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism distortion, come with the ontologically-veridical implication that human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence has ever always been as of a ‘reifying-<formative>epistemic-totalising-metaphoricity-conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ construed as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, and so-reflected from the supposed ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology; with the implication here that hitherto identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought as implied with historical accounts and representations are incomplete, as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of the aforementioned ‘reifying-<formative>epistemic-totalising-metaphoricity-conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ elaborateness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as dynamic differentiated transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the ontological-performance of intemporality/longness over temporality. The articulation of sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing accounts of meaningfulness-and-teleology failing to highlight this process of human-subpotency ontological-performance differentiation are rather incomplete and misrepresenting of human nature in the ‘dynamic human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-

indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as of both <formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
mental-dispositions and secondnature institutionalisation mental-dispositions’ as the
complete operant framework of human-subpotency, and so-construed from an ontological-
normalcy/post-convergent ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective (in
difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’). This is ontologically
critical to understand because the wrong mental-reflex conception of uninstitutionalised-
threshold as mainly being as of ‘human intemporal secondnature institutionalisation mental-
disposition’ will wrongly imply a human nature that is only intemporal and so as of the
secondnature intemporal/longness of the prior institutionalisation. This fails to factor in
that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are rather a framework of ‘recurring
<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness temporal-to-intemporal ’ requiring prospective
institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought,
and so without any intemporal secondnature institutionalisation induced universal-
transparency, deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation as of positive-
opportunism; and thus fully reflecting the ontological-veridicality of human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. It is this ‘recurring
<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
residuality/spirit-drivenness temporal-to-intemporal ’ reality at all the successive

uninstitutionalised-thresholds that fundamentally reflect ‘the same fundamental human
 potentiation as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’ across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions
 notwithstanding the institutionalisation-level but for the fact that this same ‘recurring
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness temporal-to-intemporal ’ rather operates on different registry-
 worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations secondnatured reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at their uninstitutionalised-thresholds; whereby the
 successive prior registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations fall short, as of their
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ontological-
 performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence, in construing existential-
 contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of successive prospective
 institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought.
 This insight fundamentally explains ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
 fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
 as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
 veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as involving successive
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal); geared towards more and more robust secondnatured
 institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology

even though in the face of the very same ‘recurring <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness temporal-to-intemporal’. Insightfully, ontologically-veridical ‘reifying-<formative>epistemic-totalising-metaphoricity-conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing by its elaborateness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as a differentiated transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing selectivity of the ontological-performance of intemporality/longness over temporality/shortness can be reflected by the operant technique of ‘partialisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This ‘partialisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ operant technique of ‘reifying-<formative>epistemic-totalising-metaphoricity-conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ as historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing is convenient because by mental-reflex every registry-worldview/dimension will necessarily reflect its meaningfulness-and-teleology as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as it wrongly implies and operates in its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as if it is in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. For phenomenological analytical insight, ‘partialisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ operant technique for construing dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of defective representation of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism brings to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought self-consciousness its structural/paradigmatic/systemic dialectical-dementing state at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as so registered/reflected from the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought self-consciousness rather in dialectical-thinking state given its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-

reference-of-thought. ‘Partialisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as such simply involves representing the structural/paradigmatic/systemic incongruence that arises, as the prior registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation falls short in construing existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ontological-performance correspondence with the full-potency of existence, and so due to denaturing at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification by <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the prior institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in usurpation as of the dynamism of temporal mental-dispositions of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’, thus implying that the aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology is dialectically-dementing. Such structural/paradigmatic/systemic prior incongruence of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ontological-performance at uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds are reflected as of: recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random’ ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-

thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 falling-short-as-needing-rules in construing existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-
 reification as of the prospective base-institutionalisation institutionalisation prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thereof construed as
 dialectically-dementing; base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation ‘rulemaking-over-non-
 rules’ ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 falling-short-as-needing-universalising-rules in construing existential-contextualising-
 contiguity knowledge-reification as of the prospective universalisation institutionalisation
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thereof construed
 as dialectically-dementing; universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism ‘universalisation-
 directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’
 ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
 thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 falling-short-as-needing-positivistic-universal-rules in construing existential-contextualising-
 contiguity knowledge-reification as of the prospective positivism institutionalisation
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thereof construed
 as dialectically-dementing; and prospectively positivism—procrypticism ‘positivising/rational-
 empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’
 ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
 thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’

falling-short-as-needing-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in construing
 existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification by futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism
 institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought,
 and thereof construed as dialectically-dementing. From an singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism insight as it reflects ontological-completeness-
 of-reference-of-thought for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness, we can garner that the
 implications of ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of
 prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ as of
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is what actually
 generates the various registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations as of their relative
 identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-
 epistemic-determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought; such that their
 respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds are actually in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-
 incompleteness denaturing of the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations
 ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
 thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 meant to uphold existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. This insight further highlights the pertinence of the registry-
 worldview/dimension reference-of-thought as of secondnature institutionalisation as rather
 decisive with regards to human-subpotency ontological-performance correspondence with the

full-potency of existence. It equally points out that ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ is ever always an exercise for the ‘outlier human recurring <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’ to dominate/supersede/overcome ‘human recurring <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations as of the temporal dynamics of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’’; in order to bring about the transcendence-and-sublimity enabling of the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework induced positive-opportunism for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is further critical to understand that while universal-transparency with associated nested-congruence and harmony is brought about as of prior institutional secondnaturing, this should not be naively expected at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as we very much know that all uninstitutionalised-thresholds are conflicted as of their framework of ‘recurring <formative>epistemic-

growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 temporal-to-intemporal ' for prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Thus uninstitutionalised-thresholds, are necessarily
 imbued with varied temporal-to-intemporal transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing narratives as of the 'lack of intemporal secondnature
 institutionalisation induced universal-transparency, deferential-formalisation-transference and
 habituation in positive-opportunism'; since any uninstitutionalised-threshold ever always
 brings about human 'recurring <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness temporal-to-
 intemporal ' but with this recurring as of human <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 temporal operating rather in denaturing the prior institutionalisation's
 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-
 thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology' as
 <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasitic-
 drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives for
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology.
 The implication here is that <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness prospective
 transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology is not directly intelligible in the narrow
 framework of temporal-to-intemporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction at
 uninstitutionalised-thresholds, but rather as a dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-
 surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-'notionally-collateralising-

protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>)
 constraining of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification framework as
 of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. The constraining implications of
 existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of human
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is
 transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-
 intemporal-ontological-performances) means that it is wrong to construe the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of a human temporal
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness transformation, and so fundamentally because of human limited-
 mentation-capacity and the correspondingly constraining consequences on its ontological-
 performance. Rather it is more candid to relate to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 <formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), and so as of
 prospective intemporal secondnature institutionalisation induced universal-transparency,
 deferential-formalisation-transference and habituation in positive-opportunism. Central to any
 such prospective institutionalisation transcendental-enabling/sublimating meaningfulness-
 and-teleology is the fact that the human mind is not necessarily geared to come to terms with
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought without the necessary
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification as of the

developed disposition to register such implications as of their intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology pertinence; as the notion of cross-generational ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics herein highlighted has ever always been an unconscious human mental process, wherein the mental-disposition hardly places itself in a situation of explaining how its own very present mental-disposition comes about from preceding generations mental-dispositions and drawing the implications, in going beyond excogitative-blanking as of the present in a cloistered-consciousness but which is paradoxically necessarily the framework of such transcendently implying meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus the metaphoricity exercise of transcendence is not one of necessarily eliciting instant meaningfulness-and-teleology universal approbation but rather instigating universal untenability as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework for prospective universal positive-opportunism; as we can appreciate that in reality the possibility of the successive institutionalisations was not the outcome of every human soul grasping the implications as of the successive transcendence but rather as of a generative dynamics as of critical drift/gravitating effect in reflection of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. Furthermore, the implications of ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the latter reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, with regards to the construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as teleologically-elevated or teleologically-degraded, is that the conception of ontological-veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology varies as of

underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought; for instance with regards to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, the meaningfulness-and-teleology of a positivistic mindset with the idea of going into a supposed evil forest to collect a plant root as a cure in say an animistic social-setup will probably be construed as ridiculous as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought despite the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification ontological-veracity that the possibility of curing ailments in the animistic social-setup lies with the positivistic mindset prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The fundamental implication here is that transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology is hardly construed in any presence registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought as of its rather prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus elicits the presence prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought

<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag;

with the possibility of transcendence arising as of cross-generational induced metaphoricity. In a further analysis of ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the latter reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, with regards to the dialectical-thinking and dialectical-dementing ‘ontologically-veridical representations of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of respectively living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology

underdevelopment issues'; human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always caught up in a confusion of its dialectical-thinking or dialectical-dementing as of the ontologically-veridicality of its underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity. Hence 'ontologically-veridical representations of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-'notionally-collateralising-protohumanity'-to-'attain-sublimating-humanity',-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of the underdevelopment issues of respectively living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology', are ever always dialectically-dementing as of living underdevelopment, institutional underdevelopment and Being underdevelopment when construed as of the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds in prospective prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as from the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity', while these are ever always dialectical-thinking as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-

function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology when construed as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations in prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’; thus highlighting the fundamental recurrent ontological-veracity of reference-of-thought-devolving-level of human temporal individuations dynamics as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ at uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds in ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ thus reflecting vices-and-impediments as of living underdevelopment, institutional underdevelopment and Being underdevelopment, so-construed from difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. Further, this ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold—by—institutionalisation recurrence paradox’ of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity’ as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 <formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) is what
 effectively renders the ontologically-veridical determination of
 ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme’ the critical first step for construing
 ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology whether as of the dialectically-
 dementing or dialectical-thinking representation; as in reality existence as of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification never changes, and what is critical is
 grasping the ontological-performance of human limited-mentation-capacity in
 conceptualising existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification and so-construed as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ over identitive-
 constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-
 determinism as-cloistered-within-the-same-reference-of-thought. The very possibility of
 human transcendence-and-sublimity behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process arises out of human intemporal individuation
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness reification. Reification as such is teleologically reflected as of

singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as it reflects ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology; as reification arises as of the structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality potentiative-aspiration for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought from within a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Reification here as from this singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism insight, with regards to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality implies the structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-thought construed as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness over the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construed as incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness; wherein the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-thought is in a reified overlooking/superseding of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. In other words, reification is about apriorising-teleological resetting of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology to the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Lacking such an insight about reification will induce an ontologically-flawed apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought which is in dereification and the corresponding ontologically-flawed apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-

<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought which is as of reification; wherein dereification involves teleological embrangling/muddling/underdetermining meaningfulness-and-teleology to the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. This is because the lack of reification wrongly implies that the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> reference-of-thought framework of registry-worldviews/dimensions are the absolute determinants of intemporal value reference, such that the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> reference-of-thought framework of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism, are paradoxically-and-falsely equally the absolute determinants of intemporal value reference; whereas reification highlights that all the successive institutionalisations are as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, but of varying ontological-performance as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Behind this possibility of ontologically-flawed dereification of human meaningfulness-and-teleology is the fact that given the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, ‘the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-

totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ is a secondnaturing process as of elicited and secondnatured positive-opportunism of instigated ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in skewing for universal-transparency and social deferential-formalisation-transference. This fact about ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ implies that ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity’ is not the sufficient reason for prospective huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation, but warrants a secondnaturing process as of elicited and secondnatured positive-opportunism as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework articulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in skewing for universal-transparency and social deferential-formalisation-transference. The implication here is that the social-construct has ever always been a

threshold as of its prior institutionalisation as well as a threshold as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein respectively there is positive-opportunism for prior institutionalisation and no positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation, explaining the developing reality of the various successive human registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisations, as of retrospective and prospective implications. This fundamentally points to a 'human psychology of positive-opportunism as of prior-institutionalisation-reification and uninstitutionalised-threshold-dereification', that points out that hitherto the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has not been about '⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness temporal individuations dispositions' transformation into '⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity', but rather a constraining positive-opportunism secondnaturing to emancipating reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology; and so, despite the fact that '⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality elucidation/reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity' is a human individuation quality that avails potentially to all individuals as temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-receptacles but as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance has not hitherto been structurally/paradigmatically defining of ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-

institutionalisation-process even as it has rather been instigative as of an outlier human intemporal-disposition. The basis for this ‘human psychology of positive-opportunism as of prior-institutionalisation-reification and uninstitutionalised-threshold-dereification’, is the fact that humankind is caught up in intemporal-reification and temporal-dereification as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance given its limited-mentation-capacity; wherein the ‘social-construct uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as of ‘no positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation’ is a threshold at which there is a structural/paradigmatic lack of constraining institutionalisation to preempt ‘human temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction dynamics’ assuming of ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold dereification madeupness mental-disposition as of ontologically-flawed relation with prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’. In other words, as of existential-constraint of ontological-performance given human limited-mentation-capacity:

- at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for rulemaking-over-non-rules’, thus allowing for ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition,-that-is-not-rulemaking dereification behaviour’ at its prospective recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation;
- at base-institutionalisation-universalisation, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’, thus allowing for rulemaking-over-non-rules,-that-is-not-universalisation-directed dereification behaviour’ at its prospective ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation;
- at universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’, thus allowing for universalisation-directed-rulemaking-

over-non-rules,-that-is-not-positivising/rational-empiricism-based dereification behaviour’ at its prospective non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation;

– at our positivism–procrypticism, there is ‘no constraining prospective reification institutionalisation for preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’, thus allowing for mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules,-that-is-not-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness dereification behaviour’ at its prospective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold.

In this regard as a further elucidation, a paradigmatic/structural ‘temporal dereification madeupness mental-disposition as of ontologically-flawed relation with prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ say on the basis of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery is easily elicited-as-of-dereification in a non-positivistic social-setup under existential-constraint as there is not reifying positivism/rational-empiricism institutionalisation universal-transparency. Insightfully, the possibility for deprocrypticism/preemption-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension is necessarily one that supersedes reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’: as of the elicitation/cultivation of human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ‘ontological-faith-

notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ strive for potentiative-
 attainment of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism
 construed as of ‘ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism emancipated
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument self-
 consciousness’. This is validated by the fact that as of its instigation of prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-as-of-reference-of-thought behind the successive institutional-
 cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process, the ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as of ontological-
 faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality elucidation of
 prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ had-and-
 has ‘no reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to
 go by, but for its underlying “ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning” thereof
 validated by prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective
 institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity; such that in lieu of positive-opportunism of secondnaturating reference-
 of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, deprocrypticism in its
 preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought rather all about arriving-short with no

positive-opportunism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
 by ‘failing to elicit any associated positive-opportunism to deprocrypticism’ as well as
 ‘eliciting ironic nihilism to deprocrypticism’, in order not to cultivate a mechanical-
 knowledge appreciation of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and rather elicit a sense of
 ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ ‘as cultivating an organic-
 knowledge appreciation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-
 surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-
 protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’; and so
 implied for living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—
 as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology, as the very fact of ‘reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—
 as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-
 qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive’ reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology
 underlies relative-ontological-incompleteness as of human living underdevelopment,

institutional underdevelopment and Being underdevelopment, as of a lack of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’; as of the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology is always incomplete when conceived simplistically as being all about ‘mechanical-constraints of rules without spirit’, construed as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive implied dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. The full implications here is that a deprocrypticism ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology is more critically about eliciting the ‘subject intemporal sense of knowledge-and-virtue as of its ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics for a fully protracted-consciousness beyond a cloistered-consciousness’ in line with Foucauldian hermeneutics of the subject futural implications. Further, it is important to grasp that ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ is actually associated with all the transcendences of all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, but that what is particular with deprocrypticism summoning of ‘reinvigoration as of furthered ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as implied by its ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism

singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, is the fact that it achieves the potentiative-aspiration of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’; and so, as of ‘human ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’’ that supplants the notion of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is untenable to construe of the ultimate potential of human emancipation without the eliciting of this more fundamentally authentic basis of human emancipation as of the overcoming of human limited-mentation-capacity temporal dynamics beyond just ‘the elicitation of positive-opportunism to existential constraining’; as implied by ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism mirroring ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of inherent existence as ‘ecstatic singularity’, very much unlike reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation—as-of-ontologically-compromised—categorising-or-qualifying-or-tendentious-or-impulsive implied dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of their given prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought that fail to mirror inherent existence as ‘ecstatic singularity’. Such implied transcendental ontological-construal is rather originarily/as-of-event as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning beyond prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought

endemising/enculturating <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. We can appreciate that as of the
 ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> of say a
 non-positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, whether animistic or medieval, notions-and-
 accusations-of-sorcery as of the uninstitutionalised-threshold dereification of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology will rather as of ‘no positivism/rational-empiricism
 constraining prospective reification institutionalisation’ rather elicit spurious palliative
 adaptive dereification dispositions as of human limited-mentation-capacity, however, when
 positivism/rational-empiricism originarily/as-of-event reification avails as of the potential for
 prospective human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-
 as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> then it is more about the metaphoricity that
 portends to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such
 originarily/as-of-event reification construed futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preemption-of-
 procrypticism-as-of-reference-of-thought equally do apply with regards to our positivism—
 procrypticism dereification beyond our positivism—procrypticism ordinariness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> spurious
 palliative adaptive dereification disjointedness-of-reference-of-thought mental-dispositions as
 of human limited-mentation-capacity, so-implied as of prospective human ontological-
 performance potentiative-aspiration for singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-

epistemic-determinism thus enabling the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process and specifically for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism. Further besides this elucidated contrast articulated as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reification and prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought dereification; the concepts of reification and dereification equally extend within a given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought, especially as associated with postlogism-slantedness and the dynamic conjugated-postlogism temporal denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology implications, to critically construe ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold dereification’ as the uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-and-flawed ontological-performance as of <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, undermining the ontological-performance of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology. This conception of reification as of institutionalisation in prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reflects ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in relative apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity as of deeper limited-mentation-capacity structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—

implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, while the conception of dereification as of uninstitutionalised-threshold in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of thought reflects ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism in relative apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity- <mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> as of shallow limited-mentation-capacity structural/paradigmatic implication; wherein from a perspective of reification-dereification notionalisation, singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism contemplated as of 'existentially-potentiative absolute reification' so-implied as of theoretical existentially-potentiative no-human-limited-mentation-capacity/full-human-mentation-capacity will reflect the attainment of deprocrypticism without passing through the prior institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures of 'intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity', while dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism existentially-implied as of 'relative notional reification-dereification' as of human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity effectively reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of prior successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures towards the attainment of deprocrypticism. Thus reification aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is implied as of human ontological-performance potentiative-aspiration for singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. Ultimately, it is the reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of

the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that reflects intemporal value reference, and not the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance which is rather in <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Reification as such points out intellectual-and-moral inequivalence thus dismissing as ontologically-flawed a cross-examining/mutual-contending of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; as the latter is in ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’ and so, successively as of falling-short-as-needing-rules with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to then contend with base-institutionalisation, falling-short-as-needing-universalising-rules with base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation to then contend with universalisation, and falling-short-as-needing-positivistic-universal-rules with universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism to then contend with positivism, falling-short-as-needing-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with our positivism—procrypticism to then contend with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Consider in this regard, the peregrinations of say a Descartes or Rousseau wherein in many ways they will fail to fulfil the mundane medieval world conception of ‘the supposedly good life’ as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as they reify meaningfulness-and-

teleology by their peregrinations to construe of the paradigmatic/structural underdevelopment/unenlightenment of their society as in need of prospective positivistic reflection of the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of non-positivism/medievalism as of their ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism reified insight. The insight here about reification is that all their intemporal value references are rather as subsumed in their ‘positivistic reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with the corresponding implications of human ‘prospective positivistic transcendence-and-sublimity ontological-performance’ as aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and so over non-positivism/medievalism vices-and-impediments. By that token they are effectively of the most intellectually-and-morally inclined persons of their society. Contrastively, the temporal value reference as of non-positivism/medievalism <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-dispositions of persons like ‘honourable aristocrats’ simply reified to the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension with its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought vices-and-impediments, while favourably looked upon as of non-positivism/medievalism society <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag from a prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism insight points to such a prior registry-worldview/dimension denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology, and implying effectively that they are of lesser intellectual-and-moral dialogical-

equivalence. This further explains why vague classification schemes of value like good-naturedness, kindness, honesty, etc. have no inherent meaning as of themselves, as all the meaningfulness-and-teleology that there is and can exist is ontological as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, such that any such implied meaning is only ontologically intelligible with its reification as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as so implied from singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as the reflection of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. This points out that as of its very own <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is not the ontologically-veridical point of conceptualisation of intemporal value reference, which is rather as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reification of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as we can appreciate with regards to all prior institutionalisations but will certainly be complexified/inhibited to construe the same as of our positivism-procrypticism as from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preemption-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness perspective. The fact is no registry-worldview/dimension as of its temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> instigated prospective transcendence, is construed as ‘putting-into-question its existentially invested conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, which is rather a contradiction of sorts given human-subpotency-aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-

indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. Rather besides cultural-diffusion pressures, all human transcendence as of internal processes are rather as of outlier intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuations dynamic metaphoricity instigation in prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reifying gestures as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, which by this token is rather concerned with the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought denaturing of the prior institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold in ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-with/falling-short-of prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’. However, this ‘ontologically-veridical reification of value reference as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ and the ‘ontologically-flawed dereification of value reference as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness’ is associated with a fundamental paradox/confusion with regards to sound human intellection at uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds. As this reification/dereification of meaningfulness-and-teleology paradox/confusion has always provided the room for intellectual-and-moral charlatanism throughout human history as of lack of universal-transparency. With such charlatanism certainly knowing better but opting for denaturing conceptions of value reference as of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> advancement of temporal interests in stifling the possibility of prospective human intellectual-and-moral emancipation. The idea of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity raised

herein by this author is a reflection of the reality that knowledge as organic-knowledge is
 existentially all-committal by the mere fact of human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, with the possibility
 of denaturing as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and particularly so in spurious and
 blurry domains of study not readily/easily constraint to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity. This brings up the implication
 of what is truly transcendental knowledge by its nature as of knowledge notionalisation and
 organic-knowledge. Transcendental knowledge is actually institutionalising and re-
 institutionalising, implying it supersedes institutional practices and constructs as to the
 possibility for prospective institutionalisation, and so as of its <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 inducing institutional secondnaturing. It is rather not out of the question that knowledge so-
 construed as of prospective transcendence implications put-into-question as ‘charlatanic’
 institutions and their practices construed as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
 reference-of-thought specifically as extra-intellectual and pedantic orientations that
 undermine the advancement of their supposed prospective intellectual and emancipatory
 vocations. Interestingly, we can garner that positivistic knowledge arose and was cultivated
 as of its very own
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
 disposition/care—and—episteme conception of knowledge’ that superseded and didn’t
 recognise-and-submit to scholastic pedantry for its validation, as it construed that the latter
 wasn’t meant/structured/paradigmed to uphold and perpetuate positivism implied
 transcendental knowledge as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-
 of-thought; and in due course, by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

constraining it cross-generationally overrode scholastic pedantry. It is herein contended that it isn't out of the question that a creeping and slumbering institutional-being-and-craft intellectual tedium today increasingly fails to elicit the full unenframed potential for prospective intellectual emancipation, and so rather as of structural institutionally-induced and societally-induced anti-intellectualism implications. The question can further be asked whether transcendental implied knowledge can actually be construed as the subject of 'understanding' of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with the latter's

<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, given the psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification implications of transcendental knowledge. Is transcendental knowledge as of that token rather more a metaphoricity constraint as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework for the possibility of prospective transcendence as more than just about abstract intellection but extending intellectualism to supersede the existential-investment implications that underlie excogitative-blanking to such prospectively implied 'understanding' as of transcendental knowledge. From the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought naïve non-transcendental

<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, it may be thought/reasoned that a transcendently projecting intemporal mental-disposition is rather uncanny about the 'existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought malignity reality of existence' construed as pragmatic living, but this rather confirms the 'dereifying irresponsibility' of such temporal thought/reasoning mental-dispositions 'caught up mainly in their 60-to-100 years of existence reality of meaningfulness-and-teleology'. The intemporal 'reifying choice-and-adherence' to the 'reified assumed-responsibility' of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is ever always a reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that by definition is not in a 'reasoning with' relation

with reasoning-from-results/afterthought deficient prior institutionalising; and certainly explaining why uninstitutionalised-thresholds transcending has ever always been conflicted as to the necessary reality of imposing the ‘superior party’ that is as of the full-potency existence/existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality over the denaturing mortals that we are for our prospective emancipation. Without an insight about reification and dereification, the notion of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as it reflects ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology is easily misconstrued since denaturing of meaning in dereification will be teleologically-elevated and meaning produced as of reification will be teleologically-degraded; as so blatantly obvious particularly with the dereification manifestation of childhood psychopathy postlogism-slantedness but then takes on a wholly covert nature as of adulthood psychopathy and social psychopathy dynamics. In this regard, divergent as of temporal-to-intemporal dynamics of human ontological-performance of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology reflecting dereified and reified construals of existential-contextualising-contiguity is to be expected, and assessable on the basis of a commonly expected apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, which then speaks of a dialogical-equivalence of both temporal mental-dispositions and the intemporal mental-disposition with no dereification and reification contrast. However, compounding this situation making relevant the need to contrast reification and dereification and imply moral-and-intellectual inequivalence together with dialogical inequivalence, and so between temporal mental-dispositions and intemporal mental-disposition, is specifically the flawed ontological-performance manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy which is ‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the denaturing of the <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument', and arises so fundamentally with regards to the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which is the <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag backdrop for existential-instantiations aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology; with the fundamental implication that there are thus divergent apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments as of psychopathic induced postlogism-slantedness, and its social cognisance and integration as conjugated-postlogism so-conjugating as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as of social psychopathy. In this latter case of contrasted reification and dereification and implying moral-and-intellectual inequivalence together with dialogical inequivalence, and so between temporal-as-psychopathic-and-social-psychopathic mental-dispositions and the intemporal mental-disposition, and so-implied as of 'disseminative-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—contrastive-reification-dissemination-and-dereification-dissemination-implications' construed as the 'variance/discrepancy of meaningfulness-and-teleology' as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-dialectical-thinking and as-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dialectically-dementing respectively; it is only ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism from the projected 'notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism' of the intemporal mental-disposition as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-dialectical-thinking recognising this 'dialectically-dementing and dialectical-thinking variance/discrepancy of meaningfulness-

and-teleology' that induces an ontologically-veridical disambiguation of dereified and reified construals of existential-contextualising-contiguity as implied by the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments as of reifying intemporal/valid/dialectical-thinking

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective relative-ontological-completeness apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity and as of dereifying temporal-as-psychopathic-and-social-psychopathic/invalid/dialectically-dementing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>

(psychopathic and social psychopathic), and so before aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology can even be then articulated as ontologically-veridical exclusively as of the intemporal/valid/dialectical-thinking

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme. Such a difference-conflatedness-as-of-epistemic-totality is equally what reflects in the bigger scheme of things, at the reference-of-thought-level, the reality of humankind as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions humans psychological dispositions as per their corresponding apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments. In this regard, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can be construed as human limited-mentation-capacity

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reification as 'apriorising-teleological resetting of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology as of futural Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism-as-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’, construed as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,—so-construed-as-singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’; with the various prior registry-worldviews/dimensions institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures rather successively as lesser and lesser dereification-levels towards the deprocrypticism reification. Consider in that with regards to ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’, its reification as ‘apriorising-teleological resetting of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology to the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-physics-axiomatic-construct’ implies that structurally/paradigmatically ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ is dereified as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness to theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs which is rather reified as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness; such that interestingly to construe, as of ontological-veridicality, the reality of ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ requires rather assuming/departing-from an understanding of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as implied by the reifying theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs in articulating ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism from this projected ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ as of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-

constructs perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme over ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’, and so-implied as of ‘disseminative-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—contrastive-reification-dissemination-and-dereification-dissemination-implications’ construed as the ‘variance/discrepancy of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness and as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. Note that on the imaginary supposition that no such prospectively projected ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ existed as ‘providing the ontological-veridicality insight-of-completeness for reifying meaningfulness-and-teleology’, mental-dispositions in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness will falsely go on reasoning with ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ by identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as providing ontological-veridicality as of this now dereifying construal of existential-contextualising-contiguity of ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’. But then again, the reality of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness will point out that such ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism is in reality dialectically-dementing as of its threshold-of-ontological-incompleteness. This insight equally applies at the reference-of-thought-level, for instance, with regards to the fact that our positivism–procrpticism registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t recognise-nor-register any such notion as procrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought that speaks of our prospective dialectical-dementing at our prospective positivism–procrpticism uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so as reflected from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-

expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-
 of-thought registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness.
 Interestingly, it should be noted here that with such phenomenon as psychopathy and social
 psychopathy that is ‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the denaturing of the
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as of our
 positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-
 threshold (just as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a universalisation—non-
 positivism/medievalism social-setup is ‘structurally/paradigmatically associated with the
 denaturing of the <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as of their
 universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalised-threshold), ontological-
 veridicality is rather assumed/departs from an understanding of existential-contextualising-
 contiguity knowledge-reification as implied with futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension and not our
 positivism—procrypticism, in articulating ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-
 totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism from this
 projected ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’
 as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought perspective or
 attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme over our positivism—procrypticism, so-implied
 as of their disseminative-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity—contrastive-
 reification-dissemination-and-dereification-dissemination-implications. But then just as the

reflex mental state and attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme in a universalisation–
 non-positivism/medievalism social-setup will be resistant to an elucidation of notions-and-
 accusations-of-sorcery adopting the perspective or attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-
 episteme of the reifying prospective positivism to arrive at ontological-veridicality, likewise
 more fundamental in undermining the elucidation of the manifestation of psychopathy and
 social psychopathy is the fact of an ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
 implications> reflex mental state and attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme in our
 positivism–procrypticism that will be resistant to adopting the reifying perspective or
 attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme of futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to arrive at ontological-veridicality that rather
 implies the dialectical-dementation of our positivism–procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-
 threshold; and as we falsely go on to construe existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-
 reification/dereification by adopting the positivism–procrypticism dereifying perspective or
 attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme in its prior relative-ontological-
 incompleteness in an exercise of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-
 epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism.

Further and insightfully again, with the manifestation of childhood psychopathy where the
 postlogism-slantedness is universally transparent there is no occurrence of interlocutors
 cognisant-and-integrative

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of
 existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification as of the childhood

slantedness, but with respect to adult psychopathy with the attendant maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness, such interlocutors cognisant-and-integrative apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification arise as of their temporal madeupness-threshold, which implies an invested social commitment as of thought and association that is then inclined to overlook inherent ontological-veridicality, as of interlocutors postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ leading to the dynamics of social psychopathy, and this logic also explains how and why notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are endemised/enculturated in a non-positivism social-setup; with the insight as articulated by this author that more critically manifestations of postlogism-slantedness across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions are rather revelatory of the fundamental prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, with transcendental implications that goes well beyond the ad-hoc conception of manifestations of postlogism-slantedness but more broadly conceive as of the destructuring/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implications arising from underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought with regards to human living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology underdevelopment issues.

This underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness
 reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of analysis, as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 protracted-teleological-wholeness/nested-congruence-in-reflecting-the-ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process,-so-construed-as-
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’, highlights that
 human mental-disposition as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor operates in its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag on the ‘ontologically-flawed
 basis of a rather <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag absolutised/unchanging/given reference-
 of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology’;
 thus underlying a ‘human psychology of passivity to the underlying metaphoricity of human
 limited-mentation-capacity as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’. The question can then be asked with regards to the
 capacity of such a positivism–procrypticism self-consciousness psychology to attend to
 living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-
 function-development, Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 underdevelopment issues/problems directly related to the lack of ‘futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-

infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism self-consciousness psychology that recognises-and-registers the prospective metaphoricity need as of human limited-mentation-capacity due to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor’. This insight is in effect the futural rejoinder to the Foucauldian hermeneutics of the subject with respect to human prospective reference-of-thought transcendence-and-sublimity capacity; in the sense that ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ has always called upon a certain apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument development of the human subject itself as enabling-and-making-available the capacity for that human subject to tackle the prospective issues of its world. In this regard, the question could be asked: what is the capacity of the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mindset to tackle prospective issues warranting a positivism self-consciousness psychology, and by extension what is the capacity of our positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset to tackle prospective issues warranting a deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought self-consciousness psychology? The ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ involves prospective reference-of-thought dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-

reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as spurring Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology metaphoricity as of protensive-consciousness that is prospectively-grounded-or-psychoanalytically-unshackling, and implying prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness relative-ontological-completeness of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing which is here construed as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as implied by postmodern human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation. Overall ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ is ontologically validated as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology metaphoricity behind the successive transcendence of registry-worldviews/dimensions in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process so-associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Hence the ‘postmodern exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme’ superseding of

the ‘modern take interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ is what renders possible postmodern transcendence-and-sublimity as of its very own ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ spur of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology metaphoricity. Overall, ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ speaks of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, while ‘interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ speaks of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Thus the former is a reflection as of its dialectical-thinking of the Being underdevelopment of the latter as of the latter’s dialectical-dementing. Ultimately, human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) speaks to the ontological-veridicality that human meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘is ever always about successive reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology or apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-reconceptualisation-about-existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation-for-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness inducing existential-instantiations devolved meaningfulness’, so-construed as human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-

of-existence *différance*/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral as of <formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving; with such
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-
reconceptualisation reflected in successive ‘exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-
and-episteme’ for prospective institutionalisation superseding/overriding successive
‘interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ at uninstitutionalised-
threshold as successive Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-
of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
metaphoricity impetus in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-
by-reification/contemplative-distension as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
epistemic-conflatedness, with base-institutionalisation from recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation, universalisation from base-institutionalisation-universalisation,
positivism from universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively
deprocrpticism from positivism-procrpticism as reflecting the overall notional-
conflatedness of notional-deprocrpticism protensive-consciousness as the ‘ontologically-
veridical point-of-focus-as-consciousness prospective exteriorisation attitude/mental-
disposition/care-and-episteme’. Insightfully, this author further addresses the common
criticism of postmodern-thought with regards to virtue, as of postmodern implied human-
subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-
singularisation. Structurally/paradigmatically a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-
of-thought points fundamentally to its ‘underlying reference-of-thought-categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology’
with regards to the latter’s ‘temporality-as-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-

teleology to intemporality-as-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performances’ as of notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>. Such that it is fundamentally the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought that becomes the ‘lack-of-virtue or vice issue’, beyond just any associated incidental existential problems, as requiring aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of the need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought to address the myriad <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag existential possibilities of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s vices-and-impediments as fundamentally bound to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought ‘underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and so beyond just <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and ad-hoc palliative resolutions. Consider in this regard the temporal ontological-performance as of say a postlogism-slantedness or any other temporal or derived-temporal mental-disposition associated with vicious accusations-of-sorcery for instance in a non-positivistic as animistic or medieval social-setup. The fact that even an intemporally-inclined mental-disposition in that social-setup has an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification that is ‘mutually cognisant-and-integrative beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ with notions-and-accusations-of-witchcraft itself as of their ‘underlying reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’

presents an epistememic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag issue that endemises notions-and-
 accusations-of-witchcraft in the vices-and-impediments of that given social-setup. It is the
 prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-
 thinking-qualia-schema> as of prospective positivism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as setting up
 the positivism ‘underlying reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology’, that fundamentally undermines such endemisation; and hence it is not by
 accident that our present positivism registry-worldview/dimension is devoid of such issues
 since it paradigmatically/structurally undermines temporal-to-intemporal cognisance and
 integrativeness of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as of the positivism ‘underlying
 reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology’,
 construed as ‘transcendental human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-
 constructivism-towards-singularisation that reflects ‘modern suprastructuralism’; just as a
 ‘postmodern suprastructuralism’ reflects deprocrypticism as of its preemption-of-
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought over our positivism-procrypticism disjointedness-
 as-of-reference-of-thought. This insight about the need for prospective notional-
 contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema>
 underlies a postmodern understanding, as it is herein contended, that it is by the exercise of
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of human limited-
 mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal) as of the need for futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and so over our positivism–procripticism
 temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions ‘mutual cognisance and integrativeness of
 procripticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’, that we provide
 the ontologically-veridical aetiologisation or ontological-esclation resolving the vices-and-
 impediments of our ‘so-prospectively deprocripticism-construed’
 procripticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of its underlying
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so beyond just our ad-hoc palliative
 construals of virtue. Basically when post-structuralists speak of ‘the other’ this translates into
 aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-
 disposition/care–and–episteme event-or-operant implications to all and sundry’ as implied in
 the above analysis, as postmodern-thought portends to be non-ideology-driven, non-
 speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant. This insight is also very
 much conscious of the ontologically-flawed misconstrual of ‘the other’ that pervades huma
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-
 dispositions as of ‘mutual temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 eliciting’ construed as ‘intemporal temporality’. Such tendencies are hardly of
 aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as their emphasis lies in existential-extrication-as-of-
 existential-unthought, rather than nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-
 unthought in enabling Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘universal

projection implications attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme event-or-operant
 implications to all and sundry'; such that fundamentally, such <formative>wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> tendencies do not address structurally/paradigmatically
 defining issues of a registry-worldview/dimension as of its vices-and-impediments like the
 comprehensive implications of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought/procrypticism with
 regards to our positivism-procrypticism or say the comprehensive implications of non-
 positivism in a medieval or animistic social-setup. Prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-
 contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> thus effectively
 implies deneuterising 'exteriorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme' of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology superseding/overriding the prior reference-of-thought
 temporally neuterising 'interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme' of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. This fundamentally speaks of a paradigmatic/structural
 conception of virtue-as-ontology transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This very much differs from <formative>epistemic-
 totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ontological-
 contiguity palliative virtue constructs as of variance of the very same reference-of-thought-
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology,
 and thus implies temporally neuterising 'interiorisation attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-
 episteme' of meaningfulness-and-teleology. This wrongly implies the inherent
 exceptionalism of the conception of virtue for humans in any such registry-
 worldview/dimension outside/beyond the ontologically-veridical implications of virtue-as-
 ontology associated with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-

of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Such an ontological-contiguity <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag virtue conception is caught up within such a registry-worldview/dimension internal social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks as of the given reference-of-thought, with these elements in need for prospective transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought but paradoxically now defining the conception of virtue. The fact is our pretences and arguments of practice, as not critically pinned down to their ontological-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, can similarly be meted with pretences and arguments of practice as of each and every registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought practices, and thus conceptualising virtue by <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag while circumventing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought the vices-and-impediments of each registry-worldview/dimension in want of its ‘pure ontology’ virtue resolution as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. In this regard such palliative virtue constructs overlooking fundamental underlying paradigmatic/structural ontological implications about our ‘modern take attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ reflected by the ‘postmodern deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care–and–episteme’ with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks, are no different to say ‘non-

positivism/medievalism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’ overlooking its own social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks as reflected from ‘positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme’. However, approbating we may be predisposed to such palliative virtue constructs as of lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-

reification/contemplative-distension, the fact is these are not really the underlying drivers for virtue transcendence and are peripheral to more ontologically profound theorised-or-untheorised emancipatory events driving virtue transcendence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, notwithstanding our state of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. The fact is from an ontological standpoint, we inherently are no more virtuously exceptional even with regards to the earliest of humans, and so as of the very same species potency, and thus we can’t ascribed inherent virtuous superiority by the mere token of our own practice. Rather the exceptionality behind human virtuous potential lies ontologically with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, reflecting the fact that pure-ontology that as of its secondnaturing induces the requisite level

of human virtue performance at each given registry-worldview/dimension, retrospectively to prospectively. It is rather by acting upon the inherent ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of its ontological reflection in Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that virtue transcendence comes about, whether or not beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. In this regard, any registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of the <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, such that prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as required for virtue transcendence necessarily implies disrupting and superseding any such <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> vices-and-impediments, as of the prospective/new superseding reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology. Inevitably any such virtue construct is transcendental as meaning ‘going beyond oneself’; and so with regards to any prospective institutionalisation relative to the uninstitutionalised-threshold. Thus the ‘field of conception’/notional-conception/notion of virtue-as-ontology covers way more than its articulation within a same registry-worldview’s/dimension’s <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as its implications as of Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology need to be drawn beyond a cloistered-consciousness as of retrospective and prospective transcendental illuminating implications. In this regard, a postmodern/suprastructuralism philosophical stance with regards to virtue-as-ontology very much aware of the transcendental ontological sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness: will question such reasoning-from-results/afterthought basis of palliative virtue constructs especially as of their <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and lack of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-by-reification/contemplative-distension implications; ask whether by definition a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought is structured/paradigmed to sponsor/promote/endorse its very own prospective transcendence as of the need for the subversion of its reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology that endemise-and-enculturate its vices-and-impediments by prospective reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity, more like could the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Diderots, etc. call upon the very same non-positivism/medievalism in need for prospective positivism transcendence to underwrite the subversion of its entrenched non-positivism/medievalism internal social-stake-contention-or-confliction changing temporal constraints, temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology enframing frameworks and temporal mandarinism/pedantry frameworks; and, hence the ontologically-veridical paradox of the

very structuring/paradigming implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 {formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} renders any
 registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought ever deficient as of its need for
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding/prospective-reification of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. Ultimately, anti-constructivism and anti-relativism criticisms
 of postmodern-thought come down to our ‘modern positivism/rational-empiricism
 ontologically-flawed as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness
 perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ constitutedness construal of
 categorising/taxonomising schemes that pervades the ‘modern categorising mental-
 disposition’ as of our occlusive-consciousness neutering, as we fail to grasp the implication
 of an implied apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 that is naively superseding the true
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument nature of
 existential reality as the absolute a priori; such that the meaningfulness-and-teleology that
 arises is a relatively virtual-or-ontologically-flawed-construal. On the contrary it is
 conflatedness that ensures that our
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument syncs with the
 true apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument nature of
 existential reality as the absolute a priori, and so as of an ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence posture which rather ‘turns the idea of analysing and
 conceptualising on its head’ into one of ‘grasping human limited-mentation-capacity-
 deepening-{formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal}
 implications as of the underlying psychoanalytic-unshackling’ for human-subpotency
 construal of the full-potency that is existence. This insight about the complete relationship
 between developing human-subpotency and its potential to fully grasp the full-potency of

existence, fundamentally underlies the protensive-consciousness referentialism of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism. However, it is equally critical to grasp the double-gesture reification implied in such a postmodern-as-suprastructural conception of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation. Such a postmodern/suprastructuralism double-gesture reification holds that knowledge involving virtue-as-ontology is truly organic-knowledge as of its appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme with respect to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction; with the adherence to the reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology of such organic-knowledge construed as of intemporality-as-conviction, whereas mechanical-knowledge is rather predispose to adhere as of temporal-as-token-or-madeupness to the such mere reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The latter points to an inappropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme which is not beholden to the prospective institutionalisation but rather is of existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought relation with it. More concretely, consider the practice of serfdom in Europe, or the annihilation of many Native American tribes and slavery and slave trade in the new world, while at the same time in a registry-worldview/dimension transitioning from the non-positivism/medievalism to the positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview with this contrastive mechanical-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme and organic-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme. While the full implications of a positivism/rational-empiricism organic-knowledge attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme will imply an end to such practices as of universal human rights, ‘economic-opportunistic-and-then-enculturated tenants’ of such blatant moral

supremacy and thus racial supremacy distorted the implications of the technical and social organisation advancement brought about from budding positivism/rational-empiricism to reconceptualise by their specific interests meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the prior non-positivism/medievalism attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus justify their nefarious practices; speaking of mechanical-knowledge in positivism/rational-empiricism. Whereas progressive organic-knowledge tenants construed positivism/rational-empiricism as an openness to the potential of all societies and peoples to rather arrive at the higher possibility of positivism/rational-empiricism virtue, and so as of a human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation posture that allows for universal human emancipation as expressed by the Quakers movement, Rousseaux, Diderots, etc. Incidentally, the positivism/rational-empiricism mechanical-knowledge contenders as of the economic-opportunism-and-then-enculturation of their nefarious practices, were very much countervailing the practice and trend within their own societies of origin undergoing-positivism/rational-empiricism-transformation and the underlying dual-language/split-mentality unscrupulousness was given away as of the ‘out-of-sight demeanour’ in their main societies, rather than being fully assumed as marking positivism/rational-empiricism progress. The occasional development of enlightenment and positivism/rational-empiricism by its technical and social organisation transformation implications wasn’t the opportunity for such societies to turn around and then dehumanise other societies and humanities that haven’t done likewise, but rather as of organic-knowledge called for a double-gesture reification in recognising that such positivism/rational-empiricism implications are about all of humanity, just as implied in preceding human cultural emancipations. Suprastructuralism or postmodernism double-gesturing of virtue doesn’t function on the naïve basis of ‘merely

construing relative implied levels of virtue development and making relative conclusions' but rather orientate meaningfulness-and-teleology to the more profound perspective of all of humanity's potential as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and then reconstrue the possibility of all of humanity-as-of-societies to ultimately fulfil its virtuous potential; and this is the optimum and emancipatory virtue disposition for all humankind and human societies. It adopts this orientation because it always put into question the idea of 'grounding meaningfulness-and-teleology as of any specific human society relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as fundamentally denaturing, and likely to induce transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing dehumanising of some cultures and societies by others'; as it recognises, however tepid, that all societies and humans are curious, predisposed to their emancipation and achieving optimum existential possibilities, and can uphold universal values, and so as of universal-transparency. Ultimately, such a double-gesturing hold out the possibility in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as pertinent for all humankind, whether as of internal social-progress, cultural diffusion or cultural-reappropriations. This practically translates, say considering an instance of a given traditional practice that is abhorrent to modern positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme, by implying from a postmodern perspective that emancipation truly arises when the humans come to assume as well by themselves a universal positivism/rational-empiricism attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme in transforming their society. We can appreciate that supposed a space civilisation come to earth, implying for instance in a position of strength that we are too violent, disorganise, etc. and thus morally inferior, and that our best interests was just to take our cue from them. Here

as well, the postmodern double-gesture reification of virtue will project that we do have the potential for further development, and that to be ourselves we cannot be utterly alienated from ourselves like robots in our relationship with them, and that our curiosity and openness will correspondingly bring about our functional moral equivalence with universal-transparency. Further arguing that if they are truly more advanced than us, then that advancement is necessarily about a greater aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation that will necessarily subscribe to recognising ‘the other’ that we are to them; as insightfully, grander aetiologisation/ontological-escalation come with relative-ontologically-veridical attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme. Claims of such grander aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as implying dehumanising interpretations are ontologically-flawed as such claims are rather surreptitiously based on prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology as teleological-degradations-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>. In other words, the organic-knowledge in its true appreciation of ‘the other’ as of aetiologisation or ontological escalation implies a ‘universal projection implications attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme event-or-operant to all and sundry’. Finally, the naivety when facing such anti-constructivism and anti-relativism arguments is to think that these are always about fair and objective intellectual disagreements; but then the history of many such criticisms has revealed its underlying perfidy. Further, as of organic-knowledge and knowledge notionalisation, this author holds that it is naïve to conceptualise of human knowledge mainly as of pure erudition warranting mainly sound arguments, proofs and convincing demonstrations, and that the reality all along

‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ shows that there has always been beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought ‘institutional investment’ that is not always just of erudite ideal, inclined to undermined prospective knowledge as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-constructs-and-reference-of-thought, and that true knowledge especially as it portends to transcendence cannot be conceptualised losing sight of this fact. The blunt fact is that postmodern-thought has shown itself to be more useful and applicable across the humanities with a massive potential for furthering human emancipation, however the tentativeness of many of its bold ideas, and so much more than the vagaries peddled by many such critiques surreptitious anti-intellectual media-driven waylaying who on the contrary seem to construe of institutional anchoring as the very essence of validation. Such situations are often highly liable to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity undermining of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology due to ‘lack of social universal-transparency’. In other words, medieval charlatanic eliciting of old ways, conventioning and existence as of non-positivism/medievalism despite its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as underscoring medieval vices-and-impediments with respect to prospective positivism was psychically and surreptitiously undermining of a sense of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and this insight is valid across all registry-

worldviews/dimensions as of the eliciting of temporal individuations self-referencing cloistered-consciousness in nihilistically undermining prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. It is only an organic-knowledge sense of consummation-as-not-beholden to temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology stakes that human intemporal individuations as of a protracted-consciousness can contemplate of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its cross-generational transcendental implications and as reflected from the insight in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Again, it can be noted here that Einstein, Bohr and the other seminal physics contributors to the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs had no prior basis to adopt their subsequently transcendental and sublimation orientation but for their ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of their ‘re-projection/re-anticipation’ about ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ which was then validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and so divulged by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness; as prior human presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness experience wouldn’t have thought about space-time, considered the ether as unreal, considered that the laws of physics are different at atomic scale, etc. In other words, there wasn’t any prior ‘logocentric transcendental-signifier’ as of the prior classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs construed as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness enabling the obtention of any such conclusions from the given classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs constitutedness, but rather it is by conflatedness with

regards to ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ that the prospective theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs was construed as of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>. Interestingly, as of the underlying phenomenology-driven ontology, it is rather more pertinent with respect to transcendence-and-sublimity to grasp that such ultimate decidability is construed as of human intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation mental-disposition in ‘a tendential-deliberation-of-decidability as enabled by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework tendential validation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’. Such a construal of human transcendence-and-sublimity will cover the seminal contributions prior and after the defining-threshold epistemic-break/epistemic-resetting of the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs by Einstein and Bohr. Such an ontological-basis for construing sublimation overrides our neuterising laden modern convention ways of judging breakthroughs overemphasising singular initiative, as it is rather grounded more soundly on an abstract notion of ‘intemporal-as-ontological individuation’ as the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) analysis; and insightfully, as reflected in the underlying conflatedness of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay, sublimation is achieved rather out of the notional obviating of human temporal-as-non-ontological neuterising as of deneuterising—referentialism and with correspondent intemporal-as-ontological rearticulation/reconstrual of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of dynamics of insight of shallow-to-deeper human limited-

mentation-capacity implications, and so as of protensive-consciousness of notional-deprocrypticism perspective/framing/reference/horizon. Similarly, this author's articulation of futural-différance as of transcendence-and-sublimity is necessarily construed ontologically as of a rearticulated protractedness as futural différance that coincides-and-is-contiguous with a prior Derridean différance as of quasi-transcendence and evasiveness of sublimation. In both cases, this highlights that 'decidability is not instantaneous as of inherent spontaneous identification and occurrence of decisional act' but that decidability in enabling transcendence-and-sublimity is as of an 'overall différance tendential-deliberation-of-decidability' as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) process. Thus sublimation is equally reflected in the deliberateness involved in cultivating artistic, educational, technical or research capabilities/skill in the final outcomes derived forthwith, as of the quality imbued on human limited-mentation-capacity to deepen itself; and this translates into human contemplation of the existential-possibilities attainable by its human-subpotency. Tendential-deliberation-of-decidability is thus the central ontological insight attached to différance as 'a contiguously theoretical and operant phenomenological construct involving necessarily the deliberateness as of Derridean freeplay différance, as a putting into question exercise, and subject to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation before attaining defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity'; and différance as of such 'existential-reality concreteness dynamics' is scientific and utterly dissimilar from a speculative idealisation exercise à la Hegelian dialectics and well beyond the latter's conceptual patterning. Ultimately, such tendential-deliberation-of-decidability for attaining defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity, arises from more than just a blatant/flatminded notion of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) or say the vague social convention idea of talent, it is more

critically beyond and about a question of human mental-disposition with respect to the prescience of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness so-implied as of ontology’s-directedness-as-Being. This is the very meaning of organic-knowledge beyond the conception of mechanical-knowledge as-knowledge-as-a-mere-thing-to-be-acted-upon-for-given-outcomes. Organic-knowledge as such implies priorly a conviction deference to the prescience of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness over any human-as-mortal framing of meaningfulness-and-teleology including oneself-as-human-as-mortal, as it is human mortality-as-temporality that is rather what is in need for further Being and consciousness development. Thus the paradigm of sublimation for a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought, as reflected in the Derridean social ethics stance, is rather one for the ‘subsumptive inventing’ of the prospective ontological possibilities of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over human normativity/conventioning as of the latter’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and so by maximalising-recomposuring <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of organic-knowledge. A nonextricatory existential paradigm of sublimation implying that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, and positivism–procrysticism, are successively-wanting of prospective defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity going by their successively-given mechanical-knowledge in temporality-as-of-neuterisation/relative-ontological-incompleteness/existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. In other words, an intemporal-as-ontological mental-disposition projecting of the organic-knowledge as of prospective registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought in prospective relative-

ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought can't sidestep such implied prospective defining-transcendence and defining-sublimity, and undertake existence as of the prior registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, even if it such a mental-disposition could lead to such an outcome as in H.G. Wells's country of the blind or Galileo say with the medieval Establishment; despite the fact that the possibilities of such outcomes arise out of establishment Charlatanism, which knows better, but exploits lack of 'social universal-transparency'. But then it is actually a sign of 'propounded theoretical health and pertinence' when all such Establishment charlatanism comes to dodge such substantive-and-frontal articulation of prospective knowledge, and in lieu come up with worn out refrains and sidestepping manoeuvres avowing their true 'intellectual blankness' grounded on institutional-being-and-craft; as we know that in all genuinely inclined intellectual pursuits the very central tenet has always been about theoretical disputative engagement and not acts of escapism and downgrading of intellectual arguments as of 'solo media exploits of intellectual popularity'. Thus by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought as futural *différance*, accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-*différance*-freeplay comes into terms with both presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> on the basis of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness/ontological-contiguity of the latter over the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of the former as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Thus what is being correctly implied is not 'difference-in-

kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising but rather difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising between presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>. Such an insight is enabled as of the fundamental awareness that human knowledge construction fundamentally involves two different exercises; with the first factoring in that at the fundamental level of knowledge construction humankind has a limited-mentation-capacity that needs to be developed as a ‘developed consciousness perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness/notional-contiguity’ construed as its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to then be able at an operative level to articulate sound-or-authentic meaningfulness-and-teleology grounded on such a developed consciousness perspective/framing/reference/horizon. This explains why it is impossible for a ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of trepidatious-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ to grasp base-institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘base-institutionalisation mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of warped-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; for a ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ to grasp universalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘universalisation mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of preclusive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; for a ‘universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mindset

perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ to grasp positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology without first developing a ‘positivistic mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of occlusive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; and prospectively for a ‘positivism–procrypticism mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon’ to grasp deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-meaningfulness without first developing a ‘deprocrypticism mindset perspective/framing/reference/horizon as of protensive-consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. As we can get that the fundamental stake for the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, etc. during the Enlightenment wasn’t just about the specific positivistic knowledge they articulated or else they would have been satisfied with just their personal curiosity and enlightenment and leave it at that, but rather they surreptitiously undermined many of the prevailing social norms and rules in trying to expound their knowledge and vision, and more critically so because they knew it is the ‘formation of a positivistic social consciousness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ that would enable the anchoring of all such prospective positivistic knowledge, and this sense of things fully underscored such a more comprehensively directed project-and-purpose undertaken later by the Encyclopédistes; with the underlying insight that while a social state of generalised prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is enabling to surreptitious Establishment charlatanism, however with increasing ‘social universal-transparency’ such charlatanism is exposed for what it really is, explaining the panickiness and falsehood associated with such charlatanism as with the reactionaries to the Encyclopédistes project, as if the articulation of knowledge by itself was a threat rather than subject to disputation! Underlying as the non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical and

conceptual possibility for such futural *différance* consciousness development is the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics which by pointing out an epistemic-break as of difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising/ontological-discontinuity, underscore at once ‘both as affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of the consciousness in ontological-contiguity/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and as unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueeness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of the consciousness of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and not incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness, as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’. As futural *différance* is enabled, unlike the case with the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay *différance*’, as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality involving human mental-disposition successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reprojection-or-reanticipation capacity inducing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal); overriding the idea that the perspective/framing/reference/horizon of contemplation is absolutely given-and-determined as of the implication that all meaningfulness-and-teleology should be as of ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising, but rather reconceptualising the possibility of difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising as of prospective relative-

ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought bringing about transcendence-and-sublimity as of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>. Thus such a phenomenology associated with accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay further divulges, unlike the ‘Derridean quasi-transcendental-freeplay différance’, the full possibility of human sublimation. Consider in this regard the decisive transitions-as-sublimitys that occurred in physics: with ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs; wherein the successive axiomatic-constructs in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness and prospective relative-ontological-completeness, with regards to ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ and the theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as of ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’ are not as of a ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising but rather a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising; with human-subpotency aligning towards the full potency of existence which thus divulges the possibility of human sublimation as of the physics science implications today. It is interesting to note that the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising bringing about the successive physics axiomatic-constructs/theories are successive ‘epistemic-breaks’ from prior reasoning and are akin to ‘leaps of faith’ which then ‘establish new reasoning’ that then becomes the internal ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising of the new physics as the new presencing; brought about from the transcendence of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>. In other words, human

consciousness tends to be constraint to its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and thus assumes a ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising mental-disposition as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. But existence/ontology’s-directedness-as-Being as of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> is beyond and not constraint by human consciousness as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and thus hints-at the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality possibilities of transcendence-and-sublimity as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation that is at the very center of the ‘promise of correspondence between human-subpotency as of Being-and-consciousness development and existence as of ontological-veridicality’, and so despite the complexifying/inhibiting metaphysics-of-presence of any given

<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag

from a ‘difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising posture; such that humankind then overlooks presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and re-projects/re-anticipates non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> enabling human transcendence-and-sublimity. Therefore, metaphoricity as highlighted herein is actually construed as of ‘its natural ontology implications’, and this natural ontological notion of metaphoricity is construed herein as superseding-and-englobing all other differentiated adjunctive significations including conventional figures-of-speech. Metaphoricity as such simply refers to signification adjunctiveness to ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-

totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ as of both the meaningfulness-and-teleology implications to the so-renewed ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’ and the specific adjunctive-metaphoricity-signification within such renewed ‘underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating signifying-construct of language’. Metaphoricity is very much a mirroring of existential ‘syncretising-effecting’ going by the latter’s existential implications on ‘human underlying self-referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology asan epistememic-totalising/circular construal’. This ‘epistemic-totalisation/circularity epistemic-breaking’ of self-referencing associated existentially with syncretising-effecting as mirrored in metaphoricity arises because of human limited-mentation-capacity, and is a reflection of the circular deepening of human limited-mentation-capacity as of growing certitude from the opening up of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> by human re-projection/re-anticipation ultimately validated by existence/ontology’s-directedness-as-Being ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Further, metaphoricity as such speaks of the evasiveness of all human meaningfulness-and-teleology at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrently pointed out herein as of token-as-madeupness/non-conviction or derived-token-as-madeupness/derived-non-conviction or conviction possibilities relation to reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-mentation-capacity implications. The implications of this reality as of metaphoricity explains why epistemes are fundamentally and necessarily constricted as of their specific registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought; as ultimately epistemes are as relevant as the ontological-possibilities divulgeable by presencing—absolutising-

identitive-constitutedness and non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>, such that in the case of the latter there is no prior insight about the veracity of any episteme before it is divulged with Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. Consider in this regard Galileo’s implying positivistic episteme metaphoricity over a medieval Establishment scholasticism-and-mysticism episteme as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the necessary backdrop for the knowledge he articulates and all subsequent positivistic knowledge. In many ways, this author as of organic-knowledge is very much aware of the ‘drawback implications’ of our positivism–procrypticism episteme as of its constitutedness with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism psychoanalytic-unshackling organic-knowledge, as of the full articulation of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay with respect to our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation implications representation, and so beyond just our natural inclination for <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Galileo could well had possibly recasted his implied positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in scholasticism-mysticism terms, just as Copernicus work was held back priorly in limbo, but then the implications as he perceived

would have been a degradation and lost of the essence of what he was doing, and so more than just the specific scientific knowledge but more critically it warranted a psychoanalytic-unshackling into the non-presencing-or-withdrawal-or-metaphysics-of-absence-or-transcendental-reasoning-of-event-as-prospective-ontology-origination perspective/framing/reference/horizon of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology we entertain today. Likewise, as of such metaphoricity episteme, the meaningfulness-and-teleology herein implied as of its essence cannot do without this hermeneutic circle phenomenological ontology elucidation as of its psychoanalytic-unshackling conflatedness; and the ideal backdrop for this lies in a further developed postmodern-thought phenomenological-depth of construction, as implied herein by this author as of accreting-substitutive-subsumption-as-futural-différance-freeplay. This author conceives that at the very core to such genuine understanding of postmodern-thought is a double-gesture reification that consists of perspective/framing/reference/horizon and then contention/argumentation within such articulated perspective/framing/reference/horizon, as so implied by postmodern-thought together with other kindred though less dramatic textuality-thinkers like Gadamer and Habermas; as of the need to adopt/instigate the appropriate mindset for knowledge appraisal given the fundamental distorting effect, beyond just perception, of human limited-mentation-capacity. This double-gesture reification reality for construing human knowledge amounts to a quasi-psychoanalytic-unshackling, as it reflects the fact that The-Given as of existentialism/throwness/facticity is always an insufficiently/poorly developed perspective/framing/reference/horizon for direct instigation of contention/argumentation aspiring for profundity and completeness. Such that this double-gesture reification of the textuality-driven intellectuals involves their 'special focus orientations' profundity say like genealogy with Foucault, deconstruction with Derrida, etc., and this together with transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing

complementarity and criticisms of all such ‘special focus orientations’, go on to conjointly-and-fruitfully define what is postmodern-thought. Postmodern-thought as such can be analogised with the anecdote of the blind men striving to determine what an elephant is, but with each one saying authentically what they find in front of them in developing the relevant specific imageries and overall imagery of what an elephant is. This in itself is a milestone in theorisation, and as an overall conception postmodern-thought, besides the ‘special focus orientations’ of the specific textuality-driven intellectuals, is primarily about ‘consistently taking a best shot’ at reality and is not inherently driven at its core by ideology but rather authenticity. As such it effectively achieves a more potent construal of the human condition and knowledge especially as it is ‘driven by such transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing cumulative authenticities that augment the possibilities of human limited-mentation-capacity’ thus going a long way to open up new and coherent thought possibilities as of its grander and overall conception and spirit. Interestingly, what is central about the ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critique of postmodern-thought is the lack-of-insight/feinting-lack-of-insight about all these underlying elements of postmodern-thought construction: as failing to grasp/recognise the implied double-gesture reification as of its transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications, and by not appreciating due to ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness the implications of perspective/framing/reference/horizon before contention/argumentation as of any given perspective/framing/reference/horizon, thus implying ‘poor critical judgment’. With such ‘flatmindedness’/banality/flimsiness further protracting into a poor grasp of postmodern theorists ‘special focus orientations’ with the tendency to engage postmodern-thought as of an un insightful literal and shallowminded/banal/flimsy reading; and with the ultimate outcome that all such naïve un insightful literal and shallowminded/banal/flimsy readings are cumulated and summated as the entirety of the postmodern theoretical construct, and so on an

apparently implied flawed logic that the discretion allowed for criticism doesn't engage the intellectual credibility of the critique, a notion that is especially abused within a media background. Such 'flatmindedness'/banality/flimsiness with respect to postmodern-thought fails to grasp that all subject-matter as of their inherently deferential-formalisation-transference as of institutional percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> are necessarily construed as of a double-gesture reification that supersedes the ordinariness/banality of day to day social existence analysis as of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, such that as of the history of such critiques it will be naïve not to factor in the reality of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity and so particularly as it tends to shy away from genuine intellectual engagement with postmodern-thought, and highlighting that the idea of arrogance peddled about postmodernism strangely enough speaks of the 'ignoble arrogance' of such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critiques, as structurally/paradigmatically that which attributes value judgments is that which is knowledgeable-as-of-its-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-dialectical-thinking and not that which is ignorant-as-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-dialectical-dementing. Such that there is no dialogical-equivalence that then arises by the fact that the former is a nonextricatory/intemporal/ontological relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology while the latter is an existential-extrication/temporal/non-ontological relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology, in the sense that it is the former intemporal-as-ontological individuation mental-disposition that is responsible for bringing about human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-

contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process retrospectively and prospectively while the latter as of its false ‘untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality’ is rather existentially extricatory and oblivious to Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. As ultimately, it is the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought pursued by the former that supersedes and dissolves human vices-and-impediments as of prospective registry-worldview/dimension transcendence reference-of-thought. The overall insight here of such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity can be construed analogically as say in a non-positivistic social-setup where the modern disease theory is not yet socially familiar such that patients may assume that they should be cured immediately/instantly after treatment with no perspective/framing/reference/horizon of appreciation for judging medicine as optimally an over-a-time-period-bodily-reparation construed as the basis of a positivist physician practice; a notion being spread and advocated by the positivist physician in the social-setup. Now consider a competing healer very much aware of such a non-positivist social-setup ‘lack of social universal-transparency’ with regards to such over-a-time-period-bodily-reparation notion and throwing a spanner in the works by pretending that the physician should confirm that patients are cured immediately as otherwise the physician must be practising witchcraft on the patients, understanding fully well the authentic disposition of the physician to affirm a practice of over-a-time-period-of-bodily-reparation for a long term dependable notion of medicine. While they are pragmatically inclined to advanced opportunistically whatever explanation to justify that their healing is immediate/instant and so involving any such stratagem like opportunistically accusing patients or some other persons for any implied failure of immediate/instant cure having the effect on the most part of shutting-off any complain or at least negative allegations about the healer’s cure, and so-

enabled on the basis of the healer priorly institutionalised deferential-formalisation-transference posture in the social-setup. Such a healer encouraging the social-setup notion of immediate/instant cure as a ploy as of the possibility of the positivistic disease theory conception subverting their own non-positivistic healing practice notwithstanding ontological-veracity. The manifest acts of many such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critiques with respect to postmodern-thought: whether when pretending to misunderstand postmodern double-gesture reification of meaningfulness, blatantly caricaturing in the most inane terms postmodern-thought, avoiding genuine intellectual-level disputation, and so rather opting for subversive <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> ‘uncritical social media preaching towards sold publics-of-conquest’ paradoxically while claiming not to grasp postmodern-thought, with subterfuges of unoriginal thought usurping the notion of science and intellectualism towards such uncritical publics; and all this as a manifestation of perverted intellectual institutional-being-and-craft. While postmodern-thought is not and has never been immuned from genuine intellectual criticism not only from other schools-of-thought but among postmodern and poststructuralist thinkers themselves, and this calling out of such ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity critics is much more than an issue about postmodern-thought but about all intellectualism generally as such malpractices tend to mark the beginning of intellectual teleological-decadence—as-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness subversion of progressive thinking and go on to permeate social practices and media practice, thus rendering social and critical thought impotent. Further knowledge as understood by this author is more than just the conception of its intemporal-as-ontological nature but knowledge is much more completely and potently notional knowledge

as it understands as well the implications of temporal-as-non-ontological mental-dispositions dynamics in relation to pure ontology, and thus in the face of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity shouldn't take the bait of overlooking and thus falsely elevating teleologically as intellectually pertinent ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity rather than relating to it at its teleologically-degraded level for what it truly is, and so as part and parcel of a complete conception of knowledge. Ultimately, intellectual statuses are as pertinent as veridically enabling to human emancipation as of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, and intellectuals' choice of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity is nothing less than self-inflicting irreverence and cannot thus turn around to intimate irreverence when surreptitiously undermining knowledge of universal consequential implications. This author as of metaphysics-of-absence will summate that prior postmodern thinking is akin-and-pointing-to a proto-prospective reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought over an <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as prior reference-of-thought, and that necessarily it speaks by its double-gesture reification of quasi-psychoanalytic-unshackling thus requiring a psychoanalytic-reorientation to such an implied prospective reference-of-thought 'as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought of a better knowledge perspective/reference-of-thought before/as-preceding contention/argumentative-engagement, and so avoiding 'flatmindedness'/banality/flimsiness. The underlying current of postmodern-thought is that our limited-mentation-capacity induces our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness with regards to reference-of-thought and its derived meaningfulness-and-teleology, with the implication that we need to a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought to be able to articulate intemporal-as-ontological construal as of the internal-dialectics/différance of meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, all concepts, notions as of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, are made to have their internal-dialectics/différance as of non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> for their sublimation and transcendence into more profound and more complete meaningfulness-and-teleology. For instance the ‘postmodern take’ about science is rather a more profound and complete notion of science than the ‘modern take’, such that a ‘modern approach’ to the conception of science naively fails to factor in unlike the ‘postmodern approach’ the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity and the need to deepen it, thus translated into the prior need for prospective relative-ontological-completeness; wherein the ‘modern take’ might naively consider medicine as simply providing medications and remedies, the ‘postmodern take’ by an internal-dialectics/différance of the notion of medical science will factor in socioeconomic, education, information, environmental, gender and power relations issues underlying healthcare and medical delivery as a more profound and complete notion of medical science; construed effectively as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Thus, for postmodern-thought the capacity to attain relative ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology comes down to the capacity of arriving at the very essence of meaningfulness-and-teleology while overcoming the drawback of our human limited-mentation-capacity. This insight about the essence of things is what underlies fundamentally Heideggerian-essencing-as-of-the-ontological-difference, Sartrean-existence-precedes-essence and Derridean-différance-as-there-is-nothing-outside-the-text, all construed by this author as of existential-contextualising-contiguity; is the enabling approach for human ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation.

Basically thus, the overall postmodern project implication is that we deepen our limited-mentation-capacity first (and so as of the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of our edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) to ensure that we go about deriving ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology in relative-ontological-completeness. This is in reality the ultimate scientific insight as such an internal-dialectics/différance is articulated as of non-speculative, non-imaginary, theoretical, conceptual and operant scientific implications; and this is reflected in the very initiation of the postmodern paradigm with Heidegger’s criticism of Hegelian dialectics, with the latter construed by this author as ‘not founded-on-and-constrained-by ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, but rather dialectical discretion, imagination and speculation ‘as to lack of a congruent,-cogent-and-operant entailing framework of ontological-contiguity’ as herein implied by this author with ‘the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process congruent,-cogent-and-operant entailing framework of ontological-contiguity’. Anecdotally, the shallowmindedness of a ‘modern take’ in failing to recognise the postmodern double-gesture reification will simply consider the blind men reporting of an elephant as a tree-trunk, a rope, a wall, a fan or a spear as ‘postmodern madness’ without factoring in the underlying double-gesture reification for perspective and insight, given the problematic of human limited-mentation-capacity that itself needs to be factored in and thus actually strengthen the human thought process in its aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. In the bigger scheme of things, such an internal-dialectics/différance is what explains the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-

and-teleology and so-construed as suprastructuralism beyond just the specific interpretation of suprastructuralism as of postmodernism with respect to modernism. This internal-dialectics/différance as of successive transcendence-and-sublimity is behind the respective registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their given reference-of-thought specific neutering as well as the ultimate deneutering—referentialism of deprocrysticism. But then ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity is equally elicited by ‘lack of social universal-transparency’ as of a cynicism of institutional-being-and-craft. The transcendental implications of a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ arises for instance in the sense that however ‘wishful’ the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework transcendental-possibilities/potential as of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue and human emancipation potential/possibilities of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension like positivism as of its ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’, cannot avail to a prior registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivism/medievalism. In this regard the Copernicuses, Galileos and Diderots of their eras, and more explicitly Descartes in his direct construal of the positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, would have certainly sensed that their specific knowledge conceptualisations wasn’t the more critical issue but rather their insistence was an implicit understanding that the non-positivistic ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ was structurally/paradigmatically a framework that wouldn’t be enabling for their positivistic and all other positivistic knowledge conceptualisations as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Such conflatedness imbued in postmodern-thought address more than just constitutedness implications of knowledge construction as articulated herein but equally points critically to intellectually decadent institutional dispositions and

practices where imprimatur and the dynamics of imprimatur by themselves are increasingly construed as of more critical epistemic pertinence for knowledge constructions undermining the possibilities of breakthroughs given that the primacy of intellectualism as of the pertinence of intellectual arguments increasingly takes a back seat, with intellectual postures increasingly defended with non-intellectualism obsession of ideologies of schools-of-thought as of institutional-being-and-craft. This manifests itself in the form of many an intellectual increasing disposition ‘to misunderstand’ others works, as there are little common stakes for breakthroughs but rather the stakes are increasingly of institutions academic visibility and tenure with emphasis on likeminded networks and forums driven increasingly by influence than carefree universal intellectual curiosity. Furthermore intellectualism has increasingly been surreptitiously mingling-and-yielding to social and economic interests undermining its obligation for enabling social clairvoyance, with a resultant sense of socioeconomic and socio-political impotence as such a blurriness is increasingly undermining the relevance of intellectualism in its public discourse and enlightenment mission. Ultimately, the epistemic and structural paradigm of academic institutional setups are not dissociated from the effective possibility for transcendental-enabling/sublimating, especially as such breakthroughs require the spontaneity of Dionysian arrangements. This author’s construes of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’ conceptualisation as of ontological-escalation or aetiologisation, with respect to our present positivism—procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, as the more fundamental transcendental issue for prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework transcendental-possibilities/potential beyond self-referencing-syncretism and circular palliative knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue with regards to attending to the inherent deficient

uninstitutionalised-threshold of knowledge-construct possibilities and vices-and-impediments
 imbued in our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ‘reference-
 of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness’. Such a paradox of human
 ontological-performance is effectively construed as arising out of human
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of
 transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-
 intemporal-ontological-performances) implying a premeaningfulness/preframing-
 <metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>
 idiosyncrasy that underlies presence institutionalisation reference-of-thought consciousness
 as it develops presence meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-prospective-thought-and-
 reflexivity idiosyncrasy. Thus human meaningfulness-and-teleology is always at the
 crossroads of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and
 its ontologically undermining metaphysics-of-presence construal as of prior relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and in conjugation with perversion-and-
 derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-
 induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology implications as of postlogism-
 slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ and both as of beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought; ensuing out of
 human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence

is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) limited-mentation-capacity implications of premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> idiosyncrasy. Human premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> idiosyncrasy as of the cumulation of all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism is marked by a mental-disposition of temporal-concatenation-to-intemporality or intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to temporal-projection/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to human ontological-performance-as-of-its-broadest-implications, and so whether as of natural ontology/natural sciences, social ontology/social sciences, aesthetics-as-ontology, virtue-as-ontology, etc.; with ontological-performance rather a unified construct but superficially differing with respect to social ontological-performance high emotional-involvement and non-social ontological-performance low emotional-involvement. Underlying human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) as of metaphysics-of-presence is the idea that the underlying idiosyncratic, intricate, compounded and pervasive ‘notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ reflecting human shallow to deepening limited-mentation-capacity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as such, is concomitant with a ‘dynamic cumulative remnant-and-co-opting premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-

induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-complexification as an uninstitutionalised-threshold corollary to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ likely to induce the ‘denaturing of any given presence institutionalisation consciousness reference-of-thought conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as of the dynamic elicitation of constitutedness as of shallow limited-mentation-capacity, for instance, as can be elicited as of the given postlogisms and conjugated-postlogisms associated with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in shallow limited-mentation-capacity denaturing of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives undermining the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. Such a dynamic cumulative remnant-and-co-opting premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake> arises, as of the cumulative succession of prior ontologically-compromised-mediating consciousnesses covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-complexification with respect to the specific presence institutionalisation consciousness reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. That is, as of <impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating>-covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-complexification of base-institutionalisation warped-consciousness reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold; or <impulsive/tendentious—ontologically-compromised-

mediating)-covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionalized-threshold-
 denaturing-as-of-circular-complexification of universalisation preclusive-consciousness
 reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold; or
 (impulsive/tendentious/qualifying—ontologically-compromised-mediating)-covert-shallow-
 limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionalized-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-
 complexification of positivism/rational-empiricism occlusive-consciousness reference-of-
 thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold; or prospectively,
 (impulsive/tendentious/qualifying/categorising—ontologically-compromised-mediating)-
 covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionalized-threshold-denaturing-as-of-
 circular-complexification of deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness reference-of-thought
 at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-
 uninstitutionalized-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-complexification of presence
 institutionalisation <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology arises because
 the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is inherently a
 secondnatured construct that is cross-generationally constrained by percolation-channelling-
 <epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as of ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework on the social-construct and internalised as of its overall
 middle to long term social positive-opportunism arising from social universal-transparency
 but doesn't necessarily speak of human absolute <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 adherence as of full reference-of-thought—prelogism-as-of-conviction when it comes to
 social-stake-contention-or-confliction where there is lack of social universal-transparency,
 giving room for human shallow-limited-mentation-capacity as of beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought at

uninstitutionalised-thresholds; such that at the uninstitutionalised-threshold in given
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, the disposition to reference-of-thought—
 madeupness/bottomlining is elicited as of covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-
 uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-complexification undermining
 ontological-performance. In other words, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process as of transcendence is achieved by undermining-and-overcoming
 the ‘reference-of-thought—madeupness/bottomlining (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
 teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought disposition) for covert-
 shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-
 complexification’ of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s <formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-
 context—meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of cross-
 generational psychoanalytic-unshackling for the prospective registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
 reference-of-thought-devolving. However, ‘reference-of-thought—madeupness/bottomlining
 in covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-
 of-circular-complexification’ is bound to arise anew at the prospective institutionalisation
 reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold as of prior relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, thus requiring again prospective institutionalisation
 as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conflatedness
 inducing social universal-transparency as of a new prospective institutionalisation reference-
 of-thought to further undermine-and-overcome the ‘reference-of-thought—
 madeupness/bottomlining for covert-shallow-limited-mentation-capacity-as-
 uninstitutionaled-threshold-denaturing-as-of-circular-complexification’ with respect to social-
 stake-contention-or-confliction. The reason why social universal-transparency is empowering

for prospective institutionalisation in superseding uninstitutionalised-threshold lies in the fact that the ‘succession of premeaningfulness/preframing-<metaphoricity-disposition—as-to-psyche-induced-psychologism-of-existential-stake>’ idiosyncrasy as of human thrownness in existence that allowed for prior institutionalisations are inherently predicated on their successive social universal-transparency such that even at presence uninstitutionalised-threshold, involving denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives thus failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, the supposedly implied assumption though false is one of social universal-transparency as all uninstitutionalised-thresholds-or-uninstitutionalised-thresholds-are-overtly-unassuming-and-rather-parasitising-or-coopting-of-institutionalisation-in-false-representation-as-institutionalisation such that prospective social universal-transparency elucidation of prospective institutionalisation reflecting the inherent veridicality of the uninstitutionalised-threshold in its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought collapses it. Thus the ‘notion of limited-mentation-capacity’ is basically the ‘underlying veridical human meaningfulness-and-teleology notion’ for which ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’ construed as ontologically-flawed constructs in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘neuterising as of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, and so elucidated from the ontological-normalcy/relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective of deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—

ontologically-uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness
 sound conceptualisation perspective'. In so doing, the latter reflects the limited-mentation-
 capacity dynamism of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of notional-deprocrypticism as well
 as temporal-to-intemporal individuations mental-dispositions, by way of deneuterising—
 referentialism, in lieu of neuterising. Thus this notion of human limited-mentation-capacity as
 the basis of *différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral* divulges 'ontologically-
 compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed
 conceptualisation perspectives' and as of their ontologically-flawed constructs of neuterising,
 with regards to articulating teleological elevation-as-of-upholding-ontological-veridicality or
 teleological degradation-as-of-failing-ontological-veridicality respectively either as of
 conflatedness or ontological-destructuring-constitutedness respectively. Basically, the
 construal/conceptualisation of human <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-
 existence (I exist therefore existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-
 subpotency / hyperbole-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) has always
 involved a disparateness-of-ontologically-construed-social-reality as of on the one hand a
 dichotomy of 'intemporal-projection transcendental-enabling/sublimating abstraction of
 prospective Being and meaningfulness-and-teleology construal as of organic-knowledge
 implications and so as reductive construction however non-mechanical and intemporal-as-
 ontological-its-projection and hence as an open-ended-incompleteness/nonachievement-of-
 ontological-normalcy construal of social reality', and on the other hand 'an ad-hoc open-
 ended summative hotchpotch conventioning of temporal projections and intemporal
 projection grounding of social reality construction including organic-knowledge as well as
 mechanical-knowledge implications'; such that from the ontological-normalcy/relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective, the overall social Being and
 meaningfulness-and-teleology transcendently-enabling-level-of-

authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism is ontologically-limited as of organic-
 knowledge implications reductive constructions in an open-ended-
 incompleteness/nonachievement-of-ontological-normalcy, as of the ontological-deficiency of
 mechanical-knowledge denaturing implications as well as perversion-and-derived-perversion-
 of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-
 intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of temporal
 projections as of postlogism-
 slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’, all occurring as of the conjoined dynamism of
 conflatedness and distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. This overall disparateness-
 of-ontologically-construed-social-reality dynamism is reflected in ‘ontologically-
 compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed
 conceptualisation perspectives’ as of their neuterising: wherein recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation has the deepest reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic as
 ‘impulsive—ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-its-specific-constitutedness
 consciousness flawed conceptualisation perspective’ neuterising by its trepidatious-
 consciousness, while on the other extreme in contrast deprocrypticism rather has a reference-
 of-thought/structural/paradigmatic deprocrypticism ‘referentialism—ontologically-
 uncompromised-mediating,-as-of-conflatedness protensive-consciousness sound

conceptualisation perspective’ that by its ‘reference-of-thought-devolving—différance/internal-dialectics/difference-deferral’ grasp the ontologically-veridical ‘underlying human limited-mentation-capacity dynamics of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving, and so without being subject to any neuterising’ as is the case with all ‘ontologically-compromised-mediating,-as-of-their-specific-constitutedness consciousnesses flawed conceptualisation perspectives’. Thus by its deneuterising—referentialism construed as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, deprocrypticism enables a complete ontology-driven ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, and so superseding a naïve metaphysics-of-presence affect-driven mented or stigmatic psychology rather as of a shallow perspective and vaguely articulated as of universal import. The idea here with regards to human transcendental-enabling/sublimating/transcendence-and-sublimity, is that from a creative perspective: the notion of a given neuterising is equinominal/equivalent with a given presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, and as this speaks of human limited-mentation-capacity prospectively-construed ontologically-flawed implications as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. It is over this neuterising that human transcendental-enabling/sublimating/transcendence-and-sublimity is achieved from the prospective notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism and so by deneuterising—referentialism, which is equinominal/equivalent to non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>. In other words the historial implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) is that ‘as of a less and less ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology towards ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, ‘it projectively/anticipatorily

brought about the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving’ as of their given neuterisation, construed as equinominal/equivalent with their successively given neuterising. From the above insight, transcendental-enabling/sublimating/transcendence-and-sublimity, is attainable as of deneuterising, construed as equinominal/equivalent with deneuterising—referentialism as the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism that produces the ontologically-veridical historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. Ultimately, this sociohistorial disparateness-of-ontologically-construed-social-reality dynamism comes down to the limited/incomplete association of human ‘invention’ of organic-knowledge with the reflection of ‘this organic-knowledge underlying mental-disposition as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology rather defectively as of mechanical-knowledge construal in existential instantiations’, inducing prospective neuterising. This disparateness is increasingly closed-down all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to positivism—procrypticism, with the underlying tenet for achieving futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought being a full and cogent reflection of ‘human construal of organic-knowledge’ with ‘the mental-disposition behind that construal of organic-knowledge for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in existential instantiations’ thus resolving the open-ended-incompleteness/nonachievement-of-ontological-normalcy. Overall, such a notional conflatedness reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance

‘performance-construct of candidity/candour-capacity’ can be garnered as of metaphysics-of-absence wherein across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions a notional-deprocrypticism insight makes obvious that it is increasing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence by increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that underlies reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance as a wholly internal process of conflatedness, highlighting ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection, with the former in relative longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’ that occurs at the individuation-level and is reflected in the registry-worldview/dimension-level by the concatenation of institutionalisation inextricably with uninstitutionalised-threshold as the former is in longness and the latter in shortness/distractiveness to the former. This conceptualisation of candidity/candour-capacity associated with deprocrypticism with regards to ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics implications for reference-of-thought transcendence’ is in effect a ‘more profound-and-comprehensive notion of différance construed rather with respect to the defining reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ and can be qualified as ‘futural différance’ as of its suprastructural nature, and goes beyond the limits of a Derridean perspective of différance as ‘historial différance’ rather articulated from ‘presencing-as-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construing of past-as-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in ad-hoc reassessing of meaningfulness-and-teleology of presencing-as-prospective as from its very own reference-of-thought in grasping alterations of meaningfulness-and-teleology going back from the past but not to the point of

putting into question the presencing-as-prospective overall reference-of-thought in prospective transcendence'; such that the transcendence implications of 'historial différance' is rather obscure as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought though ancillary as to the possibility of eventual cumulating of 'historial différance' realterations of meaningfulness-and-teleology enabling the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness possibility of subsequent presencing-as-prospective reference-of-thought transcendence. Whereas such candidty/candour-capacity conceptualisation associated with deprocrypticism future perspective 'futural différance construed suprastructurally as being fully aware of reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology prospective transcendental implications as of the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is articulated as from our presencing-as-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought but now rather contemplating of its defined reference-of-thought as construed from the future-as-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology' thus undermining presencing-as-prior defined reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold and highlighting as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context that the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of presencing-as-prior defined reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology imply it is not-upholding/failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-

extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, and hence is construed prospectively as of ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’, as of the trace of ‘institutionalised-as-dialectical-thinking—and—uninstitutionalised-as-dementing meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of presencing-as-prior defined reference-of-thought; and so as the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure backdrop for presencing-as-prior defined reference-of-thought transcendence into future-as-prospective defined reference-of-thought as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics. In other words, such a ‘futural différance’ is predicated on what is implied by conflatedness as of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm that structurally/paradigmatically makes the future-as-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought the whole grounding for meaningfulness-and-teleology as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as it supersedes as an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness dispositions of presencing-as-prior perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. A candidity/candour-capacity deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of ‘futural différance’ is one that structurally/paradigmatically factors in the defining human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology, and

thus grasp as of knowledge notionalisation that any implied meaningfulness-and-teleology should be construed by conflatedness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection with the former in relative longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’ in order to better skew for intemporality/longness as ontology. So a futural *différance* necessarily projects structurally/paradigmatically conflatedness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection with the former in relative longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’ as to imply the ontologically-veridical construal of human relations meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of prospective secondnatured institutionalisation ensuring relative longness; implied as of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness exercise, more like a genuine notion of faith lies fully and completely within the individual without any pretence to external interpersonal appraisal, as such a latter manoeuvre simply opens up the avenue for human mortal-to-mortal impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in social-aggregation-enabling rather than transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework intrinsic-reality/ontological-

veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating thus undermining the more decisive element of futural *différance* as based on ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven organic-knowledge as setting up the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations reference-of-thought in their respective all-pervasiveness of transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism axiomatic-construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘superseding successive defining human finitudes as uninstitutionalised-thresholds/uninstitutionalised-thresholds towards attaining successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as institutionalisations’. Such a construal of futural *différance* structurally/paradigmatically answers the Heideggerian *techne* concern as construed by this author of humankind thrown in the midst of the technical as utility while without ‘matching notional philosophically developed mindset/reference-of-thought for a coherent grasp and aligning with the organic mental origination as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality enabling that technical knowledge to arise-and-be-elevating-of-contemplation-and-Being in the very first place and prospectively’. But rather related to as of transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic marked by incoherence of contemplative mindset/reference-of-thought development in the midst of the technical world as rather literally ‘hurling along’ prospectively prospectively-underdeveloped-Being-as-of-unexpanded-ontological-framework; and so as reflected by conflatedness

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity as of ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with
 derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection’. Consider a
 metaphysics-of-absence elucidation with regards to say a remote/isolated non-positivistic
 animist/base-institutionalisation society for instance which by some token has sustainable-
 and-learned access to basic but greatly enhancing productive techniques from travellers of a
 positivistic culture but without a substantial corresponding organisational and institutional
 diffusion associated with such greatly enhancing productive techniques due to the very brief
 nature of the encounter or disconnected/incoherent/perfunctory/chaotic nature of their
 relations, this will structurally/paradigmatically have degenerative effect on such an animistic
 social organisation wherein this isn’t enhancing of the society’s social organisation and
 relations and will be possibly disruptive. This example isn’t that farfetched as anthropological
 evidence of such cases abounds with many native societies so disrupted by culturally
 alienating positivistic material diffusion. Human material/technical development and
 corresponding mentality as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-
 depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are
 inextricable and critical in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process including our positivism–procrysticism registry-
 worldview/dimension. Inevitably the disparity of being thrown in the midst of technical
 development associated with ‘the underdevelopment of Being construed herein as of
 individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level disjointedness-as-of-reference-
 of-thought with respect to our positivism–procrysticism registry-worldview/dimension’ is by
 itself a structural/paradigmatic basis for human vices-and-impediments whether at a micro-
 level interactional or macro-level social and political paradigm basis, notwithstanding our
 inclination for <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag where what passes as profound is our temporal mortal-to-mortal acquiescing as social-aggregation-enabling rather than a sense of intersolipsistic intemporal projection of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; with mental-dispositions rather geared towards temporal extricatory paradigm as of constitutedness, rather than intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as of conflatedness as enabling and upholding the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Without the development of Being à la Heideggerian imagination the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process itself comes to a halt as of failing of Being transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven organic-knowledge; as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘requires the transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of Being’ as of rulemaking-over-non-rules to attain base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, which requires the same as of universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules to attain universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, which requires the same as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules to attain positivism–procrypticism, and which prospectively requires the same as of preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-

drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules to attain deprocrypticism! The notion of reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance as being a wholly internal process of conflatedness, highlighting ‘the concatenation of intemporal-projection inextricably with derived-denaturing-deprojections-in-distractiveness-of-intemporal-projection, with the former in relative longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and the latter in relative shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness’, implied with regards to Being underdevelopment across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions also speaks to how intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating behind the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can and is often usurped by erudite establishments by a nombrilistic elicitation of temporal mental-dispositions as to the commonsense/social-aggregation-enabling of a given registry-worldview/dimension as a denaturing construal in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct that are effectively divorced and subpar to the organic-knowledge as enabling the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. The idea that intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating is only the panache of the technical as of the sciences and that there is no need for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to be instigative-and-be-elevating-of-contemplation-and-Being in complement as of human development is nothing less than a derogation that renders such an establishment erudition no different, as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, from the mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, dogmatic

scholastics of prior registry-worldviews/dimensions as vested in their ‘circular-pervasiveness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ rather
 than moving ahead of human blithe and their platitudes, and construing the real possibility of
 human emancipation as of a prospective opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology;
 as the masses-defined-as-non-specialists can effectively be ‘tolerated’ to be ignorant as of the
 focussing possibility of human limited-mentation-capacity but that which is duty bound to a
 human Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology domain/specialism beyond-
 just-an-institutional-construct-but-existentially is morally-and-intellectually bound to
 spearhead the effective development of that Being domain/specialism and not be involved in
 dithering, and so as of an
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm.]

Hence prelogism at worst implies an ad hoc problem of defect of logical-processing-or-
 logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-
 performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-
 functioning-and-accordance, while postlogism implies a fundamental defining
 being/existential/ontological/axiomatic-construct problem of perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought, that is inherently in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability thus requires
 ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness. Postlogism is thus an expansive construct
 developing into conjugated-postlogism associated with endemising/enculturationg social
 psychopathy, as temporal-dispositions arrive at beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
 teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought perversion-and-derived-

perversion-of-reference-of-thought as mental-dispositions finalities/determinations inducing disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness associated with procrypticism.

Prelogism even when ontologically-flawed can be compared to the defect arising using a ‘correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements’ (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements (to derive meaningfulness-and-teleology) but in doing so aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements wrongly (construed as using the correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements’ wrongly) which specifically speaks of the possibility of reusing the ‘same correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements’ as same appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness (notwithstanding the specifically flawed aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements) for producing veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology. While postlogism is akin to the ‘defect of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements itself’ (perversion-of-reference-of-thought) besides the ‘specific act of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements’ for producing veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, and speaks to a fundamental flaw that is bound to circularly/repetitively/recurrently give erroneous aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-

measurements given the fundamentally incorrect apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements. Postlogism thus speaks of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> in producing meaningfulness-and-teleology, thus divulging a ‘reference-of-thought existentialism construct defect’ that is comprehensively devolving all across the given ‘reference-of-thought existentialism construct’ i.e., construed variously as of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘implied specific teleological differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation’ as to its institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-thresholds implied relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought), and so as of the contending-reference (meaningfulness ‘implied teleological construct’), the ontological-reference (meaningfulness ‘implied being/existential construct’), the meaningful-reference (meaningfulness ‘implied contextualisation construct’), the anchoring-of-meaning (meaningfulness ‘implied operant construal’) and the apriorising–registry (meaningfulness ‘implied basic defining construct’ in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of logical-dueness/profile/presumptuousness/assumptions/value-reference/teleology).

This elucidation of postlogism in comparison with the implications of a defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements provides a comprehensive insight about the underlying perversion-of-reference-of-thought associated with postlogism-as-of-non-conviction and its social derivation as conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. That apparently minor twitch in the ‘defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements’ (perversion-of-reference-of-thought) is ‘a covert negative vista’ that wrongly

undermines/dismantles ‘inherent/preceding intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’), and so because the perversion-of-reference-of-thought is existentially being related to as if it is of appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness with all the derived corresponding implications with respect to perverted representation of meaningfulness as well as teleologically-degraded/dementing-teleological-differentiation implications, given that all the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ which are implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology falsely/deceptively induced by the perversion-of-reference-of-thought (defect of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements itself) lead to a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (inappropriateness of the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements and the derived uses) and which subsequent implications then go on to induce a second-order level wrongly implied deception of logical-processing-or-logical-implication of infinite deception possibilities with respect to the infinite possibilities of ‘perfect logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ on the false basis of the perversion-and-

derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (infinite possibilities of errors arising for
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose—of-obtained-
 measurements with a defective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
 measurements and the derived uses) for producing ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 (aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose—of-obtained-
 measurements) based on the perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought
 (defect of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—
 producing-measurements itself and its derived uses). Just as fundamentally not resolving the
 defect of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—
 producing-measurements induces systematically a
 circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability in the ‘incorrect
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose—of-obtained-
 measurements’ in the overall enterprise of the
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose—of-obtained-
 measurements (say architectural for instance) and so ‘reflected as dementing/unsoundness-or-
 inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ in relation to ‘correct
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose—of-obtained-
 measurements’ reflected as dialectical-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-
 thought, likewise perversion-of-reference-of-thought related to as being of appropriateness-
 of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness wrongly undermines/dismantles the ‘existential
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implied by ‘inherent/preceding intrinsic-reality/ontological-
 veridicality ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-

digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’), and such perversion-of-reference-of-thought is ‘reflected as dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ in relation to veridical ‘existential meaningfulness-and-teleology’ reflected as dialectical-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought. The critical importance of highlighting ‘inherent/preceding intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ here as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) has to do with the fact that the language (say technical terminology for architecture) for construing meaningfulness-and-teleology (purposeful architectural aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements) is equally available to both the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness (correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) and the perversion-of-reference-of-thought (defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) for expression as aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements due to the ‘covert negative vista’ of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as well as derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (derived relation

to the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements as being ‘correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements’). Thus technically speaking all elocution associated with the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements for the aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements (perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought), as meaningfulness-and-teleology is structurally dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing, as from candidity/candour-capacity perspective. The implication being that structurally/paradigmatically reference-of-thought (axiomatic-construct) in effect protracts into meaningfulness-and-teleology; with appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness structurally/paradigmatically implying ‘appropriate meaningfulness-and-teleology of reference’, perversion-of-reference-of-thought structurally/paradigmatically implying ‘perverted meaningfulness-and-teleology of reference’ and derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought structurally/paradigmatically implying ‘derived-perverted meaningfulness-and-teleology of reference’. (Hence the circular-pervasiveness reflex by which a registry-worldview always resets its meaningfulness-and-teleology as neuter/conviction/dialectical-thinking and so even at the point of its underlying demonstrated incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought behind its perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought is nothing but ‘a flawed <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag exercise’, and revealed so by the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview.) This technically highlights two issues, the inherent perversion-of-reference-of-thought and the registry-

worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-
induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-
caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, that induces a derived-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought; in the sense that while a relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-
construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-
reference-of-thought as the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought will
certainly be enabling for a non-positivism/medievalism type of perversion-of-reference-of-
thought like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery to arise in
circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability (as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-
reference-of-thought—as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-
subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) in a non-positivism/medievalism
social-setup, a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought makes it impossible by its ‘rational-
empiricism/positivising <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-
of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-
prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) reference-of-thought’, likewise a
mindset/reference-of-thought of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is
all too ready to endemise/enculturate the possibility of psychopathy and social psychopathy
arising in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability (as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-
subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) given its relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-
construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-

reference-of-thought such that it is a mindset/reference-of-thought of deprocrypticism-or-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as conflation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) (also referred to as deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought), preempting procrypticism, so construed by ‘deprocrypticism ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectical-thinking-teleological-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’, by its <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of reference-of-thought’ that is effectively the structural/paradigmatic ontological resolution given its ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This notion of human growing/developing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, as successive <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of the construal/conceptualisation of the same ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality going by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), can effectively be construed as a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘successive shifting in the curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (rather than a naïve construal based on incrementalism-in-

relative-ontological-incompleteness as successive additions which will wrongly imply an improvement along the same ‘curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of human meaningfulness-and-teleology’) wherein going by the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as reference-of-thought comparison, the implication is one of successive ‘transformative apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ (successive transformative references-of-thought) undertaking respectively the aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements (as logical-processing-or-logical-implication) of the same inherent existential-reality but with ‘respective dramatic changes in the aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements’ (as dramatic changes in meaningfulness-and-teleology from the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought), together with an underlying recurrent postlogism-as-of-non-conviction issue with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (due to ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’); highlighting the notion of defectiveness in successive transformative apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as corresponding to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought/postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Consider for instance (with regards to human growing/developing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-reference-of-thought), the historical transformation of meaningfulness-and-teleology associated with the development of human astronomical instruments, as from objects for religious calculations such as astrolabes to the development

of telescopes today rather for advanced astronomical science mirroring a corresponding human <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of the successive institutionalisations. This explains the peculiar mimetised-dementing placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology we’ll construe for instance of a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought that doesn’t register positivistic meaningfulness reference-of-thought and likewise prospectively such a construal will have our present placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of priorly unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing by its positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought. Just as the very nature of existential-reality by our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) construal/conceptualisation of it is rather ‘an uncompromising windedness/foldedness susceptible to our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal as decontextualising/unimbricating/unrecomposuring of its inherent nature’, correspondingly the exercise of ontologically-veridical reasoning is rather maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. Correspondingly, from the vantage position of our present positivising/rational-empirical ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism worldview, we can garner an insight of dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing of the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism in a non-positivism/medievalism setup, wherein faced with arguments of the sort who is the sorcerer, how are they using their sorcery, etc., speaking of the non-positivism/medievalism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-

reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (given that sorcery doesn't exist, going by the insight of positivistic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought whereas the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is ridden with a whole complexity of dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing construct of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery meaningfulness-and-teleology as its paradigm of circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability (perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing). This insight can equally be drawn prospectively in our positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension faced with its postlogism-as-of-non-conviction like psychopathy and social psychopathy. This speaks of the very nature of all apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing with regards to the limits of a registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation (whether base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism eliciting respectively the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism) across all the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process wherein the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought in its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (as metaphysics-of-presence: illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage) is representing itself as 'dialectical-thinking and dialectically/contendingly in-phase' whereas from the prospective institutionalisation registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought, as of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, it is 'dialectically-dementing and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase'. The reason for the

ontologically defective <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is that all registry-
 worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought ‘tend to convention’ and in so doing close the
 ‘existential frame-of-ontology/meaningfulness (which is the transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating)’ in their conventioning, and thus to the exclusion of prospective
 ontological profoundness of reference-of-thought. Thus all registry-worldviews/dimensions
 had hitherto been <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>. However
 human existential closure of meaningfulness as conventioning doesn’t supersede but is rather
 superseded by existential ontological-veridicality, explaining the susceptibility of registry-
 worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought to be transcended/superseded with human
 limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—
 as-sublimating-withdrawal) expansion of ontological-depth as increasing ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought (or reducing relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
 prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-
 and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought).
 Existential closure of meaningfulness as conventioning induces psychically a registry-
 worldview/dimension ‘exclusive representing’ of itself as as ‘candored and straight’ with
 respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology whereas its transcending/superseding by the
 prospective registry-worldview/dimension exposes psychically that it is rather ‘decandored
 and oblongated’ with respect to more profound prospective/transcending/superseding
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. A further example will be say ‘the God of plane’ type of
 articulation wherein such a base-institutionalisation as of animistic social-setup which is not
 positivistic (not the case of non-positivistic as medieval) is psychically ‘candored and

straight' with itself in <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (its metaphysics-of-presence) and goes on articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology even in the new existential transcendental/superseding contextualisation in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the doubly-prior/transcended/superseded base-institutionalisation/animistic registry-worldview/dimension. Given such a state of <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, the notion of generating meaningfulness-and-teleology from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective priorly implies a requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and so by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. While excluding any exercise of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity since the latter is only appropriate in the instance of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as the base-institutionalisation (animistic) prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought puts into question the very first and absolute apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of meaningfulness-and-teleology ('existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality construed as of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-

withdrawal) in the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology
 construal') with respect to the base-institutionalisation (animistic) registry-
 worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
 existential-defect>. Equally we can imagine that making a positivistic argument in the midst
 of a non-positivism/medievalism setup will seem 'deranged' from their perspective and their
 mental orientation will be geared to their traditional sense of meaning and living as absolutely
 defining, but then the 'center' had moved from their world (from non-positivistic as base-
 institutionalisation/animistic or medieval dialectically-dementing decenter) to the positivistic
 world (as dialectical-thinking center). Likewise such a suprastructural articulation of our
 positivism-procrypticism relationship to its postlogism that includes psychopathy and social
 psychopathy will apparently not make any sense to our present but then ontologically our
 present is now decentered as dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-
 staging-and-performance-caricaturing, though our mental-reflex will be a traditional sense of
 meaning and living as sound-and-not-dementing as well. However, to the extent that it is 'not
 such
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
 temporal/shortness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology
 inclinations' that drove huma registry-
 worldview's/dimension's institutionalisations and resolved uninstitutionalised-thresholds
 from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation to
 universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism to positivism-procrypticism (as by reflex the
 temporal mental-disposition will rather be inclined to temporal (shortness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology) extrication in any registry-worldview/dimension with no
 upholding of transcendental possibilities), to that extent the intemporal-disposition should
 rather construe/conceptualise its intemporal-disposition as the tip of human transcendental
 institutionalisation possibility and thus inherently that it transversally takes precedence over

human temporal complexes (and such a ‘transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing confliction’ resolved intemporally by prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and secondnaturing. This actually explains the inevitable contrariety involved in the making of transcendental human progress involving a prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought and a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought; given the blunt fact that ‘there is no untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality’ and pretences of inevitability of human progress without need for intemporal projection are falsehoods ‘arising as temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology distraction’ with respect to the institutionalising/intemporalising constraining effect of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projections.). Critically, the notion of transcendence and transcendental-enabling/sublimating associated with intemporality/longness and institutionalisation/intemporalisation as of its very defining core is rather one of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as it propounds the supersedingness/primacy/ascendency of intrinsic-reality as a the-Good/understanding/knowledge-driven construct over human ‘good-naturedness’/impression-driven constructs as well as social-aggregation-enablers. The idea being that ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is much more than a notion associated with the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (as has naively been traditionally implied) but is a central heuristic drive in defining and structuring meaningfulness-and-teleology in all prior registry-worldviews as well however relatively inefficient; given that with corresponding shallow to limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), as institutionalising ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework successively induce more and more profound ‘mimetic-echoness to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ as of the full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-

echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness. (Consider the case with ancient Egyptians and even ancient Greeks
 where their relations with their deities were closely related to the fortune they expected on an
 empirical basis whether with respect to such occurrences like droughts, warfare, etc. which
 technically speaking is a rational allocation as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology going by their limited-mentation-capacity-(as of
 relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)). Transcendence and transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating as so construed is more than just a vague notion of dialecticism but one
 that recognises on ‘an effective reality basis that human limited-mentation-capacity-
 deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)’
 implies more and more profound reconstruals/reconceptualisations (-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought) inducing transformative
 implications with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology as transcendence; in contrast to
 mere abstract dialecticism speaking thus of human sublimation-inducing—
 textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-
 of-existence. As knowledge conception as contrasted to sovereign conception, ‘transcendence
 and transcendental-enabling/sublimating doesn’t recognise any human discreet primacy with
 respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ but rather intrinsic-reality is the inherent
 purveyor of pertinence and primacy. For instance, we don’t have a choice in deciding that
 gravity is about 9.8 m/s^2 on earth since intrinsic-reality imposes that idea and the
 corresponding knowledge construction and organisation where intrinsic-reality is ascendant is
 rather based on an ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative
 intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. This is not to be
 confused with sovereign constructions and organisations driven by human sovereign choices

such as political choices or marketing choices or other sovereign choices based on practices and habits. The latter are social-scientific (besides the previous notion of social-scientific referring to intrinsic social reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating), with respect to transcendental-enabling/sublimating construals/conceptualisations only as of existence-in-its-mimetic-echoiness as inclusive of the human condition, i.e., human existential sovereign choices of meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological construals ‘not in terms of the inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology itself’ but ‘rather as of the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the reality of the human sovereign choices as of themselves as humans values independent of their inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as ontologically construing the reality of human condition’, and so with respect to historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, politicisation and other social choices like moralisation, cultural value, economic value, etc. This distinction is critical because very often sovereign choices as conventions will tend to be acted upon as if these were transcendental knowledge of intrinsic-reality/ontology construal of the social in a wrong equivalence, and further because the transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of the intrinsic-reality/ontology construal of the social is more fundamental as the tool for ‘creating/inventing-and-destroying/deconstructing conventions’ for more and more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/superseding-oneness-of-ontology as of human subpotent knowledge. Sovereign constructs can as such be construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought to stifle the possibility of intrinsic-reality/ontology of the social, construed as ontology/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating knowledge, from arising. This insight explains why all deferential-formalisation-transference are only of pertinence as they justify and are derived from relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating conceptualisations, and collapse when they

fail that test. For instance, notions such as arguments from authority are useful in ensuring social efficacy but when authority is demonstrated as relatively fallacious, it then has no pretence to the sanctity of not being undermined. Ultimately, the veridical nature of knowledge beyond ‘institutionalised-being-and-craft’ (as established by prior transcendence) to prospective transcendence is not as an exercise of ‘logical mere convincing’ as of social-aggregation-enabling about what is knowledge and appropriate, but rather as a critical exercise of channelling of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as secondnaturing institutionalisation percolation to elicit the necessary positive-opportunism for prospective institutionalisation as skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness. The fact is as construed by the Galileos, Corpernicus, Diderots and others of the world, transcendental knowledge (as relatively ‘consecrated’ by relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) necessarily carries a ‘cynicism-of-grandeur-as-of-effective-intemporal-solipsistic-commitment’ to deal with the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (and so as of ‘circular-complexification’/perpetual-reinstitutionalisation as a result of the same human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions across all the successive ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process registry-worldviews/dimensions). In the bigger scheme of things, as of the ‘deepest phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism deneuterising—referentialism’ reflected by metaphysics-of-

absence in the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance as of the transcendental implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, we can appreciate that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions conventioning are increasingly ontologically-driven in their value construct as it is more and more profound ontological-veridicality that enables human transcendence and the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process in the first place; with the deprocrypticism institutionalisation conventioning supposedly attaining absolute ontological grounding. The insight here is that the relative pure ontology-drive of a Socrates philosophical clairvoyance superseding Athenian society conventioning limits but then with the latter perceiving in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as absolutely ontological, Socrates is paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent and thus accused of heresy. Such an argument can also be extended to say a Copernicus or a Galileo whose relative pure-ontology drive advocating a heliocentric universe in medieval society comes against medieval society scholastics dogmatism conventioning limits but then with the latter perceiving in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as absolutely ontological, Copernicus and Galileo are paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent. This highlights that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s construes in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its conventioning limits as being the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, and that meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relative pure ontology superseding it is paradoxically construed as ontologically-impertinent. This is relevant with regards to the ‘intellectual projection’ choices made as of their transformative

implications on society; wherein such highly unconventional thinkers like Diderot of more dramatic social transformation implications are actually less appreciated as of the <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of their epochal society conventioning limits naively construed by mental-reflex as the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, over similar thinkers whose thought are more forthcoming towards such societal conventioning limits. As of relevance to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with regards to our positivism–procrypticism, such a phenomenological transcendental-point-of-departure handle reflected by metaphysics-of-absence for the conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance is necessarily ‘suspicious’ of our presence society ‘conventioning-limits’ in its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought naively construed <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex as the absolute ontological determinant of meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, with regards to its capacity of appreciating prospective relatively profound pure-ontology as herein implied that paradigmatically/structurally supposedly supersedes our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought. This explains why fundamentally most human transcendental ideas of progress have been outlier ideas which ‘proponents ultimate purpose (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought)’ weren’t fundamentally a ‘direct convincing’ of humans exercise as of social-aggregation-enabling but rather in projecting a big picture of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-drive as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-

veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, however unintelligible, as a prospective institutional percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> exercise as validated by ultimate ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with subsequent corresponding formalisation and secondnaturing. The point of this construal/conceptualisation is inevitably equally along the same lines. In fact, it can be further contended going by the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor that ‘human knowledge is necessarily a secondnaturing construction’ and not an ‘intemporal disposition construction’ as the latter will wrongly imply that we are only intemporal-as-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, which is obviously false since we are temporal-to-intemporal by our mental-disposition and our virtue with the Deprocripticism registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation is actually to understand (as knowledge/the-Good) this and paradoxically be superseding in that respect by a pivoting/decentering psyche and institutionalisation, and not an artificial projection that is not real and hence will be ineffective and circular as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing. Thus human knowledge is a dynamic secondnature construct in upholding-and-vouching for the intemporal while preempting of the temporal, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. [The notion of ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ as used herein goes beyond the notions of ‘consciously’ or ‘unconsciously’ as we normally understand them, in the sense that ‘beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ speaks of the mental state as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought

at the point of uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation (also referred to as ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) where the mental-disposition/mindset/reference-of-thought is rather emphasised as being in ‘a state of relative incapacity’ rather than one of full-conscious-capacity but neither full-unconscious-capacity mental-disposition. Thus unlike just ‘conscious’ or ‘unconscious’, the notion of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought implies ‘conscious’ and/or ‘unconscious’ as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of a registry-worldview/dimension whether with regards to retrospective or prospective transcendental analysis. For instance say in a non-positivistic as medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation social-setup someone accused another of sorcery. It is hardly the case that we can absolutely say they committed a conscious immoral act with their accusation of sorcery since the ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as knowledge-framework available to them doesn’t enable their full conscious appraisal of such a judgment call as they are in an insecure-certitude-by-incertitude-and-virtue-by-vice-mental-flux with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. However, supposed they adopted such an attitude not only by such ignorance but rather affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, then they are effectively relatively conscious with respect to their action as a dishonest/deceitful/immoral act even though beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. Of course, where supposed someone from a positivistic social-setup found themselves in such a non-positivistic social-setup and equally proffered such an accusation of sorcery, then their conscious immorality is fully engaged as being in full-conscious-capacity with respect to their deception going by their

positivistic prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that
 supersedes superstitions including notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. By extension,
 psychopathic/postlogical induced deception can only be construed as beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as
 when eliciting ignorance (as of ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency of the
 psychopath’s mental-disposition of postlogism-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-
 existentially-veridical-logical-dueness)), and while construed as beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as when eliciting
 affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-
 social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, is not disculpating.
 Ultimately, going by the very decisiveness of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
 reference-of-thought, as it leads to ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency,
 associated with the successive uninstitutionalised-threshold states, the notion of ‘human
 beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
 unthought’ is actually in the bigger picture the larger determinant of manifest human vices-
 and-impediments as of virtue-as-ontology conceptualisation, speaking fundamentally of the
 specific registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-
 uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>’
 inherent with the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-
 positivism/medievalism and procrypticism. Whereas the notion of human conscious vices-
 and-impediments as of ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of
 incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance is
 mostly able to arise incidentally ‘within the scope’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-
 uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>’ as

beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold; as social universal-transparency is a strong inherent deterrent of human temporality/shortness and enabler of human intemporality/longness (explaining why knowledge is truly virtue), even though at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of such knowledge-as-virtue arises the temporal-dispositions denaturing its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This nature of ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>’ as induced beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold explains why fundamentally issues of reference-of-thought defect or perversion-of-reference-of-thought point more decisively/fundamentally as to their resolution as aetiologicalism/ontological-escalation towards the need for ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations-in-superseding-their-corresponding-uninstitutionalisation with regards to base-institutionalisation-superseding-recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation-superseding-ununiversalisation, positivism-superseding-non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocrpticism-superseding-procrpticism. Thus structurally/paradigmatically, this is the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing associated with intemporality/longness and construed as ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’ since it is ‘not equable’ with the relative shallowness as temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in intradimensional construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology but projects directly in grasping fundamentally the issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the corresponding virtue-as-ontology implications; as insightfully, an arising issue of

accusation of sorcery in non-positivism as medieval or animistic setting is more fundamentally/structurally/paradigmatically as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation a question of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as it endemises/enculturates such notions as its vices-and-impediments and the same approach applies to our state of positivism–procrypticism involving procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology as it endemises/enculturates perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness as vices-and-impediments requiring its preemption by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation.]

This effective realism as of rational-realism is the requisite insight in understanding how supposedly outlier transcendental notions of intemporality/longness in successive epochs become dominant notions of human knowledge and institutionalisation by giving man access to relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Further along the rational-realism line of thinking, the fact is paradoxically that as more cuttingly demonstrated with ‘cultural diffusion driven transcendence’, the mechanism of transcendence is not a simplistic transference from a more ontologically-completeness-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview to a lesser one. Surprisingly, the lesser one is actually in the position of determination in the contention for transcendence, and it is the competitiveness of ideas that are more ontologically-complete and ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and inconsistency that initially leads to the <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag towards the path of its transcendence; as notions and ideas of the prospective reference-of-thought gradually creep over those of the prior reference-of-thought. (This should be distinguish from the case of the transference of

ideas where there is a common reference-of-thought, for instance, the-theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics are spectacular developments from Newtonian physics but they still share the same common reference-of-thought of positivism/rational-empiricism enabling the new theories to be quickly adopted within the mechanism of the common reference-of-thought in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of psychical and institutional orientation). Consider in this regard the case in an animistic social-setup wherein failure to be cured from the traditional healer tempts individuals in that setup as a matter of life and death to approach the newcomers of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, and with a successful cure sowing doubts about animistic tradition relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, and with various other such positivistic outcomes inducing in the middle to long run further <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of thought; as explanations for the cure will still be advanced in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the old reference-of-thought (giving human natural predisposition to social-aggregation-enabling) but increasingly ridding such explanations of their credible substance until there is critical transference into the new registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is actually the process by which transcendental meaningfulness, as of prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought, is institutionalised; underlying the essential contiguity of human mental-disposition across all registry-worldviews/dimensions. This equally highlights a superficiality-of-inherent-sanctimony displayed by succeeding institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, which may wrongly imply being out of the scope of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and thus fundamentally undermine ontologically-

veridical analysis where exceptionalism is adhered to instead of the mediocrity principle. This quite sums up the <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mechanism by which outlier transcendental ideas (transcendental in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of putting in question the prior <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving, beyond just novel ideas within the same reference-of-thought), whether by diffusion or internal transformation, come to be dominant when ontologically pertinent; as even the ‘moulting’ intellectual/emancipator, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, is coming from a point of habitation with prior traditional ideas (consider the case of Newton with alchemic notions), wherein acceptance of the new ideas they are purporting only comes after an unconscious process of suspicion and denial of such nagging new ideas until they arrive at a firm point of conviction before admitting to themselves the possible veracity/ontological-pertinence of the ideas, and so as their very own <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which makes it unsurprising that even socially <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is a necessary process for the ultimate acceptance of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as this subsumes-as-supplant-(as-of-the-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) the prior ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is hardly the case of just a direct intemporal sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology transference of transcendental notions. The bigger point being that the construal/conceptualisation of transcendental ideas is not necessarily validated by their immediate recognition, a notion the would-be intellectuals/emancipators should be of a

‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’, but rather as providing fodder in the competitive ideas assuring human progress with emphasis rather with respect to cross-generational import (prospective-institutionalisation <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as enabled by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). It is doubtful that Galileo or Diderot and others of their inclination were naïve to think that their initiatives will immediately lead to a positivistic transformation of society but they certainly had a cynical sense of cross-generational purposefulness (whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought). This equally explains why in all epochs, however different the nature, there is an inherent temporal mental-disposition abhorrence of transcendental ideas as putting into question the present and present interests (for instance, even the industrial revolution when considered as actually generating material wealth was poorly perceived by many trade guilds). It is only the ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) that allows for ‘a relative teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of-thought’ of what the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness (correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-

measurements) and the perversion-of-reference-of-thought (defective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
 measurements) truly are, and the implications thereof with regards to meaningfulness-and-
 teleology (purposeful architectural
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-
 measurements). Without the notion of ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of
 existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of
 existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from
 the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-
 completeness as depth-of-thought’, so-undertaken as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness), the new logical-processing-or-logical-implication as
 ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex’ (as existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-
 precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) will simply skip the notion of any perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought and ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction re-engaging reflex’ (undertaken as
 elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-
 outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) inducing a ‘wrongly-projected
 decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-
 ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior dementing—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing
 reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in
 grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of
 existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-

that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality'), thus structurally upholding the perversion-of-reference-of-thought associated with postlogism and its derived implications as conjugated-postlogism whether as ignorance (unconsciously), affordability (expediently) or opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (consciously); and with the corresponding existential circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the postlogism and conjugated mental-projections implied, involving temporality/shortness in denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’, and so to the point that it is upholding postlogism and conjugated-postlogism as socially-functional-and-accordant. On the other hand, intemporality-as-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, can supersede the above perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena as of its derived vices-and-impediments implications, as veridically validated by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating so-divulged by the ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the prospective ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) enabling social universal-transparency-or-understanding-of-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-underlying-phenomena superseding grasp of social vices-and-impediments as of the given transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in

alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-
 objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic, by its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure or social pivoting/decentering to
 reconstrue/reconceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness. The difference between postlogism (postlogism-as-of-non-
 conviction-⟨perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness⟩) and
 prelogism (prelogism-as-of-conviction-⟨existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-
 logical-outcome-arrived-at⟩) can further be developed as such. Supposed there is a given
 context where the solution to additions of the
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-
 measurements (meaningfulness-and-teleology) taken involves rewards depending on how big
 is the number with the Donor not in a position to pay particular attention to the exact sums to
 be resolved if a character is in a position to fiddle with the implied sum to be resolved like
 deliberately using the defective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
 measurements as perversion-of-reference-of-thought (more like the ‘covert negative vista’ of
 the hidden-nature/unavailable social universal-transparency of psychopathy especially at
 adulthood). Now supposed to resolve a ‘purposeful measurement’ (meaningfulness-and-
 teleology), A appropriately uses a correct
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
 measurements (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) and find out
 that the numbers measured and to be added are $5 + 2$ and is trying its best thereafter to
 resolve the sum but fails in its logical-processing-or-logical-implication and gives 9 as the
 answer, this doesn’t void logically re-engaging with A with respect to other sums in terms of
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-

measurements to be undertaken (as to logical-processing-or-logical-implication) so long as A learns and understands the addition principle well. This instance of A's reference-of-thought where it is not perverted (correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) but its logical-processing-or-logical-implication has failed because of A's genuine incapacity for addition calculations is part and parcel (whether successful or not) of prelogism. Now supposed B is in a position and has the mental-disposition to covertly add 1 to any of the numbers measured and to be involved in the calculations to be undertaken before then calculating and so as to measurement (so-construed as use of a defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements speaking of B's perversion-of-reference-of-thought) such that its calculations as aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose—of-obtained-measurements (meaningfulness-and-teleology) is undertaken erroneously rather implying $6 + 3$ instead of $5 + 2$ (with respect to the same correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as measurement undertaken by A for subsequent calculation as $5 + 2$) and then resolved correctly to be 9 as well just as A did out of wrong calculation, fundamentally the idea of re-engaging with B for solutions of additions (as to logical-processing-or-logical-implication) is flawed since B is not committed due to its perversion-of-reference-of-thought (incorrect apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) to genuinely strive for correct answers (ontological-veridicality), and this speaks of the possibility of B denaturing an infinite number of additional calculations (to the extent where it is 'socially-functional-and-accordant' to do so, i.e. functionally possible in the social context). Unlike the case with A having to do with A's addition ability but whose reference-of-thought is not perverted, such that A's defect is a 'defect of logical-processing-

or-logical-implication' or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, on the other hand B's defect is a Being/ontological/existential-defect, i.e., the teleological disposition of B inherently carries the defect (to the point that B can be socially-functional-and-accordant while committing the defect, i.e. where the veridical notion/axiomatic-construct of the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is not universally transparent as a 'negative covert vista'). Now supposed we are in a social context where C, D, E, F are to calculate additions as well but from the solutions arrived at by A and B. In the instance where C is ignorant of B's Being/ontological/existential-defect, there is a possibility of re-engaging with C but only where B's condition is exposed to it, but where the characters are not that ignorant but in any of the mental states (implying undermining the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating of normal additionality with such a social-aggregation-enabler situation) and so as of expediency or affordability for D, opportunism for E, exacerbation for F, social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation for B, C (where B's condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F or temporal-endemisation/temporal-enculturation of B's condition for B, C (where B's condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F. It should be noted that C (where B's condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F technically speaking have a 'derived-Being/ontological/existential-defect' as well, and so to the point that they consciously perceive it can be socially-functional-and-accordant to them wherein lack of 'social universal-transparency which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue' enables their own 'covert negative vista' however ad hoc as conjugated-postlogism i.e. as to the conjugated-ignorance of C (where B's condition is not exposed to it), conjugated-affordability of D, conjugated-opportunism of E, conjugated-exacerbation of F, and

conjugated-social-chainism of B, C (where B's condition is not exposed to it) D, E and F, and conjugated-temporal-enculturation to B's condition of B, C (where B's condition is not exposed to it), D, E and F; and they cannot therefore be re-engaged logically with (as of 'prelogism-as-of-conviction re-engaging reflex') on the basis that they will relay in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) elicited by B in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of B's postlogism-as-of-non-conviction and C, D, E and F relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought that is 'in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to enable their conjugated-postlogism, where it is socially-functional-and-accordant to do so. It should be qualified that postlogism (psychopathy) and conjugated-postlogism (as social psychopathy) are enabled, endemised and enculturated by the possibility of the phenomena being socially-functional-and-accordant without negative consequences to its agents so long as it is not socially universally transparent, and so eliciting the respective temporality/shortness over the intemporality/longness of adhering to proper apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology). Further more than postlogism and conjugated-postlogism being just passively socially-functional-and-accordant, a more active socially-functional-and-accordant framework is often induced by extrinsic-attribution on the token of eliciting 'social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality

transcendental-enabling/sublimating'. This is highly specific and circumscribe for efficacy-sake from accrued involvement with childhood psychopathy (with regards to adult psychopathy or adult postlogism) wherein achieving the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance threshold enabling postlogism/psychopathy and/or conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy involves an insight about how 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought determines how prelogism-as-of-conviction minds will act as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Besides and critically as well, in addition to this inherently induced faulty-mentation-procedure-deception involved with the state of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction and its protraction into conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy, postlogism and conjugated-postlogism is equally and decisively sustained socially by the accompanying inherent disposition to uphold the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance thereafter as of mechanical-knowledge (given that inevitably social confliction is bound to arise in the social-setup with the phenomena of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy), and as the mere recurrence of such social conflictions associated with the postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy characters might ultimately jeopardise the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (even when other prelogism-as-of-conviction minds do lack a social universal-transparency of the veridical postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy underlying phenomena of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness). In this regard, prelogism-as-of-conviction minds generally adopt a generalising approach for

determining ‘the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance experiences and recounts with any specific individual’ including psychopathic or conjugated-postlogism, and in so doing construe dichotomously the said individual’s as adhering or not-adhering to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (and so specifically judged rather in various shades of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance implied mechanical-knowledge), as entails with associating or not associating the said individual in given occasions or in specifically given aspects of life depending on such experiences and recounts. With this in mind (based on its dormant childhood development experience), the adult psychopathy personality arising from its growth experience (and correspondingly the protraction into conjugated-postlogism behaviour in this regard), wherein its childhood psychopathy failing the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance induced a shift in behaviour such that in lieu of ‘such preposterous acts-and/or-narratives of vicious postlogism-as-of-non-conviction’ at childhood, the childhood psychopathy comes to grasp that ‘acts-and/or-narratives of vicious postlogism-as-of-non-conviction’ as of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ will lead to relative social overlooking of the ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’; and so cultivating its deterministic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework faulty-mentation-procedure-deception ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’. For instance, as highlighted further below where John in a ‘dereifying act’ spills water on a chair, his ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’ involving such a mental-disposition of ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ may be to do some house chore but rather in ‘crude behaviour manner’ that reveals an ad-hoc quest to re-establish the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-

accordance with others. The adult psychopathy personality development arising from this fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’ at childhood, further evolves a long way with a constantly readjustment process to ultimately enable the credulity for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance at adult psychopathy, such that at adulthood social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of its underlying postlogism-as-of-non-conviction often gets lost enabling its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception at adulthood. By derivation the subsequently induced conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy, as of human temporal-dispositions will exploit unconsciously (as ignorance), expediently (as affordability) or consciously (as opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) the lack of such social universal-transparency of the psychopathic/postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and thus its own derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought; wherein even in the case of occasional elucidation of specific postlogism-set-of-narratives-and-acts of the psychopath as being rather of non-conviction and dementing, this does not necessarily transform the mental-dispositions of temporal-dispositions in their conjugation to psychopathic postlogism as conjugated-postlogism since the induced-deception is fundamentally of reference-of-thought-elements/registry-elements (implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), with the conjugated-postlogism interlocutor as of ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’, even when they recognised the specific postlogism-set-of-narratives-and-acts and are rather inclined to contend on the basis of the same flawed and deceptively-induced reference-of-thought-

elements/registry-elements (whether unconsciously as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as conjugated-ignorance or by expediency as conjugated-affordability or consciously as conjugated-opportunism/conjugated-exacerbation/conjugated-social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/conjugated-temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, given the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency’) without reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology to the ontological implications of the appropriate existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reference-of-thought-elements/registry-elements and thus explaining derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought arises, in addition to the more fundamental issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of prospective procrypticism uninstitutionalisation. In other words, ‘psychopathic/postlogism and social-psychopathic/conjugated-postlogism vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ as of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought take the form of mental ‘misconception of meaningfulness-and-virtue’ that such ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ based on their systematic combination with ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ directed to relevant significant others will enable the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, by such a compensation mechanism. With this faulty-mentation-procedure-deception, this is thus supposed to override the ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ as of an association between the ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’, and ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ towards relevant significant others, wherein that compensating is not a trite

equivalence but rather involves ‘high-proportionality of overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ relative to ‘specific or given postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ in order to enable the postlogism/psychopathic manifestation achieve the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (with such overcompensation involving sought after overall preceding and subsequent sense of social allegiance with relevant significant others and then corresponding ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ towards relevant significant others, whether relevant individuals and/or relevant social network, as overall ‘social investment’ that should allow its instigated ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ with respect to another individual or situation, as the occasion may arise, to be overlooked/absolved/exonerated/exculpated socially). This faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition at adulthood psychopathy is more profound than just an ad hoc trite association between committing a given vicious act and initiating a given limited ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue act-and/or-narrative’ in compensation as is the case at childhood psychopathy, since the adult psychopath discovers at that stage that such triteness of association is relatively inefficient for attaining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (but rather requires a more profound association of the ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ and ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’). As then during its childhood the ‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ are relatively universally transparent socially for what these truly are, as rather being associated with its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, ‘than just merely or confused with innocent virtue acts-and/or-narratives’; and as ‘interlocutors in prelogism-as-of-conviction come to grasp the deliberativeness/consciousness of the artificial and fallacious systematic eliciting of

‘compensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ as a crude-trite-compensating mechanism for its urge to commit ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ and is thus socially-dysfunctional at childhood. Whereas at adulthood psychopathy the overcompensating involves a surreptitious upending/undermining/blurring of this underlying insight that the ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ is rather as of a personality development derived-from and connected-with such fallacious crude-trite-compensating at childhood; such that it is then adopted and relayed as contending thus wrongly validating its apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (which are actually outside existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) as first-level deception, and thus enabling the infinite possibilities of second-level deception from their logical-processing-or-logical-implication. This underlying postlogism/psychopathic faulty-mentation-procedure-deception mental-disposition and its protraction in conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy involving deliberative/conscious or unconscious (conjugated-ignorance) artificial, fallacious and surreptitious systematic eliciting of ‘high-proportionality overcompensating directed pseudo-virtue acts-and/or-narratives’ systematically enabling the possibility for committing ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vicious acts-and/or-narratives’ with respect to another individual or situation, as the occasion may arise, while ensuring social overlooking/absolving/exonerating/exculpating is a central enculturating/endemising mechanism at the registry-worldview/dimension-level (beyond the individuation-level) of human temporalities-drives to adhere to the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-

of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). Further, at the confluence of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy with respect to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology arises disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; inherent in temporality/shortness and as of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions (shallowness-of-thought construed as of temporal-extricatory reasoning as well as incoherent and awkwardly implied universal projections, but which actually speaks of <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag explaining why its ‘universal projection lip-servicing nature or inductive limitation fails the test of a true principle’, basically highlighting a dynamic reference-of-thought relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology as of poor performance of supposed intemporal-projection but actually in effect pseudointemporality-as-temporality and speaks, more specifically with regards to psychopathic/postlogical meaningfulness-and-teleology, rather as of relatively ‘mere-rhyming mental-disposition’ emphasising <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in ‘toning-triggering/snappings-of-impression/tenseness-of-interlocutory-engagement-(easily copied with conjugated-postlogism at an intuitive-level)’-falsely-projecting-profoundness-of-thought more like vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging with respect to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology given psychopathic slantedness ‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts/deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-

presuming/false-premising-of-narratives/deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-dementing'), over an intemporal/ontological profoundness-of-thought (as of the 'intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as-of--<formative>epistemic-totalising—social-context-construed-conflatedness' of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation driven by 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness emphasising reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as rather about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence); and interestingly such a contrastive insight (of temporal-to-intemporal contrastive-synopsising-depths-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) should be central to an elucidative storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation. The very 'intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology' required for 'intemporal mental-projections' or 'ontological construals' outside institutionalisation framework as enabled by deferential-formalisation-transference render them highly susceptible to denaturing in uninstitutionalised-threshold framework as with regards to the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) where these face in the same space of temporal-to-intemporal the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance thresholds 'temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology'-as-shalowness-of-thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and with the 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context meaning that same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness are undisambiguated, and available to

postlogical/psychopathic, temporal-dispositions in conjugated-postlogism as well as the
 intemporal-disposition in conviction. The relative transparency of childhood psychopathy
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as highlighted with the case of John in a ‘dereifying act’
 spilling water on a chair in conjunction with its psychopathic perverted compensation mental-
 disposition as a basis for concurrently instigating postlogism-as-of-non-conviction so long as
 it can be socially-functional-and-accordant in satisfying its faulty-mentation-procedure-
 deception-or-urge by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-
 projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging) is highly revealing
 of the perverted nature of ‘temporal psychopathic/postlogical synopsis-ing-depth-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and as it develops into adult psychopathy where social
 universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
 prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
 instantiative-context gets lost and its perversion-of-reference-of-thought is related to as
 appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness in ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction
 rather than as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction’ as the adult psychopath undergoes
 maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-
 accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction (further elucidated elsewhere)
 inducing the further protraction in conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy of derived-
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought ‘temporal-synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology’-as-shallowness-of-thought in derived–vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-
 formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-
 subknowledging (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-
 as-of-existential-unthought). This at the institutional-level, a framework as the extended-
 informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology) without social universal-transparency as of existential-

contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as so reflected by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought (disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) is bound to induce defective/perverted 'temporal-distractively-aligned synopsis-ing-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology' relative to intemporal/ontological and virtue constructs.

[Consider the instance of an archetype illustration with respect to say a Socrates or Rousseau individuation 'intemporal synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as-of--<formative>epistemic-totalising—social-context-construed-conflatedness', 'temporal-distractively-aligned synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology'-as-shallowness-of-thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in pseudointemporality lip-servicing will within the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of their respective epochs poorly grasp their respective 'intemporal synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as-of--<formative>epistemic-totalising—social-context-construed-conflatedness', and rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn't rather advance a temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural philosopher while prioritising as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in his asceticism the prospective intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over the temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology status quo, and likewise with a Rousseau who isn't advancing a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology interest that his aristocratic stature should warrant like actively pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading

notions of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism; such that the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in such setups will certainly be rife with distraction of such ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shalowness-of-thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing; wherein a Socrates or Rousseau individuation ‘intemporal synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as articulated above will face in the same space of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance thresholds with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology such ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shalowness-of-thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as stated above, as the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context implies that same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness are undisambiguated/undelineated, and available to temporal postlogical/psychopathic synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, temporal-dispositions in conjugated-postlogism synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as well as intemporal synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, for instance, it won’t be surprising that the ‘intemporal synopsis-ing-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as-of--<formative>epistemic-totalising—social-context-construed-conflatedness’ of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as implied in this write-up, in principle, is rather alien as of its purposefulness/ontological-aspiration (notwithstanding the debatableness of

veracity/ontological-pertinence as all knowledge constructs must necessarily be opened to) to many ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shalowness-of-thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. This fundamentally arises due to the fact that prospective transcendence arises as ‘an exercise of outward-facing prospective institutionalisation metaphysics-of-absence value-referencing’ relative to a ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inward facing uninstitutionalised-threshold value-referencing’.]

Ultimately, loss of social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought such that mental states with respect to postlogisms and conjugated-postlogisms as of specific registry-worldviews/dimensions reveal the reality of the registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and more specifically relevant to the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy it points to disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought associated with procrypticism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It should be noted as well that the notion of overlooking and resetting (as the fact is the conscious manifestation of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t truly qualify for such a notion of overlooking and resetting since it is of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> and not defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, more like it can’t be pretended that overlooking the nefarious implications of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-

positivistic social-setup in some way implies a resetting of non-positivism/medievalism
 mindsets/reference-of-thought, and it will be more of an intellectual-and-moral dereliction
 from a positivistic insight) doesn't cancel the fundamental temporal mental-dispositions as
 portrayed above given that intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is a contiguity
 (superseding-oneness-of-ontology), and the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-
 reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-
 performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought as
 displayed by the individuations (speaking not of a defect of logical-processing-or-logical-
 implicitation or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance
 of the registry-worldview's/dimension's—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-
 accordance) above is of 'existential perpetuation in
 circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability (as-of-'perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought-as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-
 subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing'); and so as socially-functional-
 and-accordant, (wherein with respect to 'least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-
 the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-
 inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold', social meaningfulness-and-teleology is
 downgraded into 'temporal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-
 <as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-
 dispositions and projections disposition' with corresponding degrading of the
 profoundness/sophistication of reference-of-thought of a maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness disposition such that for veracity/ontological-pertinence
 there is need for teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation in construing a
 'supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of

meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as ontological and ‘subtransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology’,
 while with respect to ‘maximal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-
 formalisation’ social meaningfulness-and-teleology is deferred to the
 profoundness/sophistication of reference-of-thought of a maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness disposition by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework induced prospective institutionalisation formalisations, percolation-channelling-
 <epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> and secondnaturing). Thus
 in summary ‘existential perpetuation in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability’ (of
 ‘maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-with-respect-to-categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-inducing-the-prospective-
 institutionalisation’-and-‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-with-respect-to-
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-
 incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-in-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-
 threshold’) defines how and why any ‘institutionalisation-by-uninstitutionalised-threshold
 limits’ come to be attained and sustained (whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-
 institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism,
 positivism—procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism) as it is construed as arising due
 to the definite/unchangeable reality of ‘human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor intertwined with a
 given institutionalisation relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-
 induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (with the latter not-

definite/changeable by ‘transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation’ by a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness exercise). This is so reflected as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This explains why the ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’ mindset/reference-of-thought is existentially perpetuating ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as-inherently-implied-by-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), the ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation’ mindset/reference-of-thought is existentially perpetuating ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as-inherently-implied-by-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-ununiversalisation), the ‘universalisation–non-positivism/medieval’ mindset/reference-of-thought is existentially perpetuating ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as-inherently-implied-by-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-non-positivism/medievalism), the ‘positivism–procrypticism’ mindset/reference-of-thought is existentially perpetuating ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-

non-rules’ in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as-inherently-implied-by-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-procrypticism), and the ‘deprocrypticism’ mindset/reference-of-thought will be existentially perpetuating ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as-inherently-implied-by-its-preempting-of-any-uninstitutionalised-threshold. It should further be noted that the notion of in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability is not about conceptualising in the simplistic sense of any specific effective factual acts of circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability-as-of-conflated-construal but rather about a defining defectiveness of registry-worldview reference-of-thought-⟨reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-logically-contending⟩ construed as ‘circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability-as-of-conflated-construal of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ inherently-implied (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism) given the registry-worldview/dimension-level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought. So basically, circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability-as-of-conflated-construal is about the ‘circularity of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation-⟨reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-logically-contending⟩ in need for base-institutionalisation-⟨reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-

logically-contending)', the 'circularity of ununiversalisation-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-logically-contending) in need for universalisation-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-logically-contending)', the 'circularity of non-positivism/medievalism-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-logically-contending) in need for positivism-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-logically-contending)' and prospectively the 'circularity of procrypticism-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-logically-contending) in need for deprocrypticism-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-logically-contending)', successively as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought.

[For instance, resetting relations anew and overlooking non-positivism/medievalism postlogism issue of say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery does not mean that characters in such a non-positivism/medievalism setup are no longer susceptible to the same mental-dispositions 'as of non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought' on different or subsequent occasions/instances where the medieval postlogism-as-of-non-conviction issue of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery will arise again, where it is socially-functional-and-accordant to do so passively or actively by eliciting social-aggregation-enablers over the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating'. The reason being that the perversion-of-reference-of-thought speaks to a fundamental relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought as a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought as susceptible to further instances (in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability-as-of-conflated-construal) of endemising/enculturating notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery

and hence this issue can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by a relative prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought ushered in by ‘a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought and social-setting construct prospective/transcending/superseding <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ involving psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as of a cross-generational import. That is equally the fundamental and structural/paradigmatic problem associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy given the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought for a deprocrypticism reference-of-thought. Such naïve construal of resetting relations anew and overlooking with regards to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (utterly different from defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance resetting anew and overlooking) simply becomes at best ‘impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness active enabler’ for temporally inclined mindsets with respect to what can be habituated/endemised/enculturated as of perversion-of-reference-of-thought (where postlogism and conjugated-postlogism can be passively socially-functional-and-accordant or actively socially-functional-and-accordant by eliciting social-aggregation-enablers, and so over inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’). Rather than the idea of resetting relations anew and overlooking, a true intellectual-and-moral elevation is instead achieved by a

prospective institutionalisation secondnaturing process construing the inherent reality and derived-implications of perversion-of-reference-of-thought for its superseding, which effectiveness skews ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) to the veritable intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in deferential-formalisation-transference as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, as the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct; and so construed suprastructurally as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-of-the-prior/transcended/superseded. In other words, recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by base-institutionalisation reference-of-thought, ununiversalisation manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by universalisation reference-of-thought, non-positivism/medievalism manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by positivism reference-of-thought, and prospectively procrypticism manifestation of postlogism can only be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by deprocrypticism reference-of-thought. As palliative construal is rather ontologically incoherent as the idea for striving to construe intemporality/longness from temporality/shortness is rather naïve and actually as of ontologically-flawed <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag here implies that every registry-worldview/dimension is rather pre-inclined to represent its own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing at worst as a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-

dementing-narratives) or a-registry-worldview's-or-dimension's-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, and so rather than as truly 'decandored/oblongated and dialectically-dementing and dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase or decentered', and doing so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, to avoid its 'ontologically-perspectival-degraded-as-decentered/dementing-teleological-differentiation-as-of-subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing' with respect to prospective notional-deprocrypticism 'ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectical-thinking-teleological-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing'; though paradoxically it will effectively recognise such a representation about prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions. For instance, we'll be hard pressed to acquiesce to an argument with regards to medieval manifestation of postlogism for instance as it instigates notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, associated with a logic in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of non-positivism/medieval relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought of the type 'A's action was what brought about the accusation of witchcraft, and A should stop the practice', from our positivistic transcendently <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of its positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and would rather imply 'the decandored/oblongated and dialectically-dementing and dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase nature' of such non-

positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought priorly without its contending status even
 arising in the very first place; but then with respect to our own postlogism-and-conjugated-
 postlogism as psychopathy and social psychopathy pointing to our own relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-
 construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-
 reference-of-thought as procrypticism, we will tend to advance a ‘nondescript/ignorable void
 (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) as a-registry-
 worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
 reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-
 deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing
 of our own ontological-misconstruing-of-
 meaningfulness/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing, as we strive circularly-as-of-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology in an incoherent patchwork of meaningfulness (palliation construal) on the same
 terms of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-
 virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-
 construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (in the case of procrypticism, which is
 rather of ‘ontologically-perspectival-degraded-as-decentered/dementing-teleological-
 differentiation-as-of-subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-
 staging-and-performance-caricaturing’), ignoring the notion of prospective transcending with
 respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought or derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought
 going by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-
 realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-
 a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-

basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of notional-deprocrypticism (which is rather of ‘ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectical-thinking-teleological-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) in longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in order to grasp ontologically-veridical meaningfulness; and so, no more different as the non-positivism/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought trying to process logic on the basis of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. This reason underlies the notion of prospective institutionalisation which arises not as of logical-processing-or-logical-implication issue but ‘more fundamentally an appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought issue as of a paradigmatic and ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology implication with respect to eliciting the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension, without <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag of the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension. Thus the articulation of prospective institutionalisation ‘is not about ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implying equivalence between the prior/transcended/superseded and the prospective/transcending/superseding’. It is rather about the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of the latter in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and inequivalence with the former. For

instance the factual ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/effectiveness validations of say a chemistry mindset/reference-of-thought (with demonstrations of chemistry principles by chemical reactions producing elements and compounds) say in a non-positivism/medievalism setup prone to alchemy and essences-driven explanations ‘is not and cannot be construed as a logical-processing-or-logical-implication validation as of alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought’ but rather ‘a chemistry scientific mindset/reference-of-thought validation’, critically because the issue is fundamentally not about the specific validations of chemistry principles but rather about the non-positivism/medievalism alchemy and essences-driven explanations defective mindset/reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mental-disposition reflex with respect to metaphorically-as-of-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locals/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of interpretive defects of that may arise from such non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought based on alchemy and essences-driven explanations given its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought. Thus wrongly implying that a contending engagement between the two is of logical-processing-or-logical-implication, ‘wrongly elevates and validates the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought’ as the mindset/reference-of-thought of contention, as such a possibility of contending engagement from the chemistry mindset/reference-of-thought is about harkening rather to a paradigmatic and conflatedness (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) of the alchemy and essences-driven explanations mindset/reference-of-thought reflex for the ascendancy of a positivistic chemistry registry-worldview reflex as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it addresses the former defect of <formative>epistemic-totalising—

ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-
 flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-
 prospective-reference-of-thought and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought; though as previously indicated we will wrongly tend to (just as any
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/metaphysics-of-presence
 registry-worldview/dimension) to represent by reflex our own procrypticism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing at
 worst as a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-
 dementing-narratives) or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-
 relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-
 neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in our placeholder-
 setup/mentation/mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology rather
 than the true reality from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective as ‘decandored/oblongated and dialectically-
 dementing and dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase’, and doing so beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought to
 avoid its ‘ontologically-perspectival-degraded-as-decentered/dementing-teleological-
 differentiation-as-of-subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-
 staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ with respect to futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism

‘ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectical-thinking-teleological-
 differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’. This reflex
 is what establishes the defining circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of
 procrypticism as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-
 induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought in
 endemising/enculturating psychopathy and social psychopathy. The bigger picture here is that
 in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process and the
 institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, and as reflected insightfully from
 cultural diffusion induced institutionalisations, ‘the prior/transcended/superseded registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ need
 to be recognised, registered and represented from the prospective/transcending/superseding
 registry-worldview reference-of-thought for what it is, rather than a ‘nondescript/ignorable
 void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) as a-registry-
 worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
 reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-
 deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing
 to then allowed for the necessary cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure of the prospective institutionalisation by its prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought.]

It should be noted as well that the idea of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-

a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ enabled by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is the deterministic phenomenon behind ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ and the specific institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and depcrypticism. It captures the true notion of transcendence as a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness involving utterly putting-into-question/reshuffling/remaking the human psyche/placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology in the very first instance, and on a second-level then imply eliciting the corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology for such renewed psyche as reference-of-thought. Such ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ involves specific ‘memeticism/meaningfulness circular-caricature’ with respect to the implied registry-worldview/dimension in their respective institutionalisation state (as candored/straight and dialectical-thinking/dialectically-or-contendingly in-phase) and their uninstitutionalised-threshold state (in apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as decandored/oblongated and dialectically-dementing/dialectically-or-contendingly out-of-phase). The notion of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-

basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ as being of true transcendence can be further elucidated with regards to two remarkable historical developments which while inherently exceptional, to say the least, aren’t truly transcendental. Consider for instance that transcendental is generally considered as the central notion of Kantian philosophy. The reality however is that the supposed transcendentalism is actually an elaboration in the terms of the actual and true rational-empiricism/positivism reference-of-thought transcendence established by Descartes’ thinking proposition and scepticism exercise as the fundamental basis for continuously re-elaborated ‘extended rationalism’ right up to the present. Kantian supposed transcendence (Copernican revolution) is not eliciting a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ (which is exactly what Descartes’ thinking proposition and scepticism exercise does with respect to the non-positivism/medievalism psyche/placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology). The Kantian construct is an elaboration well within the psychical framework established by the rationalism thinking proposition and scepticism exercise, and Kantian meaningfulness-and-teleology is utterly comprehensible and intelligible to that psyche, though in many ways it is a more profound elaboration of meaningfulness-and-teleology issues. So it is actually an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument within the extended-rationalism reference-of-thought that doesn’t psychically and meaningfully supersede it but elaborates within it; and it doesn’t reference an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘-

<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-
 placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-
 prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ as implied by a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology
 or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ from Recurrent-
 utter-uninstitutionalisation to Base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, to
 Universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, to Positivism–procrypticism, and
 prospectively to Deprocripticism; as successively non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-
 random-mental-disposition-(as ‘basic constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) gives way to
 rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) which gives
 way to universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level presencing—
 absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) which gives
 way to positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules-(as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-
 thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), and
 prospectively bringing about preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-
 based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘conflatedness of reference-
 of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument);
 and wherein the successive mindsets/references-of-thought and institutionalisations are

suprastructural to each other (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought). Insightfully, this highlights that human mentation capacity is in a dynamic cumulation as of the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of its limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation). It puts into question the Kantian philosophical exercise (Copernican revolution) of striving to establish universal human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing principles with respect to a mental state that is perpetually in a transformative becoming state of shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). (This latter condition inherently means that the certitude of such an enterprise itself can only be grounded on the human existential existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as the absolute apriorising.) It is this author's contention that the Kantian conceptualisation exercise while interesting is in many ways rather a heuristic construct given its grounding on a categorisation reflex that poorly syncs with and is in constant need for heuristic re-adaptation to match 'an existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality existential reality nature that is preceding-and-superseding to any human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of it', and thus rendering such an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conceptualisation exercise highly heuristic (to constantly resolve the virtualities it raises by re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification), and so when not employing a referentialism reflex that is naturally inclined to be contiguous with intrinsic-reality as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. A further weakness is the naive

implication thus that an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing exercise of human mental understanding only starts and ends with the positivistic/rational-empiricism registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought as if it is the only one that had existed, against the anthropological and historical trend, and without explaining how previous meaningful-frames developed into the positivistic/rational-empiricism and how the latter could develop prospectively. Besides the Kantian argument that the transcendent (in all its connotations beyond direct experiences) cannot be known is equally anthropologically and historically erroneous as even in his days, with respect to adopting of a positivistic/rational-empiricism worldview over non-positivistic/alchemic/essences/medieval registry-worldview/dimension certainly does has a name (transcendence). But then it is more the case that from an epistememic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag posture holding only one registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as absolute, then prospective transcendence is rather a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought notion. Besides, Kant's notion of transcendence (transcendental idealism) and subsequent philosophical development of the notion is one relating to immediate phenomenal conceptualisation rather construed as 'phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence' (and more precisely phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence as of 'the positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights' transcendence implied by Descartes) rather than a construal of transcendence as implied herein as of deepening limited-mentation-capacity with respect to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as superseding–oneness-of-ontology as an all-encompassing <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of human psychical and institutionalisation disposition for meaningfulness-and-

teleology, even though fundamentally enabled by developing human phenomenal-abstractiveness of presence as of <formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘trepidatious-consciousness’ with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’ with base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, <formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’ with universalisation–non-positivism/medieval, <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’ with positivism–procrypticism, and <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’ with deprocrypticism. Basically, Kant lacked a notion of metaphysics-of-absence (to overcome <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage) with respect to the positivism/rational-empiricism registry-worldview/dimension. In other words, Kant is involved in an epistemological conceptualisation at a given point in time (erroneously construed as the absolute point of human thought apriorising, without a decentering sense of projection with respect to the prior and prospective). But existential-reality as of its human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (heuristically at least) started well before that point and carries on well after that point, and such an exercise is more profound when it construes human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing along the full existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality of existence as it redefines meaningfulness-and-teleology on the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in

its construal/conceptualisation of a superseding–oneness-of-ontology construed as transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Insightfully, this author construes an existential-reference/existential-tautologisation basis of such human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing process for the transcendental-enabling/sublimating of successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-as-transcendental registry-worldviews/dimensions rather as of an exercise of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness over conceptualisations of human mental apriorising/axiomatising/referencing process on a simple categorisation reflex basis as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which tend to require constant heuristic adaptations to sync in contiguity with existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality of existential-reality and avoid virtualities, as wrongly operating on the basis of an absolute point of human thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that doesn't recognise that successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-as-transcendental registry-worldviews/dimensions are defining/transcendental-enabling/sublimating for new prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. In the bigger framework, this author holds that conceptually and operantly nothing is certain but for the certitude of existence and its oneness, thereafter defining relative certitudes by the contextualising-contiguity of existence as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of its successively developed transcendental psychical and institutionalisation notions from apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument non-rules-as-

impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition to successively profound apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument rules associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), as further elaborated in this paper. This same insight can be extended with respect to an Einstein and Bohr led theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics physics respectively in relation to the physics of Newton, Galileo, Leibniz; wherein the latter established the ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psyche as ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ of positivistic physics right back then in their epoch such that the overall underlying principle of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as transcendental-enabling/sublimating back then is still what prevails today. It is that physics psyche established back then which enabled seemingly aloof conceptualisations of physics like theory-of-relativity and quantum-mechanics within a decade or so of their articulations as of more profound elaboration of transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework to establish themselves as the central physics theories with little or no quarrel. It is interesting to grasp that such a physics and science psyche wasn’t available to a Copernicus in what may be construed today as a relatively benign conceptualisation of a heliocentric model of the world, with the revolt of Galileo and others ultimately establishing that physics and science psyche over a non-positivism/medievalism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument relationship to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that is not ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-

reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of its non-scientific psyche. In other words however ‘good-natured, well-meaning and wishful for enabling human progress’ the mental-disposition in that epoch as alchemic and non-positivistic was structurally not ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, and instinctively one may argue that it is by coming out from the frustration of not achieving anything decisive but for ‘palliative results’ in terms of progress with an alchemic and non-positivistic psyche that the Newton’s of that epoch increasingly adopted a positivistic sense of things which they increasingly came to realise as being ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. This same ‘ontological misconstrual’ naively grounded on ‘palliative constructs and naïve conceptual patterning’ driven by ‘good-naturedness, well-meaningfulness and wishfulness’ is pervasive in the social sciences today as of its poor ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating construction having to do withan epistememic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag agent of limited-mentation-capacity that we are as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification wherein our <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaningfulness-and-teleology is often wrongly construed as ontological as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. Consider for instance a situation where statistically people likely to rest more in their home in winter are compared with people spending more time outdoors with regards to prevalence of flu, and then arriving at the conclusion that the treatment for flu is resting more at home. Such a construct as basic constitutedness is at best a sound palliative construct and naïve conceptual patterning however good-natured, well-meaning and wishful, but doesn’t deal

with the required pure-ontology conflatedness as of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating in establishing a comprehensive disease theory for flu that syncs with other human diseases theories and human biology theories and general biology theories and informed by the bigger ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ (construed rather as of an organic depth of ontological coherence/contiguity that is structurally/paradigmatically transcendental-enabling/sublimating contiguously as from the deeper apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and not vague ad-hoc mechanical patchwork of non-transcendental-enabling/sublimating conceptualised/construed relations), and so as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology.

The practice in many a social science specialism is often to articulate concepts whose linkage with other social science concepts and the overall social science background knowledge construct is vague such that ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating is hardly established but for bare ‘palliative constructs and naïve conceptual patterning’ that are more often than not <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag than truly ontological when examined closely such that the test of transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism when the implications of such notions are examined as of metaphysics-of-absence not only in terms of one registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-teleology but two or more, say our present positivism reference-of-thought and retrospective non-positivism reference-of-thought, their

‘supposed ontological status’ turn out to be ridiculous <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, exposing their true nature as
 rather palliative constructs and conceptual patterning. In the bigger framework can notions
 construed/conceptualised as of ‘human subjectivity so-construed as ineffectively
 transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism’ be
 given the label ontology, or rather is ontology exactly not about effective transcendently-
 enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism?
 And what is fundamentally involved in developing that transcendently-enabling-level-of-
 authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism for ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality
 transcendental-enabling/sublimating is the increasing psychical-transformation/psychical-
 detachment with corresponding institutional-recomposures as from non-rules-as-impulsive-
 or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition transcendently-enabling-level-of-
 authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation,
 rulemaking-over-non-rules transcendently-enabling-level-of-
 authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as base-institutionalisation—

ununiversalisation, universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules transcendently-
 enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as
 universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules transcendently-enabling-level-of-
 authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as positivism–procrypticism, and
 prospectively preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-
 based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules transcendently-enabling-level-
 of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-
 or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as
 deprocrypticism; explaining the successive developments of the human psyche
 transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as
 ontologically-driven as of increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought. It is this author’s contention that the ‘transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as so
 transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-

of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism provides the requisite ontologically-veridical background referencing as of its conflatedness (in the same vein as the prior positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension bigger ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ with regards to non-positivism/medievalism) as of the prospective-and-more-profound deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension bigger ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as herein implied by this hermeneutic psychology suprastructuralism insight construed as of metaphysics-of-absence as ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, not only with regards to the social sciences but also when it comes to the many instances of poor scientific studies thus enabling the decisive superseding of palliative construals and conceptual-patterning that can hardly be qualified as ontological. The underlying contention of both such a present ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ and prospective ‘transcendental-enabling/sublimating deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy’ as of their respective relative ontologically-veridical psychical background referencing as of conflatedness for knowledge/meaningfulness-and-teleology has to do with the bigger ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality (of ontologically valid knowledge/meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of its notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as the structural/paradigmatic basis by which ‘ontological-deficiency (conceptually represented as subsuming of virtue-defect or vices-and-impediments ‘with virtue not truly differentiated from ontology’ but rather such a conceptual-differentiation being represented as of our notional--<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag animate-existential-referencing/subjectification emotional-involvement implications)’ is construed fundamentally going by a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought relative deficiency as prior

relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought (as its uninstitutionalised-threshold) thereby resolvable structurally/paradigmatically by the prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; thus validating with regards to both reference-of-thought respectively as the 'transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy' and the 'transcendental-enabling/sublimating deprocrypticism psyche-and-thereof-philosophy' their relative ontologically-veridical background referencing as of conflatedness as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Since we can perfectly conceptualise with both reference-of-thought the articulation of coherent meaningfulness-and-teleology respectively in non-positivism terms-as-of-axiomatic-constructs and non-deprocrypticism/procrypticism terms-as-of-axiomatic-constructs, or rather in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct that do not grasp structurally/paradigmatically the respective reference-of-thought organic grounding as of underlying ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implications, and so beyond just a question of vague ad-hoc mechanical patchwork of non-transcendental-enabling/sublimating conceptualised/construed relations. This elucidation points out that transcendence 'must truly' involve an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics with the utter decentering of understanding itself by the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought over the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing of the prior/transcended/superseded at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as an epistememic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) eliciting a new apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought as candored/straight, dialectical-

thinking and dialectically/contendingly-in-phase over the prior/transcended/superseded
 reference-of-thought as decentered/oblongated, dialectically-dementing and
 dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. Basically, ontological-dementation/dialectical-
 dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics speaks of the contingent supersedingness of
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of
 prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought over prior relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-
 thought, and so ‘with respect to the relative veracity/ontological-pertinence of their projected
 logical-processing-or-logical-implication of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, wherein the
 prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought is construed as dialectically-dementing
 and decentered/out-of-phase thus subsumed-as-supplanted while the
 prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought is construed as dialectical-
 thinking and centered/in-phase thus subsuming-as-supplanting (by supratransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-
 temporality’, rather as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence). Thus
 contingently and ontologically, recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is dementing and
 decentered thus subsumed-as-supplanted (given its failing/not-upholding-as-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of rulemaking-over-non-rules) relative to base-
 institutionalisation—ununiversalisation as dialectical-thinking and centered, with the latter
 dementing and decentered thus subsumed-as-supplanted (given its failing/not-upholding-as-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-
 rules) relative to universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism as dialectical-thinking and
 centered, with the latter dementing and decentered thus subsumed-as-supplanted (given its

failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules) relative to our positivism–procrypticism as dialectical-thinking and centered, with the latter dementing and decentered thus subsumed-as-supplanted (given its failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules) relative to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as dialectical-thinking and centered; and so successively, ‘with respect to relative ontological veridicality of logical-processing-or-logical-implication projected meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics as of transcendental/interdimensional/transdimensional registry-worldview/dimension-level conceptualisation/construal as enabling prospective suprastructuration (suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology synopsising-depth as of the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding—oneness-of-ontology), is technically apprehended rather as of the ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview reference-of-thought implied as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought in reflecting the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought

suprastructuration as the ‘new ontologically-veridical becoming-or-present-of-reference-of-thought’ since there ‘cannot be two different becoming-or-present-of-reference-of-thought’ but rather that the prospective/transcending/superseding suprastructuration is by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought the becoming-or-present-of-reference-of-thought. However, in all the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics implied successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, such a ‘confusion of relative ontologically-veridical becoming-or-present-of-reference-of-thought’ induces an underlying ‘paradox of transcendence’ involved in all such transcendences wherein mental-dispositions as of reference-of-thought are caught between the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology referencing. Consider in this case the human condition of transience of reference-of-thought as experienced by Okonkwo returning from banishment to Umuofia village in Chinua Achebe’s *Things Fall Apart*. That is, basically and by reflex, mental-dispositions as of the formation of ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology will not necessarily construe transitorily at its uninstitutionalised-threshold that ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is the relative ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought (as explained further below with respect to ‘symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought but which is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed--<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and/or desymmetrisation for perceived

temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ associated with distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/ontological-asymmetrisation as of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness in aetiologisation/ontological-escalation); such that on a logical-basis the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation will be more inclined to turn towards the ‘prior conventional non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition’ as reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and so over the ‘prospective relative pure-ontology conflatedness implying rulemaking-over-non-rules’. This is because a registry-worldview/dimension is a ‘circular-pervasiveness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ wherein achievement motives and temporal-stakes of the conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> so-construed prospectively, will tend to ‘take precedence as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (as implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as heuristic but non-constraining compensation for human limited-mentation-capacity where constraining social universal-transparency doesn't yet avail) even though, it is such relative pure-ontology conflatedness that is the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality enabling (by ultimately making available such prospective constraining social universal-transparency) the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures. Even then and ultimately, it is mainly a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that progressively rids the prior conventional constructs of their essence as of <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that enables prospective registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration/transcendence. This insight extends to all the successive registry-worldviews including ours as positivism—procrypticism as the relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality implying such a construct as the deprocrypticism institutionalisation suprastructuration (preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as conflation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument)) will certainly be a remote contemplation of such a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-disposition of our registry-worldview/dimension, rather construing its circular-

pervasiveness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as absolute by reflex beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought wherein achievement motives and temporal-stakes of the conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> so- construed prospectively, will tend to ‘take precedence as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness notion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and implying rather a prospective transcendental depth-of-thought/reference-of-thought. This equally explains why the implied supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is necessarily a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation that needs to take into account this ‘paradox of transcendence’. And critically so, because beyond just ‘human conscious willing’, transcendence necessarily implies the ‘prospect of humans to appreciate/understand meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’; such that, structurally/paradigmatically/necessarily, that which gets to ‘conceptualise/construe beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’ is necessarily ontologically-asymmetrical

as rather imbued with intellectual-and-moral responsibility over that which doesn't get there (and so, even with regards to a basic non-transcendental construal of asymmetrisation within a same registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought like Doctor – Patient, Parent – Child, Server – Customer, Teacher – Student etc. as ensues from a Derridean binary opposition analysis). However at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the notion of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation is not readily acquiesced to for the simple reason that two references-of-thought/axiomatic-constructs are at play with those adhering to the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology inclined beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought to uphold meaningfulness-and-teleology as such, whereas in contrast adherence to the prospective/transcending/superseding as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will certainly grasp the pertinence of intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation as of deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness aetiologisation/ontological-escalation; so construed, as prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought brings about deepening sense as to apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism of transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology construal for a sounder and sounder relationship with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In this respect, it should be noted that in the example on the denaturing of Additionality as further articulated below with regards to the characters A, B, C, D, E, F and Z, it is naïve to think that the characters A, B, C, D, E, F will simply acquiesce to Z's supposedly ontologically-veridical posture, as by their prior relative-ontological-

incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought they may operate on a logic that once such a situation as A induced additionality defect deception develops as of ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency, that’s fine and implicitly others could just as well consciously go along with it, and that it is just as implicitly legitimate as of the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology notwithstanding its failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; highlighting how across the successive registry-worldviews apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing arise, however, different the perception from ‘very-crude’ (with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) to ‘seemingly polished’ (with our positivism—procrypticism) depending on prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This is to point out that at uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-dispositions as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought do not necessarily acquiesce to intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality or asymmetrisation (as Z’s ... looking down on A, B, C, D, E and F mental-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as allowing for the endemisation/enculturation of the denaturing of additionality and the implications thereof of subsequent denaturing in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability that ensue where socially-functional-and-accordant due to lack of constraining social universal-transparency which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’; not only as a specific/particular

construal/conceptualisation but of universal import as having to do with
 endemisation/enculturation of perversions-of-reference-of-thought-⟨reflected-as-
 unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought⟩. Does the ‘intellectual romanticism’
 of a Rousseau articulation of universal human rights necessarily register fully in the
 mindset/reference-of-thought of the ⟨formative⟩wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
 thought-⟨as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
 of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩ of his
 epoch or is it rather more truly a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
 existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought notion until the necessary psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure generations latter that brings this
 beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
 unthought notion to the fore of the ⟨formative⟩wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
 thought-⟨as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
 of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications⟩, and
 this interrogation could be extended to say superstitious notions and their implications in a
 non-positivistic social-setup as the drive of say a rational-empiricism/positivistic
 emancipating agent in many ways will be a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
 in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought notion for the ⟨formative⟩wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-⟨as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications⟩ in such a social setting, and equally similar issues
 faced today in many a traditional society like female genital mutilation is more than just an
 issue of stopping the practitioners of genital mutilation but has to do with
 ⟨formative⟩wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-⟨as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>

meaningfulness-and-teleology in such social-setup that is a question of a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought notion with respect to recasting of gender rights in a prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, it could be asked whether such an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation notion as deprocrypticism institutionalisation implied suprastructuration over our positivism—procrypticism is rather not a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought notion as of the present <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-disposition and mental-projection. The fact is that registry-worldviews/dimensions operate meaningfulness-and-teleology as of their ontological representation of reality within the limits of their reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which provide them with their ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ (so derived from prior ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism induced projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction), but then the further possibility of expanding the axiomatic-construal/axiomatic-conceptualisation of ontological representation of reality as prospective registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration requires new projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction to establish more profound reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as new/prospective ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’; but then, such ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific

referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights' of each
 registry-worldview/dimension suprastructuration comes with a fundamental mentation-reflex
 flaw that their given
 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific
 referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights' is absolute and
 non-transcendable' beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-
 as-of-existential-unthought, failing to grasp that projective-
 insights/postdication/deconstruction (factoring in human limited-mentation-capacity-
 deepening-{formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal})
 about prospectively more profound reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology will certainly imply an altogether new/prospective
 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific
 referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights' and
 notwithstanding the fact that that present registry-worldview/dimension is the result of prior
 projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction induced transcendence. Such that it is a cross-
 generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as a
 beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
 unthought notion that enables the fulfilment of the promise of projective-
 insights/postdication/deconstruction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality effectively with deconstruction/engaged-destruktion/ontological-
 reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness; and so, with respect to transcending from recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation right up to our positivism—procrypticism institutionalisation
 suprastructuration, and prospectively the same human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—

imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor issues arise with respect to the possibility of our prospective transcendence to deprocrypticism, as we perceive our ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ as absolute failing to construe the all-encompassing redefining implications of projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction with respect to the possibility of an altogether new/prospective

‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ (as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-⟨as conflation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument⟩)). So the challenge as of this aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as implying futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, is one of making conscious beyond the nombrilism/closed-structuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology within all registry-worldviews/dimensions just as ours inducing transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, that doesn’t tend to consciously recognise that prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought imply in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process that new projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction necessarily induce new ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ defining

new/prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Particularly so, as <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-dispositions most profound relationship to meaningfulness-and-teleology tends to be geared rather towards the given ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ as-an-only-one as this enables human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> so-construed prospectively, as within ONLY recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (by its non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology), ONLY base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation (by its rulemaking-over-non-rules reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology), ONLY universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism (by its universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology), or ONLY positivism–procrypticism (by its positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology), and so ‘construed-as-of-contingent-circular-pervasiveness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as-instant-and-absolute-basis-for-being/existence’ (despite the relative-ontological-

incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-
thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness), whilst the
projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction discernment as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (since the purpose of reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and not the mimicking of their <formative>wooden-
language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-
dementing-narratives, whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought), about how and why the ontological-contiguity—of-
the-human-institutionalisation-process as of such successive
‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific
referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ is driven from
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation to
universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism to positivism—procrypticism by projective-
insights/postdication/deconstruction in establishing them in the first place as of prospective
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus the utility of projective-
insights/postdication/deconstruction in enabling futural Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism (preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules reference-of-thought—categorical-

imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) by construing its grander ‘re-apriorising/re-axiomatising/re-referencing/re-intelligibilitysetup/re-measuringinstrumenting specific reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for existential-instantiations predicative-insights’ as of full ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, tends to be lost to temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions; speaking more of a mental-orientation not geared to grasp the ‘existential tale’ of its species as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven human eternalising and emancipating aspiration behind projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction for creating successive ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments specific referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. But rather an ad-hoc mental-orientation ‘construed-as-of-contingent-circular-pervasiveness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as-instant-and-absolute-basis-for-being/existence’ (despite the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness) not geared to uphold eternalising and emancipating possibilities implied by projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction notwithstanding the fact that its ‘construed-as-of-contingent-circular-pervasiveness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-

of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as-
 instant-and-absolute-basis-for-being/existence’ (naively perceived as the only one as of
 mechanicalism with a poor sense of organicalism, despite the relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-
 ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought) arose by projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction as of ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Further, even more
 decisively though by reflex we naively-and-erroneously tend to construe of human virtuous-
 dispositions or vices-and-impediments as arising mainly as of their conscious choices,
 paradigmatically/structurally a registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-
 in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought notion is the more decisive/salient
 notion as to human ‘objectively construed/analysed virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-
 impediments’ even though individual ‘conscious choices’ will tend to ‘simply qualify the
 effective possibility of such virtuous-dispositions or vices-and-impediments arising’; such
 that a registry-worldview/dimension incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is
 paradigmatically/structurally susceptibility as a state of ‘in-wait as of prior relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought—
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the vices-and-impediments so implied
 to arise-and-be-endemised/enculturated beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
 existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. This explains why the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is basically about shifting
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments to supersede
 the state of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-

existential-unthought as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 ⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in handling
 the more and more profound/depth of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality construing
 reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct that avails as of ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence or increasing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought;
 (such that such meaningfulness as expressed herein is more than just of logical construct
 implying simple logical meaningfulness as within only a single-as-our-present positivistic
 predicative-insights framework of reasoning and understanding, but requires a more profound
 retrospective and prospective mental-projection in its contemplation). This equally explicates
 the empirical reality associated with the occurrence of human transcendence cross-
 generationally as the timeframe for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure of projective-insights/postdication/deconstruction
 induced prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension
 ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument specific
 referencing/reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct for predicative-insights’ to take hold. It
 equally explicates why apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-
 performance-caricaturing (as ‘vague staging and performing’ and not truly dialectical-
 thinking meaningfulness-and-teleology) tend to arise in each registry-worldview/dimension at
 its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This has to do fundamentally with the antipodality of the
 mental-dispositions of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as of effecting-parsimony-as-of-
 shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology and prelogism-as-of-
 conviction as of effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology in the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It
 is important to grasp that such ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-

reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context dynamic’ of the nature of ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought dynamism’ as knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-notion/articulation is a critical element for a postlogism/psychopathy storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration development as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation insight, at the individuation-level of analysis, involving ‘themes-driven underlying-agency-or-sous-agencement dynamics for narration-construed-as-instantiative-moulting’ as of ontological-normalcy undermining by ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and corresponding ontological-normalcy upholding with conflatedness. (Thus disambiguating mental-dispositions as of reference-of-thought—prelogism-as-of-conviction, ‘postlogism-as-of-non-conviction/psychopathic reference-of-thought—madeupness/bottomlining individuation’, and various ‘derived-non-conviction/conjugated-postlogisms/social-psychopathy as reference-of-thought—madeupness/bottomlining individuations’; and as this overall ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect of upholding/failing ontologically-normalcy/postconvergence’ reflects constitutedness as of base-constitutedness, first-level-presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, second-level-presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, third-level-presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and notional-conflatedness (altogether construed as of notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness) reflecting both the uninstitutionalised-threshold’s as to conventioning/closed-structure/non-transcendability/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought/effecting-parsimony as to ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ meaningfulness and the corresponding prospective institutionalisation’s ontology/opened-structure/transcendability/conflatedness teleological-elevated-as-reference-of-thought—prelogism-as-of-conviction of meaningfulness, and so as of conflatedness of social-stake-

contention-or-confliction comprehensive-and-insightful itemisation for developing storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration. As conceptualised at both registry-worldview/dimension-level and individuation-level of analysis unlike reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction, reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining is associated with relative ‘temporal-mental-dispositions’-construed-as-surreptitiously-or-palpably-committed-to-extrinsic-attribution-or-its-perpetuating-upon-other-mental-dispositions-as-supposedly-superseding-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as so-mentally-invested with regards to perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ notwithstanding subsequent apprehension of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, that speaks of ‘ad-hoc social-commitment-thresholds for foregoing the upholding of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ and assuming denaturing as of ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency at the uninstitutionalised-threshold’. It is this dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect that underlies perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought associated with uninstitutionalised-thresholds.) This thus conveys the individuation-level of analysis ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as well as differentiated intemporal-conflatedness-as-effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology-or-temporal-constitutedness-as-effecting-parsimony-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (so implied by metaphysics-of-absence as of our procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). By mental-reflex a postlogism-as-of-non-conviction stand is a ‘mental-shortcut’ that is fundamentally perverted as it perceives meaning as ‘deterministic of others behaviours by its empty-form’ while a prelogism-as-of-conviction stand is one that relates to meaning on the basis of its assumed existential validity, or at worst involves omissions or exaggerations relative to such fundamental existential validity, but doesn’t countenance by mental-reflex the projection of empty-form of meaningfulness which is ‘existentially invalid’ in the very first place.

Consequently, where there is ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency at the uninstitutionalised-threshold due to relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, postlogism-as-of-non-conviction implied meaningfulness-and-teleology will tend to be incidentally conjugated with prelogism-as-of-conviction dispositions as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. This is the case beyond just any such specific instances and such specific postlogism-as-of-non-conviction character(s) and specific conjugated-postlogism character(s) but rather as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect, and thus defining together with the registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought at its ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as a dementing enculturation’. This is characteristic of the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as random/impulsive mental-disposition), ununiversalisation (non-universalising hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing like animistic attributing of misfortune to someone else’s malevolent spirit), non-positivism/medievalism (non-positivising/non-rational-empirical hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery) or procrypticism (disjointed-misappropriating-of-meaning hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing like psychopathy and social psychopathy), thus construing of a registry-worldview as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as rather reflecting ‘virtue-and-ontological-veridicality’ as of its institutionalisation and ‘vices-and-impediments’ as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This consequently implies at the uninstitutionalised-threshold a ‘symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought but which is in effect an ontologically-non-

veridical-or-flawed--<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and/or desymmetrisation for perceived
 temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-
 hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing' is socially induced in temporality/shortness
 requiring deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness as intemporal-
 asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality/ontological-asymmetrisation as of prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, which in the bigger picture speaks
 of 'differentiated construal of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-
 prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
 instantiative-context' wherein the temporal is 'dementing-and-decentered-prior-
 institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and the intemporal-
 as-ontological dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation's--
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and further explains the 'paradox of
 transcendence' (confusion of relative ontologically-veridical becoming-or-present-of-
 reference-of-thought') wherein the temporal is hung (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
 teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) to the <formative>wooden-
 language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-
 dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-
 of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology thus 'construed-as-of-
 contingent-circular-pervasiveness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
 thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
 of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as-
 instant-and-absolute-basis-for-being/existence' (despite the relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-
 thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective

relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness) whereas the intemporal-as-ontological construes reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as meant for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation and up for remaking once perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought undermines their intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation on the basis of the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ with regards to human limited-mentation-capacity and as of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness. This conceptualisation as a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is empirically more true of human development which by a flawed metaphysics-of-presence overly construes in <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag the positivistic psyche almost as if it is the sole and genuine one without factoring in the notion of a continuous ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ in successions of human psyches arising with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), with the further implication of a prospective ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as a deprocrypticism psyche and its corresponding memetism or suprastructural meaningfulness-and-teleology.

Now supposed Z was another character inclined for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as preserving the inherent intemporality/longness of additionality as allowing civilisational/institutional-being-and-craft setup preservation, brought in by the Donor, there is no question that Z will register the newly divulged ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality of the defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and its derived-implications as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought to renew the construal/conceptualisation of what is considered as a relatively ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for a prospective reference-of-thought that preserves intemporality, by factoring in the fact of this contextual relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought as it enculturates/endemises the perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and thus will be predisposed to a reconstrual/reconceptualisation of arithmetic principles factoring in and superseding this specific-type (as exposed by B’s postlogism and C, D, E, F conjugated-postlogism) of ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ or ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’, and will look down on B, C, D, E and F mental-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as allowing for the endemisation/enculturation of the denaturing of additionality and the implications thereof of subsequent denaturing in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability that ensue where socially-functional-and-accordant (lack of constraining social universal-transparency

which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’), not only as a specific/particular
 construal/conceptualisation but of universal import as having to do with
 endemisation/enculturation of perversions-of-reference-of-thought-⟨reflected-as-
 unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought⟩ speaking fundamentally of the given
 prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-
 virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-
 construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (wherein Z’s disposition is an ordered-
 construct or secondnaturing institutionalisation over B, C, D, E and F mental-
 anarchy/mentarchy inducing of ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’). Though metaphorically in the
 mortal’s temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology terms, that ‘low-
 life’ of universal import may be utterly oblivious to the practicalities of B, C, D, E and F so
 engrossed in a world of ‘high-life’ of temporality/extrication as the ‘fullness of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over the appreciation of the
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, be it that the latter disposition as
 philosophically intemporal is what creates-and-enables the being in
 civilisation/institutionalised-being-and-craft in the first place, as the metaphorically ‘high-
 life’ of temporality/extrication cannot count on an overall principle of temporality/extrication
 for its existential sustainability (as B, C, D, E and F needs that the Donor grants the rewards
 by not factoring in the deceit, thus their existential principle doesn’t sustain the
 ‘civilisation/institutionalised-being-and-craft setup’ in which they are living in, hence
 qualified as extricatory/temporal/parasitising/co-opting as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-
 operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’) but unavowedly and
 paradoxically rather on the parasitising/co-opting of the

intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm enabling the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process; and besides, it is because the intrinsic-reality/ontological-
 veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as prospective ontologising (as undertaken
 by Z) can supersede denaturing postlogical-backtracking towards ‘social-aggregation-
 enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’
 (referenced by B, C, D, E and F) that the further possibility (as transcendence) for prospective
 civilisation/institutionalised-being-and-craft setup as new conventioning arises. Hence the
 notion of ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
 unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the
 ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-
 thought’) exposes contextually the relative temporality-to-intemporality (shortness-to-
 longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of human mental-dispositions
 implying an intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence between of temporal-
 dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought involved in
 postlogism and conjugated-postlogism as it discloses the temporal-dispositions individuations
 mental-dispositions displayed by B, C, D, E and F (as ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-
 unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-
 construal (which is rather ‘a prior dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-
 hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-
 thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-

reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ in their relationship with additionality as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) in contrast to the intemporal-disposition individuation mental-disposition displayed by Z (as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) in its relationship with additionality (as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) by way of Z’s ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-(unwinding-as-unfolding/dépliage-as-détendre of elucidation-of B, C, D, E and F ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality or B, C, D, E and F). In order

words, this situation highlights the universal issue across all registry-worldviews/dimensions underlying the notion of temporality/shortness and intemporality. Wherein reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation for the intemporal mental-disposition individuation are meant to uphold intemporality/longness incontrovertibly and where such is blurred or undermined given relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought going by human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) requiring a further accruing as deeper human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as ‘an existential-contextualising-contiguity’-s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ that ‘retraces’ the existential-reality for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation with the implications thereof ushering in the successive institutionalisations as the need for new ‘contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as of-existential-reality’ when the idea of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought arises (as

uninstitutionalised-threshold); i.e., from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism and prospectively to deprocrypticism. While for the temporal mental-disposition individuations the form-and-perception or derived-form-and-perception of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether upholding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality or not (and so whether unconsciously, expediently or consciously) is a sufficient basis so long as it is socially-functional-and-accordant such that the possibility of blurring or undermining existential-reality by ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ is just as valid, hence a failure to abstractly recognise intemporality/longness as of-existential-reality with the implication thereof as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s vices-and-impediments implied by its implied relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought. Hence the reason why the vices-and-impediments inherent of a given registry-worldview/dimension cannot be structurally/paradigmatically/ontologically resolved within it as there is need for prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought structured to inherently supersede such

vices-and-impediments, whether as base-institutionalisation in superseding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation superseding base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism superseding universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and deprocrypticism superseding positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The central idea here being that the most critically important notion in the situation of A, B, C, D, E, F and Z, is Z’s upholding of prospective transcendental-enabling/sublimating over any temporal extricatory paradigm, however, the enculturation and mass thinking behind temporal extricatory paradigm. (* Noting that individuation as defined elsewhere speaks of temporal-to-intemporal trait characteristic, as anywhere between shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that can accrue at least incidentally/on-occasion in all individuals-as-receptacles-of-individuations but more recurrently as teleologically defining in a-life-phase-or-life-phases-of-given-individuals, thus critically enabling a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect intradimensional and transcendental/transdimensional/interdimension/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness analysis as metaphysics-of-absence/postdication). Finally, thus it is critical to note that the existential contextualisation above as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) is a priori and supersedes the mere notion of additionality as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity since mere additionality is bound to wrongly represent the

additions of B, C, D, E and F as correct (as it is a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-
 construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-
 existential-reference in <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/illusion-of-the-present-present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-
 presence) thus overlooking their ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-
 unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-
 construal (which is rather ‘a prior dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-
 hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-
 thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
 unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’. Such ‘a relative teleological-
 differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of-thought’ of Z’s intemporal-
 disposition reference-of-thought as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
 over B, C, D, E and F temporal-dispositions references-of-thought as subtransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, can be demonstrated in the archetype characters of say
 a Socrates or Rousseau (even though no human individual as receptacle of individuations can
 be qualified as purely of intemporal-disposition or purely of temporal-dispositions). Wherein
 within their respective registry-worldviews/dimensions setups, their maximalising-as-
 transcendental recomposuring mental-dispositions in projection for prospective
 institutionalised-being-and-craft, i.e. ontologising of future conventioning, as
 supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as the grander intellectual-and-
 moral effort that can be made within their registry-worldviews/dimensions) is rather poorly
 construed to the ordinariness/averageness of thought within their respective registry-

worldviews/dimensions setups (which mental-dispositions and conventioning – as ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ – will rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn’t rather advance a temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural philosopher while prioritising as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought in his asceticism the prospective intemporal over the temporal status quo, and likewise with a Rousseau who isn’t advancing a temporal interest that his aristocratic stature should warrant like actively pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism. This is certainly because emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically temporal-dispositions do not appreciate that there is a more ‘profound level of living in the realm of human thoughtfulness’ based on eudaemonic-contemplation of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness that then ‘invents/creates’ the structural/paradigmatic possibility for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft as there isn’t any inherent intemporality/longness needed for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness out of the ordinariness/averageness of any institutionalised-being-and-craft setup. Hence such intemporality/longness as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-

ontological-completeness need its <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of given that the-succession-of-registry-worldviews-or-dimensions-institutionalisations/the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is ‘not a human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness transformation exercise as of temporal-dispositions/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather is solely a secondnaturing to supersede the uninstitutionalised-threshold divulged by relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought’. The implication is that acting as-of-a-secondnatured nature is not enough for articulating prospective institutionalisation requiring ‘intemporal projection <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ for the requisite prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and such conceptualisations from only a secondnaturedness of thought as rather contextually temporal is not intemporal as of-universal-and-abstractive nature but is in ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence. Thus a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation secondnaturedness is challenged by its very own level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought marking its uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation with base-institutionalisation, non-positivism-or-medievalism with universalisation and procrypticism with positivism, in need for a renewed institutionalisation respectively as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively

deprocrypticism. This equally explain why the notion of human transcendental progress is relatively ‘outlier driven’ as it requires an intemporal-solipsism/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of thought more than just institutionalised secondnaturing such that it has often been the erudition periphery of institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures that had tended to fundamentally put into question their present with new paradigm shifts. It is ontologically-speaking impossible to comprehensively undermine a dimension’s/registry worldview’s postlogism without undermining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought itself as implied by its state of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, for instance psychopathy in positivism—procrypticism or notions of sorcery in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism (wherein from the prospective point-of-reference respectively as deprocrypticism or positivism, it is in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology), given that this fundamental relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought of the given registry-worldview/dimension as reflected from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, by its ‘contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ means it is structurally bound to enculturate/endemise its given postlogism. Obviously we can appreciate that without a positivistic outlook/reference-of-thought there is no chance that a non-

positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension will do away with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, as the latter is bound to arise as of human apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing in non-positivism/medievalism where the mindset/reference-of-thought is not rationally-empirical/positivising. Likewise the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought wherein the perversion-of-reference-of-thought from a psychopathic character is contextually likely to be engaged with (as ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction re-engaging reflex’) and even exploited (whether unconsciously, expediently or consciously), implies a comprehensive structural/paradigmatic undermining of the phenomena of psychopathy and social psychopathy is impossible without putting in question and undermining our uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrypticism for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism which is effectively the structural/paradigmatic resolution of psychopathy and social psychopathy (besides palliative conceptualisations that can hardly make a dent on the comprehensively defined structural/paradigmatic phenomenon in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the larger aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) just as positivism is the structural/paradigmatic ontological resolution of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, and ad hoc tempering with medieval postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) as instances of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery doesn’t grasp the underlying and comprehensive medieval social-construct structural/paradigmatic endemisation/enculturation of such a phenomenon. Further, registry-worldviews/dimensions being prospectively <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> with their

‘intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ or ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ determined by their sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers, there is a need to circumvent and break these sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers by prospective ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ to allow for new defining transcendental meaningfulness and its corresponding grander teleological-differentiation/teleology that can then perceive the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought and accessorially its enculturating/endemising of its postlogism, and superseding both of these in the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. For instance, the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating of a medicine based on natural causes and drugs as natural cures carried the effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that undermined non-positivism/medievalism sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers to do away with such notions as curses, sorcerers, etc. being the cause of disease, and undermine the whole teleologically-degraded dispositions based on such sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers. Likewise only by articulating comprehensive and effective aetiologisation/ontological-escalation resolutions to the defect of procrypticism and its postlogism first with respect to formal constructions that the derived effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework can feed back as percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> to dimensionally (registry-worldview) to undermine the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought of

our procrysticism and accessorially its enculturating/endemising of psychopathy and social psychopathy. Thus suprastructurally (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) and as of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective, ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ implies a transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as ‘a relative teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of-thought’ by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of prospective reference-of-thought as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as of higher ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought reflected in operant individuation terms as ‘coherence in depth of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology construal of reference-of-thought’) over the dialectical-dementing and dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phasing of the prior reference-of-thought as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as of lesser ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought reflected in operant individuation terms as ‘disjointed-misappropriation/arrogation and derived-disjointed-misappropriation/arrogation of meaningfulness-and-teleological-differentiation in shallowness as incremental/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology construal of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and derived-perversions-of-reference-of-thought’; construed as of defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-

measurements for the aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose—
 of-obtained-measurements and derived-implications of the defective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
 measurements for the aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose—
 of-obtained-measurements (perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-derived-perversions-of-
 reference-of-thought ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated
 meaningfulness-and-teleological-differentiation in arrogation). This relative teleological-
 differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation of references-of-thought in terms-as-of-
 axiomatic-construct of ‘the prospective supratransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought’ (as maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness by way of prospective intrinsic-reality/ontological-
 veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as it supersedes the prior reference-of-
 thought ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ or ‘socially-
 betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-
 preservation’ determined by its sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers) and
 ‘the prior subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought’ (as
 denaturing postlogical-backtracking dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-
 hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing towards the reference-of-thought sanctified-
 conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers in undermining prospective intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating); is comprehensively
 rearticulated all across the ‘reference-of-thought existentialism construct’ i.e., from the
 registry-worldview (meaning by its specific teleological
 differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation construct), the contending-reference
 (meaning teleological construct), the ontological-reference (being/existential construct of
 meaning), meaningful-reference (meaning contextualisation construct), the reference-of-

thought (operant construal of meaning), and right down to the apriorising—registry (basic defining construct of meaning, in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of logical-dueness/profile/presumption/assumptions/value-reference/teleology). This suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight from an ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought point-of-departure-of-construal underlines ontologically that, Deprocripticism (by its ‘non-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology i.e., deprocripticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) is abject-ontologising-recomposuring by subsuming-as-supplanting-(as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) Positivism—procripticism which (by its ‘positivising/rational-empiricism’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology i.e., positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument)) is maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness by subsuming-as-supplanting-(as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) Universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism which (by its ‘universalising’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology i.e. universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument)) is maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness by subsuming-as-

universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism
 successively recomposure more and more profound existentialism a priori contextualising-
 contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality-of-rules successively as from non-rules-as-impulsive-
 or-accidental-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘basic constitutedness of reference-of-
 thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument),
 rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument),
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level presencing—
 absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument),
 positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-
 (as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), and
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with such notion of
 rules speaking in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of both the developing capacity of human
 placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
 teleology in its construing/conceptualising of elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity as defining the given registry-worldview/dimension-level specific
 ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
 psychology-of-dynamics’, as well as developing institutionalisation capacity as
 meaningfulness-and-teleology differentiations; and so as human <formative>epistemic-

totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-
 ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
 psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology)
 by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. As explained,
 the reason for the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures underlying
 the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has to do with human
 limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)
 inducing successive recomposuring from shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-
 limited-mentation-capacity construed as reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy towards ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-
 preservation. Hence notionally speaking if humans had completed-mentation-capacity there
 will only be deprocrypticism institutionalisation and not the subsuming-succession of
 recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation,
 universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, with all mutually
 implied as subsumed-as-supplanted in deprocrypticism as of achieved ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought; subsumed-as-supplanted successively as of non-rules-
 as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘basic constitutedness of
 reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), rulemaking-
 over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of
 reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument),
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level presencing—
 absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument),

‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’-⟨as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument⟩, and ultimately with deprocrypticism, ‘deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-⟨as ‘conflatedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument⟩. This existential-becoming-transitioning to deprocrypticism as well as the overall existential-becoming-transitioning nature of existence/existential-reality is the validation of the notion of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. That is existence is existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, such that it inherently implies the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process which can be construed as deprocrypticism-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/deprocrypticism-in-reverberation or ontological-normalcy-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/ontological-normalcy-in-reverberation or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. By extension such projective-insights from a ‘notional human completed-mentation-capacity’ perspective about deprocrypticism conceptually implies that procrypticism is the actually implied epistemic-abnormalcy reflection ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-in-arrogation, along successive limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ implied uninstitutionalised-thresholds: as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing recurrently rulemaking-over-non-rules-⟨as ‘base-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), and

up to when uninstitutionalised-threshold is structurally/paradigmatically superseded by ‘notional-deprocrypticism’ construed as deprocrypticism-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/deprocrypticism-as-of-its-reverberation as ‘notional-deprocrypticism’ accounts for both deprocrypticism and procrypticism since it is a potency-construal and not a given reference-of-thought construal (contrasted with ‘conceptual deprocrypticism’ as a given reference-of-thought construal); just as ‘knowledge notionalisation’ implies a potency-construal of both knowledge and the ignorances wherein the enlightening referencing of knowledge extends to a grasp of the nature and possibilities of the ignorances as well, in contrast to human ‘knowledge conceptualisation’ as of knowledge as of its enlightening or intemporal referencing only. Thus just as deprocrypticism subsuming perspective (of

institutionalisation-upholding) construed as notional-deprocrypticism, on the basis of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness institutionalisation, will construe the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as of ‘the successive structural/paradigmatic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology towards deprocrypticism-as-the-real-notion as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’; likewise a procrypticism subsuming perspective (as failing-to-uphold-institutionalisation/upholding-uninstitutionalised-threshold) construed as notional-procrypticism, will construe the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds as of ‘the successive structural/paradigmatic apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology towards procrypticism-as-the-real-notion as of epistemic-abnormalcy-or-failing-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’. It is this underlying ontological-normalcy/postconvergence notion as from the (metaphysics-of-absence/postdication/projective-insight) perspective of a ‘notional human completed-mentation-capacity’ implications as notional-deprocrypticism/notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought when construed rather in ‘successive increasingly-profound-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology construals with respect to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as of the institutional-cumulations/institutional-

recomposures’ involving human increasingly limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 {formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal}: as from
 non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accident-ed-or-random-mental-disposition (base-constitutedness of
 reference-of-thought), rulemaking-over-non-rule (first-level presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness reference-of-thought), universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules (second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness reference-of-
 thought), positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules (third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness reference-of-
 thought), and prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought; that underlies the construal/conceptualisation of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
 unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality (as of its
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring divulged by the various rules inflections
 highlighted above starting with non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accident-ed-or-random-mental-
 disposition-(as ‘base-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) and
 developing with limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-{formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-
 to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal}, construed as of ‘increasingly-profound-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 with respect to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality). The above articulation points out that our conceptions
 of rules as of their psychical and institutional implications is more of ‘our
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devising’ (reflected in our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology) as of the given level of our limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ with respect to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as of the superseding-oneness-of-ontology. Thus for construing/conceptualising the relative epistemic-veracity of a supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought over a subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought with respect to the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process manifestation of postlogism (wherein suprastructurally/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, the same maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness rules that enable prospective/transcending/superseding institutionalisation but within the institutionalisation prospective limits turns out to be ‘the dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ beyond these limits construed as uninstitutionalised-thresholds in want for prospective institutionalisation):

– the postlogism associated with ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ warrants ‘prospective base-institutionalisation reference-of-thought as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation’, and so by the ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules of prospective base-institutionalisation’s—existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-
 digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
 unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ thus preempting ‘the non-rules-as-
 impulsive-or-accident-ed-or-random-mental-disposition (as base-constitutedness reference-of-
 thought) of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s—existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context now of dementing—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ as-
 the-latter-fails-to-reflect existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality at its corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold state
 of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’;

– the postlogism associated with ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation reference-of-
 thought as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ warrants ‘prospective
 universalisation reference-of-thought as supratransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing teleological-
 differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation’, and so by the ‘universalisation-directed-
 rulemaking-over-non-rules of prospective universalisation’s—existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-
 prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
 epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ thus preempting ‘the
 rulemaking-over-non-rules (as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness of reference-of-thought’) of base-institutionalisation’s—existential-

contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context now of dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing', as-the-latter-fails-to-reflect existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality at its corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold state of ununiversalisation';

– the postlogism (including notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, alchemic-thinking, etc.) associated with 'universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing' warrants 'prospective positivism reference-of-thought as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation', and so by the 'positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of prospective positivism's—existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality' thus preempting 'the universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules (as 'second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought') of universalisation's—existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context now of dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing, as-the-latter-fails-to-reflect existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-

ontologically-same-existential-reality at its corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold state of non-positivism/medievalism’;

– the postlogism (including psychopathy and social psychopathy, etc.) associated with ‘positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ warrants ‘prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-thought as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing teleological-differentiation/scission/variance/disambiguation’, and so by the ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of prospective deprocrypticism’s—existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ thus preempting ‘the positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules (as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’) of positivism’s—existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context now of dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing , as-the-latter-fails-to-reflect existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality at its corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold state of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’.

The prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought for relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought are explained by the fact that:

- ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought’ (base-constitutedness of reference-of-thought), by its recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s—existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, is epistemically failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ‘the rulemaking-over-non-rules of prospective base-institutionalisation’s—existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’, while upholding ‘its now dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’s non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition circularly-inducing its uninstitutionalised-threshold state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation’,
- ‘base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation reference-of-thought’ (first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought) is epistemically failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ‘the universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of prospective universalisation’s—existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-

epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality', while upholding 'its now dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing base-institutionalisation's rulemaking-over-non-rules inducing its uninstitutionalised-threshold state of ununiversalisation',

— 'universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought' (second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought) is epistemically failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing 'the positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of prospective positivism's—existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality', while upholding 'its now dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing universalisation's universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules inducing its uninstitutionalised-threshold state of non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively

— our 'positivism-procrypticism reference-of-thought' (third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought) is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing 'the preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of prospective deprocrypticism's—existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as to existence-potency-prospective-

digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’, while upholding ‘its now dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing positivism positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules inducing its corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold state of procrypticism’; and it is the latter prospective institutionalisation (deprocrypticism) that conceptually achieves ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/conflatedness thus superseding the possibility of prospective postlogism, as it registers and implies by its reference-of-thought a supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that fully reflects the ontological-veracity of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor.

Postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) is ‘the abnormal application of logic for virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ or hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation is very much different from ‘the normal application of logic for being-construal-or-intrinsic-reality-construal as-abstract-construal-as-of-veridical-existential-reference’ known as prelogism (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) as conviction whether good or bad conviction which is at the least ‘of sound logical-dueness of reference-of-thought’, whereas postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-

preservation being 'of non-conviction' do not operate on the same logical-dueness of
 registry//anchoring-of-meaning/meaningful-reference/ontological-reference/contending-
 reference/registry-worldview mental-devising-representation basis of prelogism-as-of-
 conviction as 'of sound reference-of-thought' which is reflected as mental straightness and
 candored. Rather postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation being about 'vague-rhyming-or-copied-
 mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-
 subknowledging', harkens back to a registry/mental-devising-representation that is
 reflected/perspectivated as dementing (oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-
 contendingly-out-of-phase). Thus postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (psychopathic-and-
 the-temporal-dispositions-conjugation-to-it-as-conjugated-postlogism) implies fundamentally
 non-veridical implied reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation
 and thus the apriorising—registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-
 stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are undue for
 logical contention but rather ontologically reflected/perspectivated in perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought. In existential terms, postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (psychopathic-and-
 the-temporal-dispositions-conjugation-to-it-as-conjugated-postlogism) speaks of a disposition
 to engage in postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-
 narratives-and-acts', involving absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, counting on the fact
 that others will sooner or later be in prelogism-as-of-conviction/thinking relation with the
 formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-
 and-performance-caricaturing-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism/impulsively-dementing, hence wrongly elevating its perversion-of-reference-of-thought into logical-contention rather than dealing with registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>.

Postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (psychopathic-and-the-temporal-dispositions-conjugation-to-it-as-conjugated-postlogism) thus inherently implies and is about articulations of perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect in the very first instance to the validity of implied reference-of-thought rather than valid articulations of logical contention as the latter is with respect to ontological-veridicality of logical-processing-or-logical-implication only after the former (reference-of-thought) has been established as veridical/true. Postlogism/outcome-sought-precedes-logical-dueness is not about a defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or a defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance but rather speaks of false projection of 'apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)' of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology implying registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> as first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge (inducing circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of a subsequent implication of a second-order level wrongly implied deception of logical-processing-or-logical-implication of infinite deception possibilities with respect to the infinite possibilities of 'perfect logical-processing-or-logical-implication' on the false basis of the perversion-and-derived-

perversion-of-reference-of-thought). Such perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-devising-representation-perversion has various shades of ‘temporal/shortness to intemporal/longness depth/register of meaningfulness stranded finalities/teleologies’. This can be demonstrated as follows with psychopathy at childhood (which at this point is relatively transparent to the critical observer). Let’s say John is a psychopath, he wants to get his brother Peter punished for annoying him. John knows that dad will punish anyone who spills water on the chair. John, in a ‘dereifying act’, then spills water on a chair and goes and tell dad Peter has spilled water on the chair, and waits for Peter to get punished (and, this way of acting and thinking is not limited only to a benign notion like spilling water as it could be setting fire, destroying an equipment, etc.). This is different even from bad conviction or prelogism in that a child who has a bad conviction or prelogism is ad hoc and circumspect by taking advantage or reacting to a situation that has developed to accuse another as of temporal-existential constraint. They don’t initiate such a situation ‘as a rational way of thinking’ and even less to the gravity that the psychopath does.

One other major flaw in the perception of the psychopath is that they are liars (a pathological liar, it is said). This again is a flawed notion. To lie is to be in prelogism-as-of-conviction (bad or poor conviction), whether by omitting or exaggerating in a circumspect and ad hoc manner but relative to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Lying as such is ‘an ad-hoc defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance that doesn’t speak of the true postlogism/psychopathic phenomenon which has to do with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-

contention with regards to registry/anchoring-of-meaning/meaningful-reference/ontological-
 reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview as the psychopath perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought speaks of ‘a circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as enabled by
 social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ implying a
 ‘being or ontological or meaningfulness or existential defect’ which is poorly construed as
 ‘pathological lying at the level of logical-processing-or-logical-implication of
 conviction/prelogic mental-reflex engagement’ rather than being construed as a mental and
 teleological disposition defect at the level of the reference-of-thought as of perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought construed as mental-unsoundness). In fact, besides ‘lying’ such poor
 characterisation of the psychopath extends to other notions like ‘bullying’, ‘manipulating’,
 ‘fooling’, etc. which are all in prelogism-as-of-conviction/thinking notions though ‘bad or
 poor convictions’ (bad or poor conviction/prelogism construed as wrong logical-processing-
 or-logical-implication or wrong operation of prelogism-as-of-conviction but nonetheless
 prelogism-as-of-conviction). Fundamentally, psychopathic slanting is particular in that it
 departs from a relation to the ‘empty-form-of-meaning-as-inherently-deterministic outside the
 framework of a veridical existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
 prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
 instantiative-context’ contrasted with bad/poor conviction which departs with a relation to
 ‘omitting or exaggerating within the framework of a veridical existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’. But while poor-or-bad prelogism
 may be what is perceived from a ‘normal’ social and conviction point of view, particularly
 with adult psychopathy; these are all wrong and actually will make an analysis of the
 psychopath and psychopathy ontologically-flawed. The psychopath is in a state of non-
 conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-hollow-staging-and-

performance-caricaturing—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-‘impulsive-dementation’ (not recognising/giving-up-on the sound operation/processing of logic as the basis for deriving essence of meaning but rather perceiving meaning as just a hollow mimicking form that determines how others will act, more like a projection of form, i.e. non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ being a state of ‘conscious, unprincipled and instrumentalised non-conviction in veridical unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as the psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework value-reference reflected by its perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ in contrast to conviction as a state of ‘conscious, principled and uninstrumentalised conviction in veridical soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought as the conviction mindset/reference-of-thought ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework value-reference’. This is the fundamental fact that explains the evasiveness in grasping the psychopath in its motive and orientation as the psychopath’s actions can be as simple as a basic formulaic (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated-or-postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented) understanding of the effects on interlocutors of endearing, pleasing, laughter, etc. in inducing distraction, empathy, suspension-of-profound-reasoning or reference-of-thought teleological-degradation in relation to its mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation in undermining an prelogism-as-of-conviction perspective which reference-of-thought is veridical. All the bad or poor conviction terms above, i.e. lying, bullying, manipulating, fooling, etc., wrongly point to the fact that the psychopath is having a

‘deliberative prelogism-as-of-conviction mental process’ with respect to its end purpose, and thus wrongly implying it is in ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction’ with the wrong idea that its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought-elements/registry-elements/anchoring-of-meaning-elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are existentially veridical. The psychopath is operating on the basis of ‘a last mimicking denaturing postlogism—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-succeeding-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci-as-deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’, and so to satisfy ‘a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’; and so, one narrative iteration at a time. Now the faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge implying ‘a convictional deliberativeness’ is coming from its interlocutor’s ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction mind’ itself which prelogically/in-conviction (as the prelogism, which is wrongly induced in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, conjoins all the denaturing postlogism—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-succeeding-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci-as-deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, to wrongly imply a depth-of-conviction whether as of bad or good conviction/prelogism) in reality is wrongly assuming a depth-of-postlogism-slantedness/insane integration. The psychopath being postlogical—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness or pathologically/impulsively hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-

meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation is not lying (or manipulating or bullying), in fact the psychopath will prefer that normal conviction minds think it is lying (or any notion of a bad-or-poor conviction rather than the idea of non-conviction), as at least they will then wrongly realign prelogically/(existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) again to it with respect to its subsequent narratives to examine the pertinence of its logic/logical-processing i.e. engaging logical operating/processing and wrongly granting it conviction (be it even bad-or-poor conviction as this will then wrongly imply its wrong or poor performance of logical-processing-or-logical-implication, rather than its hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging/slanting of empty narratives that are flawed or non-existent as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction) thus wrongly involved in prelogism hence wrongly validating as real its ‘fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ which is its ‘apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements, that in reality are out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (instead of examining in the very first place their relevance/pertinence or its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought); in so doing, analysing its meaning as essence instead of analysing it as non-veridical hollow mimicking form or vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated or non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives. What the psychopath is doing is 'SLANTING' or impulsive-dementing. That is to arrive at a sought-outcome by

subknowledging-or-mimicking the non-veridical hollow-form of the meaning of other persons conviction narratives which it perceives as ‘being blatantly deterministic’ of the views and actions of the ‘normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind’, i.e. the psychopath is ‘narrating veridical emptiness/hollow narratives’. The idea being about arriving at a sought-outcome by taking a posture that does not attach a depth of conviction on narratives but rather simply ‘the mere possibility of the hollow narratives being articulated, and then integrated by interlocutors as real’. Thus the psychopathic postlogical mindset and by derivation conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration mindset is one of relating to meaning and meaningfulness as valid by ‘the mere performative-form representation of meaning and meaningfulness’ rather than veracity/ontological-pertinence of meaningfulness. The psyche is thus fundamentally one geared towards how to perform in interlocution rather than express a genuine sense of conviction and hence the disposition for extrinsic-attribution by active social-aggregation-enabling. Meaning and meaningfulness is seen not as an end-construct that is of passive social determinism by its inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence as of intrinsic-attribution associated with transcendental-enabling/sublimating, but rather as a potent and active construct of social determinism which requires actually eliciting a sought after outcome and not a notion of intrinsic existential/ontological inherence. This mental-disposition is qualified as epistemic-decadence or postlogism and its derivation/adoption by temporal-dispositions is derived-epistemic-decadence in conjugated-postlogism. More precisely, it is critical to distinguish between the notion of slanting (*cinglé* in French) as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction and the notion of a lie which is prelogism-as-of-conviction (be it a bad conviction) as with a lie the implied-logical-dueness (with the corresponding implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry elements) are existentially veridical with the ‘lying deception’ being of ad-hoc exaggeration or omission or inappropriate accounting of circumstantiality and/or factuality but as of ‘effectively due’ logical-processing-or-logical-

implicitation. The narratives-and-acts-foci of the set-of-narratives of a ‘lying deception’ do not successively shift (as with slanting) but carry an overall coherence implying deception-but-as-of-successively-cohering-narratives. This is because a lie is more of deception arising out of ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) ad-hocly articulated as deception-but-as-of-successively-cohering-narratives to resolve the ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s), and lying doesn’t fundamentally imply where such ad-hoc contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) is non-existent the interlocutor will still not be predisposed to a veridical and appropriate logical-engagement/interlocution/implicitation. This equally explains why a lie collapses as a whole (or whole pieces of the lie) since such a collapse arises out of the truth/ontological-veridicality resolution of the contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) behind the coherent structure(s) of the lying deception. Slanting on the other hand speaks of a fundamental pathological faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge associated with postlogism-as-of-non-conviction with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction (and by extension ‘derived-slanting’ induced as conjugated-postlogism-opportunism and conjugated-postlogism-exacerbation arises out of purposeful enculturation/endemisation of the slanting habit where it is viewed by some interlocutors of the psychopath as socially-functional-and-accordant, since its manifestation is not universally transparent as ontologically decadent); due to the slanted child psychopathy mind’s developmental incompleteness (as it is so focussed on attaining its sought after outcome in advance that it construes of ‘presupposing/presuming/premising in concurrence’ as an independent mental activity that must not necessarily be derived-and-implied from existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, whereas the latter is exactly what validates logical-processing-or-logical-implicitation as a process reflecting existential-reality as of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-

arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), with respect to construing meaning and meaningfulness as prelogism-as-of-conviction, but instead construes meaning and meaningfulness as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction explaining the circular nature and its particularly overblown extrinsic-attribution mental-disposition to elicit social-aggregation-enabling over relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating with regards to inherent reality and meaningfulness. The peculiarity of slanting is that it is deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts wherein the initiation of a hollow falsehood narrative is followed by the projection of another hollow falsehood narrative on the basis of the former as if the former was true, and the projection of another falsehood narrative on the basis of the previous one as if the previous one was true, and so on. Thus slanting doesn't have a 'coherent whole of narratives' with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as is the case when someone tells a lie, and actually where such a 'coherent whole of narratives' with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is wrongly implied about slanting, it has to do with prelogism-as-of-conviction mind/mental-disposition 'wrongly conjoining the succession of slanting narratives from the last iterated slanted narrative' to wrongly imply that the slanting psychopath narratives are a 'coherent whole of narratives as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context', and this is the mechanism that induces conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration by some interlocutors of the adult psychopath, whether conscious or unconsciously. It is interesting to note that at childhood psychopathy where the mental-disposition is relatively universally-transparent what is perceived and

related to by conviction interlocutors is not a ‘coherent whole of narratives’ but a deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect/mental-unsoundness-effect arising out of its contemplation (as if it were true), pointing out that the reality of mental-states in wrong prelogism-as-of-conviction alignment to psychopathic slanting is actually a mental-unsoundness not different as contemplating aligning in conviction to the childhood psychopathy slanting as with the dereifying example of spilling water on a chair and accusing another. A salient comparison that strongly highlights the difference between slanting and lying, is that a lying child doesn’t come across as delirious since its lying deception is a coherent whole as of contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) while a slanting deception is as of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge due to psychopathic developmental failure to relate to meaning and meaningfulness as of prelogism-as-of-conviction with the personality development out of that developmental failure bringing about the adult psychopath slanting mental-disposition with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; and as the adult psychopath developed maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, induces interlocutors conviction/prelogical alignment to its non-conviction/postlogical narratives whereas at childhood psychopathy interlocutors will not align in-conviction/prelogically (in order not to wrongly conjoin the psychopathic postlogical slanting narratives as deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts as if of coherent whole as conviction/prelogical narratives, and this is what actually occurs by inducing conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration in interlocutors at adulthood psychopathy) given the obvious and transparent deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect associated with slanting over a slant over a slant, successively. Hence, this slanting deception (deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts) is also qualified as deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-

by-concurrently-false-assumptive-dementing. Thus, with slanting the implied-logical-dueness (with the corresponding implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry elements) are existentially unreal/non-veridical/flawed explaining the meaningful emptiness/hollowness of slanting (as not even an exaggeration or omission or inappropriate accounting of circumstantiality and/or factuality as of ‘effectively due’ logical-processing-or-logical-implication), thus explaining why ‘slanting and derived-slanting’ is construed as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing as opposed to lying deception construed in a shade of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought. Insightfully, it points out as well that the basis of the postlogism/psychopathic induced deception is not the psychopath itself (as it is commonly asserted about psychopathic manipulation), but rather it lies in the very nature of the reasoning of the prelogism-as-of-conviction interlocutor mental engagement reflex who ‘aligns in-conviction’ as it will ‘normally do’ with other conviction/prelogical minds to a postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mind, and then wrongly validates that the postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mind is in prelogism-as-of-conviction. In other words, the operation of the psychopathic mind as of its incomplete mentation development (as inclined to induce a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception) as it fails to construe meaning and meaningfulness as based on prelogism-as-of-conviction but rather as based on postlogism-as-of-non-conviction with its personality development into adulthood on this basis, paradoxically leads to the prelogism-as-of-conviction mind’s deception since the latter operates on the basis that everyone must be conviction (be it bad-conviction at worst) and the notion of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction doesn’t register naturally except where the personality development of the childhood psychopathy into an adult psychopath is experienced closely, and the adulthood psychopath mentation processes structure can be retraced to the delirious mentation processes structure at childhood psychopathy when it is universally transparent as

maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness continually developed during its personality development into adulthood psychopathy now enables it becoming socially-functional-and-accordant. This induced deception does not however occur at childhood psychopathy since it is very much transparent as a deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect as the childhood psychopathy has hardly achieved maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness of its slanting-deception mental-disposition. What underlies the slanting of the psychopath is its rather unnuanced understanding and gauging of social situations and social cues as out of existential-contextualising-contiguity by its dereification on a mental-processing disposition that is rather a ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’, and so in contrast with the expected ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-processing’ of convictional dispositions in existential-contextualising-contiguity, however bad-or-poor their ontological-performance of convictional mental-processing. This underlies the apparent vividness of interlocution with the psychopath especially with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction due to a ‘conviction by non-conviction cross-perception effect’ wherein the convictional interlocutor by its mental-reflex is wrongly inclined to perceive and so specifically with adult psychopathy a ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-processing’ in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification with regards to the psychopath ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’ out of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification, while the psychopath view of the convictional interlocutor’s supposedly ‘reifying nuanced/multivalent mental-processing’ in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification is rather as of its ‘dereifying bivalent-disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’ inclination out of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification. While at childhood psychopathy such a ‘dereifying bivalent-

disposition-to-acute-caricatural-prepotence-or-acute-lulling-diffidence’ out of existential-contextualising-contiguity—in-reification/dereification is socially inefficacious and trouble-inducing giving the deliriousness effect from universal-transparency of its acts, at adulthood psychopathy the lack of such universal-transparency of the postlogism-slantedness rather makes the latter ‘sound impassioned/stirring/vivid/spirited’ to the unsuspecting interlocutor who by mental-reflex wrongly assumes as ontologically-veridical the falsely implied existential-contextualising-contiguity, giving the psychopath life-long learnedness and adaptation from its childhood inefficacy as of its increasing maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness with adulthood, and this latter ‘apparently impassioned/stirring/vivid/spirited but rather falsely implied existential-contextualising-contiguity’ disposition tends to be socially enculturated/endemised as of conjugated-postlogism. But then, more than just the deception this state of affairs has a further nefarious effect on the natural human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, as the induced ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency with respect to intrinsic meaningfulness further elicits conviction minds temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, which can actually be more decisive grounds for the perpetuation of psychopathy as social-psychopathy, as the fact is the psychopath is very much pathological and tends to act impulsively in its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception as of circumstantiality.

[This is more profoundly exposed in the conceptualisation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as it induces ‘socially-functional-and-accordant reference-of-thought as of human-subpotency—

aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor; that can be
 elucidated by an existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-
 context analysis of 'least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-
 thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-
 uninstitutionalised-threshold'-and-not-'maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-
 reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation'. Central to such an insight, is
 the understanding of what the reality of human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor means about human
 mental-disposition. The implication is that we 'consistently' have two sets of mental-
 dispositions having to do with the uninstitutionalised-threshold of all registry-
 worldviews/dimensions; as of metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence
 representations. First, 'human registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation mental-
 disposition' within the scope of a registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (as-not-failing/upholding
 intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of
 relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-
 absence/postdication). Then, 'human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-
 disposition' construed either 'as out of the scope of the registry-worldview's/dimension's
 institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-

for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation’ or ‘the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought’ (as-failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation ‘by projected <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought’, as of an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) as so reflected from the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. With the attainment of registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation by social universal-transparency we can very much uphold a secondnatured quasi-intemporal-disposition reference-of-thought as ‘huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ which is why humankind pursues institutionalisations as devising human collective emancipation from base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively to deprocrypticism in resolving the vices-and-impediments of their respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism. But exactly for the purpose of ensuring the perpetuation of this huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation capacity (as in enabling futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism) as the very essence of human virtue itself, it is equally important to understand how institutionalisation comes to be limited at successive registry-worldviews/dimensions

institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures (as of human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor) to grasp how we can
 then supersede/transcend prospectively. ‘Human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold
 mental-disposition’ refers to our fixation to the mere-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology of the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought but
 failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing prospective intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of
 relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-
 absence/postdication as construed from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension
 institutionalisation reference-of-thought, and as revealed by this prospective
 institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’s-elucidation-of-
 prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
 instantiative-context. Fully understanding psychopathy which is the postlogism-as-of-non-
 conviction of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension
 institutionalisation–uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought is inevitably tied to
 understanding our procrypticism as our ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold
 mental-disposition’ from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-
 to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview institutionalisation reference-of-thought,
 as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence wherein our procrypticism ‘human temporal
 uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ is decentered and dialectically-dementing as
 dialectically-out-of-phase, just as understanding the postlogism of the universalisation–non-
 positivism/medievalism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought like notions

of and accusations of sorcery, is inevitably tied to understanding non-positivism/medievalism as the ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ so-construed from prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ‘wherein the non-positivism/medieval mental-disposition is decentered and dialectically-dementing as dialectically-out-of-phase; and in both instances, construed as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (as-of-their-respective-prospective-registry-worldview/dimension existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; since the prospective institutionalisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification’s-elucidation-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context speaks of a deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) of a deeper and more correct grasp/apriorising-and-understanding of ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality). Effectively, ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ is what is reflected at uninstitutionalised-threshold as registry-worldviews/dimensions apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively (as applicable with the construal of psychopathy and social psychopathy postlogism) procrypticism; wherein the habitual intradimensional placeholder-setup/mentation/mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology ‘nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) scheduling or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-

flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument', at uninstitutionalised-threshold (reflecting uninstitutionalised-threshold), is now substituted (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought) by its 'decentering and dialectical-dementation of its reference-of-thought'; which we can effectively acquiesce to as of the uninstitutionalised-thresholds but will rather have a mental complex when this is implied prospectively to imply our uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrypticism, just as all registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto displayed a mental complex when their construal as uninstitutionalised-threshold is implied. Thus this implied human 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' as driven by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence will explain the specific natures of registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought (as 'underlying scheduling of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought') behind the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures peculiar psychologisms/psychologism-constructs of meaning and meaningfulness in explaining the empirical-realities of the various anthropological societies mindsets/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology; whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation psychologism, base-institutionalisation-universalisation psychologism, universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism psychologism, positivism-procrypticism psychologism, and prospectively deprocrypticism psychologism equally qualified as suprastructuralism. Hence, our present positivism mental-disposition is just one of human historical psychologisms/psychologism-constructs, and it is not absolute as to imply there aren't or

weren't other human psychologies/psychology-constructs, wherein in their own realisation, perception and thought they are 'not decentered' and 'not dialectically-dementing' as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing rather so construed from a higher psychology's articulation of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as ontologically-veridical. Thus, deprocrpticism as decentering and dementing the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought will certainly imply an altogether different psychology of meaning and meaningfulness-and-teleology as suprastructuralism. It should be noted that the implied meaning of psychology here has to fundamentally do with a psychology arising out of ontological development in the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality establishing a mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology with its psychology/psychology-construct, and so it is ontologically-driven. As further ontological development in the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality arises (as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)) a renewing of mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology with its corresponding psychology/psychology-construct occurs, with this ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process leading to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought psychologies/psychology-constructs, and implied prospectively as well with the deprocrpticism worldview/dimension reference-of-thought psychology/psychology-construct. Critically, a psychology/psychology-construct takes an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument form that construes meaning and meaningfulness from the prior (and even lower) registry-

worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought psychologism up to its own registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought psychologism as of its more profound existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in reflecting/perspectivating their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing. Hence this articulation of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought psychologisms up to the deprocrypticism, is an initiation into deprocrypticism psychologism as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its more profound existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in reflecting/perspectivating the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing of positivism–procrypticism and all the lower registry-worldviews/dimensions. Basically, this idea of 'human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition' as metaphysics-of-absence points out that ontological analysis should rather be from the prospectively implied 'huma registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation mental-disposition', and in this instance implying an ontological analysis of psychopathy and social psychopathy from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought and not the present positivism–procrypticism, just as analysing notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery should rather be from the prospective positivism registry-worldview reference-of-thought and not its present universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview reference-of-

thought; as of the fact of fundamental registry-worldview/dimensional ‘prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>, so construed in order to supersede its structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments. Paradigmatically, this idea extends to all issues implying metaphysics-of-absence ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’. This brings home the underlying notion of rational-realism as construed herein, as rational-realism attends to the idea of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ as enabling its more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by way of a concurrently more and more ‘rational realistic’ construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of a natural human psychological growth disposition (‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’). Wherein, going by its first impulse with respect to its ‘construal/conceptualisation activity as of its coming into existence in the world’, human natural mental-reflex starts out with a simplistic idealism to account at one fell swoop for the comprehensiveness/complexity of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality it faces and has to contend with while construing/conceptualising fundamental meaningfulness-and-teleology. This then gives rise to such a simplistic idealism of the natural idea of Gods or God or Spirits, as taking away the chore of understanding and purpose, and giving a sense of intuitive guidance, hope, peace of mind and as to what humans should expect in their existence. But as of the intrinsic-reality constraints of having to deal with matters of the world on its own by developing notions of understanding and purposefulness as the mere imagination of God or Gods or Spirits by itself doesn’t give agency (or at the least ‘perceived’ sufficient agency) in resolving human issues of the world and making its need for understanding and purposefulness go away. This induces a bifurcation of human intellectual-and-moral allegiance to the supernatural and the real in adjunction, as of their ‘perceived’

effectiveness. With a commitment to the idealism of the supernatural not only as of its ‘perceived’ virtuous import, but as of ‘perceived’ nefarious effects to human volition to it, man hangs on to both an effective realistic as well as idealistic conceptualisation/construal in existence. Such a growth psychology ultimately goes beyond construing idealism as the supernatural but as a complement to more and more profound realistic understanding and purposefulness in existence, but then having to readjust such idealism wherein the real as of its critical import to critical existence issues increasingly comes to take presence as of its effectiveness. Such that as construed today, human history overall has been an exercise in toning down the grander notion of idealism as of notions of the supernatural, essences and metaphysical ideals, and enabling increasing permeation and/or superseding of such notions with an effectiveness-driven realism leading to a general and increasing elevation of knowledge as the-human-and-social-emancipator, the present ascendancy of philosophies increasingly concerned with the human realities of existence (strongly so, lately with such movements as positivism, phenomenology, existentialism and post-structuralism) and science in all its facets whether physical, biological or social, as well as a human-centeredness of arts and culture. Rational-realism is grounded on this historic empirical state of affairs of increasing human realism in taking hold of its destiny on ‘the premise of a deference to intrinsic-reality as of its effective inherence validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ that has accompanied human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ in construing/conceptualising meaningfulness-and-teleology. Rational-realism thus finds in the grander notion of idealism, an avowal of human limited-mentation-capacity-⟨as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation⟩ that actually is behind all apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; with the idea that there is no place to hide behind

idealisms and that human emancipation and virtue has been and is fundamentally about buckling down and undertaking the requisite effort in ‘understanding for real’ and not differing to ‘thin air’ in the name of idealism. Rational-realism pushes the grander notion of realism further by asking the question, have all the idealisms as of the grander idealism been identified and superseded? It comes to the conclusion that while that has been decisively the case with supernaturalism, belief in essences and metaphysical idealism, as of structural/paradigmatic social implications, one other sort of idealism remains to be recognise as ‘false realism’; the idealism that doesn’t grasp what man itself is, rather as overly indulgent in not recognising how a thorough understanding of itself in enabling pivoting/decentering is effectively the strongest asset for its full emancipation. Central to such a most basic realism is grounding human knowledge of itself and thereof all knowledge on the ‘mediocrity principle’ as to enable the full construal of both metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence ontologies as enabling a further human emancipation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism, deprocrypticism psychologism. This is the insight behind the articulation of the social construed in threshold terms of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction rather as socially-functional-and-accordant. This insight further divulges the reality across all registry-worldviews/dimensions of ‘huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ and ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’, as powerful conceptualisations for framing issues in their appropriate psychologism however unpalatable/inconveniencing, as history has always shown that unpalatability, inconvenience and contrariety have always been the test that all humans have had to undergo to effectively achieve their respective prospective registry-worldview/dimension transcendence, and the more complete conceptualisation of knowledge goes beyond its technicalities and plainness to imply its underlying sense of dedication as the very intemporal-solipsism/ontological-faith-

notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality disposition behind
 its creation, cultivation and projection. And as with all previous realism drives, the idea of
 rational-realism is not as an articulation within the finite scope of the present meaningfulness-
 and-teleology frame of thought and social-stake-contention-or-confliction but rather carries a
 prospective scope, just as the vocation of the realism of a positivistic mindset/reference-of-
 thought in a non-positivistic social-setup should not be about elaborating meaning as of
 positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology to engage the non-positivistic social-setup in
 terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its non-positivistic sense of social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction of human relations as that will certainly just induce an ‘idle circularity and
 contrariety’ within the non-positivistic social-setup. But rather the point is all about
 recognising ‘human prospective institutionalisation capacity as the very essence of human
 virtue’ available to all humans past and present, that enabled this animal among all creatures
 to be engaged in a grander collective exercise of ‘existential-tautological eudaemonic-
 contemplation’ (as of human ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency
 of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness’), to imply that there is a prospective virtuous
 possibility of huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation that can be grasped,
 and so expressed in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the notion of social-stake-contention-
 or-confliction of that prospective institutionalisation psychologism, just as the vocation of the
 positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought is all about eliciting the notion of social-stake-
 contention-or-confliction in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of positivistic psychologism to
 imply that the non-positivistic community has the capacity and should come to terms with its
 human emancipatory institutionalisation potential. Insightfully, the
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument comparison can
 be used to reveal the ‘perpetually stable temporal-to-intemporal nature of human mental-

disposition as of institutionalisation or uninstitutionalised-threshold', across all registry-worldviews/dimensions references-of-thought but for the fact that they have different reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation building up from the prior ones as of their respective elucidation-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidentated-or-random-mental-disposition as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as 'first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, ununiversalisation failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as 'second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, non-positivism/medievalism failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as 'third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for positivism—procrypticism or prospectively, positivism failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing 'deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as conflation of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for perpetuating-deprocrypticism). Supposed there was no

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument defect (no perversion-of-reference-of-thought) with social universal-transparency of the calculations to be done, it is fair to say ‘huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ in this reference-of-thought is of quasi-intemporal-disposition (and the whole point of human knowledge aspiration and virtue is to achieve this state or deferential-states-of-this-state as with formalisations and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>). Thus calculations (logically-derived meaningfulness) in such an institutionalised framework are effectively in ontological-good-faith/authenticity but for failure in performance as defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicing-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance. But then human existential-reality comes with human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) with limited grasp of intrinsic-reality at various stages of human emancipation up to the present day, such that social universal-transparency required for ‘huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ has been made transcendently available only in partial construals/conceptualisations that are as-of existential-reality, and where non-available at uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is naïve to construe human mental-disposition as of quasi-intemporal-disposition; as the anthropological and historical evidence consistently points to a different structure with regards to the ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context elucidated ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. It points to a fundamental structural disposition for human temporalities-drives to adhere to the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-

dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication) of the given registry-worldview/dimension, when incapable of construing a prospective registry-worldview reference-of-thought as providing the resolution for the vices-and-impediments associated with such a present registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation. Such notions as the following that can be at the very centre of ways of thought in various social-setups or subcultures are not fortuitous but speaks of the reality (as metaphysics-of-absence) of the notion of 'human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition' that structurally/paradigmatically 'notionally acquiesce to the possibility of a registry-worldview's/dimension's temporality/shortness and is non-transcendental to that possibility': she deserves to be rape because she was scantily clad as well dressed women will not be raped; his goods deserve to be stolen as he didn't look after them properly; those people/group/ethnicity deserved what happened to them because they are so and so; etc.

[We can note here that such statements as of a variance of more banal to weightier nature can be made as being socially-functional-and-accordant (without or hardly any negative consequences at the acceptable socially-functional-and-accordant-threshold like being repudiated or incriminated, etc.), construed as 'least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold' in the same social space that statements of 'maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-

prospective-institutionalisation’ are made but with both construed in the conventioning of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as effectively ‘non-dissociable’, thus validating the notion that institutionalisation is not about solipsistic transformation into the intemporality-drive (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology disposition) but rather about acceptable thresholds for the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation defined social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, explaining why uninstitutionalised-thresholds are bound to arise successively in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (out-of-human temporality) together with corresponding prospective institutionalisations (out of-human intemporality) with the latter enabling <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of defined social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of the notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. This equally explain why and in particular in certain domains like the philosophical construed as ‘notional philosophical’ (by its very ‘first-ontology responsibilities’), the social-construct conventioning cannot and should not be considered and related to as an absolute determinant of meaningfulness, value and worth as it is more of a conventioning however ontologically-informed the conventioning, and ‘the need for the social-construct further development requires that it can utterly be put into question by pure-ontology conflatedness with no conventioning complexes’! (As a reminder, the notion of intemporality/temporality is an ontological-as-of-being construct and the apparent references to virtue imply the subsumed construal of virtue by the ontological-as-of-being construct, such that it is important to grasp that all notions articulated herein are ontological, just as the notions of the being domains-of-study of the natural world are ontological, and the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-

emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-conflict nature of the being domains-of-study of the social world should not naively imply a construct that isn't ontological or otherwise, as in both instances the aspiration is for 'intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as an otherness from any emotional-involvement/subjectification/notional--<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag predilection of the inquirer'. This elucidation is equally to highlight that the idea of socially-functional-and-accordant 'modular-thresholds'-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-dissociability is beyond just a construal as of virtue analysis but rather an ontological analysis, as it applies in all social conceptualisations of performance and functionality whether virtuous or virtuously-neutral but necessarily as of the social being/existence domains-of-study.) The conventioning of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-conflict effectively 'non-dissociable' modular construal of temporal-dispositions and intemporal-disposition rather as of socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds, has deterministic implications with regards to 'interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis' as well as 'temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuation-level of analysis'; for construing the implications of such 'modular-thresholds'-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-dissociability social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-conflict effectiveness-or-ineffectiveness and ontological-resolution as of 'contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism by way of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument' in resolving registry-worldview's/dimension's–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance capabilities, as the very foundational operant conceptualisation of an

ontologically-contiguous ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’. This fundamentally highlights a ‘notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness dynamic relationship’ with meaningfulness-and-teleology as directly reflecting ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dynamics (in abstractly elucidating any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘suprastructuration’ or its ‘suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology synopsising-depth as of the overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding-oneness-of-ontology’, and so by the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in corresponding snowballing succession of synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology reconstrual going by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implications); involving successively, <formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘trepidatious-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of socially-functional-and-accordant ‘modular-thresholds’-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-dissociability-(as of no constraining given non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidentated-or-random-mental-disposition, and non-constraining ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality prospective institutionalisation as base-institutionalisation), <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-

incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/'first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness of reference-of-thought'
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of socially-
 functional-and-accordant 'modular-thresholds'-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-
 dissociability-(as of base-institutionalisation constraining rulemaking-over-non-rules, and
 non-constraining ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality prospective institutionalisation as universalisation), <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'preclusive-
 consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/'second-level presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought'
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of socially-
 functional-and-accordant 'modular-thresholds'-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-
 dissociability-(as of universalisation constraining universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules, and non-constraining ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality prospective institutionalisation as positivism), <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
 'occlusive-consciousness'-enabling-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/'third-level presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought'
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of socially-
 functional-and-accordant 'modular-thresholds'-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-
 dissociability-(as of positivism/rational-empiricism constraining positivising/rational-
 empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, and non-constraining
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality prospective
 institutionalisation as deprocrypticism), and ratio-contiguous/conflation of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of
 deprocrypticism socially-functional-and-accordant as of intemporality/longness or
 ontological-contiguity, with no-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-non-dissociability-(as of
 constraining ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven
 intemporal-projection upholding of deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
 formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules). Interestingly, could such a referentialism-based construal in parallel to the
 (epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
 'trepidatious-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-

incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious-
 phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'warped-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-
 phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'preclusive-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-
 phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'occlusive-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/epistemic-totalising_ratio-
 contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
 'protensive-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of Stevens taxonomy, 'possibly reveal an
 unrecognised mathematical depth in the reality of the evolved human condition' rendering

possible the full mathematised interpretation of the social sciences as of ‘conflatedness/conflation of analysis’ (just as the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating constructed scientific reference-of-thought of the natural sciences, as ontological-reference-of-thought, revealed a mathematical depth that enabled their full mathematisation; as mathematics just like logic cannot reveal the full intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating constructed reference-of-thought/axiomatic-framework of a domain-of-study like the social but once it is revealed enables its full mathematisation)! Critically, central to attaining (intemporal) ontological-contiguity as of the deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance with no-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-non-dissociability (due to social universal-transparency of deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology), is equally the need to supersede human ‘emotional involvement’. As ‘emotional-involvement’ is self-centering-and-definitional of human consciousness as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification, but actually such reality is otherwise of the same ontologically-veridical nature as existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoiness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness into which everything else is caught into as superseding–oneness-of-ontology (even though our high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction will often tend to induce a relatively flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology construal in this regard, that explains our metaphysics-of-presence mental-disposition). Thus an appropriate ontologically-veridical social-conceptualisation and/or storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration as aetiological/ontologically-escalatory that has the capacity to supersede the inherent human high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-

emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction specific element (which tend to denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology construal, as high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is behind manifest human ‘non-dissociability’ of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance temporal-to-intemporal thresholds’ within the ontological scope of any given institutionalisation), should be able to imply the same underlying ontologically-veridical existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness of the superseding–oneness-of-ontology as any other truly ontologically-veridical conceptualisation, be it of animate or inanimate nature. The implication being that the underlying notional--<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag(of our ‘emotional-involvement’ as self-centering-and-definitional of human consciousness as of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification) can perfectly be escaped from to more profound and unsuspecting depths of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology construal (enabling ‘dissociability of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance temporal-to-intemporal thresholds’ ontologically), and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, ushering in ‘an ontologically-veridical existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ contemplation to a point that subsumes equably both animate-existential-referencing/subjectification and inanimate-existential-effecting, wherein the

underlying teleological-determinism of human functional and performance thresholds are effectively desubjectifiable-as-objectifiable to the point of attaining ‘effecting teleological-determination’ of the same level as inanimate ‘effecting determination’ (with little temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction denaturing meaningfulness-and-teleology construal), and so enabled with the referentialism technique of point-referencing for conflation in construing temporal-to-intemporal contrastive-synopsising-depths-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as ‘dissociable temporal-to-intemporal thresholds of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance’ (inducing the requisite social universal-transparency for prospective decentering/pivoting as enabling an epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought in ushering in deprocrpticism institutionalisation). Interestingly, the very conceptual background for such transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of meaningfulness-and-teleology construal lies with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ itself, by its successively induced snowballed-recomposuring of human psychical transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—

as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism capacity in a corresponding relation with the successively induced snowballed-recomposuring institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures (as successive institutionalisations involve an increasing sense of transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology construal for a sounder and sounder relationship with intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality; an idea we appreciate as we can garner that we, as of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, are relatively psychologically geared to handle meaningfulness in a relatively objective way than say a non-positivism/medievalism mindset cannot and rather parse over towards arriving at its final ‘greater egotistic/—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag driven’ belief/conclusion and this explains why their mental-dispositions were relatively alchemic, feudal of mentality, etc. For instance and why the corresponding transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of our registry-worldview enabled the natural sciences to arise, our relatively developed sense of democracy, globalisation, etc. Likewise we can appreciate with such phenomena today like ‘fake news’ easily spreading socially and often just as ‘real news’ our very own limitations of transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism meaningfulness-and-teleology construal as manifested in our positivism–procrypticism

registry-worldview, with the implication of metaphysics-of-absence insight that a prospective
 registry-worldview as deprocrypticism will be an improvement over our transcendently-
 enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism
 meaningfulness-and-teleology construal capacity). Prospectively a transcendently-enabling-
 level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism to the
 point of attaining ‘effecting teleological-determination’ of the same level as inanimate
 ‘effecting determination’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology construal (with little temporal-to-
 intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising—self-
 referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction denaturing
 meaningfulness-and-teleology construal) will inform the underlying psyche of a
 deprocrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought/psychologism; as the capacity to
 objectify/desubjectify-as-objectify/authentify is what enables the human mind to be able to
 develop towards fully achieving intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating. In this regard, we can grasp how human limited-mentation-capacity-
 deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩
 associated with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process
 increasingly implies ‘a more and more transcendently-enabling-level-of-
 authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism psychologism overcoming subjectification
 denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so as of ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-

accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-or-failing-rulemaking-over-non-rules’
 psychologism (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), ‘failing-universalisation-directed-
 rulemaking-over-non-rules’ psychologism (base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation),
 ‘failing-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules’ psychologism (universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism), ‘‘failing-
 preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’’ psychologism (positivism–
 procrypticism), and prospectively ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-
 as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
 formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules’ psychologism (deprocrypticism) that fully enables human full attainment of
 transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology and overcoming subjectification, enabling an understanding of
 the social domain at the same level as of the natural domain and the derived-implications
 with regards to social and human <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
 perception/re-thought associated with the deprocrypticism registry-worldview. Basically,
 transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism as
 implied by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process reflects the

successive psychologisms as of the respective mutually beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of successive
 registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought construed meaningfulness-and-
 teleology involving conceptualisation/construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology as by
 constitutedness/recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation/impulsive-or-accidented-or-haphazard
 driven construal, ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’/base-
 institutionalisation—ununiversalisation/epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious-
 phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/allegiance-subservience driven construal,
 ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’/universalisation—non-
 positivism/medievalism/epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-
 abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/non-contiguous-qualification-categorisation as
 good-to-bad construal, ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness’/positivism—procrypticism/epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-
 phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/non-contiguous-intervalist-categorisation as
 kindness-humility-helpfulness-etc. construal, and prospectively
 conflation/deprocrypticism/epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-
 referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-
 enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
 operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/temporal-to-intemporal-thresholds construal as
 the latter fully achieves transcendently-enabling-level-of-
 authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism. While the institutionalisation perspective
 tends to point to a commonness of reference-of-thought as of prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought construed as reference-of-thought–
 prelogism-as-of-conviction, however at the uninstitutionalised-threshold the implication of
 such a commonness of reference-of-thought is rather construed as of the relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought so-disambiguated as of temporal-dispositions (as
 well as as such temporal-dispositions conjugate with postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought inducing derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) more succinctly
 construed as reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining, in the sense that in this instance
 such interlocutors reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining tend to be circular with
 respect to their ontologically-effective ‘decided temporal/shortness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology non-conviction commitments’ and are no longer of reference-
 of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction such that the naïve implication of a mutual logical

exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implication) is inherently deceptive as of as of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. This construal effectively enabling delineation of underlying ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of mental-dispositions. reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining across all registry-worldviews/dimensions refers to the constituent temporal individuations mental-dispositions at a registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold and points to their apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold pointing to an inclination for untranscendability and undementability as of mechanical-knowledge (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) but for the constraint of prospective social universal-transparency, and so in contrast to the same registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-disposition that reflects its ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as its institutionalisation which rather points to an inclination for transcendability and dementability as of organic-knowledge once it does conceptualise the veridicality of the uninstitutionalised-threshold as ontologically-flawed. Such construal of temporal individuations reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining at uninstitutionalised-threshold is critical because then and in effect, the mental-reflex to ontologically validate these as of reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-disposition so-construed as of sound/existential-contextualising-contiguity logical-dueness is ontologically put into question given the perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. Such that ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating is projectable about the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and not as it is circularly construed within the uninstitutionalised-threshold frame as a construal of logical pertinence (logical-processing-or-logical-implication), but rather involving priorly the determination of temporal individuations reference-of-thought–

madeupness/bottomlining as these fail to reflect soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, that is, establishing whether or not there is perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the first place before any implication of logical-dueness/logical-pertinence arises. Consider as of metaphysics-of-absence or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence analysis the case of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-positivistic social-setup uninstitutionalised-threshold which is rather in want of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology. Effectively establishing deconstructive ontological-veridicality implies recognising the overall registry-worldview's/dimension's relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as superstitious/non-positivistic inclined, its postlogism and conjugated-postlogism as acknowledging and contending about notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) thus leading to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, with this succinctly reflecting the reality of temporal-dispositions as to reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining as of such non-positivism reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold. Such that it is not a logical exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implication) that is in order which will rather be circular as fundamentally operating on false non-positivism registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of superstition but rather one of determination of temporal individuations reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining as this reflects postlogism denaturing and conjugated-postlogism derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as deconstruction of ontological-veridicality in implying and projecting about the prospective institutionalisation as of positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation (rather than a naïve operation of logic as is further highlighted below). The fact is with or

without postlogism and derived conjugated-postlogism, human reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction tends to be relative. That is, even within a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation basis we don’t necessarily function socially absolutely on the basis of veridical sound logic as we are limited by capacity (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) given our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and secondly by arbitrariness in the choices we make, and this get even worst at the uninstitutionalised-threshold. Consider in this regard even the case of Heidegger as one of the greatest thinker of the last century in his ‘perplexed cooperation’ with the Nazi regime. The closest we come to absolute reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction has to do with the abstract and uncompromising determination of mathematical meaningfulness, and receding more and more as we get towards domains of increasing ‘emotional involvement’ (the social) as ontological-veridicality increasingly takes a backseat to extricatory/temporal paradigms and further so with respect to increasing informality as in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of all human institutions, and particularly where social universal-transparency is blurred and not forthcoming as logic tends out to be an issue of making-a-mistake-at-one-moment-expressing-the-most-profound-conviction-at-the-other-moment in a circular reference-of-thought. This tendency is further exacerbated with the dynamic conjugation of temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) to postlogism-slantedness. This reality of our reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction as being in effect subpar rather than absolute and specifically more compromised at uninstitutionalised-threshold and as associated with postlogism as conjugated-postlogism is what qualifies contextually as temporal individuations reference-of-

thought–madeupness/bottomlining as a temporal mental-disposition defect contrasted to a wrongfully implied supposedly reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction as of ontologically-sound mental-disposition. This manifestation as a social dynamic (dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect) of such contrastive ‘madeupness of reference-of-thought’ and reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction takes the form of temporal-to-intemporal social interlocutors beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought de-convergence as of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. Such a distinction particular at the uninstitutionalised-threshold is required because it then implies ontologically the relegation of logical engagement as rather irrelevant and in lieu determines ontological-veridicality by the soundness-of-the-reference-of-thought as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the first place to establish or not perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. This delineation is in line with the idea of human temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) individuations nature as implicitly recognised in the structuring of formal constructs like the law, formal institutions, etc. It equally falls in line with the idea of knowledge notionalisation on the basis that it is equally critical to understand the possibility of the ignorances just as conceptual knowledge itself to further uphold, advance and skew for the latter. The point being that meaningfulness-and-teleology construal should supersede just a naïve unilateral construing of interlocution mainly on the basis of reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction as of reflex but equally examine ‘as of circumstances pointing to uninstitutionalised-threshold’ the possibility of the ontological-veridicality of interlocutors reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining mental-dispositions, and as is often associated with mental-dispositions geared towards ‘flawed impression-driven, expletive-driven and non-intellectual critique’ contention. This difference

between reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining and reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction critically explains how the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions psychoanalytically-unshackled/memetically-reordered/institutionally-recomposed going by the fact that projective insights about prior registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is what needs to be superseded for prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought effective reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction (as operant construal) by social universal-transparency rendering the prior registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining (as operant construal) untenable. This brings to the fore the idea that the salient point about human mental-disposition whether construed as of institutionalisation basis or at its uninstitutionalised-threshold has to do with the possibility of attaining or not attaining social universal-transparency. Where this is effectively attained, it becomes psychically and institutionally untenable for interlocutors to act as of subpar (reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining) with regards to reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction. This will explain why the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing within a prior registry-worldview/dimension utterly disappears within the prospective registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology, in the sense that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery for instance are not entertained in a positivism social-setup as the positivism/rational-empiricism social universal-transparency knows this to be non-veridical ontologically-speaking giving its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This imbued potency in social universal-transparency across all registry-worldviews/dimensions is what explains the possibility of social transcendence. The reason for this is that the entire construct of human social-functioning-

and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction as the ‘social existential contract’ is implicitly built on supposed reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction to meaningfulness-and-teleology as of both the individual’s expectation and the social’s expectation such that failure in this respect arises mostly surreptitiously since even the most disingenuous individuation will want the social-construct to function well in order to ‘parasitise’ it, as a failing social-construct as of ‘universal social surreptitious parasitising/co-opting’ puts even such individuation in jeopardy. We can appreciate this notion by the fact that even a miscreant will tend to advance, however dubious, a rationale that is meant to be socially functional. Basically, the postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mindset reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining arises out of its temporal individuation’s surreptitiousness (‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency such that it can induce apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing rule) as of marginal social instigation (consider the targeted nature of the adult psychopath’s maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness within the scope of social functionality) while socially enabled circularly (due to the underlying prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as social procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is itself an enabler for psychopathy just as a non-positivistic registry-worldview/dimension social superstition is itself an enabler for its corresponding postlogism for ‘imaginary’ accusations of sorcery); and so, while socially inducing temporal-dispositions conjugated-postlogisms derived reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining, and so overall, on the flawed mental-reflex that such protraction of reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining is supposedly reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction (as to the lack of constraining social universal-transparency). Such conditions as highlighted above (surreptitiousness, marginality and circularity) are not fulfilled at childhood psychopathy explaining why conjugated-postlogism as a social dynamism of protracted

reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining doesn’t socially take hold then, as such childhood postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-thought hasn’t superseded the social universal-transparency in further inducing temporal-dispositions derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. The further implication is that such surreptitiousness, marginality and circularity with regards to a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s temporal-dispositions are often construed rather as circumventive issues as of temporal extricatory paradigm, and not by ontological-veridicality insight as of structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity with respect to vices-and-impediments. Thus ensuring ontological-veridical social universal-transparency is structurally/paradigmatically inherently ‘advantaged ultimately’ by the social-construct functioning. (But then this can rather be achieved in the medium to long term as of a cross-generational transcendence import and hardly so in the short-run, given that in the short-run the issue of the registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is a drawback in this respect. As the framework of generalised social referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology is a circular-pervasiveness closed-structure as of the habituated predicative-insights for meaningfulness-and-teleology based on the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of the registry-worldview/dimension as prior (despite the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought induced distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and override any such sense of relative pure-ontology conflatedness as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness). So the transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology implied as of projective-insights about the prospective registry-worldview/dimension predicative-insights of meaningfulness-and-teleology going by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought doesn’t supersede the prior’s ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-structure of habituated predicative-insights for meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in the short run. Chinua

Achebe's *Things Fall Apart* Okonkwo returning from his long banishment construes meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms of the old/prior whereas his Umuofia village which had the same inclination as his as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought before he was banished and likewise at the very beginning of the foreigners cultural diffusion inducing a subsequent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought had moved on to the new/prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology which is now antipodal to his, hence his confliction with his circular-pervasiveness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> which is equally a reflection of the confliction the village had had with the same prior circular-pervasiveness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> when the foreign cultural diffusion arrived before superseding it cross-generationally. We can equally construe of the inverse situation as in H.G. Wells's *The Country of the Blind* which also highlights the implications of relative contrast of ontological-completeness-by-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought with regards to meaningfulness-and-teleology construal where Nunez's 'seeing of the environment' reference-of-thought as of it prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-thought doesn't make an impression but is actually frowned upon on the habituated 'feeling of the environment' reference-of-thought as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness. This is because the personhood and socialhood formation have been constructed in circular-pervasiveness out of the prior reference-of-thought as 'feeling of the environment' explaining why a registry-worldview is a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-

<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> that
 hardly entertains its own transcendability/dementability, and why transcendence is rather
 cross-generational for the requisite personhood and socialhood psychoanalytic-unshackling
 exercise to be initiated. Consider that the ‘existential value references as what is worth living
 for’ for both Okonkwo and ‘feeling of the environment’ reference-of-thought are temporally
 construed as definite-and-set as of their given perspectives or
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights in the circularly-pervasive closed-structure of their reference-
 of-thought’ despite their respective inherent prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
 reference-of-thought without room for countenancing new perspective-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-new-
 predicative-insights overcoming their circularly-pervasive closed-structure of reference-of-
 thought, speaking of their distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought from an ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective as of ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Interestingly,
 facing their respective conundrum to take a drastic and immediate decision as of their
 ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’, and without the prospect for cross-
 generational adjustment, their decisions are equally dramatic in terms of considering
 physically doing away with Nunez’s notion of ‘seeing of the world’ reference-of-thought, and
 Okonkwo’s tragic acts upon the foreigners messenger and subsequently upon himself. This
 reflects the mental-disposition of all registry-worldviews uninstitutionalised-thresholds,
 including our own as positivism—procrypticism as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought with regards to their ‘existential value references as what is worth living for’ rather

temporally construed as definite-and-set as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought notwithstanding any notion of relative prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Furthermore, it should be noted that the relative validity of a prospective <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights ‘is not at all about the demonstrable instantiative logical-processing-or-logical-implication validity’ but rather such a demonstration is more structurally/paradigmatically, together with all other such demonstrations of the prospective <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights, ‘a contributory invalidation of the prior <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights in its circular-pervasiveness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of its ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; thus qualified as transcendence/suprastructuration. Just as the exercise of demonstrative convincing on the basis of a scientific principle within a non-positivistic social context ‘is not at all about the demonstrable instantiative logical-processing-or-logical-implication validity’ but rather structurally/paradigmatically, together with all other such demonstrations as of scientific and positivistic principles/axioms/reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-

incidenting-predicative-insights, ‘a contributory invalidation of non-scientific and non-positivism <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights in circular-pervasiveness’ at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as of its ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. We can grasp an abstract sense of this situation as follows. Supposed human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as inducing more and more profound projective-insights construed as the successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments representing the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought under which their respective predicative-insights construct their respective meaningfulness-and-teleology, so grounded axiomatically as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights; is compared imaginarily to ‘mental-dispositions at different successive ascertaining-perspectives unbeknown-to-each other for gauging the overall earth landscape’ (representing analogically ‘different successive registry-worldviews/dimensions for meaningfulness-and-teleology’), construed say at ‘sea-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), ‘hill-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (as base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation), ‘mountain-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (as universalisation–positivism), ‘airplane-level-height

perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (as positivism–procrypticism) and ‘space-satellite-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (as deprocrypticism), rather as successive mental-states/reference-of-thought unbeknown-to-each-other in ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-structure of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements for earth landscape aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements’. We know that having never experienced ‘hill-level-height

perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation) the ‘sea-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) will hardly countenance operating the perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights of the former as more ontologically profound, given its ‘circular-pervasiveness closed-structure of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements for earth landscape aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-obtained-measurements’ on the basis of its ‘sea-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’; and this same mental-reflex applies successively to relatively ‘lower-level-heights

perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights’ (prior registry-worldviews/dimensions) with respect to relatively ‘higher-level-heights

perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights' (prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions). The fundamental difficulty is that 'no given perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights' (registry-worldview/dimension) recognises that there is any above it, and by reflex circularly undertakes predicative-insights from its perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (and it is only the long run cross-generational habituation construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics with the prior ontologically construed as decentered and dementing as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, with the implication that its logical-dueness doesn't exist just as the logical-dueness of the animist reference-of-thought with their God of plane proposition doesn't ontologically exist.) We can grasp as well that it is the 'space-satellite-level-height perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for predicative-insights' (as deprocrypticism) that ultimately provides the ideal 'ascertaining-perspectives for gauging the overall earth landscape'. Besides, why the explication herein is necessarily implying a prospective reference-of-thought (as the author in here with a supposed deprocrypticism reference-of-thought construal as implying a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over our positivism–procrypticism), the fact is that any transcendental analysis is caught in two worlds as two different reference-of-thought in striving to explicate the ontological pre-eminence of the prospective reference-of-thought as of ontological-normalcy/'postconvergence, thus facing the dilemma that by mental-reflex we are not 'habituated' to the notion of our reference-of-thought being construed as 'dementing and not thinking', and so whether speaking of being construed within our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as dementing and not thinking,

within non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation as dementing and not thinking,
 within ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation as dementing and not thinking, and recurrent-
 utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation as dementing and not thinking. We can grasp
 this by imagining how a non-positivism uninstitutionalised-threshold will react when
 construed as dementing and not thinking with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery it
 considers given as a matter of fact, and imagine of such a reaction with a dementing and not
 thinking representation of ourselves construed from futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought perspective as in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought and rather in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought ! Thus the reality of this
 analysis in that sense is ‘sparing as of our high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-
 emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-
 of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-conflict nature’ for the sake of deconstructive-
 engagement/engaged-destruktion because an analysis construed as of reference-of-thought is
 all about mental-soundness or unsoundness representation (with no logical engagement
 implication) hence rather of a psychoanalytic-unshackling purpose; as a change of reference-
 of-thought implies a change of
 perspective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as a shift of the curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct and not a change in logic as a
 change along the same reference-of-thought/curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought/logical-processing-or-logical-implication. In other
 words, a truly direct deprocrypticism ontological analysis will be a ‘mental break-
 in’/dementing of our positivism–procrypticism as we by reflex ‘mentally break-in’/dement a

non-positivistic reference-of-thought (as we don't engage it on the basis of the non-positivistic reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology just as a deprocrpticism analysis will not engage us on the basis of our procrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so in both cases as of the relative ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of non-positivism and procrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). But then wholly carried out in both instances it will be off-putting to both prior reference-of-thought, explaining why a transcendental analysis is a deconstructive-engagement/engaged-destruktion recognising and harnessing the human potential to psychoanalytically-unshackle. This is more than just an abstract conceptualisation but an empirical reality of how cultural diffusion possibility as of 'relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought' took place historically (and so for instance, as of the relative 'ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth' allowed to the animist to say 'God of plane' in the view that in due course there will be psychoanalytic-unshackling towards positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology; considering as well as of registry-worldview level of analysis that such a conceptualisation of 'ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth' is cross-generationally associated with the meeting of cultures wherein their meeting points often as of cultural and commercial relationships initiate 'acculturating-indigenising-pidginising transitioning settings and their social constructions as of <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising' prior to eventual prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought accommodation). Likewise, this 'ontological-

veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ as of a deprocrypticism construal herein may elicit a misconstrual from a positivistic perspective failing to factor in the circular-pervasiveness implied in the notion of positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and thus failing to grasp the deprocrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights that construes our positivism–procrypticism as dementing/not-thinking and decentered, and wrongfully trying to engage meaningfulness-and-teleology in positivism–procrypticism terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct failing to factor in the circular-pervasiveness of the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. (More like a non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought insisting to contendingly engage a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought but failing to grasp the implications as of circular-pervasiveness of being of non-positivistic of reference-of-thought as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Such insight point out that the ‘mental tools’ available to a mental state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-dementing with respect to an implied prospective state of base-institutionalisation, the ‘mental tools’ available to a mental state of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-dementing with respect to an implied prospective mental state of universalisation, the ‘mental tools’ available to a state of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-dementing with respect to an implied prospective mental state of positivism, and prospectively the ‘mental tools’ available to a state of positivism–procrypticism are not logically-intelligible-but-rather-are-distractively-dementing with respect to an implied prospective mental-state of

deprocrpticism. Thus unlike is the case with issues of logical-dueness/logical-pertinence as of appropriateness or inappropriateness of logical-processing-or-logical-implication, issues of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought rather render such notions as forgiveness/overlooking/resetting nothing more but vague <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag misconstruing based on ‘a naïve traditional reflex’ that truly has no grander virtuous implications but quite the contrary as actually endemising/enculturating vices-and-impediments as when so-construed as a <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; thus transforming such ‘denaturing notions of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting into a temporal mental-disposition ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘misconstrued vicious insight disposition’ thus rather endemising/enculturating vices-and-impediments! As the question that arises is what does it mean to forgive/overlook/reset with regards to a temporal mental state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought circular-pervasiveness at its uninstitutionalised-threshold in perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrpticism? It effectively means perpetuating the endemising/enculturation of the given uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought. What is of relevance is a veridically uninhibited/decomplexified ‘understanding of how the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process works and induces prospective institutionalisations’ as a ‘The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

construct’ and not a vague ‘impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct’; and that virtue-as-ontology/moral/ethical act is a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’, and so à-la-Diderot as of the Encyclopédistes positivistic liberal and scientific outlook as an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology with its structural/paradigmatic virtue-as-ontology implications over a non-positivism/medievalism outlook <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> that cannot be construed in forgiveness/overlooking/resetting terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct given its structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments implications! Such that ‘our pretence to a prospective mental inclination for virtue-as-ontology’ goes hand-in-hand with ‘our introspection as of the structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought given its likelihood to induce our prospective vices-and-impediments’, and thus ‘our shouldering of the given transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic underlying this prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought behind our uninstitutionalised-threshold’s perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as vices-and-impediments’, and so as of an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology prospective transcendental mental inclination for prospective relative-ontological-

completeness-of-reference-of-thought virtue-as-ontology’. Otherwise, such a notion of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting with respect to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought shouldn’t be narrowly interpreted only with regards to our positivism registry-worldview/dimension in its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> but should go back ironically to the very beginning at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to imply forgiveness/overlooking/resetting within it same <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> thus undermining the very notion in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as the very structural/paradigmatic essence of virtue-as-ontology by its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ as it leads to prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions of increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought superseding successive structural/paradigmatic basis of vices-and-impediments; – as failing rulemaking-over-non-rules in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation or failing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules in ununiversalisation or failing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules in non-positivism/medievalism or failing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-

drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules in procrypticism, and thus requiring
respectively transcending/superseding to base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism
and deprocrypticism. And by that same ‘ironic token’ the notion of grander human lives
should not be construed as of the mental-disposition perpetuating the ontological-
contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process in an opened-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology allowing for reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology of
cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
recomposure’ but rather <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-
to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> starting
at the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation in permanence that doesn’t allow for any such
transcending enabled by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
process. In other words the notion of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting with respect to
perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought is rather vague, as the more
fundamental issue here is that human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human limited-
mentation-capacity for construing virtue-as-ontology/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality is ‘ever structurally/paradigmatically in need for prospective relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ and that is what is to be sought after as with the
recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised striving for base-institutionalisation, the base-
institutionalised—ununiversalised striving for universalisation, the universalised—non-
positivist/medievalist striving for positivism and in our case the positivist—procryptist striving
for deprocrypticism as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-

underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality; and so as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-
 totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ enabled by reference-of-thought—
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology of
 cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
 recomposure’ and so allowed by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
 stranding/attributive-dialectics. Such naïve construal of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting is
 on the impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness basis that human mental capacity is a
 given as if there is no structural/paradigmatic issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
 reference-of-thought with no recognition of any such ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process as human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 ⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩
 retrospectively to prospectively. This equally explains the ontological vagueness when it
 comes to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought not only with regards to
 the notions of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting but also such notions associated with
 positive psychology as positivity, flourishing, emotional intelligence, etc. as naively
 instigating social <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with their implications when considered
 at a more profound level turning out to be rather vague and at best palliative since these are
 not construed structurally/paradigmatically as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
 transcendental-enabling/sublimating within the framework in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process involving human limited-mentation-
 capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal⟩. In other words, what does it mean in a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mental

state to have a positive psychology when its fundamental paradigmatic/structural issue as failing rulemaking-over-non-rules is not factored-in in its virtue-as-ontology construal/conceptualisation? And the same can be asked of us with regards to our positivism—procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In which case such vague approaches will simply imply beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought naïve perpetuation in <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the fundamental vices-and-impediments with both uninstitutionalised-thresholds, thus explaining the fundamental dilemma of all institutional Establishments in their <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>. Such confusion arises from a misconstruing of what is veridically implied deconstructively/ontological-restitutively by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics, which implies that ‘any registry-worldview/dimension presence placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ is ‘a dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ from the ‘prospective registry-worldview/dimension presence placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ as ‘a dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-

teleology as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought'. Certainly, we can recognise the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the above articulation with respect to retrospective registry-worldviews/dimensions placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought while our positivism registry-worldview/dimension placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is always of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. But when it comes to a prospective assessment wherein we are of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as 'a dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology positivism–procrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought' from the 'prospective presence placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of deprocrypticism as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought' as 'a dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought', we are rather less apt to concur going by our <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflex such that such notions as forgiveness/overlooking/resetting and notions of positive psychology are rather just a failure to structurally/paradigmatically recognise the implied perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and what we are doing then is 're-referencing from the same positivism–procrypticism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought' and

thus wrongly implying our undementability hence our untranscendability for a structural/paradigmatic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’, and paradoxically thus by implication that there is no relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, to then wrongly imply such articulations of forgiveness/overlooking/resetting and positive-psychology are of intemporal projection whereas these are actually of conscious or unconscious beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projection. This insight explains the bizarreness we face from time to time discovering that even institutions we imagine should relatively be spared by scandals as human vices-and-impediments like many public-facing institutions, the media, faith institutions, etc. are now-and-then plague with scandals bound to re-occur because of this misunderstanding of knowledge as virtue-as-ontology/ontology articulated above as of structural/paradigmatic nature of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation, and not naïve at best palliative construals in impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness. A further reason for the difficulty has to do thus with the fact that each registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is inherently a metaphysics-of-presence construed as dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought that is in a circular-evasiveness from more ontologically-veridical metaphysics-of-absence construals/conceptualisations as implied by prospective relative completeness-of-reference-of-thought which rather construes it as a dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation’s-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought.

The ontological implication is that beforehand/axiomatically with respect to the cross-engagement of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, the former is priorly invalidated into a dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought by the latter as a dialectical-thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, invalidating by implication the logical-dueness/logical-pertinence as of logical-processing-or-logical-implication of the former. This we can grasp retrospectively in a cross-engagement with say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery between our positivism and the non-positivism/medieval registry-worldview/dimension going by our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. But since we have been habituated as of our existential formation within our <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> to be in logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implication by default and thus always contentingly relevant on the basis of sharing a mutual positivism reference-of-thought, we will hardly entertain though a deprocrpticism cross-engagement implied invalidation of our logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-logical-implication and thus rendering us contentingly irrelevant on the basis of our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought construed as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. But then ironically such a undementability posture could as well be adopted by a non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought in its own existential formation that recognises non-positivistic ideas and notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as relevant and dialectical-

thinking-and-centered-prospective-institutionalisation's-categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with its logical-dueness for logical-processing-or-
logical-implication valid by default. This point out that there is necessarily a central growth
element of a structural/paradigmatic reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology for
cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
recomposure' allowing for dementability and thus transcendability as enabling human virtue-
as-ontology/ontology. Further to the points made this far, talk of such a narrative as of such
structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–
implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of vices-and-impediments of our prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought that does not focus on
substantive critiquing/assessment of the arguments made but is rather geared to imply
beforehand that such arguments are impropriety, is actually nothing more than our falsehood
as mortals circularly pretending to imply that humankind-in-its-deficit does have a status
above its mortal shortfall, and so paradoxically as a flawed and unsubstantiated route to
wrongly imply no such argumentation is admissible. This is often a choice deterrent of
institutional and erudite Establishments of presence failing to recognise that more profound
human insights arise from Dionysian dispositions and not just a reflex of looking at the
presence as forever given as it is. The bluntness of reality/ontology doesn't recognise the
mortals that we are and we can't advance our mortal statuses as superseding inherent
reality/ontology, but we are rather bound to be much more substantive than that to avoid
'human closure of meaningfulness-and-teleology' which easily arises given our temporal-to-
intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-
referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The fact is

such an articulation is not idle but rather the requisite fervour associated with many an enlightening thought, however qualified as impropriety, as a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> start arising when we temporally carve away statuses out of the reach of ontological contention making the mortals that we are bigger than intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.) On any such occasion, ontological-veridicality as of deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is restored by doing away with ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ and articulating a ‘mental break-in’/dementing of positivism—procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology at its procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

from

deprocrypticism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights, just as we’ll appreciate that were the animists insistent say on relating to the plane as God of plane to a point implying their potential non-transcendability as of psychoanalytic-unshackling in due course, ‘ontological-veridicality tolerance as stretched-truth’ is no longer warranted but a direct ‘mental break-in’/dementing by a demonstration to uphold ontological-veridicality. Such a demonstration might be construed as of a simple paper plane demonstration of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework principles or extraordinarily a flight from the flight deck with explanation or more extensively articulating that things work by natural causes and effects with no spirits inside them thus implying that a positivism-centered meaningfulness-and-teleology is more ontologically pertinent. Certainly such a ‘mental break-in’/dementing demonstration with regards to our procrypticism reference-of-thought as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought construed from a deprocrypticism reference-of-thought perspective or

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights will look weird to us going by our circularly pervasive <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, but it is more of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality even though we are unhabituated to it since it is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and not yet by social universal-transparency, just as had been the case from the perspective or apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights of all the uninstitutionalised-thresholds reference-of-thought with respect to the ‘mental break-in’/dementing of their corresponding prospective institutionalisations reference-of-thought. The bigger point being that by definition a reference-of-thought doesn’t fathom the nature and degree of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights. (Thus suggesting base-institutionalisation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, implying universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, suggesting positivism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and suggesting deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism will be perceived initially as ‘bullshit’ going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as of our temporal inclination to subjectification/nombrilism/self-referencing. But then human temporal inclination to utter expletives is not intellectual argument but a mark of intellectual ineptness, with the ‘ontologically relevant’ intellectual issue being about understanding the ‘habituation

exercise’ as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and percolation-channelling-
 <epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> involved in the
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure behind the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as pertinent for
 deprocrypticism ‘without in the very least entertaining’ the <formative>wooden-language—
 imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-reflex as has been the case across all the
 institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures that has always been a drawback as of
 temporal extricatory paradigm and parasitising/co-opting inclination subpar to the warranted
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality perpetually
 upholding the currency in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process across-the-times; as at this point, intellectual commitment overtly
 meets ontology.) Explained in other terms, implying in a non-positivism social-setup that
 notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery are inherently vices-and-impediments as of the
 transcendental prospective positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought will-not-be-convincing-on-a-par-with-other-argumentators in that
 social-setup but rather for such temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology purpose requires making a ‘temporal palliation argument’ of the type oneself or
 another person is not involved in sorcery or a counterargument that the accuser is the
 sorcerer, and so on the basis of the prior non-positivism prior relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, to-be-more-convincing-on-a-par-with-other-
 argumentators in that non-positivism social-setup (but then all this will wrongfully validate
 superstition and thus fail the very point of ontology/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as

an exercise in ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/asymmetrisation and not a temporal extrication exercise of ‘social-aggregation-enabling as of symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought, as this is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed--<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and/or desymmetrisation for perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction’). Thus there is a fundamental ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality argumentation handicap in the short run for undermining the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery associated with the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought social referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology which is ‘superstitious’ in the very first instance such that any argumentator putting into question superstitiousness like there is nothing like sorcery is ‘shooting itself on the foot’ in the short run. It is rather the long run cross-generational resolution construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics by superseding the prior non-positivism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by ‘continuous habituation going by the latter’s ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the long run as superseding the prior beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and initiating the appropriate prospective social universal-transparency that will structurally/paradigmatically harken back to undermine the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism grounded on notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery associated with the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension. That is, it is by turning the non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought that the

possibility of ‘ontologically’ and ‘not palliatively’ resolving notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery can arise in the very first instance. Likewise, it is the cross-generational resolution of our positivism–procrpticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its circular-pervasiveness in countenancing of procrpticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought from apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights of meaningfulness-and-teleology as conceptualising, articulating and preempting such disjointed meaningfulness-and-teleology of our positivism–procrpticism that is the structural/paradigmatic resolution as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that can structurally/paradigmatically harken back in undermining the circular-pervasiveness in countenancing of ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and the enculturation/endemisation of the manifest postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism in our positivism–procrpticism as psychopathy and social psychopathy, and so going beyond just a temporal palliative resolution within a positivism–procrpticism circular-pervasiveness closed-structure countenancing ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ of meaningfulness-and-teleology, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, and hence overlooking the structural/paradigmatic ontological vices-and-impediments implications of postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism including psychopathy and social psychopathy arising given the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of our procrpticism as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. This explains how and why outlier ideas can supersede conventionalised ideas where the former provide in the big picture the possibility for the social-construct to function better by social universal-transparency at a cross-generational depth of analysis, and equally explains human historical suspicions of new ideas just in case their social universal-transparency turn out to be better and possibly leading to the

dismantling of the prior and vested and contingent interests. It should be grasped that the comprehensiveness/dynamic-cumulative-afereffect of a registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought-madeupness/bottomlining (as an operant construal) at its uninstitutionalised-threshold is what defines it as uninstitutionalised-threshold which is decentered and dementing from the prospective institutionalisation perspective while that of its reference-of-thought-prelogism-as-of-conviction (as an operant construal) of its institutionalisation is what defines it as prior institutionalisation. (As implied by this author the nature of human individuations accounts respectively for human intemporality/longness and human temporality/shortness as the 'more fundamentally ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework analysable operant agency of the human condition as of human knowledge-and-virtue or vices-and-impediments respectively as such individuations then accrue in varying degrees in individuals as of varying circumstances'; and so-construed respectively as of intemporal individuation conflatedness which enables prospective institutionalisations or temporal individuations distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought that induce uninstitutionalised-thresholds at all the institutionalisations uninstitutionalised-threshold.) The conceptual technique for disambiguating individuations as to reference-of-thought-prelogism-as-of-conviction at institutionalised-threshold/institutionalisation and reference-of-thought-madeupness/bottomlining at uninstitutionalised-threshold has to do with the given reference-of-thought-closeness-of-tethering-to-prelogism-as-of-conviction or reference-of-thought-looseness-of-tethering-to-prelogism-as-of-conviction wherein on one extreme the prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-disposition individuation adheres to a reference-of-thought-closeness-of-tethering-to-prelogism-as-of-conviction (not necessarily implying their logical-processing-or-logical-implication appropriateness but logically-due as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)

while on the other extreme the temporal postlogism-as-of-non-conviction individuation's mental-disposition as a 'vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging' as reference-of-thought-looseness-of-tethering-to-prelogism-as-of-conviction (reference-of-thought-madeupness/bottomlining) is a mental-disposition for hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (with respect to whatever narratives or acts can be made or committed opportunistically by 'vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging out of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context'), while the reference-of-thought-madeupness/bottomlining arising as of a corresponding derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing of the temporal conjugated-postlogism individuation's mental-disposition is as of corresponding reference-of-thought-looseness-of-tethering-to-prelogism-as-of-conviction (as of 'derived-vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging out of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context'). Such temporal postlogism-as-of-non-conviction individuation's mental-disposition reference-of-thought-madeupness/bottomlining failing existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as a 'vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging'-as-non-conviction-of-tethering-trajectory to reference-of-thought-prelogism-as-of-conviction can be seen transparently in the instance of the childhood psychopathy spilling water on a chair as a dereifying mental-

shortcut to accuse another. Such personality development into adult psychopathy at which point social universal-transparency is undermined with its increasing maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness and the corresponding conjugated-postlogism leads to contextualised social dynamics of temporal individuations reference-of-thought–looseness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction that underlies various shades of reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining. As a general rule the reference-of-thought–closeness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction implies a mental-disposition for intrinsic-attribution of meaningfulness-and-teleology involving an inclination for presuming and implying of meaningfulness-and-teleology as limited/constraint by existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context while the reference-of-thought–looseness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction implies a mental-disposition for extrinsic-attribution of meaningfulness-and-teleology as hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing involving an inclination for falsely presuming and implying meaningfulness-and-teleology as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging out of the limits/constraints of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Further, the individuation-level analysis highlights that it is the reference-of-thought–closeness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction (reference-of-thought–prelogism-as-of-conviction) and reference-of-thought–looseness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction (reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining) respectively as of human intemporal and temporal mental-dispositions that establish the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of meaningfulness-and-teleology whether as of
 ‘direct or derived vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-
 of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging out of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ with temporal-
 dispositions or logical-dueness as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context with the intemporal/conviction mental-
 disposition; so-construed as of their contrastive-synopsising-depths-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology rather for a ‘conflation construal/conceptualisation’ and not a rather deceptive
 analytical reflex of ‘constitutedness of reference-of-thought construal/conceptualisation’. The
 fact is by mental-reflex we relate to social meaning by constitutedness as of elaboration-as-
 mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-
 existential-contextualising-contiguity which by habit or chance will often turn out to be as of
 existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of
 the institutionalisation ambits of the domain-of-concern precedingly so-established/so-
 institutionalised by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and
 so with hardly any consequence for our methodological imprecision/inexactitude where the
 established/institutionalised reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-
 and-teleology is not ontologically superseded as at uninstitutionalised-threshold. But that is
 technically/abstractly speaking inappropriate from an ontological-veridicality perspective
 requiring unassailability/reliability/dependability at uninstitutionalised-threshold. As
 explained elsewhere and implied above it is the conceptualising (by maximalising-

recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness) of a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology as
of conflation that enables such a certitude at uninstitutionalised-threshold of an epistemic-
totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality by its specific institutionalisation. And that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of
the social at uninstitutionalised-threshold involves a holistic/nested-congruence social
construal/conceptualisation that necessarily should factor in the reality of a human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions–existentialism-form-factor but we fail to do this due to our
<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag metaphysics-of-presence disposition as of
institutionalisation and thus wrongly implying intemporal construal as of our secondnature
institutionalisation which while inconsequential within the ambit of institutionalisation is not
ontologically-veridical at the institutionalisation uninstitutionalised-threshold with the latter
rather requiring a temporal-to-intemporal appraisal as of metaphysics-of-absence as its
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology.
The implication is that postlogism/psychopathy and other human temporal phenomena (and
so, across all registry-worldviews) which speak of uninstitutionalised-threshold are often
wrongfully construed on the basis of intemporal secondnature institutionalisation human
nature whereas the conflatedness requires ‘synopsising-depth of a human temporal-to-

intemporal nature’ and so by conflatedness to establish the uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology rather as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (construed as intimately tying down our limited-mentation-capacity by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring to the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) as should be the case at all uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so over the mental-reflex of assuming secondnature institutionalisation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (construed as letting our limited-mentation-capacity by unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring out of the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) as the latter is only practically effective when dealing with an already established huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/institutionalised-construct but not at uninstitutionalised-threshold which require their own new specific reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology which so established then enables the practical effectiveness of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Consider the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair and accusing another, even at that relatively social universal transparency level there is a chance of mistaking as with the visitor sitting on the wet chair and needing an explanation of the whole situation including the child’s condition, and such insight gets more and more opaque with the manifestation of adulthood psychopathy. This is an uninstitutionalised-threshold situation which is necessarily beyond-the-consciousness-

awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and without social universal-transparency of the visitor. This example is exactly along the lines of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology needed for construing postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism as of its social model at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so by way of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (the latter is what sets up apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments and is of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, in contrast to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which is what renders-operant/incidenting predicative-insights). It is only then that such an established institutionalisation framework allows for elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity on the basis of the established reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology.

Such a conceptualisation/construal is dramatically different from how we ordinarily conceive the construal of social meaningfulness-and-teleology before the institutionalisation of such a specific uninstitutionalised-threshold takes place. (Consider in this respect how the visitor erred in its relation with the childhood psychopathy on the basis of its commonly assumed social elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. At this individuation-level representation of the disambiguation of the transcending and transcended registry-

worldviews, the visitor is using the ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights’ of positivism–procrypticism that do not factor in the possibility of the childhood psychopathy’s slantedness as inducing procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology going by the visitor’s relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of positivism–procrypticism, while the explainer of the situation has factored in deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology to preempt the induced procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology from the childhood psychopathy slantedness. At this individuation-level, the fact is that in order to be certain to avoid a similar deception again in its relation with the childhood psychopathy the visitor will now construe of deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology to preempt the slanted inducing of procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology and gives up on positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to its relations with the childhood psychopathy. Thus at this individuation-level uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the childhood psychopathy, a new deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology has superseded the prior positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, as it is the one to be circularly/recurrently/repetitively/repeatedly be utilised for operant/incidenting predication as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. This is equally implied at the registry-worldview/dimension-level by dynamic-cumulative aftereffect, but in this instance factoring in well more than just one incident of childhood psychopathy but rather the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect implications on the social structure of myriad cases of psychopathy, and as of postlogism/psychopathic personalities development from childhood to adulthood together with the implications of conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy not only with regards to conjugated-ignorance as with the visitor but all the temporal-dispositions including ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as of habits and thinking patterns consequences as of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) by formality dynamics; with the implication of lack of social universal-transparency as the manifestation is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought at this uninstitutionalised-threshold, together with the inherent human complex of non-transcendability and hence undementability across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions. At this registry-worldview/dimension-level it is obvious that a straightforward articulation going by the incidental situation of such an individuation-level analysis will not be the case, but rather requires focussing on the bigger structural/paradigmatic picture of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. However, suggesting at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis the ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality of a new deprocrypticism reference-of-thought—categorical-

imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology
 that implies that the registry-worldview/dimension is in circular-pervasiveness of
 procrypticism or ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology will meet with a mental-complex of <formative>epistemic-
 totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage
 metaphysics-of-presence and can only arise as of a cross-generational psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. (Such an insight can be further
 elucidated in a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration given the limits of the
 possibility of explanation as herein about the ‘lived social’ as of the aforementioned implied
 deprocrypticism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology
 construing a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration driven by such
 postlogism/psychopathic associated vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-
 projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging
 maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness induced narration-construed-as-
 instantiative-moulting involving childhood psychopathy to adulthood psychopathy
 development, and corresponding evolving of social relations as of dynamic-cumulative-
 aftereffect ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology involving non-conviction/psychopathic/postlogism—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology—as-
 prelogism-as-of-conviction thus leading to hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing; and
 so construed as of ‘themes-driven underlying-agency-or-sous-agencement dynamics for
 narration-construed-as-instantiative-moulting’). However, we can still get a sense of such

structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity from a retrospective registry-worldview/dimension perspective like postlogism in a non-positivistic social-setup as of our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective but it is more difficult to grasp from a deprocrypticism prospective perspective of analysis where we will rather be unpalatably represented as decentered and dementing, given our state of metaphysics-of-presence. Supposed with regards to a case of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as highlighted before as of a social-setup whose relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is non-positivistic, a positivism minded interlocutor arguing that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery do not exist upon an accusation of sorcery is literally undermining itself but is seen as ontologically necessary for the cross-generational possibility of prospective transcendence. Supposed however that the interlocutor isn't an isolated individual but a member from a positivistic society bringing about a cultural diffusion in the non-positivistic society such that the latter looks up to the former by its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as it effectively has greater control on intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected by way of say its relative technology, then in this case the non-positivistic social-setup will at least in ad-hoc instances be circumspect in countenancing that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery do not exist as of <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This new positivism reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology voiding notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and superstition generally as of the prior non-positivism reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology

will more likely be taken-up-fully/habituated only cross-generationally in the middle run as the mental-reflex will constantly relapse into notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and superstition of the prior non-positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, highlighting that a postlogism like psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism or one associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in non-positivism social-setup is not truly speaking an isolated phenomenon as construed from an individuation-level of analysis but speaks in the bigger picture of an underlying registry-worldview/dimension registry-worldview/dimension-level relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency; such that implying that our prior positivism–procrypticism, as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology, cannot longer be upheld at such uninstitutionalised-threshold but requiring in lieu a deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology will be difficult to countenance but for a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure since the issue is one of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>. Thus supposed the case of the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair arose in say a non-positivistic social-setup, as of its superstitiousness, with its explanation that the reason had to do with its suspicion of sorcery from the brother. While the social-setup entertains superstitious notions however the

childhood psychopathy relatively poor

maturational/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness means that it is more likely to be disbelieved in this instance as well in addition to the household familiarisation with the psychopathic/postlogism condition of the child. Likewise, a visiting stranger in such a non-positivistic social-setup might just as well have a similar reaction as the visitor in a positivism–procyrticism social-setup by believing and reacting to the childhood psychopathy manifestation as the non-positivism social-setup apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification entertains/is-cognisant-and-integrative-of/is-in-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>-with superstitious claims in its meaningfulness-and-teleology. An explainer to the visiting stranger in the non-positivism social-setup case about the whole situation would have articulated at the individuation-level of analysis a prospective ‘logically-due prelogism-as-of-conviction conflatedness as of positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’, going by their familiarisation with the childhood psychopathy ‘logically-undue postlogism-as-of-non-conviction denaturing as of non-positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over the visiting stranger prior superstition believing ‘logically-undue conjugated-postlogism/conjugated-non-conviction derived-denaturing as of non-positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’, with both latter logically reference-of-thought construed as of distractive-alignment-to-

reference-of-thought or lacking-an-ontologically-veridical-reference-of-thought due to their derived-denaturing which as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect at registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis is the very ontologically-central notion of every registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold which should thus be always construed as being in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with respect to its prospective institutionalisation. It is effectively derived-denaturing that induces apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as of uninstitutionalised-thresholds, as we can appreciate that the childhood psychopathy and the visitor's meaningfulness-and-teleology are in effect ontologically-speaking apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing. But then at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis however, when compared to the simplistic individuation-level postlogism analysis insight, implying ontological-veridicality/ontological-reality on the basis of 'logically-due prelogism-as-of-conviction conflatedness as of positivism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology' with respect to the overall non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension as of its dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect with regards to the manifest registry-worldview/dimension-level social construal of superstitions and notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in general, can only arise from a cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, as the non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension in relation to the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension is a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> just as our positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension in relation to futural Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, in that as with all registry-worldviews/dimensions both do not contemplate of their transcendability and thus dementability, and keep on relapsing into their respective non-positivism and procrypticism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology in lieu of the respective prospective positivism and deprocrypticism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. This is further rendered difficult by a natural human ‘emotional involvement’ driven social-aggregation-enabling as of human condition that undermines intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. This insight equally explains the pertinence of understanding postlogism/psychopathy in general as an epiphenomenon that can provide deeper insight about human nature given its ‘lateral-and-transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing disruptive nature on human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and with the structure/paradigm relatively easily perceived at childhood, much like the early modern human biologists relatively simplistic but counterintuitive-as-of-their-epochs understanding of disease provided deeper insight in understanding how the complexity of the human body works. Both individuation-level understanding of postlogism in a non-positivism as of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and positivism social-setup as of psychopathy and social psychopathy divulge a bigger reality at the registry-

worldview/dimension-level dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect that is hidden by registry-worldview/dimension-level complexity, wherein the childhood postlogism individuation-level construal points out the reality at the registry-worldview/dimension-level of respectively a conventioning non-positivism in lieu of an ontologically-veridical positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology and a conventioning positivism–procrypticism as procrypticism in lieu of an ontologically-veridical deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. That insight then brings up the idea of how does a registry-worldview/dimension-level dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect reflect the more simplistic individuation-level ontological-veridicality at childhood postlogism/psychopathy; which is the more elaborate purpose herein. That is, how distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as undermining conflatedness induces psychological-complexes pointing to, as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect, the registry-worldview/dimension-level ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Considering again the childhood psychopathy case in a ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair, these basic elements can be expounded at the individuation-level of analysis. It should be noted that the visitor ‘as of its conjugated-postlogism as conjugated-ignorance’ is rather inclined to wrongly imply a ‘symmetrisation-of-reference-of-thought but which is in effect an ontologically-non-veridical-or-flawed--<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that may induced its inclination for desymmetrisation for its perceived temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction but for the fact of the relative contextual innocuousness with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction when it comes to childhood psychopathy compared to adulthood psychopathy’.

The explainer of the situation ‘as of its prelogism-as-of-conviction-of-reference-of-thought’ is in an ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’/asymmetrisation relative to the visitor and childhood psychopathy with respect to the construal of ontological-veridicality. Hence the explainer of the situation construes the conflatedness as of its asymmetrisation with respect to the visitor whose reference-of-thought ontologising-deficiency/relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as not factoring in the childhood psychopathy postlogism-as-of-non-conviction-of-reference-of-thought which is ‘pathologically ontologically-destructuring’ implying both the childhood psychopathy and the visitor are rather in a state of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not bad or poor logic such that the notion of logical-dueness doesn’t arise in the very first place, as a reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct is fundamentally construed as of its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought prior to the notion of logical-dueness arising once soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought is established; thus, given the asymmetrisation of the explainer of the situation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as existential/ontological as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as contextually-manifest prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in contrast to the visitor’s ‘supposed reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct’ which is non-existential/non-ontological as not-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as contextually-manifest prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. It is this fundamental fact that underlies the notion of ‘distractiveness or arrogation or usurpation or co-opting’ associated with the construal of the meaningfulness-and-teleology of temporal-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-

hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing in relation to intemporal meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological; as such symmetrisation and subsequent desymmetrisation will wrongfully lead to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of the visitor’s reference-of-thought so ontologically-destructured by the childhood psychopathy postlogism ‘dereifying act’ of spilling water on a chair and accusing another, thereby undermining ontological-veridicality where logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction is wrongly assumed thus supposedly implying logical-processing-or-logical-implication is now to be engaged on the basis of the visitor’s ontologically-destructured reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct rather than implying the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of the explainer of the situation reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the visitors and childhood psychopathy ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought. The implication here is that the construal/conceptualisation of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology lies entirely/exclusively/supersedingly on the reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct/curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of the explainer of the situation while the logical-dueness of the visitor’s ‘supposed but rather non-existential/non-ontological reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct/curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ doesn’t even arise in the very first place and fundamentally explains why its meaningfulness-and-teleology is operantly qualified as of ‘distractiveness’/distractive-alignment/dismissal-as-being-in-arrogation and so more aptly as distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. Distractiveness as it implies that in such a

context, ontological-veridicality is construed exclusively as of intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction reference-of-thought conflatedness denying any implied symmetrising of meaningfulness-and-teleology from temporal-dispositions in perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as their logical-dueness doesn't arise in the very first place, hence the reason why perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought is construed more than just as of 'ontological-destructuring-constitutedness' but more completely and critically to avoid misconstrual rather as of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought ; to point out that temporal-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought haven't got any 'existentially/ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought' given that they are in arrogation/usurpation/co-opting but rather the reality of their perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought is construed operantly as of temporal postlogism-as-of-non-conviction-and-conjugated-postlogism 'exercise of distracting from' the intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction reference-of-thought as of conflatedness', and so construed as distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. That is, a 'temporality distracting from intemporality' construct; wherein the 'conflatedness of intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction projection' is misconstrued in 'denaturing' of psychopathy/postlogism with the consequent alignment to it of conjugated-postlogism as 'derived-denaturing'. In other words, prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is 'precedingly/supersedingly structurally/paradigmatically cogent and comprehensive framework of meaningfulness-and-teleology' such that any arising temporal disruption of meaningfulness-and-teleology 'has nothing to do with constituting meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the temporal disruption prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought', but rather meaningfulness-and-teleology is reconstrued as structuring/paradigming from the very prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought itself. Consider the case of the defective

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as perversion-
 of-reference-of-thought and temporal alignment in assuming the defective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as appropriate
 as derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
 reference-of-thought and intemporal projection of appropriate
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. One cannot depart from both ‘the
 state of the defective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as perversion-
 of-reference-of-thought or any states of temporal alignment in assuming the defective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as appropriate
 as derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ to construe meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality structurally/paradigmatically by their relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as all the meaningfulness-and-teleology
 that can be as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality wholly lies with the intemporal
 projection of appropriate
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The implication at the
 registry-worldview level is that base-institutionalisation ‘wholly carries all the
 meaningfulness-and-teleology that can be as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ over
 a state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, and likewise for universalisation over base-
 institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, positivism over universalisation–non-
 positivism/medievalism, and in our case futural Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as of prospective deprocrpticism over our positivism–procrpticism. The

point here is to highlight that ‘conflatedness’ doesn’t imply any symmetrisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought since the latter is structurally/paradigmatically not logically-due for logical-processing-or-logical-implication in the very first place as is erroneously assumed by temporal projection mental-reflex. But rather, it implies an utter structural/paradigmatic reconstrual of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality wholly by the intemporal projection of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure implications associated with perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought ultimately falls to the grander issue of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as fundamentally endemising/enculturating such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought possibilities; such that an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is not one that simply identify a perversion-of-reference-of-thought in a social-construct but as ‘covering all the possibilities for vices-and-impediments hypothetically susceptible to arise’ projects how structurally/paradigmatically the social-construct as of its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘susceptible to integrate’ perversion-of-reference-of-thought as derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and ‘build a structural/paradigmatic ontology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought going from this more comprehensive-possibilities bases that doesn’t allow for incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness’ with the implication that no logical interlocution of the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> arises as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-
 of-reference-of-thought. We can appreciate that the childhood psychopathy ‘dereifying act’
 of spilling water on a chair is a distractiveness-drive with no existentially/ontologically
 veridical reference-of-thought which when wrongly implied as valid prelogism-as-of-
 conviction reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct leads to its reference-of-thought–
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
 wrongly transforming the issue into one of logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction thus
 supposedly implying logical-processing-or-logical-implication by wrongly enabling logical-
 dueness to arise instead of an issue of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought
 implying its dismissal as distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought ; and this flaw extends
 into the visitor’s conjugated-postlogism as conjugated-ignorance given its relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of positivism–procrysticism
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which is cognisant-and-integrative as of its
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflection of
 existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification of the childhood
 psychopathy slantedness, and so as a derived-distractiveness-drive with no
 existentially/ontologically veridical reference-of-thought which when wrongly implied
 falsely as ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct also leads to its
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
 wrongly transforming the issue into one of logic-as-of-prelogism-as-of-conviction thus
 supposedly implying logical-processing-or-logical-implication by wrongly enabling logical-
 dueness to arise instead of an issue of derived unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-

thought and thus also implying as well its dismissal as distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-
 thought. In both wrongful
 ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments for
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology
 what is produced isn’t ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather
 dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing qualified as arrogation or usurpation or co-opting’ exactly because of the induced
 postlogism/psychopathy distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought out of
 existentially/ontologically veridical context; and its social integration/derivation in
 conjugation with human temporality/shortness of
 ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
 negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation as conjugated-
 postlogism due to relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, and
 specifically in the case of positivism—procrypticism, due to disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought. This equally underlies on the basis of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect at
 the individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analyses the notion of
 ‘decentering’ as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributione-
 dialectics, as the idea of value-reference if wrongfully ontologically construed as determined
 by the ‘<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as
 respectively non-positivism reference-of-thought’ or as procrypticism reference-of-thought’,
 then in effect the phenomena of non-positivism/medievalism postlogism like notions-and-
 accusations-of-sorcery as well as psychopathic-postlogism-and-its-social-integration as of our
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought will respectively be wrongfully

construed to be existentially/ontologically veridical. The bigger point being that symmetrisation implying mutual recognition of reference-of-thought can only arise where there is mutual appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness as existentially/ontologically veridical thus enabling the logical-dueness of both interlocutors to arise as of their soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in the very first place, notwithstanding thereafter the appropriateness or inappropriateness of the logical-processing-or-logical-implication exercise which is then an altogether different issue of effective/ineffective logic-as-prelogism-as-of-conviction, and this latter is what tends to be falsely implied in situations of postlogism/psychopathy and conjugated-postlogism/social-psychopathy, and need to be ‘ontologically dismissed offhand’ and brought back to the fundamental issue of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought rather reflected-as-of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in determining whether logical-dueness arises in the very first place. Central to such a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect registry-worldview/dimension-level analysis derived from such an individuation-level insight is the idea that social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is contiguous as of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis, notwithstanding its developing complexification as of dynamic-cumulative-after/effect as from the individuation-level to the registry-worldview/dimension-level and thus with a greater opportunity for the simplistic individuation-level childhood postlogism/psychopathy phenomenon relatively resolvable at that individuation-level to fail resolution with the myriad of such cases at the circular-complexification registry-worldview/dimension-level of more surreptitious adulthood pathological postlogism/psychopathy as the maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness induces ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency with consequent conjugated-postlogism ‘involving beyond-the-

consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought dynamics further associated with a generalised social ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency reflected by the given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought thus reflecting the uninstitutionalised-threshold backdrop for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing. In other words, social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction is structurally/paradigmatically ‘ontologically compromised’ as of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought such that what a registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation accede to as socially-functioning-and-accordant is limited by its given beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought with the implication that ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency at this uninstitutionalised-threshold allows for denaturing, which is rather subpar to the notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness required for ontological-normalcy as ‘preempting epistemic-decadence’, as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation to be construed as socially-functional-and-accordant, with the possibility for such epistemic-decadence being superseded arising only as of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought driven by the ‘non-constraining and abstract organic mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ in rearticulating

such a prospective institutionalisation ‘constraining social universal-transparency reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation taking cognisance of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought; wherein notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness reflects their institutionalisation and denaturing reflects their uninstitutionalised-threshold. Hence in the bigger picture explaining why the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions are construed as of reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy towards ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. As of a protracted analysis given human limited-mentation-capacity with respect to social universal-transparency which critically tends to be solicited at its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as in this individuation-level analysis, conflatedness can equally be construed as tying down transcendently-enabling-level–of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism to ontological-normalcy as ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought avails as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, and hence its construal as of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness; while constitutedness can equally be construed as tying down ‘supposed objectivity as of conscious or unconscious denaturing ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ to the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasitic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy

enabled by relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought in temporal
 prioritisation teleology. As such conflatedness is the underlying drive of a human
 hermeneutic psychology as of an ontologically-driven developing psyche as ‘dialectical-
 thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-
 dynamics’ construed as of notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness from
 constitutedness/recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, first-level-presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness of base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, second-level-
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of universalisation—non-
 positivism/medievalism, third-level-presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of
 positivism—procrypticism, and full-notional-conflatedness/deprocrypticism. We can
 appreciate that prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought inherently
 undermines the capacity for transcendently-enabling-level-of-
 authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of a notional--<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag agent of
 limited-mentation-capacity that we are as of our animate-existential-
 referencing/subjectification, such that our transcendently-enabling-level-of-
 authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism enabling our ontology/virtue-construal
 capacity is more fundamentally a drive for ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
 driven by conflatedness as articulated above over denaturing, and explaining why
 conflatedness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-

reality instigating the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process behind the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures is the very determinant of human ontology/virtue-construct, and so more than just an affixed as denaturing referencing of any one registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy, notwithstanding the mere fact of simply being secondnatured/institutionalised at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of our positivism—procrypticism. Notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness points out that it is the aspiration for base-institutionalisation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, for universalisation from base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, for positivism from universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively for deprocrypticism from our positivism—procrypticism that are of ontology/virtue equivalence as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality; and not the <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-complex of considering the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology while failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality within the given registry-worldview/dimension, be it at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as our positivism—procrypticism. A naïve

conceptualisation of ontology/virtue construal ideal by the mere fact of simply being at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of our positivism—procrypticism institutionalisation doesn't speak of our firstnature/intemporal projection-of-thought but rather of a secondnature institutionalisation that induced our prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process that cannot be confused with the idea of construing our present positivism—procrypticism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought as the definite ontology/virtue closed-structure, but rather warrants that we take stock of the exceptional ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process that has gone before in providing the secondnature possibilities of our present as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness, and in that respect conjure how we can equally undertake our own part of the human existential tale homework in summoning ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as an opened-structure for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, and not a closed-structure naïve <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag nombrilism as of flawed/perverted reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at our positivism—procrypticism uninstitutionalisation of procrypticism as disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and by so doing denying the 'grander human existential-tale implications of notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness'. This fundamental and protracted

epiphenomenal insight as of ‘human subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of ontology/intrinsic-reality/of-referential-nature/of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ more than just as of a virtue conceptualisation is more profoundly/all-embracingly an echoness of the implication of human limited-mentation-capacity for ontological-construal/ontological-conceptualisation, and so with little temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction and is equally relevant with regards to innocuous knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as it subsumes virtue-as-inherent-ontology; with dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect implications at the individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis as of metaphysics-of-absence. In this regard, metaphysics-of-absence as articulated herein by this author is rather about, ‘human limited-mentation-capacity construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence metaphysics-of-absence/Doppler-thinking as it disambiguates human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. For instance, the immediacy of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling in the natural sciences which is implicated in those fields by their ‘relatively high results-constraining-effectiveness nature’ provides metaphysics-of-absence insights with regards to obviating the

high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction bound to disrupt thought and analysis in the social as of its ‘relatively low results-constraining-effectiveness nature’. Along the same argument and with regards to the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction inherent in the social, it is important to grasp that such an epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon insight as implied herein with postlogism/psychopathy and corresponding human social dynamics implications is rather a social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that goes well beyond any given specific epiphenomenon–(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness)/incidental occurring behind the inspired/insight-for-the social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for universal retrospective to prospective understanding of postlogism/psychopathy and human social dynamics implications. In other words such a social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is inherently the more expansive, universal, decisive, objective and easier basis for critiquing its theorising-conceptualising-operationalising narratives ‘in order to assess the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of the possibilities of easily transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism myriad retrospective and prospective social contexts of analysis, and so more critically rather than an obscured/muddled/obfuscated and difficult critiquing grounded on ‘assessing the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the

structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the social construction supposedly coherent ontological-commitment rather on the basis of any such specific epiphenomenon—(in-the-overall-ecstatic-existence-supervening-conflatedness)/incidental occurring as of its relatively poorly objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental social context for analysis. Consider similarly that an epiphenomenal/incidental occurrence of an-apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree thus inspiring/providing-insight-for his laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for explaining mechanical phenomena. Certainly, the inherently more expansive, universal, decisive, objective and easy basis for critiquing its theorising-conceptualising-operationalising narratives ‘in order to assess the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of his laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is the possibilities of easily transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism myriad retrospective and prospective mechanical phenomena for analysis, and so more critically rather than an obscured/muddled/obfuscated and difficult critiquing grounded on ‘assessing the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the structural/paradigmatic universal implications arrived-at of the laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment on the basis of the specific epiphenomenal/incidental occurrence of an-apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree as of the latter relatively poorly objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental mechanical occurrence for analysis. In both instances, such an apparently naïve intellectual disposition will point to relative intellectual impertinence at best, and at worst conscious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity angling to cynically undermine universal veracity/ontological-pertinence as of the opportunity of

implying poorly objectifiable-as-desubjectifiable/subjectified incidental analysis as pre-eminently of universal import. While this logic is immediately obvious with the low temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction nature of many a natural sciences <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with their disposition for replication and other experiments and observations analyses as hardly any scientist will go on if it is problematic to objectively ascertain the contextual reality of an apple-hitting-Newton-on-the-head-while-he-sat-under-a-tree to contend that Newton's laws of motion supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is wrong, such an insight about the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment being wholly construed as of its 'very own veracity/ontological-pertinence as of any of its objectifiable contexts' can-and-is often easily flouted and sidetracked with the high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction that permeates the study of the social as of its blurriness. This equally explains why it is actually better and more critical to construe/conceptualise social knowledge not only on the basis of the inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as with the natural sciences but equally factoring in the human social condition as of high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so as of a knowledge notionalisation exercise. In other words metaphysics-of-absence refers to any such projections, as of human imaginative capacity derived from our underlying 'coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-

of-embodied-consciousness' (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-
 commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-
 causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity
 and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency
 potential to manifest as human) and existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-
 echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency; thus enabling human limited-
 mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal) insights as
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights. We can further get a sense with respect to the implications
 of what is meant by reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-
 for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-
 teleology, relative to the construal/conceptualisation from the middle of the last century in the
 biological domain as of its specific uninstitutionalised-threshold then over which the DNA-
 based genetics reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
 was developed which induced an altogether new dramatically different but ontologically-
 veridical imagery/picture of the nature of biology at that uninstitutionalised-threshold that
 then became a new specific institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology
 thereafter amenable to elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity such that the prior non DNA-based construal/conceptualisation (as
 of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology)

with respect to that now DNA-based genetics specific institutionalised <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of biology cannot longer be upheld, and this is so in the bigger picture as a contributory conflatedness within the same positivism registry-worldview institutionalisation. (In fact, the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are the conjoined effect of all specific uninstitutionalised-threshold institutionalisation breakthroughs of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology construed conjointly as of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation.)

In this case, however the ‘emotional involvement’ in conflatedness within the same positivism registry-worldview of appraisal is way low compared to the high ‘emotional involvement’ in making the same construct as of a contrastive transcending/superseding of a prior registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought into an entirely new/prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought like between non-positivism and positivism or prospectively between our positivism—procrypticism and deprocrypticism as in this latter instance such a construal/conceptualisation is comprehensively redefining of the human psyche and tend to elicit the highest levels of ‘emotional involvement’ thus requiring rather a cross-generational adjustment as conflatedness over the prior distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. In conclusion, such a construal/conceptualisation as of deprocrypticism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology over our positivism—procrypticism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology of our ‘lived social’ uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to psychopathy and social psychopathy and procrypticism in general is a wholly new dramatically different depth of understanding, and from our present inclination of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity within the positivism institutionalisation framework. Beyond the above constrastive individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level of analysis with respect to the uptake of prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—meaningfulness-and-teleology, this social reality of varying social reference-of-thought—closeness-of-tethering-to—prelogism-as-of-conviction and reference-of-thought—looseness-of-tethering-to—prelogism-as-of-conviction’ implying increasing reference-of-thought—looseness-of-tethering-to—prelogism-as-of-conviction as of greater temporality/shortness construed as of various shades of reference-of-thought—madeupness/bottomlining speaks in the bigger picture of a social reality across all registry-worldviews/dimensions that tends to ‘destructure any registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology’ by an ‘ontological degradation effect’ having to do with human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—

imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and in so doing inducing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as uninstitutionalised-threshold. In other words, a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology in becoming the new reference-of-thought (over the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought) with its supposedly grander intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-

preservation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of the
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driving/behind its
 construal, turns out to be a prospective institutionalisation ‘reset framework for human
 temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions’ respectively in reference-of-thought–looseness-
 of-tethering-to–prelogism-as-of-conviction and reference-of-thought–closeness-of-tethering-
 to–prelogism-as-of-conviction of the new reference-of-thought’; as facing/dealing anew with
 human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions but this time around doing the same thing
 as occurred with the prior institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that was transcended/superseded to deliver the new
 registry-worldview/dimension, but now on the new registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
 institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology
 (with the difference as of a ‘relatively lower sensibility’ arising just because of the new
 registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought limiting/constraining on the possibilities of vices-and-impediments); implying an
 underlying ontological-contiguity of the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-
 dispositions across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions. Thus while ‘ontologically
 superseding the prior beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-
 extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and prior ‘lack of constraining social universal-
 transparency this does not imply apart from such institutionalisation-as-secondnaturing a
 change of human temporal-to-intemporal nature, given that this nature will further manifest
 at the prospective registry-worldview uninstitutionalised-threshold as its beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and
 ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency inducing anew the new reference-of-
 thought owns apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-

caricaturing. This social dynamism (dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect) as of the new registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold can be construed ontologically as arising out of a further temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology distortedness of the new <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology in the social extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) ultimately extending to the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) spheres of formal constructs distorting formal construal of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so to a point of equilibrium of the new registry-worldview/dimension between its institutionalised meaningfulness-and-teleology and its uninstitutionalised-threshold's apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing. The operant and technical conceptualisation basis of this phenomenon has to do with the inherent nature of pure-ontology conflatedness for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology and 'human temporal-to-intemporal condition' of reception/distortion across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions involving denaturing where there is 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency. The establishment or rather coming into being of a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought can thus be construed as of pure-ontology conflatedness for ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so because it is both the mechanical-knowledge as the constraining technical outcome and the non-constraining driving underlying intemporal-disposition ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, with both constituting the organic-knowledge. This transcendental knowledge construct establishes a dominant social framework of knowledge

grounded on its inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (as it supersedes the prior beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought meaningfulness-and-teleology and the prior 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency'), and then imbues the prospective institutionalisation with social validity and social structure of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of deferential-formalisation-transference. This is the social-setup of the prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought as of pure-ontology conflatedness for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology. But then in due course and at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of this prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought, its organic-knowledge (as driven by intemporal-disposition ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) wanes as the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal nature sets in as it is related to at the uninstitutionalised-threshold by the registry-worldview's/dimension's least common denominator as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction (in a social dynamics at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold that is a drawback-to/undermines prospective-knowledge-and-institutional deferential-formalisation-transference as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and is rather oriented to sovereign extrication over knowledge-reification at this uninstitutionalised-threshold as of

social-aggregation-enabling), as of its bare constraining mechanical-knowledge since reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only ‘mechanistically’ constraining, lacking the organic-spirit or ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Anecdotally, we know as of our uninstitutionalised-threshold that in effect the technical constraints of the law tend to supersede the spirit of the law as it is naïve to think that a ‘sense of rightness’ is all that matters before the law, and this extends to human meaningful and organisational principles in general. Such that temporal-dispositions fulfilment of such ‘mechanistic’ effectiveness as mechanical-knowledge ‘without the non-constraining and abstract organic mental-disposition as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of the emanant-kind that-had-driven the reference-of-thought construal in the first place’ distort in due course organic meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of temporal mental-dispositions of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus such implied prospective reference-of-thought, social organisations and institutions as organic meaningfulness-and-teleology then tend to develop ‘subcultural reorientations’ that are ‘mildly alien’ and ‘on-occasional gravely alien’ to the (especially in the extended-informalities of the social and institutions) original organic-knowledge conceptualisation as of the implied prospective reference-of-thought social and institutions meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus for an ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal for the depcrypticism prospective institutionalisation, it is critical to grasp both the inherent ontological-veracity of the meaningfulness-and-teleology behind the construal of depcrypticism and the ‘reality of a human condition of temporal-dispositions distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and so as of notional-

conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness as historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in articulating a ⟨protensive-consciousness
 referentialism-induced⟩-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-
 meaningfulness, that is preemptive of a least-common-denominator-of-social-functioning-
 and-accordance-effecting to bare mechanical-knowledge as of <formative>wooden-
 language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-
 dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-
 of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology inducing
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as of
 uninstitutionalised-threshold. This is achieved by a perpetuating metaphysics-of-absence that
 factors in human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature. Insightfully, a storied-
 construct/ontologically-valid-narration technique apprehending the temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions respective mental-dispositions for reference-of-thought—looseness-of-tethering—
 to—prelogism-as-of-conviction and reference-of-thought—closeness-of-tethering—to—
 prelogism-as-of-conviction can be construed, wherein the instigating temporal postlogism-as-
 of-non-conviction reference-of-thought—looseness-of-tethering—to—prelogism-as-of-
 conviction (as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction temporal reference-of-thought—
 madeupness/bottomlining) as of the childhood psychopathy (where the reference-of-thought—
 looseness-of-tethering—to—prelogism-as-of-conviction mental defect is of social universal-
 transparency socially like in a 'dereifying act' of spilling water on a chair and accusing
 another, pointing to a mental-shortcut as faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge in
 relating to social-stake-contention-or-confliction) and adult psychopath (where the reference-
 of-thought—looseness-of-tethering—to—prelogism-as-of-conviction mental defect is opaque
 due to its maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-
 functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction) can be elucidated.

The underlying process as of temporal postlogism-as-of-non-conviction/psychopathic reference-of-thought–looseness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction mental defect beginning at childhood involves ‘its circular non-consequential vague trialing of reference-of-thought–looseness-of-tethering–to–prelogism-as-of-conviction’ as of its temporal postlogism reference-of-thought–madeupness/bottomlining with respect to its postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’, in full conscious-awareness-teleology, which when perceived as uncontested by the psychopath (likely to arise where the concerned party lacks insight of its underlying faulty-mentation-procedure-deception and as it seem socially-function) will ultimately lead to its slanting-deception (or deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts or deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-dementing-of-narratives) inducing its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing and its consequent derivation as conjugated-postlogism or social psychopathy apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing. This process is mirrored with the various conjugated-postlogisms conscious or unconscious aligning to the psychopathic/postlogical postlogism-as-of-non-conviction vague-rhyiming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging. Thus effectively such a postlogism-as-of-non-conviction process is rather very simplistic, and the deception arises actually from the prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-states to be by mental-reflex in prelogism-as-of-conviction thus inducing wrongful teleological elevation of the postlogism/psychopathic meaningfulness-and-teleology, which wouldn’t occur at childhood psychopathy. Finally, as of dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect and across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, the ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of any registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation

meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its organic-knowledge' can be construed and analysed across 3 lines; - the initiating temporal postlogism distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, - the generalised temporal-dispositions to integrate such ontologically-destructured meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the registry-worldview's/dimension's relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought explaining its beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency, - and the prospective institutionalisation construing/conceptualising the ontological-veridicality and analysis of such registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought dynamics (as of the previous two) as social ontology/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and equally serves as an ideal storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration of intuitive elucidation framework. The implication of such 'temporal distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology' across all registry-worldviews/dimensions is that meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation involves 'its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights enabling utter psychical-and-institutional conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology exactly by transcending/superseding the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights behind the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold'. As critically the naivety of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> within a same registry-worldview/dimension

uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought is that its defect of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights arising as perversion-of-reference-of-thought due to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought (as failing rulemaking-over-non-rules in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation or failing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules in ununiversalisation or failing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules in non-positivism/medievalism or failing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules in procrypticism, and thus requiring respectively transcending/superseding to base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism), is that meaningfulness-and-teleology can then still be upheld on the basis of the same uninstitutionalised-threshold/uninstitutionalised apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights rather than the more ontologically-veridical implication of prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights enabling utter psychical-and-institutional conflatedness. Explicating thus the structural/paradigmatic implication of the non-positivistic or our positivism–procrypticism perversion-of-reference-of-thought construed respectively as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as an altogether positivism or deprocrypticism utter psychical-and-institutional conflatedness of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and not wrongfully setting-aside/glossing-over/ignoring with the idea that meaningfulness-and-teleology is still to be construed as of non-positivism/medievalism or positivism–

procrypticism; as the grander human living as of the species ‘existential tale’ is in construing that the respective prospective institutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights when availed by contemplation as based-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism implies transcending/superseding the respective uninstitutionalised-threshold apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism, enabling the cumulative recomposuring of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not temporal extricatory paradigm parasitising/co-opting to the species existential-tale.]

The statements articulated priorly (before the square brackets texts) speak of the reality of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ even in our own positivism reference-of-thought registry-worldview. It is fair to say the statement made before, “Z ... will look down on B, C, D, E and F mental-dispositions perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as allowing for the endemisation/enculturation of the denaturing of additionality and the implications thereof of subsequent denaturing in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability” is circumstantially relevant even in our

positivistic registry-worldview wherein 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency induces a 'human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition' temporality/shortness or shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology drive. The Milgram experiments, a demonstration par excellence of the human condition at uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction constraints as of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), truly reflect the inherent nature of 'human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition'; and the deprocrpticism-driven understanding of which should rather be an avenue for a pivoting/decentering psychologism with respect to positivism—procrpticism registry-worldview/dimensions vices-and-impediments (just as with all previous transcendences of 'intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity', rather than a naïve metaphysics-of-presence mental complex that only serves 'flawed egos' and is of no ontologically-veridical import). The point of this distinction made between the nature of 'huma registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation mental-disposition' registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought and 'human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition' registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought, as of prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is to put into perspective the idea that the present and as of our present social construction and individuations as being relatively more exceptional than the solipsistic nature of humans in prior epochs is false, with such wrongly implied exception rather being a confusion between 'cumulated institutionalisation' (which

we carry by being secondnatured at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ leading to the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension) and that our inherent solipsistic sense of intemporality/longness (which overall is no more greater than that of humans of previous successive registry-worldviews/dimensions); and further that we are just of the same ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ as all humans past when it comes to making solipsistic choices at uninstitutionalised-threshold, which choices when of intemporality-drive solipsistic-choices are maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness leading to prospective institutionalisations. This notion of human mental-disposition and by extension meaningfulness-and-teleology as comprising, rather as a more complete and grander conceptualisation, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-facet and an uninstitutionalised-threshold-facet, so-construed by metaphysics-of-absence, carries institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold implications with respect to the determination of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of pertinent scientific conceptualisation (scientific approach, methodology and methods) as rather construed most critically by its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Such metaphysics-of-absence considerations are critically relevant in fully appreciating the articulation herein by this author of such notions (that rather speak of uninstitutionalised-threshold implications with respect to ‘a social pretence of scientific conceptualising as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’), like deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> and transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. Insightfully, it is the case that our present-day

positivistic institutionalisation secondnatured scientific practice outcome of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating is grounded on institutionally-determined peerage/collegiality as of positivistic institutionalisation deferential-formalisation-transference, so supposedly recognised within the social collective or ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. But then we grasp that at the disjuncture of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology (as ‘moulting’ firstnature/intemporal conceptualisation of what developed to become today our scientific practice institutionalisation as of its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) from the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension, we can definitely fathom that the enlightenment actors like the Descartes’s, Galileos, Diderots, etc. of those transitioning times would have certainly been circumspect with regards to any such notion of preceding social approval (for their scientific meaningfulness-and-teleology as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating), given the social non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalised-threshold non-scientific disposition, as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. This points to an altogether different social relation with the notion of scientific practice construed as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, by such intemporal-solipsism/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality mental-disposition that conceive of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology in the uninstitutionalised-threshold social-setup of non-positivism/medievalism where they were institutionally-outliers. As exemplarily implied with the Encyclopédistes led by Diderot, such construal is grounded on a more basic and potent construct of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and actually reveals in many ways the reality of a natural Foucauldian power relations which it

turns out is actually in the medium to long term a social-granting-of-power-exercise with respect to the virtue of true knowledge, as of the social percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> possibilities enabling promising ideas, however institutionally-outlier or institutionally-central, to take hold in society depending on their relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of veracity/ontological-pertinence; without heed given to mere centrality as veracity/ontological-pertinence but decentering if the centrality is not ontologically pertinent, and rather further secondnaturating prospective institutionalisation of scientific practice as of its relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendence-enabling; very much highlighting the prospective institutionalisation pertinence of such notions articulated by this author like deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> and transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. In another respect, with regards to scientific meaningfulness-and-teleology and as it informs the social-construct of knowledge and deferential-formalisation-transference (as power relations with respect to knowledge as socially empowering), it is critical to grasp that it is relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating that induces social deference to formal knowledge constructs and other formal constructs, on the basis that that will ‘produce the greater human Good’, as at the prior as uninstitutionalised-threshold when such domains lacked or were deficient with respect to formal knowledge constructs or other formal constructs like officialdoms, it was rather a question of ‘relatively free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge constructs’ with relatively impulsive and simplistic contending mental-dispositions on the basis of the determining or non-determining need for ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human temporal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-
dispositions and projections’ and not necessarily emphasising ‘social consensus as of relative
intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating by human
intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’; explaining why higher and higher registry-
worldviews/dimensions as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought increasingly defer domains of meaningfulness-and-teleology more and
more to formal constructs while increasingly reducing the sphere of the extended-informality-
(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-
meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of its free-for-all nature. The bigger point being that even
in our positivism–procrysticism registry-worldview/dimension with relatively strong ‘social
consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
enabling/sublimating by human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’ in many
domains; however, with regards to domains (and so, more than just about broad subject
matter areas and broad spheres of other formal constructs including officialdoms, but rather
and critically the specifically relatively undeveloped knowledge spheres of such broad
subject matters and broad spheres of other formal constructs including officialdoms, and as
specific in this instance as with regards to our understanding of psychopathy) that are
spurious and blurry, these are often not socially related to in profound knowledge/scientific
meaningfulness-and-teleology terms on the basis of ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-
reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating by human intemporal
mental-dispositions and projections’ profound treatment, and are rather prone to ‘relatively
free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge constructs’ in rather relatively
impulsive and simplistic contending mental-dispositions on the basis of the determining or
non-determining need for ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human

temporal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-dispositions and projections’ and not necessarily emphasising ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating by human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’. This contrasts with those domains that are more pertinently and decisively intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating which quickly obtain deferential-formalisation-transference (deferential as not opinionating randomly with respect to imagining the legal implications of one another’s actions but deferring one’s understanding to the formal legal domain, appreciating in deference scientific principles and not opinionating about what we imagine about the stars but deferring to the astronomer and physicist, appreciating statistics and human geography methods and not imagining how censuses and polls should be done but deferring to the demographer and statistician, etc.; as providing a grander depth of knowledge by deferential-formalisation-transference pointing out that ‘human intemporal mental-dispositions and projections’ are the basis for ‘inventing’ human knowledge and corresponding virtue (as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation), and not ‘human temporal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-dispositions and projections’. Hence the construal of knowledge construct in such domains that are spurious and blurry as with respect to postlogism/psychopathy social implications should as of precedence be about articulating the illuminating insight that ultimately allows for the attainment of their own deferential-formalisation-transference based on ‘social consensus as of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating by human intemporal

mental-dispositions and projections’, and undermining a social relations with regards to knowledge and virtue that is based on ‘social consensus as of social-aggregation-enabling by human temporal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-dispositions and projections’, and so in order to release the inherent virtue imbued in true knowledge. The afore elucidations are mainly to point out that it is naïve to construe the analysis of postlogism phenomenon including psychopathy on the assumption of an overall ‘huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’ of the social as of the present as metaphysics-of-presence instead of assuming a ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ of the social by prospective metaphysics-of-absence, since the construal of our postlogism as of psychopathy and social psychopathy is necessarily, from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, reflected from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought. Insightfully, by metaphysics-of-absence we can appreciate this logic with respect to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as intuitively we’ll be hard-pressed to recognise that the non-positivism/medievalism social-construct mental-disposition is one of huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation of an intemporality-drive whereas in fact it is one of human uninstitutionalised-threshold of temporalities-drives such that it is endemised/enculturated in various temporality/shortness shades (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence from a prospective positivism registry-

worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought. The same applies with psychopathy in our positivism–procrypticism, as the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in such a context should not and cannot be the trusted reference of intellectual contemplation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in the elucidation of psychopathy and social psychopathy (just as it is not a trusted reference with regards with priorly established formal knowledge constructs whether subject-matter disciplines or formalising constructs including the law, officialdom, etc.), as it is effectively poorly ontological or non-ontological in the sense that it tends to be of an extricatory/temporal paradigm and not intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as when it fails to appreciate the virtuous implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (metaphorically-as-of-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales) as providing the possibility for prospective institutionalisation as structurally/paradigmatically superseding the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments! It is thus important to grasp that the notion of virtue as of our temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions is more than just about the notion of being at the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, but rather the intemporal mental-disposition (intemporal-disposition) to strive as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for base-institutionalisation to supersede recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation equates that striving for universalisation to supersede base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation equates that striving for positivism to supersede universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism equates that striving for deprocrypticism to supersede positivism–procrypticism; as the highest human virtue of

ontological import. Since the inducing of institutionalisation-as-a-second-natured-construct across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures or registry-worldviews/dimensions inevitably implies a dichotomy of reference-of-thought modalities of the same perpetual temporalities-drives and intemporality-drive (given human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor), respectively as ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ and ‘maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maxi. Virtue is essentially about the intemporality-drive as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication with reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology subservient to that purpose, and not about the temporalities-drives as ‘mere adherence as intradimensionally deterministic by form’ to reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as these are failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication rather than upholding it, their very *raison d’être*. Interestingly, supposed by some circumstance an individual of a positivistic insight found themselves in a non-positivistic community, whether base-institutionalisation/animistic or medieval, facing a disease attributed to a negative spirit or so, but the positivistic individual knows it is a case of

an infection with the idea that a certain root or leaf in the nearby forest can be used as cure, however, the community rather believe that the forest is an evil forest and this will just make things worse for them overall. Obviously, as of its positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, by ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness its mental-disposition will be to unleash its maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporality-drive to supersede the non-positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that the evil forest brings bad omen substituting it with the positivistic one that the root or leaf in the forest brings about cure by walking over the supposed ‘evil forest’, and more than just the circumstantial situation will equally appreciate that positivistic thinking over animistic or medieval thinking will go a long way in improving the community’s existence. It is interesting to grasp the difference in the dereifying and reifying construal of existential-contextualising-contiguity here between the non-positivists mindsets and the positivist mindset as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought and respectively as of their divergent non-positivists dereification perspective and positivist reification perspective; as seeing the positivist stranger walking into the supposed ‘evil forest’ will be the confirmation for members of the non-positivist social-setup of its viciousness-or-supernaturalness-or-evil-disposition. It can be noted here that seeing the positivist walking into the evil forest will be branded as proof/evidence by the non-positivists of its viciousness-or-supernaturalness-or-evil-disposition going by their supernatural conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity–in-reification/dereification as of their prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, contrasted with the positivist naturalist conception of existential-contextualising-contiguity-in-reification as-seeking-a-cure as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; and possibly ensuing into a country of the blind

scenario. This insight equally highlights the evasiveness of ‘what is meant by proof/evidence’ even in our positivism–procrysticism registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as the notion of proof/evidence is more critically tied down to existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; just as postmodern-thought notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema> in decentering the ‘modern-take thinking’ reveals the underlying bias of the latter meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected particularly more vividly in gender, race, class, etc. Interestingly, this paradox is very much typical of all transcendental situations and explains the universal ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ contorted gesturing associated with transcendental thresholds. As we can garner in this case that the positivist constrained to existence rather in such a country-of-the-blind scenario cannot simply be deferential to living and Being as of the non-positivist social-setup value reference while very much aware of the structural/paradigmatic virtue implications as of prospective positivism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and thus will ‘contortively’ hold on to the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning possibility of positivistic value references over non-positivistic value reference, even as the latter is always in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; with the implication that such ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen/asceticism as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning contortion is rather in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of the prior

relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the contorted prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought from their respective existentialism intelligibility stances. This contortion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought projection is what marks ‘transcendental acts of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen/asceticism as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ whether of philosophical implications as with say Socrates or philo-religious implications as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought. The contortion arises because inherently the state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought ever always fails to accompany prospective state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought but for the induced cross-generational transcendental metaphoricity possibility, and the contortion is more of a token as of the metaphoricity possibility for prospective transcendence and without which token contortion there is ‘no existential reference for such transcendence’, as a gesturing of metaphoricity that is ‘beyond the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought full meaningfulness-and-teleology implications contemplation’. The contortion implies that there is ‘nothing any more important than upholding the metaphoricity possibility for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’; as transcendental instigation can’t be of ordinary inclination at one moment and at another moment of transcendental inclination, as this will only ‘teleologically-degrade and devalue’ the implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought transcendence into the ordinariness of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought thus psychoanalytically/exegetically/symbiologically existentially undercutting the token contortion existential reference for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-

reference-of-thought transcendence. Thus ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ only evolves into such asceticism as of contortive metaphoricity gesturing for prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought; and has historically acted as a sort of internal cultural diffusion disposition. Such a prospective ontological conception of asceticism rather as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning asceticism, different from asceticism as reasoning-from-results/afterthought or institutional asceticism, should basically be understood as of the general notion that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology are naturally ‘correlate-aesthetic-constructs as of the various reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-towards-ontological-completeness-of-deprocrypticism’ as of their specific reflection of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality (just as implied with the case highlighted herein of the ‘ill-health <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’); and are so derived as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ and construed as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism; with the assertion by this author that there is no accidental human meaningfulness-and-teleology as all prior meaningfulness-and-teleology imply futural

deferred traces of their prospectively more ontologically-complete constructs as of grander ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’. Critically for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism such ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ is all about undermining a nihilistic <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-disposition to prospective opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The fundamental ontological dearth of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism, is that it falsely implies ‘an imaginary wholeness/nested-congruence’ of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology with ‘no-tracing-and-as-it-neuterises’-the-dynamics-of-temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances thus failing to reflect existential wholeness/nested-congruence of meaningfulness-and-teleology and undermining existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ at a given reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) threshold as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness construed as uninstitutionalised-threshold, while falsely implying the given reference-of-thought mere identitive conceptualisations/‘candid existential

expressiveness’ are existentially veridical; and it is important to grasp that every registry-worldview/dimension is of a reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that by its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation falsely implies that its meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily as of ‘identitive <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking’ even at its uninstitutionalised-threshold where it is effectively dementing as its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation fails to induce an ontologically-veridical reifying trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of existential-contextualising-contiguity. We can imagine as of a non-positivistic social-setup reference-of-thought identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology, the ‘candid existential expressiveness’ that ‘integrates superstition as-thinking’ as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold, much like as from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism perspective we can imagine the ‘candid existential expressiveness’ in our positivism—procrypticism that ‘integrates procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as-thinking’ as of its uninstitutionalised-threshold; and in both cases the ‘trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of ontological wholeness/nested-congruence’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification breaks down at the uninstitutionalised-threshold thus assuming a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism representation of the breakdown and going on in both cases

to ‘overlook effectively as-if-thinking respectively’ the ontologically-veridical reality of ‘dementing superstition’ and ‘dementing procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’. It is singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in preempting any such structural/paradigmatic threshold construed as uninstitutionalised-threshold as implied by notional-deprocrypticism that reflects ‘ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ as factoring in prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of the ontologically-flawed threshold of its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation from the perspective of prospective registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought prospective relative-ontological-completeness to construe historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of notionally-full existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. In other words, existential-contextualising-contiguity as reflecting existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation isn’t halted at any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s structural/paradigmatic limit/threshold-construed-as-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for ontological conception, but rather reifies as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as implied with ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as of notional-deprocrypticism, with such singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism reflecting an historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of all such structural/paradigmatic limits/thresholds-construed-as-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition of reference-of-thought ontological conception. In effect, such a trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing can be construed as a ‘creative metaphoricity tracing’ of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the

dynamics of ‘human overall Being-personality-growth and the implications for its living-
personality-growth and institutional-personality-growth’ implied as of notional-
deprocrypticism ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism, as a fundamental
hermeneutic psychological science which as of singularisation/epistemic-
immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism articulates-and-rearticulates such
tracing/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of comprehensive/holistic/nested-congruence
conflatedness from a most profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-
reification depth of notional-deprocrypticism protracted-consciousness. Such a hermeneutic
psychology is necessarily cognisant and departs from a construal of the fundamental
instigation of human knowledge and emancipation as of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning’, as establishing in the very first place the prospective relative-
ontological-completeness reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for reference-of-thought
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and so prior to
assumed meaningfulness-and-teleology
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring. Hence such a notion cannot be
construed on the basis of ordinarily assumed meaningfulness-and-teleology
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring which doesn’t put into question its
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as it is rather
submerged/drowned into it by mental-disposition reflex; but rather as implied as of
reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, such a hermeneutic psychology is more about
instigating a parrhesiastic psychoanalytic-unshackling soul-searching acumen. In this regard,
it is akin for instance to budding positivism reasoning-through/messianic reasoning implied

within a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup, in the sense that that budding positivism reasoning-through/messianic reasoning then ‘is-not reasoning as-of-yet’ as reasoning is then as of the non-positivism/medievalism social-setup apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘as non-positivism reasoning susceptible to superstition and scholasticism-like pedantry construed as universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules but not yet as of rational-empiricism’; with such budding positivism rather a metaphoricity instigation of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of- apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic soul-searching for the psychoanalytic-unshackling of the human subject as of a structural/paradigmatic Lacanian displacement/decentering of the human subject from its prior ‘epistemic-totality/reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of non-positivism/medievalism’ to a prospective ‘epistemic-totality/reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of positivism/rational-empiricism’, that is the fundamental structural/paradigmatic seeding-resolution of the ‘non-positivism/medievalism human subject superegoic vices-and-impediments’. This has the very same metaphoricity implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as such a hermeneutic psychology supersedes our ordinary meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring which doesn’t put into question our positivism—procrysticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, but rather as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is more about instigating prospective

ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic soul-
 searching, for the psychoanalytic-unshackling of the human subject as of a
 structural/paradigmatic Lacanian displacement/decentering of the human subject ‘epistemic-
 totality/reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity
 conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology as from prior positivism—procrypticism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to futural
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
 depcrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as the
 fundamental structural/paradigmatic resolution of the ‘positivism—procrypticism human
 subject superegoic vices-and-impediments’. It should be noted that the way the construction
 of knowledge works at reference-of-thought-level of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
 is utterly counterintuitive to how we perceive prospective elucidation of human knowledge
 and emancipation going by the given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-
 disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring. In
 this regard, we can construe that even the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-
 of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
 as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 mental-disposition in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup has a sense of human
 knowledge development and emancipation but with a mental-reflex that such a conception is
 necessarily by way of the non-positivism/medievalism social-setup reproducibility—

mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of
 reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring.
 The idea that ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ articulation of prospective
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation in prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of positivism reference-
 of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring is
 the route for ontologically-veridical human knowledge transformation and emancipation as of
 prospective positivism is very much alien to the non-positivism/medievalism cloistered-
 consciousness. Likewise, the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-
 <as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-
 disposition in our positivism—procrpticism effectively do has a sense of human knowledge
 development and emancipation but as of a mental-reflex that such a conception is necessarily
 by way of our positivism—procrpticism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-
 disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring. In
 the same vain, the idea that ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’

articulation of prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism
reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring is
the route for ontologically-veridical human knowledge transformation and emancipation in
futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
deprocrypticism is very much alien to our positivism—procrypticism cloistered-consciousness.
In both instances the notion of prospective metaphoricity is one that necessarily faces the fact
that the human mind is ever always entrapped in an existentially-invested ‘epistemic-
totality/reference-of-thought/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity
conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which effective
dislodgment/displacement/decentering is as of a cross-generational instigation, but then
wouldn’t happen just by accident and thus has to be instigated for prospective relative-
ontological-completeness! In fact such an insight can be extended across ‘intemporal
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
ontological-contiguity’ to imply that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is
cognisant of emancipation but doesn’t anticipate that emancipation as of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness is rather as of base-institutionalisation reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, and likewise
the latter doesn’t anticipate the universalisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-

disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, with the latter not anticipating our positivism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation which itself doesn't anticipate prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism. The fact is human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor at its uninstitutionalised-thresholds implies that the human psychological reflex as of its limited-mentation-capacity at any such uninstitutionalised-threshold 'is not geared to adhere to abstract ontological-veridicality' as it will operate its state of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as if in a fully-attained state of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, as of the-very-central-implication-of-throwness, as reflected by the successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation towards ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and thus from a strictly ontologically-veridical point-of-view/perspective, and so beyond our enculturated-conception,-normalisation-and-practice-of-psychology and just as various mystical-and-mythical-practices of prior non-positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions were their own sort of enculturated-conception,-normalisation-and-practice-of-psychology as of their own times, the notion of a psychological science as reinforcing/propping-up human psychology in any prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology state is downright ontologically ridiculous and the manifestation of an epistememic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag naivety. We can appreciate that the psychoanalytic-unshackling of all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought is rather one that shouldn't wrongly be

reinforcing/propping-up the human subject as if a given reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism has its very own complete transformative and emancipative potential as if of fully-attained singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, but an ontologically-veridical psychology rather warrants implying the human subject displacement/decentering as the structural/paradigmatic possibility of the human subject emancipation with regards to the successive prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions superegoic vices-and-impediments; wherein dialectical-thinking reasoning-from-results/afterthought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold is construed as dialectically-dementing as of prospective dialectical-thinking reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation up to the prospective ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism of deprocrypticism. As of its inherent organic knowledge, such a hermeneutic psychology parrhesiastic articulation as herein ‘doesn’t do gimmicks of communication’ as if to imply any favour whatever as of ‘emotional or whatever feel-good trading for the appreciation of the possibility for prospective human emancipation’, since by its ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ it is beyond the idea of convincing for convincing sake as it is simply ‘a blunted eliciting of a solipsistic sense of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology projection in any human and no more’ with no point going beyond that point as it then becomes as of intellectual-and-moral apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>; and so, as its essential meaningfulness-and-teleology is as of a solipsistic transversality-of-disambiguated-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflection of the ontologically ‘superior party’ that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation in its ecstatic singularity, on the same token that a natural scientist is in a transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflection of its object of study as of existence as the ontologically ‘superior party’ without any need to be involved in any bogus exercises that may imply that gravity may not be 9.8 m/s^2 on earth if any given human subject isn’t accommodated for in some way somehow however faintly, be it that it may be the case that gravity is not 9.8 m/s^2 but that as well needs to be established as of the ontologically ‘superior party’ that is existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. But then the human reality across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, isn’t inherently ‘of immediate intellectual responsiveness’ to the notion of its uninstitutionalised-threshold and the corresponding superseding of this as of prospective institutionalisation; as even the disposition to assume an intellectually enlightening mental-disposition is existentially-invested and not necessarily a given. We can appreciate from our positivistic perspective the ‘obvious reality’ of the fact that superstitious beliefs are bogus, but then paradoxically from the beginning of times superstitious beliefs had pervaded all the echelons of human societies whether as of true belief or opportunistically, and have only been increasingly undermined with the advent of positivistic reasoning at the beginning of modern times about 500 years ago. This has to do with the ‘existentially invested nature as of assumed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ of huma ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology’/reference-of-thought-devolving. Thus any given registry-worldview/dimension is strongly constrained to represent itself as of its ‘dialectical-thinking’ prior institutionalisation as reasoning-from-results/afterthought and very weakly constrained to represent itself as of its ‘dementing’

uninstitutionalised-threshold which it tends to represent as nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives), for the possibility of its prospective transcendence-and-sublimity into prospective institutionalisation. This reality is known as human ‘dementative constraint’ to prospective institutionalisation transcendence-and-sublimity as of the possibility of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Human dementative constraint is fundamentally associated with poor universal-transparency with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction at uninstitutionalised-thresholds. This then fails to induce the necessary existential assurance for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity and on that token fails to tip the balance over the ‘social obfuscation dynamic effect’ of <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives as of the prior institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that stifle the transcendence-and-sublimity possibility for prospective institutionalisation. Thus as of the more critical insight that prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is actually ontologically transformative as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, over mere palliative construals as of the very same prior reference-of-thought in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, for resolving a given registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments; this notion of human dementative constraint is critical for the psychoanalytic-unshackling/prospective-grounding insight underlying dynamism with regards to the human mind prospective transcendence-and-sublimity as implied by a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ that emphasises the ‘Lacanian subject’ growth as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics, rather than a second-guessing mented or stigmatic psychology that fails to integrate the decisively ontological transformative implications of human psychology as of

underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and thus making the given presence reference-of-thought as our positivism-procrypticism ‘all-determinative of what can be construed as psychological emancipation’ as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag despite the fact of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The underlying issue here as well as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism has to do with deficient human capacity for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) in construing meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond the constraint of ‘human lifespan of depth-of-thought’ to a more profound appreciation of the underlying possibility for human transcendence-and-sublimity as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. In this regard as of lack of dispensing-with-

immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension is the human temporal inclination to decontortion construed as a disposition to undermine ‘intemporal ontological-veracity as of universal existential import’ for the sake of ‘temporal narrow-and-specific existentially-invested advantage/interest with little concern about emancipatory universal meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so as the very contrary disposition to reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning contortion. Decontortion as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is rather counter to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality disposition by its deterministic hanging onto prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought reasoning-from-results/afterthought while ignoring/overlooking the ontological-veracity implications of the trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of reifying existential-contextualising-contiguity, and thus adopting a dereification posture as enabled by ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency’. Such a human disposition to decontortion at uninstitutionalised-thresholds arise on the naïve basis that human temporal willing/volition can effectively supersede the ontological integrity/veracity of meaningfulness-and-teleology as it reflects existence’s coherence/contiguity as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. But then such a decontorting disposition as can be manifested by a falsely striving to elevate the temporal frame of our 60–100 years of living above the intemporal/ontological frame of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality is rather definitional of our uninstitutionalised-threshold where we are actually dementing and prospectively dialectically-primitive, notwithstanding our attendant <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and vague untransvaluated–temporal-

intemporality gesturing. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can thus be construed as one of increasingly undermining the human subject temporal decontortion disposition not to dispense-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness; wherein across the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, decontortion is ontologically-constrained both as of the ‘dynamic construal of appropriate-as-intemporal existential phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation and construal of appropriate-as-intemporal existential human mental-disposition’. The former is ontologically-constrained as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in undermining the human temporal inclination to phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation decontortion, while human temporal mental-disposition for decontortion is additionally ontologically-constrained with availability of universal-transparency. Relatively objectified phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation as implied in the natural sciences is hardly subjected to decontortion while relatively subjective phenomenality/phenomenal-manifestation as implied in the social is rather easily subjected to decontortion as of blurriness and emotional-involvement. In another respect the implications of flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism also has implications with the ontological-performance as of the effective productivity potential of human knowledge construction. In this regard, it is herein contended that the historically recurrent critique of naïve formalisation particularly in many a field of study that uncritically strive to adhere to a ‘supposedly pre-given science methodology and epistemology naively construed as of inherent transcendental signifier’ such as in the analytic tradition of philosophy, naïve scientific psychology as of facetious methodologies as well as many a natural science domain, that purport to conceptualise complex social meaningfulness-and-teleology in naïve naturalistic methodology terms, all arise because of a flawed

predisposition to identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism implied as of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that in many ways ignores/overlooks existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; and so, as of their ‘formalisation credo as identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism’ thus leading to a disposition that considers knowledge as an exercise of mere conceptual patterning inherently validated by formalisations on the basis of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity without the constraint of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as its very own transcendental signifier which ultimately manifestly-as-inherently enables transcendence-and-sublimity as the very essence of knowledge. This has led in many ways to a dissonance between their knowledge productivity implications and existential reality wherein for instance psychological and psychiatric science seems to imply that all along its practice human psychological illnesses have multiplied many times over as of ever transforming and expanding formalisation credo, while the analytical tradition of philosophy by the avowals of its internal critics has been involved in a recurrent second-guessing exercise as of its visceral inclination for ‘abstracting reality by formalisation outside of social reality’ wrongly mimicking a natural science tradition whose domain-of-study ecstatically allows for such an attitude/mental-disposition/care-and-episteme. Such an approach that atomises/takes-to-pieces analysis ‘as supposedly elucidative’ tends to be rather abstract as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Such that beyond its abstracting exercise, as

when it returns in striving to supposedly elucidate social and other existential phenomenality, it is lost to it that social and other existential phenomenality is already precedingly/supersedingly as of ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’, with the consequence that it naively construes of reification as simply projecting ‘the supposedly reifying atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation analysis’ on the social and other existential phenomenality. Hence it ends up abstractly pulling-apart the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality and thus misrepresenting, denaturing and producing relatively ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology. Such articulations tend out to be merely implied decontextualised/abstracted constructs with poor appreciation and construal of their conceptualisations as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness with respect to temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance which is what enables the reification of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In this regard for instance, the well-articulated Foucauldian discourse of ‘speech activity’ conceptualisation associated with the notion of parrhesia more critically enables its existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification with regards to the possibility of human transcendence-and-sublimity as can be projected from an Ancient Greece context right up to our modern and futural context in contrast to say analytic philosophy ‘speech act’ which by its atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation orientation is in many ways by its mere denotative/connotative constitutedness nature just an implied existentially decontextualised/abstracted construct as of its poor ontological-as-existential-commitment with respect to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, in contrast to the reifying conflatedness connotative nature of ‘speech activity’ discourse as of its contextualising ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence; such that the former assumes rather an identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-

causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity posture as of atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation rather than a difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity posture that is as of ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence as with the latter. Such a conclusion can be extended to other analytic tradition concepts assuming rather an atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation orientation like the broader notion of language games when rather analysed as of a denotative/connotative constitutedness nature outside existential-contextualising-contiguity whereas in contrast this author construes of the ontologically-veridical reflection of the social purview as better served by the notion of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as of its reifying conflatedness connotative nature reflecting the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance of human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated within any given registry-worldview/dimension social-setup going by its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as so-reflected by its self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction exposing it to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, and so-construed as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity; thus further articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology as from prior relative-ontological-incompleteness to prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and so

from the notional/epistemic perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism and this ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative
 ontological-performance’ orientation is theoretically, conceptually and operantly
 ontologically efficacious inherently by its ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence as it reflects
 holistically the ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordions ontological-performances-
 including-virtue-as-ontology of narratives’ as of the social epistemic-totality of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. This holistic insight is reflected in the Derridean
 deconstruction orientation with its obvious narratology implications pertinence to literary
 studies as of its conflatedness with existential-contextualising-contiguity in contrast to such a
 notion like language games when construed rather in constitutedness. This difference of
 conceptualising comes down to the atomising/taking-to-pieces flaw reflex of constituting-
 towards-epistemic-totality implied as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-
 epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism as
 against the ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence disposition for reifying-epistemic-totality-for-
 completeness implied as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-
 reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism; wherein the conflatedness
 mental-reflex is involved in construing of both the right
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset-as-of-
 prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination and thus the knowledge for that right mindset-as-
 of-prospective-deprocrypticism-dissemination for completeness as of ontologically-
 uncompromised ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism/postdication projected
 conflatedness (as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism
 and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity of 'edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with regards to
 human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure' which speaks of the recurrent edging towards
 completion of ontological-performance of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
 fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
 as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-
 reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation), whereas the
 constitutedness mental-reflex assumes uncritically of its right
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset,-in-
 positivism—procrypticism/disjointedness and goes on as of its categorising constituting to
 construe knowledge for completeness without questioning its mindset,-in-positivism—
 procrypticism/disjointedness as if it has got an absolutely veridical
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and this is
 exactly what is implied by displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of its relative-
 ontological-incompleteness. This specific deficiency of the analytic tradition as so-reflected
 in many of its conceptualisations has to do with the very notion of knowledge as being about
 supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of 'affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-
 validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking
 of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as
 axiomatic-construct', and logic actually being in effect the 'inner working
 coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-

world/conditions’, with the implication that all the knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology that exists is about existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment implied as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. In this regard, ‘speech activity’ discourse speaks of an supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as expressed above (with regards to the social contextualisation beyond just speech for the possibility of human transcendence-and-sublimity...) which is then being reified/elucidated for the prospective possibility of human emancipation, with logic being the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of this articulated ontological-as-existential-commitment having to do with such social contextualisation’. Likewise the underlying notion of ontological-performance as herein articulated by this author is as difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism supposedly coherent ontological-commitment about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’; articulating knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-

ontological-contiguity of human underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. This underlying notion of ontological-performance speaks more fundamentally of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, as explicitly underlined in all transcendence-and-sublimity elucidating/reifying subject-matters and sciences, unlike approaches that do-not-or-poorly-appreciate the fact that just as scientific studies are transformative the study of the social rightly articulated beyond-institutional-being-and-craft is just as transformative with regards to prospective human living-development-as-to-personality-development, institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, even though it is more subject to higher emotional-involvement as of its displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Whereas the analytic tradition posture as with ‘speech act’ gives precedence to logical-commitment as reflected in its atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach (implied as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) geared towards identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, which by the token of working by atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation on specific aspects or specific interpretation as of formalisation construct ignores/overlooks ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as the veridical supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in want of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for knowledge as ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, as can be validated and falsified by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-

ontological-contiguity. This fundamental difference of conceptualisation very often underlies the disagreements between the analytic philosophical orientation and other philosophical traditions, in the sense that while the latter might be implicitly implying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ when making its argument, the former will tend to be making a logical-commitment argument as of formalisation construct that ignores/overlooks-and-hence-is-poorly-constrained to the precedence/supersedingness/ascendency of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ in need of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and goes on to naively deploy outside existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification such logic notions like non-sequitur, fallacies, etc. and/or mere categorising denotative/connotative formalisations in constitutedness as ends in themselves, rather than construing logic as of the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for knowledge elucidating/reifying which validation and falsifiability is rather a matter of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. The fundamental point here is that logic (reflected by the atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach) is instead the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of Being and beings as reflected in first-level ontology and second-level ontologies, and logic cannot derive the superseding/preceding ecstatic existential veridicality of Being and beings which validation and falsifiability is ever always a matter of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-

causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity.

Being and beings construed-as-of-ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in the conceptualising of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any-issue-in-existence as knowledge, and so as of articulated axiomatic-constructs; is rather reflected either in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking when the conceptualising is in prospective relative-ontological-completeness or is reflected in unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing when the conceptualising is in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness, and in both instances as substantiated or unsubstantiated respectively by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in reflection of the ascendancy of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. For instance, with the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs over classical-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs as unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing.

This is also the case as of the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of the ‘relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ over ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ as unaffirmation/deprojection/de-

assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-
 measuring/dialectically-dementing; for instance, futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism over our positivism–
 procrypticism or in the case of our positivism over prior non-positivism–medievalism. Logic
 arises as a mental-reflex of the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct
 construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ in knowledge construing-
 as-of-ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of Being and beings. However, because a
 reference-of-thought is already an
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation as of its underlying affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
 logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking, logic
 seems to be the only mental exercise involved since the underlying
 affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
 validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of the
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is ever so
 pervasive-and-transparent to contemplation by mental-reflex, such that when the
 affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
 validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of covert flawed-as-dementing
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is implied with
 regards to say adulthood psychopathic postlogism-slantedness as of the
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of its meaningfulness-and-
 teleology as from difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
 veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity, we go on to

aposteriorise/logicise/derive/intelligise/measure and thus wrongly validating the flawed affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking as of the flawed-as-dementing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and so instead of implying its unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing, as will be done at childhood psychopathy where it is overt and obvious. Further temporal individuation dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation conjugating to this postlogism-slantedness speaks of socially derived affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of flawed-as-dementing apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, equally requiring unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing; as so implied at the uninstitutionalised-thresholds including as of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The underlying insight can be garnered as of the temporal meaningfulness-and-teleology in <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflected as of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of a reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold, for instance with the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of flawed-as-dementing non-positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to our positivism or prospectively the unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-

invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of our flawed-as-dementing positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism. Being and beings construed-as-of-ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in the conceptualising of existence or any <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any-issue-in-existence as knowledge, by affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking and unaffirmation/deprojection/deassertion/undueeness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness, is further elucidative of the notions of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. Wherein incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as associated with mechanical-knowledge is geared on construing on the basis of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology. Whereas maximalising-recomposuring-for-

relative-ontological-completeness associated with organic knowledge is about ‘utterly resolving as of <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any-issue-in-existence as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology’ involving edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness can undermine knowledge development and as of its sophistic/pedantic peddling of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> while straddling inbetween the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought conventioning-referencing and the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction induced institutional-being-and-craft with possible denaturing of such prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought organic knowledge, and by social-construct destructuring postures of significant-otherness. Fundamentally thus there paradigmatic/structural divergence imbued notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-

<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of their incrementalism-in-relative-
 ontological-incompleteness from the prospective notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema> of maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, with maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness reflected in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-
 validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking
 as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
 singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity as from
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-
 uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism, while
 incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is reflected in
 unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
 logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing as
 ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
 dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
 discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>; and so with regards to
 the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This divergence implies lack of mutual-
 intelligibility as of lack of common
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 common/mutual aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, beyond just
 contending differences as of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring which
 do not imply the divergence of common
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of

underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. This is so-
implied with regards to say Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology common
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective
relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-
measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness conventioning-referencing as of sophistry
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness or as with budding positivists Being-
development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology common
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective
relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-
measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing—absolutising-identitive-
constitutedness conventioning-referencing in scholasticism pedantry
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prior
relative-ontological-incompletenesss or with a Rousseau Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social enlightenment common
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective
relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-

measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing the conventioning-referencing as of
 aristocratic/despotic self-aggrandisement
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prior
 relative-ontological-incompletenesss. The point here being that the stake for prospective
 transcendence-and-sublimity are ever always beyond any given registry-
 worldview/dimension <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 conventioning-referencing <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and by that token is geared towards
 antinihilistic undermining of sophistic/pedantic dispositions as of incrementalism-in-relative-
 ontological-incompleteness. With the very blurry nature of the social, even with the best of
 intentions as when continental philosophers try to engage the analytic tradition, the
 experience has often turned out poorly given the failure to explicitly grasp/appreciate the
 conflicting implications of their differing knowledge commitments as of supposedly coherent
 ontological-commitment implied ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence with the former and
 logical-commitment implied atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation with the latter; even as
 going by conceptual-patterning, it can be naively implied that similar conceptual wordings
 imply similar knowledge commitments and operant articulations. In the same vein, one can
 say that notions like spacetime, force, atoms, etc. in the physics <formative>epistemic-
 totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
 veridicality/existential-reality are inherent supposedly coherent ontological-commitment
 about ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’
 that are in need of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-

projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and logic can only be the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as of such supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, and all the physics that is relevant is their further existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as physics knowledge as of its ontological-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as can be validated and is falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Even mathematics it is often underestimated works rather an supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, as of the existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification constraining implications of its ‘equal sign’, speaking of a self-conscious awareness that calculations should reflect-and-be-constrained as per calculations operative validation and falsifiability with regards to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, and with mathematical logic as of mathematics supposedly coherent ontological-commitment ‘concurrent formatting as formalisation’ being the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ towards that purpose. Such reflecting-and-constraining to ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ can difficultly be said with regards to the overall atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag presumption; which strangely enough has been subjected to no less than five major successive internal indictments but still keeps up its operative predilection of atomising/taking-to-pieces, with this author of the opinion that such an in-built institutional grip might be in many ways inducing diversion of intellectual and scholarly resources from a more profound advancement

of philosophy for greater human transformation implications. It is important to grasp here that ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ is superseding/preceding as of existence’s ecstatic singularity, such that ontology supersedes logic which is rather ontology’s ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. It is rather ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ that provides the ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as axiomatic-construct’ insight about supposedly coherent ontological-commitment articulated as ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and not mere logic, with logic not able by itself to derive ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ as it is often naively implied but instead reflecting the ‘inner working coherence/contiguity of axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’ and as any such implied derivation is rather as of explicated/implicated coherence/contiguity with another/other ‘transversally devolving-or-complementary ontological/axiomatic-construct conceptions’ as of ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’. Interestingly, such notions like experimentation, testing, trials, case studies, observational studies, interview, data analysis, content analysis, statistics and basically overall research orientations and research methods as of their formal study implications are just focussed-and-contrasted extensions, with regards to the general and normal day to day experience about living itself for the inspired construing of ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ providing insight about supposedly coherent ontological-commitment in producing knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology; such that critically, appropriate philosophical phenomenal

insight with regards to ‘the general and normal day to day experience about living itself’ as of observational and articulated ontological-pertinence sufficiency, and as supplemented with the grasp and engagement with other philosophical works, speaks of veridical scientific insight and validity subject to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and so because such well-inspired experience-and-interpretation from ‘general and normal day to day experience about living itself’ in the philosophical domain-of-study is generally more ontologically profound and comprehensive as of conflatedness than any contrasted ad-hoc and focussed domain study, even though such domain studies may be insightfully relevant in specific ways but still as of the more profound background of well-inspired experience-and-interpretation from ‘general and normal day to day experience about living itself’. The point here is to highlight that by its very given domain-of-study with respect to overall existence, philosophical knowledge more profoundly makes a holistic conflatedness demand on human living experience for the inspired construing of ‘the ecstatic manifestation of existence and then human experience-and-interpretation of that ecstatic manifestation of existence’ than other more specific domains-of-study for which ad-hoc and focussed domain study methods are pervasively decisive for ontological pertinence. But then this is more a question of ‘expanded onticising construal of existence as of <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved purviews of existence so-construed as subject-matters/domains-of-study’. The ontological-veracity and epistemic-veracity of all such <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality are effectively as of the very same underlying congruent philosophical domain-of-study construal of ecstatic manifestation of existence but for their ‘onticising specifisms of existence’s ecstatic manifestation’; as so-implied as of overall existence metaphoricity/ecstasy panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence as of supervening-conflatedness. Knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology, whether of underlying

ontological-construal or ontical-construal, is epistemically validated as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Inherently, because human-subpotency supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is very much intimately linked with the ontological-performance of human as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness appraisal, it is always ever the case that as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence the validation of knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment is equally as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality constructs; which construal is necessarily as of conflatedness with respect to the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality and relative-ontological-completeness as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), thus invalidating the epistemic-veracity of constitutedness of knowledge. The implication here is that the epistemic-veracity of knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising construal as of existence’ with <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality rather ‘narrowing-construals of their specifically-implied human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation, and hence of nested-congruence with existence’. This further points out that the traditional explicated

constitutedness conception of the notion of cause-and-effect so-implied herein as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is actually epistemically-impertinent and flawed; as this traditional conception tends beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought to imply unconnectedness-with/not-in-nested-congruence with the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality, hence implicitly-or-explicitly liable to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. This constitutedness nature of the notion of cause-and-effect so-implied veridically as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework arises as of the ‘basic and mere mimicking and deployment’ of supposedly science approaches and methodologies on the naïve assumption that their mere deployment is inherently of epistemic-veracity, such that such deployment when it undermines the ‘inherently nested-congruence of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purviews-as-domains-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality’ is in effect just elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Rather any such science approaches and methodologies striving to validate knowledge as meaningfulness-and-teleology by the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, is necessarily instigated as from a philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-

of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness'. Insightfully, while in many ways such an elucidation hardly needs to be explicated in many a natural science domain-of-study as of their directly constraining cause-and-effect nature such that such nested-congruence with existence will often tend to arise naturally as of valid/invalid outcome constraining of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, this unexplicated implicitness should not be confused with the notion that the natural sciences are essentially reduced to their science approaches and methodologies; as is often and awkwardly naively construed from without in many a social domain-of-study. The fact is notwithstanding the 'onticising specifisms of existence's ecstatic manifestation' of the natural science domains-of-study, these are just as driven by a philosophical depth of contemplation as of 'coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness' as reflected in the often 'unspoken/unelaborated scientific hunches and fine-tuning' which is effectively what drives their deployed science approaches and methodologies for their sought after scientific reifying outcomes; and it is this subsuming/nestedness that keeps such science approaches and methodologies in nested-congruence with existential-contextualising-contiguity as of conflatedness; so-implied as of their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. In other words, science approaches and methodologies in reality are simply the extension of philosophical depth of contemplation when it comes to 'onticising specifisms of existence's ecstatic manifestation' as of the <formative>epistemic-

totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of natural sciences; with the implication that the philosophical depth of contemplation has to be undertaken, notwithstanding the fact that the implicated nature in the natural sciences of their onticising direct sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidating outcomes as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will seem to wrongly imply otherwise. Such a philosophical depth of contemplation in nested-congruence as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ is very often incomplete, of-divvied-theorisation and/or ‘poor coherence of theorisation with operant approaches and methodologies’, when it comes to many a social domain-of-study; as quite often theorisation in many a social domain-of-study strives on disparateness, rather than a tendency to ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness enforced’ unifying coherence as in many a natural science domains-of-study, with the consequence that studies are often aloof to direct existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge reifying exercise as of a tendency to technicality as of institutional-being-and-craft imprimatur, ‘fallback to unquestioned/dogmatic normativities’ and ‘habituated dispositions’ which priorly enframed subject-matters and institutional-setups structurally/paradigmatically stifle the possibility for conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidating implications, beyond their conventioning-referencing enframing. Ultimately the bigger issue arises as of the poorly-singularised/poorly-immanent nature of many a social domain-of-study unlike the grand singularised/immanent ‘-<formative>epistemic-

totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)’ that are actually actively sought in the natural sciences; and this author portends that the suprastructuralism/postmodernism as of deprocrypticism ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ holds the promise for such effective grand singularised/immanent social conceptualisation that doesn’t dodge/ignore/disregard outstanding questions about the human existential reality including structural/paradigmatic biases arising beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of human emotional-involvement and sophistic/pedantic distortion of perception of reality so-implied in our present positivism—procrypticism ‘contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ and just as well when ‘science ideology’ seem to subvert and undermine science-in-practice. Worst still while in effect the idea of specialisation in many a natural science domain is often the natural progression of a ‘comprehensively elucidated/reified foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) of the given natural science domain-of-study’ with specialism more of a furtherance of such a foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) scheme in a strong arborescent syncing with the subject-matter general-theoretical-level, in many such social domain-of-study of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> (including some science domains as well which naively tend to draw comprehensive social and human implications of their studies) the drawback to such specialisms is often associated with ‘major interpretative loopholes at the general-theoretical-level of the subject-matter’ with regards to the knowledge-reification implications of supposedly specialisation domains and their studies

since such an approach fails to effectively validate its methodological and conclusive implications with respect to the subject-matter general-theoretical-level implied ontology as of the subject-matter specific epistemic-conception phenomenal/manifest_subpotency-<intransitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation so-reflected in its philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. This weakness is often reflected in naïve use of statistics and methods as well as drawing out conclusions based rather on ordinary average-thinking interpretation as of human-subpotency ‘rather than interpretations and conclusions ensuing naturally and arborescently as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness knowledge-reification implications derived from the general-theoretical-level of the subject-matter as reflecting ontological-contiguity’ whereas this is ever always the case with good practice in the natural sciences and just as well as with an increasingly self-conscious social science as specifically upheld by postmodern-thought. For instance, the internal-coherence/nested-congruence speaking of the underlying foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) implications articulated herein in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can be garnered by the fact that all the knowledge-reification herein implied arises as of the very same underlying ‘objectifying cogent unifying process and gesturing’ as of ‘the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-

incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness', which is exactly what avails in the good practices of the natural sciences as driven by their 'cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics' whether with regards to say 'objectifying chemical processes articulation', 'objectifying physical principles articulation' or 'objectifying biological processes articulations', contrary to a practice of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> in many a social domain-of-study wherein supposedly reified knowledge 'hardly has any underlying implied knowledge-reification process/gesturing for its derivation' as 'cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics' such that these turn out to be poorly operant or non-operant with the conceptual-patterning gesturing of mere-referring-confused-with-explicating, mere-mentioning-confused-with-deriving and mere-conceptual-synonymising-confused-for-knowledge-reification, such that the underlying 'cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics' of the supposed knowledge-reification is hardly operantly existent or is operantly non-existent. Bizarrely, the blurriness of the social seem to be misconstrued as implying knowledge-reification in the social should reflect such blurriness-as-of-disparateness rather than the ultimate objectifying foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal>, and so by conjugating 'relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity' together with 'subject-matter breadth and depth' to achieve such an overall subject-matter knowledge-reification as of objectifying foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal>, in order to elucidate the blurriness. Such that quite often as of institutional practice the notion of foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> is often misconstrued non-aporetically/undilemmatically/unreframed/untransformed as 'merely bringing together disparate conceptualisations for their cross-examination (on the basis of prior

reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation)’ in a naïve substitution of the idea that foregrounding—entailment-
 {narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} truly speaks of
 human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint elicited reframing/transforming/reconstrual
 underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ that ‘runs-through/deflates’ implied
 conceptualisations in elucidating their ontological-veracity by its capacity to ‘objectively
 deflate-all-conceptualisations as of operant <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in existential-
 contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’ as herein implied (involving prospective
 originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for veridical
 ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology), rather than vague
 contrasting-and-comparison of disparate conceptualisations poorly reflecting underlying
 existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness; and further, such an insight of
 underlying ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ as herein implied is often misconstrued as
 being monotonous (whereas such ‘supposedly monotonous process/gesturing of knowledge-
 reification’ reflecting inherent domains-of-study as of their given epistemic-conceptions
 phenomenal/manifest_subpotency-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,—in-the-full-
 potency-of-existence> as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-
 of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation takes the form of the
 process/gesturing of knowledge-reification in say physics with the ‘supposed monotony’ of
 differential equations on physical variables, in chemistry with the ‘supposed monotony’ of
 valence bonding explaining chemical reactions or in biology with the ‘supposed monotony’
 of gene regulation rather ultimately central to all biological processes), with the false

implication of construing that disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> is inherently convenient as of a mental-reflex oriented towards ordinary <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> human-subpotency ways-of-looking-at-things rather than adopting-the-intellectual-hat for reifying the former in a mental-reflex oriented towards existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity ways-of-looking-at-things. Critically, lost to many naïve ‘science ideologues’ preaching about modelling the social domains-of-study along the natural sciences, is the fact that more than mere adoption-and-mimicking of scientific methods and approaches, the truly pertinent and decisively scientific notion of the natural sciences lies with their ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ from whence statistical, mathematical and other scientific methods become interpretatively intelligible; such that merely adopting-and-mimicking such methods without precedingly construing of the ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ of any such social domain-of-study is ‘massively un insightful/shallow and subject to institutional-being-and-craft sophistic/pedantic misconstrual and manipulation’ as it is rather such a ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness that points to the specific scientific methodology of relevance or irrelevance, given that in certain cases the qualitative nature of things will for instance render statistical and mathematical methods irrelevant. This further explains why Derridean deconstruction and Foucauldian discourse analysis have been found in many social domains-of-study, including domains like medical and healthcare practice for instance, to provide a ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ that ‘fully-address-in-depth social

issues'; in the sense that Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative address the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject in reflecting the need to undermine human destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding to further advance its constructive/institutionalising nature, thus overcoming underlying logocentrism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness transcendental-and-sublimity implications, and thus reflecting the fact that human knowledge is more completely a two-fold process involving building the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thereof the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness. It is thus not surprising that naive disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> leads to subject-matters and studies whose supposed knowledge-reification tend to be most heavily dependent on 'peering to a fault' of the contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing of institutional-being-and-craft that is poorly constrained to existential-reality, rather than a peering process that is heavily constrained to existential-reality as of underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as validatable and falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as it is critically the case in the good practices of the natural sciences. The implication here is that the modern positivist 'identitive conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology' as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism is basically caught up in its very own enframed <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> which as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness is rather ‘predisposed to a mental-reflex of construing concepts and
 conceptualisations in absolute terms of conceptual-patterning by mere referring, mentioning
 and synonymisation of concepts and conceptualisations as of a presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness inclination in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that poorly or doesn’t recognise the
 transforming nature of concepts and conceptualisations as of <formative>epistemic-causality-
 as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-
 ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness involving the
 displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject for the right edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity for prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-
 reification as associated with the suprastructuralism/postmodernism perspective in relative-
 ontological-completeness. This contrast with suprastructuralism/postmodernism ‘difference
 conception of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of ontologically-veridical difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 in its unenframed opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology so-implied with respect
 to ‘the transcendental-signifier that is ecstatic-existence’, as so-reflected in existential-
 contextualising-contiguity conflatedness for elucidating, deriving and knowledge-reification
 of concepts and conceptualisations as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-
 incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. This explains why postmodern-thought
 cannot truly be understood in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of naïve identitive positivistic

modern thought because the meaningfulness-and-teleology of postmodern-thought only arise rather in the reification process/gesturing involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject implied as of its <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness for elucidating, deriving and knowledge-reification of its concepts and conceptualisations; as naïve identitive positivistic modern thought in its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag very often and systematically rather construes of such postmodern concepts and conceptualisations substitutively in its predisposition of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness by its mere referring, mentioning and synonymising of postmodern concepts and conceptualisations thus undermining the inherent postmodern-thought implied elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of concepts and conceptualisations, and as such identitive positivistic modern thought fundamentally fails to recognise and factor in the aforementioned postmodern-thought knowledge-reification process/gesturing as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. Such a recurrent ontologically-flawed predisposition is tantamount to say construing Newtonian physics in the absolute terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its concepts and conceptualisations of say space, time, force, etc. to then project this predisposition by mere referring, mentioning and synonymisation of these Newtonian physics concepts and conceptualisations as if of Einsteinian physics in the hope that this will enable the elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of Einsteinian physics, whereas the latter implies an utterly different reification process/gesturing for its specific physics elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification as of its <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-

implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-
 incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. It is rather the
 suprastructuralism/postmodernism reification process/gesturing as of <formative>epistemic-
 causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of
 relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness that supersedingly
 induces postmodern-thought implied concepts and conceptualisations elucidation, derivation
 and knowledge-reification, just as the same can be said of Einsteinian physics reification
 process/gesturing as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-
 incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness in supersedingly inducing its specific
 implied concepts and conceptualisations elucidation, derivation and knowledge-reification of
 say space-time, force, etc. In both instances, when interpreted from the relative-ontological-
 incompleteness perspective in ontologically-flawed presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness of naïve positivistic modern thought or Newtonian physics respectively,
 suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought and Einsteinian physics will be ‘qualified negatively
 as relativistic’ since the latter do not assume a presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness with concepts like truth, space, time, force, etc. and the latter rather perceive
 these as ontologically-flawed elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity as from the relative-ontological-completeness perspective which
 emphasises construing existential-reality as it manifests itself as of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness; and likewise, the fact that existential-
 contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness ‘epistemically implies human limited-mentation-
 capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) for construing ontological-veracity’, thus ‘putting-in-question/deflating by

difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
 determinism’ all presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness traditional conceptions
 beyond their simplistic conceptual-patterning to reflect underlying ecstatic-existence, will
 tend to be construed from the relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective in
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as nominalistic rather than as of
 ‘foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as from the
 relative-ontological-completeness perspective. In other words, the concepts and
 conceptualisations of postmodern-thought are meaningless without their relevant and
 underlying theoretical background framework gesturing, and there is no point in construing
 them as of simplistic conceptual-patterning by mere referring, mentioning and
 synonymisation as if these are of positivistic modern thought theoretical background
 framework gesturing just as the same can be said of striving for the elucidation, derivation
 and knowledge-reification of Einsteinian physics concepts and conceptualisations as if of
 Newtonian physics concepts and conceptualisations by mere referring, mentioning and
 synonymisation as if of the latter. In both cases, the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
 projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-
 ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implied
 displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject points to different sense-of-conscious-
 representation-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology between the relative-ontological-
 incompleteness and relative-ontological-completeness such that the former is rather in
 pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness implying the need for its unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
 assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-
 measuring/dialectically-dementing and cannot simply be projected as the latter which is what

is rather truly and effectively of edginess/incisiveness—of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity implying the need for its true and effective affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking. A further naivety is the appreciation of postmodern knowledge-reification process/gesturing arises as of a general misunderstanding of what is generally implied with regards to any given knowledge-reification process/gesturing. As indicated before all subject-matters/domains-of-study effectively reflect existence's overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness with regards to <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness/relative-ontological-incompleteness, such that for instance even a naïve traditional conception of the physics domain-of-study as of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness is shown to be veridically rather as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness going by the successive relative-ontological-completeness physics conception of such notions as space, time, etc. in <formative>epistemic-totalising development of successive theories say Cartesian, Newtonian, Einsteinian, String theory, etc. using the very same notions and derived-notions but with different implications. This <formative>epistemic-totalising nature of all domains-of-study in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, speaks of the epistemic-veracity of the fact that 'all knowledge is truly developed as of a hermeneutic circle for relative-ontological-

completeness’ that involves human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 <formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). This
 hermeneutic circle knowledge-reification process/gesturing is furthermore reflected in both
 human scholarly-and-pedagogic exercise wherein subject-matters/domains-of-study are
 grasped in successive articulations of deeper and deeper hermeneutic insight as of
 maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. The implication here is
 that postmodern knowledge-reification process/gesturing simply integrates this notion in the
 sense that top-level postmodern scholars articulate their knowledge-reification
 process/gesturing at its ‘appropriate hermeneutic circle level of postmodern knowledge-
 reification’ no different from say top-level physicists and natural scientists articulating their
 knowledge-reification process/gesturing at their ‘appropriate hermeneutic circle level of top-
 level physics/natural-science knowledge-reification’. In both instances, the knowledge-
 reification process/gesturing implies that the scholar or student striving to engage at that top-
 level understanding, needs to grasp the ‘preceding formative/pedagogic hermeneutic circle
 levels of knowledge-reification’. Such a supposed scholar or student cannot depart from
 ordinary/banal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> level of
 knowledge conception to then claim that the top-level physics/natural-science/postmodern-
 thought hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification process/gesturing should be directly and
 fully graspable to it as of a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-
 <as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 predisposition to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness. The fact is the
 various pedagogic hermeneutic circle levels of any subject-matter/domain-of-study as of

successive maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness are meant to transmit a ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/comprehensive organic-attitude-to-knowledge which is much more than just its technical knowledge veracity’ and that ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/comprehensive organic-attitude-to-knowledge’ is needed together with the induced technical dispensation of the lower hermeneutic circle of pedagogic knowledge-acquisition to then be able to engage with the higher/top-level scholarly/pedagogic hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification in its maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. It is important to understand here that the top-level physics/natural-science/postmodern-thought hermeneutic circle of knowledge-reification process/gesturing cannot strive to engage the supposed scholar or student at any such ordinariness/banal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> level of knowledge conception, and implicated in its knowledge-reification gesturing/process is the notion that the prior/all-the-prior hermeneutic circle level(s) of the subject-matter/domain-of-study need to be grasped beforehand; and this is basically because such a top-level is imbued with fundamental and new knowledge-reification priorities. While in many ways the unblurred/sharply-delineated nature of the natural sciences renders such a ‘hermeneutic circle of levels of understanding’ more or less very transparent, with regards to the blurriness of the social such a postmodern-thought ‘hermeneutic circle of levels of understanding’ rather requires increasing familiarisation, habituation and contemplation with regards to such critical texts and analyses (and as is particularly necessary with regards to the ‘parrhesiastic nature of philosophy that is behind the engendering/parrhesiastic-aestheticisation of underlying reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and thereof derived domains-of-study reified-

knowledge as from the underlying reference-of-thought reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’, and one’s
 intemporal solipsistic level of parrhesiastic contemplation is itself a decisive element for the
 capacity to appreciate-and-understand philosophical thought more than just an issue of
 technical acquisition of philosophical knowledge as of mere knowledge
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition). More critically, social and philosophical knowledge
 are no different from any other type of knowledge subject to ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework as of inherent existence/ontological implications, as fundamentally
 requiring contemplative reification arising with human limited-mentation-capacity-
 deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal),
 with the implication that any philosophical, historial and social conception of knowledge is
 not an imprimatur discretion/whim-of-thought exercise on the basis of ‘relic-or-orthodoxy
 knowledge’ induced disparateness-of-conceptualisation-⟨unforegrounding-disentailment,-
 failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity⟩ but rather implying a furtherance of the overall
 hermeneutic exercise involved in the advancement of all human knowledge as of
 ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, wherein all
 such knowledge-reification is a hermeneutic circle involving: the analyst’s/philosopher’s
 baseline originary up-to-date knowledge-reification process/gesturing of the specific
 knowledge area as of inherent existence/ontological implications whether say with a natural
 science domain like hereditary as of its given specificity or philosopher’s thought as of the
 general ontological comprehensiveness of philosophical thought; to then credibly analyse the
 coherence of the given prior contribution on the basis of the analyst’s/philosopher’s baseline
 originary up-to-date knowledge-reification process/gesturing of the specific knowledge area
 as of inherent existence/ontological implications as to what it brings and reflects about
 current knowledge-reification; and then the analyst’s/philosopher’s reflection on the shortfall

in the ontological-performance of the given prior contribution while reflecting the epochal constraints for such a shortfall going beyond a construal of the given prior contribution as mere ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’; and finally, the analyst’s/philosopher’s conceptual interpretation as its prospective contribution that is subject to validation and falsifiability as of inherent existence/ontological implications thus amenable to foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ with other so-constructed knowledge-reification, that are well beyond a disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> orientation driven by the cultivation of mere imprimatur discretion/whim-of-thought ‘relic-or-orthodoxy knowledge’ disposition. It is important to appreciate here that a history of postmodern-thought criticism driven by populism, media operations, false intellectual engagement and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, is particularly telling not about postmodern thinkers knowledge-reification epistemic-veracity but rather ‘the knowledge-reification epistemic-veracity of such critics who often pride themselves on not understanding postmodern-thought then by a strange paradox have the knowledge to produce a profound criticism of postmodern-thought which they supposedly do not understand’. Even more critically, the question can be raised whether such critics profoundly appreciate the overall human knowledge-reification process/gesturing as herein articulated, and whether this very fact isn’t linked to the knowledge-reification methodological difficulties arising in many social domains-of-study ‘assuming a disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> epistemic-disposition that is in many ways poorly constrained to existential-reality’ with the result of their relative knowledge-reification passivity with regards to many a social issue ‘but for adventures into social commentary divorced from genuine operant knowledge-reification implications’; and in this regards could it be that the true ‘unsaid issue with suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought’

lies with its parrhesiastic emphasis on the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject for the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thereof the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of projected existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness, an issue that has always been a difficult knot throughout the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process but which inevitably has to be dealt with for the possibility of prospective huma registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation. Such weaknesses manifested by many a postmodern critic fundamentally points to an atomising/taking-to-pieces predisposition that poorly appreciates the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity involved in knowledge-reification, and is reflected in a lack of parrhesiastic and hermeneutic insight that 'poorly grasp the philosophical analysis implications of the existential background/development of becoming-as-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, as if philosophy only started as of our present positivist era with a naivety that seems to imply that all-that-should-have-been,-that-is-and-that-will-be,-as-of-the-human-potential is as of a modern positivist <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in its given reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation with no or poor insight of prior-and-prospective human becoming as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness'; and so when it generally comes to analysing philosophical texts requiring a sense of parrhesia and hermeneutic insight. This lack is quite often reflected in such misconstrued analyses of traditional philosophical figures by a failure to understand the overall coherent narrative of such figures as of an atomising/taking-to-pieces predisposition to identitive-constitutedness-

as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism

ending up quite often claiming the incoherence of such figures and/or of their narrative accounts, and so in a ‘naïve insight’ arising exactly because the possibility for understanding requires the critic’s own parrhesiastic insight and then hermeneutic conceptualisation to then develop the capacity to grasp first of all such traditional philosophical figures underlying knowledge-reification process/gesturing and thus be able to understand how such knowledge-reification process/gesturing develops and why, and thus enabling the grasp not only of the accuracy of narrated accounts and notions but equally insight about the nuanced and covertly narrated accounts and notions, and all these while being informed by the immediate and broader underlying social background and implicated social and philosophical stakes of contention-and-confliction. In this regards, more than just the simpleminded analysis of traditional philosophical figures, such parrhesiastic and hermeneutic analytical insight actually converges with the epochal philosophical implications of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness and are actually more scientifically profound in that respect than meets the eye as to the fact that such analyses are more than just ‘archivistic retrieving’ but structurally/paradigmatically conceptualise the extended existential possibilities of falsifiability and validation in determining ontological-veracity as of a critical exercise of <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. In this regards, such hermeneutic and parrhesiastic depth of analysis is more profoundly driven beyond the specific accuracy of narrative accounts about traditional philosophical figures but goes on to analyse the structural/paradigmatic possibilities of overall human social transformation reflected in the narrative accounts of such traditional philosophical figures. For instance, the ontological-veracity of Socratic philosophy

is rather more strongly based on the overall social implications and underlying narrative of its novel universalising-idealisation that ‘runs-through/is-deflating’ by its event instigating traditional philosophical figures and schools, and as pursued by their successors including the stoics, cynics, etc. and as to its induced universalising-idealisation transformative meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure impact with respect to societies of the Mediterranean including the Roman empire and subsequent religio-political developments. In another respect, it is often touted from a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness orientation that Socratic philosophers were institutionally ‘anti-democratic’, going particularly by the Platonic emphasis on philosopher kings, by the naivety and mere token that the prevailing ancient Athens ‘mob-rule democracy’ is of the same conceptual-patterning as our modern conception of democracy; but this is rather unnuanced with regards to what was a more pressing question of good governance in Ancient Athens and in the sense that such a ‘mob-rule democracy’ is not what prevails today and more critically the fact is the modern democracy model whether of direct or indirect manifestations is rather more critically informed by these criticisms of the Socratic philosophers (and not intellectual inspiration from any such mob-rule instigating sophists) wherein we rather place emphasis on ‘informed expertising and expertising-institutions for the comprehensive process of our modern democracy’ such that modern day crises of democratic governance with regards to bad governance, institutional crisis, economic crisis or undesirable wars are rather generally construed as arising from ‘failure or sophistry of expertise and expertising-institutions’ in need of better expertising, and furthermore major political calamities of the 20th century leading to totalitarian governments and their instigation of genocides arose exactly due to misinformed populist democracy. Paradoxically, this insight validates the point advanced herein that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is critically more than just its mechanical-knowledge reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-

aestheticisation but rather an organic-knowledge as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness that then feeds into prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation; emphasising as of any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s specific limited-mentation-capacity that knowledge ‘more profoundly lies with the knowledge-reification gesturing and organic implications’, just as we cannot simplistically interpret the importance of Aristotelian science in terms of its constitutive elements as earth, water, air, fire and aether on a naïve presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness basis from the vantage perspective of our modern positivism (as being at the receiving backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) but rather the more critical insight lies with its novel and transformative universalising-classificatory knowledge-reification gesturing as opening up the possibility for prospective human reconceptualisation of science providing the backdrop from which modern science took off from the medieval times to the present. Likewise, the transformative nature of budding positivism more than just as garnered from the precised narrative accounts about budding positivist thinkers, lies more profoundly with its meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure impact on the developing enlightenment social developments and as this budding positivism metaphoricity epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically brought about our positivism/rational-empiricism modern society. The analyses of human becoming so-implied as of parrhesiastic and hermeneutic development is in of itself a pure science that is epistemically-derivable as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-

of-embodied-consciousness’, and so beyond the specific accuracy of narrative accounts of traditional philosophical figures and besides such parrhesiastic and hermeneutic insight actually informs about the ontological-pertinence of such narrative accounts. In another respect, even with a most natural sense of parrhesia and hermeneutic insight, many a figure predispose to atomising/taking-to-pieces analysis, including founders of this orientation and other of its leading figures, have ultimately come to realise its relative underlying platitude with respect to prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity such that a prevailing notion has developed within as to imply philosophy doesn’t necessarily involve a transcendental-and-sublimity promise as of a nombrilistic institutional-being-and-craft predisposition; and as such a merely reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation knowledge culture that ‘dodges potential parrhesiastic implications from its very own tentative analyses’ speaks of ‘a supposed intellectualism’ that does not lead prospective social progress as it becomes a sophistic/pedantic problem for prospective social progress especially so when it originates from the ‘mother of all disciplines’. The fact is ‘philosophy just as any of its derived domain-of-study is not the ownership of any institutional culture’ but rather ‘a human abstract-property co-opted institutionally in deferential-formalisation-transference to the extend that that deference fulfils its promise of knowledge-reification for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity’. In this regards, the transcendental-and-sublimity possibilities of 7.5 billion humans today and human posterity cannot be construed as hanging on such terms of institutional-being-and-craft dispositions prevailing in many a social domain-of-study and even some of the natural sciences as of naïve science ideology, and so because beyond the temporal human disposition to contemplate of existence as of a-lifespan-of-existence-implications there need to be ‘human intemporal contemplation that abstractly lives/exists beyond a-lifespan-of-existence-implications to fetch for prospective possibilities of meaningfulness-and-teleology

infrastructure’, something which a-lifespan-of-existence-implications projection as of a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> is not structured/paradigmed to do! But then the phenomenological question arising with respect to the fact that many a social domain-of-study ‘tend to assume a disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> epistemic-disposition that is in many ways poorly constrained to existential-reality’, is how exactly does such lack of ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics’ affect the realisation of the full knowledge-reification potentiality of domains-of-study as of their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency? Insightfully, this fundamentally has to do with the contrastive implications in construing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of good-practice/epistemic-veracity and bad-practice/epistemic-impertinence for knowledge-reification; wherein objectifying foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ as good-practice/epistemic-veracity of knowledge-reification involves the construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers’ so-construed veridically as ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’, whereas disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> as bad-practice/epistemic-impertinence of knowledge-reification involves the construal of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as ‘disparateness/disjointing of primemovers’ so-construed wrongly as ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in constitutedness outside existential-contextualising-contiguity’. Thus

‘disparateness/disjointing of primemovers as disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
 <unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>’ basically
 undermines the veridical underlying ‘ontological-totalitative-framework as of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity conflatedness’, and thus undermines aetiologisation/ontological-
 escalation predicative-effectivity–sublimation-(as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment).
 ‘Disparateness/Disjointing of primemovers as disparateness-of-conceptualisation-
 <unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>’ undermines the
 inherent ‘cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers’ reflecting existential-
 contextualising-contiguity conflatedness, such that the supposed exercise of knowledge-
 reification ends up ‘losing the edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of axiomatic-
 constructs as reflective of existential-reality’; as of the flawed disparateness/disjointing of
 overall inherent existential-reality edginess/incisiveness, and further reflected variously as
 temporal over-emphasising and/or underemphasising/ignoring of primemovers reflecting
 ‘ontological-totalitative-framework as of existential-contextualising-contiguity
 conflatedness’, and so due to ‘human-subpotency presencing—absolutising-identitive-
 constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as well as lack of prospective intemporal
 parrhesiastic aestheticisation for prospectively renewed reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ thus
 undermining existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–
 renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-
 completeness. While in many a natural science ‘the high-and-immediate subjection to

existential/experimental falsifiability and validation as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of conceptualisations' acts as a strong constraining effect in relatively undermining 'disparateness/disjointing of primemovers' and rather encouraging 'cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers' reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness, 'the blurriness and remoteness of falsifiability and validation as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of conceptualisations' in many a social domain-of-study relatively undermines 'good-practice/epistemic-veracity selectiveness towards cogent-unifying-operant-dynamics of primemovers' reflecting existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness, as the latter is inclined to an institutional-disposition that construes of the unification of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> substitutively as merely 'human-subpotency institutional-practice driven unification as of vague contrasting-and-comparison' rather than as of 'existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness driven foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)'. This equally explains this author emphasis that ontological-veridicality cannot be construed as the mutual-agreement as of human-subpotency but rather as of the constraining sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness on human-subpotency. Human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological-performance is the outcrop of human-subpotency conscious-able aestheticisation of ecstatic-existence. Human aestheticisation speaks of the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-

institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as from: human ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’, ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’, and ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’. Basically, human meaningfulness-and-teleology refers to human-subpotency conscious-able aestheticisation of ecstatic-existence as of varying human ontological-performance in veridically reflecting existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. Underlying the ontological-performance of human-subpotency conscious-able aestheticisation of ecstatic-existence is both the human instigative-drivenness construed as originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and human reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation reflected-together in all human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as-of-their-inversely-varying-emphasis; and more specifically ‘as institutive of underlying reference-of-thought’, their implied spontaneity and reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation conjointly drive ‘human existence historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing creative aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this regards, originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation is marked by its greater taxing of human limited-mentation-capacity and specifically so as it ‘re-stakes/put-back-at-stake the capacity of human ontological-performance by its renewing spontaneity-of-aestheticisation’ over already set/established prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; and so as ‘human corresponding-sublimation-inducing,-profound-and-creative acuity/perspicacity/astuteness/edginess/incisiveness—of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—for—

conceptualisation' so-construed as originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation (which is actually constrained to '—<formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity foregrounding—entailment—{narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} in elucidating ontological-contiguity—<as-from-prospective-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-epistemic/notional_projective-perspective>'), precedes-and-defines the pertinence of 'methods/methodologies/approaches as to reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. This inversely-varying-emphasis of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, given human limited-mentation-capacity implications, is reflected in all human aestheticisation construals whether as of reflex aestheticisation construct, instant aestheticisation construct, shallow aestheticisation construct, dragged-out aestheticisation construct, profound aestheticisation construct or subsuming aestheticisation construct with respect to sought out ontological-performance implications. The inevitability of this relation of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in all human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology lies with the fact that, however human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening—{<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} implications of more and more profound reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation given edginess/incisiveness—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, human-subpotency is ever always unduly prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable/prospectively-dilemmatic/prospectively-indeterminate/prospectively-

deficient/prospectively-limitative/prospectively-constraining in its ontological-performance construal of ecstatic-existence to which it only bears an ‘as of’ semblance (in any of its given presencing) that isn’t constraining in anyway on ‘the becoming of ecstatic-existence/transcendental-signifier’ such that ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness-as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> from such human-subpotency prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation ever always warrant prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and thus the epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity prospective implications for renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; and so, in order to ‘prospectively elevate the ontological-performance of human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in the construal of existential-reality’ while overcoming the stalling in ontological-performance underlying the mere complexification of the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. This inversely-varying-emphasis of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is so-reflected with: prospective reactualising of ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’ (as derived from the reconstruing/reconsideration of both mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation and signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation); prospective reactualising of ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’, for instance

in the dynamic reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-
 of-aestheticisation reflected with genres of music as of their originariness-parrhesia,—as—
 spontaneity-of-aestheticisation setting-up/establishing of drifting/derivating
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination; and prospective
 reactualising of ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human
 conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’, and this is more fundamentally with respect to
 human underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected by ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness, and so-construed from a philosophical depth of contemplation as of
 ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-
 coherence/contiguity,—and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-
 of-embodied-consciousness’. The latter (‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest
 aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’) relates for instance
 to the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process: in the dynamic
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation reflected within the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-
 thought-level ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for devolving
 meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring’ as
 both <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, and as
 the originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation enabling the institutional-
 cumulation/institutional-recomposure to occur reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-

human-institutionalisation-process as of prospective intemporal parrhesiastic ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought. Obviously given human emotional-involvement, such intemporal parrhesiastic instigation of prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is ascetic as it emphasises that the ontological-performance of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidating implications is not compromisable, and so over temporal nihilistic dispositions of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation susceptible to compromising ontological-performance of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human-subpotency <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and sophistic/pedantic dispositions. Ultimately, human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘human-subpotency conscious-able aestheticisation of ecstatic-existence as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ is ever always a ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ which is patternly developed-and-anchored as from its driven originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation; and so at the thresholds of

prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation unduly aporetic/undecidable/dilemmatic/indeterminate/deficient/limitative/constraining ontological-performance wherein originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation re-stakes/puts-back-at-stake epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically the reconstruing of existential-reality despite the taxingness-of-originariness, and so as of a perception of unduly aporetic/undecidable/dilemmatic/indeterminate/deficient/limitative/constraining ontological-performance of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidations implications. It is important to grasp that the extensive manifest outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,—so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations of human meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation (as of human ‘perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’, ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’, and ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able mediating ascriptivity’), is reflective of underlying ‘hermeneutic reactualising as <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ human aestheticisation process with respect to living-development—as-to-personality-development meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically as of ‘more

and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ with respect to unduly aporetic/undecidable/dilemmatic/indeterminate/deficient/limitative/constraining ontological-performance wherein originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation re-stakes/puts-back-at-stake the reconstruing of existential-reality despite the taxingness-of-originariness. This human aestheticisation process involves inversely-varying-emphasis of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation (so-construed as of ‘high/low parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation’ with respect to ‘existentially developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant meaningfulness-and-teleology’), reflecting the ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’. For instance with regards to living-development—as-to-personality-development meaningfulness-and-teleology, human aestheticisation is reflected in childhood to adulthood social development wherein a child’s development as of its ‘existentially developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant meaningfulness-and-teleology’ involves initially a more direct focus on instant-sensations-and-carefreeness with the child aspiring for social-integration-and-evolving at successive stages as it grows up with an increasing sense of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension in a ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ as of its ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ that ultimately involves major stages like language acquisition achievement, schooling achievement, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement, and developing into an adult with even greater dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-

ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as for instance the notion of pleasure is increasingly substituted with that of work-and-pleasure, etc. It is critical to grasp here that such living-development-as-to-personality-development human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology ('high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving' as of a 'more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation') in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness involving 'hermeneutic reactualising as <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought' always entails the three human aestheticisation manifest elements: 'perceptive motif-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery', 'mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry', and 'signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity'. This human aestheticisation insight is informing about what exactly is meant by such major stages of human personality development like language acquisition achievement, schooling achievement, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement, etc. in the sense that the underlying/induced 'high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving' already speaks of the 'hermeneutic reactualising as <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought' long before a child's language acquisition achievement recognition, schooling achievement recognition, greater social autonomy and responsibility achievement recognition, etc. More specifically we can thus factor in that language as formally defined, and so specifically as this reflects a particular phonetic/written signification construct, is rather in reality the 'teleological outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation' of a rather 'complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation' induced from a 'high

parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ driven ‘hermeneutic reactualising as <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ that starts long before a child’s ‘recognised’ acquisition of any such ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’, as the child already has a ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ before its ‘recognised’ acquisition of ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’, and that acquisition of a specific ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’ in due course (though annunciative) is rather secondary-and-prolongative of the child’s evolving underlying human ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ as of its ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’. It is this underlying ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ that is truly of ‘existentially developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant meaningfulness-and-teleology’ ontological analysis in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness (and as it may then be reflected in practice with regards to its analysis on the basis of any specific ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’); and so, reflecting ‘its prior-not-recognised-as-language-acquisition stage’, ‘its recognised-as-language-acquisition stage’ and ‘its subsequent-deepening-of-recognised-as-language-acquisition stage and as this extends to specialised language or secondary language developments’. Beyond ‘living-development–as-to-personality-development meaningfulness-

and-teleology aestheticisation’ (as implied above with living-development-as-to-personality-development aestheticisation of underlying becoming ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ as of the ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ of language), with human ‘institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ as of any given conventioned human ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’, such an insight about ‘high/low parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ as to the implications of ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ is highly informing about ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’ and so highlighting the collective social ‘existentially developing/becoming-as-of-social-integration-and-evolving relevant meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in reflection of ‘institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ of any given conventioned human ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’. It is important thus to grasp that ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’ is rather established institutionally as of the collective social human ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ that drives human social institutions, and that while ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct

outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-
 incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’ does reflect this collective social
 human ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ rather as an
 ‘institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology
 outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-
 incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions’ in
 existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness, it is ontologically-flawed for ‘language-
 as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-
 the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’ to be
 construed in constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity (even as on occasion such an ontologically-flawed construal in
 identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-
 epistemic-determinism may be incidentally/accidentally/ad-hocly seemingly veridical but
 ontologically-flawed in principle from the perspective of the precedence over ‘language-as-
 phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-
 the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’ of the
 collective social human ‘complex sense of meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ as
 of the ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ of language,
 as of the implied ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-
 evolving’ driven ‘hermeneutic reactualising as <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-
 realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ in difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
 singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism). This is so because ‘huma
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-

ontological-contiguity purposefulness-reflexivity for prospective relative-ontological-completeness orientation' supersedes any such human 'institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions like language', in its existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness (even as the latter had been precedently contributive to that purposefulness-reflexivity) such that such a human 'institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions like language' is more critically a passive ready-at-hand conception that is epistemically/notionally ever always critical only in existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness as it is adapted to 'huma <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity purposefulness-reflexivity for prospective relative-ontological-completeness orientation'. This basically explains the constantly developing nature of human 'institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions like language' which are not truly absolutely of present-at-hand as to wrongly imply presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (even as the privileged social conceptualisation of say language is as of 'language as the complete possibilities of language as of an absolute present conception usually of a privileged end-institution purpose'). Insightfully, we can garner that it is 'huma <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity purposefulness-reflexivity for prospective relative-ontological-completeness orientation'

implied as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that fundamentally renders/makes human institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation/conflatedness conceptions’ to be necessarily as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness and not in constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. In another respect, ‘living-development-as-to-personality-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ is of ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ with regards to human childhood to adulthood personality development as of the forming individual need to assimilate/integrate human progressive cultural cumulation, and this is very much in contrast to ‘institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ that rather cumulatively holds-on-to and complexifies the culturally cumulated outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations from historically accrued ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ construed as of human institutional-cumulation such as with regards to any specific ‘language-as-phonetic/written-signification-construct outcome/outfit/shell—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating-as-institutional-manifestation’. This will explain why the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of ‘institutional-development-as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ is ‘highly parrhesiastically economical’ as reflected in the overall ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘more and more profound enlarging-framework of

reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’; wherein only the perception of unduly aporetic/undecidable/dilemmatic/indeterminate/deficient/limitative/constraining ontological-performance of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically elicits ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’. This is so because given the taxingness-of-originariness any such ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ has to resolve considerably unduly aporetic/undecidable/dilemmatic/indeterminate/deficient/limitative/constraining ontological-performance of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for such ‘institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ underlying ‘hermeneutic reactualising as <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’ to be worth the epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity effort, with the preference for any such effort rather directed at the complexification of the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. This will explain for instance why as of the furtherance in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, the ‘institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation’ with regards to language development hasn’t warranted any ‘high parrhesiastic-pressure-of-aestheticisation for social-integration-and-evolving’ with respect to new language creation but this has rather been directed towards language complexification as of advancing human knowledge and construction-of-the-Self. In the bigger picture, the above human meaningfulness-and-teleology aestheticisation analysis (and as reflected specifically with language acquisition) is reflective of the fact that the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-

reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation, reflected in human underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, is ultimately potentiated/ontologisable as of human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’. This instigation of human aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology so-reflected in ‘human existence historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing creative aestheticisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ driven as of originariness-parrhesia,—as-spontaneity-of-aestheticisation in renewing reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation involves an ‘overall flux of human meaningfulness-and-teleology of varying temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances’ wherein such a flux construed as human aporetic dissemination is confronted to ‘existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness validative/invalidative selectivity/deselectivity’ enabling living-development—as-to-personality-development meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development meaningfulness-and-teleology and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology meaningfulness-and-teleology; and thereof reflected in the secondnatured institutionalisation framework of the given registry-worldview underpinning—suprasocial-construct and its <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as uninstitutionalised-threshold. It is important here to grasp that despite any

human registry-worldview/dimension <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ontologically-flawed inclination to think otherwise, its given underpinning–suprasocial-construct and its given <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> ‘are not the absolute possibility of ontological-performance’, as of their induced reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, for the prospective aestheticisation of human intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology given that such underpinning–suprasocial-construct and <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> are effectively rather secondnature institutionalisation outcome of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, the more profound basis for prospective generation of human intemporal-as-ontological meaningfulness-and-teleology arises as of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting originariness-parrhesia,—as–spontaneity-of-aestheticisation that renews reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). This underlying insight is reflective of the fact that ‘secondnature is no substitute for originariness as of the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-

incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness’, as originariness is ever always about ‘intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its mechanical reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for the possibility of further prospective parrhesiastic instigation as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ in contrast to the essentially mechanical/mere-form of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of secondnatureddness. This fundamental originariness and secondnatureddness conundrum in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is reflected by the fact that the human Self is ever always in disseminative constructiveness/destructuring defining its given registry-worldview/dimension shiftiness-of-the-Self as of ‘a subpar existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/human-subpotency disposition to construe as of full existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness at its uninstitutionalised-threshold’ its prior secondnaturedd reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; and so in obfuscation and pedantry. The possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity has ever always been able to arise at such uninstitutionalised-thresholds of registry-worldviews/dimensions not by a ‘false pretence’ that the ontologically-veridical underlying issue of prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable/prospectively-dilemmatic/prospectively-indeterminate/prospectively-deficient/prospectively-limitative/prospectively-constraining ontological-performance of

prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in the construal of ecstatic-existence, is one in want of candid analysis as of the very same prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather the ontological-veracity of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for prospective/renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; as perfectly understood by the Socratic philosophers advancing of universalising-idealisation relative to the Ancient sophists non-universalising inclination, budding positivists/rational-empiricists advancing of positivism/rational-empiricism relative to the medieval-scholastics pedantic dogmatism and equally as of our positivism—procrypticism this author construes practices of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> not constrained to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness but rather institutionalised imprimatur as of institutional-being-and-craft as intellectually wanting and in need of the advancing of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In other words, the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their shiftiness-of-the-Self are the aporetic point at which their languages collapse into ‘wooden languages’ that are from a prospective perspective not profound but mechanical/mere-form reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation thus inherently raising up the underlying ontological-veracity issue of their prospectively-aporetic/prospectively-undecidable/prospectively-dilemmatic/prospectively-indeterminate/prospectively-deficient/prospectively-limitative/prospectively-constraining

ontological-performance of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—
 as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation that can only be dealt with as of prospective
 originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation so-construed as ‘intemporal
 parrhesiastic seeding-promise <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of the registry-
 worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its mechanical
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation for the possibility of further prospective parrhesiastic instigation as from
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’. The fact is that
 the possibility for prospective institutionalisation transcendence-and-sublimity is ever always
 underdetermined, as between prior reasoning-from-results/afterthought and prospective
 reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning is the ‘aporia of underdetermined madness’ that
 human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness renders possible as prospective ontological-veracity is only then
 epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically salvageable as of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidation
 implications as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. That is, between
 reasoning—as-reasoning-from-results/afterthought and reasoning—as-reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning is ‘aporetic underdetermined madness’ that renders a pretence
 of hanging unto prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation more like ‘a pretence of already grasping the complete

implications of ecstatic-existence while ignoring/not-registering the epistemic-
 ricocheting/transepistemicity implications of prospective relative-ontological-completeness'
 and rather speaks in effect of a nihilistic <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-
 of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
 as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>;
 and this temporal nihilism at uninstitutionalised-thresholds has ever always been associated
 with a corresponding intemporal asceticism for opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology (not partaking as of transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in any such 'wooden language') that is the sine qua non
 for the habituation of the possibility of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity. Overcoming
 this 'aporia of underdetermined madness' despite human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, has ever always
 been the absolutely determinative possibility for the fulfilment of the construction-of-
 humanity-as-of-its-developing-construction-of-the-Self enabling human transcendence-and-
 sublimity to arise; as its overcoming has ever always elicited humankind's ability to
 ascetically go beyond its 'prior comfort zone' to reconstrue its future emancipatory
 possibilities. In this regard, the idea of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness, however its recurrent
 outlier intemporal instigation as of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation
 in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, speaks to
 the fact that the sense of prospective base-institutionalisation in prior recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation is potentially an actionable possibility as of the latter's 'parrhesiastic

structure’ construed as ‘its-given-developed-level-of-Will/Spirit/Drive in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>), and likewise between base-institutionalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism/rational-empiricism, and prospectively positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism. But then in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process what is easily lost is exactly ‘this most vital but brittle ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness element of meaningfulness-and-teleology instigating the successive transcendences-and-sublimity’, as the very renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seems to induce a ‘deferment of human instinctual responsibility’ as to temporally imply ‘human ontological-performance strategies are valid by their mechanical/mere-form alignment to any such reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ inducing human naïve untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality as of the shiftiness-of-the-Self of the corresponding registry-worldview/dimension wherein the eliciting of a mutual sense of temporality/shortness within such a framework as of

<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is wrongly reconstrued as ‘intemporality’
 (but then we can garner from our vantage modern positivism perspective that such defective
 process in prior registry-worldviews/dimensions effectively spoke of their corresponding
 uninstitutionalised-threshold and the same does applies in our own respect from a prospective
 perspective). In this regards the prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension,
 as of its notional-deprocrypticism reflexivity of this human limited-mentation-capacity
 instigating ‘aporetic deficiency of ontological-performance’ along the overall ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, effectively elicits originariness-
 parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation but then as of its ‘foregrounding—entailment-
 {narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal}
 edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, it is not
 receptive to a human dephasing shiftiness-of-the-Self as of ‘deferment of human instinctual
 responsibility’ that dehistorialises humankind into Being/Existential homelessness as a vague
 temporal-to-intemporal nihilism wherein we wrongly deify our presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag while paradoxically failing to articulate a
 coherent existential narrative underlying human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor involving a
 developing historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of human
 recurrent destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold
 and its superseding with human recurrent constructiveness-of-ontological-performance, and
 so beyond just the nombrilism of our lifespans. This orientation is very much the peculiarity

of deprocrypticism as in reality all the other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions are notionally/epistemically various levels of notional-procrypticism-or-notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (in successive relative-ontological-completeness as of increasing notional-deprocrypticism or increasing notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) but it is prospective deprocrypticism ontological-faith-notional-or-ontological-fideism <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness specific originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation that converges with its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and reflects an indistinctness between the two that overcomes human shiftiness-of-the-Self undermining ‘deferment of human instinctual responsibility’ in perpetuating the human transcendence-and-sublimity narrative; and so-construed as implying that deprocrypticism as of its protensive—self-consciousness achieves an epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity ‘explanation of everything’ as implied with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) so-reflected with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as to difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity successiveness of registry-worldviews/dimensions involving underlying successive construction-of-the-Self enabling successive human knowledge-reification capacity. This is achieved rather as of deprocrypticism self-conscious construing of human ontological-performance at constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments at destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as inherently defined structurally/paradigmatically by

‘the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process in reflection of underlying human limited-mentation-capacity as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications induced/spawned/hatched/emerged difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism as instigating both human constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and human destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; thus eliciting the construal of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of a reflection of human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation in structurally/paradigmatically upholding human virtue at constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and undermining human vices-and-impediments at destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’. This structural/paradigmatic process orientation with regards to human virtue at constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments at destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance overrides/supersedes the naïve/ontologically-flawed traditional orientation as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism failing to grasp the dynamism implied in the Socratic knowledge-is-virtue insight when it attributes to individuals inherence of good-naturedness or bad-naturedness and ‘failing to construe of the knowledge-driven ontologically-pertinent structural/paradigmatic process as of relative-ontological-

incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications that then reflects the manifestation of human virtue at constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and human vices-and-impediments at destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance'. The bigger point here is that it is at the edginess/incisiveness—of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as 'point of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process' that one can reflect upon the 'notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism' of our procrypticism–positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology in order to construe its induced virtue at constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments at destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. We can thus appreciate that just as a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness/identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism assessment of the virtue and vices-and-impediments of individuals in any of the preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions will find them relatively wanting/deficient with regards to our positivism, this 'is not decisively/critically the case on the basis that we are inherently better individuals than any of the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions individuals' but rather a question of us being at the vantage backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-

process relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness implications of limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal), pointing out that what is decisive/critical for inducing human virtue over vices-and-impediments rather lies with the assessment of any such registry-worldview/dimension prospective ‘point of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ as so-implied by the prospective registry-worldview/dimension edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as it reflects upon the preceding registry-worldview/dimension ‘notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism’ in order to construe/assess/supersede by its induced virtue at the prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance over vices-and-impediments at the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The overall emphasis herein of the conjunction between psychopathic manifestation with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process arises in the sense that as previously articulated the ‘postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ manifestation of any given registry-worldview/dimension is just a difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising on the basis of the same <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument construed as of the ‘underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism/mental-schema’ of the

given registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought-devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus a registry-worldview's/dimension's 'postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance' manifestation is rather as of an 'inordinarily/unexpectedly/anormally lower-threshold of human limited-mentation-capacity in failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for living-development-as-to-personality-development' that is just a difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising to the registry-worldview's/dimension's 'ordinary/expected/assumed-normal higher-threshold of human limited-mentation-capacity in failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for living-development-as-to-personality-development' considered as 'prelogism/dialectical-thinking constructiveness-of-ontological-performance' manifestation. The implication here is that 'postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance' disposition rather 'manifests as ontologically-flawed inordinarily/unexpected/anormal catching-up-by-extrinsic-attribution for social-functioning-and-accordance (in contrast to ontologically-veridical 'prelogism/dialectical-thinking constructiveness-of-ontological-performance' disposition ordinary/expected/assumed-normal intrinsic-attribution for social-functioning-and-accordance) as of the edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of the given registry-worldview/dimension for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring cognisant-and-integrative social meaningfulness-and-teleology, and manifesting as 'postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance', as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness becoming as from childhood postlogism/psychopathy destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance overt manifestation to adulthood postlogism/psychopathy destructuring-

threshold-of-ontological-performance covert manifestation. Along this same line of difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising implied between the ‘postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ disposition and ‘prelogism/dialectical-thinking constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’ disposition within a given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought-devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology, between two registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness we can equally construe that the same registry-worldview/dimension construed rather in relative-ontological-incompleteness as of its ‘ordinary/expected/assumed-normal higher-threshold of human limited-mentation-capacity in failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for living-development—as-to-personality-development’, and previously considered as supposedly of ‘prelogism/dialectical-thinking constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’ manifestation in its existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness is now rather turning out at its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance to be (as of <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) veridically of manifest ‘dialectically-dementing-as-of-postlogism/psychopathy-(as-of-the-‘dementing-qualia-schema’-at-its-uninstitutionalised-threshold-it-wrongly-implies-as-nondescript/ignorable-void) at the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ ontologically-flawed inordinary/unexpected/anormal catching-up-by-extrinsic-attribution for social-functioning-and-accordance as from the edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension perspective for

aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring cognisant-and-integrative social meaningfulness-and-teleology’ (that is, so-construed as from the perspective of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence-and-sublimity induced constructiveness-of-ontological-performance); explaining why the prospective registry-worldview/dimension is rather a difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising as of its edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument from the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s given edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and equally explaining why a ‘postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ manifestation as of a prior registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t work/is-inoperant with respect to a prospective registry-worldview/dimension say for instance a ‘postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ manifestation on the basis of non-positivism/medievalism superstition/positivistically-unenlightened-insight wouldn’t be effective with respect to a positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument due to the difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising between the two registry-worldviews/dimensions. Contrastively, ‘postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ manifestation going by its ‘inordinarily/unexpectedly/anormally lower-threshold of human limited-mentation-capacity in failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for living-development-as-to-personality-development’ (and so as of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness becoming as from

childhood postlogism/psychopathy overt manifestation to adulthood postlogism/psychopathy
 covert manifestation) when effective/successful elicits in others corresponding manifestations
 as of difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising (on the basis of the very
 same registry-worldview's/dimension's edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring cognisant-and-integrative social
 meaningfulness-and-teleology) eliciting adhoc conjugated-postlogism social dynamics as of
 conjugated-ignorance/conjugated-affordability/conjugated-opportunism/conjugated-
 exacerbation/conjugated-social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-
 aggregation/conjugated-temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Likewise, the
 same registry-worldview/dimension prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-
 performance construed rather as of its manifest 'dialectically-dementing-as-of-
 postlogism/psychopathy-(as-of-the-'dementing-qualia-schema'-at-its-uninstitutionalised-
 threshold-it-wrongly-implies-as-nondescript/ignorable-void) at the registry-
 worldview's/dimension's destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance' ontologically-
 flawed inordinary/unexpected/anormal catching-up-by-extrinsic-attribution for social-
 functioning-and-accordance now construed rather as from the edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of the
 prospective registry-worldview/dimension for
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring cognisant-and-integrative social
 meaningfulness-and-teleology' speaks of the structural/paradigmatic manifestation of the
 given prior registry-worldview's/dimension's corresponding notional-procrypticism/notional-
 disjointedness (whether such a corresponding notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness,
 starting as from the basis of 'fundamental animality failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension', is recurrent-utter-

uninstitutionalisation's trepidatious-self-consciousness specific notional-
 procrypticism/notional-disjointedness of 'failing rulemaking-over-non-rules given
 dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension' from base-institutionalisation perspective,
 ununiversalisation's warped-self-consciousness specific notional-procrypticism/notional-
 disjointedness of 'failing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules given
 dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension' from universalisation perspective, non-
 positivism's/medievalism's preclusive-self-consciousness specific notional-
 procrypticism/notional-disjointedness of 'failing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules given dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension' from
 positivism/rational-empiricism perspective or prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-
 of-reference-of-thought occlusive-self-consciousness specific notional-
 procrypticism/notional-disjointedness of 'failing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-
 of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
 formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules given dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension' from deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-
 of-reference-of-thought protensive-self-consciousness perspective; as of epistemic-
 ricochetting/transepistemicity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down-sublimation as
 to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) meaningfulness-and-teleology as of human
 limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—
 as-sublimating-withdrawal) grasp of ecstatic-existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-

conceptualisation) so-reflected as the given prior registry-worldview's/dimension's susceptibility to its corresponding 'postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance' manifestation (and so respectively as susceptible to any such 'postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance' manifestation on 'the given registry-worldview/dimension defining basis of failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension': reflected as of 'non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition-or-failing-rulemaking-over-non-rules' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, 'failing-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, 'failing-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism, 'failing-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with positivism-procrypticism, and prospectively 'preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with deprocrypticism); wherein at the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-

performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold the given registry-worldview's/dimension's
 reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is rather related to as of <formative>wooden-language—
 of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasitic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-
 narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, even as it is equally susceptible however
 difficultly to prospective cross-generational originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-
 aestheticisation disseminative instigation of renewing reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for the
 prospective registry-worldview/dimension edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as renewed
 meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure induced difference-in-nature/difference-in-
 apriorising-or-axiomatising. What is central and critical in this contrastive construal of
 difference-in-kind/difference-in-aposteriorising-or-logicising and difference-in-
 nature/difference-in-apriorising-or-axiomatising so-reflected in the implications of
 'inordinarily/unexpectedly/anormally lower-threshold of human limited-mentation-capacity
 in failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension for living-development—as-to-personality-development'
 associated with 'postlogism/psychopathy-as-of-dialectically-dementing destructuring-
 threshold-of-ontological-performance' and 'ordinary/expected/assumed-normal higher-
 threshold of human limited-mentation-capacity in failing dispensing-with-immediacy-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension for living-
 development—as-to-personality-development' associated with 'prelogism/dialectical-thinking
 constructiveness-of-ontological-performance' (as from within the very same registry-
 worldview/dimension edginess/incisiveness—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument perspective), is the fact that ‘all that humankind has got for conceptualising ecstatic-existence, as ever the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, is effectively our human limited-mentation-capacity of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ by which we then assume/adopt a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness disposition for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘as if humankind has ever always been as of ‘prelogism/dialectical-thinking constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’ disposition and never ‘dialectically-dementing-as-of-postlogism/psychopathy-(as-of-the-‘dementing–qualia-schema’-at-its-uninstitutionalised-threshold-it-wrongly-implies-as-nondescript/ignorable-void) at the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance disposition’ when factoring in <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness; in the sense that the edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism respectively reflexive of their ‘prelogism/dialectical-thinking constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’ disposition as of their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, do not factor in that their destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein respectively the transcendental/non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> prospective base-institutionalisation, universalisation,

positivism/rational-empiricism and deprocrypticism arise as of ‘prelogism/dialectical-
 thinking constructiveness-of-ontological-performance’ disposition imply respectively that the
 prior recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism
 and procrypticism are then effectively of ‘dialectically-dementing-as-of-
 postlogism/psychopathy-(as-of-the-‘dementing–qualia-schema’-at-its-uninstitutionalised-
 threshold-it-wrongly-implies-as-nondescript/ignorable-void) at the registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ disposition.
 The point is that ‘ecstatic-existence doesn’t have any inherent/supposed limit of
 manifestation tied-down/bogged-down to human limited-mentation-capacity as of its relative-
 ontological-incompleteness’ (successively as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-
 institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism and our
 positivism–procrypticism), such that the implied difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-
 reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism between the prior and
 prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions involving prospective human limited-mentation-
 capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal) apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 construal of ecstatic-existence, as ever the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–
 purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, so-
 implied from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension transcendence-and-sublimity
 constructiveness-of-ontological-performance exposes the prior registry-worldview/dimension
 destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold veridically
 as of manifest ‘dialectically-dementing-as-of-postlogism/psychopathy-(as-of-the-
 ‘dementing–qualia-schema’-at-its-uninstitutionalised-threshold-it-wrongly-implies-as-
 nondescript/ignorable-void) at the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s destructuring-
 threshold-of-ontological-performance’ ontologically-flawed inordinary/unexpected/anormal

catching-up-by-extrinsic-attribution-for-social-functioning-and-accordance as from the
 edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of the
 prospective registry-worldview/dimension for
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring cognisant-and-integrative social
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ so-construed as difference-in-nature/difference-in-apriorising-
 or-axiomatising. Hence, ‘all the human home that exists’ is as of the full implications of the
 perpetuation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process as it explains what is the human and its becoming beyond any epochally blinded
 nombrilism. But then while realistically the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process is driven as of human <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 and secondnatured institutionalisation dispositions with respect to the fact that the huma
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 disposition of all registry-worldviews/dimensions is very much capable of countenancing
 however fragile prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications; that is, until
 when that fragility is exploited by temporal sophistic/pedantic dispositions in wrongly and
 cynically implying the equivalence of prospective intemporal-projection and prior temporal-
 projection as to when ancient Sophists elicit the contemplation of Socratic philosophers
 intemporal universalising-idealisation narrative in terms of their epochal
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> non-

universalising narrative, as to when medieval-scholasticism fail to engage prospective budding positivism/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology and harkening rather to its dogmatism pedantry, and as to when modern day intellectual muddlement seems to be blinded to the implication of ‘prospective event/aporetic thinking implied deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and take the route of eliciting disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> unconstrained to existential-reality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework such that even the idea of a human existential narrative tends to be put into question together with a tendency to question the pertinence of historically transformative figures and movements, and so in a ‘disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> impotence-inducing exercise’ (as to the fact that where there is uncertainty, whether real or unreal, ontological implications cannot then be effectively derived). The manifest reality of human ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology is thus one that is ever sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. This is reflected inherently in the fact that given human limited-mentation-capacity, human aestheticisation is ever always reactualising/recomposuring towards a fully ontologising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument; that is, human aestheticisation as from prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation instigation develops by recomposuring as from ‘perceptive motif-manifest

aestheticisation as of human conscious-able imagery’ to ‘mere-tracial-and-symbolisation-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able works-of-art/artistry’ and then to ‘signification-as-of-existential-reality-manifest aestheticisation as of human conscious-able intermediating ascriptivity’ with the latter achieving the given registry-worldview/dimension reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. Basically, human aestheticisation, in reflection of human limited-mentation-capacity and human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) possibility, ever always involves a ‘human disposition in portraying/reflecting/construing existence/ontological-veracity’ as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ and as of ‘human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ which then define together the aestheticisation specificity of the culturally cumulated outcomes/outfits/shells—construed-historially-as-of-the-specifically-aestheticised-incrusting/plating/coating,-so-reflected-as-institutional-manifestations explaining why human institutional constructs like language, cultural practices, etc. are inherently of their given cultural specifichness. In this regards, the social-setup in its furtherance of human aestheticisation towards human ontologising of meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always drawn between ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ rather in constitutedness as of its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and ‘human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-

indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation
possibilities’ rather in conflatedness as of instigative originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-
of-aestheticisation; explaining the structural/paradigmatic nature of human living-
development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-
function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to
the respective possibility bound by either a non-transcendental <formative>wooden-
language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications> and a transcendental opened-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to reference-of-thought-level
edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. The prospect
for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity is thus in many ways outlier to any given social-
setup by the mere token that it more critically construes of ontologisation/ontological-
veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as being within the framework of its value-
construct ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’
and so in incoherence with outlying implied ‘human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-
presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-
conflatedness>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ as to existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-

perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness; explaining why transcendence-and-sublimity cannot be construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ but rather as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of ‘human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’. Basically, ‘human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’, as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness implications necessarily imply the prospective devaluing of the ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ implied hierarchisation-of-values. However, the reality as of human limited-mentation-capacity is that however a seemingly universal disposition for ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology furtherance, such a disposition is not open-ended as reflected at any destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, in the sense that the human investment as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ in prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as-reproducibility-of-aestheticisation implies that it can be rather inclined to reject/ignore

prospective ‘human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology, and so where this discrepancy is sophistically perceived as advantageous to the social-vestedness/normativity of social-stake-contention-or-confliction (as manifested with sophistic/pedantic mediums, shamans, witchdoctors, ancient Sophists, medieval-scholasticism pedants and modern day intellectual muddlement). In this regards, the value-ricochetting/transvaluation—as-to-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness implications of a social-setup epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity hierarchisation-of-values (rather in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) is what provides the prospect for deflating/undermining its given vices-and-impediments as from prospective transcendence-and-sublimity. The fact that all registry-worldviews/dimensions are sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> as of their ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ with respect to prospective ‘human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’ (so-reflected in the transcendental advancing of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of universalising-idealisation rather as from outlier Socratic philosophers over the ancient mythologies and cultism of the technically more potent Ancient Egyptians and

Persians, etc., the transcendentally advancing of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology by outlier budding positivists over medieval Europe scholasticism pedantry notwithstanding its medieval institutional hegemony, likewise modern day disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought intellectual muddlement involving institutional-being-and-craft speaks of our uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring prospective deprocrpticism transcendentally advancing of ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness of originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation and reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation in organic coherence, as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation knowledge-reification maximalising) points out that all registry-worldviews/dimensions tend to assume a sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> equilibrium at their prospective deconstructing-threshold-of-ontological-performance with regards to their given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation; with the underpinning—suprasocial-construct, <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and sophistry in their ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness finitism of aestheticisation’ dynamics seemingly substituting in effect for prospective ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as of ‘human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-

prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>/transcending infinitism of aestheticisation possibilities’. The <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process critically and insightfully highlights, in reflection of inherent human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, that ‘all registry-worldviews/dimensions are ever always at the crossroads of knowledge-reification and sophistry as the latter is facilitated by underlying social <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity’; and so, as to the confluence of ‘prospective parrhesiastic instigative intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (inherently so as all prospective knowledge is inherently initially underdetermined thus depended at its instigation on ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, and is only prospectively validated as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in reflection of the-transcendental-signifier as existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) parrhesiastic seeding-promise of prospective knowledge-reification reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning induced

constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-
 flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising as mere-form of the prospective
 knowledge-reification reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought induced
 destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance. This structurally/paradigmatically
 defined existential framework of knowledge-reification of any given registry-
 worldview/dimension is known as its ‘parrhesiastic structure’ and is intimately associated
 with its given shiftiness-of-the-Self. The ‘parrhesiastic structure’ speaks of ‘a-given-
 developed-level-of-Will/Spirit/Drive in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—
 existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-
 ‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications>)’ that then allows for the corresponding
 ‘reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation reference-of-thought-level edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring’.
 This is fundamentally what explains why the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
 cannot all of a sudden start reasoning as of base-institutionalisation, and the latter as of
 universalisation, the latter as of positivism/rational-empiricism and prospectively the latter as

of deprocrypticism. The overall point here is that it is the ‘parrhesiastic structure’ as of parrhesiastic-aestheticisation that ‘invents/creates’ the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, and carries the ‘intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its mechanical reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation for the possibility of further prospective parrhesiastic instigation as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’. But then human temporality/shortness loses sight of this ‘parrhesiastic instigative intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of prospective knowledge-reification’ and assumes at the given registry-worldview/dimension destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance ‘an absolutising disposition as of temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising as mere-form of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation reflected in the absolutising of normativities, conventions, practices, etc.’ without or a poor sense of the ‘intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness of the registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond just its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ (that is, as the shiftiness-of-the-Self loses sight of ‘Will/Spirit/Drive parrhesiastic instigative dispensing-

with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension'). Such an 'absolutising disposition with the registry-worldview/dimension mereform of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation' is what underlies disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> at a registry-worldview/dimension destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance 'wherein normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as secondnature institutionalised constructs assume absolute determinism that flawly override any parrhesiastic <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity', and explains the Sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation non-universalising inclination on the basis that that social practice is absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology and the medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation non-positivising/medievalism dogma on the basis that that social practice is absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as well as present day overall intellectual muddlement as of institutional-being-and-craft normativities, conventions, practices, etc. in 'procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of its lack of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down-sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument' on the basis that such social practices are absolutely deterministic of meaningfulness-and-teleology. In other words, adherence to prospective knowledge-reification as of human temporality/shortness arises as of the existentially constraining untenability of positive-opportunism induced reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but doesn't necessarily elicits intemporal parrhesiastic

seeding-promise <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness for prospective
knowledge-reification as of ‘a weak social mental-reflex that any parrhesiastic
<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of
ontological-veracity will put in question prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-
disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as can be reflected in normativities,
conventions, practices, etc.’, and this is what explains the prevalence of disparateness-of-
conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>
at uninstitutionalised-thresholds as ‘mere-form of reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ temporally
takes pride-of-place and so unconstrained to prospective existence-potency-prospective-
digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidiation
implications ‘as of parrhesiastic <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
perception/re-thought of ontological-veracity’ thus providing the framework for ontological-
bad-faith/inauthenticity and sophistry hanging on unto secondnatured normativities,
conventions, practices, etc. thus rendering prospective transcendence-and-sublimity impotent.
Thus ‘the possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity is ever always a
renewed parrhesiastic structure’ that as of its reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning can
overcome such a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, and so
counterintuitively to any given registry-worldview/dimension notion/sense of transcendence-
and-sublimity as rather occuring along its already secondnatured established
reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-

aestheticisation normativities, conventions, practices, etc.; and this very much explains why the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions are successive parrhesiastic instigation of renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. Further the ‘renewed parrhesiastic structure’ in undermining prior ‘reference-of-thought-level and thus reference-of-thought-devolving-level of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>’ implies ‘foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’, and not ‘unification as of human-subpotency elicited contrasting-and-comparison’ as the latter just leads to a complexification of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> along the very same reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of an ontologically-flawed human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence that ‘allows the mortals that we are to average our thoughts’ rather than existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness imposing ontological-veracity as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This explains why the universalising-idealisation of Socratic philosophers, budding positivists thought and herein as well suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought are all characterised in their knowledge-reification not by an articulation along the prior established reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness constraining parrhesiastic aestheticisation of prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-

disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, that in all three cases looks down upon the notion of human-subpotency sophistic/pedantic pretence of foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ that is no more than complexification of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-⟨unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity⟩. Critically as of such parrhesiastic instigation of prospective relative-ontological-completeness the prior reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation ‘sycophantic-sophistic pretences of candour’ are edgily/incisively trampled-upon parrhesiastically as the Socratic philosophers go out of their way to highlight the intellectual discredit of the sophists, as budding positivists go out of their way to highlight medieval-scholasticism dogma, and likewise suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought is beyond just our positivism—procrypticism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation and as reflected herein with the parrhesiastic highlighting of institutional-being-and-craft and intellectual muddlement as of positivism—procrypticism ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of its lack of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument; as all that is as of knowledge-reification at uninstitutionalised-thresholds is necessarily as of prospective parrhesiastic instigation beyond the priorly parrhesiastic instigated reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In all these three instances of parrhesiastic instigation for human transcendence-and-sublimity, it is important to grasp that their validation lies in their ‘parrhesiastic <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of reference-of-thought-level

reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation’ construed as from <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative-implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of relative-ontological-
 incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness as of ‘existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness induced foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—
 sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ at registry-worldview/dimension
 reference-of-thought-level for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology as validated by
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ reflecting a foregrounding—entailment-
 ⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ so-implied in
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive
 registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-
 deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,—as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩
 implications of edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, and
 so ‘over human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence implied disparateness-of-
 conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,—failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity>
 unification as of an ontologically-flawed human-subpotency contrasting-and-comparison
 driven notion of foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—
 as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩’. Rather the Socratic philosophers are not obstinate as all the
 possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity that can-exist-as-of-existence-
 potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-
 perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (as from ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—

as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness for prospective knowledge-reification, with respect to human
 limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—
 as-sublimating-withdrawal)) can only arise as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-
 of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness parrhesiastic
 instigation implications of universalising-idealisation as the foregrounding—entailment-
 {narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} at reference-of-
 thought-level for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and ‘not contrasting-and-
 comparison disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-
 reflect-ontological-contiguity> in human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence as of non-
 universalising sophistry reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation secondnatured normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as
 of its lack of prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation foregrounding—
 entailment-{narrowing-down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal}
 edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; likewise the
 budding positivists are not obstinate as all the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-
 sublimity that can-exist-as-of-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness (as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise <formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness

for prospective knowledge-reification, with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)) can only arise as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness parrhesiastic instigation implications of ‘positivism/rational-empiricism’ as the foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ at reference-of-thought-level for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and ‘not contrasting-and-comparison disparateness-of-conceptualisation-⟨unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity⟩ in human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence as of non-positivism/medievalism dogma reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation secondnatured normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as of its lack of prospective positivism/rational-empiricism foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’; and likewise prospective suprastructuralism/postmodern-thought is not obstinate as all the prospective possibility for our prospective transcendence-and-sublimity that can-exist-as-of-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (as from ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality intemporal parrhesiastic seeding-promise ⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness for prospective knowledge-reification, with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—

as-sublimating-withdrawal)) can only arise as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness parrhesiastic instigation implications of ‘deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ as the foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> at reference-of-thought-level for devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and ‘not contrasting-and-comparison disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> in human-subpotency dialogical-equivalence of positivism–procrypticism’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation secondnatured normativities, conventions, practices, etc. as of its lack of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal> edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. In furtherance of this prospective epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity indictment, this author laments a covert practice of an intellection that has been critical of postmodern-thought but in latter years ‘reformulates the implications of postmodern ideas’ as original thought even as such practices supposedly passes their institutional thresholds of admissibility with the caveat though that much of such thought is poorly operant given its ad-hoc depth of knowledge-reification gesturing/process as of disparateness-of-conceptualisation-<unforegrounding-disentailment,-failing-to-reflect-ontological-contiguity> implications, and along the same parrhesiastic prospective epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity line this author is very much befuddled of a perverted exercise to undermine the originality of this work supposedly because of the theoretical orientation by a naïve ad-hoc synonymising exercise that this

author is very much confident fails as it overlooks the coherence and knowledge-reification gesturing/process articulated herein. Generally, such perversion of thought as it discreetly networks fails society in the long-run when it seems to assume a foreshadowing posture with regards to what can be thought or not thought as of a ‘realpolitiking of thought’ exercise. Such intellectual shadiness of vague highmindedness is no more different from the gross inanity of ancient sophists or medieval-scholastic pedants, as of naïve shallowminded incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a poor sense of intemporality/longness beyond earthly materialism. The transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting veracity of all singularising/immanenting subject-matters/domains-of-study ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩’ reflecting existence’s overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation, as of the implications of philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, whether with respect to say evolutionary theory in the biological sciences or physics foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ theories for instance can ultimately imply the reconceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology in order to supersede the fundamental approach of ‘finite categorising axiomatisation’ as of positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument towards hermeneutic existential-contextualising-contiguity reifying <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of

deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought referentialism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence involving ‘ontologically-projective-as-of-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence aestheticising/designed axiomatisation insight’. Basically thus, naïve mimicry of mere scientific approaches and methodologies isn’t inherently ontologically-pertinent but for vague ‘science ideology imprimatur’ as of institutional-being-and-craft, as priorly any study as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality should necessarily be in nested-congruence as of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, and so construed from a philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’; with the ultimate implication that subject-matters/domains-of-study <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ is what validates their maturity/immaturity. It should be noted here as well that it is human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ as of relative-ontological-completeness as of <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’

that underlies the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism over ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. In another respect this author's re-elaboration of postmodern difference conception, as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism contends that this effectively captures-and-reflects the evolving reality of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so over analytic atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism that goes on to analyse as if all the analysis that has ever been is as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness while ignoring the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of human underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness with respect to temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance as from past to present to future with regards to existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. Another criticism is the inclination for such atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation predisposition to start out with ad-hoc disparate conceptualisations as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism that often poorly reflect the 'ecstatic holism/nested-congruence' of existential phenomenality rather than the contrary approach that delves directly in existential-contextualising-contiguity and then reifies-out conceptualisations as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. The implication here is that quite often when required to explicate social

phenomena outside the framework of such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation approach, what happens is that responses will often tend not to be as of the direct import of such analytical atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation frameworks of supposed reification/elucidation, but rather as extra-contemplative articulations and commentaries that in many ways fall back into the very <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> that is supposed to be reified but now under the imprimatur of authority. This is very much unlike the case with proponents of ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ whose social and existential analyses are just a natural reification/elucidation projection as from within the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality framework of their study. Furthermore this contrast equally produces other distractive effects in the sense that when such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation analysis is presumed to be more profound as of its poorly nuanced interpretation of existential-contextualising-contiguity in a rather blurry social domain-of-study, then it assumes that issues of mutual misunderstanding are due to poor writing, poor use of language or ambiguous conceptualisations of such ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ proponents thought, failing to factor in the existential-contextualising-contiguity dereifying effects of abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation as decontextualising and pulling-apart the ‘ecstatic holism/nested-congruence’ of existential phenomenality, wherein the constraining effect of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as the ‘superior party’ is ignored/overlooked on the naïve token of working on specific aspects or specific interpretation, and so out of sync with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Again, what is loss of critical pertinence here is exactly what is implied by ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’, as being rather all about

elucidating the necessary-existential-states-and-conditions so-construed as ‘axiomatic-construct construal of ecstatic-existence/the-nature-of-the-world/conditions’, and not presuming-and-skirting-around them, before further expanding on the elucidation/reification of their manifestations as validated or can be falsifiable by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; or otherwise this simply leads to a loss of the sense of ontologically-veridical reality. Ultimately, such abstract atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation tendencies and further as of a frequently gestational knowledge state with respect to the possibility for prospective social transcendence-and-sublimity, induces a penchant for flawed intellectually supplementing rhetorisation rather than reification as well as naïve focussing on disparateness of conceptualisations-and-interpretations as of lack or poor constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework disposition rather than an orientation towards the ‘transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing or transversal-analysis-towards-validatory-selectivity-for-foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ of conceptualisations-and-interpretations’ as constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which is what further reifies the body of knowledge by enabling existence as the transcendental-enabling/sublimating/transcendental-signifier to continually select the trace/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of sound and complementary conceptualisations-and-interpretations out of a genuine ecstatic reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwtness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation disseminative insight, with unsound/superseded conceptualisations-and-interpretations being discarded thereafter. Concretely, we can easily appreciate the greater pertinence of a Foucauldian statement of relative truth as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, construable rather as a more precise theoretical, conceptual and operant notion of truth by its existential-contextualising-

contiguity knowledge-reifying <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as reflected with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and so when compared to the atomising/taking-to-pieces formalisation notion of truth-value as of ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. Such a construal of relative truth doesn’t imply a lack of commitment in truth, but is utterly the contrary as of ‘a much more critical and ontologically decisive commitment to truth and growing truth’ as any pertinent critique can garner in Foucault’s truth-delogocentering works/research-programme and its extensive interpretational citability in other scholarly works/research-programmes as of its scholarly advancing of the humanities and social sciences; as his works/research-programme quest for truth ‘expands the conception of truth beyond our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions as if all the world that has ever existed is as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’, and displaces/decenters the human subject as of its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness cloistered-consciousness for a more mature and nuanced conception of truth and the implications of truth; and so, beyond the contemplation of naïve atomising/taking-to-

pieces formalisation dereifying rhetorisations that border on <formative>wooden-language—
imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications> populist interpretations rather than elevating human
ontological construal of the social domain-of-study! It is herein contended that existence—as-
the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as of its ecstatic singularity actually points to
appropriate attitude/mental-disposition/care—and—episteme as of ontologically-veridical
difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of every
domain-of-study; as the fact remains that the domain-of-study of the social world is utterly
different as of existential-contextualising-contiguity from the domain-of-study of the natural
world, and not to mention that even within the natural world or social world there are equally
subject-matters peculiarities that require their own specific approaches to
elucidation/reification as of existential-contextualising-contiguity – and this said without
undermining the idea of the ecstatic singularity of existence from which all such subject-
matter-human-specialisms ecstatically arise as veridically implied by
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism speaking of an
underlying ecstatic commonness though not common phenomenality. Thus, in all cases the
overall implications for the optimum advancement of human knowledge is most critically
about constraining knowledge to existential-contextualising-contiguity elucidation/reification
rather than just mere formalisation as of conceptual patterning for its own sake. The fact is
the natural sciences are already naturally constraint to existential-contextualising-contiguity
knowledge-reification by the implicated immediate-constraining ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework transcendence-and-sublimity whereas the human world is rather blurry
in this regard and hence requires the requisite explicated insight about existence as of its

ecstatic singularity for its appropriate approach for transcendence-and-sublimity. In many ways such an insight is often implied in the natural sciences as of its relative transparency of cause-and-effect reification of existential-contextualising-contiguity but not by a naïve/mimicked formalisation as of mere conceptual patterning. Consider in this regard the implications of interpreting natural science transcendental-enabling/sublimating knowledge say between Mendelian heredity and DNA genetics or say Descartes Physics and Newton and Leibniz Physics on the basis of naïve formalisation as of conceptual patterning, then in many ways the latter contributors would be poorly appreciated given that the spectacular transcendence-and-sublimity implications of their studies are massively overlooked by a poor appreciation that knowledge is critically all about formalisation as of conceptual patterning rather than existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Actually, formalisation in the natural sciences and mathematics is the effective ‘formatting outcome’ of an implicated creative process of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. This process is one of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ reflected as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, and not just a prior formalisation exercise as mere conceptual patterning as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-

contextualising-contiguity reflected as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism; with ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ rather reflected as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism which implied singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism enables transcendence-and-sublimity which is ‘concurrently formatted as formalisation’. Thus we know of the recurrent stories of ‘mathematics invented by physicists or mathematicians working under the physics existential-contextualising-contiguity guise’ as of the insight of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification of the physics domain, with such mathematics ‘very often not well presented but essentially sublime’, and thereafter such existential-contextualising-contiguity initially reified mathematics is further reified as of mathematics more generalised-level of existential-contextualising-contiguity insight while ‘exquisitely formalised in concurrence’. This reality of ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ is very much obvious from the accounts of ‘successive partial contributions-and-failures’ that lead to major breakthroughs in the natural sciences as of the ‘very same <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—

purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality';
 with this ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
 singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism 'repeating/repetition of maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-
 contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance
 of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-
 purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with
 increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness' construed as occurring within the
 very same scientist, across scientists of the same interest-of-study in a generation, and across
 scientists of the same developing interest-of-study cross-generationally as of the 'very same
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality'. In this regard, we can appreciate that as of
 their differing ontological-performance the threshold where the theory-of-relativity-together-
 with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs projects its prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness is considered as dialectical-thinking, and striving to operate the classical-
 mechanics—axiomatic-constructs in its projected prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is
 effectively dialectically-dementing; even though both address the 'very same physics
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality'. The implications of flawed formalisation
 credo as of conceptual patterning identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-
 dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism implied
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism extends, as of its
 flawed primacy of conceptual patterning on the basis of a conception of knowledge that tends
 to belittle and trivialise original knowledge contributions geared towards creative existential-
 contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification while naively overrating contributions to

knowledge of a conceptual patterning orientation, in further blurring the study of the social with mischaracterisations and poor appreciation of transcendence-and-sublimity implications and ultimately induces self-perpetuating artifices of institutional-being-and-craft that mechanically ‘paradoxically then supersede knowledge’ as of its very organic authenticity. One recurrent consequence of the formalisation credo that keeps on arising for instance in the analytic tradition of philosophy as of its non-holism or ‘poor conflatedness of holism/nested-congruence’, is that the underlying conception about growing the body of human knowledge seems to be the ‘incrementing of all such conceptual patterning conceptualisations’ going by their cross-analysis as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. Basically, the underlying implication of conflatedness, and so over naïve constitutedness, is that all ontologically-veridical conceptualisations can only be veridical by their ‘abstract reduction to the holistic/nested-congruence implication of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation as of its ecstatic singularity’, and thus implies the articulation of all such ontologically-veridical conceptualisations as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism; while avoiding any such conceptualising naivety that may imply ‘existence in existence’ as this can only lead to flawed conceptualisations, <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and logocentrism as of constitutedness. Critically, no concepts have any veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology but only rather as of their conflatedness with existence, and cannot be construed as ‘existing in existence’ as implied by constitutedness which just leads to ontologically-flawed dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism implied identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. We can appreciate that the naïve conceptual patterning of

conceptualisations in many a social domain-of-study failing to disambiguate divergent knowledge implications-and-contributions as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification end up transforming subject-matters into descriptive enunciations of weak existentially explanatory and predicative capacity. The entire project of human meaningfulness-and-teleology is nothing but one of creatively elucidating/reifying existence/existential-possibilities, ‘with no out of existence knowledge project’, which is merely delusional. Thus, what is critically missing here is the fundamental constraining reality for creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, and so over the mere possibilities for abstracting conceptualisations. This very much explains why many of those who subscribe to the formalisation credo have a poor existential projection and appreciation for grasping the existential-contextualising-contiguity reifying gestures of postmodern-thought and other critical theories, and end up often haranguing such orientations by striving to constrain them on the basis of vague abstractions as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity. This failure in fully appreciating the import of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ as of implied singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism has fundamental <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, as transcendence-and-sublimity only arise as of human expansion of its reifying grasp of existential-

contextualising-contiguity. Consider in this regard that the repeated maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness articulation by this author on the theme of conceptual patterning here further complements as of further articulated reification of this very theme elsewhere herein, more than just about a mechanical repeating; and this knowledge-reification insight often goes missing with many a subscriber to the formalisation credo, as of reification along the three frames indicated above (as of same scholar interest-of-study, scholars of the same generation interest-of-study and scholars cross-generationally developing interest-of-study). In this regard, the contribution of post-structuralist scholars like Foucault, Derrida, Lyotard, Lacan, Deleuze have now and then been belittled as not original, as of a very much naïve conceptual patterning conception of knowledge; going by their profound association with earlier scholars and more specifically Heidegger and Nietzsche. From a creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification perspective of knowledge construal, this is no less silly as dismissing and belittling as unoriginal the ideas of later physicists since their contributions are just more evolved formalisation as of conceptual patterning of concepts originarily/as-of-event available to earlier contributors to the ‘traditional classical mechanics axiomatic-construct’ propounded by Newton together with the conceptual patterning influences of Galileo, Descartes, Leibniz, etc. as of the conceptual patterning of such concepts like space, time, force, etc. Such a conclusion certainly reflects a ‘massive ontological dearth’ in failing to appreciate the creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of the latter contributors in both instances. This further speaks of a poor grasp of the human knowledge project as being all about further reifying human grasp of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with the intellectual’s job to the best of their abilities rather

being about orientating its effort for the best possibility to further this goal whether as of critical altogether new thought development or critical recomposuring of prior thought, or both. More likely than not the headway made by prior scholars means that the good intellectual knows as of the true goal of human knowledge advancement beyond just institutional-being-and-craft that their best effort is rather in further advancing/reifying/elucidating the headway as of ‘repeating/repetition of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, inducing successive differences of ontological-performance of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness’. This is especially the case where such headway mirrors ‘pure ontology’ articulation, as there is only one ontological as existential reality. This orientation and rearticulating exercise by postmodern-thought speaks rather of an assurance that they are on a solid ontological pathway just as physicists orientation and redevelopment of the ontic lines setup by the early Galileos, Newtons and Leibnizes speaks of an assurance of ontological depth, in both instances as of their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. Ultimately, and it is this author’s contention, the various scholarly contributions to postmodern-thought can be understood as rather pointing to the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrpticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. We can equally appreciate that much of the disseminative rational-empiricism/positivism implications of the works of such pioneers like Copernicus, Galileo,

and specifically Descartes, etc. created ‘a rational-empiricism/positivism disseminative metaphoricity orientation making the human subject thinking as of mathesis universalis conceptualisation central’ reflected by Descartes ‘I think therefore I am’, and as followed and adopted to resolve various human knowledge issues by subsequent thinkers in successive generations as of human ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning wherein in their states of undecidability/aporia ‘left it’ to existence as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as the veritable transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating to ‘continually select’ rational-empiricism/positivism disseminative orientations for transcendence-and-sublimity, leading to our present refined positivism/rational-empiricism conception! But then because our present ‘positivism—procrypticism human subject is rather undecentered’ relative to the prospective postmodern—deprocrypticism self-conscious mindset we fail to truly appreciate the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of postmodern-thought as of the prospective exercise of ‘leaving it’ to existence as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as the veritable transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating to ‘continually select’ postmodern—deprocrypticism disseminative orientations for transcendence-and-sublimity, in the same vain that the ‘non-positivism/medievalism undecentered human subject’ failed to truly appreciate the structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of prospective positivism/rational-empiricism thought. On the other hand, recurrent conceptual patterning predispositions and orientations arise because of poor appreciation/reference for judging knowledge often as of poor institutional mechanical conceptualisation of knowledge, wherein the constraining metrics of institutional setups including strangely enough also many such tertiary institutions where poststructuralist thinkers studied-and-taught-as-outlier-intellectuals, ‘apparently and falsely surpass

existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation’. Such institutional nombrilistic inclinations operate on the naivety that institutional processes are inherently reifying by their mere infrastructure and deferential-formalisation-transference, and set up enframed constraints that are in many ways self-defeating for the purpose of profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity. But then with regards to the social notwithstanding its high emotional-involvement disruptiveness to knowledge, more profoundly existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification here implies human displacement/decentering even though our temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology dispositions certainly have a hard time assuming the full implications of such prospectively implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology. This further speaks to the fact that human knowledge is much more than distantly/remotely abstracted conceptions of meaningfulness-and-teleology of trite existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, as on critical occasions this puts the human subject itself into question; and so, as of ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ even where this edges into contortioning asceticism as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought. Such ‘pure ontology’ orientation grounded on creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification is ever always a ‘conflatedness holism/nested-congruence’ as it aspires to grasping and articulating meaningfulness-and-teleology as portends to the wholeness/nested-congruence of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; with such construal in reality rather very much as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-

epistemic-determinism rather than dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. It is thus not a surprise that many natural sciences in their ‘creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ develop as and aspire to be whole/congruent in conception, even though their concepts can be misconstrued as rather disparate but in effect are ‘operant as of wholeness/nested-congruence’. Likewise, the underlying deprocrypticism-or-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought conflatedness holism/nested-congruence suprastructuralism conception herein is rather articulated as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of epistemic reflection of the ecstatic singularity of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Unlike the constitutedness rampant with human and social conceptualisations, it is important to grasp that conceptualisations in many a natural science domain tend to be naturally as of conflatedness holism/nested-congruence given their theoretical, conceptual and operant existential contiguity/congruence <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity with ‘the ecstatic singularity of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality implied with regards to all such seemingly ad-hoc conceptualisations being contiguously reflected across space and time’. We can consider in this regard the strongly nested-congruence/contiguity of seemingly disparate conceptualisations as force, energy, etc. in physics or hereditary and functional conceptualisations in biology; reflected as of the specifically ecstatically nested-congruence of such conceptualisations with the existential wholeness, and so more than just abstractable conceptualisations out of sync with effective nesting as of the existential wholeness. In other words, the nestedness of the conceptualisations imply that there is a natural or existential cogency-and-fluidity among the concepts, speaking-of-and-reflecting their wholeness; the implication is not necessarily that all the whole field-of-study must be

grasped all at once but rather that this existential cogency-and-fluidity speaking-of-and-reflecting wholeness must insightfully be grasped before articulating existentially/ontologically pertinent conceptualisations that are equally cogent-and-fluid with the wholeness. That underlying dynamic theoretical-conceptual-operant interrelatedness speaking of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is often very much lacking in many a social domain-of-study which ad-hoc nature of conceptualisations can easily be misconstrued as of the same wholeness/nested-congruence nature with many natural science conceptualisations. This reality of comprehensive depth of knowledge is easily lost to ad-hoc and disparate social conceptualisations that by their constitutedness token tend to give up on the central issue of knowledge as of its wholeness/nested-congruence reflection ‘as of creative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation in its ecstatic singularity. The naivety of implied constitutedness in the social is in the expectation that the unity of disparateness of conceptualisations as of the-very-same-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will take care of itself in reflecting the ecstatic singularity of existence without human self-conscious wholeness/nested-congruence conception as of conflatedness in this respect; but then such parsimony loses more than just wholeness/nested-congruence in the sense that sound conceptualisations cannot be done without a sense of wholeness/nested-congruence in the first place, and more precisely as of ‘holistic/nested-congruence conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’. While in many ways the natural sciences as immediately-and-directly constrained by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework are naturally and ad-hocly structured/paradigmed to implicitly construe wholeness/nested-congruence of conception as of ‘holistic/nested-congruence conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’ with regards to their

conceptualisations, this cannot be said of the same of the social as of the need for its self-conscious understanding of wholeness/nested-congruence conception as of ‘conflatedness with existence as of its ecstatic singularity’ given its inherent blurriness, <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and emotional-involvement, in order to then achieve parallel level of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework knowledge conception as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. In effect this ontological difficulty fundamentally has to do with the inherent difficulty of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) construed as ‘dispensing-with-shallow-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification; with human self-consciousness rather prone to its given reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as–reproducibility-of-aestheticisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for its knowledge construal. The insight for singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism being that as of its ‘dispensing-with-shallow-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition’-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification, as increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought towards

ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism avails, effectively the construal of the social
 assumes the requisite reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 wholeness/nested-congruence conceptualisation as of the conflatedness of ‘prospective
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism
 deprocrypticism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, as implied by
 the suprastructuralism conception herein in fully reflecting the ecstatic singularity of
 existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, and so over our present
 parsimony/disparateness of conceptualisations ‘reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-
 disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as-of-ontologically-compromised—
 categorising positivism—procrypticism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. Thus we can
 appreciate here that ultimately singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
 determinism is not just artificially prompted but is rather the structural/paradigmatic
 consequence of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument attitude/mental-
 disposition/care—and—episteme, ultimately as of prospective ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism
 deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Our mental-
 disposition is caught up between its capacity to conceptualise as of singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism implied prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness conflatedness and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-
 epistemic-determinism implied prior relative-ontological-incompleteness constitutedness; and

basically intemporal ontological-performance arises by drawing out the full
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity of meaningfulness-and-teleology exclusively as of
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism implied conflatedness
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness as it enables ‘ontological-performance to be
 utterly as of predictable structural/paradigmatic internal-necessity/determinism so-construed
 as immanence-function-conflatedness’. Thus the inherent ecstatic singularity of existence
 carries intemporal ‘immanence-functions-conflatedness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-
 to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism conflatedness, while
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism constitutedness
 arises as of <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ontological-construal defect when
 naively failing to convey the ‘immanence-function-conflatedness implication’ of overall
 panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-
 educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 conceptualisation. Thus naturalistic methodologies are only as pertinent as of their explaining
 of underlying background of the social as of physical and biological reality, but not as
 substitutive explanations as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-
 reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-
 perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation of social immanence as
 this is bound to induce constitutedness. What is misjudged by many naturalistic
 methodologies with regards to the social is the fact that the very reality as to overall
 panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-
 educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-

conceptualisation of an outright social immanence as arising from ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of ‘abstract cumulation of human memorisation and knowledge immanence’ is beyond the human neuropsychological background, and as human consciousness as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation is of an altogether social and socio-psychological immanence; with the implications that a hypothetical instantaneous erasure of all humans memory and knowledge will lead to humankind’s retrograding to its most basic animalistic background potential for social immanence as of the earliest of humans, speaking of an altogether substantive cumulated abstract tissue as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation social immanence built up by ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ as of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. In this regard, immanence-function-conflatedness rather reflects ‘the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence disposition as of ontological-

completeness-of-reference-of-thought deprocrypticism point-of-departure/perspective as of
 its protensive self-consciousness' that fulfils-and-assumes meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism going by its full
 comprehension of existence's ecstatic singularity immanence <formative>epistemic-
 causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity,
 hence overcoming our positivism-procrypticism <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-reflex in
 constitutedness that induces dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-
 determinism; and so, as of immanence-function-conflatedness insight as to overall
 panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-
 educated—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 conceptualisation, and so-reflected the supervening-conflatedness of subject-matter
 epistemic-conceptions say chemistry immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-
 empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence over physics, biology immanence-function-
 conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence over chemistry,
 neurology immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-
 ecstatic-existence over biology, mental/psychological immanence-function-conflatedness
 reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence over neurology, social
 immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-
 existence over mental/psychological, and narrativity (hegemonising intemporal-as-
 ontological narrative metaphoricity as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-
 aesthetic-tracing) immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-
 of-ecstatic-existence over social, and as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
 immanence-function-conflatedness reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-
 existence over reasoning-from-results/afterthought. Basically, immanence-function-

conflatedness speaks of the counterintuitive mental-reflex for drawing out the full
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity for ‘creative understanding’/insight as of singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism, going by existence’s ecstatic singularity as to
 overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—
 imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency-epistemic-perspective-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation. This immanence-function-
 conflatedness insight is effectively what marks prospective deprocrysticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of an utterly different protensive self-
 consciousness from our hesitant and occlusive positivism—procrysticism self-consciousness.
 Hence existence’s ecstatic singularity is very much akin with the Deleuzian plane of
 immanence construed herein as of existence’s ecstatic singularity immanence/internal-
 necessity <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-
 explicating-ontological-contiguity of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
 epistemic-determinism; the ontological implication here being that ‘we are as potently
 transcendental as from our flawed constitutedness’ or ‘we are as potently immanent as of our
 virtuous conflatedness’. Immanence-function-conflatedness points out that the mental-reflex
 for objectifying discursivity between prospective relative-ontological-completeness and prior
 relative-ontological-incompleteness is fundamentally flawed as of constitutedness, as all the
 objectifying discursivity that is ontologically-veridical is as of the conflatedness of
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness
 construed as immanence-function-conflatedness. Thus metaphoricity of non-positivism
 mindset ‘supposedly in an objectifying/contending discursivity’ with a positivism mindset
 registers as of positivism immanence-function-conflatedness reflection of the underlying non-
 positivism mental-disposition with regards to such issues like existential desublimation

manifestations of superstition, spiritualism, etc. This same conception holds with the deprocrypticism immanence-function-conflatedness overriding the meaningfulness-and-teleology of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset ‘supposedly in an objectifying/contending discursivity’ with the deprocrypticism mindset, as the latter reflects the underlying positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition mindset with regards to existential desublimation manifestations of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In both instances, the issue lies in the lack of a common apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, with immanence-function-conflatedness implying that all the meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily as of the prospective relative-ontological-completeness over the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness; respectively as of positivism and deprocrypticism. If by anticipation we do know immanently that a non-positivism mindset is bound to a non-positivistic-as-existentially-superstitious apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of structural/paradigmatical internal-necessity/determinism insight from positivism immanence-function-conflatedness with the obviousness there is no point implying an ontologically-flawed objectifying/contending discursivity in assessing the non-positivism existentially-superstitious inclination, the same implication will extend to deprocrypticism immanence-function-conflatedness as of structural/paradigmatical internal-necessity/determinism insight with regards to anticipating the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument mindset of our positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition with no pretence of such a positivism–procrypticism ontologically-flawed objectifying/contending discursivity in assessing the disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought inclination. In other words, immanence-function-

conflatedness is all about reflecting the straightforwardness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in arriving at ontological-veridicality over the human mindset flawed-and-naive predisposition to make of its objectifying/contending discursivity as structurally/paradigmatically deterministic by mere mental-reflex of naively elevating prior relative-ontological-incompleteness meaningfulness-and-teleology as if of prospective relative-ontological-completeness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Immanence-function-conflatedness equally highlights knowledge as of its essential organic construct implications. As a constitutedness predisposition tends to imagine that knowledge is basically a cumulative exercise to an already soundly structured/paradigmed mindset, but nothing could be farther from the truth as knowledge is really an exercise of re-forming-or-reshaping-as-transforming the structuring/paradigming of the mind. In other words, it is rather vague to ‘surreptitiously sneak in supposedly positivism knowledge’ into an unquestioned/unchallenged non-positivism mindset, as at best the outcome will be simply a further complexification of the non-positivism mindset apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as with such a reflection as ‘God of plane’ in a non-positivism animistic social-setup, speaking of non-positivism complexification and not positivism knowledge acquisition. This is effectively what validates the notion of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ as central to the very notion of organic knowledge as it enables prospective transcendence-and-sublimity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Such a ‘decentering of the human subject’ implies that the false ontological-certitudes of the non-positivism mindset as of its non-positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument are necessarily

ironically trampled-upon in the discourse of positivism organic knowledge in a non-positivism social-setup. For instance, walking into the evil forest to retrieve a plant cure with induced curing eliciting psychoanalytic-unshackling with respect to the non-positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as its superstitious value-reference structure is shown to be inadequate given that it is the violation of that non-positivism value-reference that is what carries the potential for its prospective emancipation into-and-as-of-the-implications-of a prospective positivism mindset. Thus organic knowledge as of its transcendental implications cannot imply that the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of a prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is an appropriate framework for prospectively implied reference-of-thought knowledge acquisition. Likewise, it is herein contended that similarly a deprocrypticism contortion reifying gesture necessarily questioning our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for the possibility of psychoanalytic-unshackling implications as of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ is the necessary organic knowledge for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought transcendence. The implication of organic knowledge conception is that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument fails the objectifying/contending discursivity as of prospective base-institutionalisation immanence-function-conflatedness, likewise does base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation fails as of prospective universalisation immanence-function-conflatedness, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism fails as of prospective positivism immanence-function-

conflatedness, and prospectively positivism–procrypticism fails in futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism
 immanence-function-conflatedness; so-implied as of singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism reflection of existence’s ecstatic singularity.
 Hence ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of
 difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
 determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-
 explicating-ontological-contiguity’ implied organic knowledge is ever always as of the
 structural/paradigmatic internal-necessity/determinism of prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness-reference-of-thought as of immanence-function-conflatedness, with the
 pretence of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought for
 objectifying/contending discursivity nothing more but flawed <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. The study of the social as of immanence-function-
 conflatedness insight grasp that the blurriness, <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and remoteness of cause-and-
 effect invoke a more refined conception of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 as reflecting existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. Such a refinement while
 cognisant of the pertinence of falsifiability and validation is more in line with the Lakatosian
 research-programme perspective given the complexity of the social just as many a complex
 domain in the natural sciences in effect assume the research-programme epistemic model;
 consider that while the natural sciences are generally more amenable to strong immediate

cause-and-effect determination, such complex studies like string theory in physics, medical research, etc. tend to assume in effect the research-programme epistemic model. The underlying insight here is that many a complex study purview as well as the study of the social given its poorly constraining immediate cause-and-effect determination, renders knowledge validation more of a ‘construct of comprehensive-coherence and competitive claim to ontological pertinence as of extensive research-programme implications’, but this should however implicitly reflect concurrently the underlying notions of falsifiability-or-deferring-falsifiability and validation-or-deferring-validation. It is herein contended that it is the implicated orientation of many post-structuralists thinking as of the research-programme epistemic model as articulated herein that renders their thought scientifically credible and pertinent as such scholars like Foucault, Derrida, Deleuze, to cite just these few have turned out to be the dominant scholarly-cited authors in the general humanities, and so precisely because of the very thorough existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification in their scholarly output, and paradoxically so over purported scholarly approaches ‘supposedly of a more scientific methodology but when evaluated as of such authorial scholarly comprehensive research-programmes’ turn out to be of weaker existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification. This insight equally informs this author’s conviction that it is ultimately as of such comprehensive research-programme epistemic model as articulated herein and its further existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, as well as existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of the disposition for advancing the metalevel transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) of the ‘structural/paradigmatic disseminative implications of postmodern and other human sublimation-inducing—textuality/hermeneutics/possibilities-of-becoming-existential-interpretation/axiomatisation-

of-existence’ thought, that the ontological-pertinence assumes ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework unassailability; and so, not for the mere sake of research-programme extensiveness but as of its internal constraining to falsifiability-or-deferred-falsifiability and validation-or-deferred-validation as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as implied by the articulation of authenticity herein as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning attitude/mental-disposition/care—and–episteme ‘implication of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, on the basis that the very first epistemic frontier for ontological-pertinence lies with the scholarly developed creative insight for existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge. Ultimately, postmodern-thought has been unassailable to vague scepticism and ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity criticism exactly because of its strong scholarly research-programme existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification, and thus an immanence-function-conflatedness insight in the study of the social as of its inherent complex nature is certainly justified to adhere to a research-programme epistemic model as herein articulated. In another respect, while intellectualism as of organic knowledge implications in many ways commands massive social deference and adherence, it is equally important not to naively assume that at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, human existential-investment as of its temporality/shortness cannot be predisposed to anti-intellectualism, as this insight is pertinent in the sense that transcendental knowledge is articulated mostly as of its undermining of human temporal existential-investment. The bigger point here being that the possibility of prospective transcendence lies in upholding-and-defending authentic intellectualism even as of metaphoricity beyond <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> socially intelligible meaningfulness-and-teleology conceptualisation in <formative>epistemic-

totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag.

Metaphoricity as such ironises on social intellectual nihilism as it is bent on undermining any temporality/shortness as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality solipsistic intemporality/longness parrhesiastic askance, and as of immanence-function-conflatedness ‘highlights and keeps wide-opened the prospect’ for prospective authentic intellectualism by undermining its blending with inauthentic untransvaluated—temporal-intemporality manifestations that usurp and undermine human transcendence. Further, while ‘human projected conception of knowledge cumulation’ seems to be ever always ‘perceived absolutely as within an only same institutionalisation reference-of-thought’, with their merits at least for expanding human mastery of its environment at their given level as well as their defects as of undermining the possibility for prospective knowledge, for instance as of the animistic social-setup to perceive its animistic knowledge system as absolute, as of the medieval/non-positivism social-setup to perceive its medieval scholasticism as absolute or as of our positivism—procrpticism social-setup to perceive our positivism—procrpticism humanistic knowledge system as absolute; it is immanence-function-conflatedness by its implied internal-necessity construct that best reflects the reality of human knowledge cumulation by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology conception, recognising the underlying retrospective and prospective epistemic dynamics behind knowledge as of protracting self-consciousness over the cloistering self-consciousness of falsely absolutising specific registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought. With such immanence-function-conflatedness insight, the epistemic and methodological pretences as of our humanistic positivism—procrpticism are evaluated on their true merits, and such an

evaluation reveals that such epistemic and methodological pretences while ‘developed institutional practice’ are just that as-more-or-less-mechanically-institutionalised, and that critically from a deeper perspective the reality is that it is the research-programme as articulated above that underlies human knowledge cumulation, and so as of the competitive evaluation of various epistemic and methodological commitments made in immediacy and their ultimate prospective evaluation as of their research-programmes productive outcomes. The research-programme as such can be reconstrued as the reevaluation of any propounded knowledge and epistemic paradigms as of their ultimate existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge; such that the immediacy of contention of appropriateness of epistemic and methodological approaches is less critical, as ultimately all knowledge constructs and their epistemic and methodological commitments face their long term bottomline reevaluation as to their relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as knowledge construed as their research-programmes. This speaks of the fact that such a conception of epistemic commitment as of research-programme is effectively one of epistemic singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism so-implied as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence associated with ontologically-uncompromised—referentialism deprocrypticism; and very much overcoming the limiting effect of our present conception of epistemic commitment as rather dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of ontologically-compromised—categorising positivism—procrypticism. Thus, if immanence-function-conflatedness reveals that it is the ‘projected research-programme of any given knowledge construct as of its prospective relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’ that is its preeminent epistemic and methodological validation, ‘pretences of pre-given epistemic predispositions’ that do not attend pertinently and similarly to prospective relative existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification are

nothing more but <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag predispositions that pretend to supersede existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation, and institutionalised, such <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag predispositions may actually be structurally/paradigmatically stifling for the possibility of prospective knowledge and transcendence, and more seriously so where the possibility of varied research-programme choices are difficultly entertainable without institutional backing for research needing major funding and/or resources. Finally, the research-programme epistemic model attends to the social as of the reality of human emotional-involvement by its extensiveness. Consider that many a transformative natural science idea have certainly been ‘supposedly gross conceptualisations’ but with varied social responses as of their given social epoch sensitivities; consider in this regard Copernicus and Galileo heliocentric world argument eliciting social sensitivities then and equally stark physics ideas at the beginning of the last century with relativity and quantum mechanics hardly eliciting any social sensitivities, rather as of the disarming effect on conventioning simply on the basis of their matter-of-fact cause-and-effect. In many ways the prospect of prospective knowledge very much lies with a shakeup of the social ‘sense of presence’ and this is not contradictory in the sense that if the present was all that great then its very transcendence wouldn’t be occurring, and so existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality warrants that transcendence occurs as to conflict with the naïve social ‘sense of presence’ as absolute, and so because it is all about the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but with contrastive underlying relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness. It is quite absurd to think that the possibility of prospective human transcendence especially, as of our

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, lies wholly within the ambit of our 'sense of presence' agreeableness; as this rather speaks of the framework of our limited certitudes as this limits/stifles the possibility of further profound existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for transcendence-and-sublimity. While today that notion of contrariety has in many ways sank in and been accepted with natural science knowledge especially so as it hardly elicits social emotional-involvement, the fact of the matter is that the possibility of the profound study and emancipation of the social inevitably comes with a contrariety of our social 'sense of presence'. Just as the 'decentering of the subject' was what brought about the positivistic mindset today that allowed for modern day science to develop and just as well modern day social science, it is inevitable that a further development of human knowledge as of its organic knowledge construct warrants a further 'decentering of the human subject' as implied by deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and justified by the fact that if previous generations had to undergo their psychoanalytic-unshackling for prospective institutionalisation, we can only ever be pushed into the corner of our intellectual nihilism when we seem to pretend that we are beyond the prospect of our transcendence. Immanence-function-conflatedness analytical implications equally arise as of the 'countervailing transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing relation induced as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' between 'existence/existential-possibilities as the selecting transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating' and 'the ever developing human limited-mentation-capacity as of its deepening from relative uninstitutionalised-threshold to relative institutionalisation so-construed as prospective institutionalisation dissemination', as this transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing is exactly what validates epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness as relevant for the protracted-consciousness of

notional-deprocrypticism. Thus for such a notion of research-programme as articulated herein rather than just implying mere epistemic latitude/anarchy, it speaks instead of the construal/justification of epistemic-veracity as of precedence of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, and so as of the structural/paradigmatic implication of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Thus prospective relative-ontological-completeness is inherently bound with its very own epistemic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the ‘decentering of the human subject’ involved in knowledge-reification. This inherently projects a ‘practical picture of human epistemic determination’ of ‘maximal disseminative human epistemic articulations at relative uninstitutionalised-threshold’ and ‘minimum select human epistemic articulations at prospective institutionalisations’, and so as of existence/existential-possibilities as the transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating transversally induced ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework selective epistemic-veracity transcendence-and-sublimity. In this regard and at the general epistemic level of reference-of-thought-devolving, we can appreciate the massively shrunk epistemic-veracity possibilities available for our present positivism credible construal of ontological-veridicality over the epistemic-veracity possibilities previously available for non-positivistic social-setups credible construal of ontological-veridicality as of their full existential cognition of superstition, witchcraft, spiritualism, etc., and their social implications; and this reflects the very fact that ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-

conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity' is one associated with increasing thinning out of epistemic-veracity as
 of prospective relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
 projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity induced from
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Central to such epistemic-veracity thinning
 out is the very essential process behind increasing ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process which is deferential-formalisation-transference. Besides
 deferential-formalisation-transference associated epistemic-veracity relevance for
 institutional construction and institutional rules of critical importance for human organisation
 like political and legal institutions, such deferential-formalisation-transference associated
 epistemic-veracity has been inherently of strongest relevance in knowledge domains more
 easily amenable to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and low emotional
 involvement like the natural sciences but weakly so inherently in many a social domain-of-
 study not readily amenable to strong ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and of
 high emotional involvement, and as such social domains practically tend to get into
 amalgamation with the extended-informality as of its deficient <formative>wooden-
 language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> epistemic impertinence. Prospective notional-
 deprocrypticism necessarily implies a further epistemic-veracity thinning out as of its
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought associated
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, with the implication that our positivism—
 procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold epistemic-veracity is in many ways construed as
 of epistemic impertinence at its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-

threshold and superseded by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—
as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
as of prospective deprocrypticism disseminative epistemic-veracity and so as the prospective
epistemic-veracity thinning out outcome of existence/existential-possibilities as the
transcendental-signifier/transcendental-enabling/sublimating determinant selector as of the
deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought disseminative
research-programme coherence and ontological-contiguity. The idea being that the
deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity as of such disseminative research-programme coherence
and ontological-contiguity equally imply an underlying falsifiability-or-deferred-falsifiability
and validation-or-deferred-validation as a constraint to the social domain-of-study meant to
render it more thoroughly amenable to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
ontological-contiguity capable of reflecting the unassailability of the most transversally
profound theorisations and conceptualisations on the basis of their demonstrable operant
implications as of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification for
transcendence-and-sublimity. Such a deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity implication is
pertinent because blurriness and un-disambiguation underlies the indecision and relative
impertinence in many an instance of social knowledge conception that is not thoroughly
subjected to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, such that it is obvious to all
that the epistemic-veracity as of existence/existential-possibilities selective function of
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as developed in the natural sciences tends to
be poorly developed in many a domain-of-study of the social. In this regard, we can
appreciate for instance in the physics and other natural sciences <formative>epistemic-
totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality, the ‘thin epistemic-veracity line’ arrived at transversally as of concurrent cause-

and-effect determinations that allows for developed singular or near-singular comprehensive explanations of phenomena ‘discarding the demonstrably impertinent conceptions’, while in contrast with many a domain-of-study in the social, without necessarily implying this as all-encompassing but still critically and substantively so, such a spearheading towards the ontologically decisive is lost/obliterated in an approach driven by theoretical and conceptual mutuality/equilibrium rather than a transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing constraining to the ‘superior party’ that is existence/existential-possibilities, and thus specifically giving room for many an instance of obvious muddlement as well as ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity with a corresponding relative passivity to social issues and problems as if institutional-being-and-craft was an end in itself as structurally/paradigmatically knowledge certifying. Furthermore, while the idea of falsifiability and validation have traditionally been associated with the fundamental research methodologies of experimentation and observation, however the complex nature of social phenomena and even some natural science phenomena has dragged out the epistemic-veracity of the scientific methodology. Such that what increasingly underlies the scientific methodology is more extensive as of the reflection of pertinent phenomenality experimented or stated or demonstrated, by the coherence and implied ontological-contiguity of observations, conceptualisations and predictions, in their conflatedness holism/nested-congruence or how these conflate as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness with existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Ultimately, the contrastive epistemic-veracity of theoretical and conceptual articulations rather lies with regards to their existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of their critical operant implications and unmuddled conceptions. Furthermore, the deprocrypticism epistemic-veracity implies a further extension of deferential-formalisation-transference as of less predisposition to extended-informality <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-

thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
 of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>. With
 the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-
 explicating-ontological-contiguity that the deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-
 of-reference-of-thought extended-informality requires an organic-knowledge type of
 pedagogy based on eliciting an ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality solipsistic sense-of-things, over the usual mechanical-knowledge type of pedagogy
 which is rather based on eliciting positive-opportunism sense-of-things. This is critical
 because the deprocrypticism reference-of-thought warrants a more originary/as-of-event
 mental-disposition ‘beyond just responsiveness to secondnature institutionalisation’ but
 equally the capacity to assume the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 askesis-or-acumen behind the ‘inventing’ as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning with
 respect to ‘upholding and defending ontological-veridicality beyond constraining-and/or-
 secondnature institutionalisation framework’ as well as actually perpetuating prospective
 ontologically-veridical sublimation-as-of-deprocrypticism-immanent-implications, and so
 as of a fundamental mental-disposition for perpetually preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought. With the foregoing immanence-function-conflatedness insight, of most
 critical importance and decisiveness as structurally/paradigmatically anchoring futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism
 meaningfulness-and-teleology is the need for a deprocrypticism reconceptualised conception

of the human construction-of-the-Self. In this regard, we can appreciate critically that hitherto and as of a natural human predisposition to <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, the psychology traditions have tended to ad-hocly construe construction-of-the-Self as of a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference, and so over an existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness absolutising epistemic reference, specifically as so-construed from our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension flawed absolutising epistemic reference. The fact that existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality precedes human-subpotency thus questions the veracity of the ontological orientation of traditional psychology/psychoanalysis; wherein ‘the human psychology of absolutising epistemic reference is wrongly conceived as of ontological-normalcy rather than as of epistemic-abnormalcy’ considering the necessarily decontorting human-subpotency psyche on the constraint of our ontologically-compromised reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of our <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence. The implication here is that we cannot have a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference that as of human-subpotency can surpass the ontological-veracity of the full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as absolutising epistemic reference as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and so given human-subpotency prior relative-ontological-incompleteness implied flawed prospective ontological-performance. Such a human-subpotency flawed absolutising epistemic reference for meaningfulness-and-teleology can be construed as of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’; as of ‘human-subpotency temporality/shortness flawed absolutising epistemic reference’ as it induces flawed ontological-performance as by its <formative>epistemic-

totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag it ‘wrongly seem to advantageously substitute’ for the potent as intemporal absolutising epistemic reference ontological-performance of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. It is this construction-of-the-Self human-subpotency deficiency element construed as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ that raises-the-charge-that-and-reflects-the-notion-that the mental-disposition of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is structurally/paradigmatically bound to fail the ontological-performance of base-institutionalisation mental-disposition, that of base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation will likewise fail as of universalisation mental-disposition, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism will likewise fail as of positivism mental-disposition, and prospectively our positivism–procrypticism will likewise fail as of deprocrypticism mental-disposition. This element of the dynamic evolution of the human psyche and the underlying instigative agency, herein articulated as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’, is mostly lost to traditional psychology that doesn’t register our own positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism notional-deprocrypticism perspective of analysis as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. We can perceive the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ associated with akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex only from the perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so as of the latter’s difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

as from the ontological-conguity of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, as it reflects-and-contemplates of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, whereas the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-reference-of-thought mental-disposition reflects its uninstitutionalised-threshold as a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> by 'resetting its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which is flawed at its uninstitutionalised-threshold' thus taking a flawed posture of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>. Such akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex '-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing-qualia-schema' is reflected as of the '-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as mathesis/motif/thrownnessdisposition-at-its-uninstitutionalised-threshold'. Consider the akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex '-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing-qualia-schema' from a prospective positivism/rational-empiricism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in this regards, with respect to 'God of plane' type of expression in an animistic/base-institutionalisation

setup wherein their fundamental
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument psychologism
 is so ingrained that every meaningfulness from a positivistic social-setup cultural diffusion is
 inevitably reconstrued in the animistic/base-institutionalisation
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument psychologism
 of meaningfulness-and-teleology in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with its uninstitutionalised-threshold as a
 nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-
 narratives) whereas such a representation as a nondescript/ignorable void wouldn't be
 recognised from the positivism/rational-empiricism perspective as of its prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Likewise, as of prospective insight, the
 nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-
 narratives) we imply as of our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought is certainly prospectively contemplable in futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism reflection of our akrasia-
 susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ of positivism–procrypticism
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 from the deprocrypticism ontological-contiguity, whereas from our positivism–procrypticism
 perspective we'll tend to a ‘resetting of the
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ of positivism–
 procrypticism in ontological-disconguity as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-

totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. This expansion of the traditional notion of akrasia, as akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is rather as of the perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism ‘notional preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’/notional-deprocrypticism and not as of ontologically-compromised human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective; and is articulated more completely to reflect ontological-performance as of the the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation with respect to prospective relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in accounting for human differences of ontological-performance. It is herein contended that such a traditional psychology approach to construction-of-the-Self is constituted as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totally-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism. Thus the notion of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ refers to the mental dispositional state of paradigmatic/structural rationalised-closedness-of-ontological-performance-of-the-self ‘as bound to define-and-shape any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s specific ontological-performance-and-vices-and-impediments’. Rather an ontologically-veridical construction-of-the-Self is necessarily in conflatedness as of the intemporal absolutising epistemic reference of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness constrainous-implications-over-human-subpotency so-implied as of ontologically-uncompromised ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism and

construed as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. Such a conflatedness construction-of-the-Self is one that is structurally/paradigmatically enframed in grasping the ‘notional dissonance/consonance of human superego and existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’, as it construes of human-subpotency reference-of-thought given level of ontological-veridicality-commitment/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/otherliness implications; and so as devolvingly thereof, construction-of-the-Self is the individual autonomous ecstatic/existential registering, contemplating, responding, conceptualising, articulating, effecting and acting-out of its social meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of living-development–as-to-personality-development, institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus fundamentally the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and orientations underlying construction-of-the-Self as of a deprocrypticism conception is rather transformative, in reflecting its protensive-consciousness insight of varied human constructions-of-the-self as of institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures with successive registry-worldviews/dimensions human-subpotency reference-of-thought induced recurrently from the instigative <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. Thus, what critically stands out from traditional psychology as inducing such a novel differentiated and transformative articulation of construction-of-the-Self is the notion of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-

Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’. Interestingly, many a traditional take on the notion of akrasia, construed herein as akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex, like the Socratic argument of its non-veridicality strangely enough rather confirms its veridicality, in the sense that such arguments are being made from the perspective of human-subpotency, which is exactly the irrelevant perspective for ontological-veridicality articulation. Consider the idea that a cholera epidemic that was to occur say in 100 B.C. will not stop from occurring because human beings did not know of notions-of-bacteria-as-causing-diseases-and-instead-believed-in-bad-omen-for-not-making-the-right-sacrifices-or-so-so-and-so; as existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will not factor in such a state of ‘human-subpotency in its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’, and adjust to it by stopping such an epidemic. This is exactly why ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology implies a displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject with its emancipation arising as of its submitting to the ‘superior party’ that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as is falsifiable and can be validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Thus intemporal ontological-performance ever always warrants human prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for empowering and responsible meaningfulness-and-teleology for transcendence-and-sublimity. Thus akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex further implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive/endemising/enculturating to its vices-and-impediments, and as the very possibility for prospective ontological-performance arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as of its ‘seeding promise of

human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity'. Can we wish that we don't have understanding whether directly, or indirectly as of reifying deferential-formalisation-transference, so that we aren't intellectually-and-morally accountable then? How can we reconcile the fact that given human <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence the possibility for prospective huma registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation enabling transcendence-and-sublimity could only arise as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that had no prior effective knowledge and virtue reference to go on to prospectively 'invent' reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning knowledge and virtue before the institutionalising of such reasoning-from-results/afterthought emancipatory possibilities, and then contend to make any given reasoning-from-results/afterthought knowledge and virtue limits intellectually and morally deterministic as of a nihilistic <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>? In this regard, the anti-nihilist stance implies that the very first notion of human ontological-performance as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence induced anxiety lies in the fact that as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, humankind has the relative capacity to build and/or adhere to prospective relative-ontological-completeness possibilities. It is this insight that validates the ontological-veracity of the conception of 'human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex', and it is inherently so-validated as of 'intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-

underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning instigated
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity’ as it cogently-and-fluidly as of ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence
 ahistorically-and-aculturally reflects-and-accounts-for the transitioning ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process development of the human species
 psyche. This insight equally specifically underlies the psychoanalytic ontological-veracity of
 ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-
 fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ as it reflects the basic human psychological
 nature across all ages and times, so appraised as from the-Good/understanding/knowledge-
 reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation with
 respect to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity in accounting for human differences of ontological-performance across
 the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought-level of ontological-
 performances as well as the temporal-to-intemporal differences of ontological-performances
 as of each registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought-devolving-level, rather
 than flawed impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation as of inherent
 identitive essences flawed accounting of human differences. This idea of ‘human akrasia-
 susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-
 fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ fundamentally harkens back to the notion
 of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of its ‘seeding

promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity'; wherein successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought generate structural/paradigmatic existential implications as of 'successive specific less-and-less-degenerate human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex' with respect to the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions construction-of-the-Self, as of their ontological-performance-and-vices-and-impediments. Basically, construction-of-the-Self is herein construed rather as: 'the self, as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag metaphoricity, as of its evolving-and-devolving constraining reference-of-thought pitting its axiomatic structuring/paradigming apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument correspondingly with existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as so-entertainable/permissible by its given registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance', in construction-of-the-Self's existential narrative; involving existential reactive temporisation/bouncing-off of construction-of-the-Self elements (- akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex, - repression and releasement as subconsciousness, and - anxiety as of reconstitution/reparation involving dreaming/psychical-reshuffling as unconsciousness; as of a psychological analysis of direct mental-processing ontological-performance implications with respect to the constructiveness-of-ontological-performance of the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology so-reflected in construction-of-the-Self all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process). The

psychoanalytic pertinence of human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex, so-
 implied as ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-
 fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’, is hinted at even by traditional psychology
 but rather indirectly as of its ontologically-flawed perspective as of human-subpotency
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when it recognises that we do fall short
 of intemporal ontological-performance, but strangely enough hardly has there been
 articulated any conception about this obviously fundamental structuring/paradigming
 ontologically-veridical implication of human-subpotency psyche limitation/compensative
 complex as from the perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality which is exactly what is
 ontologically pertinent, and so out of our presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inclination. Thus, human akrasia-
 susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is rather construed here as of the prior relative-
 ontological-incompleteness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in the shiftiness-of-the-Self as of living,
 institutional and Being ontological-performances arising as of human temporality; wherein
 ‘human-subpotency temporality/shortness flawed absolutising epistemic reference’ as it
 induces flawed ontological-performance by its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ‘wrongly seem to
 advantageously substitute’ for the potent as intemporal absolutising epistemic reference
 ontological-performance of the existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-

epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality perspective. In this regard, traditional psychology fails a theoretical-conceptual-operant accounting for the changing construction-of-the-Self, as reflected by the fact that ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigating recurrent shot for prospective relative-ontological-completeness as reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning enabling in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ brings about successively weaker degenerative constitutedness ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’, with increasing ontological-performance as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought. The implied psychoanalysis is one that propounds that all the psychoanalysis that is ontologically-veridical is rather as of the ‘displacement/decentering of human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective towards the realisation of the full existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic perspective’ in order to induce transcendence-and-sublimity, so-construed as superegoic cleansing as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distention; as of a prospective psychoanalysis rather constrained to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-

epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism ‘notional preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’/notional-deprocrypticism. We can fundamentally appreciate that just in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is associated with epistemic-veracity foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) with the increasing existential ousting of superfluous notions like superstitions, etc., likewise ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness epistemically shrinks with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. That is, in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process epistemic-veracity of foregrounding—entailment-(narrowing-down—sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) constraining, the ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ for everyday existential occurrences as of meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘is of less-and-less-degenerate epistemic-veracity prompting’, and so successively as from:

- the trepidatious-consciousness shiftiness-of-the-Self complex (by its epistemic non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition relative neuterising as of its random-as—uncircumscribing/undelineating-as-epistemic-totality existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), given its early hunter-gather recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation perceptivity-as-of-bad-omen existential-contextualising-contiguity-lowest-level-reification;
- the warped-consciousness shiftiness-of-the-Self complex (by its epistemic rulemaking-over-non-rules relative neuterising as of its tendentious—circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology), given its animistic base-institutionalisation—
ununiversalisation perceptivity-as-of-a-specific-place-or-specific-evil-people-or-specific-evil-
period existential-contextualising-contiguity-second-level-reification;

- the preclusive-consciousness shiftiness-of-the-Self complex (by its epistemic
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules relative neutering as of its qualifying—
circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality existential—
epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), given its universalisation—
non-positivism/medievalism perceptivity-as-of-failure-to-follow-the-heeding-of-the-Deity-or-
failure-to-adhere-to-a-certain-mysticism-or-failure-to-pay-reverence-to-an-ancestor
existential-contextualising-contiguity-third-level-reification;

- the occlusive-consciousness shiftiness-of-the-Self complex (by its epistemic
positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules
relative neutering as of its categorising—circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-
as-epistemic-totality existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-
teleology), given its positivism—procrysticism perceptivity-as-of-full-rational-account-as-
exclusive-cause-and-effect-conceptualisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-fourth-
level-reification; and prospectively

- the protensive-consciousness nonshiftiness-of-the-Self (by its epistemic preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules deneutering—referentialism as of
referentialism—circumscribing-as-epistemic-totality-or-delineating-as-epistemic-totality
existential—epistemic-totalisation-scheme-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), given its

notional-deprocrypticism perceptivity-as-of-full-preempting-of-dementing-disjointedness-of-thought-conceptualisation existential-contextualising-contiguity-full-level-of-reification.

This reality in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process very much explains the statement ‘I know that I know nothing’ made by Socrates reflecting his conception of anamnesis, as the state of human limited-mentation-capacity implies that it is foolhardy to articulate in presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness terms meaningfulness-and-teleology as of absolutising reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but rather ‘the anamnesis of meaningfulness-and-teleology reflects prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation as of recurrent transepistemic renewing of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ (and so, in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-ontological-contiguity). This explains why Socrates construed knowledge as virtue, given that what approaches absolutising capacity in the human is rather the ‘sense-of-right-orientation with regards to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint of existentially-becoming-and-developing phronetic/practicality situations as to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ (with anamnesis so-construed as ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness mental-disposition’) and not any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as

reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation. This in many ways explains many a critic misinterpretation of a rift between
 Socrates and Plato as of their emphasis on anamnesis and the forms/ideas on the one hand
 and Aristotle on the other hand as of his phronesis/practicality emphasis (on the basis of the
 specific universalising-idealisation phronetic/practicality situations as to its defining
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising-renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness). The fact is that Socrates
 (and as momentarily reflected in his abhorrence of writing as of his focus on the ‘very spirit-
 of-things in his pedagogy’ over ‘mere reproducing by writing that is not necessarily
 pedagogically instructive’, and thus not contradictory with Plato’s writing as of recording-
 for-posterity) and Plato were more engaged with establishing overall philosophical insight
 beyond just their universalising-idealisation renewed reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation over non-
 universalising sophistry (even as their association of anamnesis with mythical recollection
 was caught up in the universalising-idealisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 psychologism but by the practical demonstration is relevant in all registry-
 worldviews/dimensions as of the example articulated as well herein by this author with
 regards to a child’s solipsistic sense of meaning wherein after grasping the rules of
 additionality even a deliberately collective social misleading will not derail the child’s true
 sense of meaning) as they factored that any such renewal is being undertaken
 phronetically/practically with human limited-mentation-capacity that is not of absolutising
 conceptualisation, speaking prospectively of destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-
 performance, and thus what is more profoundly critical is knowledge-reification as of the
 transepistemic implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal). Aristotle as

successor to their thought effectively had to move on to more fruitfully and complementarily elaborate phronetically/practically the implications of universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of science, practical-virtue, rationality, etc., rather than just theoretically reiterating his predecessors, and as such phronesis as of reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation is what induces existential-contextualising-contiguity and thus allows prospective

<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness insight for further human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) (as to ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’, so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—

implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides the existentially inherent human-subpotency potential) leading to further superseding/transcendence as of prospective reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. But the fact is there is comprehensive coherence in the philosophical articulations of the three thinkers when construed with this comprehensive philosophical knowledge-reification projection insight. In other words, Socratic anamnesis anticipates the implications of knowledge as virtue in the sense that human knowledge-reification, and so in all domains without exception, is one of a dynamic complementary relationship between

<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness and phronesis existential-contextualising-contiguity in order to grasp ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-

signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy> as so reflected with prospective originariness-parrhesia,—as—spontaneity-of-aestheticisation for renewed reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation. In this regards, Socratic philosophy as of its knowledge is virtue contention recognises that the impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness of any given reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation whether as of non-universalising sophistry or even prospective Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation is not sufficient to ‘absolutely capture’ ecstatic-existence-as-transcendental-signifier—becoming-spontaneity-implications-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness—as-to-the-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-projective-perspective,-to-which-latter-human-subpotency-projectively-conflates-to-in-order-to-overcome-our-prospective-epistemic-abnormalcy>, and that such a possibility lies in perpetual knowledge-reification disposition as of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Thus Socratic philosophy as of its very ‘anamnesis core implications’ doesn’t only supersedes prior non-universalising sophistry with universalising-idealisation but it can equally be said that it anticipates prospective positivism/rational-empiricism phronesis existential-contextualising-contiguity as it reconceptualises science, practical-virtue, rationality, etc. in superseding universalising-idealisation phronesis existential-contextualising-contiguity at the latter’s destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance, as well as anticipate the overall human institutional

process as herein conceptualised as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of phronesis existential-contextualising-contiguity.

In concrete terms, we can contrastively construe of such akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing-qualia-schema’ existential desublimation manifestation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of both a universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism and our positivism-procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension with regards to ‘mental-dispositions of general social living, institutional and Being ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity geared to undermine ontological-veracity’; but then the positivism-procrypticism perspective as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness will be less complexed in identifying the mental flaw of the universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism manifestation of akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing-qualia-schema’ as of the former’s <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as it underlies non-positivism dementing acts ‘like say a plot to accuse someone of sorcery’ than its own akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing-qualia-schema’ as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag underlying nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) of its dementing acts of disjointedness ‘say like a plot to frame-up someone’; as the latter on occasion as of a positivism-procrypticism <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument contemplation may be construed as smart while it construes of the former as abhorrent, but then not factoring in its own abhorrence from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument contemplation. This point out the ontological-veracity for avoiding the absolutising/presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness referencing of psychology/psychoanalysis as of any human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>, and the critical pertinence in this regard of the notion of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ as it reflects a more profound and fuller construct of the human psychological potency as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-contiguity as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism; speaking of the veridical protractedness of the deprocrypticism protensive self-consciousness as of its notional-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as can be conveyed with an elucidative storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration. In many ways, akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is simply a validation of the fundamental

structuring/paradigming of the human psyche as it is caught up between
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
ontological-contiguity of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness of its
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness of its
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Such a
notional-deprocrypticism articulation herein of akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag
complex as the structural/paradigmatic constraining pervasiveness of any given registry-
worldview/dimension akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex as of its
uninstitutionalised-threshold construes that: as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-
totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-
veridicality, the affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness like base-institutionalisation with regards to
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as from its
singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism perspective, lent to the
akrasiatic judgment of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness like recurrent-utter-
uninstitutionalisation as from its dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-
epistemic-determinism perspective, will be construed as of the latter’s <formative>epistemic-
totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in recurrent-
utter-uninstitutionalisation conventioning-referencing over any such prospective base-

institutionalisation pretence of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
 depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity, and as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction it further elicits
 sophistic/pedantic significant-otherness dispositions inclined to undermine such prospective
 transcendental implications as it falsely absolutises the conventioning-referencing of
 recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation over any such implied prospective Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective base-institutionalisation; as so
 reflected across the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process inducing human transcendence-and-
 sublimity. This explains why prospective transcendence is actually reflected by the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of transversality-of-
 disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity
 reasoning-through/messianic-reason metaphoricity, and not incisively about dialogical-
 equivalence level of contemplation induced transcendence even as such a dialogical
 conception arises as of mutual
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument say with
 Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology common
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective
 relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
 assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-
 measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing—absolutising-identitive-

[illegible]

that exploits the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity. The reality thus is that prospective transcendence from a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness perspective is not actual meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather such is rather acting as a constrained metaphoricity upon a social-setup supposedly coherent ontological-commitment to which the social-setup cannot overtly turn around and wholly assume a contradictory nihilistic disposition; with metaphoricity rather inducing prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology mostly as of prospective cross-generational reasoning-from-results/afterthought. In this regards as of the possibility of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrpticism transcendence, this author is of the opinion that any intellectual endeavour must precedingly guarantee that it is truly involved in a transparent ontological reification exercise exclusively as of the full existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness reflection of its ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence, and so rather than subject to sophistry, as the latter instance will fundamentally undermine and ridicule the underlying intellectual a priori aspiration for reification. In this regards, and as of extensive contemplation, it is herein contended that in many ways such ontological virginity with regards to intellectual practice today is covertly being undermined at the more fundamental level of social emancipation contemplation, and explains why it has herein been seen as relevant to introduce the notion of ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity anticipating of such anti-intellectual dispositions. As of a further indictment, this author is sceptical of ‘covert cohorting initiatives’ that substitute intellectual work for ontological-veracity with ‘politicised intellectualism’ as to which type of theories

can be entertained or not, as if there can be knowledge without knowledge! Such cohorting initiatives pretences like those of many supposedly ‘thinking political societies’ since the end of the Cold War have rather had catastrophic consequences on the world all round in terms of the price of wars including with regards to the hegemonising policies these covert initiatives were supposed to instigate. Generally, the idea that such entities and initiatives covertly undermining the sovereignty of democracies, serve any given society, nation or human progressive purposes is rather counterproductive, as in fact this actually disrupts the natural course of sensible human answers to problems and issues and because of their parochial vision end up aggravating and escalating them, furthering a social narrative of double standards. The last frontier one can contemplate of with regards to such a proclivity is when it comes to undermining the intellectual sovereignty as of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. Knowledge cannot and should not be forestalled because of any supposed politico-economic penchant. The idea that liberal society can only be upheld by artificial and anti-intellectual undermining of many a critical theory including postmodern-thought as of the vital possibility of human social regeneration, is ridiculous and speaks of intellectual lack of self-assuredness; with such institutional grip subterfuges rendering such inclinations just as objectionable as the former ousted communist regimes. Ultimately, it is up to free intellectuals to affirm themselves as to what they think society and human intellectual potential can be, beyond the institutional constraints geared to such naïve conventioning-referencing which seem to imply that as of its anti-knowledge posture it will determine the limits of what can be human knowledge. Human history has systematically shown that despite human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor there is an effective mechanism of huma registry-

worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation that draws out the best from mankind, and the more critical problem for human emancipation arises as of the contending sophistries that confuse-and-disrupt-as-of-significant-otherness that institutionalisation mechanism in one way or the other, and that's why at all stages of human history, the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning disposition has more critically focussed rather on calling out the prospective institutionalisation perturbation of such sophistries; especially when these show no qualm in integrating the most ignoramus of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> dispositions as of a supposed notion of intellectual advancement. In this regards, this author is very much proud of the theoretical orientation taking herein as of a strictly ontological-veracity inclination as to the reality of the fact that existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness supersedes human-subpotency, and it is the latter that adjusts to the former. This is exactly what is reflected by ontological-fracturing, wherein the potential for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is structurally/paradigmatically fractured-at-given-ontologically-compromised-thresholds in the <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the successive given levels in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ontological-fracturing, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation ontological-fracturing, universalisation—non-positivism-medievalism ontological-fracturing, positivism—procrypticism ontological-fracturing towards futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective

deprocrypticism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence; as of the implications of the
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality ‘seeding promise of
human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-
potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ in instigating ‘intemporal ontological-
faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
ontological-contiguity’. Ontological-fracturing as such is a reflection of human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and points out that
the way we tend to conceptualise/construe-of idealisation as reflected in rules, institutional
essence, institutional processes and ideals is ontologically-flawed/wrong as the assumption is
one that tends to imply beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought only human intemporal ontological-performance by
mental-reflex, rather than the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal ontological-
performances of any given idealisation; speaking of the reality that any idealisation construed
as of rules, institutional essence, institutional processes and ideals is
structurally/paradigmatically bound to be ontological-fractured as of human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. The implication here
is that all projections of idealisation should be anticipatory-and-preemptive of the possibility
of their prospective ontological-fracturing, for efficient institutionalisation deferential-

formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>, ‘in order to be more ontologically pertinent and resilient constructs’, as they are otherwise subject to the temporal denaturing of such idealisations with regards to their more profound transcendence-and-sublimity implications. In the same vein, we tend as of habit to construe of the fulfilment of human ideals as of the inherent institution and/or inherent individual identitive dispositions, rather than the fact that it is actually brought about by the structural/paradigmatic relations as of projected principles and essences implied intemporally (in cognisance of human temporal-to-intemporal-individuations-within-the-receptable-of-the-individual); and thus that our capacity to fulfil such principles and essences lies with our grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection rather than falling back to identitive individual inherence or institutional inherence. As even where it may seem that any given individual or institutional ontological-performance is inherent, the underlying structural/paradigmatic reality is rather guaranteed and accounted for as of the effective grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection for ontological-performance in that individual or institution rather than just identitive inherence. In the bigger scheme of things, huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation outcome as of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> doesn’t substitute for the <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of the underlying <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness individuation disposition that of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning brought about secondnatured institutionalisation. The bigger point here is that there is never going to be an inherent suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>

framework that ‘invents’ and accounts for prospective social transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation, in the way that human idealisation is often wrongly construed and propounded. All the human idealisation that exists is as of effective individuals and institutional intemporal individuation projection for prospective <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of what they as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning idealise as from their underlying baseline registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought and the subsequent secondnature institutionalisation of its given intemporal ontological-performance; and so, beyond the naivety of construing a given registry-worldview/dimension reasoning-from-results/afterthought as a suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> absolutising epistemic reference of ontological-veracity for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation. We can garner that it is intemporal individuations transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation that induced prospective base-institutionalisation and not a suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> absolutising epistemic reference in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, likewise for prospective universalisation and not a suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-

meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
 prospective-apriorising-implications> absolutising epistemic reference of base-
 institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, likewise for prospective positivism and not a
 suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 absolutising epistemic reference of universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism; and so
 prospectively it is naivety as well to construe that we do have a suprasocial or
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 absolutising epistemic reference for our prospective transcendence rather than as of
 prospective intemporal individuation transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in our
 positivism–procrypticism to bring about futural Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Consider in
 this regards for instance that while we generally tend to wrongly imply of a suprasocial
 absolutising epistemic reference that can structurally/paradigmatically bring about human
 transcendence-and-sublimity, it is inevitably the case that the examination of any such
 representation with say for instance the physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—
 purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality

since medievalism points that such transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation necessarily had to pass through the intemporal individuation transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Leibnizes, Poincarés, Rutherfords, Einsteins, Bohrs, etc and the subsequent secondnature institutionalisation as of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>. There has never been any suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> absolutising epistemic reference of ontological-pertinence for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation as we seem to construe/contemplate of today-or-at-any-given-presence-epoch as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought, as the fact is human transcendence-and-sublimity arises ultimately as of internalised epistemic responsibility of intemporal individuation transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that supersede the pretence of any such absolutising epistemic reference on the basis of a suprasocial reasoning-from-results/afterthought. Thus the abstraction as of suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> absolutising epistemic reference about human nature transcendence-and-sublimity

idealisation ‘doesn’t truly exist’, but for effective operant human intemporal individuation transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning and subsequent secondnatured institutionalisation. Critically, it is this grasping-and-nurturing-appropriate-intemporal-individuation projection ontological-performance over the flawed notion of individual inherent and institutional inherent absolutising epistemic reference of intemporality, as of the awareness of the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, that underlies the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of its retrospective, present and prospective possibilities. This doesn’t speak of subjectivity, no more than a doctor’s judgment is necessarily subjective as to the fact of its validation going by the primacy of the ‘superior party’ that is existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality reflected in effective remedy as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over imagined suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> opinionatedness, but rather that human transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation is more operantly and effectively as of solipsistic occurrence as from intemporal individuations <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness epistemic internalisation for intemporal ontological-performance. The secondnatured

institutionalisation as reflected as of suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> abstract integration/assimilation of such resultant intemporal ontological-performance is ever always ontologically jeopardisable/compromisable as of the structural/paradigmatical reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, wherein human temporal individuations are ever always bound to prospectively denaturing secondnature institutionalised intemporal ontological-performance at the uninstitutionalised-threshold as without the constraining prior institutionalisation mechanical-knowledge the underlying ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality sense of intemporal-projection behind its ‘inventing’ is lost; as is needed for prospective institutionalisation prospective relative-ontological-completeness epistemic want of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning to overcome the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Interestingly, thus if there is no suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> absolutising epistemic reference of ontological-veracity for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity but for prospective <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning and corresponding secondnatured institutionalisation of
 intemporal ontological-performance, then all the critical human intemporal meaningfulness-
 and-teleology for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity that-exists-and-can-prospectively-
 exist-respectively effectively arises-and-lies in the ‘induced metaphoricity of such
 prospective intemporal individuation transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning and
 corresponding secondnatured institutionalisation of intemporal ontological-performance’.
 Just as demonstrated above with the physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—
 purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality, in
 the instance philosophy reflecting the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—purview-
 of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality we can as well
 appreciate, going by the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of difference-conflatedness-as-
 totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism over identitive-
 constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-
 determinism, that there was no suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
 implications> absolutising epistemic reference for the transcendence-and-sublimity
 idealisation of say Plato’s idea concept nor say Descartes’s cogito concept but in both cases
 for their operant prospective intemporal individuation transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-

ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning and
 corresponding secondnatured institutionalisation of intemporal ontological-performance.
 Likewise, it is herein contended that this difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-
 in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
 projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity equally applies
 prospectively with respect to the deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought implied
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument transcendence-
 and-sublimity idealisation, and so as of operant prospective intemporal individuation
 transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection
 as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning and corresponding secondnatured institutionalisation of
 intemporal ontological-performance, as herein implied; overriding pretences of suprasocial or
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 absolutising epistemic reference, and as subject only to falsifiability and validation as of
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the ‘superior party’ that is existence-
 potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.
 The fact is and as confirmed by ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
 fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
 as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-

process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity', prospective reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument transcendence-and-sublimity idealisation as of their prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning highlight that the traditional reasoning-from-results/afterthought construct is construed: - for the Platonic idea transcendence-and-sublimity as of sophistry, - for the Cartesian cogito transcendence-and-sublimity as of scholasticism pedantry, and prospectively for deprocrypticism transcendence-and-sublimity as of spurious institutional-being-and-craft muddlement. Effectively, human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor implies that metaphoricity why tending ultimately towards intemporality, is effectively of both intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology manifestations. But any given social-setup 'self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction' in its capacity to demonstrably and objectively uphold and function going by its specific registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology as well as the fact that human perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction interests drift within-and-across social-setups whether with regards to basic trading, curiosity, social competition and generally as of a predisposition to achieve optimum existential possibilities, implies that any such registry-worldview/dimension social-setup has basic structuring/paradigming supposedly coherent ontological-commitment for its effective functioning which lays it prospectively exposed to metaphoricity as of prospective ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as from prospective existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—

renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness

epistemic/notional_projective-perspective; as such a registry-worldview/dimension would difficultly renege, as of contradictory and incoherent implications, on such critical prospective ontological-veracity implications of such prospective relative-ontological-completeness of meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is this element that equally ultimately renders the study of the social, notwithstanding its strong underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as of potentially the same ontological-performance possibility as with the natural sciences. That is the apparent conventioning-referencing of the social as of an immediacy perspective naively implies the social is of a poor supposedly coherent ontological-commitment but from a more profound level of appreciation this not the case as explained above, as in effect a society/social-setup conventioning projects correspondingly a profound supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of its ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ which is then enabling for the critical metaphoricity of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-veracity implications of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness. In other words, as of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human metaphoricity of temporal-to-intemporal—ontological-performances-of-narratives, we know that the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that underlies existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidations implications of ontological-veracity is bound in the long run to select/skew-toward the intemporal/ontological over the temporal, whether as of internal cultural transformation or cultural diffusion. This is exactly why the overall ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity’ ultimately has a direction as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, notwithstanding structural/paradigmatic implications
 of ‘human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing at uninstitutionalised-thresholds
 as reflecting both desublimating historicity-tracing and sublimating historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing possibilities’. We can appreciate both with regards
 to the social fabric as well as the natural sciences this common basis of supposedly coherent
 ontological-commitment from a long-term perspective, in the sense that technical and
 scientific progress associated with the industrial revolution ‘could hardly be socially reneged’
 not only in Western Europe but with respect to its diffusion throughout the world, and so
 because the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment of human societies conventioning
 as of their ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction’ render themselves exposed to the transcendence-and-sublimity of the prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness as projected by the industrial revolution underlying
 technical and scientific knowledge manifesting as of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness selection/skewing of ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and so because these project beyond
 subjectivity-of-truth-as-of-human-subpotency as implied by the universal objectivity as of
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness of the underlying sciences

and their applications. It is this insight as of ‘existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness selection/skewing of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
 explicating-ontological-contiguity’ that animates the elucidation of metaphoricity herein as of
 ontology-driven ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, more than
 just a notion of mere subjective human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective
 narratives; and so, as underlined by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 (formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) inducing
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity. This ontology-driven assessment of intemporality/longness
 metaphoricity perspective rejects the often wrongly made critique of relative-for-the-mere-
 sake-of-relative-disparateness by atomising/taking-to-pieces identitive-constitutedness-as-
 epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism
 critiques when misrepresenting the ontologically-veridical
 observations/remarks/‘constatations’ as of ecstatic-holism/nested-congruence of postmodern
 thinkers. Rather as construed herein, relative truth speaks to human-subject-emancipatory-
 relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation as of the
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity of prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and so-construed as
 of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-
 epistemic-determinism perspective. In other words, it is herein contended that the implied
 notion of relative truth expressed by postmodern-thought is not a rejection of truth as they are
 wrongly accused, but that truth deepens relatively with human limited-mentation-capacity-

deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal);
 and this notion of relative truth is reflected in their works/research-programmes that
 undermine our ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-
 totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism perspective.
 Further, the implication as well is that the adjudicator/transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating/transcendental-signifier with regards to truth as it enables
 transcendence-and-sublimity then is existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 ⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness as of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 ⟨formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity selecting/skewing for ontological-pertinence within the underlying
 human metaphoricity scheme of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
 fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
 as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
 veridical-epistemic-determinism ⟨formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, and not just mere human
 subjectivity. Even though in the short-term/immediacy perspective the specific metaphoricity
 of say a scientific and liberal worldview narrative as implied with the industrial revolution
 may actually be in the most part ignored/overlooked in a pre-industrial society from a merely
 meaningfulness-and-teleology transmission/spreading perspective, the supposedly coherent
 ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity
 with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ exposes it to the metaphoricity of
 the scientific and liberal worldview narrative; wherein for instance such pre-industrial

societies were constrained politically and as of national vision, economically and culturally to the effect of progressing industrialisation as it induced the requisite knowledge, skills, beliefs, lifestyle, organisations, etc. changes undermining systematically prior paradigms of societies. Such an overall prospective institutionalisation metaphoricity constraining is very much unlike what we may naively imagine the prior human meaningfulness-and-teleology to be from an after the fact analysis; since such a process is much more critically more than just ‘mere transmission/spreading of scientific and liberal meaningfulness-and-teleology for say a suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> human mindset processing’, but critically was an epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity process that was in many ways beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought unlike our subsequent reasoning-from-results/afterthought contemplation afterwards ‘wrongly implying a metaphoricity as of a self-consciously instigated prior suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> comprehensive sense of prospective metaphoricity’. This points to a more comprehensive reality of human epistemic-veracity arising as of our <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence with regards to the fact that while of immediate epistemic strive for knowledge we are naturally predisposed to immediate validation-and-falsifiability implications as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, in the long run our sense of epistemic-veracity is rather more aptly refined as of our overall existential knowledge insight as reflected with say the research programme knowledge implications, and ultimately we come to realise that even then epistemic-veracity is in many ways more profoundly as of a

beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> ricochetting that speaks of the structural/paradigmatic reality of a human epistemic-veracity as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness appraisal. The reason for making this point is equally to undermine any overrating of human comprehensive contemplation of any such implied suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness mindset not dispensing-with-immediacy-for-prospective-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension, and so in order to effectively put in perspective the deficiency of epistemic-veracity so-inherent when it comes to prospective metaphoricity implications of operant prospective intemporal individuation transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal projection as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality for reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. We can appreciate as well in the bigger scheme of things the ontological-veridicality of this scepticism with regards to any such suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> epistemic-veracity pretence, as expressed before with respect to Plato’s idea universalisation involving the undermining of the suprasocial epistemic-veracity pretence associated with sophistry or Descartes’ cogito implications of positivism/rational-empiricism involving the undermining of the suprasocial epistemic-veracity pretence of scholasticism pedantry. Just as

we can appreciate that in ‘the very same physics <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—
purview/domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existential-reality’
as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-axiomatic-construct-or-reference-of-
thought, the epistemic-veracity as implied in succession from Copernicus, Galileo, Descartes,
Newton, Leibniz, Faraday, Rutherford, Poincaré, Einstein, Bohr up to our very present 21st
century physics is mostly as of ricocheting prospective non-presencing-<as-to-existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>. In a certain way this is obvious, when we
appreciate that having the right epistemic-veracity should provide the direct possibility for
constructing its structural/paradigmatic meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge, such
that the fact that a domain-of-study prospective knowledge possibility is thresholding/has-
attained-its-limits somewhere is ever always directly related to the fact that its epistemic-
veracity has equally thresholded/attained-its-limits, with the possibility of prospective
breakthrough arising as of shifting epistemic-veracity; such that we can appreciate that the
history of physics or any domain-of-study can be construed as the history of its developing
epistemic-veracity in succession as ultimately constrained to ontological-primemovers-
totalitative-framework validation-and-falsifiability. Naivety will be the pretence of
constraining the possibility for transcendence-and-sublimity as of prospective
meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge on a vague notion of any presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic-veracity that at the very least doesn’t rise to
projectively contemplate and appraise of such prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as
knowledge prospectively implicated epistemic-veracity of research-programme and
validation-and-falsifiability. Thus metaphoricity as such is a notion that is beyond just
simplistic transmission/spreading of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as
knowledge, even though this can be relevant as of a shared prospective

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as say the commonality of such metaphoricity inclined outlier
 thinkers sharing a common emancipatory metaphoricity mathesis/motif-thrownness-
 disposition like Socrates, Plato, Aristotle and their schools with their universalisation
 projection or the Descartes, Galileos, Copernicuses, Newton, etc. with budding
 positivism/rational-empiricism. But rather beyond such shared prospective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology that is instigative, metaphoricity is critically about the
 prospective ricochetting structuring/paradigming implications for inducing such prospective
 meaningfulness-and-teleology implications on the fabric of the social as an epistemic-
 totality framework beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-
 as-of-existential-unthought, as the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment of ‘self-
 assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of the
 social-setup exposes it to such an epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity metaphoricity.
 This is so because in the long run transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of temporal-to-intemporal—ontological-performances-of-
 narratives is rather as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework selecting/skewing-
 towards intemporality/ontological-veracity as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-
 of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism. It is important thus to grasp that a social-setup
 value construct lies somewhere between the possibility of its conventioning-referencing and
 its presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, when it comes to assessing the possibility of prospective

meaningfulness-and-teleology inducing of metaphoricity. It is not necessarily the case that a society that doesn't or poorly appreciate the implication of science will value as of immediacy prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology like the cultivation of science over its conventioning-referencing as a cultural inclination or metaphysical predisposition or a creed; as we can appreciate the contrasting disposition towards the cultivation of science as in Europe and the Arabic world during the medieval period, or even disparity in ontological progressiveness within the very same societies at various epochs. Thus the assumption that any given society or period is absolutely turned/committed to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology including our modern period, is a flawed appraisal; as in many ways, beyond our <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perception, a closer look at institutional functioning easily points out the pre-eminence of spurious institutional-being-and-craft muddlement highlighting an uninstitutionalised-threshold as of the privileging of conventioning-referencing over purely prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and in many ways this explains at the more socially visible spectrum that is politics, the perceived political impotence today. This insight is critical for appreciating the implication of the conception of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism metaphoricity in our positivism-procrypticism; as its brings to the self-consciousness the reality that the implication of such a deprocrypticism articulation is bordering on the limits/thresholds of our

institutional capacity for prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—
as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
as of a privileging of conventioning-referencing disposition to adopt and assume intellectual
nihilism at such an uninstitutionalised-threshold; it is herein contended that the reality is
similar to that which scuppered Arabic medieval science or scuppered medieval China
progressiveness. The ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-
human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-
in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ warrants such
intemporal relaying of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-
to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
beyond just conventioning-referencing; as the very possibility of successive registry-
worldviews/dimensions as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness arises because
such reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning can devalue their presencing—absolutising-
identitive-constitutedness conventioning-referencing to value prospective possibility for
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as explained above with
Socrates/Plato/Aristotle with their schools Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology common universalising-idealisation
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective
relative-ontological-completeness but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/de-
assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-

measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conventioning-referencing as of sophistry
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness or as with budding positivists Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology common positivism/rational-empiricism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/deassertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing their presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conventioning-referencing in scholasticism pedantry dogmatism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss or with a Rousseau Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social enlightenment common apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prospective relative-ontological-completenesss but as of unaffirmation/deprojection/deassertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing devaluing the conventioning-referencing as of aristocratic/despotic self-aggrandisement apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in prior relative-ontological-incompletenesss. Ultimately, the question can be asked as well of our present positivism—procrypticism wherein its conventioning-referencing procrypticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument falsely seem to project ontological-pertinence why assuming little or no prospective Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology responsibility in an existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought posture; as such conventioning-referencing narratives increasingly protrude into supposedly prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology purviews in usurpation, and so together with generalised intellectual teleological-decadence—as-lacking-in-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness as of its populism and pecuniary value drive substituting for intellectual reification, and as so increasingly reflected mediatically. This human contrastive mental-disposition to prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness conventioning-referencing speaks at a more fundamental level of the reality that the human subject is not psychologically necessarily driven by an absolute commitment to prospective ontological-veracity given its registry-worldview/dimension structural ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’; and thus that it has an ontological-veracity destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding, where beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought it will relate to ontological-veracity as relatively impertinent on critical occasions as of its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and so-reflected socially as of the uninstitutionalised-threshold. The underlying insight about such ontological-veracity destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding is that the state of human-subpotency is one

where overall its capacity to reflect existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism is inherently limited such that human
 meaningfulness-and-teleology construal ever always varies as of ‘individual whim/impulsion
 narratives ontological-performances’, ‘<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
 thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
 of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 narratives ontological-performances’, ‘suprasocial narratives ontological-performances’ and
 ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, with the latter as critically
 bound to fulfil ontological-veracity as of its direct and utter subjection to the superior party
 that is existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–
 renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and
 then its deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-
 totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> implications, while it can be
 appreciated that the preceding three dispositions as of their <formative>epistemic-totalising–
 self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag are not critically as so-
 committed to ontological-veracity. Narratives as such are the very <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag drive for
 human meaningfulness-and-teleology underlying language development, wherein
 ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as of its dispensing-with-
 immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness profoundness is as of
 singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and so over the
 temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives as of dissingularisation/epistemic-

nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Unsuspectingly, the reality of projected narratives as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor across the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure is rather regular and stable as of the dynamics of temporal-to-intemporal—ontological-performances-of-narratives, and so as of their respectively poor to profound dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension implications with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction at the given registry-worldview/dimension. It is equally critical to note that as of the profoundness of their social-stake-contention-or-confliction existential-investment, temporal—ontological-performances-of-narratives will drag out as of dialectically-dementing-‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>’ of akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex in obviation of prospective ontological-veracity without the constraining untenability as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness of intemporal ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, going by the fact that the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opens it up to the prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’. The reality of a regular and stable dynamic of human temporal-to-intemporal—ontological-performances-of-narratives across the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, critically and naturally makes of anthropology more of a universally and operantly principled construction of human existence reification as of

anthropopsychology, beyond more or less a traditional orientation categorising epistemic disposition with regards to human cultural life, the social and practices of specific societies, with respect to the coherence of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of the structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’; as reflected as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Basically, the possibility in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process arises as of human generation of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioning ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology of narratives’ as of the specific destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’. It is ultimately ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ that is implicated with respect to the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opening it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity, such that sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can effectively be construed as of the dynamism of the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, as it supersedes temporal—ontological-performances-of-narratives as of its

constraining to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as of
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over human-subpotency, and so with respect
 to human construal of existence and purviews of existence. We can appreciate in this regards
 the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ drive in generally
 overcoming human egregious superstitious beliefs towards our positivism and science
 orientation today as well as ‘relatively free-for-all opinionatedness and imaginary knowledge
 constructs’ about purviews-of-existence which are today articulated in institutionalised
 frameworks as of subject-matter narratives like physics, law, biology, etc. relegating social
 opinionatedness and substituting social deferential-formalisation-transference and
 percolating-channelling for ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’.
 The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive
 overcoming of uninstitutionalised-thresholds involves a migration of the hegemony of social
 meaningfulness-and-teleology away from ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-
 performances’, ‘<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
 narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘suprasocial narratives ontological-performances’
 which reflect human-subpotency <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, towards the hegemony of ‘ontologically-
 hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ rather reflecting existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as validated or invalidated by ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework, thus involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-
 human-subject with regards to human transcendence-and-sublimity arising as of constraining

to existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. As such we can appreciate that our present positivism institutionalisation outcome is the result of prior institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure in succession of mainly the ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, while all ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘suprasocial narratives ontological-performances’ as of human sub-potency constraining were discarded. The implication here is that prospective relative-ontological-completeness will necessarily imply a discarding of our present positivism–procrypticism ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘suprasocial narratives ontological-performances’ as of human-subpotency, for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject; reflecting the latter’s profoundness in dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness as enabling Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-

development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. This author further contends that as of our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalised-threshold in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ is not meant in anyway to be explicative, as of the idea of falsely validating our defective procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, thus wrongly inducing procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; but rather has to project as of prospective epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity the requisite deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as the mechanism for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation based on deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising, and so just as with the positivism projection of the requisite deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> of positivism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising as the mechanism of prospective positivism institutionalisation rather than engaging in defective non-positivism/medievalism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Besides and overlaid on this underlying

human-subpotency background deficiency as of human-subpotency–

aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—

imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, is the reality that

human meaningfulness-and-teleology fundamentally develops out of the

constructive/institutionalising and destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding nature of the

social-construct (as significant otherness to the individual), and as this social-construct

conventioning-referencing is thereof reflected in its relationship with inherent ontological-

veracity as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-

ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that goes into

building the individual capacity to uphold ontological-veracity when the social-construct as

its significant otherness is constructive/institutionalising of meaningfulness-and-teleology as

knowledge while by the same token can undermine the individual capacity to uphold

ontological-veracity when the social-construct as significant otherness is as of

destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding of meaningfulness-and-teleology as

knowledge; as social-construct settings are fundamentally the background of significant

otherness for their inherent generalised purposefulness and their enlivening of the possibility

for individual human purposefulness as well, such that beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-

teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought the notion of ontological-

veracity is not necessarily of absolute pertinence to the individual as of pure ontology

implications of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation where individual possible construal of

ontological-veracity is subject to its perception/engagement/endearament of specific and/or

underpinning–suprasocial-construct settings significant otherness

destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding implications of its possible constructive/institutionalising construal of ontological-veracity. This destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding effect of social-construct settings with regards to individual possible constructive/institutionalising construal of ontological-veracity is validated by the idea that even the most assured critique in the ontological-veracity of their ideas when this elicits the uninstitutionalised-threshold cannot just articulate them as if the social-construct is ‘purely/absolutely receptive-as-constructive/institutionalising to ontological-veracity’ but need to implicitly recognise the social-construct predisposition to destructure such meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-referencing for social-functioning-and-accordance at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so in order by its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension to strategically articulate such meaningfulness-and-teleology going by the possibility of the social-construct as of its potential constructive/institutionalising significant otherness to tolerate it in the immediacy, even as the social-construct is rather predisposed in the immediacy to destructure at this uninstitutionalised-threshold as of its registry-worldview/dimension structural ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’. From the foregoing, while the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity, it is rather ‘naïve to construe of social-stake-contention-or-confliction in any social-setup as absolutely about ontological-veracity’ giving a social-construct predisposition to destructure meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-referencing for social-functioning-and-accordance at its uninstitutionalised-threshold; with any such superseding ontological-veracity at the social-setup uninstitutionalised-threshold rather beyond-the-consciousness-

awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, as base-institutionalisation implied meaningfulness-and-teleology is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, that of universalisation is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, that of positivism is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively that of deprocriticism is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of positivism—procriticism; and so because any given registry-worldview/dimension structural ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ defines the social-construct institutionalisation threshold perceived intemporal meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but then is equally amenable to <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation manifesting at reference-of-thought-devolving-level as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, and so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

reflected as the divergent temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances of the
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing'. This social-construct
 constructive/institutionalising and destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology reality is exactly what renders 'prospective metaphoricity as of
 ontological-veracity superseding of uninstitutionalised-threshold' necessarily as of
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 askesis-or-acumen as of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning, wherein the reality of social
 transformation is more veridically as of prospective non-presencing-<as-to-existence-
 potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity
 rather than any prior presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness epistemic
 grounding; with transcendence-and-sublimity over the uninstitutionalised-threshold structural
 'human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-
 fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex' as of prospective superseding re-
 structuring/re-paradigming
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as
 edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity for affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
 logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of
 prospective registry-worldview/dimension. The ultimate point here being that critically the
 notion of human transcendence-and-sublimity more often than not occur as 'reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning projection-beyond-the-presencing-human-self-consciousness-

as-reinventing-prospective-non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness>-human-self-consciousness’ rather than as it can wrongly be implied with ‘reasoning-from-results/afterthought postures as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness self-consciousness mastery and direction’ which are rather ontologically-flawed

<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. In this regards, ontological-veracity as of a perpetual predisposition for prospective relative-ontological-completeness is ensured by supposedly coherent ontological-commitment to undermine the social-construct predisposition to destructure meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its conventioning-referencing for social-functioning-and-accordance at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, and enable the construal of prospective ontological-veracity by ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, over ‘individual whim/impulsion narratives ontological-performances’, ‘<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> narratives ontological-performances’ and ‘suprasocial narratives ontological-performances’ in their various flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism postures. The social epistemic-totality reality of the metaphoricity flux of temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances-of-narratives thus implies that in effect a social-setup is a construct of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordionsing ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology of narratives’ asan epistememic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology, wherein the most universalising/ontologising/institutionalising of narratives as of

‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ is structurally superseding over more specific and spurious temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives but with all such temporal-to-intemporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives susceptible to recombination in unsuspecting ways given human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and are variously enabled or inhibited in different spheres/settings wherein the extended-informality including the extended-informality of institutional frameworks is more susceptible to spurious and specific temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives unlike the strictly formalised institutional frameworks tending to universalising/ontologising/institutionalising of narratives. It is this possibility of narratives recombination as of formative and enculturating implications as well as the criss-crossing of formal and informal spheres/settings differing temporal-to-intemporal value-references that renders even universalising/ontologising/institutionalising narratives susceptible to recombination with temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives, thus leading to their possible ontological denaturing as of uninstitutionalised-threshold implications. Ultimately, it is herein contended that conceptualising ontological-veracity reflecting existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism as this underlies retrospective, present to prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology rather boils down to grasping prospective relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of notional-deprocrypticism. Effectively prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology, as articulated from ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ reflecting existence-potency-prospective-

digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism perspective, can be construed as: prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness re-structuring/re-paradigming in
 superseding/undermining/deflating the ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness perception of
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness structuring/paradigming’; wherein the former’s
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its re-
 structuring/re-paradigming substitutes for the latter’s
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 structuring/paradigming, and so as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–
 purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This
 knowledge notion, construed as organic-knowledge, involving articulating prospective
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its structuring/paradigming
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument substituting of
 prior meaningfulness-and-teleology structuring/paradigming
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument can be referred
 to as edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with regards to
 human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as of prospective psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; speaking of the recurrent edging
 towards completion of ontological-performance as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive

reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation for a ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance
 equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-
 coherence/contiguity’, which by that token as of the reference-of-thought-level induces the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 in ontological-contiguity from notional-deprocrypticism. In other words, ontologically-
 veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology as organic-knowledge is more critically overtly
 walking into the evil forest and finding a root or leaf cure as emancipatory to such animistic
 social-setup beyond just the immediate remedy as mechanic knowledge but more profoundly
 as of the prospective worldview possibility of undermining the flawed ontological
 implications of the animistic social-setup mythology in prior relative-ontological-
 incompleteness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 with the latter so-construed as its ‘identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-
 dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-
 teleology’, rather than surreptitiously sneaking around and getting the root or leaf cure from
 the evil forest as remedy but then failing as of the prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness possibility for superseding/undermining/deflating-the-evil-forest-notion to
 enable the animistic social-setup to put into question and supersede the existential
 implications of its prior presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
 structuring/paradigming
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for prospective
 non-presencing-<as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness> re-
 structuring/re-paradigming

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with the latter
 so-construed as of ‘difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-
 veridical-epistemic-determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’; in both cases, as of the-
 very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but with differing ontological-
 performances of meaningfulness-and-teleology as it is such ‘difference-conflatedness-as-
 totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ construed as edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that induces the
 animistic social-setup reference-of-thought-level prospective society-wide transcendence-
 and-sublimity into positivism/rational-empiricism. Thus, the prospect of all human
 meaningfulness-and-teleology arises as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
 fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
 as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-
 reasoning recurrent/relaying instigating, at uninstitutionalised-thresholds, in reflecting the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human limited-
 mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal) implications for prospective relative-ontological-completeness
 inducing the sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as
 of edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. We can
 appreciate in this regards that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-
 thought are actually in an edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument relation with
 each other as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness with regards to construing the

very same <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating purview-of-construal-
 as-existence: wherein base-institutionalisation rulemaking edgily/incisively reconstrues
 existence as of rulemaking over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation construal of existence as
 of non-rules; universalisation edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of universalisation-
 directed-rulemaking over base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation construal of existence
 as of rulemaking; positivism/rational-empiricism edgily/incisively reconstrues existence as of
 positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking over
 universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism construal of existence as of universalisation-
 directed-rulemaking; and prospectively, deprocrypticism edgily/incisively reconstrues
 existence as of preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-
 based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules over positivism–procrypticism
 construal of existence as of positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-
 rulemaking. We thus appreciate that such reconstrual of existence is as of maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness implying the
 affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
 validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking an altogether prospective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and not
 incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness which will wrongly imply the
 affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
 validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of the priorly superseded
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument instead of its
 unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
 logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing.

Edginess/incisiveness—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as-of-
contrastive-dialectically-dementing-and-dialectical-thinking-differentiation reflection of
historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing highlights ‘human akrasia-
susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-Self/ontological-
fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’ as of temporal-to-intemporal—ontological-
performances-of-narratives as so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level
difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
determinism reflected as the differing temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances in
the historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ at the given
uninstitutionalised-threshold, thus articulating the social epistemic-totality possibility of
‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing ontological-performances-including-
virtue-as-ontology of narratives’. ‘Ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-
performance’ as intemporal/ontological is thus effectively as to overall panintelligibility-as-
reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-
subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation
the reflection of the social epistemic-totality of human ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions
accordioneing ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology of narratives’ as of
living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-
function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, with
respect to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—
renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-
ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism
contrastive disclosing of ‘human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag/shiftiness-of-the-

Self/ontological-fracturing/desublimation/gimmickiness complex’, and so-disambiguated ontologically as of reference-of-thought-devolving-level ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism ‘differentiating/disambiguating transversality’ of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accordioneing ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology of narratives’; wherein what marks out temporal–ontological-performances-of-narratives is their ‘overt untransvaluated–temporal-intemporality existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex’ as of the dynamic implications of direct and conjugating human temporal <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness manifestation of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the differing temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’, and what marks out ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as of intemporal aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity is its dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension in nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-unthought, and so with respect to overall registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold implication (procrysticism or non-positivism–medievalism or ununiversalisation or recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag flawed apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Basically,

‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ is thus as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology temporal-to-intemporal—ontological-performances-of-narratives differentiated transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of living-development—as-to-personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The possibility of ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ as construed from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism is what allows for veridical aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism implied as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism over dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism, just as with the natural sciences and so beyond the notion of subjectivity as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation and falsifiability implications. It is important to grasp that since every registry-worldview/dimension social-construct is involved in a constructive (as of its institutionalising disposition) and destructuring (as of its disposition at its uninstitutionalised-threshold) relationship with ontological-veracity, this is exactly what inevitably validates the articulation of ontological-veracity/ontological-veridicality as more completely involving the

displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject priorly as implied with Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative in reflecting the need to undermine human destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding to further advance its constructive/institutionalising nature, thus overcoming underlying logocentrism as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness implications; reflecting the fact that human knowledge is more completely a two-fold process involving building the right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness and thus the knowledge for that given right mindset-as-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness as of projected conflatedness. This is very much unlike the Ricoeurian narrative theory conception that while of palliative and practical significance is in relative constitutedness since it poorly deals with logocentrism implications as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness on ontological-veracity; as it construes of 'logocentric habituated social conditions' as inherently ontological or beyond ontological treatment while failing to countenance the 'decentering heavy lifting' involved in undermining ontologically impertinent 'logocentric habituated social conditions' in enabling the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology right up to our present, and as of prospective transformative emancipatory possibilities. In the bigger scheme of things, the social-construct as significant otherness is ever always inherently put into question itself given its constructive/institutionalising and destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding nature speaking of its reasoning-from-results/afterthought, with regards to its capacity-and-disposition to uphold prospective transcendence-and-sublimity ontological-veracity/ontological-veridicality; as so implied in the epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity unorthodoxy herein expounding futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-

and-teleology as of prospective deprocrpticism, just as with the unorthodoxy of postmodern-thought or generally the unorthodoxy of all prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology whether with regards to the Socrates/Plato/Aristotle, Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes, Newtons, Darwins, Rousseaus, Nietzsches, Einsteins, etc. as reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. This basic idea of the social-construct as of its constructive/institutionalising and destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding nature is effectively what underlies in ontologically neutral/objective terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct such displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject narratives like Derridean deconstruction narrative or Foucauldian genealogy-knowledge-and-power-discourse narrative. However, the capacity to appreciate the ontological neutrality/objectivity of a decentering narrative like deconstruction as being fully more of a purely ontological notion is caught up in our positivism–procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness human social-stake-contention-or-confliction in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and thus deconstruction will tend to be deficiently construed in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the circumstantial social primacy of this temporal framework social-stake-contention-or-confliction over its fuller pure ontology as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness deprocrpticism; explaining in many ways the difficulty for Derrida to define deconstruction. Again, such a social situation is no more different with say the articulation of budding positivism/rational-empiricism science in say a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup as caught up in the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal framework of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, such that the more ontologically pure idea we may appreciate today as science is poorly disentangled from that circumstantial social primacy of the non-positivism/medievalism social-stake-contention-or-confliction like the entrenched interests that will rather focus mindsets rather in a nominal adversarial binarity perspective as of defending or attacking the traditional scholasticism

pedantic literature over a more pure, nuanced and enlightening ontology contemplation of science as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness positivism, as a result of the failure of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>); which will explain in many ways the difficulty of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Descartes’, Diderots, etc. so effectively enculturate their budding positivism. With respect to deconstruction in this regard, it is herein contended that such a Derridean deconstruction notion like binary opposition effectively speaks of the fact that it is encrusted/caught-up in our positivism—procrypticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness human social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought but that a more fuller pure ontology appreciation of the deconstruction notion as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness depcrypticism rather subsumes all such binary opposition conceptions basically into the binarity of intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness as to human limited-mentation-capacity relative ontological-performance. It is effectively from this fuller pure ontology perspective of prospective relative-ontological-completeness depcrypticism that we can appreciate more profoundly the universal ontological epistemic pertinence of decentering narratives like deconstruction, and so pervasively well beyond the stereotypical grand themes of gender, race, postcolonialism, power, etc. but rather just as of an all-pervasive universal ontological profundity for analysing everything as of prospective

relative-ontological-completeness deprocrypticism herein construed as human-subject-
emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation; with
the implied knowledge emancipation rather construed as of mutual human emancipation
beyond just the idea of a decentering narrative being about stronger and weaker but
transcending that framework of contemplation in projecting of aetiologisation/ontological-
escalation/otherliness as of a converging vision of emancipation as conjoint human
emancipation, as the reality of the supposedly unemancipated speaks of the ontological
emancipative deficiency of the supposedly emancipated in need of the latter's state very own
deconstructing. Such a mutual-emancipation appreciation of deconstruction will appreciate
for instance that the civil war ending slavery in the U.S. was both as emancipative to its
practitioners as well as to the freed beyond just the overall social adversariality practical
implications, just as in decolonising terms it will appreciate that the more matured as
mutually-emancipative notion of decolonisation involved both the capacity of colonised
territories to attain and choose independence in mutual cooperation and even in other cases
with such territories choosing to follow a mutually respectful and healthy relationship with
the metropolitan country which in a few cases turn out to be more beneficial to both. In this
regards, we can appreciate that the human predisposition not to dispense-with-immediacy-
for-relative-ontological-completeness/contemplative-distension as of a nominal adversarial
binarity predisposition in many ways renders such an ontologically more profound construct
of deconstruction difficult. In this very contrastive sense with regards to our present
prospective relative-ontological-completeness positivism/rational-empiricism, we don't
ideally construe of science as of its pure ontology as discriminatorily selective in its
conclusions and we further appreciate that its usefulness is universally emancipatory as of
social-stake-contention-or-confliction, and so in both instances with regards to say medicine
or civil technology or consumer technology or even scientific and technological

nomenclatures; with any such discriminatorily selective predisposition and failure to share its usefulness being an indictment of a lack of the requisite liberalism for perpetuating human scientific progress and basically overall human emancipation. Ultimately, the social-construct as of its constructive/institutionalising and destructuring/uninstitutionalised-thresholding nature inherently points out why human transcendence-and-sublimity as of intemporal metaphoricity epistemic pertinence doesn't lie with any inherent suprasocial framework or inherent <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> framework. The fact is that the inherent human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor renders such <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> framework or suprasocial framework epistemic pertinence for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity untenable, as susceptible to prospective dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism. Such epistemic pertinence for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity is rather structured/paradigmed dynamically as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning epistemic-ricochetting/transepistemicity possibility exploiting the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup 'self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction' which opens it up to prospective intemporal-as-ontological metaphoricity. It is by this token that the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-

reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot
 for completeness can as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation induce
 transcendence-and-sublimity thus constraining the positive opportunism for prospective
 human secondnature institutionalisation as of cross-generational deferential-formalisation-
 transference and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-
 in-conflation>. The insight here is that the epistemic possibility for human prospective
 aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as reflected in all prior transcendence-and-sublimity is
 more decisively about such intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
 exploiting of the supposedly coherent ontological-commitment so-implied as of a social-setup
 ‘self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’,
 rather than a naïve reliance on <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
 thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
 of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> or
 suprasocial epistemic relevance which is actually the outcome as reasoning-from-
 results/afterthought of secondnature institutionalisation poorly inclined to such requisite
 prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning. Human akrasia-susceptibility-or-
 akrasiatic-drag complex is rather reflected operantly and pertinently as of human
 ‘ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition’ so-construed from existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-veracity perspective and so
 over our human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective which is rather in an

ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process <formative>epistemic-
 causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of
 human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(~~formative~~>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-
 existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) that goes well beyond a ‘golden
 mean’/moderation/temperance, etc. behaviour interpretation as implied with ‘enkrateia’
 which, as explained and further elaborated elsewhere herein, doesn’t has an ontological-basis
 as it is rather an impromptu articulation of a sense of desirability but fundamentally lacks the-
 Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 reference of ontological-contiguity but for naively and wrongly implying good-natured
 qualities as being ontological; and such ‘antiakrasiatic disposition’ is more critically reflected
 as of underlying human ‘intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-
 ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning parrhesiastic seeding-promise of prospective meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-
 performance’ with the ‘akrasiatic disposition’ construed as of ‘temporal/sophistic-as-
 ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reasoning-from-results/afterthought
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation seeding-misprising of prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-
 pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance’.)
 This existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-veracity
 perspective reflects the fact that as of our human-subpotency, beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought we-fail-to-factor-
 in/we-are-oblivious-to our human limited-mentation-capacity implications as of our

ontologically-compromised <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence, so-
 reflected with the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought-level
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,—for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity, to then proceed in affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-
 logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking as of our
 existential-instantiations and so defectively as if we have no limited-mentation-capacity and
 no ontologically-uncompromised <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence;
 and this with respect to our articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology
 ontological-performance, such that inherently our ontological-performance is ever always
 constrained as of constructive and destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. The destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance of
 human articulated–or–acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance,
 and as structurally/paradigmatically reflected at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, speaks of a
 threshold at which as of our human-subpotency we fail to assume the intellectual-and-moral
 responsibility arising as of ontological-veridicality so-reflected as from the full sublimating-
 over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,—re-perception,—re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness ontological-veracity perspective insight of
 affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
 validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking. This is the overall notion explaining human
 akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex, and so as of human limited-mentation-
 capacity notional implications. Thereafter, understanding of this human ‘ontologically-flawed
 antiakrasiatic disposition’ is all about conceptualising the effective operant ontologically-

constraining conditions as of human existential-instantiations given our limited-mentation-
 capacity implied as of temporality/shortness and intemporality/longness implications, and so
 construed epistemically as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence analysis. Insightfully, we
 can appreciate that the absolute human ontologically-veridical antiakrasiatic disposition can
 only be as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–
 renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-
 ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism so-
 reflected with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
 prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, over human-subpotency—as-of-
 ontologically-compromised-epistemic-abnormalcy so-reflected variously with the preceding
 successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; wherein notional-deprocrypticism as of
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness will rather speak of
 prospective ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ which as of its
 inherent constructive ontological-performance is of a structural/paradigmatic implication that
 ultimately supersedes the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance notionally
 underlying human-subpotency. Thus all the problem of human ontologically-flawed
 antiakrasiatic disposition boils down to construing the underlying human mental-processing
 disposition, construed as of phenomenal-abstractiveness implications, as from human-
 subpotency dispositional possibilities of ontological-performances to existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness possibility of ontological-performance. In
 this respect, we can appreciate that the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-
 of-thought in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process

are effectively differing structural/paradigmatic antiakrasiatic dispositions-as-of-self-consciousness varying from most ontologically-flawed as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to most ontologically-veridical as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism. We can further appreciate that all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are marked at their reference-of-thought-devolving-level by temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances speaking of differing ontological-performances-including-virtue-as-ontology of intemporal and disambiguated temporal ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic-disposition as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation reflecting <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives. This analysis so far sums up the overall framework of human temporal-to-intemporal ontologically-flawed antiakrasiatic disposition as of the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. Further and of much more profound reification implications, is the reality that the social-construct constructive and destructuring nature can be fundamentally accounted for by the fact that human antiakrasiatic disposition aspiration is truly reflected as from the effective implications of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-

existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity'; thus with the latter reconceptualised as 'human-subpotency equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance'. This reflects the epistemic-veracity of construing human-subpotency 'equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance' of its articulated-or-acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-performance, which underlies beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought the universal-transparency of the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction; with the implication here that human-subpotency is ever always as-of-its-level-of-constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation-by-destructuring/uninstitutionalised-threshold in 'a metaphorising vacillating-conception' of the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology as can be fully reflected from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic perspective in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. This thus points out that human-subpotency 'equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance' supposedly of universal-transparency is mainly and rather the overtly presumed social posture of articulated-or-acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, and that human-subpotency implications of human limited-mentation-capacity induces covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence-antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance construed as destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity; as implying in effect a destructuring-by-flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument thus denaturing
 the true ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ from
 the ontologically-veridical existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective reflecting social-construct
 constructiveness-of-ontological-performance, so that it is a difference-conflatedness-as-
 totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism that can restore-
 and-reflect-by-disambiguating/differentiating the ontological-veridicality-as-of-ontological-
 aesthetic-tracing about the social-construct constructiveness-of-ontological-performance from
 this induced destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity denaturing
 whereas naïve identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
 dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism will wrongly validate the so-induced
 destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as of the destructuring-by-
 flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> as ontologically-veridical by its flawed
 implying of ontological-contiguity without/failing-to restore-and-reflect-by-
 disambiguating/differentiating the ontological-veridicality-as-of-ontological-aesthetic-
 tracing. This destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity exactly
 reflects the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as the point where human-
 subpotency from its ‘destructuring relative-ontological-incompleteness ontologically-flawed
 perspective’ is inan epistememic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that systematically represents it’s the
 reality of its destructuring-by-flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (as so-

construed notionally/epistemically from the ‘prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness constructiveness perspective’) as a nondescript/ignorable void that actually speaks of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives, and goes on to systematically ‘contend recurrently’ on the basis of its ontologically-flawed destructuring apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Consider the case of the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance with a ‘God of plane’ proposition in say an animistic social-setup (reflecting the underlying ‘animistic superstitious <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-disposition’ and not any such notion as propositional attitude because human meaningfulness-and-teleology is <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating as of its given <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument thus construed in notional-conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations and as its ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-disposition’ can then be reflected in an infinite number of propositions by that notional-conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations as so-construed in such approaches as Derridean deconstruction and Foucauldian discourse analysis, as such a reification is all about elucidating the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance of human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective meaningfulness-and-teleology articulated within any given registry-worldview/dimension social-setup going by its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as so-reflected by its self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction exposing it to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-

thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity', whereas the notion of propositional attitude is rather as of
 constitutedness and not in conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations as
 failing to reflect the given <formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument devolving '-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating-narrative-disposition', and seem
 to imply that propositions themselves have their attitude rather than the fact that the true
 ontological-depth lies with the underlying '-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating-narrative-disposition' in notional-conflatedness with
 existence-as-of-existential-instantiations which is thus reflected in the devolving specific
 propositions aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring, wherein for instance
 as of a holistic insight one or a few propositions in a series of propositions uttered may
 actually decisively imply a '-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating-
 narrative-disposition' of temporal-as-ontologically-flawed meaningfulness-and-teleology or
 intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology with regards to revealing
 the series of propositions implied phenomenal-abstractiveness as of ontologically-flawed
 destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as when respectively
 projecting a destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of
 'reference-of-thought-devolving-level
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument' reflecting a
 nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-
 narratives) or as of ontologically-veridical <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-

contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism in ontological-contiguity of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and thus with their corresponding differing ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ and ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’; and further the notion of propositional attitude fails to reflect the fact of varying registry-worldviews/dimensions as of relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness with their varying <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence reference-of-thought-level

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative-dispositions’

translating in the differing nature of propositions veridically admissible by differing registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought as implied in the contrastive example here between a positivism and a non-positivism registry-worldview/dimension with their differing ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ and ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’), since it is fundamentally an ontologically-flawed destructuring non-positivism/superstitious

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument eliciting this misconstrued proposition of non-positivism/superstitious aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as ‘God of plane’, a further proposition as of positivism aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring like ‘wings generate lift’ will just as well elicit a further proposition of non-positivism/superstitious aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring ‘along the lines of a superstitious effect from the wings’; with the positivism relative-ontological-

completeness perspective rather reflecting the non-positivism/superstitious relative-ontological-incompleteness perspective as of a ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ while the latter perspective wrongly holds on to an ontologically-flawed ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’. This is the fundamental conception underlying the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as implying an underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument structural/paradigmatic misconstruing for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring meaningfulness-and-teleology, thus disambiguating/differentiating prospective relative-ontological-completeness as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ and the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’. This is equally what very much underlies from a prospective relative-ontological-completeness constructiveness perspective of deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought the social manifestation of a phenomenon like psychopathy and social psychopathy reflecting our prior relative-ontological-incompleteness positivism–procrypticism destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, wherein the fundamentally induced destructuring-by-flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument is the very same destructuring apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of instigating disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought that prolongs as of <formative>epistemic-

totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag into its lingering social manifestation (just as the non-positivism/superstitious apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring enters a lingering social manifestation in striving to interpret positivism meaningfulness-and-teleology as reflected about a plane on the basis of its non-positivism/superstitious propositions as it narrative disposition, and reflected by its ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’); with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prospectively constructiveness perspective rather reflecting it veridically as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ while our positivism–procrypticism prospectively destructuring perspective rather reflecting wrongly as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’. This insight can further be extended to explain the lingering pervasiveness of notions-and-accusation-of-sorcery in non-positivistic social-setups. In all these cases as explained further below as of the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ of phenomenal-abstractiveness given its persistently pervasive reshuffling thoughtfulness as from human anxiety, the underlying apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation ontological-performance of any given registry-worldview/dimension as of its ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ is limited

due to human limited-mentation-capacity with regards to the intemporal ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that establishes prospective
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring ontologically-veridical
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, such that this reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-
 disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument thus necessarily
 has a structural/paradigmatic prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance
 that is susceptible to its very own ontologically-flawed manifestation of its
 <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
 drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives so-implied as of postlogism-
 slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation instigated as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive
 destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’, ‘-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious destructuring-disposition—
 flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-
 nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’, ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-

as-qualifying destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’, and ‘-
<formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising destructuring-disposition—
flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-
nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’ on any such given registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument; thus requiring
the further ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism
constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
determinism’ phenomenal-abstractiveness as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-
ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-
through/messianic-reasoning that establishes prospective reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument to further
match-and-restore existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness
epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of ontological-veridicality as of prospective
relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in order to overcome the preceding
destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance, and so-implied in this work as futural
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective

deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
constructiveness-of-ontological-performance reflected as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema’ with respect to our
positivism—procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought prior reproducibility—
mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument destructuring-
threshold-of-ontological-performance reflected as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing—qualia-schema’. The bigger point here is
that, the social as purportedly driven by its constructiveness-of-ontological-performance is
rather supposedly all about overtly implicated ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-
aspiration ontological-performance’ of articulated—or—acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-
teleology ontological-performance with regards to the universal-transparency of social
epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology. However, human limited-mentation-
capacity renders such overtly implicated ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-
aspiration ontological-performance’ unachievable such that this elicits covert-pretence-of-
equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance as to
destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity that reflects the social-
construct prospective destructuring as construed from existence-potency-prospective-
digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic perspective as of ontological-veracity. Such
covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-
performance as to destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity
destructuring consequence arises-and-is-reflected more fully and operantly as of human-

subpotency destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism of the ‘possibilities-of-human-phenomenal-abstractiveness with respect to their apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for ontological-performance’, as deviating-from/being-wrongly-imputed-as-of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic perspective of ontological-performance construed as ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’, and the social dynamics developing thereof as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction. Thus human-subpotency destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism of the ‘possibilities-of-human-phenomenal-abstractiveness with respect to their apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for ontological-performance’, so-conceptualised from the perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as the latter reflects ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’, vary as of human-subpotency ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’, ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-

discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’, ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’, ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism’ and ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’, with the latter construed rather as of constructive difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism with respect to its constructive disambiguating of the covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence-antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance as to destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as it disambiguates/differentiates the destructuring-by-flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument denaturing and achieves existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic perspective dispositional possibility of ontological-performance in reflecting the ontologically-veridical ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’ exactly because it is the ‘human ratio-conguity/ratiocination phenomenal-

abstractiveness as of developed-intellection-of-exactness-capacity-ontological-performance
 implication thus non-susceptible to destructuring', unlike all the other phenomenal-
 abstractiveness that instigate their respectively ontologically-flawed destructuring-
 disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
 discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by aligning
 with the destructuring in identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
 dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism with regards to the covert-pretence-of-
 equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance as to
 destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity rather than
 disambiguating/differentiating it to restore ontological-veridicality as of existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, and so beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. Phenomenal-
 abstractiveness as of human-subpotency mental-processing for equivalence/correspondence
 with existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness effectively reflected herein
 as of the varied depth as from <formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive,
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious, <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying, interval-as-categorising and <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism; with <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness
 allowing notionally/epistemically the possibility for human fulfilment of 'ontologically-
 hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance' which is what underlies the framework of

social-construct constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation and
 superseding its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-
 threshold, thus reflected as of ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment
 ontological-performance’. Inherently, this most profound <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism human phenomenal-abstractiveness
 is what exactly enables human-subpotency to be able to supersede destructuring-threshold-of-
 ontological-performance by the underlying specific existential-as-ontological
 disambiguating/differentiating disposition. We can thus contemplate of
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-
 abstractiveness as the human mental-processing capacity that is inclined to ever always
 expand the frontiers of human knowledge as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative
 ontological-performance’, and so as of the very ‘recurrent edging towards completion of
 ontological-performance of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
 recurrent shot for completeness, as of successive reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation implied
 reference-of-thought and reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-
 meaningfulness’. Such that the very abstract idea of any ‘existential contemplative
 insurmountability’ arising as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising—throwness-in-
 existence is-not-acquiesced-to/is-rejected naturally by the human mental-processing
 disposition of <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-
 referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness as of human anxiety and as so-reflected by its
 persistently pervasive reshuffling thoughtfulness. The point here is that the most tasking of
 human mental-processing is as of <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-

contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abtractiveness as of its constructive reconstrual-as-of-disambiguation/differentiating of destructuring-thresholds-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold, with <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising phenomenal-abtractiveness, <formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying phenomenal-abtractiveness, <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious phenomenal-abtractiveness and <formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive phenomenal-abtractiveness reflecting lesser-and-lesser mental-processing tasking for operant meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘already achieved constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation’ enabled by <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abtractiveness disambiguation/differentiation. It is the <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-abtractiveness existential reshuffling thoughtfulness as of its expansion of human knowledge frontier as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ by its disambiguative/differentiative undermining of destructuring-thresholds-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold as it enables ‘ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’ that instigates the knowledge mechanism as it subsequently and summarily parcels out as of a depth-of-mental-processing-reflexes-contiguity into the more fully operant meaningfulness-and-teleology of lesser-and-lesser phenomenal-abtractiveness mental-processing tasking, and so rather as ‘already achieved constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation’, as from the categorising register of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’, the qualifying register of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’, the

tendentious register of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’ and the impulsive register of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism derived ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’, reflecting the human understanding process (with this so-structured registers of lesser-and-lesser mental-processing reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation, as derived from the underlying registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought induced ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism ontology/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal of Being and beings’, forming the said registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘notional-conflatedness <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating self-consciousness qualia-schema’ of memorisation as of replication-and-differentiation-in-an-<formative>epistemic-totalising-disambiguation-in-notional-conflatedness-with-existence-as-of-existential-instantiations and thus enabling the notional-conflatedness of mental-processing in existence-as-of-existential-instantiations reflected in the ‘evolving-and-devolving formation/learning-development metaphoricity and transcendence-and-sublimity metaphoricity subjoining in <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so as of impulsive mental-reflex, tendentious mental-reflex, qualifying mental-reflex, categorising mental-reflex and <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism mental-reflex in their comprehensively underlying ‘notional-conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations’); from whence meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring ensues as of notional-conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations (‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking—qualia-

schema' rather arises as of the implied reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as centered–
 epistemic-totalisation associated '–<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating psychologism-schema' and is the reflected mental-state
 aftereffect when reflexively, contemplatively, implicitly or explicitly
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring propositions as of the given
 underlying registry-worldview's/dimension's narrative disposition in its notional-
 conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations, and it is necessarily induced-
 from and reflects the 'developing <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
 self-consciousness culturally-directed eliciting of concepts and contemplative frameworks in
 notional-conflatedness with existence-as-of-existential-instantiations'; and so-contrued
 contrary to just a constitutedness conception as of singular quale which fails to grasp that the
 possibility for reflecting a quale arises rather as of an underlying 'epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema' reflecting
 <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating meaningfulness-and-teleology
 within which any specific quale then imports as of its replicability-and-differentiability-in-an-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-disambiguation-in-notional-conflatedness-with-existence-as-
 of-existential-instantiations such that for instance the self-consciousness for cognising colour
 and colour schemes with children develops rather as of culturally-directed eliciting of the
 colour and colour schemes devolving qualia-schema, as it is integrated with the child's
 developing <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating self-consciousness
 and by extension we can grasp that the <formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema of successive registry-
 worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought are grasp rather as of '–<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema' as of relative-ontological-

incompleteness so construed from relative-ontological-completeness as of existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective
or ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-
schema’ as of relative-ontological-completeness when so-construed in existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as from a protracted-consciousness in
relative-ontological-completeness as of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism protensive-consciousness
<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema disambiguation of
the other consciousnesses in relative-ontological-incompleteness as of positivism–
procrypticism occlusive-consciousness <formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema, universalisation–non-
positivism/medievalism preclusive-consciousness <formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema, base-institutionalisation–
ununiversalisation warped-consciousness <formative>epistemic-
totalising/circumscribing/delineating qualia-schema and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
trepidatious-consciousness <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating
qualia-schema). But then at prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-
performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold, the instigation of the categorising register, the
qualifying register, the tendentious register and the impulsive register will end up being
ontologically-flawed but not recognised as such from the human-subpotency
epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of the given registry-worldview/dimension
institutionalisation reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—

reproducibility-of-aestheticisation

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in

<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, though from existence-potency-
prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-

perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic perspective of analysis as of
prospective relative-ontological-completeness it is shown to be ontologically-flawed.

Basically thus prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-
performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold renders the instigation of the categorising register,

the qualifying register, the tendentious register and the impulsive register, as of operant
meaningfulness-and-teleology, susceptible to be <formative>wooden-language—of-

temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-

narratives so-implied as of postlogism-
slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-

discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-

endemisation. It is only <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-
referentialism phenomenal-abstractiveness as of its mental-processing persistently pervasive

existential reshuffling thoughtfulness as from human anxiety that is bound at destructuring-
threshold-of-ontological-performance/uninstitutionalised-threshold to reconstrue the

prospective constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-reflected from existence-potency-prospective-digression-

of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-

epistemic-conflatedness notional/epistemic perspective of analysis as of prospective relative-
ontological-completeness to be ontologically-veridical. It is in this way that

<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism phenomenal-

abstractiveness expands the frontiers of human knowledge as ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’, and thereof instigating the knowledge mechanism as it subsequently and summarily parcels out as of a depth-of-mental-processing-reflexes-contiguity into the more fully operant meaningfulness-and-teleology of lesser-and-lesser phenomenal-abstractiveness mental-processing tasking, as from the categorising register, the qualifying register, the tendentious register and the impulsive register, and thus enabling new human understanding; from whence new meaningfulness-and-teleology aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring ensues as of human existential-instantiations. In the bigger scheme of things, this ‘constructiveness-of-ontological-performance from destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance’ operation of the comprehensive human phenomenal-abstractiveness process reflecting the cumulation/recomposuring of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge, is what brings about the successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as of successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness, and is reflected in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process reification of reference-of-thought-level successive self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self as of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, and so conceptualised as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism perspective. The social as supposedly a forward-facing constructiveness-of-ontological-performance is one where ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ is effectively driven as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-

referentialism constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
 epistemic-determinism' as 'ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance'
 and as so-reflected at attained institutionalisation-level and constraint in formal social-
 settings; while as of human limited-mentation-capacity implications of phenomenal-
 abstractiveness, elicited covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence–antiakrasiatic-
 aspiration-ontological-performance as to destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-
 deratiocination/deratiocontiguity arise variously at reference-of-thought-level
 uninstitutionalised-thresholds and their reference-of-thought-devolving-level unconstraint
 extended-informality as huma '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive
 destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> in
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism', '-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious destructuring-disposition—
 flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-
 nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism', '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-
 as-qualifying destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> in
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism' and '-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising destructuring-disposition—
 flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-
 nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism', and as these covertly pass as being of '-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism
 constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-

determinism’ thus undermining ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’.

Destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as of elicited covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance articulated—or—acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology at reference-of-thought-devolving-level, is induced as of destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives so-implied as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, and so-induced-and-complexified in association with instances/instantiations of constructiveness disposition for ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’, to then effect as of the dual implications ontologically-flawed overall perception of a primary commitment to constructiveness disposition of ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ so that any such destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance as to destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity articulated—or—acquiesced-to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance is overlooked as marginal; and so with regards to implicated social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology, thus inducing the peculiar social dynamism effect of destructuring-transitoriness-

as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity wherein that temporally induced marginality mechanism as of destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives is the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought temporal grounds for akrasiatically undermining ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’. It is this destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as of ‘pretence of equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ marginality implications reflected in human phenomenal-abstractiveness destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism that develop into the social dynamics manifestations of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation so-construed from the perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism. This reveals destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as the destructuring ontologically-flawed failing antiakrasiatic disposition, that is further complexified with the blending of instances/instantiations of constructiveness disposition of ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ with the marginal destructuring-

disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in
dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as of covert-
pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance
as to destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity thus inducing the
overlooking as marginal of the destructuring-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-
induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—
qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-
determinism, and thus defining the specific sustainable destructuring-threshold-of-
ontological-performance parasitism in <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of any given registry-
worldview's/dimension's uninstitutionalised-threshold, and is so-reflected as of its
endemised/enculturated social construal of the 'types of vices-and-impediments that can be
overlooked' beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-
existential-unthought, determining its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Critical to the social
manifestation of destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity and its-
extension-in-complexification is that it is socially perceived decisively as not destructuring
going by the narrative of the collective social-setting destructuring-disposition—
flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-
nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism at its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-
performance, to then reflect of such 'pretence of equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-
aspiration ontological-performance' as if of 'equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-
aspiration ontological-performance' and to assent to such a state of affairs. Destructuring-
transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity thus arises as of human limited-

mentation-capacity deficient personality adherence, personality formation and personality development as of the social-setting very own registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation level, with regards to the construal of the social-construct in its constructiveness-of-ontological-performance as of 'equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance', with such deconstructing deficiency defining its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as it speaks to the reference-of-thought-devolving-level is a most potent social phenomenon in the extended-informality rather than defined-and-constrained formalised social-settings (though it more fundamentally speaks of the uninstitutionalised-threshold implied overall registry-worldview/dimension prospective structural/paradigmatic ontological-performance deficiency), as of the dearth of ontologically-hegemonising-narrative as of '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism' in the extended-informality with the latter variously substituted as of human phenomenal-abstractiveness '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive deconstructing-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism', '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious deconstructing-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism', '-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying deconstructing-disposition—flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-

nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism' and '-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising_intervalist-as-categorising destructuring-disposition—
 flipping/changing/transitioning-induced-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> in dissingularisation/epistemic-
 nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism' as these covertly pass as constructiveness
 disposition in 'equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-
 performance', thus distinctly destructuring. It is important to grasp here that this
 destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis is notionally/epistemically as of
 existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective of deprocrypticism which is in ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence and beyond/superseding the internal positivism-procrypticism
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought human-subpotency social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction perspective wherein the human-subpotency <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective of analysis as of
 its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument will rather be in
 a muddling undisambiguated appraisal of its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-
 performance in contrast to the notional/epistemic veracity of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
 thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness implication as of deprocrypticism in prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implications of
 aetiologisation/ontological-escalation; and this is akin to the existence-potency-prospective-
 digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-

thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness projection to prospective positivism insight of
 aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with regards to say the reflection of destructuring-
 transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity in the manifestation of notions-and-
 accusation-of-sorcery in a non-positivism social-setting social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction, with the construal of such purportedly constructiveness disposition of
 ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ as of
 positivism ontologically-hegemonising-narrative not necessarily telling from within the
 perspective of the non-positivism human-subpotency social-stake-contention-or-confliction
 narratives, but for the implied prospective metaphoricity as prospective ontologically-
 hegemonising-narrative of positivism. Insightfully, such an ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis
 insight is more like a projective contrast as with the case of the BODMAS characters
 deficient apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity operation of Arithmetic construed as of dissingularisation/epistemic-
 nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism in epistemic-abnormalcy and with regards to
 our normally conceived
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity for the operation of Arithmetic as of singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism in ontological-normalcy. Basically, such an
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance
 analysis speaks of the reality of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–
 stranding/attributive-dialectics insights; and the appreciation of the latter as to the
 displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-

the-human-institutionalisation-process is a requisite for understanding such an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis. The destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis is highly abstracted from such an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective (so-understood as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/Doppler-thinking perspective of analysis). It reflects the abstract development of human-subpotency ‘dynamic phenomenal-abstractiveness possibilities in their psychodynamic operant conflatedness with the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This psychodynamic operant conflatedness reflects human-subpotency ‘constructiveness-of-ontological-performance/institutionalisation-by-destructuring/uninstitutionalised-threshold metaphorising vacillating-conception of the social epistemic-totality of meaningfulness-and-teleology’; as can veridically be construed from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic perspective as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to assessing ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’. This destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis further highlights the ‘transitive nature’ of the human psyche across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with respect to destructuring at all uninstitutionalised-thresholds; as so-implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. The comprehensive social susceptibility to destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as the defining element of the social-construct destructuring is what underlies passive to active social mobbishness phenomena as of human limited-mentation-capacity social dynamic implications of lacking social ontologically-hegemonising-narrative. The failing cogency and individual wariness of

the social as of the lack of a comprehensive expectation of ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’ arises because of destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as of its implied destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance parasitism <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought this reflects the individual psyche conception of the social especially as of its extended-informality as not necessarily of high operant ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration ontological-performance’, and is further reflected in a social dynamics of dual overt and covert implicated interpretations of social phenomenality arising as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought cognisance-and-adaptation to the reality of the ontologically compromisable possibility of social meaningfulness-and-teleology. Insightfully, it can be appreciated that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is one long process involving the undermining of destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity at uninstitutionalised-thresholds with relative ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’ as of ontologically-hegemonising-narrative implied as of prospective ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism constructiveness disposition in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’. In this regard, we can appreciate anthropologically as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) implications the destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity that upheld superstitious beliefs in non-positivism social constructs but as of positivism/rational-empiricism ontologically-hegemonising-narrative implied with social enlightenment and the sciences rendered many purviews of existence as

of relative ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’.

We can similarly project of the same with respect to our positivism–procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as to be prospectively superseded by deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought ontologically-hegemonising-narrative thus rendering human ontological-performance correspondence with the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of prospective relative ‘equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-attainment ontological-performance’. This destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis effectively points to the fact that human akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex is such a decisive and determinant notion with respect to the human psyche as the critically interceding notion with respect to human social construction-of-the-Self and as it remains a transitive and constant notion in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as to the destructuring implications at uninstitutionalised-thresholds implied human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective in dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism relative to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective in singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. This overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation of the social-construct as from the elucidation/reification as ‘destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance analysis’ is rather notionally/epistemically reflective of the social-construct constructiveness-of-ontological-performance, as such an antiakrasiatic

analysis of uninstitutionalised-thresholds notionally/epistemically reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and so, similarly as the analysis of prospective possibilities of disease and illness is not about being pessimistic about the biology of human beings but is notionally/epistemically reflective of the possibility for the further development and provision of medicine and healthcare, and just as the projective analysis of lack of science and technology capacity is not about being pessimistic about human technical development but is notionally/epistemically reflective of the possibility for the further invention of technologies and scientific discoveries. We can appreciate here that the very same epistemic/notional conceptualisation with respect to the human subject as with natural subject-matters elicits in the former high emotional involvement whereas the latter as of its direct ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity elicits low emotional-involvement, but for the case where with regards to high and conflicting human social-stake-contention-or-confliction even the natural domain is not immuned from high emotional-involvement as with the climate change issue for instance. The point being made here is that sober analyses of the social as herein articulated tends to elicit naïve criticism that human progress happens anyway, but then such naïve criticism only recounts the fact of human progress while failing to be reifying and is actually dereifying when by its ‘implicated passivity implications for prospective human progress’ it fails to account for how human progress occurs in the very first place or even whether there is any underlying process for its occurrence or non-occurrence. Actually, human progress occurs because of effective human constructive disposition to supersede identified-and-defined destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance and as reflected at uninstitutionalised-thresholds. As the Copernicuses, Galileos, Darwins, Diderots, etc. of the world with their subsequently metaphorising societies didn’t progress on the basis that human progress occurs anyway but

because they effectively superseded their identified-and-defined ontological-performance
 destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance and uninstitutionalised-threshold, and it
 is this difficult task of cross-generational mobilisation that enables the prospective
 constructiveness-of-ontological-performance for human living-development—as-to-
 personality-development, institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The implicated passivity
 behind such reflections that human progress occurs anyway again highlights why the
 intemporal mental-dispositions behind the superseding of destructuring-threshold-of-
 ontological-performance need to be integrated into the very core of such secondnatured
 formulaic/mechanical-knowledge outcome as part and parcel of knowledge, construed as
 organic-knowledge. Otherwise, the very vocation behind such organic-knowledge end up
 being denatured as of deficient
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and this
 inevitably actually occurs and reoccurs throughout the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process; such that prospective social-construct constructiveness-
 of-ontological-performance and institutionalisation is ever always a process of maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness to prospectively recapture the
 edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for prospective
 organic-knowledge lost in secondnatured institutionalisation with the latter construed in
 temporality/shortness often bound to induce incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-
 incompleteness as of poor
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. Inevitably
 across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—

of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, the universally-transparent articulation-and-implications (as herein) of human destructuring as reflected by ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing-qualia-schema’ and constructiveness as reflected by ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking-qualia-schema’ inherently elicits from the human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective reflected as of the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing-qualia-schema’ in <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, a sense of temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction existential-investment ushering in the furthering of temporality/shortness as of untransvaluated-temporal-intemporality inclination and accompanying sophistic/pedantic complexes. But from the intemporal-as-ontological teleologically-elevated projection reflected as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation such temporal-dispositions are rather unwarranted and irrelevant since such aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is rather geared towards the prospective relative-ontological-completeness implied social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm and not the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness social-stake-contention-or-confliction in extricatory/temporal paradigm; and candidly so to the extent that the intemporal-as-ontological dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-

perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) is not
 interpreted from a temporal existential-extricator-as-of-existential-unthought perspective as
 ineptness warranting the furtherance of temporal-dispositions as of untransvaluated—
 temporal-intemporality inclination and accompanying sophistic/pedantic complexes as well
 as to the extent of entailing prospective relative-ontological-completeness. We can appreciate
 in this regards that the intemporal projection as of base-institutionalisation implies an
 incisive/edgy apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity beyond recurrent-
 utter-uninstitutionalisation social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of its ‘-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing—qualia-schema’ in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and likewise with the intemporal
 projection as of universalisation over base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, positivism
 over universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocrypticism over
 positivism—procrypticism. In this regards, the notion of dementing as reflected as of ‘-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing—qualia-schema’ of
 prior relative-ontological-incompleteness is tied-to and a necessarily associated notion with
 that of dialectical-thinking as reflected as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema’ with respect to the
 possibility of a protracted-consciousness conceptualisation in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; and as this explains the successive
 construction-of-the-Self reflected in the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions. It is the
 possibility for the human mind to dement as of a ‘-<formative>epistemic-

totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ by its self-conscious <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence that structurally/paradigmatically allows for the possibility of prospective institutionalisation involving the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject. Unlike our naïve human-subpotency epistemic/notional_projective-perspective inclined to perceive prior registry-worldviews/dimensions in their ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ in stigmatising terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct, the ontological-veracity from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective is one that rather entails a forward-thinking appreciation that the possibility of all prospective relative-ontological-completeness dialectical-thinking reflected as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ can only arise as of the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibility of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness dementing reflected as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’, and so whether from a retrospective, present or prospective perspective; speaking of the ‘miracle of the human mind malleable potential as of the human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation’, and implying an obligation for any given registry-worldview/dimension to maximalise this human capacity for Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its growing self-consciousness and self-awareness. In fact, the notion of dementing as such speaks of the fact that the entire cross-section of humanity as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is of a ‘-<formative>epistemic-

totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ with respect to prospective base-institutionalisation ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’, and likewise universalisation with respect to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism with respect to positivism, and our present positivism–procrypticism with respect to prospective deprocrypticism. The fact is, even the said prospective transcendence-and-sublimity emancipators across the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process are just as equally relatively enmeshed in many ways with their reference-of-thought old psychology ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ like say Newton’s involvement with alchemy, and the idea of projecting to a prospective ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ speaks of a first level of human uninhibitedness/decomplexification that is exactly what allows for human emancipation. This further shows how our seemingly objectified presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness positivism–procrypticism disposition is all-encompassing as of our <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when we construe of ourselves as ‘dialectical-thinking as of in-the-absolute’ without projecting that just as prior generations of humans were both dialectical-thinking as of their constructiveness-of-ontological-performance reflected as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema’ at their relative-ontological-completeness and dialectically-dementing as of their destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance reflected as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’ at their relative-ontological-incompleteness, we equally manifest the same and so-perceived from the prospective relative-ontological-completeness of

deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The critical point here has to do with the fact that beyond the ‘contingent-ontologies—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions, in their <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of their ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, that are enabled by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as herein implied successively as of non-rules of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, rulemaking-over-non-rules of base-institutionalisation, universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of universalisation, positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of our positivism and preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism; the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process can thus be qualified as the ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as its opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology reflects the comprehensive ontological-veracity of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions becoming as of ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism. This ‘true-

ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is ultimately construed as of notional-conflatedness with futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as notional-deprocrypticism, reflecting the fact that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions outcomes can be construed as one of human successive failings to attain deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and so up to the prospective human attaining of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism. Thus the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ highlights that as of our positivism—procrypticism <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of its ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, we are involved in a fundamental disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in the sense that we seem to imply in our <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag that our ‘positivism—procrypticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ as reflected by our positivist science ideology and humanism ideology seemingly surpasses the very ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-

infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-
 the-human-institutionalisation-process that engendered our positivism/rational-empiricism
 creating as of epistemic-ricochetting the said science without the science ideology and the
 said human emancipation without the humanism ideology. This fundamental disjointedness
 explains why and how our positivist science ideology and humanism ideology so-
 misconstrued beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-
 existential-unthought rather turns out to be denaturing and undermines prospective Being-
 development/ontological-framework-development, and explains our inclination to ask the
 wrong questions given the false sense of certainty arising from this ‘positivism–procrysticism
 contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’. Such questions with regards to how
 the humanities can be further developed as efficaciously as the natural sciences, how can
 philosophy be more socially potent, and on the social paradoxes of our suboptimum
 institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development and living-development—as-to-
 personality-development, more critically point to the ontological-veracity in reflecting the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of its implied intemporal
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as
 of successive reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation registry-worldviews/dimensions; and so critically by the
 displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure. In this regards, as applies with our positivism–
 procrysticism and so just as with any other prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-

worldviews/dimensions <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of their ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism, there has always been an ontologically-flawed inclination that the given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ in its <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inherently carries all the prospective possibilities of human emancipation and so oblivious-and-substituting of the underlying ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In other words, unlike we may contemplate as of our positivism/rational-empiricism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness mindset, the notion of prospective human emancipation wasn’t alien to the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation mindset though such a conception by mental-reflex was projected as of its very own ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in ontologically-flawed identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism hardly contemplative of the ontological-veracity of the underlying ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as of its ‘implied intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-

ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation’ inducing the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure so-reflected as of
 difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
 determinism, in order to attain prospective base-institutionalisation emancipation; such that
 all such relative-ontological-incompleteness contingent-ontologies—as-of-conventioning-
 referencing including our own ‘positivism—procrysticism contingent-ontology—as-of-
 conventioning-referencing’ are rather by mental-reflex of their reasoning-from-
 results/afterthought rather inclined to be oblivious-and-substituting over the more profound
 and underlying ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-
 ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ reflected as of
 ontologically-veridical difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-
 as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. This reality effectively
 structurally/paradigmatically explains the manifestation of all such relative-ontological-
 incompleteness registry-worldviews/dimensions contingent-ontologies—as-of-
 conventioning-referencing <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag induced destructuring-threshold-of-
 ontological-performance as reflected by their uninstitutionalised-threshold; and as such an
 epistememic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
 suprasocial or <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> relative-
ontological-incompleteness

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument predilection is
further subject to its internal social-stake-contention-or-confliction sophistry, with the
implications that all prospective transcendence-and-sublimity meaningfulness-and-teleology
as reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning must necessarily be wary of all such sophistry that
go on to emphasise logic as of the deficient destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-
performance and thus fails reification as of prospective existence-potency-prospective-
digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-
thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
ontological-contiguity of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in relative-ontological-
completeness, and not wrongfully imply its ontological-elevation as of common/mutual
logical-dueness implied ‘dialectical-thinking’ but rather realise the reality of its notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>
that speaks of its prospective ‘dementing’ and thus ontological-degradation. In other words
the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ points out that our
positivism/rational-empiricism induced science ideology and humanism ideology as
‘contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ is the outcome in reflecting the
ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and that any such

‘contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing’ is not of the appropriate ontological-veracity depth/perspective for contemplating prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as it inevitably enters into an epistememic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag given its relative-ontological-incompleteness that fails to put itself in question with regards to the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. This displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure further points out from the perspective in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ the underlying ontological-veracity of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics as it speaks of the human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as actually of an underlying coupling of dialectical-thinking constructiveness-of-ontological-performance as reflected by ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema’ and dialectically-dementing destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as reflected by ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing—qualia-schema’. Ultimately, human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics is the notion underlying human self-consciousness as of construction-of-the-Self all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-

framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology'. It all arises from the 'human capacity for decomplexified/uninhibited dementing' in order to then 'prospectively induce originally/as-of-event prospective dialectical-thinking'. In this regards, we can factor in for instance that more critically rather than construing the prospective reification of the humanities and philosophy for instance in terms of breakthroughs along the lines of say exceptional methods or capacity along the lines of our 'positivism-procrypticism contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing', the reality of any such transcendence-and-sublimity will rather be 'a more candid face-up with our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought' as herein implied by this author as of the notion of 'beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought institutional-being-and-craft, muddlement and other intellectual complexes/inhibitions' that structurally/paradigmatically as of a destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance cloud/undermine the potential for further intellectual emancipation, and so similar to the breakthrough that brought about budding positivism/rational-empiricism as of say the reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning Galilean gesturing paradigm based on the fact that looking in the telescope we can appreciate how the planets moved around the sun and as this budding positivism/rational-empiricism reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation was relayed by other budding positivists, and so over the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance of traditional medieval no-trouble disposition to perceive and take comfort in traditional scholasticism reasoning-from-results/afterthought pedantry as if critical reification will arise by that pathway. In other words, the possibility of all human prospective transcendence-and-sublimity arises not as we may naively construe vaguely as of exceptional occurrence on the basis of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness disposition but rather more concretely only after human

decomplexing/uninhibiting paradigmatic development ‘weaning humankind from its traditional complexes/inhibitions reasoning-from-results/afterthought conceptualising flaws’ that then brings about the corresponding existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness level for human emancipation as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness; and this is effectively reflected in all cases of human transcendence-and-sublimity. Whether of low or high emotional-involvement, it is inevitably the case that the paradigmatic/structural possibility for prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity ever always and has ever always involved or been-grounded-on-prior ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning recurrent shot for completeness as of successive reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwtness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation’ inducing the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure; as we can appreciate for instance that without the secondnatured institutionalisation arising as from the Galilean gesturing reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning highlighted above, there wouldn’t have been the human psychology reflected in the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of the resultant reasoning-from-results/afterthought later on in the 20th century to acquiesce to such breakthroughs like theory-of-relativity-together-with-quantum-mechanics—axiomatic-constructs with barely any social contestation. Thus psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, as of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics implied prospective dialectical-thinking and prior dialectical-dementing, is merely a reflection of the fact that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is ever always as of the very same overall purview that

is existence but then as of various state of huma relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought so-construed as registry-worldviews/dimensions, such that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is thus of lower to higher ontological-veracity/ontological-performance as of relative-ontological-completeness. Further as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence with human meaningfulness-and-teleology rather undertaken on the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality and thereof devolving as of existence-as-of-existential-instantiations, the implication is that human meaningfulness-and-teleology is thus ‘a-given-<formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence-<formative>epistemic-totalising-construct on existence-as-of-devolving-existential-instantiations’ as reflected in the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance of its given <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought-devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology; such that inherently the possibility of prospective virtue and prospective grander ontological-veracity/ontological-performance as required for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity beyond/superseding the given <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence registry-worldview/dimension ontological-veracity/ontological-performance as so-reflected in its ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ in its prospective relative-ontological-incompleteness cannot spontaneously arise without a displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness renewed mathesis/motif/thrownness-dispositon enabled prospective ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’. It is this <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence induced <formative>epistemic-

totalising/circumscribing/delineating nature of human meaningfulness-and-teleology that renders it necessarily an exercise of <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of existence-in-devolving-existential-instantiations; such that the construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of the given <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence registry-worldview/dimension ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’, as of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative. Thus the idea of a dialectical-thinking representation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective is operantly elicited as of the construal of the ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’, as of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative of the given <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence registry-worldview/dimension ‘implied and underlying background Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devolved institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as of its devolving living-development–as-to-personality-development’ reflecting its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought-devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology. Likewise, the idea of a dialectical-dementing representation of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective is operantly elicited as of

the prospective relative-ontological-completeness dialectical-thinking registry-
 worldview/dimension superseding construal of the said dialectical-dementing prior relative-
 ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview/dimension ‘dementing apriorising-
 teleological-thresholding-as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of
 contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’, as of <formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative implied ‘-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating dementing–qualia-schema’, so-reflected rather as from
 the prospective relative-ontological-completeness dialectical-thinking registry-
 worldview/dimension ‘deeper/more-profound implied and underlying background Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devolved institutional-development–as-to-
 social-function-development as of its devolving living-development–as-to-personality-
 development’ as of the prospective <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought-devolving
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, as superseding the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness
 dialectical-dementing registry-worldview/dimension ‘shallower implied and underlying
 background Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devolved
 institutional-development–as-to-social-function-development as of its devolving living-
 development–as-to-personality-development’ as of the prior <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-
 thought-devolving meaningfulness-and-teleology. More spontaneously, a dialectical-thinking
 representation is construed as of the projection to a given registry-worldview/dimension
 ‘ontological-depth framework of <formative>epistemic-
 totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narrative’ as of its ‘implied and underlying background

Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devolved institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development as of its devolving living-development—as-to-personality-development’, while a dialectical-dementing representation is construed as of the projection to the prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension ‘ontological-depth framework of <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating—narrative’ as of its ‘deeper/more-profound implied and underlying background Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devolved institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development as of its devolving living-development—as-to-personality-development’ in reflecting the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview/dimension ‘dementing <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating—narrative’ as of the latter’s ‘shallower implied and underlying background Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology devolved institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development as of its devolving living-development—as-to-personality-development’. This <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating elucidation about dialectical-thinking representation and dialectical-dementing representation as of human ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics implications underlies the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-

ontological-contiguity. However, from a traditional/modern/positivism history construal perspective, such a perceptive/astute historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing is hardly reflected as it tends to induce a naïve, flawed and incomplete representation of the past as being mainly as of the ‘cumulation of human dialectical-thinking representations <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating–narratives and as this is often further skewed towards the locus of the present registry-worldview/dimension (positivism/rational-empiricism) dialectical-thinking representation’, and thus in many ways failing to project fundamentally the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor and further fails to echo the metaphoricity/existential-ecstasy of the sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the ‘ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process dynamics of successive dialectical-thinking representation and dialectical-dementing representation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ reflected in ‘successive construction-of-the-Self underlying the sublimating historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of successive self-consciousness for meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation trepidatious-selfconsciousness, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation warped-selfconsciousness, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism preclusive-selfconsciousness, our present positivism–procrypticism occlusive-selfconsciousness and prospective deprocrypticism protensive-selfconsciousness; with this underlying a poor conception of human psychology that poorly and hardly recognises the transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting veracity of human constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as of relevance to prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge-reification. This comprehensive

elucidation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness and
 human-subpotency implications of ontological-performance articulated above, can more fully
 be abstracted to reflect the overall ‘effecting-phenomenality underlying existence and
 existential-manifestations’. The implied underlying singularisation/epistemic-
 immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism of existence as of existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism notionally/epistemically reflecting the
 ecstatic singularity of existence speaks of the imbued structural/paradigmatic unity of the
 reflected existential sublimation manifestations. Such an ecstatic singularity of existence is
 what renders intelligibility possible as of the ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-
 of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-
 enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by
 underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness
 besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human). This
 ecstatic singularity of existence is its primordial ineffability, as beyond any
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence appraisal but then enabling the
 meaningfulness-and-teleology validatory possibility of any such state of
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence by way of ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. The ecstatic singularity of
 existence is the very shepherding/ushering/heralding possibility for existence’s intelligibility.

Thus the supervening unity of all existential sublimation manifestations arises as of their notional-conflatedness intelligibility derived from the primordial ineffability of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human); and this primordial ineffability is thus the epistemic guidance for the construal of intelligibility in all existential sublimation manifestations. This never failing ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), as shepherding/ushering/heralding the possibility of intelligibility to arise, is ‘the outstanding/in-waiting/in-abeyance/in-pending of existence as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness that is perpetually stood out’ for ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ reflexively including the-human-conceptualising-subpotency-as-human-subpotency to engage with it as of both affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking

and unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing in order to generate intelligibility as of varying ontological-performances as validated or invalidated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. This very intertwining of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework potential implications with ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence’ is the metaphoricity/ecstasy of existence in its supervening notional-conflatedness intelligibility. This basically captures the very notions of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism as can be reflected in explicating ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence’ ontological-veracity/ontological-performances as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework potential sublimating-over-desublimating implications of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, as stood out outstanding/in-waiting/in-abeyance/in-pending. Thus existence can be construed more succinctly as of an epistemic unity reflected theoretically, conceptually and operantly in ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’ as of existence’s supervening-conflatedness intelligibility of

phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>, and so-reflected as of the ‘overall metaphoricity/ecstasy panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence’ (panintelligibility here is simply about the ‘overall epistemically phenomenal/manifest reifying and empowering reflexivity in conflatedness of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> speaking of ecstatic-existence as-the-absolute-a-priori’, and not panpsychism as to imply constitutedness of universal intelligibility as of a universal mind) wherein inherent existence’s ecstatic supervening-conflatedness is the phenomenal/manifest metaphoricity/ecstasy of intelligibility as panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence. Such an epistemic notion as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation conceives of ontological-veracity/ontological-performances of ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence’ as of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting veracity on the basis of the latter inherently implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment reflected as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective. Existence’s metaphoricity/ecstasy of ‘intelligibility as panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-

reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence with regards to all phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence' rather points to the ontological-veracity of its conflatedness (and not constitutedness as is easily mistaken from an ontologically-flawed <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human-subpotency perspective projecting as if of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/ontological-completeness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism), with the phenomenal/manifest metaphoricity/ecstasy of existence rather arising as of supervening-conflatedness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity defining 'phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness-reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-thrownness-in-existence' given 'apriorising-teleological-thresholding-as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness' as of constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance; as so-reflected as of the supervening purviews underlying conventional subject-matters as from the natural sciences to the social sciences and humanities. Thus existence's metaphoricity/ecstasy supervening-conflatedness underlying human-subpotency ontological purviews of existence intelligibility as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated-human-subpotency-epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation is more than just of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting veracity in the construal of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness-and-teleology, it equally speaks of a

presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness historicity-tracing ever always
 confounded between ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–
 reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising–
 thrownness-in-existence’ construal in constitutedness as of alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-
 objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic and
 ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-
 potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ construal
 as of conflatedness in authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism;
 wherein overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-
 existence—imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation speaks of ontologically-veridical
 conflatedness ever always bounded with ontologically-flawed constitutedness, and so
 beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-
 unthought. Thus ontologically-veridical conflatedness as constructiveness-of-ontological-
 performance and ontologically-flawed constitutedness as destructuring-threshold-of-
 ontological-performance, with regards to ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-
 conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ determination, can be effectively determinable
 ecstatically/metaphorically by way of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting projective
 insight as of ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-
 in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-
 existence’ given ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-
 framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’. This further reflects

the notion that with regards to human-subpotency as to human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor what is veridically
 ever as of absolute certitude is ‘prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-
 veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
 seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 and ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-
 misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought meaningfulness-and-teleology’, construed
 respectively ‘as of equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration as inducing
 prospective <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism
 as ontologically-veridical constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ and ‘as of
 covert pretence of equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration as inducing
 prospective destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as
 ontologically-flawed destructuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and thereof, what is ever
 of absolute incertitude is ontologically-veridical identitive meaningfulness-and-teleology as
 this is ever always in need for its prospective recuperation/recovery as from prospective
 relative-ontological-completeness induced ‘dialectical-thinking as of apriorising-teleological-
 elevation-in-notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-
 thinking—qualia-schema>’ superseding prior relative-ontological-incompleteness induced
 ‘dementing as of apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
 discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>’. Thus what is particular
 about the deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as preempting—disjointedness-as-
 of-reference-of-thought is that it is ‘beyond just a constraining institutionalisation

secondnaturing articulation of a reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought’ by which the
 human mindset can be attached to mechanically as of reasoning-from-results/afterthought
 while displaying <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-
 form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of such
 reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-
 aestheticisation, but necessarily implies as of its organic-knowledge implications a
 secondnaturing ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implicated
 convergence of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning in the elicited deprocrypticism
 reasoning-from-results/afterthought reflected as of a conception of deprocrypticism that is
 more than just its reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation but is reflexive of the assimilation of the ‘intemporal
 seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence
 with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’ behind the reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning inducing the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. In this
 regards, throughout the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process
 ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, the requisite
 dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-
 factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-prot Humanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-
 humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to
 supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-

of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as
of ‘prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-
fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-
as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-
reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-
aspiration ontological-performance’ has always ever come off against the eliciting-of-
immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for <formative>wooden-
language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications> disposition as of ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-
flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought
meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—
antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance’; and so as temporal/sycophantic-sophistic
social-stake-contention-or-confliction beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought disposition to stifle the transformative
implications of prospective human transcendence-and-sublimity. The inevitability of a
projection for the ‘universalising-idealisation coherence of contemplation’ as of dispensing-
with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-
distension associated with the Socratic/Platonic/Aristotelian individual emancipation as of
universalising-idealisation was effectively in reaction to the sophists—ideal-type-or-
individuation eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification
for <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>

disposition by their ‘warped/twisted ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled-as-of-their-non-universalising-syllogising’, with Socrates not giving in to such apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> as of his symbolic asceticism even at the risk of his life; budding positivism projection as of Copernicus/Galileo/Descartes dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension over medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> disposition as of medieval tradition and pedantry; with all such efforts for human emancipation eliciting from the perspective of their times as dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension like ending Slavery and the Slave-Trade in the United States involving the American civil war or the French Revolution for instance, meeting with sophistic/pedantic eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>

dispositions like ‘in many ways the slaves lives are better off than their kindreds in the darkness of Africa or that their conditions will be worse off when freed’, that ‘the toll of the American civil war was unnecessary’, or ‘in many ways the outcome of the French Revolution was far worse than was worth the struggle’. In all these instances, the sophists as of its existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought with respect to social-stake-

contention-or-confliction are ever always inclined to eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-dereification for <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
 implications> disposition, and when the outcome of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
 dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension accrue prospectively the sophists react as if ‘human
 progress occurs anyway’ as the idea of a human existential tale perpetuation and its
 implications is alien to the sophists since all that counts is the immediate now and its
 temporal/mortal social-stake-contention-or-confliction interests; and worst still, human
 limited-mentation-capacity in inducing prospectively relative-ontological-completeness as of
 the weaknesses associated in all human transcendence-and-sublimity is held by the sophists
 against any such reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning for transcendence-and-sublimity.
 Inherently, while the intemporal projection coherence of reasoning-through/messianic-
 reasoning spans the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as the
 ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, what is
 peculiar about sophistry is that the whole tale of humanity starts-and-ends by their given
 registry-worldview/dimension and other registry-worldviews/dimensions are just other ones
 and have nothing to say about the present one as of an overall human tale, as the threat of
 rationalising the implications of such a human existential tale perpetuation may jeopardise
 their present social-stake-contention-or-confliction temporal interests; and this pattern of
 sophistic/pedantic interpretation is the same at each and every given registry-
 worldview/dimension as it is obviously not oblivious to the reasoning-through/messianic-
 reasoning which organic-contemplation spans registry-worldviews/dimensions and identifies

the nature of the sophistic/pedantic inclination in each and every one of the registry-worldviews/dimensions. Inevitably thus since the possibility for human ideal as of prospective transcendence-and-sublimity implications necessarily involves a parrhesiastic reifying gesture of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension which is ‘never always the easiest of notion’ for human <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> disposition, especially as this often always implies the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject, it is inevitably the case that such ideal as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ has to reckon with the temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction human sophistry eliciting-of-immediacy-as-of-relative-ontological-incompleteness-dereification for <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> disposition meant at stifling the possibility for prospective transcendence-and-sublimity, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. In all such instances as was realised by universalising-idealisation philosophers Socrates/Plato/Aristotle as well as budding positivists, the notion of dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence is not a given, and as the sophists commit to sophistry the genuine intellectual holds it against the sophists to imply they are effectively of ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-

aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>’ rather than ‘apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity’ to avoid wrongly implying dialogical-equivalence, as the latter notion only arises as of mutual apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in relative-ontological-completeness as of the underlying registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-apriorising-rule; as there can be no genuine contention between a universalising-idealisation mindset and a sophistic/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset or a positivising/rational-empiricism mindset and medieval pedantic/dogmatic mindset, if just for the mere sake of preserving and avoiding the denaturing of the universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology or positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology. This is more critically the case as the fact is the possibility for prospective human emancipation is exactly the most difficult thing for humankind to countenance, and that is exactly why the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds arise in the first place; and the sophistic/pedantic treachery/muddlement/acting-out of usurping such difficult quest for its temporal social-stake-contention-or-confliction has always been addressed not by a faulty pretence of mutually objectifying intellection between genuine intellectualism and sophistry, which is of flawed epistemic-veracity and thus ontological-veracity, but rather a blunt parrhesiastic disavowal of such sophistic/pedantic treachery/muddlement/acting-out for what it essentially is; as with the universalising-idealisation philosophers not wasting their time in pretence of engaging the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation of ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset or the budding positivists/rational-empiricists dismissing off-hand pedantic scholasticism. The habituated idea of dialogue/dialogical-equivalence arises as of the mental-reflex that ordinarily all meaningfulness-and-teleology as of a given registry-worldview/dimension is grounded on the same

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 notwithstanding the existential-instantiation soundness or unsoundness of its devolving
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring. But where in the instance of
 dissimilar apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument,
 despite our habituation, dialogue/dialogical-equivalence as of ‘apriorising-teleological-
 degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>’ does not avail as of epistemic-veracity and thus
 ontological-veracity as of the ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>’
 closed <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in prior relative-ontological-
 incompleteness which rather warrants psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective relative-ontological-completeness. This
 is akin to the mathematician opened to mutual calculating even where one could produce a
 wrong solution as of aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring flawed
 ontological-performance but this only holds with the mathematical
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument spirit for
 engaging genuinely and naturally in the calculations; where that
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument spirit is lost,
 fundamentally the notion of mutual calculating is then ontologically and epistemically
 flawed. Ultimately, the notion of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ontological-veracity is
 about the ‘reasoning-through transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ of contentions for the determination of existence-
 potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-

framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity; and it is rather different from a sovereign construct grounded on sovereign choice whether there is ontological-veracity or ontological-impertinence. The human existential tale as ‘humanity project’ has ever always been one of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as implied in the ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. The secondnatured institutionalisation constructs as of sovereign institutions and establishment frameworks are ‘not to be necessarily-and-absolutely considered as knowledge reifying frameworks’, as could falsely be implied by cohorting sovereign institutions and establishments surreptitiously usurping the knowledge-reification role and as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought surreptitiously defining what can be thought or not thought. The fact is such implied underpinning-suprasocial-constructs are mainly secondnatured whether as sovereign representation or establishment constructs, and can easily be caught up in their own <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in prior relative-ontological-incompleteness with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction and are thus not the absolutising framework of human meaningfulness-and-teleology, as the social knowledge-reification role must always be opened to ‘intemporal individuation ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as of the

possibility of its arising in any humans and in whatever specific purviews of existence, as this is what is instigative of ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; as it is only by the latter process that the ‘suprasocial obsession/myopism as of a given registry-worldview/dimension social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ can be superseded, as of reconstruing recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather as of base-institutionalisation, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather as of universalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather as of positivism, and prospectively positivism—procrypticism underpinning—suprasocial-construct rather as of deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. We can appreciate in this regards that the universalising-idealisation philosophers and budding positivists trajectory of contemplation were actually counterintuitive to what their respective underpinning—suprasocial-construct construed as human progress and the possibility for human progress. The naivety of referring to the underpinning—suprasocial-construct conventioning-referencing as of its framework of establishments and sovereign institutions as if this was absolutely substitutive of ontology as of prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ induced as of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic
askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’, is
nothing but <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag which obviously doesn’t register/is-
unaccounted internally because (but from the existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-

<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism deprocrpticism perspective)
 structurally/paradigmatically ‘no registry-worldview/dimension has the eyes to see of its
 defective ontological-performance as it surreptitiously implies that it is absolute beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought’.

The fact is, it is this possibility of the universalising-idealisation philosophers
 Socrates/Plato/Aristotle and the budding positivists putting into question their conventioning-
 referencing meaningfulness-and-teleology and value that allows for prospective
 institutionalisation to arise as of universalising-idealisation and positivism/rational-
 empiricism respectively. In this regards, it is important to grasp that what is peculiar about
 the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions is the sense that these as of their immediacy
 disposition are very much cognisant of the Being-development/ontological-framework-
 expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology leading to the establishment of their given registry-worldviews/dimensions
 over which their conventioning-referencing is setup but then tend to fail to construe of their
 prospective possibility of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-
 of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology; and in this
 regards, we can appreciate that the pre-Socratic world very much construed of critical
 ontological insights that went into their various conventioning-referencing like say the
 Ancient Egyptians with their conventioning-referencing mobilising ontological insights much
 more obviously with the building of pyramids, the Persians mobilising their ontological
 insights in empire building, etc. but unlike these relatively cosmopolitan lands with greater
 technical and knowledge potential, it was the smaller and rustic Greece and specifically
 Athens that contemplated of prospective Being-development/ontological-framework-

expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology with the emergence of universalising-idealisation over ancient mythologies and
 cultism, likewise the medieval Europe scholasticism was the height of this universalising-
 idealisation as of its establishment and religious conventioning-referencing but it took
 budding positivists to come up with the prospect of renewed Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, and likewise it is the case that our conventioning-referencing
 is rather predisposed to construe of our elaborate positivism/rational-empiricism as
 absolutising and hardly countenancing of its own effort for prospective Being/ontological-
 framework-expansion. It is herein contended that, as of the implications of Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, that in many ways just as the manifestation
 of postlogism-slantedness associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as of non-
 positivism whether as of animistic or medieval social-setups, was difficultly amenable to
 address as of their given underlying muddlement of social-stake-contention-or-confliction
 associated fundamentally with their overall <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
 implications> and underpinning—suprasocial-construct meaningfulness-and-teleology
 integration of their given non-positivism and superstition, in many ways the manifestation of
 psychopathy and social psychopathy in our positivism—procrypticism is equally subject to our
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> and
 underpinning—suprasocial-construct underlying disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

muddlement of social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of our uninstitutionalised-threshold;
 and in both instances insightfully point to underlying reference-of-thought relative-
 ontological-incompleteness at destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance which is
 the grander issue of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as to the fact that fundamentally
 prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension supersedes-and-deflates the vices-and-
 impediments of non-positivism as of animism or medievalism and thereof their devolving
 associated manifestations of non-positivism and specific superstitious nature as well as the
 idea that prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 supersedes-and-deflates the overall vices-and-impediments of our positivism—
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought underlying the devolving social
 manifestation of psychopathy and social psychopathy. Thus the practice of construing
 absolutely the <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of any given
 registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness like our positivism—
 procrypticism speaks of a loss of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ to the given registry-worldview/dimension
 conventioning-referencing. In this regards, we can appreciate that our own projection of
 prospective deprocrypticism implied Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—
 as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 as of its prospective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism
 will construe of our present positivism—procrypticism conventioning-referencing as
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism to be more than
 just as of our traditional, cultural and aesthetic idiosyncratic habituations grounded on our

positivism–procrpticism underlying reference-of-thought that more or less suppresses the possibility of prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and equally garner that just as the sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation of ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset and medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation never factored in that their respective supposedly presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness construal of ontology as sophistic/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising and medieval scholastic pedantry were to be reconstrued as rather being of contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing respectively by Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation and budding positivists as of their respective prospective parrhesiastic revaluation of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; likewise, our supposedly positivism–procrpticism presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness construal of ontology as reflected in present subject-matters in many ways will be reconstrued as contingent-ontology—as-of-conventioning-referencing as of deprocrpticism implied prospective parrhesiastic revaluation of ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. As such deprocrpticism ontology as ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ reflects that: our philosophising should rather be able to conceptualise its epistemic-emanence as a holistic conflatedness reifying of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising–purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting retrospective-to-prospective implications of relative-

ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought underlying the ontological-
 dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics in reflecting the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process and as such construal of
 philosophy is rather considered as morphing as of human division of labour into the disparate
 subject-matter purviews-of-construal-of-existence reification and so in reflection of
 existence's supervening-conflatedness, and with all human meaningfulness-and-teleology
 remaining of philosophical epistemic-veracity relevance as of deprocrypticism/preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
 epistemic-determinism as implied as of suprastructuralism/postmodernism rejection of
 science ideology for science-in-practice and rejection of humanism ideology for authentic
 human emancipation as of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-
 constructivism-towards-singularisation; psychology fails ontologically when it naively and
 wrongly construe of our given positivism—procrypticism relative-ontological-incompleteness-
 of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism as being of
 ontological-normalcy to go on to imply a practice of reification of psychological traits is what
 is emancipatory of the human condition with the implication that any given registry-
 worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought say
 animistic or medieval could just as well be considered in ontological-normalcy and that what
 is emancipatory of the human condition is the reification of psychological traits as of its
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence <formative>epistemic-totalising—
 self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaningfulness-and-
 teleology despite the supposed deficiency of its given meaningfulness-and-teleology in
 relative-ontological-incompleteness, thus failing to grasp that the more decisive
 transformation of the human subject is the displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject as
 of construction-of-the-Self in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-

institutionalisation-process underlined as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 {formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal}
 antiakrasiatic disposition since this is effectively what paradigmatically/structurally by the
 induced ontological-performance enables the superseding-and-deflating of the overall
 individual and social vices-and-impediments arising as of the relative-ontological-
 incompleteness of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions; and wherein our conception of
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing turns out to be rather
 skewed towards our positivism–procrysticism <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective with the
 implication of history considered mainly as of succession of dialectical-thinking
 representations inducing a loss of authentic-and-profound contemplative human projection
 both retrospectively and prospectively, as can be more pertinently be derived as of
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing ontologically-
 hegemonising-narrative implications reflecting the dynamics of human dialectical-thinking
 representation and dialectical-dementing representation as of human ontological-
 dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributional-dialectics, as such
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing can very much inherently
 grasp the metaphoricity of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as implied by its
 ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of
 contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’, since ‘individual-collective-and-
 social constructiveness-of-ontological-performance or deconstructing-threshold-of-ontological-
 performance as of any given registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought-and-
 reference-of-thought-devolving is of teleological/narrative
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing determinism’ so-construed as from prospective registry-
 worldview/dimension existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-

totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-
 epistemic-determinism edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity for dialectical-thinking representation and dialectical-dementing
 representation; and wherein the in-effect supervening-conflatedness of
 phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-
 potency-of-existence> with existence speaks of existence’s ecstatic singularity as so-reflected
 as of notional-deprocripticism singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-
 determinism of meaningfulness-and-teleology in conceptualising ‘true-ontology—as-of-
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Ultimately, Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology points to the fundamental dialecticism of
 human meaningfulness-and-teleology; as to the fact that the human is that which is in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence as of recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by its reference-of-thought
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument but then is
 warranted to ontologically-complete itself successively as of base-institutionalisation,
 universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocripticism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. The human
 then is what is warranted to reconstrue Rousseauian perfectibility out of its
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence flawed constructiveness-of-

ontological-performance as of its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance, as it
 can't pretend to avoid this purposefulness as it is, as of its any presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness state, the outcome of such purposefulness as relayed with the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. This coherently explains
 the inevitability of human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ for originary/as-of-event reasoning-
 through/messianic-reasoning prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought; as when the organic-knowledge avails it is much more than just an idea of choice but
 rather an obligation as of the implied inherently antiakrasiatic disposition that can't afford to
 overlook as if lacking the organic-knowledge for degrading into <formative>epistemic-
 totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existential-
 extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. When the dialecticism of human meaningfulness-and-
 teleology as of its prospective ontological-performance implications as of virtue at
 constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and vices-and-impediments at destructuring-
 threshold-of-ontological-performance shows itself to be definitely determinable and is no
 longer the bigger issue for prospective human emancipation but rather the bigger issue
 becoming one of human psychological cognisance and adjustment to any such prospective
 emancipatory meaningfulness-and-teleology as so-reflected across the successive registry-
 worldviews/dimensions transcendence-and-sublimity. The underlying difficulty of all such
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is all about how
 can a mindset adjusted as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence as
 of its given <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for construing
 meaningfulness-and-teleology in <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
 thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
 of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> ever
 gets prodded into contemplating an opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 speaking supposedly of more ontologically profound prospective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as implied as of prior transcendences from recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation, etc. But then as all along the successive
 registry-worldviews/dimensions transcendences, such a parrhesiastic exercise is ever always
 caught up between accommodating human temporality/shortness and existence-potency-
 prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness which knows of no such accommodation
 for human temporality, inevitably the existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness transcendental-enabling/sublimating implications necessarily comes
 ahead of human temporality/shortness emotional convenience. The certitude and
 determination of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as from this hindsight, as so-reflected
 from singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as of prospective
 deprocrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology, will necessarily imply dementing
 implications of edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to
 our positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology as dissingularisation/epistemic-
 nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism even as we are thereby emotionally

inconvenienced, just as singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism as from our positivism perspective of meaningfulness-and-teleology will necessarily imply dementing implications of edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to prior non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology as dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism even as we can appreciate the emotional inconvenience of the non-positivism/medievalism establishment mental-dispositions. Existence’s metaphoricity/ecstasy supervening-conflatedness as of ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ given ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ speak of transepistemic/epistemic-ricochetting edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of organic-knowledge in reflecting both singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism-as-of-intemporality and dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism-as-of-temporality implications of meaningfulness-and-teleology veridical ontological-performance or ontologically-flawed ontological-performance respectively, as of both the reference-of-thought-level disambiguation in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process and the reference-of-thought-devolving-level disambiguation as of temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performances; wherein singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism is rather ‘a psychoanalytically dragged-out depth/profoundness of ontological-conception’ as of dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension whilst dissingularisation/epistemic-

nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism is rather ‘a psychoanalytically dragged-in shallowness of ontological-misconception’ as of poor dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension. Ultimately, existence’s metaphoricity/ecstasy as of supervening-conflatedness reflected in ‘<formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>’ as to their ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ points to the supervening-conflatedness reflexivity of existence, wherein the ontological-veracity/ontological-performance of ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ phenomena/manifestations are transepistemically/epistemic-ricochettingly construed as of their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as can be validated by existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; as for instance, such an existential constraining as a child-as-a-subpotency epistemic-conception coming into existence undergoes developmental metaphoricity as of its inherent supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as the defining-and-superseding basis for its acquisition of culture and language all along the way of its entire devolving possibility of flourishing in conflatedness-as-of-its-developing-commitment-with-existence as from its feeding, warmth, relating, aspiring, maturing, etc. towards the effective acquisition of culture and language, and by extension a social-setup-as-a-subpotency epistemic-conception is structurally/paradigmatically opened to prospective metaphoricity from existential-constraining/conflatedness-of-its-commitment-with-existence as of its inherently implied

supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as with individuals and social groups are naturally involved in a dynamic relationship of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction striving in conflatedness to draw in various ways the optimum as of perceived existential possibilities such that a social-setup is already involved internally however restricted in its very own reinvention/circumventing/adaptation as of its implied supposedly coherent ontological-commitment on the basis of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validatory implications as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. Basically it is this supervening-conflatedness reflexivity of existence as of the ‘phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> in <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ phenomena/manifestations shepherded/ushered/heralded as of existential constraining by their supposedly coherent ontological-commitment that reflects phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> ‘epistemic-conception framework of ontologically-veridical ontological-performance as-of-conflatedness as existentially-real or ontologically-flawed ontological-performance as-of-constitutedness as existentially-unreal’; summing overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation reflected in the supervening-conflatedness of phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence>. Going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, the human construction-of-the-Self as of its constructiveness-of-ontological-performance and destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-

performance is ever always saddled between ‘prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration’ and ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance’, when it comes to the ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. This fundamental saddling of the human construction-of-the-Self as of ‘a fixed/set framework of existentially-constraining possibility of temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance’ can be referred to as the ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’, and construed as the beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought that arises as of human lack of ‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’.

The ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ thus refers to any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance ‘specific bottomline—of-mere-mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’, beyond which bottomline—of-mere-mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance allows/disregards/unaccounts for human temporal shiftiness as defining its prospective destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance, and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought; and this is exactly what explains the differentiation of registry-worldviews/dimensions as of their relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ structurally/paradigmatically defines the given ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflected as of singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of a given registry-worldview/dimension implied as of its ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ temporal-to-intemporal ontological-performance. Thus the requisite profoundness/depth of prospective human ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ as reflected at the prospective superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension, as from existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism perspective, can only arise fundamentally as of the prospective construction-of-the-Self renewed secondnatured institutionalisation ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflected as of singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology' arising from renewed 'intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) for prospective relative-ontological-completeness' in undermining the prior registry-worldview's/dimension's 'shiftiness-of-the-Self' that defines its destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance as uninstitutionalised-threshold; and thus moving the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process bar of 'shiftiness-of-the-Self' to the prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance 'specific bottomline—of-mere-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self'. Thus we can appreciate fundamentally that, as reflected in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, human 'prospective intemporal-as-ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration' over 'temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance', has ever always been more critically about the 'existentially-operant constraining' for: moving the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process bar of

‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ to the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-
 thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance ‘specific bottomline—of-mere-
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-
 teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’ in order to undermine human
 destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance; rather than truly eliminating human
 ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ arising from the ever always present human ‘temporal/sophistic-as-
 ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-
 disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-
 results/afterthought meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-pretence-of-
 equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance’. Thus the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of the successive
 registry-worldviews/dimensions given ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflected as of
 singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ arising from renewed ‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition
 for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-
 reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 ⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) for
 prospective relative-ontological-completeness’, in the restructuring/reparadigming of human
 ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction’, can be interpreted as moving the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process bar of ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ to the prospective registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance
 ‘specific bottomline—of-mere-mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition for the constructiveness
 of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’: so-construed as

from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation non-rules ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’; base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’; universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’; positivism–procrypticism positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’, and prospectively deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules notionally overcoming ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’. We can appreciate in this regards that both for the individual and the social, the capacity to ‘spontaneously’ be able to articulate ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ as in the prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension is fundamentally hampered by its given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance ‘specific bottomline-of-mere-mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’ due to its corresponding lack of ‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ that can then allow for the requisite ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflected as of singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology’. In this regard, we can more specifically appreciate the central

and transformative implications of the Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation as of the prospective universalisation registry-worldview/dimension ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, wherein such prospective ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ as induced by the Socratic philosophers universalising-idealisation construed as universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules inducing the secondnatured institutionalisation of the universalisation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance ‘specific bottomline–of-mere-mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’ brought about the coherently universalising construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology with the associated elevated level of ontological-performance as manifested with the Socratic method for universal consistency and coherence, Plato’s ideas for universal consistency and coherence and Aristotle’s qualifying-categories and universalising-syllogism for universal consistency and coherence; thus superseding/transcending the ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and sophistic/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset as of base-institutionalisation mere rulemaking-over-non-rules ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’. This is the more profound explanation for the hegemonising ontological-grip thereafter of the Socratic philosophers defining universalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology thereafter over the antiquity and their defining relevance in the latter meaningfulness-and-teleology of all the medieval societies of the Mediterranean and beyond, and so especially as the increasing population mixing thereafter particularly with the Roman empire naturally required/called-for ‘universally coherent, consistent and credible meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that went well beyond traditional ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and sophistic/pedantic ad-

hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset; as of the knowledge reifying capacity-and-template for developing and cumulating such universalising-idealisation coherence and consistency across culturally diverse peoples and across space and time. The Socratic philosophers crucial and defining emphasis for differentiating themselves from sophists—ideal-type-or-individuation was very much a self-conscious insight as of the requisite parrhesiastic gesturing of ‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension (as of human self-surpassing—existentialism-form-factor,-in-overcoming-‘notionally-collateralising-protohumanity’-to-‘attain-sublimating-humanity’,-as-to-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness to supersede human temporality/shortness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>) as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ to allow for the requisite universalising-idealisation ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflected as of singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology’; which otherwise would be highly underminable as of a predisposition to ad-hoc mysticism, ad-hoc cultism and sophistic/pedantic ad-hoc/makeshift/nonprincipled–syllogising mindset by which populist <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> could easily be elicited were the Socratic philosophers

to imply dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence as of common/mutual aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring whereas in reality there were of dissimilar apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as to imply such sophistic/pedantic dispositions were rather in ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>’, and it was more critically a question of upholding universalising-idealisation reifying meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity over time. By the same token, the mathesis-universalis of budding positivists/rational-empiricists positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ for the prospective positivism registry-worldview/dimension ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ induced the requisite ‘intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal} for prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ allowing for the requisite ‘edginess/incisiveness—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument reflected as of singularisation-as-of-intemporality/dissingularisation-as-of-temporality of the meaningfulness-and-teleology’ for the secondnatured institutionalisation of prospective positivism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance ‘specific bottomline-of-mere-mathesis/motif/thrownness-disposition for the

constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self'. Here too, the budding positivists/rational-empiricists were very much aware of the lack of dialogical-equivalence and intellectual-and-moral-equivalence as of common/mutual aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as of their dissimilar apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as to imply underlying medieval-scholasticism-pedants—ideal-type-or-individuation establishment dogmatism was rather in 'apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>', and that it would be more critically a question of upholding the budding positivism/rational-empiricism reifying meaningfulness-and-teleology as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity over time as effected ultimately with the hegemonising ontological-grip of such positivism/rational-empiricism renewed and more profound meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that rendered possible the knowledge existential-contextualising-contiguity reifying capacity-and-template for the transformative development-and-cumulation of modern science and liberal society. Thus what is transformatively critical with regards to 'intemporal antiakrasiatic disposition for dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) for prospective relative-ontological-completeness' in inducing the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive secondnatured institutionalisation of

prospective ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ construed as of prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance ‘specific bottomline-of-mere-mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition for the constructiveness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of specific construction-of-the-Self’, is that with regards to ‘social-construction of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ the individual and the collective-social adopt increasingly ‘deeper-mutualising-leeway-of-nonimmediacy-of-self-consciousness(dispensing-with-immediacy-for-relative-ontological-completeness-by-reification/contemplative-distension)’—successively-‘in-superseding-the-immediacy-disposition-for-trepidatiousness-of-self-consciousness’-with-base-institutionalisation-over-recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation,-‘in-superseding-the-immediacy-disposition-for-tendentiousness-of-self-consciousness’-with-universalisation-over-base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation,-‘in-superseding-the-immediacy-disposition-for-preclusiveness-of-self-consciousness’-with-positivism/rational-empiricism-over-universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism,-and-prospectively,-‘in-superseding-the-immediacy-disposition-for-occlusiveness-of-self-consciousness’-with-deprocrypticism-over-positivism-procrypticism-‘in-attaining-the-nonimmediacy-disposition-for-protensiveness-of-self-consciousness’ (which as deprocrypticism is construed as ‘projective-totalitative’ with regards to the human-subpotency potential to converge to existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as of opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument); and so, as of successive profundity of edginess/incisiveness—of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implied in <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought for prospectively ‘increasingly profound and complex meaningfulness-and-teleology

infrastructure as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
 ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, institutional-
 development—as-to-social-function-development and living-development—as-to-personality-
 development’ as enabling-and-reflected successively in more and more sophisticated and
 elaborate social-setup and institutional constructs. Basically, human destructuring-threshold-
 of-ontological-performance as highlighted as of the constructiveness-and-destructuring-
 framework of ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ and as reflected in any given registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance
 ‘specific bottomline—of-mere-mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition for the constructiveness
 of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its specific construction-of-the-Self’ arises as of
 destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity, so-construed as of
 dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism induced
 deratiocination-or-deratiocontiguity; wherein as of flawed edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity, dialectical-dementing representation is wrongly
 singularised/immanent while dialectical-thinking representation is wrongly
 dissingularised/not-immanent. This actually points out why dialogical-
 inequivalence/intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence as of ‘apriorising-teleological-
 degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>’ is associated with sophistic/pedantic
 representations as knowledge as well as temporal manifestations of postlogism-slantedness
 and conjugated-postlogism manifestations including psychopathy and social-psychopathy as
 of the positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview. While as of human-subpotency temporal
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag we may be inclined to construe of the notion of dialogical-equivalence as absolutely requisite, the fact is dialogical-equivalence cannot supersede existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidations implications where its eliciting is structurally/paradigmatically flawed for the simple reason that knowledge as of implied underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity is all about existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness and not about human sovereignty; in the sense that for instance gravity on earth as 9.8 m/s^2 doesn't heed to any human sovereignty exercise as of dialogue as the latter is only as pertinent as it structurally/paradigmatically implies an intermediative process for the deferred-outcome as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness but not otherwise, and as being subpotent with existence it is the human that has to ensure that its meaningfulness-and-teleology coincides with existential veracity, such that where dialogical-equivalence is wrongly implied and thus likely to undermine existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness what gives in is the false notion of dialogical-equivalence. This is equally reflected in the idea that the edginess/incisiveness—of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather as of the implication of relative-ontological-completeness associated with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-

<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) from the perspective of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness as-to-ontologically-uncompromised-ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/referentialism rather construed as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism, and not identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism flawed projection of edginess/incisiveness—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by ‘mere formulaic psychologising effect’, without ontological-veracity for the manifested formulaic psychologising, due to the failure to factor in relative-ontological-incompleteness as of shallow human limited-mentation-capacity

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. Thus edginess/incisiveness—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as of the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising—

purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or

<formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved—purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-

reality/ontological-veridicality, rather points to the fact that meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘is

not to be construed as accumulated/in-accumulation’ but that it is effectively ‘as

recomposured in prospective relative-ontological-completeness’ as of <formative>epistemic-

totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought since existence or purviews-of-

existence ever always structurally/paradigmatically remain the same and it is human-

subpotency that is ever always undergoing its transcendence-and-sublimity not by cumulating

but rather by ‘recomposuring construal of existence or purviews-of-existence’; and this further explains why secondnature institutionalisation reasoning-from-results/afterthought, induced as from parrhesiastic messianic-reason/reasoning-through, will tend to act as if meaningfulness-and-teleology is accumulated/in-accumulation thus ending up beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought

‘instigating enframed
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument institutional-
setups and meaningfulness-and-teleology implications that are poorly amenable to
<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought’, and so
structurally/paradigmatically limiting the possibility of prospective human transcendence-
and-sublimity but for the instigation of prospective parrhesiastic messianic-reason/reasoning-
through beyond/overflowing such enframing. Critically just as ‘prospective intemporal-as-
ontologically-veridical/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
reality parrhesiastic seeding-promise of reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning
meaningfulness-and-teleology as equivalence/correspondence antiakrasiatic-aspiration
ontological-performance’ is associated with edginess/incisiveness—of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of
affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-
over unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of
prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity, likewise it is the case that ‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-
 flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—
 reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—
 antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance’ is associated with ‘ontologically-flawed
 denaturing of edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ construed
 herein as of ‘pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness’; as to the fact that ‘pseudo-
 edginess/pseudo-incisiveness’, whether actively projected or passively insinuated as of
 vocalisation/interjection/expletive intensification, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
 teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought is bound to wrongly imply the
 ontological-veracity of the ‘pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness implied
 edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as if as of
 affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-
 validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking of prospective relative-ontological-completeness
 over unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-
 logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing of
 prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity. Pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness as such exploits the natural and
 habitual human mental-reflex as of any given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-

of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance to systemically imply and attribute dialogical-equivalence with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction as of ‘apriorising-teleological-elevation-in-ontological-contiguity’. While this mental-reflex is usually valid in most circumstances, however, in the specific circumstances of pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness manifestation this is ontologically-flawed as the latter is in effect rather in ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>’ invalidating any such pretence of dialogical-equivalence. Thus this rather undermines the natural and habitual human mental-reflex where it wrongly construes of the vocalisation/interjection/expletive intensification associated with such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness as speaking of profound affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking that is beyond contention-as-certain. Thus inducing destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity as of the pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness manifestation of dissingularisation/epistemic-nonimmanence/flawed-epistemic-determinism instigated destructuring-transitoriness-as-of-deratiocination/deratiocontiguity rather in dialectical-dementing representation but now engaged in dialogical-equivalence of contention as if of dialectical-thinking representation. Pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness is what explains beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought narrators in ‘apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>’ engaging with interlocutors rather in temporal <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>, wherein the last narratives as of pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness induces ontologically-flawed sense of <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism in the interlocutor notwithstanding the postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’, as what is always pertinent for the narrator is the pseudo-rationalising of all prior narratives into-and-as-of the last narrative(s). The more simplistic example of such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness is with the childhood psychopathy example of spilling water on a chair and accusing another and the dragging out of its postlogism-slantedness narratives as the simpler/uncomplexified representation of the adult psychopathy postlogism-slantedness mental-disposition, and this further points to the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity when such pseudo-edginess/pseudo-incisiveness phenomenon is rather at the level of maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness associated with adult psychopathy and associated social psychopathy, or as we can appreciate as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor manifestations of sophistic/pedantic dispositions social eliciting of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought whether with traditional witchdoctors, the sophists, medieval-pedants or in many ways intellectual muddlement today. Thus a given prospective relative-ontological-completeness registry-worldview/dimension edginess/incisiveness—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of
 ‘notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’, by its
 implied ‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-
 framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’, operantly reflects the
 prior relative-ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview/dimension ‘shiftiness-of-the-
 Self’ as of ‘a reifying gesturing that is-not-to-be-drag-in/commingle-with the prior relative-
 ontological-incompleteness registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument social-stake-
 contention-or-confliction meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its pseudo-edginess/pseudo-
 incisiveness <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-
 explicating-ontological-contiguity’; as reflected by the fact that positivising or prospective
 deprocrpticism edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument rather construe
 respectively non-positivising or procrpticism as of apriorising-teleological-degradation-in-
 notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-
 schema> as to invalidate the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-
 <as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-
 reflex of dialogical-equivalence pointing rather to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity to be reflected by the
 prospective edginess/incisiveness—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, but then this
 equally implies the destructuring-threshold-of-ontological-performance is effectively prone to
 a general <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>
disposition predisposed to forego ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-
framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology’ for a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as of
its <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-
teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. It has always been the case
that successive registry-worldviews/dimensions secondnatured institutionalisations as
instigated as from human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen’ have to contend as of social-stake-
contention-or-confliction with corresponding sophistic/pedantic eliciting of
<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> whether
as traditional witchdoctors, the sophists, medieval-pedants or in many ways intellectual
muddlement today, with the requisite intemporal-as-ontological reifying meaningfulness-and-
teleology as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness
ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity over-time/cross-
generationally inducing the positive opportunism untenability that overcomes such

‘temporal/sophistic-as-ontologically-flawed/ontological-bad-faith reproducibility—
 mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation seeding-
 misprising of reasoning-from-results/afterthought meaningfulness-and-teleology as covert-
 pretence-of-equivalence/correspondence—antiakrasiatic-aspiration-ontological-performance’;
 and in this regards, the futural possibility of developing-and-cumulating the capacity-and-
 template for the renewed and more profound meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure as
 of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of prospective
 deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,—as-to-
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-
 based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules in notionally overcoming human
 ‘shiftiness-of-the-Self’ is effectively not beyond human collective contemplation reflected as
 of human ‘projective-totalitative’ deprocrypticism protensive self-consciousness perspective
 predisposed to devalue our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought occlusive
 self-consciousness meaningfulness-and-teleology. Contrary to the ontologically-flawed
 implications of identitive-constitutedness-as-epistemic-totality-dereification-in-
 dissingularisation-as-flawed-epistemic-determinism in reflecting that human meaningfulness-
 and-teleology as implied by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process is rather ad-hoc and disparate across cultures-as-sovereign-constructs-not-
 constrained-existentially-as-of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, a
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,—for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity construal as difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-
 singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism of human meaningfulness-and-teleology
 reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process implied

connectedness of human meaningfulness-and-teleology as constrained-existentially-as-of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment thus developing as of relative-ontological-completeness ontological-performance implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal).

It is this ⟨formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal of human meaningfulness-and-teleology ‘constrained-existentially-as-of-its supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ⟨formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ that effectively validates the ‘epistemic-veracity of notional—singularisation/epistemic-immanence/veridical-epistemic-determinism’; wherein the notion of ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of ontological-performance’ captures the entire possibilities of human meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-performance, and as such a ⟨formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal reflects overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. It is this ⟨formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal that allows for intelligibility and renewing-intelligibility to arise in the first place as of relative-ontological-completeness. This ‘intelligibility and renewing-intelligibility’ arises from ‘⟨formative⟩epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conflatedness of construal-and-reconstrual of existential-

contextualising-contiguity as of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 {formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal}
 maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness’, and not as
 ontologically-flawed atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness rather as of elaboration-as-
 mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-
 existential-contextualising-contiguity. The validation of the epistemic-totalitative nature of
 existential meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-
 ontological-completeness of ontological-performance’ implications is much more directly
 obvious in the natural sciences which do not imply any inherent splitting/disparateness of
 intrinsic-reality but rather points to a <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-
 totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal of
 ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-
 coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-
 of-embodied-consciousness’ in their knowledge foregrounding—entailment-⟨narrowing-
 down–sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ schemes. The underlying
 explanation for disparateness here is effectively construed as a question of the implications of
 ‘relative-ontological-incompleteness/relative-ontological-completeness of ontological-
 performance’ wherein varying ontologically-flawed superfluous, superstitious, mystical and
 cultic interpretations of the natural world <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–
 purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality speaks rather of
 states of relative-ontological-incompleteness and the prospective possibility of ontologically-
 veridical grander unifying scientific explanation of the natural world <formative>epistemic-
 totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-
 veridicality speaks rather of relative-ontological-completeness. Such <formative>epistemic-
 causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity

construal points out that disparateness of meaningfulness-and-teleology as often wrongly projected in many a social domain-of-study is not an inherently sovereign notion as to the fact that construal as of relative-ontological-incompleteness cannot be ‘qualified as sovereign and beyond the countenance of its ontological-veracity as from relative-ontological-completeness perspective’ given that all human meaningfulness-and-teleology are of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as so-reflected by its self-assuredness-of-authenticity with respect to its social-stake-contention-or-confliction’; such that while recognising the human-subpotency epistemic-veracity perspective of say a given social-setup attributing an ailment to say magic, this doesn’t override the notion of inherent ontological-veridicality as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective wherein modern society in relative-ontological-completeness attributes the ailment to say flu. In other words, sovereign commitments, recognised as of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation, do not override the pre-eminence of supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, in which case no human transcendence-and-sublimity will be possible. Stated another way, if Einstein’s or Bohr’s seminal theories were viewed say unfavourably by the physics community of their time as of their sovereign predisposition, that wouldn’t annul the ontological-veracity of their theories even if Einstein or Bohr were to acquiesce to that sovereign predisposition over their own theories, for the simple reason that knowledge is constructed as of the absolute dominance of intrinsic-reality as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness over

the mortals that we as human beings are in order for transcendence-and-sublimity to be possible; and that reality with respect to knowledge doesn't speak of totalitarianism as will often be sophistically usurped when it comes to the blurriness of the social domain-of-study, as the charge of totalitarianism can only apply with respect to sovereign choice. Further a <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal equally points out that the-very-same-<formative>epistemic-totalising-purview-of-construal-as-immanent-existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality or any <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality does not imply the structural/paradigmatic change of existence-as-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity but rather that change is the outcome of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness involving ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding/attributive-dialectics of prospective dialectical-thinking representation and prior dialectical-dementing representation; with the implication here that the issue of knowledge is all about developing human-subpotency towards existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. The conflatedness of existential-contextualising-contiguity in the natural sciences is often poorly perceived inherently because of their subject-matter/domain-of-study implicated nature of philosophical depth of contemplation as of 'coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness'; such that it is often wrongly construed in atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-

existential-contextualising-contiguity but with little consequence since such an atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness is generally an ontologically-flawed afterthought reflection/contemplation whereas operantly beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought scientists generally adopt a conflatedness of existential-contextualising-contiguity posture. The reality of existential-contextualising-contiguity conflatedness here is validated by the fact that ‘abstract scientific notions are not the point of departure scientists contemplation’ as they are rather ‘delved in existential-contextualising-contiguity in <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conflatedness to then reflect abstract scientific notions in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification or depart from existential-contextualising-contiguity already reified abstract scientific notions to then reflect further abstract scientific notions in existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification’. For instance, we can appreciate that physics never establish any absolute atomising/taken-into-pieces notion of say atoms, space, time, energy, etc. on which it merely then go on to be constituting meaningfulness-and-teleology/knowledge as physics knowledge-reification. Rather we can better appreciate the occurrence of existential-contextualising-contiguity knowledge-reification as of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal in the sense that our ordinary thought process itself is as of <formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity construal of notions like space, time, force, etc. with no absolutely given point of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness even when we may harbour such a confusion, and likewise the development of theories say Cartesian, Newtonian, Einsteinian, String theory, etc. are equally <formative>epistemic-totalising as to the fact that these imply various ways of reconceptualising the notions of space, time, force, etc. as of the precedence of <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-

perception/re-thought of existential-contextualising-contiguity of such notions like space, time, force, etc. in <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conflatedness to then articulate their abstract/theoretical notions/conceptualisations of space, time, force, etc.; thus there isn't any absolutely identitive atomising/taking-to-pieces notions of space, time, force, etc. which are 'constituted once-and-for-all to later on build/reify physics knowledge as of progressive constituting' but rather physics knowledge is always epistemic-retotalising/re-holistic of 'the very same physics notions and their derived implications of new notions' as of existential-contextualising-contiguity in conflatedness involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) hermeneutics in avoiding-and-superseding any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness. We can appreciate that the atomising/taking-to-pieces disposition that is often wrongly sought in other domains-of-study is often ontologically-flawed because it fails to see that 'the more elaborate panintelligibility nature of existential-contextualising-contiguity in epistemic conflatedness in their domains-of-study' implies that their knowledge-reification should increasingly be explicitly holistic/nested-congruence as to the hermeneutics involved in avoiding-and-superseding any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, as even the natural sciences are implicitly epistemically holistic by the mere fact of the 'precedence of existential-contextualising-contiguity in <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity in epistemic conflatedness to which their abstract notions are aligned' as well as so-implied by their foregrounding—entailment-<narrowing-down-sublimation as to existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) orientations which drives their knowledge-reification gesturing for unification as to ontological-contiguity as not just an idle quest; and this misconstrual is further reflected by the fact that the life sciences (as of their axiomatic-construct 'apriorising-

teleological-thresholding—as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’) have a more inherently elaborate panintelligibility nature of existential-contextualising-contiguity supervening-conflatedness thus rendering its methodology more explicitly holistic and teleological even as it is often naively and wrongly construed as ‘a relatively weaker natural science’ from a naïve epistemic constitutedness perspective. This underlying <formative>epistemic-totalising existential-contextualising-contiguity insight reflects ecstatic-existence’s supervening-conflatedness as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation; wherein inherently ‘more immediate epistemically constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ domains-of-study like physics and the natural sciences generally are of a less elaborate existential-contextualising-contiguity conceptualisation nature in epistemic conflatedness and can thus be ontologically-falsely be perceived as being of atomising/taking-to-pieces epistemic constitutedness while inherently ‘less immediate epistemically constrained to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ domains-of-study like the social domains-of-study are more of an elaborate existential-contextualising-contiguity conceptualisation nature in epistemic conflatedness that speaks to the need for their appropriate holistic hermeneutic depth of ontological-construal, and in both cases in reflecting the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) hermeneutics involved in avoiding-and-superseding any presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness for construing their veridical historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing. In many ways the natural sciences by the immediate constraining of their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implicitly avoid atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness but the

misunderstanding that their knowledge-reification gesturing is effectively as of atomising/taking-to-pieces constitutedness in other domains-of-study ends up having naïve and distortive effects on such domains-of-study knowledge-reification and particularly so with regards to the development of their self-conscious philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’. It is herein contended that this poor self-conscious philosophical depth of contemplation as of ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ is the structurally/paradigmatically defining issue of many of the social domains-of-study today, as in effect many such domains are turned into technicality as of institutional-being-and-craft imprimatur, ‘fallback to unquestioned/dogmatic normativities’ and ‘habituated dispositions’ which priorly enframed subject-matters and institutional-setups structurally/paradigmatically stifle the possibility for conceptualisation as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness sublimating-validation/desublimating-invalidating implications beyond their conventioning-referencing enframing, so-implied as of the perspective of notional-deprocrypticism prospective ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Thus existence’s overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation implies the ‘primacy of a <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity basis for conception due to human <formative>epistemic-

totalising–thrownness-in-existence’ as ‘existence doesn’t wait for the human to incrementally have the complete picture’ and thus it is ‘the human subject who has to aspire maximalisingly to conform-as-of-its-self-consciousness-growth with existence in a <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity conception’, and this further indicts our traditional conception of induction as being epistemically incremental wrongly construed as of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness that underlies dispositions for <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag because of ‘failure to draw <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity as of displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject and wrongly construing presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness situations as of absolute/absolutising grounding’, whereas in reality human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence rather points out that the epistemic-veracity of induction is rather as of ‘maximalising <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ (which is rather as of epistemic-retotalising/re-holistic of meaningfulness-and-teleology as to <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness with regards to successive inductions) rightly construed as of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness and ‘totalitatively involving human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)’ with displacement/decentering-of-the-human-subject; and such a misconstruing of the effective notion of induction speaks of ‘an ontologically-flawed modern positivistic academicism proceduralism reflex of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness’ that misses-out-on and ends up pruning-and-enframing the natural huma <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity construal

predisposition. The specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed-human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation, reflecting human underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment, is ultimately potentiated as of human ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen for originary/as-of-event reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning’ as of the ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’, as this drives epistemic-ricochettingly/transepistemically the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in developing successive reference-of-thought reproducibility—mathesis/motif/throwness-disposition,—as—reproducibility-of-aestheticisation reflecting human successive self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self that transcendently-and-sublimely transform human-reflexivity-in-ecstatic-existence so-construed as of human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation; wherein we can appreciate that the instigation of universalising-idealisation meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure or subsequent positivising/rational-empiricism meaningfulness-and-teleology infrastructure transform human potentiation construed as ‘human-subpotency convergence to existence’ with regards respectively to the specific base-institutionalisation or rational-empiricism/positivism self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of the specific Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. This self-

consciousness/construction-of-the-Self notion is what deflates such ‘issues implied with regards to human sovereign options/choice or freewill’ and ‘issues of natural determinism beyond human sovereign options/choice or freewill’, as human self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology implies ‘induced human potentiation of sovereign options/choice or freewill that invalidate natural determinism’. In this regards we can appreciate for instance that with the positivism/rational-empiricism modern society’s disease theory, parents failing to figure out that a baby is likely to get sick if kept in dirty surroundings due to bacteria and germs as well that high temperature is a sign that the baby needs medical care, such that were it to be established that the baby develops a serious medical condition because of such failure of parental care then the human potentiation of freewill of the parents is engaged with regards to the parents responsibilities as of the self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of our positivism/rational-empiricism Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, however, supposed a similar situation arises in a non-positivistic social-setup with the parents acting that way because of say animistic beliefs that are utterly normal in the given animistic social-setup then it is difficultly the case that the human-potentiation of freewill of the parents is engaged with regards to their responsibilities as of the self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implied as of their non-positivism/animistic Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (as the relative-ontological-incompleteness in the latter case renders it as an ‘ought indeterminacy’ while the relative-ontological-completeness in the former case renders it as an ‘is determinacy’); but then, a general underlying human potentiation of freewill of all humans is engaged passively to the effect that prospective

relative-ontological-completeness inducing prospective self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self reflected as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in deflating human vices-and-impediments, necessarily warrants all humans to effectively aspire-for/be-receptive-to prospective relative-ontological-completeness. And such a more broad construal of freewill and natural determinism implications can be contemplated as elaborated elsewhere herein with regards to akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex; thus akrasia-susceptibility-or-akrasiatic-drag complex further implies that the very state of unwariness with respect to prior relative-ontological-incompleteness as of a nihilistic disposition is structurally/paradigmatically potently conducive/endemising/enculturating to its vices-and-impediments, and as the very possibility for prospective ontological-performance arises as of the intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning as of its ‘seeding promise of human-subpotency ontological-performance equivalence/correspondence with the full-potency-of-existence-as-of-its-coherence/contiguity’. Can we wish that we don’t have understanding whether directly, or indirectly as of reifying deferential-formalisation-transference, so that we aren’t intellectually-and-morally accountable then? How can we reconcile the fact that given human <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence the possibility for prospective huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation enabling transcendence-and-sublimity could only arise as of prospective reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning that had no prior effective knowledge and virtue reference to go on to prospectively ‘invent’ reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning knowledge and virtue before the institutionalising of such reasoning-from-results/afterthought emancipatory possibilities, and then contend to make any given reasoning-from-results/afterthought

knowledge and virtue limits intellectually and morally deterministic as of a nihilistic
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>? In this
 regard, the anti-nihilist stance implies that the very first notion of human ontological-
 performance as of human <formative>epistemic-totalising—thrownness-in-existence induced
 anxiety lies in the fact that as of intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality parrhesiastic askesis-or-acumen reasoning-through/messianic-reasoning,
 humankind has the relative capacity to build and/or adhere to prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness possibilities. Further, in the specific instances it is important to
 recognise that natural determinism invalidation of sovereign options/choice or freewill
 ‘applies critically only as of poor self-consciousness/construction-of-the-Self implications
 arising from the underdevelopment of Being/ontological-framework-expansion or self-
 consciousness/construction-of-the-Self incapacity as of say insanity’, and not necessarily as
 of lack of new knowledge-construct or technical-development; in the sense that say a
 criminal that had gone uncaught before a new technical-development like DNA testing
 establishes their criminal responsibility as of human potentiation, cannot talk of natural
 determinism implications as a defence just as covert predispositions associated with vices-
 and-impediments as of ‘self-conscious drive’ cannot be qualified to be of natural determinism
 implications when unmasked. Panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-
 ecstatic-existence ‘speaking epistemically with respect to the overall
 phenomenal/manifest_subpotencies-<in-transitive-conflatedness—reflexivity,-in-the-full-
 potency-of-existence> including human-subpotency epistemic-perspective’, inherently
 reflects the veridical-epistemic-determinism as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-

of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
epistemic-conflatedness in the construal of any such phenomenal/manifest_subpotency-<in-
transitive-conflatedness–reflexivity,-in-the-full-potency-of-existence> ‘apriorising-
teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of
contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’, with human-subpotency
‘apriorising-teleological-thresholding–as-teleological-framework/narrative-framework of
contextualising/instantiative-devolving-meaningfulness’ effectively construable in reflecting
the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process ‘true-ontology—as-of-
Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The overall implied notion
of ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’ as advanced here is one of
supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing over subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rather as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-
correspondence. Such a mental-disposition of substituting old reference-of-thought–
categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with new ones of prospective registry-
worldview/dimension as implied by <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of institutional moulting underlies the concept of
‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness, in dealing with the fact
that by reflex all registry-worldviews/dimensions are structured not to construe of their very
own prospective transcendence, and thus relating to their reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation on an incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness
basis as ‘absolute by the mere form’ whether failing/not-upholding-as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation at the uninstitutionalised-threshold. The non-positivistic animistic or

medieval social setup as of its incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness
 disposition coming into grips with the positivistic interlocutor's purpose will probably
 construe it as most contemptuous by its construal of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (whether as of its rulemaking-over-non-rules-
 (as 'first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought'
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) of base-
 institutionalisation/animism or as of its universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-
 (as 'second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-
 thought' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) of
 universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism), though we know from an ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective that the positivistic
 existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of
 its positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-
 rules-(as 'third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-
 thought' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) is the
 virtuous-ontological resolution of the non-positivistic registry-worldview's/dimension's
 reference-of-thought structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments. Likewise, this
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight can equally be projected of our 'positivism–
 procrypticism registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought' from 'futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-
 worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought'; wherein deprocrypticism existential-
 contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-

completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of its
 ‘deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of preempting—
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-
 growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
 drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules is the virtuous-ontological resolution of
 the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought
 structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments, as it further contendingly implies a
 prospective decentering and dialectically-dementation reflection/perspectivation of
 positivism–procrypticism. We can imagine that futural Being-development/ontological-
 framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism inclined agent given its
 ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness can effectively forego
 the normally construed positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation as projected <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-
 form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the
 registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding-as-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
 ontological-preservation as of ‘valued-viability’ to expend on a ‘so-construed most important
 work’ that can be done in a positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension, as of
 prospective institutionalisation into deprocrypticism (more like an archaeologist might don on
 dirty clothing and dig their hands in mud and rubbish ‘like an animal’ to find out about the
 treasures that are human histories); and by that equally implying prospectively the

decentering and dialectical-dementation of positivism–procrysticism <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>. Such an insight can be appreciated as with the instance in the non-positivistic community where the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will most likely not necessarily perceive and construe the ‘achievement motives and temporal-stakes in animistic or medieval lives and living’ in the non-positivistic social-setup as ‘grandest living’ but rather the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘of positivistic transcendental institutionalisation projection over the animistic or medieval setup as much more of existential worth’ from its vantage ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective. There is nothing inherently wrong with achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. However, with regards to a prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology so construed prospectively, whether as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrysticism, such motives are necessarily superseded-and-overridden or subsumed-as-supplanted or transvaluated in the bigger picture of human eternalising aspiration as of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation mental-disposition of ‘inventing’ the successive becoming possibilities in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as inducing successively base-institutionalisation, universalisation, rational-empiricism/positivism and prospectively

deprocripticism; as going by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ across retrospective and by implication prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. To rather assume the notion that ‘achievement motives across all registry-worldviews/dimensions conventional constructs as of human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> so-construed prospectively’ take precedence and are not ‘necessarily superseded-and-overridden or subsumed-as-supplanted or transvaluated in the bigger picture of human intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the intemporal individuation mental-disposition of ‘inventing’ the successive becoming possibilities in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, comes with the contradictory implication that the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s denaturing <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> so-construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to ‘invent’ base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, which contradictorily as well, as ‘biting the hand of

such intemporal 'inventing', should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview's/dimension's denaturing <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> so-construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to 'invent' universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, which contradictorily as well, as 'biting the hand of such intemporal 'inventing', should never have been transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview's/dimension's denaturing <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> so-construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to 'invent' positivism—procrypticism (that is, paradoxically we shouldn't be existing today!), and which contradictorily as well, as 'biting the hand of such intemporal 'inventing', itself should not be transcended and overridden (as its human finite aspirations whether socially, professionally, family-wise, hedonic, etc. as of the registry-worldview's/dimension's denaturing <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> so-construed prospectively are rather more pertinent) in order to 'invent' prospective deprocrypticism, rather reflecting intellectual absurdity; and speaking rather besides a natural weakness of human incapacity that can arise and do arise as a result of our limited-mentation-capacity rendering us unconscious/unaware/as-of-the-poorer-halves-of-ourselves which is fathomable/understandable, of a graver problem if that was to be the case even when we then

‘understand’, of intellectual-and-moral irresponsibility of failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to do our own ‘homework’ with respect to our forerunners in the bigger notion of the human species continuous emancipation. In order words, the most vital human activities has to do, whether as of a consciously aware or unconscious nature, with the ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness that enables human memetic-rescheduling (institutional-recomposeure/psychoanalytic-unshackling) as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to present day positivism–procrypticism and prospectively deprocrypticism; together with the idea that by the very intemporal essence of that ‘inventing’ it is inappropriate to construe such institutional-being-and-craft construct as a framework of temporal extricatory paradigm relationship with meaningfulness-and-teleology (undermining the implied reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, by adhering by flaw rather to the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as deterministic thus subknowledging/mimicking the non-veridical hollow/empty form of the meaning of narratives, and strangely enough ‘reflecting’ the uninstitutionalised-threshold, represented ontologically as decentered and dialectically-dementing), but rather appreciative of the intemporal mental-disposition (as ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality) behind the mental projection associated with and contributing to such institutional-being-and-craft ‘inventing’. But then transcendental constructs of meaning and meaningfulness going beyond the ‘conventioning limits’ of a given registry-

worldview/dimension by definition are not actually perceived as ‘most critical in value’ going by ‘intradimensional conventions’ which define registry-worldviews/dimensions ontological and virtue limits; the effort of a Socrates, Galileo, Diderot, Copernicus as of implying a prospective reference-of-thought of meaning and meaningfulness, is an afterthought social recognition by the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought institutionalisation, not the social recognition of their own registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought (as the prior/transcended/superseded), as transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology involves psychical and institutional recomposuring of high contrariety implications to human temporality/shortness as putting into question the present as prior/old, but then the vocation of all transcendence as all knowledge is not about being responsive to the mortals that we are (including this author’s mortality as anyone’s else) as of social-aggregation-enabling but rather responsive to relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating of an intersolipsistic nature. It is equally important to grasp that transcendence is the more profound origination of reference-of-thought that enables knowledge conceptualisations, and that the praxis of knowledge may naively be construed as non-transcendental. So all knowledge is actually transcendental and this is not to be confused with its distance/remoteness as coming from the ‘transcendental origination of the reference-of-thought of the knowledge’ (whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or prospectively deprocrpticism knowledge), and the idea of neutral/equable knowledge is a ‘mental complex of institutional inherence’ arising from incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness naivety, as if a given institutionalised reference-of-thought for knowledge has always been that way. By its very nature as construed from relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating and not social-aggregation-enabling, transcendence (transcendental knowledge) cannot be construed as a neutral/equable exercise that doesn’t

involve contrariety, as it implies superseding the prior reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with the prospective one for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, in contrast to a naïve incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness mental-reflex. The idea that knowledge-as-virtue will be obtained neutrally and be inserted in the social-construct neutrally is rather a simplistic/naïve virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal, as at best such knowledge is not really neutral but rather remote/distant as coming from the ‘transcendental origination of the reference-of-thought of the knowledge’. For instance, scientific discoveries and our liberal notions today are grounded on the transcendental origination of positivistic modern scientific knowledge and liberal thinking reference-of-thought established and developed from the days of the Newtons, Galileos, Pasteurs, Copernicus, Descartes, Rousseaux, etc. who and others, then were transcendental as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—re-originariness/re-origination in their positivistic outlook relative to other outlooks then like alchemy, essences, mysticism, serfdom, feudalism, etc., while equally inducing high social contrariety then to supersedingly establish our positivistic psyche leading to corresponding institutionalisation implications like the culture of science, notions of human rights, etc.; and we now take for granted today such a scientific disposition by the low temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction but right back in their epoch this elicited a high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction. The point here is to highlight that where the need for ‘reappraisal of reference-of-

thought' arises as for prospective transcendence, it will be naïve to imply that knowledge is neutral failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to register that all knowledge is the outcome of transcendence as 'reappraisals of references-of-thought' and inducing their corresponding prospective psychologies (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights). Effectively, the wrong argument of knowledge neutrality is actually the argument of the prior transcendence of reference-of-thought that enabled it to be as of the present reference-of-thought, as a statement of knowledge neutrality respectively in non-positivism/medieval or positivism registry-worldviews/dimensions are just naively asserting respectively the former or the latter as the reference-of-thought for knowledge; implying that a mental-disposition doesn't naturally factor in its very own relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Hence it is rather ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that is the viable construing reference of knowledge with its transcendence implications for completing the reference-of-thought, and so not only with regards to transcendence of retrospective registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought but equally with the implication of transcendence for prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought as so validated by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. This insight about a more succinct social reality as of human institutionalised-and-uninstitutionalised-facets is critically vital for the appraisal of psychopathy and social-psychopathy as social manifestation of postlogism as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought within the positivism-procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension 'dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction'. The social dynamics of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction as elicited in psychopathy and social psychopathy are more decisively determined by its induced 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency hence speaking of the positivism-

procrpticism uninstitutionalisation; wherein prospective institutionalising-facet insight will construe perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought while prospective uninstitutionalising-facet insight will rather overlook such implied denaturing as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought. This very much mirrors such a dichotomy as articulated before within the same social space of relative perception of social-stake-contention-or-confliction at a registry-worldview's/dimension's uninstitutionalised-threshold defining its very notions of lawfulness and lawlessness, social-functioning and social dysfunction, accordance and discordance, probity and corruption, principledness and unprincipledness, etc. across the full breadth and depth of human institutions dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction at that uninstitutionalised-threshold especially as of generalised-and-all-pervasive extended-informality. Such a dichotomy points out the reality in positivism—procrpticism that the construal of psychopathy and social psychopathy is in effect a social construction wherein while prospective institutionalisation mental-disposition relates-to-and-construes-a-narrative-of grave institutional implications of phenomenal psychopathy as of the social dichotomy notions implied above, and so as of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition will mostly construe irrelevance-and-benignancy as of temporal extricatory paradigm. This is very much in sync with the reality that at a registry-worldview's/dimension's uninstitutionalised-threshold human solipsistic mental-dispositions are temporal-to-intemporal with the implication that such intemporal mental-orientation as ontology divulging is just one mental-disposition among others such that any such pre-eminence arises only as of positive opportunity ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework induced untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-

constraining in the middle to long run or cross-generationally as intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality. This dichotomy of contradictory narratives explains why it is the bigger framework of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought that perfectly grasp in sync a superseding institutionalising aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in deprocrypticism conflatedness and so over procrypticism disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought denaturing and harkening back in undermining psychopathy and social psychopathy as the more specific individuation-level denaturing. Interestingly this construing of psychopathy and social psychopathy within a dichotomy of institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions with respect to dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction is very much reflective of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, as we can grasp the veracity/ontological-pertinence of this uninstitutionalised-threshold dichotomy more transparently with regards to say non-positivism/medievalism postlogism manifestation like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. We know that such incidents associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery speak of the more profound relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue wherein the incidental denaturing of such manifestations reflected a social denaturing of the registry-worldview/dimension itself as non-positivistic and susceptible to endemise/enculturate superstitiousness as of the ‘dynamic social construction of perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. And in both instances it is the corresponding institutionalising aetiologisation/ontological-escalation conflatedness directed to the bigger and subsuming issue of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought for inducing deprocrypticism over procrypticism or positivism over non-positivism/medievalism respectively that harkens back to undermine in a decisive and nonextricatory and non-

palliative manner the associated postlogisms. Conflatedness as such implies an utter shift as the curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought thus superseding the curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought now being construed as dementing-and-decentered-prior-institutionalisation's-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as denaturing.] The defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought) comparison can equally be used to illustrate how slanting is different from lying. Insightfully, we can grasp that the fundamental defect of the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument just as with slanting arising as a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception explains why it keeps on falsely presupposing new narratives in deception just as a defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements systematically keeps on making wrong aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose—of-obtained-measurements (systematically flawed meaningfulness) as its fundamental registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> (in registry-worldview terms of implications). On the other hand, a lying deception is tantamount to undertaking an inappropriate measurement-as-of-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-purpose (flaw logical-processing/act-execution-implication meaningfulness) with an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument that is not defective (thus appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness). This point to the ad-hoc nature of lying deception wherein there is nothing inherent that precludes subsequent appropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implication meaningfulness where the contextual-ambiguity-constraint(s) are resolved. In the bigger scheme of things (at the

transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional level) postlogism epistemic-decadence and its integration as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation defines a registry-worldview's/dimension's uninstitutionalised-threshold; arising in 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' situations. This is ontologically/intemporally represented or stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored postlogical mindsets in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (which are not ignored/overlooked but cored/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored) wherein ontologically-speaking the psychopath's interlocutors had hitherto by new logical-processing-or-logical-implication as 'conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex' represented/registered/related-to the postlogical mindsets in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic wrongly as candored/straightness (wrongly ignoring/overlooking and setting-aside to reassume a candoring/straightness-of-thought instead of rightfully stranding-as-slantedness/decandoring-of-thought). Thus the registry-worldviews/dimensions which are in epistemic-decadence (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts') with respect to ontological-veridicality (ontological-contiguity or reference-of-thought as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—

ontological-preservation) and ‘wrongly being temporally integrated intradimensionally’ as candored/straightness rather than decandored/oblongatedness are recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively, procrypticism. The conscious or unconscious exercise of ‘subknowledging/mimicking the non-veridical hollow/empty form of the meaning of narratives’, whether by a psychopath or a temporally-inclined mental-disposition pedestal, in view of getting interlocutors to wrongly align prelogically/in-conviction/prelogically and perceive the non-veridical hollow mimicking form of the meaning of narratives as veridical/true/real is known as perversion-of-reference-of-thought, requiring ontologically, at the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’, ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought which is decandored/oblongated, non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing, dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase and transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (contrasted to prelogism which is candored, straightness, conviction, dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase and logically-congruent). From an intemporal/ontologising perspective i.e., aetiological understanding of the abstract human animal, perversion-of-reference-of-thought rather calls to engage with the unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/apriorising–registry of the postlogical mindset/reference-of-thought as transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not operating/processing logic based on the articulated perversion-of-reference-of-thought, so as to ‘invalidate the projected false apriorising–registry’s implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology, and consequently to articulate a manifestation of mental-slantedness/decandoring/‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought’/mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-

constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/distractive-temporal-priorisation (and not soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candoring/prelogism/organic-comprehension-thinking) of the mind’s mental perversion/defect; and so, as an abject and mentally dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase – as-the-temporal-mind-pedestals-are-dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive – from ‘an ordered construct from the intemporal as ontological mindset’. Since the state of exhibiting a demonstrated perversion-of-reference-of-thought annuls temporal-dispositions’ implied logical-dueness/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology as ‘logically contending’; from a pure ontological-veridicality perspective, more like a medieval mind with a superstitious registry-worldview reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation doesn’t has the implied-profile-or-implied-stature and the implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation to logically contend about the ontological veridicality of an accusation of witchcraft with a relatively suprastructuring positivistic mental-disposition). This technique of mentally grasping the psychopath and other postlogical minds is by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting a ‘distractive-or-circumventive-mental-alignment-or-postlogism’ (explained further in the text) as against an ‘integrative-mental-alignment-or-prelogism’ (the latter being the normal reflex by which the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind ordinarily aligns to meaning, and it is this mental-alignment reflex to meaning that makes it difficult to truly grasp the psychopath’s and other postlogical mental-dispositions which mental-alignment are rather non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented with respect to meaning).

Paradoxically, this is the fundamental strength of psychopathy, i.e. to get the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind to wrongly elevate psychopathic meaningfulness as of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’ rather than reflect the reality of its ‘formulaic meaning’ which is ‘meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated’. So when we talk about psychopathy we are talking about perversion-of-reference-of-thought rather than logical defect (defect of logical operation/processing/contention). This distinction is critical. Why? Basically, meaning is what defines/predicates value, thought and action. Meaning has two elementary aspects: reference-of-thought or axioms or categorical-imperatives (reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, by the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and logic (logical-operation/processing/contention/implication-of-act-execution, and so, ‘fundamentally and validatorily’ on the basis of sound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the very first instance). Meaning and meaningfulness is thus essentially about the ‘operation of reference-of-thought as-of-its-veracity/ontological-pertinence as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’, with logic/logical-processing basically about the operation of reference-of-thought as rules as of ontological-coherence/superseding–oneness-of-ontology validated as of established ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality/existential-reality. Otherwise stated, meaning has ‘reference-of-thought’ reflecting its being/ontological/existential veridicality, and logic as an operation of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity based on the meaning’s implied reference-of-

thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology valid only inasmuch as the reference to the ‘registry elements’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology is ‘existentially’ established. *Critical for ontological-veridicality of meaning and meaningfulness and knowledge, the relatively ontologically-complete-reference-of-thought defines what is meaning and meaningfulness as of its ‘soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ construed as ‘dialectical-thinking and centered understanding’ over the relatively ontologically-incomplete-reference-of-thought as of its ‘unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ construed as ‘dialectically-dementing and decentered understanding’. Slanting (and by derivation cohering-slanting) is ‘technically coherent logical articulation’ however over flawed or non-existent apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements, and thus falsely implying the apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology as being ‘existentially’ established, with the possibility of a further infinite possibility of logical faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge arising where the reference-of-thought-elements are wrongly implied as of existential-reality. Normally we assume that everyone is sound of mind (that is, assume everyone operates by soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, with contention arising by reflex rather with respect to logical coherence and not the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in the first place) so ‘we don’t tend to question the being/ontological/existential veridicality of reference-of-thought-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought)’. But with the phenomenon of psychopathy, this is a critical flaw at its adulthood stage, as at its childhood stage the ‘deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect’ of the implied-reference-of-thought/implied-registry and its elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-

stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology is rather obvious and we don't normally process/operate logically the childhood psychopathy's non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives since 'we just invalidate those apriorising-registry-elements to start with as not of being/ontological/existential veridicality'. For instance in the case above, where John were to witness Dad punish his sister Mary for spilling water on a chair, and by 'vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of meaning' (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) determines that if in a 'dereifying act' he spilt some water on a chair and said it was Peter, Peter will be punished by dad; dad, however, having an 'existential-contextualising-contiguity sense/projection of meaning' doesn't even dare to operate/process the logic articulated by John (a logic which in-of-itself while utterly sound technically, but is actually irrelevant in the given context by its fundamental logical-undueness as of its unsound-reference-of-thought/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion) as he simply engages his unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought by way of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and then reflect the reference-of-thought or registry-teleology of John as perversion-of-reference-of-thought or mental-perversion in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. In so doing determines that John is 'manifesting a mental defect' and more so, not an ad-hoc defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, but rather registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> that speaks to how John may act in many other similar situations, i.e. epistemic-decadence (notional-

discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-
as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-
looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’) by the denaturing of the reference-of-
thought or the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of meaning over which
denaturing he tries to get interlocutors to operate/process logic; and ‘is not even contending
and that he is the subject of prelogism-as-of-conviction contention about his perversion-of-
reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-
thought’. The above is the fundamental nature of psychopathy and ‘it should not be lost even
more critically at the adulthood stage and the corollary of social psychopathy’ as increasingly
prelogism-as-of-conviction minds will tend to align to adult psychopaths and other
postlogical teleological mindsets wrongfully as
prelogical/conviction/candored/straightened/prelogism instead of rightfully keeping a
decandored/oblongated/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /mechanical-
comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (circumventive/distractive-temporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought). Such reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting
(reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) inherently implies a dialecticism involving
conviction narratives as organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism)/‘intemporal-
prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness or longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology and narratives that are non-conviction-or-existential-
decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-
demented/subknowledging/mimicking/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought
qualified as mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-

misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation. This points to a perversion-of-reference-of-thought basically or a registry-worldview denaturing (when it comes to a registry-worldview/dimension transcendence). The dialecticism involves stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence pointing to the skewing ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) for intemporalisation/institutionalisation over the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor individuations in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, and enabling ontological-escalation or aetiologisation as 'metaphorical principle for an infinity/a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales'/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation.

The underlying fact about meaning is that the apriorising–registry (as the individual grounding of the reference-of-thought of the social-construct registry-worldview/dimension) precedes logic as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing basis for logic. For instance, if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children, etc. The logical operation is entirely right and sound in abstract terms but does the apriorising–registry (reference-of-thought) apply? I.e. The faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is not with regards to the logic (which is technically true) but with the 'implied' denaturing of the elements of the apriorising–registry as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which are: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape (the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape doesn't exist since the psychopath doesn't know the guy), implied-profile (the psychopath is projecting a false

representation of itself and the situation), implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation (the psychopath has no stature to talk about the guy he doesn't know), implied-assumptions (the assumptions implying the psychopath's relationship with the guy and the guy's relationship with children doesn't exist), implied-value-reference (the psychopath's elicitation of a sense of value reference in the interlocutor is unfounded and ridiculous) and implied-teleology (the psychopath's articulation of a sense of purpose on its interlocutor about the guy is hollow mimicking). Finally, the psychopath has articulated a lot of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge but none to do with logic, but everything to do with the denaturing of registry/axiom/categorical-imperatives or the psychopath's unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, i.e., slanting-deception or deception-of-successively-shifting-or-non-cohering-narratives-and-acts or deception-by-concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives or deception-by-concurrently-false-assumptive-dementing-of-narratives! So with the psychopath, you don't watch the logic, you watch out for the reference-of-thought/apriorising-registry for mental-perversion or the psychopath's unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! Not only that, it is important to note that this unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as perversion-of-reference-of-thought do protract and an ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction mind acting prelogically (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) on such postlogism-as-of-non-conviction non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives is 'technically psychopathic as well' as they are in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the psychopath's 'denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'-with-'successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci'-construed-as-'deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts' towards 'social-aggregation-

enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. This is known as conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration (whether conjugated to in ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation), which is to be construed as ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and once it is induced by ignorance it leads to an undermining of ‘deductive social universal-transparency which protects the internal-coherence of meaning as of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and corresponding virtue’ and so by way of ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ of registry-worldviews, with subsequent conjugating ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, the conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration is derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and goes on to lead to social psychopathy; more like a dumb-and-dumb/miscuing degeneration effect. It should be noted that both psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism cases of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought (as slanted and cohering-slanted, respectively), by their ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’, involve ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness in arrogation by the fact that taken singularly from the same interlocutor in different circumstances, each (hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-

intemporal-preservation) narrative is apparently coherent but ‘construed together as of the retracing of set-of-narratives’ these reveal ‘unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as dementing’. It is rather their respective ‘retracing of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of set-of-narratives together’ that reveals ‘postlogical slanting unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ and ‘conjugated-postlogism cohering-slanted unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (dementing-integration)’; as in successive postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ and corresponding conjugated-postlogical conjoining of the iterating narratives, the succeeding changing/decentering/non-cohering foci (thus revealing the ‘deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect’ as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought inducing the dementing which is particularly obvious at childhood psychopathy but its perception easily gets lost at adult psychopathy with psychopath increasing maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction) are constantly modified with circumstantial hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation by ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’; and so in order to wrongly imply the apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements as the foundation for its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge. However, the natural level of human interlocution engagement ‘is not the enlightenment of the retracing of an interlocutor’s sets-of-narratives’ (as this could vary anywhere from say a few days or weeks to years of conviction

engagement, for such an insight to arise), but rather as of ‘specific singular circumstantial narrative of interlocution without a comprehensive existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context projection’ by which interlocutors deduce circumstantially. Thus the postlogical-and-conjugated-postlogical habit of producing sets-of-narratives (which collective retracing reveals their unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought from existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context insight, but singularly out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context are apparently of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought) come to be endemised and enculturated socially, as of -‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’. Further, this ‘natural level of human interlocution engagement is a perpetuation’ explaining why the conjugated-postlogism mental-disposition is one of ‘slanted-cohering/conjoining’ as it rather re-rationalises the latest iterated narrative as an elucidation rather than a further dementing of adult psychopath/postlogism (as obvious with the child psychopathy ‘delirium effect’ as it slants and re-slants on the initial slanting in an absolving-logic/fleeting-logic/escaping-logic reflex); and, the falsely projected reference-of-thought implied-elements of logical-dueness-or-implied-scape/implied-profile-or-implied-stature/implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation/implied-assumptions/implied-value-reference/implied-teleology, create a new foundation for further dementing when wrongly eliciting in an interlocutor logical-processing-or-logical-implication issue, such that one salient manifestation of conjugated-

postlogism arises with many of such an interlocutor vaguely articulating propositions based on such falsely ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’.

The idea that the ‘natural level of human interlocution engagement is a perpetuation’ can be understood insightfully with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism setup wherein a contention arising in non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought terms when invalidated positivistic terms doesn’t imply that such interlocutors will instantly dramatically change their reference-of-thought into the positivistic terms with their successive contentions (due to <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag), as their reference-of-thought remains rather in non-positivism/medievalism circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability, and in the big picture in all likelihood can only be ‘weaned from’ cross-generationally as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Likewise the ‘natural basis of human interlocutory engagement tends to be perpetuating’ when it comes with psychopathy and social psychopathy with respect to its eliciting of a ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold-(as-procrypticism)’, thus equally implying a <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the reference-of-thought as of the uninstitutionalised-threshold or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Thus the central notion for preempting psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism is the ‘retracing of their sets-of-narratives as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context'. That revealing unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of the traces of sets-of-narratives is analogous to resolving a list of BODMAS equations where the solution of the first equation is a variable of the second equation and whose solution is a variable of the third equation whose solution is a variable of the fourth; and where the first equation is fundamentally flawed (as of an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument flaw, for instance), systematically the three other equations will be wrong whether by (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) mental-disposition to resolve the equation of the traditional arithmetic principles as reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation without factoring that such reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are only as pertinent (not by habit or tradition or expediency) but as of when they are truly for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or ontological-normalcy to then articulate the necessary 'imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality' over naïve elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (as of <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) that is only pertinent when it is of the existential

existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality. It is
 important thus to know that since the defect of psychopathy and its derivation as social
 psychopathy has nothing to do with logical-processing but everything to do with perversion-
 of-reference-of-thought/perversion-of-axiomatic-construct and the false ‘apriorising–
 reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ which are
 implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
 arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology, it is simply maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness that is ontologically called for to invalidate the
 psychopathic ‘implied falsehood’ by invalidating the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-
 elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-
 or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology, and not
 involve in any elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-
 of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which will ‘hollow-constitute’
 and falsely validate the deceptive foundation of ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-
 elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-
 or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. This is
 most apparent with childhood psychopathy as with the dereifying example of spilling water
 on a chair where it is directly obvious there is no elaboration-as-mere-

extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity to be had/entertained nor any logical analysis but rather maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness invalidating that the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape of the child psychopath who deliberately in a ‘dereifying act’ spills water on the chair to accuse another even exists, its implied-profile is ridiculous, just as its implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation, its implied-assumptions, its implied-value-reference and its implied-teleology (or sense-of-purpose), and such an approach will equally extend with regards to social psychopathy where by ignorance at best or ‘other cynical temporal manifestations as of conjugating affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation’ an interlocutor was to falsely imply the need for logical analysis in order to falsely validate the foundational faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge of the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity)’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’.

This phenomenon of the ‘social protraction of psychopathy across individuals and society’ can be articulated as follows. It is important to grasp that the mechanism of SLANTING or impulsive-dementing is actually about ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. The suspected psychosomatic basis for

the psychopath to be slanted/‘cinglé’ is a ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge (entitlement folie/folie raisonnée)’ as opposed to a logical motivation of a conviction or prelogical mental-disposition. It is as if ‘the psychopath’s mental state is to take a faulty-mentation-procedure-shortcut’ to the normal process of prelogism-as-of-conviction logical articulation with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Going by the example highlighted above, say for instance the interlocutor finds out that the other stranger isn’t really a child molester. The psychopath simply articulates another postlogic/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness/formulaic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) over the previous narrative, and so in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoiced-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’. For instance, by saying (in a different social spatial location where the interlocutor cannot verify the underlying contextual reality) it is critical that the stranger should not be taking young children in his house as it suspiciously points to a molester (which is certainly a sound statement but rather being parasitised for a perverse purpose of ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoiced-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers, as the statement, not to take young children into his house, is sanctifying/as-not-requiring-any-further-contemplation to many a mental-disposition). Even if this latter narrative is proven to be false (as it is another perversion-of-reference-of-thought or mental-perversion demonstrable as above with it faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the logic itself, but in wrongly implying as existentially real the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-

reference/teleology such that the mere fact of engaging logically with it validates these fundamental falsehood as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge paving the way for an infinite possibility of second-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge operating logical-processing-or-logical-implication on such false axioms. Thus, with respect to postlogism generally what is critical for the psychopath/postlogical-mindset is to be seen as being conviction/prelogical even if it is a perception of bad-conviction since that will validate the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ on the basis that it was the logical-processing-or-logical-implication that was wrong hence the possibility and credibility not to question the reference-of-thought/apriorising–registry/categorical-imperatives/axioms and to re-engage logical-processing-or-logical-implication by ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction re-engaging reflex’ wrongly turning the issue into one of logical-processing-or-logical-implication instead of construing a perversion-of-reference-of-thought ‘dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought manifestation’). The psychopath simply needs to loop another non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative over the previous one in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers. What is critical for the psychopath is that ‘the last postlogic/formulaic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated’ allows its interlocutors to prelogically ‘rationalise’ (align in-conviction to or prelogism, at-a-pedestal,-in-this-case-ignorance-pedestal) the other narratives even if there are all ‘non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives’. This might further involve juggling such hollow mimicking in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-

dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic among different set-of-interlocutors (this is simply because postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation operates by extrinsic-attribution, i.e. who can I convince to make my argument right as per ‘perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness’ unlike postlogism as prelogism which operates by intrinsic-attribution, i.e. what is intrinsically real to uphold ontological virtue as per ‘existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at’), and inducing mutual misconstruing; and the reason for a perpetual psychopath’s extrinsic-attribution inclination is that the outcome of its postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (which is an unusual and rare social experience given that a psychopathic personality and postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation are an outlier phenomenon) with one set-of-interlocutors will involve either a temporal commitment to the postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (due to the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency as inducing vices-and-impediments which will then make it alienating) or a ‘fool-me-once-phenomenon’ where there is a relative insight on postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation from some interlocutors with no more commitment given the inconsistency of the hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, in time speaking to the fundamental mental denaturing involved in postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, and so for the shallowness of the

postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation the extrinsic-attribution inclination is in constant need for new sets-of-interlocutors. The mental process that takes place in the ignorant prelogism-as-of-conviction mind is a prelogic/existential-contextualising-contiguity /conviction alignment (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) to the psychopath's (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented projection (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) such that the former's mind is rather in a hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation 'conjoining looping narratives (of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought and developing a conviction or prelogism out of them), to the psychopath's 'denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'-with-'successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci'-construed-as-'deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts'towards 'social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating' as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. But again, this is just when the temporal prelogical/prelogism-as-of-conviction mind is ignorant of the slanted mental state of the psychopath.

The general and complete operative psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought mechanism (it isn't necessarily completed in all manifestations as is rather a 'mental roaming/drifting-cycle disposition known as postlogism-retreating' that carries on depending on how the situation permits) involves the psychopath first projecting initially neutral

narratives (pre-valuation), then narratives meant to elicit the sense of excellence/exception/accommodation of its interlocutor (pri-individuation) as well as any other person or notion the interlocutor holds in high esteem, which are then contrasted ‘out of context’ unfavourably with non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives about the psychopath’s ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction target’ (de-individuation) ensuring the latter narratives are articulated craftily and at different social locations/spaces. De-individuation further consists of four elements; ‘consternation’ wherein narratives with a ‘sense of dismay’ are induced on the interlocutor about the psychopath’s social-stake-contention-or-confliction target, ‘revulsion’ wherein narratives with a ‘sense of repugnance’ are induced on the interlocutor about the target, ‘certainty’ wherein narratives with a ‘false sense of undoubtedness’ are projected about the target on the interlocutor, and finally ‘a sense of passive or suggestive alienation’ towards the psychopath’s target is projected upon the interlocutor to ‘subconsciously induce a sense of alienation from the target’. The psychopath then strives to settle on the whole of this process circularly doing likewise with other new and pertinent interlocutors as well (commitment). By and large this circularity perversion-of-reference-of-thought thus involves these four elements as pre-valuation/pri-individuation/de-individuation/commitment. Together with its corollary, social psychopathy, this disposition (passive or suggestive alienation) is at various level-of-consciousness-and-wittiness extended to the social-construct as a comprehensive nature of extrinsic-attribution. Passive or suggestive alienation as such with corresponding ‘temporal-dispositions miscuing’ which is ‘misconstrued as intrinsic ontological depth-of-conviction’.

The underlying reason for the entirety of this mental process in the psychopath has to do with its ‘formulaic perception of meaning’ (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-

possibility-of-it-being-narrated) which poorly perceives ‘conviction contentions’ not in the ‘essence/conviction sense’ but rather as ‘formulaic mental alienation schemes’ wherein perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness (in other words the developmental psychology of the psychopath is actually to perceive conviction meaning as formulaic-schemes/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated, to which it responds in kind), and so is in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to prelogism-as-of-conviction, and strives to ‘square off as perversion-of-reference-of-thought at uninstitutionalised-threshold involving organic-comprehension-thinking (‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness) being circumvented/distracted by mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation in an epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising; and so, in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing along 3-pedestals (psychopath’s slantedness/impulsive-dementation transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestal, temporal-dispositions transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestals, and the intemporal-disposition transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestal in their ontological-escalation/aetiologisation), enabling the stranding-dialectics, and not <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, of mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as being distractive to organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’; to ultimately prevent its own ‘perceived social alienation’ by inducing the alienation of its ‘perceived social-stake-contention-or-confliction

target’ over a social-stake-contention-or-conflict paradigm. Critically, it should be understood that passive or suggestive alienation is actually the summum of the possibilities of the psychopath’s meaningful finality that starts from prevaluation (neutral narrations).

It should be noted that the mental state of the psychopath’s interlocutor as ‘ignorance-temporal-disposition conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing’ is not really ontologically-speaking a prelogical/conviction mental state but rather technically a ‘miscuing/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase postlogical mental state’. There are two stages at which an interlocutor can be in relation with the psychopathic manifestation: first, as an ignorant of psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation to which the interlocutor aligns prelogically and then miscues, and then secondly (in addition), as ‘committed-by-temporality/interest over intrinsic-veridicality’ whether in the form of affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

It should be noted that this psychopathic manifestation process can be mimicked in the context of social psychopathy, and more thoroughly when as ‘exacerbation-temporal-disposition conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing’. Over a given or extended period the underlying effect sought by the psychopath might stick, especially where the social target, interlocutors and others are utterly unaware of the mental state of the psychopath, and so evolving more like a social-discomfiture of relationship over ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-conflict’ (*social-discomfiture as such can be defined as the subsequent, ignorant or deliberate/disingenuous, adherence as if veridical to the slanted and hollow mimicking narratives of the psychopath with the corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought or mental-perversion in the social context). It is important to see that such social-discomfiture is

in reality not a veridical logical ‘contention’ but in veridicality/ontologically a ‘protracted manifestation’ of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought of both the psychopath and its interlocutors (even when the interlocutor is at best ignorant of the underlying psychopathic state), requiring ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ initiated by the psychopath’s postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, and resolved suprastructurally by a deprocryptic mindset/reference-of-thought making reference to superseding deprocryptic reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (just like an accusation of witchcraft in medieval society is not veridically/ontologically a ‘contention’ but rather a ‘protracted manifestation’ of non-positivism/medieval registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought by the dynamism of non-positivism/medieval mindset, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant suprastructurally by a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought making reference to superseding positivistic reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). It should be noted that suprastructuring implies reflection about an abject and mentally dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase; as of non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference as-the-temporal-dispositions-are-dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as suprastructurally reflected by an ‘ordered construct from the intemporal/ontologising disposition’ (since the state of exhibiting/demonstrating perversion-of-reference-of-thought will annul temporal-dispositions pedestals/statures/presumptuousness as dialectical-thinking/‘logically contending’, more like a medieval mind with a superstitious registry-worldview doesn’t has the

stature/presumptuousness to ‘logically contend’ about the ontological veridicality of an accusation of witchcraft with a suprastructuring positivistic mind, as the former makes syncretic/circular references to non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in its supposed articulation of logic).

Paradoxically, the normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind is so attached by conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex to the notion of the essence of conviction meaning (as it is not priorly inclined to put into question narratives but rather to quickly operate/process logic to arrive at outcome while ‘trusting’ that the other is also prelogism-as-of-conviction in their apriorising—registry, and so because psychopathy is a relatively outlier phenomenon thus the natural human personality development doesn’t take it much into account in the bigger scheme of things, i.e. it will be ‘a waste of too much mental energy’ to be verifying in detail the apriorising—registry – implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology – of every interlocutor, so mentally the human mind has developed ‘a referencing scheme of trusting that involves closeness, familiarity, reputation and appearance’; but such a scheme is strictly speaking ontologically incomplete and underminable but it is standard as it ‘saves mental energy and time’, hence it is the strongest factor for the social prevalence of psychopathy and its social psychopathy corollary, and by extension all postlogisms//outcome-sought-precedes-logical-dueness across all registry-worldviews/dimensions); that it will find it hard to articulate or for that matter not believe the comprehensiveness and extent by which the psychopath can produce non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives towards its end purpose, particularly as it is a rather social outlier phenomenon and hence not usually integrated in many an individual’s conceptualisation of social relations and phenomena. That’s why the manifestation of bad conviction, contrasted

to the psychopath's non-conviction-or-'existential-decontextualised-transposition'-or-impulsively-dementing, is ad hoc, circumspect and highly contextualised since the prelogism-as-of-conviction mind even when acting temporally/badly has a hard time escaping from conviction or prelogism (it has qualms/conscience) while the psychopath's non-conviction-or-'existential-decontextualised-transposition'-or-impulsively-dementing is comprehensive since the psychopath naturally doesn't attach any 'emotional involvement' and qualms to the meaning of the narratives it articulates (it views them just as non-veridical hollow mimicking form narratives that determine its interlocutors prelogism-as-of-conviction dispositions and actions). In so doing, the psychopath has a parallel formulaic-representation-of-meaning/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated which 'subknowledging/mimics' the fundamental elements of 'conviction deductive meaning' such that the (adult) psychopath's non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives come across paradoxically as highly credulous. Basically the relevant question for the psychopath is: 'how was the hollow mimicking form that can be grasped in a prelogism-as-of-conviction mind deterministic of other prelogism-as-of-conviction minds behaviours, and how can I then mimic-and-project this hollow mimicking form to determine how others minds will act. These parallel formulaic-projection/extrinsic-attribution induced-meaningfulness elements (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) with their corresponding prelogism-as-of-conviction/intrinsic-attribution veridical-meaningfulness elements (which are subknowledged/mimicked) involve: 'toning-triggering/snappings-of-impression/tenseness-of-interlocutory-engagement-(easily copied with conjugated-postlogism at an intuitive-level)' as subknowledging 'prelogism-as-of-conviction toning/mannerisms'; 'hollow mimicking presumptuousness/arrogation/usurpation' as subknowledging 'prelogism-as-of-conviction suppositions'; 'folie-raisonnante/non-veridical assumptions' as subknowledging 'veridical assumptions'; 'absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic' as subknowledging 'prelogism-as-

of-conviction logical operation narratives'; inductive/contextual limitation as subknowledging 'principles/projected-logic'; structured-manipulation/deception-or-mimicking-or-gotcha-logic as subknowledging 'value referencing/applicative-logic'; 'taking-out-of-context/offsetting logic' as subknowledging 'veridical contexts logic', and 'extrinsic-attribution acts with respect to conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding contexts on the basis that acts by the psychopath to elicit the temporal-self-interest of its interlocutors will override intrinsic right or wrong; whether such actions include praising, endearing, owing a favour, gifting, assisting, being friendly towards, etc.' as subknowledging 'intrinsic-attribution of acts as inherently right or wrong'. On the above basis, the psychopath's relation to 'deductive meaning' is actually reverting to 'vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging as non-conviction/postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' deductions' as 'revert deduction' whereas 'conviction deductions' emphasise the intrinsic attributive essence of deductions with corresponding latent forms of prosody, psychopathic vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging 'revert or non-conviction/postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' deductions' imply the psychopath overemphasises in a consciously active manner the empty forms of prosody in-of-themselves first and over the intrinsic attributive essence of meaning like overemphasising the toning form (toning triggering) and the supposition form (presumptuousness) in their expressed deductive reasoning, as it mimicks the fact that the forms of prosody tend to be overemphasised spontaneously when naturally expressing profound/deep conviction; thus naturally the psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought has an unusually large repertoire of 'sense of meaningfulness associated with empty forms of prosody' since it artificially perceives them

as more critical than the conviction mind's intrinsic meaningfulness the forms of prosody are latently associated with. The peculiarity with the psychopath and in the instance of protracted slantedness/social psychopathy with the case of exacerbation for instance, is the over-elaboration of such forms in a way that is rather an instrumentalisation of form of expression and not natural expression (mimicking or vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging). In fact, it is often the case that such line of rather 'overly emphasised forms of expression with peculiar tonality' will be noticeable across an entire set of the psychopath interlocutor's in conjugated-postlogism in their 'conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought' (pointing to vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging), and can be an advanced insight of a 'psychopathic/postlogical and social psychopathic/conjugated-postlogism situation', construable with an appropriate maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This mirrors the operant case highlighted further below, wherein the implied meaningfulness (of postlogical/psychopathic, conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration and conviction mental-dispositions) is existentially-traced as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of 'existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology' to establish ontological-veridicality, and not simply operating on the 'naïve supposition of universal human prelogism-as-of-conviction' without factoring the non-conviction/postlogical-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing mental-disposition of the postlogical/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration mindsets/reference-of-thought.

It is important to note that the psychopath's targeting is highly evolutive throughout its life (along human personality development stages) as 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' with others arise and 'the possibility of going undetected' permits. The psychopath being 'out-of-phase' is pushed by a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/urge/foleie raisonnante, and the idea of psychopath's having a grand plan/an overall scheme in its actions is ridiculous and unfounded (this idea again, is due to prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-alignment or in-phasing or prelogism to the last narrative(s) of the psychopath and rationalising prelogically/by-essence/candor all its previous 'denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'-with-'successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci'-construed-as-'deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts' towards 'social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating' as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase' over 'the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating' instead of mentally aligning postlogically/by-form/slantedness/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought before reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) a protracted unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/insanity). In fact, the psychopath's faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge occurs because of overthinking (elevating its perverted registry/mimicking-subknowledging to wrongly contend with it) rather than underthinking downgrading the perversion-of-reference-of-thought-⟨reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not contending with it⟩, just as is naturally done with a 'childhood cinglé' who is not yet surreptitious and the delirium is rather obvious. Actually, instead of being 'deliberate of thought'/'conviction logical motive', the psychopath 'impulsively learns' as of its non-conviction/postlogical faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge from the successive experiences of its

failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing childhood postlogism-slantedness as it grows into an adult by learning first to be socially-functional-and-accordant while being matured, indirect, spatialising, credulous and crafty about its postlogism-slantedness so that it starts becoming effective in inducing conviction minds to align in-conviction to its non-conviction/hollow narratives. Thus, social universal-transparency' of its slanted/postlogical narratives mental-disposition at childhood 'gets lost' socially at adulthood to many a conviction mind just getting acquainted but this is basically the same hollow-formulaic structure. This social loss-of-awareness of the social universal-transparency as being postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction further elicits a 'sense of temporality' as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in many an acquainted or non-acquainted (ignorance) conviction minds to the psychopathic postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-existential-decontextualising-transposition/dementing narratives as if it was truly 'thinking'/prelogical/conviction/about-effective-reality thus inducing the phenomenon of social-psychopathy. Thus, a non-ignorant temporal pedestal mindset/reference-of-thought whether affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation may find it in their temporal-self-interest to cynically elevate the psychopath's postlogism-as-of-non-conviction/slantedness/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-mimicking-or-subknowledging, when this is not socially universally transparent (at uninstitutionalised-threshold). Further, the element of the need to be socially-functional-and-accordant first, implies that psychopathy is 'more than just the drive of a pathological individual' but

inevitably psychopathy and correspondingly social psychopathy involves a ‘social split-dynamism’ wherein the ‘unordinary eliciting’ of temporal interest among some as extrinsic-attribution (praising, endearing, owing a favour, gifting, assisting, being friendly towards, etc.) is the basis for the targeting of another or others, further compounded by the fact that while so-called ‘rules of sound logic’ abstractly permeate more or less effectively most of our formal setups, their sociological pertinence is actually far from established, but for the fact that broad and large general education diminishes social egregiousness in this respect, as specifically ‘reasoning by significant others’ is actually the more common mental-disposition in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) including the ‘informal spaces’ of formal setups, with the result that this is a further factor that makes psychopathy poorly graspable as simply of individual denaturing dynamics rather than of social denaturing dynamics, thus better construed phenomenally as social psychopathy; as logic will often tend to be ‘rationalised in social rather than abstract terms’ depending on level of individuals intuition about the underlying dynamism of the postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mental-disposition (going by experience), and then their sense of abstraction or gullibility or disposition to bandwagon effect with respect to a critical aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. (The implication here is that, for instance, it will be very naïve for an investigation involving a psychopath without the investigators being extra-cautious with respect to the underlying social aggregation linkage of potential interlocutors).

Hence, the above phenomenon is further compounded in increasing profoundness (i.e. where the psychopath’s childhood delirium gives way to an adulthood mental articulation which is diffused/with-hardly-any-social universal-transparency-but-rather-select-transparency-to-some about the nature of the psychopath’s veridical mental state) when the

‘temporal prelogism-as-of-conviction interlocutor’, by the mechanism of ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at the point of lack of social universal-transparency about the psychopathic postlogism/slantedness non-conviction in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (and wherein there is no transparency about temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation), becomes ‘affordable’ (as it doesn’t think it has got anything to lose personally), ‘negatively opportunistic’ (as it occasionally finds a temporal-self-interest in backing the psychopath, even though it knows better), ‘negatively exacerbatory’ (as it gains some insight in the psychopath’s mental process and actually strives to copy it adhocly, as a successful way of going about one’s temporal-self-interest). There is equally a social dynamism aspect wherein the issue of ‘social allegiance, affordability and initial prelogism-as-of-conviction alignment to psychopath-and/or-the-protracted-postlogism’ comes to override the issue of ‘intrinsic rightness’ leading to what is known as ‘social-chainism or negative-social-aggregation or social-discomfiture’ which in turn (because individuals find ‘apparent social success and conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding’ in such social behaviour) leads to the ‘temporal endemisation/enculturation of social psychopathy’. The underlying mental-disposition of the psychopath as postlogical and the temporal prelogical/conviction minds pedestals that endemise/enculturate this process thus becoming conjugated-postlogism, is known as ‘extrinsic-attribution’, i.e. the idea of satisfying an interlocutors sense of temporal interests is more important and critical in gaining their support than the notion of intrinsic truth/veridicality of meaning (intrinsic-attribution). Ontologically, this requires an altogether PURIST and UNCOMPROMISING intemporal/ontological conceptualisation of such a-

comprehensive-social-temporal-hodgepodging which is rather ontologically-discontinuous. This author qualifies as procrypticismor emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real-set-of-narratives, and so as ‘ONTOLOGICAL ENTRAPMENT’ going by the ‘human solipsistic/emanant template of institutionalisation/intemporalisation’, given that reality and predication doesn’t compromise with the ‘mortal’ that man is (more like the positivistic mind can’t afford to compromise positivism to non-positivism/medievalism) exactly for the ‘intemporal good-of-man’.

At childhood the psychopath’s mental process can fully be seen in operation as the slanted effect of its thinking produces ‘a delirium effect’. However, as the psychopath matures it start adjusting to its failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing slanted mental process as it faces the negating social reaction of its immediate family environment and the grander society with respect to its slanting/impulsive-dementing. But then in its child development psychology, this social negation is rather the backdrop by which it evolves (in a process of trial-and-error in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-absolving-or-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic wherein ‘perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness, i.e. vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’) from ‘a direct and blatant faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge for postlogical slantedness’ in a given social space during its childhood to a state in which the psychopath ‘externalises, displaces and transfers its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge for postlogical slantedness to attain an apparent normal social equilibrium or socially-functional-and-accordant state within any given social space as it

develops into adulthood'. It is in this way that a mechanism for psychopathic and postlogical slantedness is relayed to apparently sound conviction interlocutors, and so along five factors:

- MATURATION (as childish slanted delirious non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives give way to increasingly adult and serious non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives which unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/slantedness become harder to perceive);

- INDIRECTNESS (as the psychopath makes its motive, i.e. the psychopathic faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge, less direct and obvious, by increasingly appearing to bring up narratives in a neutral and unmotivated manner);

- SPATIALISATION (as the psychopath learns to articulate narratives at different 'social spaces/locations' to prevent interlocutors from judging their non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives and comparing with the effective social reality context to establish whether the narratives are sound);

- CREDULITY (as with development from childhood to adulthood psychopathy, its narratives increasingly mimic 'genuine conviction narratives' and at an even deeper level mimicking 'profound conviction mindsets on issues' the psychopath has witnessed or has experienced insight of, and projecting these out of their social context to elicit the same effect) as well as readjusting its slanting/impulsive-dementing in a roaming/drifting-cycle as per evolving situation whether succeeding, being discovered and undermined, reassessing, backing down whether momentarily or not, bifurcating with the slanting/impulsive-dementing, etc. since it is evolving in an 'absolving or fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic'. Further slanting is done at what it perceives to be 'the credulity-level-of-slanting' with respect to a given interlocutor which constantly evolves with psychopathic maturation. While the childhood psychopathy slanting is rather haphazard and by reflex, however the successive failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing is an experiential basis that

ultimately skews ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) it into more strategic postlogical slanting at adolescence and adulthood with more matured construction and themes. Thus implying a corresponding development from a low credulity effect at childhood to high credulity effect at adulthood with respect to interlocutors, in addition to the fact that at adulthood its postlogism-slantedness is not socially-universally-transparency, that is, it now passes the intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness or 'uninstitutionalised-threshold') of many an interlocutor;

- CRAFTINESS (with increasingly greater crude-to-polished apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing):

Actually when it comes to social-and-confliction-stakes, the psychopath being postlogism-as-of-non-conviction construes meaningfulness as a hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation construct driven as an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing exercise (with respect to same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness with regards to ordinary meaning) as determining of others/conviction interlocutors behaviours and mental-dispositions; this is rather crude with the childhood-psychopath/cinglé such that it fails to elicit conviction in others as the postlogical-effect is rather 'delirious' then (as in the case of wetting a chair) but the postlogism at adulthood psychopathy becomes rather polished/less-crude in its effect 'with maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity' to the point then of eliciting a prelogical/conviction mental-disposition as conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration (conjugated-ignorance, conjugated-affordability, conjugated-opportunism, conjugated-exacerbation, conjugated-social-chainism and

conjugated-temporal-enculturation) which is hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation with respect to the meaningfulness of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology from the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing. The psychopath perceives instances of rebuttal of its postlogism not essentially in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the rightness or wrongness of the postlogical acts as a conviction/prelogical mental-disposition will but rather in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of not delivering well and failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing of the postlogical narratives with the idea of how to further confound/muddle hence the reason it is recursive (postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’) as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic to the point of faking remorsefulness or being a victim as long as fundamentally it ‘succeeds in placing its interlocutor in a prelogism-as-of-conviction relation to its non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism mental-disposition’ in order for the former to conjoin to its postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’). So basically, as social-and-confliction-stakes develop from childhood to adulthood, likewise the psychopath’s postlogical narratives exercise develop and become increasingly serious in its social consequences as the context of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ moves from family, neighbourhood, school, company, administration, business, criminality, etc. depending on the development of the specific psychopath. The fact, however, is that many of those who grow together with the psychopath (immediate family, close family friends and relatives, etc.) generally have some insight, however wobbly, into this mental

process. Further, psychopathic phenomenon meets with varying impact levels as it's just a way of being/living for the psychopath, and differences in the setup of 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' context and time might play a role in making its social consequences benign or aggravated.

But then psychopathy and its social consequences, as a social phenomenon, is often wrongly perceived as exclusively due solely to an individual (the psychopath). This is rather an incomplete picture of things actually. The psychopath in a way can be said to suffer from a pathological dysfunction arising in the interaction of biology and the social environment. The psychopath has an urge or the inclination to take a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception to resolving 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction's. This is the reason why its narratives are of succeeding changing/decentering/non-cohering foci in order to wrongly imply the veridicality of the projected apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements which when wrongly acquiesced to is the foundation for its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge; as the succession of narratives are successive slants over one another, more like a non-cohering deception which is a deception as the basis for a succeeding deception as the basis for a further succeeding deception, and so on, explaining its peculiar absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic and the deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect). Paradoxically, this faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge points to the fact that the slanted child psychopathy mind has 'a developmental incompleteness (as it is so focussed on attaining its sought after outcome in advance that it construes of 'presupposing/presuming/premising in concurrence' as an independent mental activity that must not necessarily be derived-and-implied from existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context,

which is what validates logical-processing-or-logical-implication as a process reflecting existential-reality as of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), in the formation of a basic and normal conviction/prelogical (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) mindset/reference-of-thought’ inducing rather a non-conviction/postlogical mindset/reference-of-thought as it relates to meaning and meaningfulness as a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (explaining its absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic mental-disposition); rather than as of the ‘requisite existentially veridical logical-dueness (of apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements) and logical-processing-soundness driven construct’ associated with a conviction/prelogical mindset/reference-of-thought. And this fundamental faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction of its non-conviction/prelogical mindset/reference-of-thought then goes on to account for the developmental psychology of the psychopath from childhood to adulthood wherein it gains maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness in circumventing its postlogism failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing experiences at childhood and early adolescence to achieve the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance at adulthood. The paradox being that the conviction/prelogical mindset/reference-of-thought will project its own mental-disposition unwittingly upon the psychopath (in the case of adult psychopathy but not in the instance of childhood psychopathy where the latter’s deliriousness/delirious-effect/cinglé-effect is often obvious due to lack of maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness to attain social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction), and paradoxically then wrongly validate the psychopath as conviction/prelogical with respect to

meaning and meaningfulness as of 'requisite existentially veridical logical-dueness (of apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements) and logical-processing-soundness driven construct'. However, psychopathy tends to take a social dynamism all of its own which cannot only be explained by the nature of the psychopath who initiates it. The fact is, while conviction, the rest of the human mental-dispositions include varying levels of temporality/shortness (when there is no social universal-transparency of our acts at 'uninstitutionalised-threshold' thus there is not 'intemporal social universal-transparency of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation,' thus creating an 'induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality' derived from the psychopath's initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation). That is, abstractly, with respect to 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' humans do solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly suffer perpetually, at 'uninstitutionalised-threshold', from the temporal-dispositions of slantedness (the psychopath), ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. These poor solipsistic abstract temporal-dispositions that pervade the social context tend to be overcome with institutionalisation/intemporalisation and formalisations with corresponding internalisation of values or secondnaturing. However, at circumstances where the institutionalisation/intemporalisation threshold is surpassed or often made irrelevant like in the 'extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)', then 'a induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-

fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ will elicit the ‘mediocrity/averageness of mind’. This is strongly the case with psychopathy which when ‘successful’ (and not perceived deliriously but rather wrongly integrated prelogically/in-conviction) will often perfectly elicit an ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality dynamism’ in the social-construct such that others will find it to their temporal self-interest to perpetuate, whether circumstantially or profoundly, the phenomenon of psychopathy in society, so long as they can rationalise their dispositions and acts. This as ‘social psychopathy’ as a result of the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (involving protracted/derived slantedness), in the absence of social universal-transparency on the veridicality of narratives with respect to social-and-confliction-stakes tends to induce ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (at the point of such lack of social universal-transparency of its postlogism-slantedness to many a conviction interlocutor as the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’).

Hence psychopathy when studied dynamically is rather ‘social psychopathy’. Psychopathy through this social dynamism effect equally influences social behaviour as at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ human learned behaviour is primarily geared towards what is ‘perceived as succeeding or conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding rather than ontological rightness for rightness sake’, whether intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) or temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), hence its relation to sociopathy which is a more generalised

notion of social vices-and-impediments. The social psychopathy phenomenon (in describing the underlying abstract nature of man before institutionalisation/intemporalisation; institutionalisation/intemporalisation being the exercise of utilising the intemporal-disposition by its purist and universal projection rules in an ‘ontological entrapment’ exercise to undermine/override temporal-dispositions subknowledging/mimicking, by virtue of its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and overall medium to long term good to the cross-section of human temporal interests) is equally associated with the notion of the stages of human transcendence/civilisation, in an intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation exercise, from an recurrent-utter-institutionalised animal through subsequent stages of institutionalisation/intemporalisation (as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation exercise, ‘as against the temporal human disposition to subknowledge-⟨dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge⟩/pervert intemporal categorical-imperatives) starting with base-institutionalisation (initial sense of social rules/organisation), universalisation, positivism and prospectively the future institutionalisation/intemporalisation this author qualifies as deprocrypticism (preempting procrypticism, so construed by ‘deprocrypticism ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectical-thinking-teleological-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’). That is, psychopathy as postlogism is associated with temporal-dispositions in their ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of the various institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology behind a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation level that then warrants a subsequent ‘intemporal-

preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation of
 prospective reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). To
 grasp this better say for instance the normal arithmetic we know $2+2=4$, $5+1=6$, $7-3=4$, etc.
 was to be undermine by a new human perversion-of-reference-of-thought caused by a disease
 wherein we tend to say $2+2=5$, $5+1=7$ and $7-3=3$, then the traditional categorical-imperatives
 of addition and subtraction will be modified to take account of our perversion/defect by
 saying that additionality will involve subtracting 1 from the result and subtractivity will
 involve adding 1 to the result, so that arithmetic mirrors intrinsic reality outcome (intemporal
 transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence). Thus reference-of-thought-categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are 'inventions' that are as pertinent as the extent of
 their preservation of intemporal reality (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-
 ontological-preservation). Hence a false subknowledging/mimicking-and-protracted-
 mimicking with no relationship to intrinsic reality renders categorical-
 imperatives/registry/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-
 ontological-preservation null and void, calling for the overcoming of the
 slantedness/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of mental-devising-
 representation and the articulation of new reference-of-thought-categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-
 or-ontological-preservation reflecting intrinsic reality. These registry-worldview/dimension
 perversions-of-reference-of-thought include:

- RECURRENT-UTTER-UNINSTITUTIONALISATION (base perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought-{reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought},
 resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant by BASE-INSTITUTIONALISATION categorical-

imperatives/registry-worldview/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-as-of-ontological-normalcy),

- UNUNIVERSALISATION (perversion-of-reference-of-thought of base-institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant by UNIVERSALISATION categorical-imperatives/registry-worldview/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-as-of-ontological-normalcy),

- NON-POSITIVISM/MEDIEVALISM (perversion-of-reference-of-thought of universalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant by POSITIVISM categorical-imperatives/registry-worldview/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-as-of-ontological-normalcy), and prospectively,

- Procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (slanted perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, resolved/structurally-rendered-inoperant prospectively by DEPROCRYPTICISM categorical-imperatives/registry-worldview/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-as-of-ontological-normalcy).

In the bigger scheme of things such ‘institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures’ as articulated above gives coherence in conceptualising a continuity in the human emanant/becoming anthropological experience; as putting into perspective and not excepting any particular stage of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, as we might tend to do by focussing on the present positive registry-worldview which is just the backend in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, while ignoring the ‘effective and causative intemporal behind the institutional-

cumulation/institutional-recomposure transcendental/psychoanalytic-unshackling process', which skews ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) 'the cross-section of human entropic being' in the medium to long run towards intemporal-disposition preservation while undermining temporal-dispositions. Such a depth-of-thought as projected by the 'institutionalisation intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation' is what creates 'a sounder scientific foundation' for 'a hermeneutic psychological science' termed 'anthropopsychology' or the 'anthropological continuity'. This can be comparatively compared to the hydrocarbon fractionation column wherein virtue is 'lightness'. We may be confused to think that being at a lighter state, a particular hydrocarbon fluid like kerosene is inherently the definition of virtue. But actually, the exceptionality (lightness) of kerosene is the result of the 'distilling process' which fractionates crude oil into kerosene. So if we start having issues of 'lightness' at the kerosene stage of the hydrocarbon fractionation column, what is called for is applying the 'distilling process' over kerosene to produce say petroleum gas. So inherently, all the hydrocarbon fluids are hydrocarbon, with virtue being the application of the distilling process. Thus reasoning from the overall perspective of the human species we can't afford not to pass 'so-called modern man' through the 'distilling process' (transcendence as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) as it is because every successive transcendental level 'did its homework' that we are in the positivistic world, and we can't confuse 'being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure' with us being inherently exceptional (it is the transcendental/psychoanalytic-unshackling process of undermining perversion-of-reference-of-thought that is). Hence 'our homework' is to articulate our very own perversion-of-reference-of-thought for the possibilities of the future, and not strive to arrive at a normalcy of 'our temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-

preservation’ which speaks of inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy as we get at our ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’; instead enabling ‘intemporal preservation’ (by oblongating/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of our mental-devising-representation as a registry-worldview defect/perversion of positivistic categorical-imperatives/axioms known as ‘procrypticism’/emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real, for a prospective anticipation and preemption of this known as ‘deprocrypticism’)!

It should be noted that while ‘institutional-cumulation’ and ‘institutional-recomposure’ are used interchangeably, however, the two terms carry two different connotative emphases necessary to make the conceptualisation complete. ‘Institutional-cumulation’ emphasises the contiguity of the process of human institutional transcendence (with respect to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) while institutional-recomposure stresses the peculiarity of the transcendence/memetic-reordering wherein, for instance with regards to positivist institutionalisation/intemporalisation, the constituent institutionalisation and universalisation for positivism are recomposed peculiarly towards the positivism registry-worldview/dimension, and memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation and universalisation, and so too, the constituent institutionalisation recomposed in universalisation is memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation, and prospectively, the constituent institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism recomposed into deprocrypticism will be memetically/meaningfully differently reordered from base-institutionalisation,

universalisation and positivism. This speaks of snowballing/expansive recomposuring/memetic-reordering existential capacity depth with higher institutionalisations; a snowballing akin to the underlying evolutionary and genetic principles behind evolution from say amoebic cells across various other life-forms into a hominid like man, wherein the underlying basic principles go on to induce the complexity of man from simple amoebic cells. Institutional-recomposure also carries the idea that successive/prospective ‘memetic-reordering’ had tended to be based on the use of the outcome of prior memetic-reordering, and so focus mentation capacity on developing new memetic-reordering/recomposuring. This implies that mentation-capacity-wise, human mentation-capacity across all successive institutionalisations is the same but latter institutional-recomposure/successive memetic reordering show ‘grander institutionalisation/intemporalisation outcome’ as this is due to their being at the backend of the emanant institutional-cumulation paradigm, utilising the outcome of previous institutional-cumulation effort. Hence the <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness instigation recurrently inducing the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process (is not analogical but a contiguous notion by it intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation across institutional-cumulations) applies universally across space and time (beyond the institutional mirage/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) such that ontologically speaking it is prospectively predicative of future institutionalisation/intemporalisation like deprocrypticism. This thus points to the fact that transcendental analysis (institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure analysis) is not, as may wrongly be thought, analogical but is rather ‘a contiguous meaningful reference’ (given the contiguity in the ‘precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency-and-continuity of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation referencing’ across all

cumulating/recomposuring institutionalisations); i.e., memetic contiguity as the underlying principle of memetic-reordering which is the ‘contiguous dynamism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in the continuous transdimensional/transcendental relation of intemporal and temporal-dispositions’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and so, across all cumulating/recomposuring institutionalisations whether from a retrospective, present or prospective perspective. Memetic-reordering (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure process) can then be defined as arising when a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology is transcended; at its uninstitutionalised-threshold involving-organic-comprehension-thinking in contrast with mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation; in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing along three transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestals (postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation whether-psychopathic-or-not/slantedness/impulsive-dementation/subknowledging-impulse transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestal, temporal-dispositions transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestals, and the intemporal-disposition transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestal with intemporal-disposition aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) enabling the stranding-dialectics, and not <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, of mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation with the corresponding

‘collapsing’/overriding and ‘stranding-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored’ of the prior
 registry-worldview/dimension ‘mental-devising-representation’ as
 demented/decandored/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase consciousness-awareness-
 teleology by the new registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology (and so deterministically and operantly without any discretion of
 appraisal which only leads to <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-
 as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) such as
 recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation ‘demented mental-devising-representation’ by base-
 institutionalisation, ununiversalisation ‘demented mental-devising-representation’ by
 universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism ‘demented mental-devising-representation’ by
 positivism, and prospectively, procrypticism ‘demented mental-devising-representation’ by
 deprocrypticism. This brings up the notion that while candoring/straightness is the way
 meaning is represented within any registry-worldview/dimension
 institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation, this is just a mental-devising-representation for implying
 intemporality-of-thought without which meaningfulness is not functional in the registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology, but then at
 that same prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold,
 transcendence into a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s (recomposured)-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology put into question this candoring/straightness mental-
 devising-representation and the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s consciousness-
 awareness-teleology is then represented as demented/decandoring/oblongated. This process is
 known as collapsing/overriding the prior registry-worldview/dimension, and such perpetual
 representation in the mental-devising-representation of the registry-worldview/dimension as
 collapsed/overridden is known as stranding or stranding-dialectics. Stranding purely has to do

between placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology and ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective); with the ontologically-veridical/ontological-contiguity mental-devising-representation stranded/represented as straight, and various shades of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ stranded as oblongated/decandored in reflection/perspectivation of their veridical perversion-of-reference-of-thought, beyond their <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present. Hence we know of the following stranded registry-worldviews/dimensions: recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (our own prospective mental stranding); as these form the backdrop for the articulation of transcending anticipatory and preemptive reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension that are the resolution to the vices-and-impediments of the prior (uninstitutionalised-threshold) registry-worldview/dimension, successively as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively, deprocrypticism.

Each of such institutional-recomposures (along the institutional-cumulation process), have particular ‘central recomposuring determinants’ which the new registry-worldview is coming after, as follows:

(i) for Base-Institutionalisation, it has to do with the requisite ‘organising rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (as an inherently-’dialectically-dementing-or-subknowledging-or-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-corresponding-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ relation to meaningfulness).

(ii) for Universalisation, it has to do with requisite ‘projection rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding ununiversalisation (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought of base-institutional meaningfulness).

(iii) for Positivism, it has to do with the requisite ‘empirical rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding non-positivism/medievalism (as perversion-of-reference-of-thought of universalistic meaningfulness).

(iv) for Rational-Realism (Deprocrpticism), it prospectively has to do with ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accountability/intemporality-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) rules/principles’ as ‘a memetic ontological entrapment’ for superseding procrpticism (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness).

Thus in the bigger scheme of things, just as a contrastive dialectical insight (from our present vantage position of the positivism backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process), will strongly highlight by ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’, recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation and non-positivism/medievalism as non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention, this shows

ontologically speaking that it isn't out-of-the-stranding-template to prospectively imply (beyond our own illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) such a prospective stranding-dialectics of our perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of our registry-worldview/dimension (positivistic meaningfulness) as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Noting as well that uninstitutionalised-thresholds like recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism equally had a sense of straightness/candor of their meaningfulness in a full blossoming of their own existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications paradigm as we do in our positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview, within the ambits of their the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. But then their stranding from their prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation represents them as oblongated/decandored/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as the transcendental backdrop/opportunity for the prospective registry-worldview/dimension. This when extrapolated will equally apply with our present positivism/procrypticism uninstitutionalisation/unintemporalisation for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation, and any 'complex' we'll have about that has to do with our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/mirage than the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective). This equally explains why uninstitutionalised-thresholds equally carried a

complex about their registry-worldview/dimension and these complexes certainly sound unintelligible to us given our vantage perspective at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process.

With rational-realism (deprocrypticism), institutionalisation/intemporalisation raises the issue of hodgepodging of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> (undisambiguation as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions are wrongly given the same elevation), and relevantly so at the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold. The very specific nature of the deprocryptic transcendence/institutionalisation is to recognise and articulate the veridicality of the fact of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor at the procryptic uninstitutionalised-threshold, and conjugate this in meaningfulness by going beyond just logical operation/processing/contention of narratives but rather in the first instance introducing the notion of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation’ to avoid wrongfully operating/processing of logic by the reference-of-thought of the intemporal-disposition reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation which is ontological (i.e. is in sync with intrinsic-reality/veridicality), where the effective registries are actually temporal-dispositions thus to be construed as of their temporal references-of-thought. It involves stranding-dialectics temporal-dispositions manifest denaturing and thus to avoid elevating temporal-dispositions to intemporal logical contending status as this result in the miscuing of meaning as hodgepodging of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>. Deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation takes stock of the veridicality of human-subpotency—

aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor; as successive
 circular/recurrent/repetitive/repeatable iterating non-conviction-or-existential-
 decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-
 and-performance-caricaturing (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-
 or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging as postlogism)
 constructs, and not as may wrongly be reflected by the natural reflex to be
 conviction/prelogical, as conviction (existential-contextualising-contiguity/meaningful-
 projection-of-intrinsicness/authentic-vocalisation/prelogism) constructs, to emphasise the
 ‘dominance/supersedingness/suprastructuring of the intemporal-disposition skewing
 (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)’ for the fulsome
 articulation of ontology as ‘abject (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-
 contiguity in conscious transdimensional/transcendental-memetic-depth (thinking-and-
 dementing-dialectical-dynamism-or-dialectics) of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or
 prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (unlike all prior institutionalisations which are rather
 intradimensional in their meaningful-depth construed only as a closed <formative>epistemic-
 totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ‘dialectical-
 thinking dynamism’). As a corollary, meaningfulness or rather memetism or suprastructural-
 meaningfulness (the more veridical nature of meaningfulness beyond intradimensionality as
 being transdimensional/transcendental) should be notional and reflect this temporal-to-
 intemporal-dispositions nature of deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation to the
 point of inducing a collective consciousness/social universal-transparency of ‘knowledge
 notionalisation’ (knowledge as understanding not only of the ideal/intemporal but equally

how the temporal/defective works distractively, to anticipate and preempt the latter perverseness but doing so rather in a superseding ontologically-minded manner) and intemporal skewing ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference as virtue and (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity; in contrast to the hotchpotching of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of temporal-dispositions and particularly in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) which covers all informal spheres of institutions and society generally. So because knowledge notionalisation recognises that in a specie of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuation dispositions, deferential-formalisation-transference which is the bases for institutionalisation/intemporalisation by skewing ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) for the supersedingness/lead of the intemporal-disposition individuation is responsible for elevating human uninstitutionalised-threshold across the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures by the resultant formalisation and internalisation involved in institutionalisation explaining effectively the dialectical evolution from deeper primitivites/mental-out-of-phrasings to the present state (limited-and-shallower-human-mentation-capacity to limited-but-deeper-human-mentation-capacity) as a result of the inherent 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness skewing ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporalisation/institutionalisation, and the implications prospectively. For instance, the uninstitutionalised-threshold for getting one's way slyly will

involve higher and higher thresholds with respect to virtue from a low threshold at recurrent-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation compared to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, then higher and higher with universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism and our positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively highest with deprocrypticism; in line with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of ontological-veridicality. For instance, some hideous acts will hardly be seen as vices in an recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised registry-worldview. Knowledge notionalisation as such carries a transcendent-existentialism/in-full-existential-depth-of-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–implications which is more than just reactionary to the possibility of temporality/shortness (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) but rather ‘a transcendent-existentialism maturing of thought’ (intemporality as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that takes abstract cognisance of temporality/shortness as an intransient potency (hitherto accounting for the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of human circular-uninstitutionalised-thresholds) to be conceptually understood and superseded recurrently and perpetually. Critically, this insight about the effective nature of ontological-normalcy (in its becoming in a conscious transdimensional/transcendental-meaningfulness or memetism or suprastructural-meaningfulness) as ‘thinking-dementing dialectics/dialectical-dynamism’ indicates that while psychoanalytically prior registry-worldviews/dimensions had hitherto been based on mental-devising-representations of ‘thresholding meaningfulness constructs’ (with their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) within their ‘functional institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, deprocrypticism going by ontological-normalcy implies a mental-devising-representation of ‘non-thresholding meaningfulness as transdimensional/transcendental-meaningfulness or memetic refinement (or a thinking-

dementing dialectics/dialectical-dynamism paradox) ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-thought’ in its ‘functional institutionalised/intemporalised-approximating-or-proxying-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ as renewing existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness and thought; with such non-thresholding ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation, as-prospective reference-of-thought, approximating/proxying being of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature as the fulsome attainment of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation ideal (ontological-normalcy) culminating with deprocrypticism. The paradox of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence brought to bear with deprocrypticism will imply ontologically/intemporally that a registry-worldview/dimension-and-as-of-all-successive-registry-worldviews/dimensions can be seen as being in ‘demented hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation defect’ in need of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of the ‘demented hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation defect’ in an existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications articulation of demented temporal-dispositions ‘conviction-misconstruals/non-convictions’ of slanting/impulsive-dementing/miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi-conventioning-rationalising/temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation over ‘a wrong conviction/non-misconstrual reflex’ to meaningfulness in a transcendental/transdimensional analysis involving ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’

over an intradimensional <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag analysis. Insightfully, it implies the-
 Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 illumination driven institutionalisation over an impression-driven/good-
 naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation as the-Good sticks by essence to intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and reinvents reference-of-
 thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for prospective/transcending/superseding
 registry-worldview to comply with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
 ontological-preservation when the prior one fails, while the latter sticks by form to reference-
 of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation whether this fails intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or not. The conceptualisation of reference-
 of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology refers to the same
 deconstructed/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness notion; axioms emphasises and
 hints of ‘basis’ and ‘foundation’ as well as ‘fundamental validation’ as of existential-reality,
 categorical-imperatives emphasises and hints of ‘necessity’, ‘rigour’, ‘constraining’ and
 ‘enforcing’, while registry-teleology (short for the apriorising–registry-elements as implied—
 logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
 arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) emphasises the ‘operant’ aspect as of
 human situatedness existential-instantiation elements implied when producing
 meaningfulness-and-teleology. The reference-of-thought is the fundamental-dispositional
 mentation architecture for human referencing or construing of meaningfulness-and-teleology,
 and is capable of ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction involving
 ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics with

corresponding stranding-dialectics ontologically-extending-into-the-existentialism-becoming
 of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation. This explains human transcendental capacity and
 sublimation as well as perversion-of-reference-of-thought. More precisely, perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag implies registry-
 worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
 existential-defect> as of 'non-conviction-being-teleology' (reflecting 'non-conviction-or-
 existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-
 staging-and-performance-caricaturing-defects') and so in effective postlogism in hollow-
 constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
 preservation wherein perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-
 dueness whether as slantedness/impulsive-dementation (postlogical-backtracking—iterative-
 looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts') or induced as
 ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
 negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, and so ideally
 reflected in 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness organic-
 comprehension as 'ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction of new
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation for intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation'. Fundamentally perversion-of-reference-
 of-thought has to do with the defect of the reference-of-thought and not the defect of
 ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity (which is rather a logical-
 process/implication-of-act-execution defect and which implies an 'implication-of-notion-
 of-agreement-or-disagreement'), as can be reflected in ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence/from-ontological-normalcy. A reference-of-thought speaks of the

fundamental appropriateness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation irrespective of their appropriate or inappropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implication with respect to ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality, and implying sound reference-of-thought further emphasises appropriate incidental logical-processing-or-logical-implication in producing the right outcome. Hence a registry-worldview/dimensional defect is one of systematic defect of reference-of-thought; whether when recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought as of non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidental-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘basic constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for positivism or prospectively, positivism is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-

as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules required for deprocripticism. Thus fundamentally ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought does not arise because of failure of logical-processing-or-logical-implication but rather because of failure of reference-of-thought as of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. This is unlike the case where logical-engagement of mental-devising-representation as ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought is still relevant where there is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing logical-processing-or-logical-implication (like calculating the answer of an arithmetic operation wrongly) so long as the reference-of-thought is sincerely/genuinely working in adherence to arithmetic axioms to produce the right answer. But this is invalid and not applicable where the issue is about deliberate disposition not to adhere to arithmetic axioms but usurp them (whether consciously, expediently or unconsciously). Soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought on the other hand implies being-or-ontological-or-existential-or-meaningfulness-and-teleology disposition as of ‘conviction-being-teleology’ (reflecting ‘conviction-logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ and at worst conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications’) and so in effective prelogism wherein logical-process-precedes-outcome thus upholding intemporal/veracity/ontological-pertinence; so construed from a more profound ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight. This is the fundamental basis and backdrop for an insight for drawing ‘the implications of the (preceding and superseding) ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality as ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation)’, in reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting ‘the

mental-devising-representations of registries/references constructs and protractedly of registry-worldviews/dimensions (on the basis of the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics) whether as of registry-soundness and thus as ‘dialectical-thinking representations’ (thinking or stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase) or as of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and thus as ‘dialectically-dementing representations’ (dementing or stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-out-of-phase-or-dialectically-primitive), and so ontologically-extending-into-their-existentialism-becoming. Such dialectical articulation of mental-devising-representations can be conceptualised as defining individuations in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘conviction-being-teleology’ (thinking or stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase) and ‘non-conviction-being-teleologies’ (dementing or stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-out-of-phase-or-dialectically-primitive). In so doing reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting the teleological-dispositions-of-individuations in their non-conviction-being-temporalities and conviction-being-intemporality as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework dispositional constructs; with ‘non-conviction-being-teleologies’ individuations acting in ‘circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’ protracting as prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions (in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation defectively/non-veridically of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation whether or not it fails intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’) with respect to ‘conviction-being-teleology’ individuation acting in “‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness

organic-comprehension-thinking’ protracting as prospective-or-
emancipating/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions (ontological-
reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction of new reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–
ontological-preservation). Such demented mental-devising-representations of ‘non-
conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’-being-
teleologies’ reflected in iteration as ‘non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-
transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-
caricaturing’ (with corresponding protracting as ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-
inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought prior/transcended/superseded registry-
worldviews/dimensions) are utterly different from mental-devising-representations of
‘conviction being teleology’ reflected in iteration as conviction-logical-processing-or-logical-
implication and conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications, with
conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications having to do with
appropriate or inappropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implication (with corresponding
protracting as ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought
prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions). The mental-devising-
representations of ‘conviction being teleology’ performers (reflected as conviction-logical-
processing-or-logical-implication and conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-
implications) with respect to subsequent acts ‘of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation’ by
their performers always harken back to a reflex of ‘dialectical-thinking or stranded-as-
straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase’ to imply the upholding of ‘ontological-
reference/contending-reference’; and so, for the simple reason that the state of being

conviction (whether the act is defective or not) implies a ‘mental-disposition’ of the performer to be intemporal/ontological, and in the instance of conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications the defects simply have to do with inappropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implication, and not unsound-mental-disposition or perversion-of-reference-of-thought (which in this latter case will speak of a mental-disposition to act with non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ with regards to subsequent acts of similar context by their performers). Hence the mental-devising-representations of ‘conviction-being-teleology’ performers subsequent acts from their prior acts acknowledged to be conviction-logical-processing-or-logical-implication and conviction-defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implications are ‘validated/projected by reflex as ‘possibly-thinking’/possibly-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not invalidated by reflex as ‘possibly-dementing’/possibly-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought in implying the upholding of their reference-of-thought status. To illustrate, suppose X and Y are contending (ontological-reference) to know what $5+4$ will give as answer (ontological-veridicality), if X is using pencils to count but inadvertently misplaced a pencil or doesn’t perfectly understand how to stack up the pencils to use to count the whole lot, then where his answer was to come out as $5+4=8$, we talk of a conviction-implication-of-act-execution-defect as X sincerely wants to calculate to produce the right answer but X’s logical-processing-or-logical-implication failed. This doesn’t invalidate the notion that Y can still engage X as ‘possibly-thinking’/possibly-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in contending (appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) with respect to another arithmetic operation, that is, possibly after pointing out to X where they went wrong in their operation of arithmetic. While the mental-devising-representation of ‘non-conviction-being-teleologies’ performers subsequent acts of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation to their prior acts verified to be non-conviction-or-existential-

decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing priorly demented are ‘invalidated/projected by reflex as ‘possibly-dementing’/possibly-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and not ‘possibly-thinking’/possibly-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought in implying the revoking of their reference-of-thought status. To illustrate, suppose X above rather slyly and deliberately (non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing) miscalculated (non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference) the answer (of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>) and Y grasps this, then this invalidates the notion that Y can still ‘genuinely’ engage X (ontological-pertinence) with regards to another arithmetic operation of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation, with respect to the upheld context behind X’s sly and deliberate basis for miscalculating.

The ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ notion requiring prospective dementing of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’-acts ‘of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation’ implies ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/postdication/ontological-normalcy deploying of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ in enabling full mastery/grasp of such ‘convolutedness of social dynamics’ as of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation with respect to existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality, and so based on ‘a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness perpetuation of a hermeneutic

circle as ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’, which is technically non-thresholding/doesn’t-technically-succumb-to-any-socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis in its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity proxying/approximating exercise; as when the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (which can equally be qualified as the ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’, given that ‘ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ can be construed as ‘intemporal-preservation/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ which is actually ‘ontologically-reconstituting’, reconstituting from the base-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions) is attained the reflex is to imply a mental-devising-representation of ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought (stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase) and thus establishing reference-of-thought whether that is veridically the case or not, such that non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing wrongly get endemised/enculturated as ‘dialectical-thinking’/of-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation at the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis and this with its consequent implications is the fundamental basis for the temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation of all perversions-of-reference-of-thought-⟨reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought⟩-and-the-corresponding-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage, explaining why we don’t have notions of sorcery and its practice with us today but we do have the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy (with our socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis for the

former/sorcery as a non-positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought high enough or relatively-ontologically-complete as it is rational-empiricism/positivising-driven to supersede it but not the latter/psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy as perversion-of-reference-of-thought in our positivistic meaningful frame which is relatively ontologically-incomplete for that as in need of the requisite deprocrpticism reference-of-thought as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules. In fact every registry-worldview/dimension has its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (and the idea of questioning beyond it is hardly entertained, whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) which existentially explains the registry-worldview/dimension limits or relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought with respect to ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) in its specific grasp of (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity on the one hand, and on the other hand is the reason for the more profound/deeper socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension which is rather in ‘a suprastructural transcendental-meaningfulness conceptualisation with respect to the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’, as it is construed suprastructurally beyond the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology given the

less veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or–ontological-preservation of its ‘temporal conventioning compromise’ determined by its shallower socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis. Thus we know basically that the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures involved the following intradimensional socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis with respect to their social-stake-contention-or-confliction specific to each registry-worldview/dimension defining its ‘inherent institutionalisation and snowballed recomposuring’ going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor: for the mentation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation – basically ‘arbitrary/spontaneous/demented reasoning as non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidentated-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘basic constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) as socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis; for the mentation at base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation – basically ‘haphazard and incidental rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) as socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis; for the mentation at universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism – basically ‘universal-bases for the contextualisation of rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) however contestable such universal-bases’; for the mentation at positivism–procrypticism – basically

‘introducing empirical insight in articulating the universal-bases of the contextualisation of rules and rule-making’; and for the mentation of deprocrypticism – basically ‘upholding an abject ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology as ontological-contiguity (over recurrent notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>/'disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in positivism–procrypticism) with regards to the underlying intemporal-preservation behind rules-that-remain of-existential-reality. The implication being that in a contention among interlocutors in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, the mentation is very much different from ours (positivism) as any imagined pretext is a legitimate one with emphasis being rather on established dominance/subservience relations, with base-institutionalisation the mentation was to arbitrarily invoke any of a number of recognised or incidentally introduced rules that are in one’s favour and again where dominance/subservience relations played a large part, while with universalisation while power relations also played a part the rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) was set/given however skewed towards the dominance of say a leader or family/clanic group or priestly class or outright social class; with positivism though, while relatively universal and empirical, the weakness lies in the ontological-contiguity of the contextualisation of rules and rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) (hence not ‘absolutely rational’ with regards to its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis) which preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-

residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as depcryptoicism existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality preempting 'the dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing rational-empiricism/positivising-rules' as 'uncompromising ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness' focuses on, as enabling a 'fulsome ontologising'. Interestingly, while the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation explains how and why successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are at their given institutionalisation levels on the basis of a memetic/suprastructural-meaningfulness analysis or a transcendental/transdimensional-meaningfulness analysis, the notion of socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation actually initially applies intradimensionally in all registry-worldviews/dimensions and it is actually the 'intemporal/ontological signal' for the need of prospective transcending/superseding due to 'failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intradimensional ontologising/intemporal-preservation'. Insightfully, we can grasp the 'intemporal/ontological signal' pointing to a socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis with regards to a dimension's/registry-worldview 'dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag phenomenon' like psychopathy and social psychopathy (with respect to procryptoicism or perversion-of-

reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness) or accusations and notions of sorcery (with respect to medievalism); as this has to do with human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor individuations dispositions wherein intradimensionally, the ‘socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis’ (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness) is rather an overall registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought aftereffect rather as an indirect comprehensive socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness) arising from the ‘cumulative effect’ of the various temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations dispositions with respect to intradimensionally operant implications of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as the various ‘temporal-dispositions individuations’ will, at that uninstitutionalised-threshold, betray ontologising/ontological-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation by hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation at their specific temporal-dispositions individuations thresholds (postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) with the idea that ‘human intemporal-disposition individuation’ will rather be abjectly emancipatory/transcendental by ‘ontologically-

reconstituting'/deconstruction (and so, without any hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought allowed, in order to sync with the 'postconvergence/preceding/superseding nature of intrinsic reality' which 'doesn't recognise' nor is involved in temporal-and-social-trading with the mortals that we are to establish ontological-reference and ontological-veridicality) instead of betraying ontologising/ontological-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation thus inducing prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation by positive-opportunism and the intemporal percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> of such emancipation/transcendence. Thus for instance with regards to adult psychopathy and the induced social psychopathy, it will be naïve to simply analyse on a dichotomous basis of psychopathy and its violation of social norm, with the idea that psychopathy is associated with temporal-dispositions destructuring-thresholding 'as the positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview's/dimension's socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis'/socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (in conjugation to ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) and it is naïve to simply analyse on the basis that other interlocutors have an intemporal/ontological disposition, in the very first instance. Thus the need, in order to attain such a prior requisite ontological/intemporal foundation, to formalise (as deferential-formalisation-transference) contexts of psychopathy and social psychopathy (and generally formalise contexts of 'perversion-of-reference-of-thought-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought)/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag' in all registry-

worldviews/dimensions to attain priorly an ontological/intemporal foundation), before conducting ‘a truly ontological/intemporal analysis’ as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct, which necessarily implies projecting into a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension, in this case deprocrypticism; as otherwise the ‘ordinary’ reasoning of a social context imbued with interlocutors temporal-dispositions destructuring-thresholds of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ on the basis of the fundamental ontologising limits or the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the registry-worldview/dimension (procrypticism being the fundamental ontologising limits of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension), will pervert/corrupt the possibility of ‘a truly ontological/intemporal analysis as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct’ preempting the said perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenon. In this respect, it is equally important to be cognisant of potentially nefarious influences that may arise from pseudo-formalisms as well, and where these are construed out of their inherent context to wrongly imply a genuine ontological analysis especially given the gullible/susceptible nature of the social-construct as it ‘becomes existentially in a dynamism of conventioning and ontology’. Take the case of works of arts like novels and films primarily meant to entertain, and in so doing may induce wrong impressions and conceptions with regards to perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenon like psychopathy wherein the whims of their creators, aesthetic quality and ultimate financial gain are the primary

driving motif, and not necessarily a profound and candid ontological insight of the phenomenon and its social implications/consequences. Basically, as we all know novels and films, while excellent in articulating aesthetic qualities, are not the true world of human lives and consequences. While there is more or less some deontological practice implemented with respect to such tendencies when it comes to issues of gender equality, racism, recently homophobia as well as say the portrayal of victims of some degenerative diseases, such intellectually-sound deontology requiring aesthetic-representations-produced-from-sound-ontological-insight by their creators (which is often not the case but for a cursory understanding focused on entertainment) is not ubiquitous especially when the relevant ‘theme and the intellectual projection behind its ontological analysis’ seem rather aloof to many in society, as is the case with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy; such that the influential nature of such aesthetic products broadcasted or sold to millions of people can easily induce wrong insights, undue romanticism, a poor grasp of its nefarious effects at individuals-and-institutional levels, and worst still perpetuate social ignorance simply by wrongly implied, naïve and fallacious explanations. Central to all such fallacies prevalent in many an aesthetic product with regards to psychopathy is that these often tend to be short-sighted given the unsustainable nature of the arguments in the middle to long run, and tend to be based on inductive limitation or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be universalised as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be universalised, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-universal-import but speak more of temporal motive. In this respect, one can cite at individuals-levels instances of many a human interest story tragedy in the press which often go unanalysed, and in the bigger institutional-level for instance what is the underlying dynamics that lead many an organisation or corporate entities to fail inexplicably due to grave and unprincipled mismanagement with profound social

repercussions. The implied
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, contrasted with a temporal extricatory
 paradigm, is necessarily the prospective transcending/superseding registry-
 worldview/dimension. Consider the case of contending about a perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought like accusations and notions of sorcery in a non-positivism/medievalism setup where
 there is no intradimensional
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm given the obliviousness to a positivistic
 ontological-reference-of-veridicality/contending-reference-of-veridicality as it is
 suprastructural/beyond the registry-worldview's/dimension'srecomposured-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology to non-positivism/medievalism. Likewise the positivistic meaningful
 frame is oblivious to its procrypticism, and corresponding resolution as deprocrypticism as
 the prospective/transcending/superseding ontological-reference-of-veridicality/contending-
 reference-of-veridicality. Further, this notion of registry-worldviews/dimensions having
 socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (that need to be
 suprastructured by prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions)
 explains why a 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or
 natural psychology-of-dynamics' aligned with ontological-normalcy is what escapes and
 provides for grander emancipatory possibilities that an intradimensionally mented or
 stigmatic psychology wouldn't enable. The bigger notion of such a 'dialectical-thinking-
 psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' is to
 reconcile the idea that we have one ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality across
 all times whereas our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in reference (as

‘tentative references-of-thought’) of this same one (ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality and our corresponding/derived meaningfulness-and-teleology thereof, has been varying all along as we evolve from shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity; with the implication that the finality of such a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is one that aligns with and is driven by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) wherein ontological-normalcy is ‘an abstract conceptualisation that by artifice covers for human limited but deepening mentation capacity’. Ontological-normalcy (postconvergence) abstractly refers to any relevant/implied registry-worldview/dimension that is in a reflected/perspectivated state of prospective transcending/superseding whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism as having reference-of-thought status, in relation to a corresponding reflected/perspectivated state of prior transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism which is then correspondingly devoid of reference-of-thought, and so going by the inherent human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor that arises by the mere fact that all the institutionalisations are of the same form-factor since their ‘snowballed differences’ arise solely due to ‘the deepening of limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)’. Ontological-normalcy as such will imply that the successive institutionalisations are rather shifts-in-the-curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-ontological-normalcy (shifts-in-the-curve-of-human-grasp-of-one-ontology/‘ontological-reference-of-veridicality’, which will

graphically/as-imagery imply 'human-grasping-capacity' on one axis and 'depth-of-ontology/ontological-reference-of-veridicality/ontological-completeness' as the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures on the other axis or dialecticisms-of-an-imperfect-human-grasping-of-'ontological-reference-of-veridicality'-which-mastery-improves-dialectically) which rather implies defects of perversion-of-reference-of-thought or unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of corresponding prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions implying a voiding of their reference-of-thought as ontologically-veridical as these become the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation from the corresponding prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimension which is then the ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought. It should be noted that a defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (unlike a perversion-of-reference-of-thought) implies movement-along-the-same-curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of a given registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought whether as an inappropriate/poor-or-bad or appropriate/good or any other variation of the logical-processing-or-logical-implication, and doesn't fundamentally voids the reference-of-thought status with regards to the possibility of an appropriate logical-processing-or-logical-implication in another instance. This insight is critical because the defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance will often be implied with regards to an issue and resolution of perversion-of-reference-of-thought which rather speaks to a defect of reference-of-thought status construed as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought

speaking of registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>. For instance, there is no intradimensional resolution of sorcery accusations and notions of sorcery as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm within a non-positivism/medievalism world, as what is required is a shift-in-the-curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-ontological-normalcy to imply a prospective transcending/superseding positivistic registry-worldview/dimension as the resolution wherein positivising/rational-empiricism takes pride of place as reference-of-thought of meaningfulness. This applies with all perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts in all institutionalisations as the reference-of-thought is what gives registry/anchoring-of-meaning/meaningful-reference/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview status which is voided in the instance of perversion-of-reference-of-thought with such perversion-of-reference-of-thought defining that registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold as it then becomes, by way of 'ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought', the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. This implies that psychopathy and social psychopathy as perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenon in the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (procrypticism) requires a shift-in-the-curve-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-ontological-normalcy from positivism to deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution to psychopathy and social psychopathy, and so beyond an extricator/temporal paradigm which will wrongly imply a movement-along-the-curve-of-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-

thought-as-of-ontological-normalcy that preserves procrypticism (perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology) while inducing dialectical-dementing—
 -<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag within the same defective procrypticism
 registry-worldview/dimension which requires prospective transcendence as deprocrypticism.
 Insightfully again with regards to ontological-normalcy and ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence critical for a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-
 mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, just in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process has to do with a human-limited-
 mentation-capacity maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
 institutionalising from prospective base-institutionalisation preempting recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—
 -<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation),
 prospective universalisation preempting base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation (as the
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-
 totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of base-
 institutionalisation–ununiversalisation), prospective positivism preempting universalisation–
 non-positivism/medievalism (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-
 dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of universalisation–non-
 positivism/medievalism), and prospectively, prospective deprocrypticism pre-empting
 positivism–procrypticism (as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-
 dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of positivism–procrypticism); with the

implication that deprocrypticism is actually recomposuringly subsuming of positivism which is subsuming of universalisation and it too recomposuringly subsuming of base-institutionalisation (all these with their respective personhoods-and-socialhood-formation existentialisms/full-depths-existential-implications). Likewise their respective methodologies/implements are recomposuringly subsumed-as-supplanted constructs (of varying ontologising-depths-of-analysis and of shallower to deeper socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis), with the deepest-to-shallowest, as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality preempting 'the dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing rational-empiricism/positivising-rules'' as 'uncompromising ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction' methodology of deprocrypticism (which is very much an 'uncompromising hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction', as 'a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle 'ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributione-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis' that is technically non-thresholding-and-proxying-or-approximating-to-ontological-veridicality-and-doesn't-succumb-to-any-socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis, and also considering that science as

we know today is hardly just a question of adopting scientific methods to obtain scientific results, an unspoken fact is that much of science relies on a ‘rudimentary phenomenology in a heuristic hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction by the researcher’, that simply passes as their personal talents, to obtain results applying scientific methods, and thus we can further imagine the possibilities if this reality came to be fully recognised and sophisticated hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction insights were to permeate scientific research and methodologies), is subsuming of ‘rational-empiricism/positivising’ methodology of positivistic science which is subsuming of the ‘universalising-of-rules’ methodology of universalisation and the latter subsuming of the rulemaking-over-non-rules- (as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) methodology of institutionalisation – these in reflection of the development of human shallower-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity cumulation/recomposuring/reordering/reorientation. In the case of dementing acts of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation with regards to slantedness/impulsive-dementation (with an underlying element of physiological issue with regards to psychopathic personalities) and the derived social dynamisms of social psychopathy, such implied ‘deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’ is potentially beyond just ‘benign-and-specific-shallow-contexts-scale-of-implications’ but can be more profound involving institutions and individuals contextualisation as individuals-lives-and-institutional-lives-scale-of-implications and in the bigger scheme of things where such dynamics involve social structuring effects on perceived meaningfulness and values in the overall social-setup it has a social-structure-scale-of-

implications (specifically not only in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of vices-and-impediments but also in undermining the enculturation of intellectual/emancipatory dispositions). Effectively, such a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’ ontologically-extending-as-the-existentialism-becoming of conviction-being-teleology individuation as intemporal/ontological (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and non-conviction-being-teleologies individuations as temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), will comprehensively articulate in ‘a deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness perpetuation of the hermeneutic circle ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought analysis’ reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting temporal-dispositions pseudo-ontological-finalities, across social-setups and institutional settings with their evolving 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction'. The state of ‘non-conviction-being-teleologies’ requires ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representations and implies the revoking of reference-of-thought status with respect to interlocution of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation (in the very first instance) while the state of ‘conviction-being-teleology’ implies a ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation implying a veridical reference-of-thought with respect to interlocution (in the very first instance), and enabling the second instance of engaging in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of logical pertinence to establish (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity. Typically, such an insight with regards to a ‘non-conviction-being-teleology’ is obvious and transparent with respect to the childhood psychopathy/cinglée mental-disposition, given that an initial encounter often involves a

natural ‘dialectical-thinking reflex’ by the interlocutor with respect to their initial narratives but after some familiarisation we come to understand that the initial narratives are in fact demented and thus our expectation of the subsequent narratives they iterate is to initiate or be ready to align by a mental-devising-representation as a ‘dialectically-dementing reflex’. This dementing veridicality explains both the childhood and adult psychopath disposition for absolving-logic-or-perpetually-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic based on extrinsic-attribution wherein the mental-disposition is to move postlogically/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness from one set of narratives to the other and one set of interlocutors to the other with the idea convincing is the notion of getting more people ‘mechanically convinced by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’ and not an articulation of conviction/existential-contextualising-contiguity principle, be it by adhering to the mere hollow form of principles and narratives in existential-decontextualisation as being deterministic of others inclinations and actions. Intrinsic-reality in its ontological-normalcy/postconvergence indicates that effectively the conjugating/inflecting/deriving/mimicking/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing (which is often the case with the adult-psychopathic dementing) whether unconscious (ignorance) or conscious (affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) effectively underlies an ontologically valid mental-devising-representation reflex as ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought of such derived ‘non-conviction-being-teleologies’. In the bigger scheme of things, it equally explains our mental-devising-representation ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought underlying reflex with respect to prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions and ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-

thought mental-devising-representation underlying reflex with respect to
 prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions. A perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought speaks of a hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation defect (as sticking ‘in form’ to
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation that are ontologically
 defective rather than as being an adjunct to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
 or—ontological-preservation per se, and so due to having attained the socially-betraying-
 threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis and thus not initiating ontological-reconstituting—
 as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction in superseding this socially-betraying-threshold-of-
 ontologising-depth-of-analysis) as impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness defect of
 ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought mental-
 devising-representation; since ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction
 as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework of new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-
 for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation is veridically
 of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (undermining
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as best
 reflected by ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness organic-
 comprehension as ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction of new
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation for intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ over circumventing/distractive
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mechanical-comprehension in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation defectively/non-veridically of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation whether or not it fails intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’), and the temporal-dispositions to stick to the previous one speaks not only of act defects but registry-worldview/dimension defects at this socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis to the fact that such ‘of-similar-or-derived-contextualisation’, from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight that is preceding/superseding to any hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-⟨as of relative constitutedness⟩, will elicit a same defect disposition thus the need to fundamentally undermine reference-of-thought of the registry-worldview/dimension at that uninstitutionalised-threshold that endemises/enculturates the ontological-or-existential-defect due to its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis. It should thus be noted that the dementing of reference-of-thought of a registry-worldview/dimension implicitly reflects a defective/sub-par relative state-of-conceptualisation in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (a fundamentally defective/sub-par state-of-disposition) with respect to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, as can be demonstrated by ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction, (and has nothing to do, as-being-caused-by, with an inducing phenomena of ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ behind say sorcery and psychopathy; even though such phenomena tend to instigate and reveal the inherent defect/sub-par nature

of registry-worldviews with respect to ontological-normalcy, with the need for ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction). In other words, the state of being non-positivism/medievalism with respect to ontological-normalcy is already a defective state ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for issues of superstition/lack-of-rational-empiricism to arise whether we talk of sorcery, bodily mutilations and their effects, charlatanisms, etc. Likewise, it will be naïve to imply that our registry-worldview as positivism—procrysticism is in absolute sync with ontological-normalcy by the mere fact that we are at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposeure, as we can equally project prospectively from a retrospective projection insight to grasp how ‘from an abject hermeneutic circle exercise of ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction (of our temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature)’ how procrysticism (dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as to mere formulaic positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology) in a positivistic registry-worldview structurally endemises psychopathy and social psychopathy. Insightfully, for a grander grasp of ontological-normalcy, the notion of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposeure and their related conceptualisations are not just ad-hoc in nature but of ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’; which is fundamentally defined by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (going by shallower-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity), in reflecting the precedence/supersedingness of intrinsic-reality/ontology to which an ‘animal’ comes-to-and-re-compose-with-cumulatively by ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction (which is the critical subsuming mechanism for re-establishing reference-of-thought and ontological-

veridicality/ontological-contiguity as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-
 ontological-preservation, above and beyond the simple hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of defective
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation of any registry-
 worldview/dimension and requiring their prospective suprastructuring). This
 ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’ is the reflection of the
 contiguity of successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications across varying
 meaningful frames, references and registry-worldviews/dimensions; and is abstractly
 determined by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology
 (ontological-normalcy) whatever the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and
 inherently implies ‘a universal existentialisms/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-
 factor across institutionalisations’; which define their specificities and potentials which are
 basically abstractly of ‘a same form-factor’, with regards to the reality of their temporal-to-
 intemporal-dispositions and the existential implications on every registry-
 worldview/dimension thereof, though of differing ‘snowballed recomposuring’ of
 meaningfulness and reference-of-thought. Ontological-entrapment (as a deterministic point of
 reference that defines dialectical-out-of-phasing/dialectical-primitivity registry-
 worldview/dimension, and thus avoiding any confusing effects to analysis of the stranding-
 dialectics of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics)
 is attained by ‘keeping or aligning’ placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology the hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation
 postlogism-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-or-
 non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (with no shifting by reflex into conviction-or-prelogism) of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of the wrong ontological-references/contending-references of all established perversion-of-reference-of-thought prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions, in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy represented by the rightful ontological-references/contending-references of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions whose mentation/mental-devising representation are ‘kept or aligned’ as ‘ontologically-reconstituting’-or-prelogical-or-logical-process-precedes-outcome-or-conviction, as in ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation with sound reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. A ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as being ontologically-driven is one where placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (as ‘dialectical-thinking’ or ‘dialectically-dementing’) is the reflected/perspectivated implication as ‘dialectical-thinking’ or ‘dialectically-dementing’ of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or it is one that is ontology-driven. This equally explains why a prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought is cross-sectionally dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive given it is sticking to its ‘good-natured’ but ‘ontologically-

wrong and failing' reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-
for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (hollow-
constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
preservation) as the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension has
the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
framework sound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-
for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (in
ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction); wherein no amount of 'good-
naturedness' of any individuation based on the former (prior/transcended/superseded)
reference-of-thought can fundamentally supersede its structural/paradigmatic vices-and-
impediments, but for the 'emancipatory moulting' (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
reordering/recomposuring) into reference-of-thought of the latter
(prospective/transcending/superseding) of such would-be emancipating
individuation/intellectuals and consequent institutionalisation/intemporalisation as
transcendence. That is why there is no ontologically-veridical intradimensional resolution of
issues and notions of sorcery for instance in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup with
any such pretence being nothing but a 'temporal extricatory paradigm' to satisfy temporal
preservation', but for implying a prospective need for a positivistic registry-
worldview/dimension as
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in satisfying intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Likewise there is no intradimensional
resolution of a phenomenon like psychopathy and its social corollary in a
procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension (the
perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-

totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of positivistic
 meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation,
 with a hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
 intemporal-preservation postlogism-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-
 veridical-logical-dueness placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology alignment to imply dialectical-
 out-of-phasing/dialectical-primitivity) insightfully deduced from ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence represented by reference-of-thought of the
 prospective/transcending/superseding deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension.
 Fundamentally, the reason for all the dimensions/registry-worldview perversion-of-reference-
 of-thoughts as limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative
 conflation) has to do with the veracity/ontological-pertinence of our temporal-to-intemporal
 as individuations of shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, such
 that whenever relatively sound reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation are
 institutionalised/intemporalised, human temporality in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation individuation
 dispositions (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) will tend to relate, by limited-mentation-
 capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), to this as
 hollow/formulaic constraining deterministic constructs which have to be exploited by the
 mere determinism-of-form about how others will act (hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation) rather than the
 essence as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation being
 sought originally by the institutionalised/intemporalised reference-of-thought—categorical-

imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
or—ontological-preservation (ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness). This
fundamental dilemma of the cross-section of human mentation disposition is ‘a lost cause’,
given the reality of the notion of a shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-
meaningfulness/temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions inherent in a limited-mentation-
capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation); any resolution is not by
wrongly implying any ‘ <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness transformation’ but
rather institutionalisation/intemporalisation by its inherent eliciting of positive-opportunism
to the grander cross-section of society in the medium to long-run wherein intemporal-
disposition/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology individuation dispositions
by artifice/institutionalisation/intemporalisation come to constrain-or-dominate the social-
construct (over temporal-dispositions/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-
or-hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation individuations dispositions); with corresponding percolation-
channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> facilitating the
perpetuation of such intemporal enculturation even when such positive-opportunism gets
weaker with grander institutionalisations/intemporalisations, and so as the grander human
good. This underlies the fundamental construct of rational-realism that human progress is the
outcome of human increasingly realistic grasp of what man is with ‘lesser and lesser vague
idealisations’, and that such ‘rational-realism’ enables humans to fully grasp their
‘emancipatory potential’ over ‘deluded idealisms’ that simply create space for falsehood,
dead-end dilemmas as well as the consequent incapacity to take action, since basically
knowing-is-acting!

Rational-realism (deprocrypticism) as such involves rather distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /decandoring with three paradigmatic teleologies:

- subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation temporal-disposition (psychopath), with ‘slanted mechanical narratives’ (stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored and not <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase);

- subknowledging-temporal-dispositions-teleologies (the-various-temporal-dispositions-teleologies), with ‘banal mechanical narratives discomfiture’ (stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored and not <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase);

and

- the intemporally given and ontologising teleology which ontologically reflects/perspectivates the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation-temporal-disposition-(psychopath) and the subknowledging-registries-teleologies (the-various-temporal-dispositions-teleologies), from a ‘organic-comprehension-thinking depth as the stranding-dialectics backdrop of new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Thus at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is counterintuitive for temporal-dispositions not to perceive their registry-worldview/dimension as ‘un-transcendable’ (acting as if in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation while actually in temporal preservation-as-pseudointemporality; hence dementable/no-longer-thinking) due to <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence which blinds the temporal-dispositions to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘intemporal preservation

discontinuity’ as a result of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-defects (and not logical defect) of slanting/impulsive-dementing (psychopath) and the consequent derived – miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation; arising from the conjugation with the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism. The reason why this is critical to grasp is that the veridical intemporal-disposition preserving emanance has to ‘organically and existentially pass-through’/reflect/perspectivate the registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure on the basis of prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation. * It is not an ‘avoidable luxury’ as it is the necessary transcendental element in establishing the backdrop for transcendence/prospective-institutionalisation. Galileo’s medieval ‘round world utterances’ nor Darwin’s and others ‘evolution contentions’ are not idle-and-dispensable articulations as all transcendences (occurring at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and not logical operation/processing/contention level, are fundamentally about a new existential mental-devising-representation orientation) need to ‘break-the-mind’ of the prior temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought existential mental orientation to avoid <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-

straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (for example, no ‘God of plane’ for say an animistic mental orientation that sees gods and spirits as causative, i.e. avoiding to operate the meaningfulness of a transcendent registry-worldview/dimension in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the transcended registry-worldview/dimension). This starts with the would-be transcendence inducing intellectual(s)/emancipator(s) ‘owns reflexive individuation maximalising-as-transcendental liberation/emancipation’ from the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of such prior registry-worldview/dimension from which it/they necessarily come from as well as not heeding generalised-social-temporal-preserving-mental-inclinations; and so, consistently cross-generationally since transcendence/institutionalisation is ‘beyond just logical argumentation/contention’ as it points to ‘being-or-ontological existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications structure defect’ (defect of reference-of-thought/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, and so beyond logical defect). It is more like (a knowledge-driven/not impression-driven) ‘intemporal preservation recomposuring need or memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling’ for institutionalised/intemporalised being/ontology over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised, universalised being/ontology over ununiversalised, positivistic being/ontology over non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocryptic being/ontology over procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought.

The dynamism of social psychopathy and the perversion-of-reference-of-thought involved with regards to both the psychopath and protracted social psychopathy (requiring ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’) can be

resumed as follows. Basically, the psychopath is involved in postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ in a committed drifting-circularity/roaming (of non-veridical dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase narratives ‘it wants to falsely represent veridically’), leading to temporal-dispositions slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect (contrasted to ontologising/intemporal conventioning-rationalising) and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect, and these, hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, conjoining and conjugating to temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, and fundamentally referenced from base ontologising effectivity (intemporal preservation); in ephemeral/temporal and ontologic/intemporal contrast, thus reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the stranding-dialectics of temporal-dispositions denaturing of social psychopathy (subknowledging/mimicking) arising from initiating phenomenal psychopathy (subknowledging impulse) involving a distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought construal (as the backdrop of new recomposuring reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation and ultimately enabling its transcendental collapsing/overriding for institutional-recomposure/prospective-memetic-reordering). That’s how the ‘given reality’ is being subknowledged/registry-perverted. The technique to be utilised comprehensively for grasping the social psychopathy dynamism is by articulating an intemporal-referencing transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing

ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality construct (by intemporal transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is meant an approach that makes the given prelogism-as-of-conviction reality the ‘reference of soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking’, and re-orientating the mimicking-subknowledging into a slantedness/decandoring)/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought based on:

1. Given prelogism-as-of-conviction reality actually being demented/subknowledged/registry-perverted (which ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness should highlight that meaningful projections of implied intemporality/longness from banal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> are not veridically and demonstrable to be ontologically real and should be related to as being in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and are rather involved in ‘temporal preservation’ and not intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation),

2. Psychopath’s slanting/impulsive-’dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in (dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/hollow-mimicking) in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic in committed ‘circularity-of-extrinsic-attribution’ (it should be noted that there is an internal contradiction reason why the psychopath in its postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-

failing-intemporal-preservation, and equally other temporal interlocutors mimicking the psychopath's postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, will carry on such a 'circularity-of-extrinsic-attribution' as the need to square up to the priorly slanted hollow mimicking narratives call for new slanted hollow mimicking perversion-of-reference-of-thought narratives even if it's just to get a respite to enable an interlocutor's or another interlocutor's prelogical/conviction alignment to the new hollow mimicking postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-'existential-decontextualised-transposition'-or-impulsively-dementing narrative, a process known as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic),

3. Psychopath's interlocutor's perversion-of-reference-of-thought in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex narratives integration from its prelogical/conviction rationalisation (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) of the last psychopath's postlogical non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives in circularity as well,

4. Analyst's reflection/perspectivation of the above 3 mechanisms as postlogical/subknowledging/mimicking/registry-perverting with contention never being about logical operation/processing/contention of the non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives but rather mental-slantedness/decandoring (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) of the psychopath and the interlocutors as 'a manifestation of vice-and-impediment (never contention), i.e. rEORIENTATION',

5. Analyst's intellectual articulation known as SUPRASTRUCTURING, wherein the universal ontological implication of social psychopathy dynamism across the human species (across space-and-time)/the-social/ontological-paradigm is drawn so that the principles so articulated can be applied in all incidental cases of social psychopathy dynamism (with the

intellectual responsibility of avoiding just an ad hoc/circumstantial based analysis and never elevating such poor rationalisations into an ontology, i.e. avoid the extrication-paradigm). SUPRASTRUCTURING effectively involves: (a) ‘registering’/stranding-dialectics of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought associated with social psychopathy dynamism, i.e. procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-slantedness/decandoring (b) ‘superseding’ by developing universal axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives preempting ‘(a)’ above which are habituated over a generation or two of the human species for deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence involving its formalisations and internalisations (psychoanalytic-unshackling by: (i) articulating a social universal-transparency of the registry-worldview-perversion, (ii) generating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘internal contradiction’ in the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview (iii) registering/stranding-dialectics the perversion-of-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/dimension defect for prospective preemption with new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension (iv) intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic (being-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/logically-incongruent/transversal) to reflect/perspectivate a mental-devising-representation of the superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension as ontologically-demented/dialectical-demented (perversion-of-reference-of-thought/registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/subknowledging/mimicking-and-corresponding-<formative>epistemic-totalising–

self-referencing-syncretising), inducing a 'habitation' of the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension cross-generationally. For instance, structurally the positivistic mental frame is in alienated-disposition/logically-incongruent and generates internal contradiction towards the non-positivism/medievalism mental frame as otherwise you have <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or the registering of meaning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the registry-worldview/dimension that needs to be superseded/preceded/overridden/abjected, for instance, retrospectively the 'god of plane'... type of proposition from an early animistic society which doesn't comes to terms with the prospective positivist worldview construct as it hangs on to its non-positivist reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and this will equally apply prospectively between deprocrypticism and procrypticism as the procryptic mindset/reference-of-thought will strive to register meaning not prospectively taking account of procrypticism as a 'mental perversion/defect', and likewise retrospectively with the 'medieval mindset' with respect to the positivist mental frame. This obviously calls for an 'intellectual/scientism detachment' towards the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension, with an intemporal-disposition sense of contributing to the bigger possibilities for of the species, i.e. intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm as opposed to an extricator or incremental or 'disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought' or temporal-accommodation paradigm which is about temporal interest, and so, beyond 'temporal emotional involvement' or at 'reality personality' wherein the notion of human temporal compromising is not an ontological notion but rather defines and qualify the nature of human temporality/shortness in an ontological construct).

This way of hermeneutic ‘ontological reasoning’ to arrive at ‘intemporal-or-ontological meaning’ that is beyond any <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–syncretising/self-centered/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/mirage mental projection within just a given registry-worldview/dimension so as to ‘grasp fundamental intemporal as of the inherent nature of existential-reality’ is central to the Deprocripticism registry-worldview/dimension as a doppler-thinking exercise known as suprastructuralism. Suprastructuralism is grounded on ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight and places ‘abstract intrinsic-reality as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ above the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology devising (supposedly for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) meant to represent it in a given registry-worldview/dimension as prior/transcended/superseding (which as such is now construed as perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the mental-devising-representation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, thus requiring new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to ‘preserve the abstract and intrinsic-reality as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’. Deprocripticism’s suprastructuralism involves ‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness so-construed as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; and so, beyond just about a prospective moral virtue but the prospective overall the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct as ‘ontology and its subsuming of virtue’, just as positivism is beyond just about a

moral virtue but comprehensively an overall the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct carrying a virtue that supersedes the vices-and-impediments of the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldvieww/dimension). It calls for a knowledge construct, whether social or physical, beyond just positivistic categorisation of knowledge but as ‘ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation ontology’. Thus, the doppler-thinking exercise of suprastructuralism enables the conceptualisation/construal of institutionalisation-or-intemporalisation-or-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in grasping the denaturing of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence basis of analysis, and by so doing grasping the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of intrinsic-reality.

[Referentialism involves a reference-of-thought (characteristic of deprocrypticism) construing existence and existential-conceptualisation/construal as about the ‘precedingness of becoming’ as of conflation rather than constitutedness (notwithstanding the instances of the latter’s contingent approximating-nature for conceptualisation/construal construed as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness). Constitutedness tend to fallaciously imply ‘existence of things in existence’ whereas conflation rightly implies ‘things becoming in existence rather as subsumed-in-existence in a superseding—oneness-of-ontology’; so because constitutedness takes a simplistic shot at construal/conceptualisation of existential-reality practically presuming this to be ‘effectively absolutely real and final’ but then with human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) this is erroneous hence the need for re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as ‘re-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ perpetually when aware of its deficiency. Conflation

takes a shot at construal/conceptualisation of existential-reality from an open-ended insight/fugue as of referentialism from the more profound ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of existential-reality factoring in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as of metaphysics-of-absence, and as implied by the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation that goes beyond <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology which are continually put into question, by being open-ended to upholding/not-failing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication. Thus, constitutedness will wrongly induce virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference, and so, with more and more profound defective construal/conceptualisation consequence with deeper and deeper categorisation and analysis. Often, and where aware, about the critical defective nature implied by constitutedness in categorisation schemes, there will be re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as a contingent resetting resolution for the induced 'virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-of-constitutedness of axiomatic-construct/reference-of-thought' (by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) that will then require another contingent resetting resolution for the subsequently induced 'virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-of-constitutedness of reference-of-thought' down the line when aware of its further critical defect again (though, in

a sense the entire recomposing process could be qualified as a ‘practical presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ exercise). But then the inherent nature of existence in relation to human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) construal of it is one of evasiveness as implied by the ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ such that we are only occasionally and partially aware about the critical defective nature implied by constitutedness in categorisation schemes, thus fundamentally defining the limits even of a presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness as of existential-conceptualisations/construals. The implication is beyond just the notion of knowledge construal/conceptualisation categorisation schemes and scheming but extends to the very inherent construal/conceptualisation of knowledge as of its implied ontological and virtue construct itself; so because the structural/paradigmatic basis of categorisation scheming are equally the structural/paradigmatic basis of the inherent analysis and meaningfulness-and-teleology construed/conceptualised. Since categorisation schemes (whether construed/conceptualised beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) define the ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’, it is critical to grasp that the inherent structural/paradigmatic limits/defects of such ‘reference-of-thought of categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’ are systemic hence inducing ‘flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ as of ontological and virtue implications (as ontologically-perspectival-degraded-as-decentered/dementing-teleological-differentiation-as-of-subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) at the given ‘reference-of-thought of

categorisation construal/conceptualisation of knowledge’. Beyond its conceptualisation as of knowledge categorisation and categorisation scheming but rather as of effective ontological-and-virtue conceptualisation/construal, constitutedness implies a simplistic/trite categorical relation in the construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological and virtue essence that is susceptible to defect as perversion-of-reference-of-thought or derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought; and as such, constitutedness will speak of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and various shades of temporality/shortness in their ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ including psychopathic slantedness constitutedness. The comparison highlighted further below with respect to the 6 BODMAS characters and character A (Addition) as the additionality defect character, is most telling of the inherent nature of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) induced constitutedness which is conceptually associated with conceptualisation/construal of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ (since such a construal fully reflect the reality of a human temporal-to-intemporal reference-of-thought nature, with high ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ of temporal-dispositions reference-of-thought, much like the ‘conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ of the other BODMAS characters to A’s fundamental postlogism-slantedness pathological condition/constitutedness as when insisting on upholding the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and not factoring in A’s underlying condition and defect as constitutedness, and so out of sync with the existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-

context as the more fundamental a priori whose imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring reveals the fundamental defect of applying additionality reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity.). The resolution by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring is most telling of the inherent nature of conflation which is conceptually associated with ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’; as conflation speaks of a more profound relation in the construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its ontological and virtue essence that is susceptible to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication, and so even when elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity is denaturing as exposed by existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, to further construe new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation factoring in the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring reflecting the existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Conflation, as so-construed in referentialism, by striving to sync with the very inherent evasive nature of existence in its imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring (with respect to human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)) as of referentialism is

absolutely referencing on the basis of ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as being the preceding notion for construal/conceptualisation with respect to existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and so grasped as conflation emphasises projective-insights for upholding ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. Hence conflation will tend to avoid systemic defects of analysis associated with constitutedness requiring re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification as ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’. Conflation is thus naturally inclined to induce ‘appropriate-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ by the ontological and virtue implications (as ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectical-thinking-teleological-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing). As so articulated, these two concepts operantly address in a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration or any other operant conceptualisation the notion of a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in the various instances) but actually implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought’, underlined by the disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions. Further, constitutedness and conflation, as so articulated, are such fundamental notions with respect to how humans limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) come to grasp existential-reality/ontological-veridicality that these two underlying notions are critically

definitional relative to existential-construal/conceptualisation of understanding and failing-
 understanding, and insightfully explain the fundamental basis of the consecutive
 transformations of human psychologies as induced by ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or
 psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ at the
 transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional-level of institutionalisations as well as at
 the individuation-level with respect to conception and misconceptions of meaningfulness-
 and-teleology not only with respect to understanding but equally dynamics of ‘personality
 formation and teleological-differentiation’, and so specifically as associated with the
 dynamics implied of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, further reflected in the overall dynamics of
 postlogism and conjugated-postlogism (including the dynamics of psychopathy and social
 psychopathy as social reprising out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of psychopathic pathological insane-fitment, as
 of fundamental/most-simplistic constitutedness socially reprised with ‘conjugated-
 constitutedness of reference-of-thought’) as well as grasping fundamental dynamics of
 institutions and especially as influenced by the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-
 effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)
 which is highly subject to the reality of human-subpotency–
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (emphasising
 socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds rather than abject ontology, thus giving room for
 ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-
 incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-

threshold'). These two concepts are critical relative to grasping and analysing human choice/notions relative to reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of meaningful-frameworks. Other implications have to do with human personality development psychology in relation to meaning and meaningfulness extending to the construal/conceptualisation of language development as well as aesthetics and virtue as reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. In a further elaboration of constitutedness and conflation with respect to psychologism, the reason why a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension needs its own knowledge-construct reference-of-thought psychologism has to do with the fact that every registry-worldview/dimension has 'its own specific constitutedness/conflation psychological complex reflex mechanism' wherein its limits in the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality are defined, and this is subpar to the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension knowledge-construct reference-of-thought which thus needs its own corresponding psychologism for its superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology, achieved by 'presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness' as constitutedness re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification'. Consider the example of the 'God of plane' type of expression in an animistic/base-institutionalisation setup, where their fundamental psychologism is so ingrained that every meaningfulness from a positivistic social-setup cultural diffusion is inevitably reconstrued in the animistic/base-institutionalisation psychologism, until down the line the latter's meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, by way of continuous 'presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness' as 'recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of the prior constitutedness of reference-of-thought' is critically rid of the very essence of animistic/base-institutionalisation psychologism inducing an overall

break into a positivism psychologism. It is interesting to note that going by the psychologism of a base-institutionalisation social-setup reference-of-thought for instance, the idea of arithmetic as we may grasp today in a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and as of its operant nature, isn't the case in its operant conceptualisation in such a base-institutionalisation social-setup <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology as rather the mental-disposition apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in the use of numbers is more about acting in currying favours or in view to receiving favours meaningfully as of ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’ (as can be observed by anthropologists in various forms in many a hunter-gatherer and animist societies), rather than use of numbers considered as of such a relatively independent-domain and exactness of meaningfulness-and-teleology orientation as we construe of arithmetic and mathematics in say a universalisation or positivism registry-worldview/dimension Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving. Thus use of numbers is defined by other ideas in such early hunter-gather and animist societies given Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology like

the notion of wealth accumulation, which will be predominantly about ‘inducing a sense of social obligation or faithfulness or deference’ from other persons, and so together with other cultural peculiarities that avoid hoarding and emphasise wealth display, gifts, etc. Psychologism (as being central in conflation or rather ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of constitutedness), refers to the underlying human reflex mental scheme of a given registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought ‘allowing for its given capacity to supersede its psychological complex in construing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework transcendental-enabling/sublimating and corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology’. The bigger question could be asked; why doesn’t humans in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation spontaneously articulate and relate to meaningfulness-and-teleology as humans in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, who do not do likewise as humans in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, who do not do likewise as humans in positivism–procrypticism? Is it a difference in species, as of successive species? Obviously, no! As we know from history and anthropology that cultural diffusion has shown that all humans are able to come to terms and operate at the highest forms of huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation. This fundamentally points to the centrality of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought psychologism ‘placeholder-setup/mentation/mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as arising and determined by its specific limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness in relation to conflation) construal/conceptualisation as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’. The underlying human psyche is in need of a ‘framework of intelligibility construal/conceptualisation’ as its mental-scheme (psychologism) by which humans, given their limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), can then project ‘mental and existential investment’ in a world of perceived stakes (social,

natural and/or supernatural) in a ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ (which holds the resources for individual and collective human possibilities, like prior developed culture, language, skills, etc. available for individual and collective intersolipsistic exploitation and renewal). Noting that at stake is its existential survival and thriving, and so it is involved in a relative zero-sum game of existential possibilities, on the basis of its limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) determining its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as enabled by the ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. This ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ is highly linear as of the possibilities for construing human psychical and institutional readjustments in inducing successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures which are thus equally in a linearity. This notion of ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’ harkens back to that of huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation by its socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions further redefining the possibility of uninstitutionalised-threshold as the threshold for failing/not-upholding the institutionalisation’s reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and the possibility of prospective institutionalisation as renewing reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to the uninstitutionalised-threshold, thus further redefining successive prospective socially-functional-and-accordant thresholds as successive prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. Thus, implying a dual-faceted representation of human mental-disposition as uninstitutionalised-and-institutionalised, wherein by metaphysics-of-presence, the present registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought by its inherent presencing-inclination disposition will asymmetrically be oriented as institutionalised in secluding its

uninstitutionalised facet from placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with any sense of
 uninstitutionalised-threshold being rather an afterthought posture rather with respect to the
 prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised facet of reference-of-thought. It is this
 appreciation successively implied registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought emphasising both institutionalised-and-
 uninstitutionalised-facets that naturally validates the notion of a ‘contingent ontologising-
 capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ that is
 counterintuitive to a stigmatic/mented psychology as conceptualised today. Such a
 ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism
 as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ by its
 contiguity in grasping the implications of human temporal (pseudointemporal)-to-intemporal
 mental-dispositions as a contiguity of shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology should be predicative of human meaningfulness-and-teleology (much the same
 way that the notion of temporality-to-intemporality thresholds driven construal enables an
 existentially operant <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-
 referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-
 enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
 operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construal of virtue beyond the ‘relatively
 impression-driven basis of conceptualisation’ associated with <formative>epistemic-

totalising_random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘trepidatious-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-
tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving allegiance/subservience driven
construal, <formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-
abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving qualification/good-to-bad driven
construal, <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-
abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorisation/kindness-humility-helpfulness-
etc. driven construal), superseding the non-contiguous nature of present stigmatic/mented
psychology. Such a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ construes social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; as this is already the natural human psychology which on the token of relative completeness-of-reference-of-thought of successively achieved social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context is behind the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining that ushers in the successive psychologisms of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought, with the bigger insight thus that such natural psychology is central to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation psychologism; and we can appreciate that the more thorough dilemmas with respect to vices-and-impediments of the grander human condition have been paradigmatically/structurally resolved as of these successive psychologisms paradigms arising from prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought induced social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. For instance, the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) induced a

social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context that led to the base-institutionalisation—
 ununiversalisation psychologism grounded on rule-making differing from the non-rules-as-
 impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition psychologism of recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation, with its corresponding grander ontological and virtue implications.
 Interestingly consider for comparison our mented/stigmatic psychology construct (which is
 relatively ontologically non-contiguous by the positivism registry-worldview/dimension ‘-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-
 presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorising disposition’ or ‘third-level
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, as it doesn't
 construe an <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-
 phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, as conflation, of temporality-as-
 pseudointemporality-to-intemporality of human individuations as is the case with
 referentialism as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, as so implied by ‘notional-
 deprocrypticism’), under the positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought

as absolute value-judgment (not withstanding its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as positivism–procrysticism); likewise, we’ll necessarily be suspect with regards to a corresponding approach where for instance the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought equally construed a relatively ontologically non-contiguous stigmatic/mented psychology construct based on its registry-worldview/dimension ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context categorising dispositions’ or ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, on the basis of its meaningfulness-and-teleology as value-judgment (not withstanding its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism-⟨failing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules⟩ when factoring in such mental-dispositions as believing in superstitions, alchemy, notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, etc). As we come to recognise that such an approach renders the meaningfulness-and-teleology as value-reference of every registry-worldview/dimension at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as the absolute determinant of what can be psychology, with a naivety that doesn’t allow consciously, (as consciously decentering and pivoting with respect to human psychical and institutionalisation implications), for prospective transcendence, as it doesn’t factor in the said registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought to then project that there may be a prospective relative-ontological-

completeness-of-reference-of-thought which meaningfulness-and-teleology as value judgment transforms psychological-construal/psychologism. The best possible outcome in this regard is as of the construal of a ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as it establishes prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-

instantiative-context. As setting up the relevant contingent psychologism is only by a construal that the best possible psychology-construct/psychologism is necessarily attained by successive registry-worldviews/dimensions construals/conceptualisations by their contingent prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought by social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-

instantiative-context (that is, ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’), and so successively across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, whether retrospectively or prospectively. This insight about the nature of a mented/stigmatic psychology compares with the instance about a Kantian absolute apriorising/axiomatising/referencing exercise; in that in both instances, human mentation capacity is construed as absolutely given at all times, with that mentation capacity rather ‘reflexively and erroneously’ absolutely construed as of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, and what is not factored in is the fact that there is a

human limited-mentation-capacity that maximalisingly-recomposures as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity inducing the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations reference-of-thought with their own ‘specific institutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-dispositions/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstruments’ as of their prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to their social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; with the implications being that social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought redefines prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology and the corresponding apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, implying an epistememic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought based on prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ultimately as of ‘notional-deprocrypticism’; as this consciously factors in the reality of the need of transcendence as decentering/pivoting with respect to psychical-orientation, meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation, institutionalisation and overall existential becoming. This validates the notion of ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of its construing of notional-deprocrypticism as ‘deprocrypticism suprastructuration’ or ‘deprocrypticism suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the overall registry-worldview/dimension reconstrual of superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ (enabling the <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-

referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-
 enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
 operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/conflation of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of the
 deprocrypticism socially-functional-and-accordant as of intemporal/ontological contiguity,
 with no-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-non-dissociability, thus upholding
 deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-
 based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules). Thus, with notional-
 deprocrypticism further enabling the abstract intemporal/ontological contiguity grasp of
 human ‘individuation-level and registry-worldview/dimension-level meaningfulness-and-
 teleology’ as it can accrue at the intradimensional-level of individuals-notionally-as-
 receptacles-of-temporal-to-intemporal-individuations and individuals-as-institutionally-
 constrained-actors-as-of-intersolipsistic-deambulation, and hence ontologically-adjoins in its
 construal/conceptualisation the construct of the individual and the social as of
 ‘deprocrypticism suprastructuration’ or ‘deprocrypticism suprastructural psychical-and-
 institutionalisation orientation of meaningfulness-and-teleology synopsising-depth as of the
 overall registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding–oneness-of-ontology’
 (just as in the natural sciences, physics ontologically-adjoins chemistry and chemistry
 ontologically-adjoins biology). This is in contrast with an ontologically non-contiguous
 stigmatic/mented psychology construct which relative ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness’ largely limits its notion to ‘affect’, and not a full-blown

ontological-contiguity as conflation elaborated ‘meaningfulness-and-teleology’ determination in full ontological converging with the social (as metaphysics-of-absence of the social, ‘conflation psychologism’ based on ‘temporal-to-intemporal contrastive-synopsising-depths-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ going by the ‘referentialism technique of point-referencing, explained elsewhere,’ that restores existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in undermining procrypticism or disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). Hence by recurrent re-categorisation/re-adaptation/re-classification of constitutedness of reference-of-thought as a ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ exercise at worldview-level, institutional-level and operant-level of meaningfulness-and-teleology, the requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought for prospective transcendence is achieved. Insightfully, (beyond ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’) the full <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of conflation as implied with referentialism as the underlying transcendental memetic/suprastructural-meaningfulness fugue reflecting existential-reality will take an even more critical bearing with respect to deprocrypticism psychologism as unlike the articulation as presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (rather heuristically and beyond consciousness-awareness-teleology) in previous institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, with deprocrypticism conflation is rather bound to be perceived and construed as of the (recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in its full potential on the basis of referentialism as of the full development of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Thus, the notion of conflation (including ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’) can be conceptualised across all transcendences as

providing the ‘centering platform’ (that reflects the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-reality as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) as the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought, for ‘decentering’ the prior registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought in its ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ with respect to the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought overall existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context meaningfulness-and-teleology; (as ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality increasingly supersedes ‘prior-conventioning as social-aggregation-enabling’, wherein for instance scientific explanations psychologism (as of prospective conflation) supersede mythical/supernatural/alchemic explanations psychologism (as of prior constitutedness) as ‘prospective-conventioning as transcendental-enabling/sublimating’; interestingly, highlighting how and why transcendence for prospective institutionalisation is construed in transcendental-enabling/sublimating terms as its strive for a prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought necessarily implies a more profound grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with respect to the prior as uninstitutionalised-threshold prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought revealing which by reflex adopts a social-aggretron-enabling disposition with respect to the prior-conventioning). In this respect, ultimately the full achievement of conflation will involve fully expanding the sphere of relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, as of ‘intemporal

knowledge constraining construct’, for thorough construal/conceptualisation of social reality which is relatively highly prone to ‘constitutedness and conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought and thus resultant presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ as of social-aggregation-enabling, hence undermining relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating of the social. Ultimately, given the comprehensive and typical underlying proneness of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) to constitutedness as its fundamental mentation deficiency at uninstitutionalised-threshold or as of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ (which it tends to resolve by ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ when aware of defective constitutedness) with respect to psychical-orientation, meaningfulness-and-teleology construal/conceptualisation, institutionalisation and its overall existential becoming, as so reflected in the succession of registry-worldviews/dimensions; deprocrypticism by its very transcendental essence comprehensively comes into grips with the constitutedness in positivism–procrypticism as it attains more than just ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ but an overall comprehensive conflation insight as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism for superseding positivism–procrypticism. Conflation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism in superseding constitutedness, provides resolution as of 3 aspects of meaningfulness-and-teleology: firstly, with respect to temporal instigating as constitutedness like psychopathic-slantedness insane-fitment ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation and its derivation with respect to temporal reprisings of such constitutedness as ‘conjugated-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ associated with conjugated-postlogism temporal reprisings by construing/conceptualising such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenon, and re-establishing social universal-transparency that by

itself is the fundamental basis for human knowledge-and-virtue; secondly, articulating the universal aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness; and thirdly, highlighting the structural/paradigmatic pivoting/decentering as prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought possibilities. It should be noted that ‘a mentation reflex as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’ is no less valid with respect to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of ‘human temporal uninstitutionalised-threshold mental-disposition’ (speaking of uninstitutionalised-threshold) as ‘a mentation reflex as centered and dialectical-thinking’ is valid with respect to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of ‘human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation mental-disposition’; and so, with no relevant need for attending to any ‘psychological complexes’ with respect to a representation as of an uninstitutionalised-threshold wrongly being construed as of institutionalisation (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold) as being ‘a mentation reflex as centered and dialectical-thinking’ instead of ‘a mentation reflex as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’. The point of this statement is that when procrypticism as our uninstitutionalised-threshold is bound to be construed as of metaphysics-of-absence, the normal psychologism we know of as of our positivism institutionalisation will no longer apply, as our procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology will be represented as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as the necessary/requisite backdrop for the construal of prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or–ontological-preservation ushering in deprocrypticism as prospective institutionalisation. In this regard, we’ll certainly

inherently relate to preceding successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism effectively as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics, though this will most probably be resisted with respect to such a representation of our denaturing of positivistic meaningfulness as our prospective procrypticism uninstitutionalisation (just as the correspondingly humans in the preceding successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds by mentation reflex had, consciously and unconsciously, resisted a representation as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics); while we can recognise successively the centered and dialectical-thinking nature of base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism, though probably less so of deprocrypticism institutionalisation as it points to the decentering and ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of our procrypticism uninstitutionalisation. Such institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold construal at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional-level is reflected/perspectivated operantly by the concepts of conflation as of centering and dialectical-thinking reference-of-thought implied with institutionalisations and constitutedness as of decentering and ontologically/dialectically-dementing reference-of-thought implied with uninstitutionalised-thresholds; prompting the respective institutionalisation and uninstitutionalised-threshold psychologisms as of the apriorising/precedingness of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reflecting this reality beyond and above our subpar <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought in positivism–procrypticism from a deprocrypticism perspective, just as we’ll recognise for instance that a

universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism mental-disposition contending against
 positivism institutionalisation meaningfulness is actually acting out a subpar
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reference-of-thought as of the
 apriorising/precedingness of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
 prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
 instantiative-context reflecting this reality beyond and above it from the positivism
 perspective. Thus it is fundamentally the case that the requisite construal/conceptualisation as
 decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-
 dialectics of an uninstitutionalised-threshold is hardly just one of ‘simplistic knowledge
 elucidation’ but rather an elucidation as of intellectual courage in bluntly asserting
 decentering and ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-
 dialectics. Intellectual courage as imbuing knowledge with organic profoundness of
 intemporal philosophy rather than just a mechanical construct of technicalities is the central
 driver for all initiated transcendences and prospective institutionalisations, as this goes
 beyond intellectual institutional-being-and-craft, since there is ‘no magical knowledge
 technicality’ for implying a more profound ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought
 over a relatively relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought but for such
 intellectual bravery to buck the trend or subvert as so displayed by the many illustrious
 positivism registry-worldview/dimension enablers subverting a non-positivism/medievalism
 mindset/reference-of-thought, fundamentally so with respect to such an intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality knowledge construct issue associated with transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating rather than a conventioning sovereign construct/choice issue associated
 with social-aggregation-enabling. In this regard, the issue arising is ‘altogether not a
 knowledge elucidation problem’ with respect to the implied representation of

uninstitutionalised-threshold as decentered and in ontological-dementation/dialectical-
 dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics but rather a ‘psychological complex issue’ of the
 prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. This explains why the issue is construed
 ontologically in ‘psychologism terms as of <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’, as requiring a coming to terms with the
 understanding implied by prospective institutionalisation as of its more profound existential-
 contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; as more
 fundamentally, Galileo’s use of a telescope to demonstrate a heliocentric system with respect
 to the non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought is not about the inherent knowledge
 implications to which the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought has
 ‘mentally shut-off’ to, but fundamentally about the ‘psychological complex’ of the non-
 positivism/medieval world of countenancing such meaningfulness as jeopardising the prior
 (non-positivism/medievalism), with the implication rather for the need of the prospective
 psychologism as the positivism institutionalisation psychologism (-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought foundation as new placeholder-
 setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology) requisite
 knowledge or meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought. Such equally applies with
 respect to deprocrypticism prospective institutionalisation relative to our procrypticism
 uninstitutionalised-threshold. In other words, prospective institutionalisation as transcendence
 is construed not in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘mechanical-knowledge’ which refers
 to ‘the simplistic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework outcomes construed as the
 overtly compelling aspect of the knowledge’ validating a knowledge construct but is
 construed rather in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘organic-knowledge’ which refers to
 ‘the mental-disposition and mental-orientation as reference-of-thought/psychologism

construed as including the discretionary contemplative aspect of the knowledge, behind the thought process that eventually leads to and is subsuming of the mechanical-knowledge'. Thus prospective institutionalisation as transcendence is grounded on such an underlying reference-of-thought associated with organic-knowledge qualified as the institutionalisation psychologism. In this regard, a chemist or botanist for instance in a non-positivistic as medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation setup will certainly not confuse the fact that its demonstration of chemical reactions or a plant demonstration to approval in such a social-setup necessarily imply that 'the underlying positivism mental-disposition and mental-orientation as reference-of-thought/psychologism construed as including the discretionary contemplative aspect as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of positivistic knowledge' behind its thought process eventually producing the validating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework outcomes means the medieval or animistic/base-institutionalisation setup has grasped the positivistic organic-knowledge, as it is very much likely that it will surreptitiously and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought conjure up explanations/meaningfulness-and-teleology in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its non-positivistic medieval alchemic or non-positivistic animistic reference-of-thought psychologism; as it is naïve to think that implied organic-knowledge as of prospective institutionalisation transcendence requiring its own reference-of-thought psychologism can simply be construed as 'mechanical-knowledge' while still upholding/keeping the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought psychologism, as the organic-knowledge rather points to 'validating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework outcomes as its mechanical-knowledge aspect but further requires a development of the discretionary contemplative aspect as of ontological-

faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of the knowledge’,
 grounded rather on such a prospective institutionalisation psychologism as its
 ‘suprastructuration’ or its ‘suprastructural psychical-and-institutionalisation orientation of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology synopsis-depth as of the overall registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s reconstrual of superseding—oneness-of-ontology’, and not the
 prior/superseded/transcended uninstitutionalised-threshold psychologism. Such organic-
 knowledge gets institutionalised to an extent by the habituation as of
 circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the mechanical-knowledge implied
 reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of cross-generational
 psychoanalytic-unshackling involving <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag towards the ultimate cross-generational
 alignment to the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-
 thought, as a positivistic registry-worldview reference-of-thought. Interestingly, and so across
 all successive institutionalisations, what tends to be lost ‘the failure to register fully that the
 ‘intemporal projecting mental-disposition’ behind ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework validating the institutionalisation of ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is rather the ‘vitality
 aspect’ of organic-knowledge and it is ‘not a passive dispensation’, just as well that the
 ‘temporal mental-dispositions’ superseded towards attaining the ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is
 ‘not simply a passive distraction’ with the insight that there is a contiguity as of temporal-to-
 intemporal mental-disposition relative to ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—
 imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-
 existential-reality across all the successive registry-worldviews as at all their
 uninstitutionalised-threshold temporal-individuations-as-shortness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology are a drawback to transcendence (by adherence to

<formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-
 drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the successive registry-worldviews'/dimensions'
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology inducing their
 successive apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing, and critically so as across all registry-worldviews postlogism leads to a
 characteristic mental-disposition at their uninstitutionalised-threshold of deception-of-
 concurrently-false-presupposing/false-presuming/false-premising-of-narratives and the
 consequent derivation, due to induced 'lack of constraining social universal-transparency, to
 other temporal-dispositions as conjugated-postlogism, and so beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought whether conscious
 or unconscious) while the intemporal-individuation-as-longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology ushers in transcendence (by it perpetual vouching for
 intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-
 faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality in pushing as this
 enables successive prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought to
 raise better and better reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation);
 thus validating the notion of a human intersolipsistic relation to meaningfulness-and-
 teleology in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing since a
 wrong 'wishful thinking'/intemporal-romanticism/good-naturedness of vouching for logical-
 congruence will overlook the inevitable reality of temporal-perversion with prospective
 implications as of <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as its resolution is rather an anticipation
 as of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. Likewise, futural

Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation meaningfulness-and-teleology implies that transcendence rather reasoned in our positivism—procrypticism terms of psychologism is inevitably denaturing as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective; as it is in need of the organic-knowledge of the prospective institutionalisation psychologism or deprocrypticism psychologism as conflatedness (conflation psychologism) on the basis of the ‘referentialism technique of point-referencing (explained elsewhere), which involves ‘contrastive temporal-to-intemporal synopsising-depth from a deprocrypticism perspective’ that re-establishes existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and in so doing undermines the relatively defective terms of ‘positivism—procrypticism uninstitutionalisation psychologism’ (disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and setting up ‘deprocrypticism organic-knowledge institutionalisation psychologism including the discretionary contemplative as of the ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality aspect in preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought or upholding jointedness’, as structurally/paradigmatically transcending the overall vices-and-impediments of positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension. The further implication is that deprocrypticism is rather construed as a perpetuating metaphysics-of-absence which driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality can then enable that way the perpetual upholding of organic-knowledge. This ‘mechanical-knowledge by organic-knowledge’ implication for conceptualising institutional-cumulations/institutional-

recomposures is validated by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ across retrospective and by implication prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions. This can be further expounded as follows in similar terms. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process behind the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures doesn’t only imply that the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is simplistically the result of ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ successively as: non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in base-institutionalisation–universalisation, ‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, ‘positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ in positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively in deprocrypticism, ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’. Rather the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is driven by human limited-mentation-capacity as of deepening limited-mentation-capacity in the human drive to grasp a same intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that doesn’t change with respect to existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (with change rather reflected as a result of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-

existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)), such that in addition to the human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) eliciting the successive ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ as highlighted above equally inherently imply (and so, as of complement to human limited mentation capacity), a grander non-constraining element qualified as ‘ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ in-complement-to and reflecting the incompleteness of the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’; with both the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ and the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ implying the ‘organic-knowledge’ while just the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ is the ‘mechanical-knowledge’. The underlying idea is that an individuation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation notwithstanding its non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition (social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct), wherein human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor still applies and if they project intemporally/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, is not necessarily utterly devoid of a basic sense of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as virtue-as-of-ontological-

emancipation on the basis that it doesn't recognise rulemaking-over-non-rules as of
 'mechanical-knowledge', but while that can as well be the case when projecting
 temporally/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the registry-
 worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance in
 such a setup as not constrained by any rulemaking-over-non-rules (based on mere
 'mechanical non-knowledge' of non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-
 disposition in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), however at the intemporal-threshold as
 of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-
 accordance notwithstanding its limited-mentation-capacity, by intemporal-projection it will
 be able to summon heuristically a sense of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-
 reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework from its 'complementing grander
 social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-
 or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of
 intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation' (beyond the
 mere 'mechanical non-knowledge' of non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-
 mental-disposition) as 'organic-knowledge', for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness (as
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm) which subsequently as of dynamic-
 cumulative-aftereffect brings about base-institutionalisation-universalisation 'social-
 universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct' of
 'rulemaking-over-non-rules' as the new 'mechanical-knowledge' as well as implying the
 'complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’, with both forming the new ‘organic-knowledge’. Likewise, base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation too by dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect at its intemporal-threshold of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance notwithstanding limited-mentation-capacity, the intemporally projecting individuation will be able to summon heuristically a sense of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, from its ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’, (beyond the mere ‘mechanical-knowledge’ of ‘rulemaking-over-non-rules’) as ‘organic-knowledge’, for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm) leading by a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect to the subsequent prospective universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism as of the new ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ of ‘universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’ as the new ‘mechanical-knowledge’ as well as implying the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’, with both forming the new ‘organic-knowledge’. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process carries on this way right up to deprocrypticism,

such that across the successive institutionalisations apart from the intemporal-threshold of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance as explained above; with respect to temporal-thresholds of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, temporal mental-dispositions are rather in arrogation/usurpation relation with the determinant nature of 'social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct' as 'mechanical-knowledge', and so as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, while failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing the 'complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation' which together with the 'mechanical-knowledge' make up the 'organic-knowledge', and so rather as of temporal extricatory paradigm. This further involves shades-of-temporality as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of 'reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing' inducing defects of logical-processing-or-logical-

implicitation as well as postlogism inducing defect of reference-of-thought or perversion-of-reference-of-thought. Postlogism as such involves deliberate and wrong pretence of rational projection of thought (as of teleologically-degraded synopsis-ing-depth) whereas existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context reveals that such thought derives from 'denaturing axiomatic relation' as the <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of mechanical-knowledge as deterministic for temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology purpose in disdain of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology essence of knowledge as of its organic essence. The conjugation of other shades-of-temporality to postlogism induces their respective conjugated-postlogism leading by dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect to a broader social derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought construed as social-postlogism that fundamentally is denaturing of meaningfulness-and-teleology at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing, in want for prospective institutionalisation. The underlying insight being that human formulation of meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily incomplete because of its limited-mentation-capacity and thus comes with an inherent sense/projection of ontological-appropriateness, and as of human developing ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, as the driving element in upholding ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality. This notion as reflected by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (as it enables the further expansion of the registry-worldview's/dimension's—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance intemporal-thresholds and so as

of ontological-emancipation-beyond-just-virtue) should be the critical and decisive constructive/institutionalising element for attaining depropticism wherein the ‘social-universally-transparent-and-implicitly-formulated direct-constraining-construct’ as mechanical-knowledge is construed as overlapping with the ‘complementing grander social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality construed as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation’ as organic-knowledge. The reality of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality driven ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process points to the fact that the traditional construal of knowledge often tacitly as of intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is incomplete and rather speaks of ‘vague intellectual intemporal-romanticism’ and doesn’t fit with the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as upheld by the mediocrity principle underlying a rational-realism perspective, and explains why articulating knowledge merely as ‘mechanical-knowledge’ is bound to lead to its distortion/perversion/misconstrual by the mere fact of human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition adhering rather to <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology implied by the mechanical-knowledge explaining the successive need for ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-

reality to overcome such distortion/perversion/misconstrual; as in fact despite such a vague idealism as intemporal-romanticism, implicitly where highly pressing we tend to be obliged to recognised this temporal-to-intemporal reality as implied in the way we go about developing many a social formal construct. Thus deprocrypticism knowledge as overlapping the mechanical with the organic, as of the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-disposition driven by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality behind the mechanical-knowledge, is a further validation of the idea of notionalisation of knowledge which emphasises in principle and beforehand/as-of-a-priori a deliberative consideration of this temporal-to-intemporal human disposition in relating to mechanical-knowledge as of prospective possibilities for a better preempting of temporality/shortness and skewing towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as of organic-knowledge overlapping. Further, the reality of a human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor means that human meaningfulness at all times is more of ‘a solipsistic transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human meaningfulness as of temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ and ‘not a ‘solipsistic commonness of meaningfulness that wrongly implies no temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions’, as any commonness is ‘a commonness implied with respect to secondnaturing institutionalisation as of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction thresholds’, with the implication that there is no point acting and relating with knowledge as if it is about a solipsistic transformation into intemporality/longness but rather relating to it as a secondnaturing exercise of skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-

temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating or deferential-formalisation-transference) with respect to the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process as virtue (a notion equally implied by many a prophesying metaphysico-theological construct as the intemporality/longness and transcendental projections as of their limited-mentation-capacity in their own times in resolving the issues of human temporality/shortness in their times). In which case while such intemporality/longness cannot be construed as of a social commonness of reference-of-thought, it’s occurrence if it does occur can only be construed in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (more like the abstract notion of faith, by definition and as implied in many a creed, however metaphysical though, can only be solipsistic to an individual and not amenable to a commonness of social contemplation) as of abstract intersolipsism. The Nietzschean metaphor ‘God is dead’, as of human emancipation, is one whose validity can only be countenance where it implies the capacity of human pretence of intellectual-and-moral sublimation, and not the notion of intellectual-and-moral decadence. *Thus to sum up, the overall notion of conflation in relation with other elucidative associated notions can further be clarified as follows in ‘interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental terms in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process’ as well as ‘individuation terms of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions’. With regards to the interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process level, we can construe of conflation as of the <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-

reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context potency implied as of ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence and reconstrued in the successive prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, wherein the referentialism technique for
 conflation known as point-referencing delineates/disambiguates the various institutional-
 cumulations/institutional-recomposures as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 revealing their ‘contrastive-synopsising-depths-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as the
 varying synopsising-depth of human meaningfulness-and-teleology (recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-
 positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism which
 as ‘notional-deprocrypticism’ is the ‘point of point-referencing for conflation’, by the
 construal of its ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process reference-
 of-thought as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence), with respect to the same intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality such that such varying is attributed to human limited-
 mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-
 sublimating-withdrawal) as of conflatedness (or construed as from
 constitutedness/‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ to conflation) inducing
 both the registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisation-facets (‘centered/in-phase’ and
 ‘dialectical-thinking’) and uninstitutionalised-threshold-facets (‘decentered/out-of-phase’ and
 dialectically-dementing as hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing). Supposed a
 notional conflatedness or conflation abstraction across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions
 on the basis of the referentialism technique of point-referencing (‘notional-deprocrypticism-
 or-as-from-recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism’) is undertaken with
 respect to establishing ‘reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-
 performance relative to social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, it will fundamentally be

perceived sceptically by the respective uninstitutionalised-thresholds as it ‘decenters and dementes beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ as of their respective prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, so implied by their given social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context; that is, as ‘decentering and dementing beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation given its non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidental-or-random-mental-disposition or as of its failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rulemaking-over-non-rules, as ‘decentering and dementing beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, as ‘decentering and dementing beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, and as ‘decentering and dementing beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ positivism–procrypticism as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules. Critically and interestingly with the last stage since our positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension is necessarily in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as with all ‘present-states’ of registry-worldviews/dimensions as construed from their backend perspectives in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, it would hardly be

inclined to interpret such conflation referentialism technique of point-referencing (notional-deprocrpticism) that ‘decenters and dementes it beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ on the basis of such ‘doppler-thinking’ based on contingent-ontologising-capacity driven ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ and thus rendering its meaningfulness-and-teleology apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing at the positivism–procrpticism uninstitutionalisation, while it ‘pointlessly strives to be centered and dialectical-thinking by reflex’ by not recognising its uninstitutionalised-threshold or the procrpticism uninstitutionalisation reference-of-thought in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as all ‘present-states’ of registry-worldviews/dimensions do by reflex), and thus rather involved in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of meaning as of <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. But then we know and can appreciate that all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions were ‘decentered and dementing beforehand/as-of-a-priori’ going by ‘contingent ontologising-capacity driven apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism as of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’. This ‘anti-transcendence as anti-uninstitutionalised-threshold and anti-prospective institutionalisation mental-disposition’ of all ‘present-states’ of all registry-worldviews/dimensions is due to the fact of such ‘present-states’ <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag desymmetrisation alignment overly-overemphasising the registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation-facet in a

corresponding relation with a dissymmetrical alignment over underemphasising its uninstitutionalised-threshold-facet, but with such representation becoming critically ontologically untenable at the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold where meaningfulness-and-teleology breaks into hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. With regards to individuation terms of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions (and in further articulation of the grander ontological-normalcy/postconvergence apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to registry-worldviews/dimensions ‘present-states’ as of their <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag), conflation referentialism technique of point-referencing from the intemporal-projection/intemporality individuation point of point-referencing for conflation (given that the intemporal-disposition by longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology is ontological as of supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing), in disambiguating/delineating the ‘various temporal-to-intemporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ by social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context with respect to prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and in so doing establishing ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>

ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework projection insight’ with respect to the distractive alignment implications of postlogism-

slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ (which are the very ‘temporal-distractively-aligned synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’-as-shallowness-of-thought/subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (which is the very ‘intemporal synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as-of--<formative>epistemic-totalising—social-context-construed-conflatedness’); such that an insightful storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration as elucidative of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation is necessarily one construed at the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing crossroads of temporal-to-intemporal individuations synopsising-depth-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’.]

In other words, suprastructuralism (as of its referential and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence emanance perspective and as a doppler-thinking exercise) ushers in a whole new comprehensive registry-worldview across the entire social construction-of-meaning called deprocrypticism, much like positivism did over non-positivism/medievalism or universalisation over ununiversalisation or base-institutionalisation over tter-uninstitutionalisation. Central to such ‘a universal notion of deprocrypticism’ is the idea of an abject-recomposuring-ontologising by upholding ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, involving postdication with postdicatory techniques and

postdicatory mindset/reference-of-thought in reflection of the suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality (more like the positivistic registry-worldview is all about existential positivistic conceptualisations, positivistic techniques and basic positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought superseding existential alchemic conceptualisations, alchemic techniques and a basic alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought that defined the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension); involving ensuring intemporal-disposition organic-comprehension-thinking that upholds-and-is-the reference-of-thought for ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality, over mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation by temporal-dispositions meaningfulness hotchpotching/disjointing’ as perverted-and-derived-perverted-reference-of-thought and induces notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. In the bigger picture of human institutional transcendence, this is very much in line with the transcending/superseding of human uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘with increasing cumulation of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity’ that defined the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures specificities as: existential base-institutionalising with base-institutionalising techniques and base-institutionalising mindset/reference-of-thought (Base-institutionalisation); existential universalising with universalising techniques and universalising mindset/reference-of-thought (Universalisation); existential positivising/rational-empiricism with positivising techniques and positivising mindset/reference-of-thought (Positivism); and prospectively ‘existential ontologising’, and so beyond its conventioning incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness

disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as of temporal-accommodation of positivistic meaningfulness, as ‘existentially abject postdicatory ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’, with postdicatory methods and techniques and an overall postdicatory mindset/reference-of-thought (Deprocrpticism). Existential ontologising is effectively the human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology aspiration towards a fulsome grasp of intrinsic-reality/full-ontological-veridicality as fulfilling ontological-normalcy; all along the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposeure levels but for incomplete human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity the preceding institutionalisation levels are more like successive compromises towards deprocrpticism as ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation). A critical distinction between deprocrpticism institutionalisation and positivistic institutionalisation has to do with the former uncompromising relation with respect to upholding ontological-contiguity thus overcoming the temporal-emananances-registries hotchpotching (<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> or banality-of-thought dynamism, and specifically in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) even though it is very much present in the formal sphere as well) and the incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought inherent in the positivistic mindset, thus the latter tends relatively to be weakly ontologically-contiguous with all the existential implications thereof, whether with regards to virtue

construal or subject-matters issues. Further as with all transcendences, the transcendence going from procrypticism, or the dialectical-dementing (perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology, to deprocrypticism will involve a psychoanalytically demented deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of our present positivistic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology wherein this is presently stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase to a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology wherein the deprocrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought reflects/perspectivates the positivistic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase. So the deprocrypticism institutionalisation (as a renewed existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness-and-teleology or memetic-refinement) ontologising involves an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation, as-prospective reference-of-thought, of intradimensional-meaningfulness psychoanalytically into-dementation/as-dementing of our present positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Even though as with all transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions such an implied veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology will probably sound unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural due to our positivistic illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-

consciousness/mirage; as the reference-of-thought, in articulating ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and the suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, moves away from a positivistic registry-worldview registrying/dueness to a deprocrypticism registry-worldview registrying/dueness with the corresponding ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics stranding the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension transdimensional-meaningfulness/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as organic-comprehension-thinking and the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension intradimensional-meaningfulness as mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (just as successive registry-worldviews/dimensions reference-of-thought, in a conceptual grasp of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and the suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, had priorly moved from an utter-institutionalisation registrying/dueness/existentialism to a base-institutionalisation registrying/dueness/existentialism, to a universalisation registrying/dueness/existentialism and then presently a positivistic registrying/dueness/existentialism, with corresponding ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics stranding prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningfulness as organic-comprehension-thinking and the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningfulness as mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation; as-and-when-it-is-established that a registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation is no longer intemporal-preservational, when it is 'perversion-of-reference-of-thought its reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation at

its uninstitutionalised-threshold). It should be noted that human uninstitutionalised-threshold refers to the point where a specific institutionalisation is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation by a formulaic adherence (lip-servicing) to reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation hence attaining its uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein the ontological-veridicality of the mental-devising-representation is ‘in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and not organic-comprehension-thinking’, and we can envision retrospectively the points of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions from our vantage point of being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process like an insight in the recurrent-utter-institutionalised ‘so-called savage’ mindset/reference-of-thought or the medieval mindset, for instance. Likewise such a mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation registry-worldview projection though of a different nature of the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension can be made prospectively from a deprocrypticism insight that overrides our illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage given its more suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence vantage perspective in relation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontological-referencing. The general underlying principle for deprocrypticism methods and techniques is that of being abjectly ontologising, beyond positivistic meaningfulness conventioning and temporal-accommodation as ‘ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction’ for undermining notional-discontiguity/epistemic-

discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> arising from temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, and as it upholds veridical ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as the veridical reference-of-thought; which is what is actually up for contention and is effective contention (organic-comprehension-thinking) over what is being ‘epistemically-decadent in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>’, and is actually dementing (mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation) and not contending. When implied specifically with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy (just like a superseding positivistic orientation implied with regards to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and medieval mindset/reference-of-thought to sorcery), deprocrypticism as an intemporal transcendental construct implies ontological-contiguity deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness construct of temporal-dispositions notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> as the backdrop/grounding of the veridical reference-of-thought; as what is actually up for contention and is effective contention (organic-comprehension-thinking) over what is ‘epistemically-decadent in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>’, as the latter is actually in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and is not contending as organic-comprehension-thinking. Noting as well that with regards to human mentation capacity, the successive institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations elicit successive circumspections (as recomposured-consciousness-awareness-

teleology) in human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity that are enablers of the associated institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures: for base-institutionalisation the circumspection is one of contrastive uninstitutionalised-threshold – institutionalisation analytical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding institutionalisation; with universalisation the circumspection involves contrastive ununiversalisation – universalisation analytical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding universalisation; with positivism the circumspection involves contrastive non-positivism/medieval/alchemic – positivism/rational-empiricism analytic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding positivism/rational-empiricism; and prospectively, for deprocrypticism the circumspection will involve contrastive temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions analytic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology capacity for upholding the intemporal-disposition as ontology. Critically, human analytical mentation capacity mainly disambiguates what-is-in-effect organic-comprehension-thinking and mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, respectively as the mental-devising-representation of dialectical-thinking representation and dementing representation. Equally, with regards to human mentation capacity, the effect of limited mentation capacity characterising a given registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level and its social-construct not only defines its inherent vices-and-impediments but such a social-construct further and critically structures and stifles the natural renewal of human emancipative dispositions. For instance, non-positivism/medievalism stifling inclinations to think outside

of medieval mental-dispositiona and likewise with regards to our procrypticism. The bigger point of successive institutionalisations has to do overall with their specific emancipative registry-worldview/dimension framework as fertilising the cross-section of human practical and conceptual incidental issues and endeavours as well as the virtue constructs at the said registry-worldview/dimension. What is interesting with regards to an incidental study like psychopathy and social psychopathy with respect to the grander deprocrypticism institutionalisation level within the treatment of the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures meta-conceptual frame is that it provides (besides being critically important to grasp by itself as a parasitising/co-opting phenomenon that can potentially arise in all human locales) the incidental and the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework backdrop and background that informs and deepens understanding of the overall meta-conceptual analysis of perversion-of-reference-of-thought issues (issues arising from the tempering or false implying of the apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology and thus inducing a fundamental flaw with the reference-of-thought in the first place, and further at a second-order level in wrongly implying the existential veridicality of logical-dueness (thus making irrelevant the construing of soundness or unsoundness) of logical-processing-or-logical-implication), which in turn further enlighten the incidental analysis of psychopathy and social psychopath. Such dynamic and mutually beneficial insight at the meta-conceptualisation and incidental further extends to other related incidental issues relevant to the meta-conceptualisation.

It should be noted that this overall explanatory exercise is ‘not reasoning by analogy’ but rather contiguous (ontological-contiguity) as the fundamental notion is institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy (intemporal-preservation contiguity; by a

skewing device ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference of the averageness of human temporal-dispositions, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition which is inherently ontological and syncs with intrinsic reality in its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, and hence its supersedingness as it induces overall social virtue-as-of-ontology). Institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation) involves:

- recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (initial state of 'perversion-of-reference-of-thought' that intemporally calls for the introduction of reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation as base-institutionalisation),

- base-institutionalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation (whose reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation 'perversion-of-reference-of-thought' as ununiversalisation intemporally calls for universalisation),

- universalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation (whose reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation 'perversion-of-reference-of-thought' as non-positivism/medievalism intemporally calls for positivism),

- positivism institutionalisation/intemporalisation (prospectively, whose reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation 'perversion-of-reference-of-thought' as procrypticism intemporally calls for deprocrypticism),

- and prospectively deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation (whose reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation will carry the ‘virtuous and intellectual responsibility’ to recognise that ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought is an endemic human mental defect/perversion disposition retrospectively to prospectively, and that this is ‘a lost cause’ due fundamentally to mediocrity principle of humans having in reality ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ and not ‘universal intemporal-disposition’, and the construct of deprocryptic categorical-imperatives/axioms should be anticipatory and preemptive of ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ perpetually at the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’. More like the modern notion of medicine doesn’t work on the idea of exceptional people, as this will ultimately lead to a wrong and superstitious disease theory, but accepts that structurally bacteria, cancer, organ failure, etc. cause disease and that the virtue of medicine is about how to understand and preempt the above causations; likewise deprocryptic virtue operates on a realistic grasp of human subknowledging/mimicking/temporal-to-intemporal-solipsistic-projections at uninstitutionalised-threshold and then strives to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition, which is ontological, for intemporal-preservation entropy/contiguity).

We can garner such emanant (becoming) ‘psychoanalytic unshackled insight’ of how we transcended from non-positivism/medievalism to a positivistic registry-worldview. A literary insight can also be grasped reading Chinua Achebe’s *Things Fall Apart* on how a community where a traditional registry-worldview with its sense of purpose had to deal with positivistic transcendence. Think of the state of the mind of Okonkwo of the Umuofia Clan. Though, in this case the transcendence is by cultural diffusion rather than by internal philosophical transcendence. Basically, all transcendences involve ‘a psychoanalytic-

unshackling of this sort'. Counterintuitively, it should be understood that no transcendence is rational because you rationalise by operating logic on a sound registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives but then the need for transcendence due to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought and the registry-worldview's/dimension's relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought is putting the soundness of registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives in question (as reference-of-thought supersedes/precedes logical-processing-or-logical-implication), so you rather have a reinvention as <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of a new and better registry-worldview/axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives by the psychoanalytic-unshackling coming from its better grasp/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the world/intrinsic reality. Basically, we can say that human-emanant/becoming-transcendence is the first level of human invention (incremental inventions of relatively sounder minds; with the would-be 'intellectual-analysts' undergoing their own philosophical/first-level transcendence to liberate themselves before secondnaturing/institutionalising for the new possibilities for the species; noting that, this doesn't mean that the Descartes, Comtes, Galileos, Newtons, Darwins... of the world, miraculously came up with positivism to supersede/precede/override/abject medievalism, as they were of medieval stock but by philosophical transcendence could project beyond the limits of non-positivism/medievalism even were they were still imbued with remnants of the old like alchemic beliefs. Hence it is the transcendental process that is actually critical)!

Now what positive can come from psychopathy? From the intemporal perspective NONE. Besides specific social consequences of psychopathy as the context of 'socially-

perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' moves from family, neighbourhood, school, company, administration, business, criminality, etc. depending on the development of the specific psychopath; by and large, ontologically and as reflected by the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), the psychopath's and other postlogical articulations have a nefarious effect, on social meaning particularly in 'spheres of extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)' of society in general and social institutions, as the postlogical perversion-of-reference-of-thought induces mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation with many an interlocutor, and which by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect, undermines the sophistication/intricacy of thought involved with organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and often leads to a social dynamism of plainness and mediocrity which is subpar and corrupting to social and institutions teleological potential. In-conviction (prelogically), mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation is vis a vis organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), a 'defect of contiguity (ontological-contiguity)' in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of an intemporal point-of-reference of meaningfulness; with mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-

constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation involving miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising of the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) point-of-referencing of intemporal/ontological-veridicality. Basically, organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) carries the idea of ‘a higher teleology complex of being more profound with respect to mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’ with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology ontological-veracity in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of registry-teleology implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. However, with psychopathy and postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented, a further dimension is added to the defects of mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation which are more or less acts/occasional defects then, as conjugating these as to registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>, as meaningfulness is now not about a ‘defect of failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing contiguity’ intemporality/ontological-veridicality as of specific existential-instantiation ontological-performance but rather a defect in being in ontological-contiguity with non-conviction-or-

existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing–
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-
 impulsively-demented as postlogical temporality/shortness perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as the mechanical-comprehension-dementing in
 hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
 intemporal-preservation aligns to the psychopath’s/postlogical-mind’s slantedness and is thus
 insane/slantedness integrative. And this, in its fulsome articulation taken beyond individual
 and social contexts to the comprehensive registry-worldview/dimension speaks of an
 underlying ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview/dimension defect of
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology: wherein recurrent-
 utter-uninstitutionalisation, as of its inherently-non-rules-state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-
 and-teleology requires prospective base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation which as of its
 inherently-rulemaking-over-non-rules-state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology
 requires universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism which as of its inherently-
 universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-state-in-relation-to-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology requires positivism—procrypticism as of its inherently-positivising/rational-
 empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-state-in-relation-to-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, and prospectively positivism—procrypticism which as of its
 inherent disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought requires deprocrypticism. And this
 memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling process, is fundamentally about ‘the
 precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency/postconvergence of the entropy to preserve
 intemporality’ known as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-
 preservation, with the idea that reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation are
 as pertinent only as these preserve intemporality, and are collapsed/overridden by new

reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, when shown not to be preserving intemporality, as when of perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with regards to the preceding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Further a registry-worldview dimension that so misanalyses is not ‘shaped’ to review but rather syncretises/is-circular in its failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation rather than implying prospective ones for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; such that ontologically-speaking the phenomenon is in a circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of reference-of-thought denaturing and relative-ontological-incompleteness, and endemised/enculturated (with a temporal rationalising reasoning that actually validates the veridicality of a human temporal-to-intemporal disposition that should not be confused with a secondnatured/institutionalised disposition in relation to virtue). This effectively forms the recomposured backdrop for prospective transcendental construct of deprocrypticism, as the ‘ontologising organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that reflects/perspectivates the protracted mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’. But then, a psychopath can be so irrational that in temporal terms it might do a lot of ‘good’ to a specific individual or group of individuals (for instance, steal

and distribute or even some other things but coming initially from a vice; as may be enabled by the psychopath's faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge to attain an outcome). This dynamic element can make psychopathy and social psychopathy difficult to deal with as a social phenomenon, as the questions are not only how culpable is the psychopath but extend to who is temporally getting what from the psychopathic situation, what accounts and narratives should be believed, etc., thus requiring an abject and intemporally uncompromising ontological conceptualisation to construct an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework science. That said, beyond just about such a present worldly take to societal issues, there is a bigger question of the universal implications on human civilisation of postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena as reflected above regarding the contiguous process of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation behind human civilisation.

It is equally important to note that as much as the psychopath seem to have a weird mentality (slantedness), the incidence and initiation of psychopathy, equally has to do both with the nature of the psychopathic/postlogism mind contrasted to the nature of the 'normal conviction or prelogical mind', which are antipodal as the normal mind is by reflex conviction/prelogical/existential-contextualising-contiguity and by reflex will tend to see a conviction or prelogism in narratives while the psychopath is non-conviction-or-'existential-decontextualised-transposition'-or-'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing'/impulsively-demented/formulaic (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated)/postlogical and does has an covert vista when not forewarned/experienced about its nature in wrongfully inducing a sense of conviction in the normal mind by non-conviction narrating (an insight that is easily picked up seeing the

childhood psychopathy growing into an adolescent and an adult, as its more covert mental structure at adulthood can be retraced and associated to the awkwardness of expression at early life in understanding what the adult psychopath is up to), hence the reason a mind in search of conviction or prelogism (normal prelogism-as-of-conviction mind) will speak of a pathological liar, by liar wrongly granting the psychopath a conviction, be it a bad or poor conviction, in the very first place, hence aligning integratively to the psychopath instead of aligning in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. It is rather a flaw in the prelogism-as-of-conviction mind's perception (prelogism or conviction while the psychopath's mental-disposition is formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism/impulsively-dementing)!

Straying into a basic elucidative anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity (a novel hermeneutic approach to psychology); extrinsic-attribution is a fairly common social mental-disposition, at 'uninstitutionalised-threshold' as we are not inherently intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in our solipsistic projection but have the potential of temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) solipsistic/emanant projections of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of 'reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing'. The mechanism of

institutionalisation/intemporalisation and formalisation ensures that because of the positive-opportunism that the intemporal-disposition (as it syncs with intrinsic reality and is thus ontological) brings to the cross-section of human temporal interests at 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction', it tends to skew ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference and dominate temporal-dispositions in the medium to long perspective. For instance, everyone will like to see a good legal system to ensure that they do not fall afoul of a bad judgment even if, circumstantially, maybe they themselves may be inclined not to have others or some others to enjoy the same (of course, the internalisation of our 'present institutionalised/intemporalised positivistic meaningful worldview' will seem to imply that we do have a first nature disposition to be inherently civilised to want to universally wish that everyone have to deal with a fair legal system, that anyway is to the credit of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process, but that is a secondnatured/internalised construct). This explains why there is no need to breach the scientific principle known as the 'mediocrity principle', (which says that there are no exceptions/specialness in science), to wrongly say that man is inherently intemporal (as in reality man is a temporal-to-intemporal creature in its moral/virtuous-agency); to explain why society tends to improve/progress. Rather, the intemporal disposition structurally brings more overall good and hence skews ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) man in the medium to long perspective towards 'the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (institutionalised, formalised and internalised)'. This elucidation is important because while internalisation might point to the social good it is important to understand that when dealing with our solipsism at 'uninstitutionalised-

threshold’ we aren’t anymore intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) than temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) going by the ‘mediocrity principle’, and the analysis should take account of this (by not just operating/processing logic but construing temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation with a stranding-dialectics highlighting organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and the distracting mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation. Why talk of ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’? This is the underlying notion of ‘a grand theory of psychology’ that has been missing to turn psychology from a paradigm of the human present as modern into a paradigm of across-and-of-all-times! Why? The foundation of a human psychological science should be fundamentally about ‘the contiguity/entropy conceptualisation of the human psyche’ (and as this permits institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure or anthropopsychology or ‘the-anthropological-continuity’, i.e. cumulating/recomposuring from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, based-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, positivism—procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism). The present treatment of psychology will seem to imply that all psychology is about psychoanalytic techniques on the modern positive mind, which is rather naïve and unsightful not just in terms of scope but critically depth of conceptualisation. The answer to this ‘contiguity/entropy conceptualisation of the psyche’ is about how the underlying notion of ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation abstractly allows for human-subpotency survival/existence/emanance/fulfilment/flourishing in existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-

epistemic-conflatedness’ and assumes a fundamental referencing base in the study of the psyche (noting that by saying ‘notion’ is meant, the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation covers the concepts of temporal preservation (including subknowledging, mimicking)-to-intemporal preservation, just as the notion of good covers the concepts of good-to-bad). Correspondingly, this notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation involves ‘mental candoring’ where mental-devising-representation syncs with intrinsic-reality and mental decandoring where mental-devising-representation is a wrong/flawed perverted representation of intrinsic-reality. If we have an anthropological continuity/anthropopsychology, then the continuity as entropy is the exercise of candoring as ‘straightness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought registering/registry-teleology’ (being a functional representation of how an intemporalising registry-worldview/dimension perceives itself) and decandoring as ‘perverted/brazen-but-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought registering/registry-teleology’ (being a functional representation of how a prospective intemporalising registry-worldview/dimension perceives the prior-and-’dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension); with this latter representation undermining the ‘temporal-dispositions solipsistic/emanant postlogical miscuing presumptuousness/arrogation effect’ as the unconscionability-drag responsible for perversion-of-reference-of-thought across the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, whether in the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation,

ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought.

Such a transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisation, for a novel genuinely universal psychology as anthropopsychology, involved in all successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation is profoundly elucidated with associated notions as follows:

- The concept of ‘stranding’/stranding-dialectics is the very drive (in providing insight on the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, i.e. temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions) for such a conceptualisation of anthropopsychology or ‘genuinely universal psychology’. The philosophical conceptualisation of stranding is rather ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation’ which serves to avoid the conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex (instead of rightly aligning by the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase reflex or transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflex) of ‘intemporal-disposition’ being wrongly attributed to all interlocutors by reflex without ensuring that their disposition is effectively intemporal and not temporal. Stranding-dialectics, and the corresponding notion of <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, are central to transcendental psychoanalytic-unshackling and memetic-reordering. Stranding ensures the ‘upholding of the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) of the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ by articulating the

veridically contiguous ontological mental-devising-representation of the transcending (and so, in a veridical dialectic and existential psychoanalytic reorientation as oblongated/decandored in representing/implying defective/perverted temporality). It implies reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) hollow and in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as these pervert/dement/subknowledge-<dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge>/mimick-and-syncretise the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation providing the backdrop for prospective transcendental dimension with new superseding reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Stranding-dialectics can be implied as mental-devising-representation across all registry-worldviews/dimensions not withstanding any registry-worldview’s/dimension’s illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness mental-devising-representation, and so, by accounting anticipatorily and preemptively for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-its-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation whether a retrospective, present or prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Hence the need for ‘collapsing’/overriding of the transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with prospective transcending/superseding reference-

of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in anticipation and preemption as
untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, as
secondnaturing and ‘not as temporal-dispositions transformation’ to wrongly imply a
universal <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness human predisposition.
For instance, the veridical stranded mental-devising-representation we may have from a
positivistic standpoint of the non-positivism/medievalism mind as oblongated/decandored is
not recognised by the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought by its
syncretic reflex to be functionally in its mental straightness and candored (even though such a
representation is ontologically wrong regarding its mental-devising-representation with
respect to the its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism
insitutionalisation/intemporalisation). Prospectively, the stranding-dialectics of our own
mental-devising-representation by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as oblongated and decandored at our
uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring deprocrypticism
institutionalisation/unintemporalisation will equally meet withan epistememic-totalising–self-
referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-
in-phase reflex that will not recognise its slantedness and decandored veridicality. The
intemporal-disposition is rather about emphasising institutionalisation/intemporalisation
percolation channels as the means and basis for prospective
institutionalisation/intemporalisation. This highlights the vacuousness in all transcendental
relations wherein the transcended is vacuous with respect to the transcending. Such vacuous
transcendental manifestations involves dialectically (the transcended and transcending

relation with regards to:) deductive narratives instances, life episodes, life schemes, general being/existential dispositions and the specific existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications involved with a registry-worldview/dimension; wherein temporal-dispositions present-consciousness (in their illusions-of-the-present) perpetually portray candor and straightness but on retrospection are shown to be decandored and oblongated which ontologically implies these are veridically in stranding-dialectics notwithstanding their <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored. This is ontologically foundational (more like the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument grounding spirit of arithmetic cannot be undermined in any way possible and you then have the possibility of sound arithmetic thereafter). Stranding-dialectics prevents temporal-dispositions (in the articulation and re-articulation of narratives) by the ‘temporal-dispositions disjunction/skipping’ to ‘wrongly imply the narratives subsequently articulated and re-articulated are of intemporal-disposition teleology hence wrongly implying candored and straightness, whereas these are in effect <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag iterating narratives of temporal-dispositions teleologies’; and so, by way of coring which involves accounting-for-temporal-dispositions-defect/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (the-perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) and avoiding setting-aside which rather involves glossing-over-temporal-dispositions-defect/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (the-perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation). This ensures in effect ‘the

stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence'. Ontology is an altogether coherent construct with no room for excepting from coherence, which then simply implies the superseding of any such pretence of an excepting. (For instance, we can be calculating the sum $(5 * 5) + 5 - 5$, and make the mistake to say $5 * 5 = 24$ but then overlook it and agree together that the answer should be 24 and go on to resolve the entire equation as 24. This type of non-ontological thinking (a non-ontological thinking is also known as a misanalysis or misthinking or misreasoning or mislogic or <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase or circularity or notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>, as there is no veridical meaningfulness that exists out of ontology or isn't in ontological-contiguity) is highly prevalent in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of society as social-aggregation-enabling, the reason we strive to formalise whether in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of laws, institutions, organisations, etc. The basic fact is that the virtue of the intemporal-disposition constructs cannot accommodate non-ontology since reality doesn't adjust to man and it is man that adjusts to reality. The stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implies that an interlocutor's retrospectively demonstrable narratives miscuing and subsequent perversion-of-reference-of-thought speaks of the real nature of its present and prospective narratives as decandored and oblongated in effect ontologically but that by an illusion-of-the-present reflex as well as for the sake of functioning we tend to represent by default such miscuing and perversion-of-reference-of-thought meaning as straightness/candored (intemporal) which is not ontologically veridical; in which case the prospective transcended registry-worldview strands such meaningfulness as decandored/oblongated (subknowledging/mimicking) even if

the mental-disposition of the transcended registry-worldview is in an illusion-of-the-present straightness/candoring mental-devising-representation of meaning. In other words, stranding-dialectics ensure an affixing of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought teleologic orientations denaturing to the corresponding temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought mindsets in their ontological-escalation/aetiologisation without letting for a disjunction/skipping into intemporal/straightness-of-mental-devising-representation disposition teleologic orientation, and so, to the point of the temporal-dispositions collapsing/overriding ('dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) with the new prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the transcending registry-worldview/dimension. For instance, the mental-devising-representation of a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought relating to say an accusation of sorcery by an intemporal positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will not be limited to that particular instance but carries the 'disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation' that speaks to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation dispositions of that non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought by way of stranding-dialectics from the intemporal positivistic mindset, and upholding such the 'disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation' for the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that collapses/overrides the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought cross-generationally (consider the diffusion of positivistic registry-worldview and its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of non-positivistic registry-worldviews in the 19th and early 20th century).

Stranding defines the ‘decandored registry-worldview/dimension dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) mental-devising-representation’ such as the mental-devising-representation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, and so, beyond the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness of all these successive registry-worldviews/dimensions which in their <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present will tend to wrongly recover/syncretise to project straightness/candoring of mental-devising-representation as intemporality/longness rather than decandored/oblongated mental-devising-representation as temporality. Stranding is validated by the fact that transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness conceptualisation speaks of an ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation constraint/secondnaturing’ and ‘not temporal-dispositions transformation into intemporal-disposition as <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness’; and this idea is so foundational that it is beyond-and-supersedes/precedes/overrides/abjects the consciousness-awareness-teleology of temporal-dispositions such that ‘they are not called upon in argumentation’, just as we are not consciously called upon to establish whether blood flows in our body, as it is a preceding/superseding truth that supersedes/precedes/overrides/abjects our thinking or not of it! Thus stranding-dialectics is rather intemporally/ontologically conceptualised for its validation and integration in the survival-and-flourishing imbued institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels (formalisms and internalisations) mechanism with the implied ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and positive-opportunism as ontological entrapment, with no temporal-dispositions firstnature-or-

intemporal-level-validation but rather secondnatured-or-institutionalisation/intemporalisation-level-validation. At which point stranding-dialectics articulates temporal-dispositions teleologies orientations as ‘subknowledging/mimicking/mental-perversions/slantedness manifestations at that ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’, i.e. the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of temporal-dispositions undermining the very ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy’ supposedly they are supposed to uphold). Ultimately and in the bigger picture, (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic reflexivity in existence as ontological’ and with regards to the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation) the teleology for ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics reflects the human-subpotency for attaining cross-generational transcendence with corresponding dialectical and psychoanalytic existential reorientations (‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), and it is well beyond the idea of just a ‘structural/paradigmatic argumentation convincing’ intradimensionally as to presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness (based-on-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-of-the-registry-worldview/dimension as absolutised) as to a registry-worldview/dimension in relative-ontological-incompleteness that is ontologically-deficient/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-

entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation, in the first place; as teleology as such reflects human-subpotency sublimation-over-desublimation possibilities in existence as to underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment. Ontology being the intemporal-disposition, the exercise of 'directing' convincing as logical-processing/logical-operation to temporal-dispositions is inherently unwarranted and is rather of <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase as it wrongly implies that temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought of their dimension's/registry worldview's reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation is of sound mental representation; rather what should be implied is the prospective intemporality/longness instead preserving prospective reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation with pertinence being about 'articulating and directing' intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness-and-teleology towards the 'institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels' as secondnaturating of the new reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. For instance, the positive (intemporal mind) will not engage in a direct logical convincing with the non-positivistic/medieval mind as this just validates to the non-positivism/medievalism disposition that its non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation relation with meaningfulness-and-teleology is sound such that it goes on to operate/process logic by <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag non-positivism/medievalism

meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. Rather the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will project the new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of positivism (as rational-empiricism/positivising basis of reasoning) through positivism institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels and highlighting, in the bigger scheme of things, the relative efficiency and positive-opportunism of a positivism-based rule of law, social organisation, polity, nation-building, etc. based on positivism axioms and which inherent effectiveness and supersedingness/transcendence breaks the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought (which are not rational-empirical/positivising and tend to essences, alchemic-logic, sorcery constructs, etc.) with its defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This takes an utterly impersonal form (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms) which allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn't allow reflexively. The 'transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness complex-of-stranding' refers to the counter-intuition from a registry-worldview/dimension perspective in not representing itself as stranded (decandored or oblongated or in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation when it is demonstrated that it is perversion-of-reference-of-thought as perversion-of-the-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and rather syncretises in operating those same reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-

entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation prospectively; while that same registry-worldview/dimension intuitively recognises that a prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation as stranded is ontologically veridical as the prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension subknowledges/mimics and self-reference-syncretises its reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. The reason for the human ‘transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness complex-of-stranding’ is that a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation are fundamental and constitutive functional elements of its existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation and hence the complex when <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present. But then, if such a complex is to stand, the transcendental exercise by which man left the cave-to-so-called-modern-man wouldn’t have happened, and any registry-worldview/dimension (retrospective, present, prospective) that fails its own stranding-dialectics/elucidation-and-superseding-of-its-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-to-dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to allow for prospective psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for transcendence-as-the-grander-possibility-for-human-survival-and-flourishing is obviously failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing its ‘own homework’ for the bigger picture in the human species survival-and-flourishing scheme, notwithstanding it is at the backend of the

institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process!

As an anthropopsychological disposition, rational-realism as deprocrypticism just like all successive transcendences in emphasising increasing realism counter-intuitively to a naïve temporal take is actually a ‘positive-minded/well-meaning disposition with respect to man/the-human-species’ with the idea that ‘it is better working with what intemporally/ontologically is (that is, the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) to achieve the best intellectual-and-moral outcome for man’ than ‘working with what-one-wishes’ from a wrong temporal/impression-driven construal’. The idea of understanding the ontology of human temporal mental defect is not to ‘idle’ in a temporal circularity that defeats-and-debase the grandor of a universal/intemporal projection but rather strives to better stir man towards the intemporal-and-ontological as virtue, an exercise which while of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ with regards to human temporality/shortness wouldn’t however acquiesce to the naïve disconcertment that takes the ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ of intemporality/longness for temporal correctness towards which the intemporal-disposition is definitely intransigent and uncompromising for effective intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. Such a rational-realism as deprocrypticism disposition views the fundamental anthropopsychology drive for transcendence which involves stranding-dialectics for transcendence by decandoring/oblongating (representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) on the basis of the veridicality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-

indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor rationally, and ontologically represents the social-construct (as validated by the shifting relation of social conventioning and purist ontology) as being in effect ‘a highly cohesive paradigm’ at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation but ‘a poorly cohesive extricatory paradigm’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold. The notion of the social-construct as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm is actually an aspirational ideal and reference for ‘human intemporal projection towards it’ but it isn’t ontologically veridical by the inherent solipsistic human nature due to a temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions human reality, and thus the need for institutionalisation to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) towards intemporality/intemporal-preservation as human secondnaturing. This elucidation is vital in pointing out that the teleology of rational-realism as deprocrypticism (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic reflexivity in existence as ontological’ and so as to the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation), is not to strive for the wrong notion of human intemporal/ontological ‘congruence’ with respect to knowledge and virtue (as human dispositions are not congruent, as thus the idea of ontological-congruence of the intemporal-disposition with temporal-dispositions will compromise intemporality, and hence compromise ontology), but rather to aspire for a transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of human intemporal-disposition with respect to temporal-dispositions (as this upholds and doesn’t compromise the ontological veridicality in

intemporal-disposition projection as to the ontological reality of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions at uninstitutionalised-thresholds). That is, knowledge notionalisation involving grasping and understanding both the ignorances/temporal-dispositions and ideals to better skew/differential-formalisation-transference towards idealism as the fulsome ontology, and not failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to understand or overlooking the ignorances/temporal-dispositions as the temporal on the wrong basis that all that matters is the ideal as intemporal. Furthermore, temporal-dispositions tendency to pervert/dement/subknowledge-⟨dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge⟩/mimick-and-syncretise at uninstitutionalised-threshold with the dialectical consequence of the development of the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (institutionalisations) validates the appropriateness of striving rather for transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not nested-congruence to uphold intemporality, and hence a complete ontology. To put it in other terms, for instance, transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of ‘keeping the faith’ only in the intrinsic operation of rules of arithmetic (transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing among interlocutors, in principle or notionally, so that at all times it is always about the intrinsic reality of the arithmetic and not the agreement-disagreement of any human interlocutors as we are all mortals and likely to corrupt such intemporal rules with our mortality out of an intemporal frame of reference that is transcendental-enabling/sublimating) is vital to preserving ‘ontological arithmetic’ as transcendental-enabling/sublimating, whereas if the notion of arithmetic calculations was to involve social-and-temporal-trading with other humans (interlocutors logical nested-congruence) instead of intemporal exercise, it is obvious that down the line the notion of ‘ontological arithmetic’ will sooner or later be corrupted and/or teleologically-degraded as more likely than not the intemporality/purity of mathematics will be compromised to human

mortals stakes of social-and-temporal-trading as social-aggregation-enabling, and so as of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’.

* It should be noted that in the stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dialecticism of transcendence involving the transcended and the transcending dimensions, the terms highlighting the transcended dimension like decandored, oblongated, dialectically-out-of-phasing/dialectically-primitive, etc. do not carry the same connotation as a shallower temporal analysis intradimensional to the transcended dimension. The idea is not to idle in articulating meaningfulness within the dimension in need of transcendence. For instance, a positive mind’s articulation of defective meaningfulness in non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is not to ‘idle’ by relating and staking such meaningful articulation in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the non-positivism/medievalism world sense of meaningful purposefulness but rather to project a positivistic worldview’s transcendental meaningful purposefulness. In that sense, actually for the social scientist and philosopher words like dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, primitive, decandored, perverted don’t carry the ordinary and temporal connotations of stigmatising under a temporal extricatory paradigm. Rather, these are critical and actively sought after notions that provide the ‘dialectical backdrop’ for enabling prospective transcendence by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. The idea is that these notions are veridically dialectical notions that apply in all transcendences unlike a simplistic ‘history fixating conceptualisation’ will have. In other

words, our non-positivism/medievalism ancestors' possibility of being-represented/mental-devising-representation as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) is the opportunity for the contrastive construction of a superseding/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension that brought about the relative virtue in the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension of their great-grandchildren today. That is rather the uninhibited/decomplexified and forward-looking perspective imbued in a deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation with respect to procrypticism. In the bigger picture, identifying inherent virtue in the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process on the basis that humans of all generations (times and epochs) are 'capacity-wise same' as per temporal-to-intemporal going by a paradigm of mentation-capacity (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation, but for the semblance of the superiority of latter registry-worldviews/dimensions which is nothing but the result of being at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process. ontological-normalcy/postconvergence equally involves articulating the possibility for the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition over temporal-dispositions as intemporalisation/institutionalisation, and so, involving 'temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions accountability' beyond an 'idle temporal-dispositions stigmatisation'. In that spirit, it can be reasoned that the intradimensional 'ontological blindspot' in human mental-devising-representation (wherein temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought by miscuing, and in subsequent derivation of disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising of temporal-dispositions perversions/defects of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-

endemisation, so-disambiguated as of 'reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing' conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing), actually points to a decandored/slantedness of the temporal-dispositions (and not candored/straightness), and is definitional of all registry-worldviews/dimensions perversion-of-reference-of-thought whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, as these are in epistemic-decadence-and-derived-epistemic-decadence, i.e. not veridical but perverted and requiring transcendence. This basically undermines the idea that any such registry-worldview/dimension temporal-dispositions should be encouraged to be '<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in meaning' in a logical engagement with it from an intemporal/ontological perspective (of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence), as it is rather in perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought of its reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. Instead this requires a transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (due to the dialectically-out-of-phasing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementedness with regards to the veridical ontology of temporal-dispositions registries); wherein the intemporal-disposition (which is ontological) doesn't recognise nor acquiesce to the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape and subsequent apriorising-registry-elements of implied-profile-or-implied-stature, implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation, implied-assumptions, implied-value-reference and implied-teleology projected by the temporal-dispositions, but rather advances that there is perversion-of-reference-of-thought requiring a transversality-of-

disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. For instance, there is no possible logical engagement but rather a transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing between the recurrent-utter-institutionalised and base-institutionalised mindsets/references-of-thought, likewise between the ununiversalised and universalised mindsets/references-of-thought, non-positivism/medievalism and positivistic mindsets/references-of-thought, and prospectively procrypticism and deprocrypticism mindsets/references-of-thought. Just as there would have been no ontological possibility for a positivistic worldview without the backdrop of the stranding-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored/in-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of the perversion of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation, there can’t equally be an ontological eventuality of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism without the ‘requisite uninhibited/decomplexified mental-devising-representation’ by the stranding-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored/in-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of procrypticism/emanant-wrong-or-demented-shades-of-the-real perversion of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as the backdrop for futural

Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as a structural/paradigmatic human-social-cross-sectional resolution for the virtues of deprocrypticism and superseding of the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. This construal is placed on a solid firmament (that is able to supplant any intradimensional illusion-of-the-present mental-devising-representation) by the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation articulation)’ that demonstrably oblongates/decandors temporal-dispositions as it articulates the dialecticism of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence (transcending-dimension/organicism and transcended-dimension/mechanicism), on the validity of the stranding-contiguity-of-ontology. Logic and logical-congruence is ontologically valid only as an after-transcendence exercise when through the institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels, the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the transcending-registry-worldview/dimension in organicism is institutionalised/intemporalised by positive-opportunism with the induced social universal-transparency (of both the perversion-of-reference-of-thought transcended registry-worldview/dimension and the discovered ontological-veridicality of the transcending registry-worldview/dimension), untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining (of transcended registry-worldview/dimension, from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the transcending registry-worldview/dimension), registering/stranding (of transcended registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought as backdrop for prospective transcendence), and intemporal superseding of the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-

desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic (as of temporal-dispositions disambiguation by transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing for cross-generational ‘habituation’ of the transcending registry-worldview/dimension in organismalism); defining the ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure process.

- The concepts of candoring and decandoring as elucidated above (but with variously deconstructed shades as: integrative alignment / aligning in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, conviction / non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’, elevating / downgrading, straightness / oblongated, sane integration / insane-or-slantedness integration, soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought / unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, thinking / mimicking or slanting/impulsive-dementing, existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context/meaningful-projection-of-intrinsicness / vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging, in-phasing / dialectically-out-of-phasing (dialectically-primitive), logical nested-congruence / transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, stranding-dialectics – breaking-from-the-mindset/reference-of-thought or collapsing/overriding / <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (operating-the-same-mindset), coring (accounting-for-registry-subknowledging/mimicking/defect) / setting-aside, (glossing-over-registry-’dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag/defect), transcending-or-superseding / transcended-or-superseded).

* It should be noted that this element of deconstructed meaningfulness is obviously reflected in the articulation of this paper itself in a creative, referential and dynamic grasp of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness-and-teleology in a rather ephemeral subject, the social. In this regard, the hermeneutic exercise originates from an even more wildly idiosyncratic (but personal incommunicable) reflexive process initiated rather spontaneously by the author a few years back which has formed the backdrop for this ‘rather relatively benign idiosyncrasy’ in this paper as the reader may come across and is the explanation for many of the author’s insights. It is this mechanism of deconstructing meaningfulness exhaustively in search of an idiosyncratic but profound philosophical and creative insight that allows the hermeneutic design in a ‘continuous meaningfulness reshuffling in the quest for veracity/ontological-pertinence’ analogical to a twisty puzzle cube exercise in order to infer and arrive at a profoundly explanatory hermeneutic insight extending to the possibility of a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of deprocrypticism (superseding the vices-and-impediments of, as well as human emancipation over, procrypticism). Such ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as prospective reference-of-thought of renewing existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of transdimensional-meaning-and-meaningfulness/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument in various shades is just as critical for the necessary reconstitutive insight (deconstruction) that can be highly evasive and difficult to fully grasp at different registry-worldviews/dimensions meaningful-references or rather dialectically successive existentialisms.

- A ‘circular dialectical dynamism of organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) by virtue of intemporal higher teleologies, distracted by mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, due to temporal and/or perverted/subknowledging/mimicking degraded-teleologies; in the psychoanalytic-unshackling process that explains transcendental-dialecticism transdimensionally/across-registry-worldviews as reflected/perspectivated as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candoring-and-dialectically-in-phase with regards to organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-out-of-phase with regards to mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation.

* The underlying idea behind the circular dialectical dynamism of organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in relation to mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation is that the mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation is rather an existentially naïve miscuing (with subsequent disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing at the temporal-dispositions perversions/defects of postlogism-

slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation, so-disambiguated as of 'reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing'. This undermines the ontologically-veridical
 organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
 thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). The
 'ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme' is critical as it is the
 only means for articulating temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation in
 perspective as otherwise by the 'conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-
 phase-reflex' instead of rightly aligning as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (non-
 ontological-reference or non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-
 reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing or not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-
 dementing-reference or perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention
 reflex or transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflex)
 temporal-dispositions are directly engaged wrongly as straight/candored/conviction and
 elevated as ontologically veridical as if these were intemporal, to effectively
 reflect/perspectivate the temporal-dispositions by stranding-dialectics while avoiding
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-straight/candored-and-
 dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase of the non-veridical narratives expressed by temporal-
 dispositions. When the dialecticism of organic-comprehension-thinking
 (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-
 of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and mechanical-comprehension-dementing in

hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
 intemporal-preservation involves psychopathy and social psychopathy postlogism in hollow-
 constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
 preservation, it highlights the psychopath’s slantedness-or-insane-fitment as ‘epistemically-
 decadent in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing_qualia-schema>’ by its temporal-dispositions defect, and the
 conjugating temporal-dispositions postlogical mechanical-comprehension-dementing in
 hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
 intemporal-preservation as being integrative of the epistemic-decadence (notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing_qualia-schema>-
 as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-
 looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’) as ‘notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
 discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing_qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-
 decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-
 failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-
 reflex in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
 intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-
 hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic given their
 conjugated/inflected/derived temporal-dispositions perversion, while the intemporal-
 disposition prelogical/conviction organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-
 prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology) supersedes intemporally as ontological-veridicality
 (ontological-contiguity/reference-of-thought/veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-
 reference), and with the ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as

ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ by articulating their prospective implications in an infinity (metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales).

To further elucidate, the underlying idea of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness (organic-comprehension-thinking) holds that ‘critically what matters with respect to ontology and virtue is simply and completely intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective), and holds that other and subsequent notions are as pertinent as they are intemporally-preservational and where those same supposed notions social use was not intemporally-preservational but perverted/subknowledged/mimicked/confounded, their ontological and virtuous validity is nullified; as it is their relay of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation without notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’’ that matters.’ What’s the meaning of being good-natured/kind/humble/responsible/friendly/sociable/etc. in a subknowledging or perverted or corrupt social-setup or a philosophically-underdeveloped but presumptuous meaningful context (H.G. Wells’s country of the blind paradigm, for instance), or worst still in teleologically-degraded social situations that may be mobbish or genocidal, wherein by our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag we apparently demonstrate such qualities but ontologically we aren’t veridically intemporal-preservational? And even more pertinent, what will those same qualities mean at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of

recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism, with their evolving reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology wherein prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology is beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought? The only answer that cuts it in all ways, is inevitably intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (mentation-capacity-wise, as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, more than just an abstraction as it carries the notion of a contiguous existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinement as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness in dialectical transformation as of prospective reference-of-thought tied to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Even the idea of morality as being construed as of a sense of morality is vague self-referencing, as it is rather virtue as of knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-notion/articulation of superseding–oneness-of-ontology enabling the possibility in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of successive registry-worldviews/dimensions that is truly of ontological relevance. The idea of conceptualising morality out of such ontology-driven basis is more or less delusional however ‘good-natured’ when we consider that even a community of miscreants will have to construe of a semblance however perverted of moral conceptualisation that allows for individuals self-preservation and only of a degree of variance however big such a variance is perceived with supposed grander moral conceptualisations that do not factor in the structural relation of virtue to ontology as of successive developing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-

reference-of-thought. As semblances of virtue-constructs out of ‘sense of good-naturedness’ not factoring in the ‘unchangeable’ reality of human temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology mental-dispositions across all registry-worldviews will simply ‘romantically and naively’ provide a deterministic framework that can be temporally undermined by adhering to <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of such reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in subverting intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, pointing to the pertinence of construing virtue and ontology contiguously as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, so construed as organic-knowledge. This is the central idea of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness that informs organic-comprehension-thinking. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness further holds that in the bigger scheme of things, it is intemporal-preservation in its entropy/contiguity that is the referencing of stranding as to stranding-dialectics (as of dementing representation when temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporal-preservation or of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>) or dialectical-thinking representation when intemporally-preservational/ontological-contiguity. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness highlights effectively that ontological meaningfulness is contiguous as highlighted further in the paper with regards to virtue ‘as a contiguous mentation-capacity (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology transience)’ of ontological-contiguity conceptualisation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. Finally, by affirming ontological-

veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) over notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as perverted, ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness validates ‘the stranding/mental-devising-representation of temporal-dispositions in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) as transversal/logically-incongruent-and-in-distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought to organic-comprehension-thinking (intemporal-disposition’s ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness). Basically, with regards to the ‘psychologism of precedence as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology’ with respect to ‘a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought psychologism’ as dialectical-thinking and centered over ‘a prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought psychologism’ as dialectically-dementing and decentered and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of the latter psychologism, even before appraising reference-of-thought issue as of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance construed as of temporal-to-intemporal thresholds within the ambit of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, given the inherent-and-tautological ontological precedence of the

prospective/transcending/superseding psychologism as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over the prior/transcended/superseded psychologism; ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought refers to the operant apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument point-of-departure-of-construal technique involving a transcendental perspective that dissociates the psychologism of ‘the prospective institutionalisation as of teleologically-elevated intemporal synopsis-ing-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology psychologism and so dialectical-thinking and centered’ and the psychologism of the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold as teleologically-degraded shades-of-temporal (postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) synopsis-ing-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as in distraction of the prospective institutionalisation psychologism and so dementing and decentered’, and a non-transcendental metaphysics-of-presence or <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective as ‘un-dissociated psychologism that wrongly equates the intemporal and shades-of-temporal teleological synopsis-ing-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the two previous transcendence perspective implied psychologisms’ (as a result of non-recognition of a divergence with respect to the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought and the presencing-as-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, speaking of the ontological-veridicality of the transcendental perspective as of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-

of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness and not a non-transcendental perspective as of <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag). In other words, distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought by the dementing and decentering of the prior-as-present/transcended/superseded beforehand/as-of-a-priori implies that the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought is a more profound representation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality (with regards to deprocrypticism as of the non-disjointedness/jointedness of reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) by the 'distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument point-of-departure-of-construal' over and subsuming-and-supplanting the presencing-as-prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (as of its disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with regards to positivism-procrypticism), as validated by existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. *Thus, distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought as it is about assuming beforehand/as-of-a-priori for logical-contention as dialectical-thinking and centered the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought (as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought) in dementing and decentering the prior-as-present/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought (as of its prior relative-ontological-

incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought), as validated by existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. Critically, for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as of an intemporal synopsis depth of analysis what is decisive with regards to a postlogism manifestation is the grasp of the reality of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as 'in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for a postlogism manifestation; and just as we can appreciate that the organic-knowledge depth of base-institutionalisation is what is required as resolution for postlogism manifestations in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, likewise that of universalisation as resolution with postlogism manifestations in base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, that of positivism as resolution with postlogism manifestations in universalisation—non-positivism/procrypticism, the organic-knowledge depth of deprocrypticism is what is required as resolution for postlogism manifestations in positivism—procrypticism. On this basis distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought point-of-departure-construal technique of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation involves starting out not with the specific postlogism construal but rather implying a construal dementing and decentering the more fundamental issue of the registry-worldview/dimension prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (whether as of 'non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidental-or-random-mental-disposition-or-failing-rulemaking-over-non-rules' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, 'failing-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules' apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, 'failing-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-

universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules’

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of

universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, “failing-preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules” apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of
positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively ‘preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
non-rules’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of
deprocrypticism), which is ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
teleology and endemising/enculturating the postlogism and social postlogism manifestation
as well as other temporal phenomena construed as vices-and-impediments of the registry-
worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought; thus attaining the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing required
for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as
intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. In other words, just as we can countenance
that ontologically we’ll not engage a non-positivism/medieval social-setup in contending
about say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery but rather supersede the non-
positivism/medievalism meaningful-frame as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
reference-of-thought as being superstitious/non-positivistic implies the fundamental need for

its psychoanalytic-unshackling for <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of a positivism registry-worldview/dimension prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; likewise our positivism–procrpticism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘not the profound ontologically-veridical meaningful-frame’ in which an issue of its corresponding postlogism as psychopathy and social psychopathy is resolved but rather its state of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is prospectively construed from deprocrpticism as dementing and decentered by its procrpticism/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology, implying the more fundamental-and-transversal-and-synergistic need is for our psychoanalytic-unshackling for <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of the deprocrpticism registry-worldview/dimension ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; thus enabling the attainment of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation required for supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm that is transversally structural/paradigmatic for the resolution not only of the positivism–procrpticism postlogism as psychopathy and social-psychopathy but basically all its relative-ontological-incompleteness-reference-of-thought predicated temporal-phenomena construed as positivism–procrpticism vices-and-impediments. (It is important to grasp that tenseness-of-expressions made temporally/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of the positivism–procrpticism registry-worldview/dimension are just ‘vague candoring’ that are ontologically-empty and non-veridical by inherent-and-tautological ontological precedence of the prospective/transcending/superseding deprocrpticism apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its

ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over the prior/transcended/superseded
positivism–procrypticism

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as of its prior
relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought, as what is precedingly
warranted is the dementing and decentering of positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought
beyond its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-
present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence, and so beyond-the-
consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought; and
this idea we can grasp from our vantage position with regards to a non-positivism/medieval
setup striving to uphold its reference-of-thought psychologism which we understand is
prospectively a relative ontological-incomplete-reference-of-thought, however the bigger
issue difficult for us to envisage is rather in placing our own minds as not in a dialectical-
thinking and centered but rather a dementing and decentered position, as implying the need
for prospective institutionalisation as deprocrypticism
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which is
prospectively dialectical-thinking and centered). Distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-
thought as such basically by definition dismisses ‘the prior/transcended/superseded registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s relatively relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-
thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’ as
circularly endemising/enculturating its reference-of-thought defect or perversion-of-
reference-of-thought, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-
extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and so structurally/paradigmatically even before an
effective reference-of-thought issue of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-
thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance as of temporal-to-intemporal thresholds (i.e.
structurally/paradigmatically being non-positivism/medievalism of

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
 means incapable of contending as of positivism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘third-level–
 presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ requiring rather the non-positivism/medievalism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure from <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought and not ‘a false exercise of
 contending arising from a circular <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ego complex that rather circularly
 upholds non-positivism/medievalism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, and
 prospectively structurally/paradigmatically our state of procrypticism-as-of-disjointedness-as-
 of-reference-of-thought of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
 means incapable of contending as of deprocrypticism-as-of-non-disjointedness/jointedness of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument ‘conflation
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument for
 meaningfulness-and-teleology’ requiring rather the positivism–procrypticism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure from <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought and not ‘a false exercise of
 contending arising from a circular <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ego complex that rather circularly

upholds procrypticism-as-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’); as the
 disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology of
 positivism–procrypticism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
 dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring non-
 disjointedness/jointedness in want of deprocrypticism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, as the non-
 positivising/non-rational-empiricism of the universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
 dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring
 positivising/rational-empiricism in want of positivism
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, as the non-
 universalising of the base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
 dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring
 universalisation in want of universalisation
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument, and as the non-
 rules-as-impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition/failing-rule-making as
 impulsive-accidented-haphazard recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument by definition
 dismisses it as not contendingly relevant relative to reference-of-thought issue requiring rule-
 making in want for base-institutionalisation
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument. The reason
 behind this conclusion is that in all registry-worldviews/dimensions apart from futural Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, the
reference-of-thought ‘fundamentally carries an underlying defect of relative-ontological-
incompleteness’ irrespective of the arising of a reference-of-thought incidental issue as of the
registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-
accordance in the very first place and so beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-
existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, that makes it fundamentally ontologically
unsound; and as highlighted before the non-positivism/medieval state of being superstitious
and non-positivistic is an underlying foundational problem (as the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance defect
as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-
ontological-or-existential-defect>) ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-
of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought-categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology just as our procrypticism state of disjointedness-as-of-
reference-of-thought (in misappropriating meaningfulness), as failing/not-upholding-as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in dissociating temporal ‘reference-of-thought—
degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ and intemporal reference-of-
thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology of
meaningfulness-and-teleology as of ‘same-terms-of-expressions’ (seemingly-same-implied-
meaningfulness) but actually implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical
reference-of-thought’, is an underlying foundational problem (as the registry-
worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance defect
as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-
ontological-or-existential-defect>) ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-

of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought to be
stirred-up/instigated and endemised/enculturated. This articulation is also important because
while it can be countenance retrospectively, however prospective our metaphysics-of-
presence as of our <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflex and so beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought beforehand/as-of-
a-priori, will tend towards ‘a circular <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ego complex that rather circularly
upholds procrypticism-as-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument’, just as
occurred in all the prior registry-worldviews/dimensions. The bigger point being that just as
we recognise beforehand/as-of-a-priori that engaging (from our positivism psychologism
prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought) a non-
positivism/medievalism psychologism with respect to their equivalent postlogism perversion-
of-reference-of-thought issue like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery implies beforehand/as-
of-a-priori an ontologically-veridical engagement that ‘doesn’t recognise its contending status
as dialectical-thinking and centered in the very first place’ but rather that the non-
positivism/medieval
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implied
meaningfulness-and-teleology is dementing and decentered, likewise beforehand/as-of-a-
priori engaging (from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-
depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocrypticism-as-of-non-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of
psychologism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought) our

procrypticism-as-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument with respect to its associated postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-thought issue of psychopathy and social psychopathy implies beforehand/as-of-a-priori an ontologically-veridical engagement that ‘doesn’t recognise our contending status as dialectical-thinking and centered in the very first place’ but rather that our procrypticism-as-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument implied meaningfulness-and-teleology is dementing and decentered; as the starting point of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is rather in reflecting the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity with respect to reference-of-thought defect or perversion-of-reference-of-thought issue, ‘as a dementing and decentering exercise involving ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ of the shades-of-temporal-dispositions as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context’, and not a dialectical-thinking exercise involving reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology (as will be wrongly implied by a circular <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag ego complex that rather circularly upholds procrypticism-as-of-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument). For instance and as stated before, such a statement and mental-disposition of the type Socrates or Rousseau by their relative asceticism as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-

existential-unthought as compared to others of their statuses (conjugated as of various shades of temporal teleologically-degraded synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology psychologism) in their respective social-setups from a non-transcendental as of its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective by its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag is rather circularly impervious and will not recognise any dissociation between such a mental-projection/psychologism prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the mental-projection/psychologism prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of Socrates or Rousseau in construing the grander notion of social aetiologising/ontological-escalation as of a transcendental-perspective (as of a teleologically-elevated intemporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology psychologism contrasted to such teleologically-degraded shades-of-temporal synopsising-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology). This elucidation is important because an insightful storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy and the overall relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as the underlying disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of procrypticism relative to prospective ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as deprocrypticism will fundamentally be based on such contrastive mental-projections/psychologisms as of non-transcendental as <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective and the primacy of transcendental perspective (inherently so because the state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought precedes and supersedes the state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought by tautological ontological-veridicality validated by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-

process itself), just as a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration of say non-positivism/medieval postlogism manifestation as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery will imply a ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought construal technique’ highlighting the non-transcendental as <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective mental-projection/psychologism of the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought of non-positivism/medievalism mental-projection/psychologism that doesn’t dissociate the temporal-as-teleologically-degraded or intemporal-as-teleologically-elevated synopsis-ing-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology, unlike a transcendental perspective that reflects prospective institutionalisation intemporal teleologically-elevated synopsis-ing-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology as the positivism psychologism as dissociated from various temporal-shades of teleologically-degraded synopsis-ing-depth of meaningfulness-and-teleology as the non-positivism/medievalism psychologism (inherently so because the state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought precedes and supersedes the state of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought by tautological ontological-veridicality validated by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process itself). That is, the point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought construal technique for distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with respect to the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ involves:

- articulating a dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (mentally sound) organic-comprehension-thinking of the intemporal-disposition as a coherent ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness which is in ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-

normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective), and is veridically ‘the reference-of-thought-or-contending-reference of thought’,

- articulating a dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase brazen-but-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought hollow-possibility-logic/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated of the psychopath in distraction/subtraction to the organic-comprehension-thinking articulation which is of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and is veridically ‘not the reference-of-thought’ but rather reflected/perspectivated as a manifestation of postlogical slanted perversion-of-reference-of-thought’, and then

- articulating a derived-out-of-phase (derived-brazen-but-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought) mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of temporal-dispositions in derived-distraction/derived-subtraction to the organic-comprehension-thinking articulation which integrates the hollow-possibility-logic/meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated of the psychopath, and is thus of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-contiguity and is veridically ‘not the reference-of-thought as well but rather reflected/perspectivated as a manifestation of

prelogical-alignment to postlogical slanting/impulsive-'dialectical-dementing—
<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag.

- With stranding-dialectics as dialectically/contendingly-in-phase and prospective
intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension associated with organic-comprehension-
thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-
conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and
reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) a
dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase, retrospective perversion-of-reference-of-thought
registry-worldview/dimension associated with mechanical-comprehension-dementing in
hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation.

- And so, from the veridicality of human-subpotency—
aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, as ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework, wherein temporal-dispositions existentially are
stranded-as decandored/oblongated-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, in
mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as of a retrospective
registry-worldview/dimension which is dementing/subknowledging/mimicking/dialectically-
out-of-phase-(with-the-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-
preservation) on the one hand, and the intemporal-disposition existentially stranded-as-
straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, in organic-comprehension-
thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-
conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as a prospective

registry-worldview/dimension in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation).

- And so, upholding the perpetual ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/supersedingness of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation along the continual limitation of uninstitutionalised-threshold, and which continual superseding/transcendence is behind the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process.

Not adhering to this ‘point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought technique of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought with respect to the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ as elaborated above, due to the natural reflex to be in prelogism-as-of-conviction/thinking, and thus wrongly engaging logic by reflex, leads to the wrong elevation of the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/brazen-but-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought) psychopathic perversion-of-reference-of-thought (which is non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented/postlogism/projection-of-form) as well as the wrong elevation of the derived-out-of-phase/derived-brazen-but-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought temporal-dispositions mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation integration of the psychopath’s postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and conjugation with it perversion-of-reference-of-thought (which is rather integrating-and-conjugating the psychopathic non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-

dementing-postlogism), to the same apriorising–registry as the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and thus wrongly implying a logical contention; instead of the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) rather reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) both the psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and the temporal-dispositions mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation integration and its conjugating/deriving of the psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as ‘subknowledging/mimicking manifestations of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ which are the subject of logical contention; thus avoiding to wrongly validate the subknowledging/mimicking-and-syncretising of the elements of apriorising–registry (that is, the implied implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) and wrongly imply their logical contention. Taken to the bigger registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level, this points to a registry-worldview/dimension derived-perversion state of temporal-dispositions at the present uninstitutionalised-threshold involving the subknowledging/mimicking-and-syncretising of the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation of positivistic meaningfulness known as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, calling prospectively for deprocrypticism.

Without ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness disposition the possibility for transcendence from perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) to prospective ones which are intemporal-preservational, the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process will not occur and be regenerative, as the circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought mental-dispositions rather strives to arrive at an equilibrium at the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of a registry-worldview/dimension whether these are intemporal-preservational or not, hence have little transcendental capacity. Going by an ‘ontologically contiguous comparison’ with reference to Arithmetic where a condition was to cause a character to resolve additionality as $1+3=5$, $2+5=8$, $5+6=12$, etc., the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) of additionality with regards to this character will always involve as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that subtracts 1 from the results of that character’s operations of additions (as the imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring for upholding existential-reality), and the usual principles of additionality (its traditional reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of simply summing directly) will be existentially rendered null and void in order to allow for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Now supposed such a framework (reference-of-thought) for resolving Arithmetic calculations now involves the contribution of 6 characters working in collaboration with each contributing their specific arithmetic principle role while taking cognisance of the others roles in ‘resolving arithmetic calculations’ (as ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, and so taking into account the prior mentioned

character with its defect of additionality; wherein such a framework is BODMAS-based with character B working on brackets operations, character O working on order operations, character D working on division operations, character M working on multiplication operations, the priorly mentioned character A working on addition operations and character S working on subtraction operations, and so (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) setup for resolving arithmetic calculations (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought setup). Naturally, the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (as the usual BODMAS Arithmetic rules) should apply but this is no longer existentially the case in this instance, where the equation is for instance $7(\sqrt{64+3-1}) - (6+4-2) \div 2$. Going by the natural arithmetic rules for BODMAS, the equation will be resolved first with the brackets, and within the brackets for the first brackets the order operation is first carried out, that is, $\sqrt{64}=8$ and then addition $8+3=11$, then subtraction $11-1=10$. For the second brackets, addition as $6+4=10$, then subtraction as $10-2=8$. The division operation then follows with the second brackets result as $8 \div 2=4$. Then the multiplication operation with the first brackets result as $7 \times 10=70$. Finally, comes the subtraction with $70-4=66$ as the final answer that is ontologically-veridical (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). But then, in this particular case where character A (Addition) operation of additionality is perverted as stated above as a result of its condition, the equation will resolve as $\sqrt{64}=8$, $8+3=12$, $12-1=11$, for the first brackets, and $6+4=11$, $11-2=9$, for the second brackets. The division operation with the second brackets yields $9 \div 2=4.5$, and the multiplication operation with the first brackets yields $7 \times 11=77$. Finally, subtracting both brackets gives $77-4.5=72.5$ as the final result which is ontologically wrong (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective), and points to the fact that all the 6 BODMAS characters, not only A (Addition) the additionality defect character

have failed ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as of their relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective), as reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are not by themselves the definitive basis for ontology/intrinsic-reality/existential-reality as these are only as pertinent as they are ontologically-veridical/ontologically-continuous/contextually-contiguous (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). This ontological state with respect to all the characters registries (not only A) is known as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) precedes projected <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, with reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology nothing more but human mental inventions (construed by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) for the sake of achieving ontology/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and pertinent in that regard only when not-failing/upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication. Hence the notion of ontological-

normalcy/postconvergence and postdication construes intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as superseding/preceding over projected <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in affirming ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality (notwithstanding their traditional personhoods-and-socialhood-formation mental-dispositions anchored on projected <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology). In which case the resolution for the Arithmetic equation (supposedly where A, Addition, is unamendable due to a condition), will involve the other characters taking cognisance of A's (Addition's) condition and adhere to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation over projected <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in affirming ontology/ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality (as the appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness over A's induced dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought). Thus the new categorical-imperatives/axiom/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation deployed with respect to resolving calculations (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will integrate the notion that additionality requires subtracting 1 from its results as well as taking cognisance that other characters will be perverted in their operation if they do not take cognisance of A's (Addition's) condition and subtract 1 from it before their operation (whether by

unconsciously by ignorance, expediently by affordability, and consciously by opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). For instance, B (Brackets) is still in a position to articulate an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) by factoring in all the defects as follows: by reverting all other characters operation up to the point they had to deal with A (Addition) and subtracting 1 from the results at these points before allowing the other characters operations, which then yields the right result. That is $77 \div 7 = 11$ and $4.5 \times 2 = 9$ as reverting back, then $11 - 1 = 10$ and $9 - 1 = 8$ to factor in A's (Addition's) additionality defect to yield the results of the two brackets. Before then letting back the division and multiplication operations for both brackets respectively, giving $8 \div 2 = 4$ and $7 \times 10 = 70$. Finally $70 - 4 = 66$, giving the final result that is ontologically-veridical (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). So this approach is the new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation which is ontologically-veridical/of-intrinsic-reality that B should be operating. In the bigger scheme of things, this explains institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation with respect to an animal that is always bound to perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by the very fundamental veridicality of its temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions nature. But then, this being an uninstitutionalised-threshold, B going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor at uninstitutionalised-threshold may just as well due

to there being ‘no institutionalisation constraining’ (i.e., no social universal-transparency of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, no internal-contradiction induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, no dementing of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and no intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality/shortness inducing corresponding formalisation and internalisation as values), choose to act because of one temporal reason or the other whether by ignorance of the need for this new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-(for-intemporal-preservation-entropy) or affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (i.e., induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality); and so, fail to follow the latter reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation that are intemporally-preservational. That is, choosing circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought and thus failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing the possibility of transcendence. That being the case, this doesn’t in anyway undermine the intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) of the above equation as being equal to 66 with the need for new requisite reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation not only for this particular circumstance of the BODMAS characters but all such circumstances that may arise as a perversion-of-reference-of-thought

as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought thus requiring ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of all such temporal-dispositions. It further speaks of how B will likely act in metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (of uninstitutionalised-threshold, where the constraining elements of institutionalisation are not available i.e. social universal-transparency of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, internal-contradiction induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inoperance, stranding-dialectics the perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as values), thence defining the given temporal-dispositions of B aetiologisation/ontological-escalation to be accounted for from similar individuations in such situations as a registry-worldview/dimension problem, in order to ensure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as ontology. In the bigger scheme of things, this calls for a prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation articulation that supersedes/overrides such a temporal dynamism of perversion-of-reference-of-thought dispositions at various social roles going from A's condition, and the potential overlooking of the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation dispositions by all the other characters (B, O, D, M and S). Underlying such an intemporal orientation is the idea that fundamentally the conjugation of such an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics and subsequent conjugation as with B above to the temporal-dispositions of a registry-worldview/dimension speaks fundamentally of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of that registry-worldview/dimension, reflected/perspectivated by the marginal perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect of its reference-of-thought—

categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation with the prior registry-worldview/dimension now dementing or stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, with a prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation as the new straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase.

Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding/attributive-dialectics doesn't confuse appropriateness of the prior reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation for the prior institutionalisation as implying the prior mental-devising-representation is appropriate for prospective institutionalisation as it needs to undergo its own requisite 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure to enable and regenerate intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. This by itself explains why the different registry-worldviews/dimensions are seemingly demented with respect to one another (from the prospective perspectives), and not that we are talking about different species of humans, as transcendentalism for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation is the foundational concept retrospectively, presently and prospectively; even though by the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/mirage, all dimensions, and not only ours, tend to think of themselves as definitely mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase with no uninstitutionalised-threshold which is obviously fallacious. The reason for this is that 'dialectical-thinking'/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought (as mental straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-

contendingly-in-phase) starts-and-ends/is-sound at institutionalised/intemporalised-
 thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation
 where the reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 intemporal-preservation-entropy/configuity is in ontological-veridicality/ontological-
 contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective). Where instead such reference-of-thought-
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity-or-ontological-preservation is of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>/non-ontological-reference-or-non-
 contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-
 dementing (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference), it is 'dementation'
 that is occurring (stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-
 contendingly-out-of-phase). This is further compounded by <formative>epistemic-totalising-
 self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, that is, wrongful
 upholding and projection of the stranding-as-mentally-straight-and-candored-and-
 dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase mental-devising-representation at
 institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-
 or-ontological-preservation unto the uninstitutionalised-threshold that requires new
 mentation capacity, and this is not ontologically consistent and fundamentally undermines
 and overlook the idea of an insight about a prospective transcendence with the present
 registry-worldview corresponding as the superseded perversion-of-reference-of-thought
 registry-worldview/dimension. Thus but for the inherent difficulty of living and experiencing
 the effective personhoods-and-socialhood-formation existentialism across all the registry-
 worldviews/dimensions, the
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument 'beyond any

one registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness' like ours is perfectly possible in garnering a more profound and informed insight on human nature whether presently, retrospectively to prospectively. In the bigger scheme of things, just as logic can only be grounded on coherent and concrete reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology based articulations for its ontological effectiveness and veridicality, human ontological transcendental possibilities arise from human individuations that correspond to the appropriate intemporal-projecting existential becoming allowing for such ontological possibilities, and the latter is enabled by apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as it 'reasons' beyond the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology within just a given registry-worldview/dimension as if it were the absolute mental-devising-representation with respect to intrinsic-reality, and instead hold that transdimensional/transcendental (unlike ordinary meaning which reasons only on intradimensional reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) is what brings us closer to absolute mental-devising-representation with respect to intrinsic-reality as ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). Memetism as suprastructural-meaningfulness is able to do that because it can proxy ontological-normalcy in a dynamic dialectical juxtapositioning/doppler-thinking of 'dialectical-thinking mental-devising-representation' and 'dialectically-dementing mental-devising-representation' from successive ontological dialectical-moments of human shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) behind the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, wherein the dialectically transcending/superseding institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of relatively deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) is the shifted

reference-of-thought (dialectically-in-phase) and is thus of ‘dialectical-thinking mental-devising-representation’ as it is in (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity while the prior transcended/superseded institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure of relatively shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) is no longer the reference-of-thought (dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive) and is thus of ‘dialectically-dementing mental-devising-representation’ as it is of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>; thus transcendently coming into grips with a shifting but more and more profound notion of reference-of-thought (in-phasing) and corresponding ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity as enabled by ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence.

The conceptual pertinence in this Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison can be rearticulated as follows for greater clarity. As previously highlighted the developmental psychology of the psychopath from childhood to adulthood, involves a child psychopath who is dysfunctional as its subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation is relatively transparent to interlocutors and it induces a ‘delirious effect’ given that it hasn’t yet matured, is not yet indirect, is not yet spatialising, is not yet credulous and is not yet crafty in ‘its postlogism-as-of-non-conviction’; conditions which it increasingly attains from adolescence to adulthood with a corresponding inducing of the development of social psychopathy as its psychopathy conjugates/inflects/gets-mimicked with the temporal-dispositions of ignorance, unconsciously, and consciously with affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, in an absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic eliciting social psychopathy involving moving from various non-veridical/hollow sets-of-postlogical-in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-

misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, to others and from different sets of interlocutors to others. It is obvious that A’s condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation disposition as an adult psychopath isn’t systematic with every interlocutor but rather it arises only in the face of perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction-targets and furthermore the profoundness of the postlogism-slantedness manifestation is directly related to the gravity of the perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction the situation and how the ‘evolving social psychopathy situation permits’. Hence the notion of A having an absolute condition wherein it increments additionality by 1 is rather an absolute ideal conceptualisation, as in reality it is a question of degree and highly circumscribed with the adult psychopath who needs to have a postlogical-equilibrium that can be socially-functional-and-accordant, unlike the dysfunctional child psychopath. This comparison equally articulates the nature of uninstitutionalised-thresholds. Consider B (together with the other BODMAS characters) in the instance where despite A’s conditions they were to stick to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology thus effectively producing the wrong result 72.5 for the particular equation which is not intemporal preservational (not ontologically ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) and likewise for all other equation where A’s condition applies, we’ll then be talking about an uninstitutionalised-threshold. The implication is that the registry-worldview/dimension then loses its qualification as being intemporally-preservational, and the psychological tool that is then elicited (from a prospective and new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as articulated with the arithmetic technique that corrected the equation result from 72.5 to 66 by adjusting for A’s

condition which is now the reference-of-thought or veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference/ontologically-veridical/ontological-contiguity registry-worldview/dimension) is known as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics. Even though going by its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, the superseded registry-worldview/dimension will still wrongfully strive for a mental-devising-representation at that uninstitutionalised-threshold of ‘ontological-thinking (not demented)’/stranding-as-wrongfully-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase which is ontologically wrong, just as all <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness registry-worldviews/dimensions do at their uninstitutionalised-threshold. For instance, the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation mindset/reference-of-thought doesn’t think of itself that way but rather as a nondescript/ignorable void (actually speaking of akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives) or a-registry-worldview’s-or-dimension’s-ignoring-of-its-prior-relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-as-an-ontologically-flawed-neuterisation-or-bracketing-or-epoché of <formative>epistemic-totalising-conflated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-notional-deprocrypticism-reflected-historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing with respect to its apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing, and such a representation of its mentation is the invention/mental-devising-representation of the base-institutionalisation mindset by its better ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, likewise with ununiversalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively with procrypticism and deprocrypticism, we will certainly be hardly pre-inclined to acquiesce to a demented mental-devising-representation of our perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect to the denaturing of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-

entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of positivistic meaningfulness. This insights perfectly highlight that our psychological nature is actually about mental-devising-representation which is meant to serve notionally the pertinence of supposed ontological articulations with respect to intrinsic reality, and it doesn't has any end to itself but for such dialectical readjustments to ontological-veridicality as 'dialectical-thinking'/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase with regards to an intemporal-preservational registry-worldview/dimension institutionalised/intemporalised-threshold-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and with superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions which are not intemporal-preservational at their uninstitutionalised-threshold as 'dialectically-dementing'/oblongated/decanored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase explaining the nature of mental-devising-representation of all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures whether from the perspective of a retrospect, our present or prospective point-of-reference. Another aspect highlighted by the Arithmetic equation comparison is with respect to the appropriateness and defects of meaningful references with respect to ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality. The comparison highlights 3 transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestals of meaningfulness. Firstly, A's condition with respect to additionality with the idea that it is bound to fail any arithmetic calculation involving additionality. Thus the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation pedestal is of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>/non-ontological-reference-or-non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference). This is effectively the pedestalled state of psychopathic postlogism-as-of-non-conviction in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-

meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as of vague-rhyming-or-copied-
 mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-
 subknowledging inducing existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-
 prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
 instantiative-context/non-veridical-hollow-narratives to be reflected/perspectivated from the
 intemporal/ontological angle as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought or
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and so in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-
 logic, from one set-of-postlogical-narratives to the other and one set of interlocutors to the
 other, in line with its 'short cut' mental relation to meaningfulness as extrinsic-attribution (the
 temporal eliciting of the temporality/shortness of others is the sufficient basis for getting
 one's way) as opposed to intrinsic-attribution wherein the intrinsic ontological-veridicality of
 meaning is the complete and sufficient basis for its pertinence and upholding. This
 subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation disposition points out that the actual and
 given meaningfulness being subknowledged/perversely-represented is ontologically-
 veridical both registry-wise (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-wise) and
 logic-wise (the normal arithmetic operation of the BODMAS equation) as it is intemporally
 preservational and thus ontologically-veridical/reference-of-thought/ontological-contiguity. It
 is this pedestal that is the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/'intemporal-
 prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal, organic as it is both registry-wise (soundness-or-
 authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-wise) and logic-wise striving for intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation. It is the superseding and

intemporal pedestal for articulating ontological meaningfulness (intrinsic-attribution). The third pedestal as demonstrated involves the integrating and <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by temporal-dispositions both unconsciously (ignorance) and consciously (affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) with A's condition/sub-knowledging impulse as if it was ontologically veridical, and obviously leading to the wrong result thus failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. In the case with B it involved resolving the Arithmetic equation as if A's condition was appropriate resulting in 72.5 which is 'epistemically-decadent in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>' rather than 66 which is ontologically veridical. This is the mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation pedestal, as registry-wise it is not striving for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation and so fundamentally its logical-contention is voided (as apriorising—registry precedes and defines logical pertinence), such that such a disposition that integrates subknowledging-or-mimicking-impulse/impulsive-dementation registry-worldview-wise/dimensional-wise speaks of the registry-worldview/dimension as in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics at that uninstitutionalised-threshold. The fourth meaningful reference is actually a variance of the given organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal which is registry-wise and logic-wise pertinent. It is about the intellectual and virtue driven aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (as per this paper aim and other studies) in grasping the

human ontological implications and articulating the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct for the possibility of a conceptual insight and structural/paradigmatic resolution with regards to (at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level) procrypticism/the-reality-of-human-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-with-consequential-positivistic-meaningfulness-perversion/emanant-wrong-or-demented-shades-of-the-real, resolved by deprocrypticism. Comparatively, for instance, articulating new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to resolve the uninstitutionalised-threshold from 72.5 to the ontologically-veridical 66, and so not only with regards to the specific but as a structural/paradigmatic institutionalisation/intemporalisation for perpetuating intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. This pedestalled articulation points out that the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal (ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought) is transversal/transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and not actually in logical-congruence with both the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation pedestal (ontological-decadence/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference) and the mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation pedestal (epistemic-decadence/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference) which is relates to as dementing (as their implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-

stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are all undue and pervertedly implied). So we then speak of an abject/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (not incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness) ‘ordered construct’ of the meaningfulness of the intellectual aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the registry/registry-worldview defects of both the subknowledging-impulse pedestal and the mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation pedestal. Ontologically-speaking, a temporal naivety with regards to psychopath and its protraction as social psychopathy is that going by the dynamism of its faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge towards ‘extrinsic-attribution’ (the eliciting of the temporality/shortness of others is the sufficient basis for getting one’s way), is that the number of people ‘convinced’ by perverted extrinsic-attribution involving social-and-temporal-trading can have any bearing to the ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality in any way. While temporally-speaking, psychopathic situations often lead to a-country-of-the-blind-and-the-one-eye kind of scenario, wherein a thousand blinds may strive to convention out the one-eye, but then it wouldn’t still cut it, ontologically-speaking. (Certainly, it is equally and very possible that if such a one-eye isn’t beholden to a ‘sense of intemporality’ and it is rather temporally-inclined, it might equally take the easier route of reasoning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of country-of-the-blind temporality/shortness whether with respect to temporally outdoing or undermining the phenomena by acting in a manner that is overall of a temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology nature. But that will still be temporality/shortness and the notion of an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation

as of intemporality/longness will no more be better advanced. Further beyond and more than just with respect to one case of psychopathy but as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence construing the universal human social phenomena of psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism across space and time together with the bigger insight of grasping human nature and the overall possibilities thereof. Insightfully, as well it won't be surprising that such a universal projection will possibly meet with a more protracted-and-protracting psychopathy and social psychopathy manifestation going by overall human temporal-to-intemporal mental-disposition existential-form-factor as varied temporal-dispositions come into the frame and are elicited, just as an intemporal projection within a non-positivism/medievalism setup aspiring for a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension-level resolute construal of their corresponding postlogism-as-of-non-conviction like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and which is not palliative to a given situation will equally elicit a social protractedness of the phenomenon as varied temporal-dispositions come into the frame and are equally elicited. But then that is an inevitability with respect to the more critical universal projection low-life purposefulness in both meaningful-frameworks). Rather this then points to the nature of postlogical perversion-of-reference-of-thought with temporal-dispositions; (unconsciously) ignorance and (consciously) other temporal-dispositions of affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Ontologically, it is then the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal, both in apriorising-registry and registry-worldview terms as it is reflected/perspectivated as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding/attributive-dialectics. The critical reason for

this is that the intemporal-disposition is rather inclined to be abject about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as the complete and sufficient stand for knowledge and virtue with anything else being denaturing much in parallel as intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating doesn't accommodate human temporality, and so will not even entertain involving in anyway with social-and-temporal-trading exercise which is non-ontological (since it is fundamentally a perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and has nothing to do with issues of defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance).

This can further be elucidated analysing perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a different nature in a superseded registry-worldview/dimension like non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension which should provide an even greater insight analysing from our present perspective, and we can then comparatively project this with respect to deprocrypticism and procrypticism. For instance, accusations of witchcraft in non-positivism/medievalism societies are ontologically about subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing based on the fact that such societies didn't develop and integrate notions of empirical and rational cause-and-effect positivistic ideas as reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (a mentation-capacity that further furthers the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as present day positivistic registry-worldview), as it universally informs the present positivistic worldview and thus the impossibility to sound intelligible in case such an accusation of witchcraft is made today. So structurally, the non-positivism/medievalism society is shaped-and-inclined to integrate and

entertain phantasmagorical notions of someone being accused as a witch or sorcerer. We can garner a similar insight just as with the ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ above, where supposed an intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought who is in a non-positivism/medievalism society was to be accused of witchcraft by someone inclined to accuse people of witchcraft (because of a pathological-condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation) and who obviously is wrong, as we know today that the notion of witchcraft is ontologically unsound and ridiculous as the ability to perform magic and the like by anyone cannot be demonstrated veridically. The disposition to accuse people of witchcraft will be the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation pedestal. The disposition to entertain and further exploit such situations (as anthropologists perfectly understand the abhorrent role of such notions as witchcraft in the social-stake-contention-or-confliction of non-positivism/medievalism societies) in conjugation of temporal-dispositions that are universally-recurrent or universal across all times (postlogism-slantedness, ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) is the mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation pedestal which is rather an extricatory paradigm (of the situation, to fulfil temporal inclinations or distractive-temporal-prioritisation and not intemporal preservation); given the lack of a social universal-transparency of the idea that the notion of witchcraft is bogus, with corresponding lack of perceived untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of such a notion, thus a collective-consciousness that doesn’t register it as demented (as we do today) and finally, no ontological alienating reason for not believing, endemising and enculturating the phenomenon of witchcraft. The organic-comprehension-thinking

(organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) pedestal will rather be an inclination to see that the lack of empirical and rational reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is actually, in the bigger scheme of things, what is at the basis of not only the ‘one locale accusation of witchcraft, specifically so with this individual but its general integration as a socially viable and entertained notion in this locale’. But more critically, from its intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm to be intemporally-preservational, more than the notion of just attaining only to the ‘one-locale’ accusation of witchcraft, for the intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought in organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) the problem is now the insight about the intellectually and morally wrong in metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of accusation of witchcraft and the implications across all societies of the human species qualified as non-positivism/medievalism, with the bigger ontological implications of this specific accusation rather being how is this enlightening structurally about the endemisation and enculturation of vices-and-impediments associated with superstition in the said registry-worldview/dimension. That is, the problem is now about the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that can be made to address such lack of positivistic empirical and rational notions in all possible human societies qualified as non-positivism/medievalism. In other words, the graver ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics problem’ for the organic-comprehension-

thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestal is ‘why is society non-positivism/medievalism, and it is not in ‘mentation equivalence’ with a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation mindset/reference-of-thought pedestal accusing it of witchcraft and the specific locale where such an accusation is made in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/temporal prioritisation pedestal that entertains notions of witchcraft (as the intemporal mindset/reference-of-thought is thus anecdotally ‘boxing far below its weight’). Rather it is about articulating a comprehensive structural/paradigmatic dialecticism reasoning-through/abjection (not reasoning-with incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness with temporal-dispositions mindsets) between non-positivism/medievalism and positivism for prospective ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure away from the vices-and-impediments of a non-positivism/medievalism superstitious mental-disposition towards a prospective positivistic mental-disposition which is the virtue that is the ‘structural/paradigmatic resolution’ to the superseded registry-worldview/dimension not only superstitious specific vices-and-impediments but equally critical the overall structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity such superstition to the creative emancipation of human meaningfulness and action. With this insight the ontological ‘terms of reasoning’ of the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation pedestal is a wrong and naïve ‘mentation equivalence’ in dementedly striving to establish whether the accused is involved in witchcraft; the ‘terms of reasoning’ of the mechanical-comprehension-dementing

in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation pedestal is a wrong and naïve ‘mentation equivalence’ in dementedly striving to establish and examine whether the accusation of witchcraft is true or not, with all the implied existential implications meaningfulness in both cases; and the ‘terms of reasoning’ of the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) will be to be dismissive of the two prior pedestals as in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics and stranded-as-oblongated/decanored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase since in reality the elements of their apriorising—registry are perverted (implied-logical-dueness – of accusation of witchcraft, implied-profile, implied-presumptuousness/arrogation, implied-assumptions, implied-value-reference and implied-teleology), and the issue will rather be about reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a registry-worldview/dimension that endemises and enculturates the belief in superstition and witchcraft for a structural/paradigmatic resolution as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. In other words, the temporal-dispositions are not logically-contending but ontologically or dialectically demented as they are rather the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation from the intemporal-disposition given that these are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase and--<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag.

The reason for the above ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling is simple. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling carries the implication that reference-of-thought and

meaningfulness is fundamentally/ontologically structured for ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-
 preservation, and hence the precedence of higher-intemporal-teleologies (organic-
 comprehension-thinking pedestal) over low temporal teleologies of reference-of-thought and
 meaningfulness (perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag); and that subpar structuring of reference-
 of-thought and meaningfulness not for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-
 ontological-preservation but rather for perversion-of-reference-of-thought of subpar
 reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-of-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation as uninstitutionalised-
 threshold is ‘perverted reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ (-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag), and is
 ontologically-demented (dialectically-demented) whether from a superseding/transcending
 registry/registry-worldview reference-of-thought/veridical-thinking-reference-over-
 dementing-reference that is retrospective (like base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation), present (like positivism over non-positivism/medievalism) or
 prospective (like deprocrypticism over procrypticism/the-’dialectical-dementing—
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-of-the-positivistic-registry-worldview-or-
 dimension-categorical-imperatives-or-axioms-or-registry-teleology-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation). Such a stance equally
 applies between the superseding/transcending deprocrypticism and the
 superseded/transcended procrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions with organic-
 comprehension-thinking in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-

conflatedness as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of deprocrypticism superseding the mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/alchemic-like-reasoning in circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of procrypticism mental-dispositions. While the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics with respect to non-positivism/medievalism has to do with not integrating empirical and rational positivistic reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and the corresponding social implications, the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics with procrypticism has to do with not integrating the veridicality of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of positivistic reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as knowledge notionalisation and a corresponding ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling to reflect/perspectivate the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation pedestal and the mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation pedestal from an organic-comprehension pedestal ‘ontological-reference of thought and meaningfulness’ for a superseding deprocrypticism institutionalisation as a universal/intemporal/ontological/intrinsic-attribution/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/human-species-level paradigm across all space and all time (and not a temporal, extricatory, shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, individuals, extrinsic-attribution, incidental or incremental or ‘disjointedness-

as-of-reference-of-thought' or temporal-accommodation paradigm that endemises and enculturates procrypticism) to induce the appropriate prospective cross-generational 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure.

This conceptual ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of (superseded registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension) mental-devising-representation as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (demented) and (superseding registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension) mental-devising-representation as straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (thinking) is critical in grasping the nature of 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness with respect to circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as the former is 'abject' intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (and thus the requisite reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in order to arrive at 66/intemporal-preservation is downright uncompromisable). Circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought involves various shades of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal-accommodation with institutionalisation being rather a secondnaturing to a given set of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as per percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> and a positive-opportunism institutionalisation constraining. This is 'no emanance transformation' of temporal-dispositions into the intemporal-disposition; as such a notion can only be solipsistic to individuals beyond the

possibility of institutionalisation secondnaturing (point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold). Thus at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought will very well do with an outcome (other than 66) whether it is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, given its solipsistic disparate nature (noncontiguous/discrete hence of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>) with respect to the notion of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as being about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and so, especially when postlogical and integrating the hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of postlogism or postlogism-as-of-non-conviction-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness). And critically, it should be noted that ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness is about the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as registry-worldview/dimension defining, and not about good-naturedness/vague-temporal-impression-driven notions that may arise in circumstantial situations. This Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison equally gives an insight on why temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation is needed with 3 pedestals: organic-comprehension/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestal for which the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as ontology supersedes perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) which

are actually meant to represent it at uninstitutionalised-threshold, mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation pedestal for which reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation are wrongly related to as an end by themselves at uninstitutionalised-threshold, and postlogical-including-psychopathic/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging pedestal for which the hollow form of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation for perversion-of-reference-of-thought of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness is a sound existential construct. That is, in the bigger scheme when it comes to deciding between ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) and the human temporal psyche, what gives-in is the human temporal psyche (and so for the betterment of the species); that is, from an animal that was emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically successively of a mental-devising-representation perspective stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase at recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and from a prospective articulation, procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and so respectively, for their successive institutionalisations mental-devising-representation perspectives as stranded-as-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. In other words, across all times the ‘limits of thought’ is not ‘the averageness/banality/temporalisation of thought’ but rather ‘the disposition to intemporalise

and ontologise human thought’, and so whether from a sense of intrinsic-reality one mortal is rightfully saying that the world is round and by expediency a majority of mortals are saying it is flat. That is the singular construct that man cannot lose across all generations to enable the perpetual existential regeneration of civilisation beyond just being a secondnature construct as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft (which can often actually turn out to be alien to the intemporal-disposition apriorising–registry, that we can all potentially cultivate, that created, creates, and needs to keep creating the conditions for institutionalisation perpetuation)!

It should be noted that the establishment of the reality of an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–registry’s, or in the bigger picture, registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought, dialectical-out-of-phasing at an uninstitutionalised-threshold speaks of that apriorising–registry’s or registry-worldview’s/dimension’s referene-of-thought ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (as it is ‘devoid of reference-of-thought and correspondingly ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity’ given its epistemic-decadence/psychopath or epistemic-decadence/psychopath’s-temporal-interlocutor, as perversion-of-reference-of-thought the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), and so, in a state of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as perceived from the superseding/transcending intemporal-disposition or registry-worldview/dimension which voids the registry-perverting/subknowledging/dementing-temporal-dispositions’ transcended-or-superseded-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. This as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–

stranding/attributive-dialectics is what prevents the <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
 referencing-syncretising-as-straight-and-candored, of the recurrence-of-in hollow-
 constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
 preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-
 narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (which are veridically of
 notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-
 schema>) as wrongly implied postlogically-as-rather-being-prelogical; as the instigation (by
 psychopath) recurrently-of-in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-
 looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ and as the hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation
 integration/conjoining (psychopath’s temporal-interlocutors) recurrently-of-in hollow-
 constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
 preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-
 narratives-and-acts’, and in so doing intemporally/ontologically
 reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting the ontological-veridicality/ontological-reality of the
 psychopath’s effective epistemic-decadence and the psychopath’s temporal-interlocutors’
 epistemic-decadence as effectively stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-
 dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase in various shades of temporality. For instance in
 registry-worldview/dimension terms, the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
 stranding/attributive-dialectics’/stranding-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-
 dialectically-out-of-phase of the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought
 with respect to the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought (of the former perversion of
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation at the uninstitutionalised-

threshold of positivistic meaningfulness) wherein there can't be a logical nested-congruence or engagement between the two mindsets as these do not have common reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, with the ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) as contention exercise being about the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension as a manifestation of mental-defect/perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness requiring positivistic meaningfulness, and in the bigger scheme of things requiring the secondnaturing of positivistic (as against non-positivism/medievalism) reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

The point then is that, from a transcending registry-worldview/dimension, the relation with its transcended registry-worldview/dimension is 'not ontologically an exercise in logical-congruence with the transcended registry-worldview/dimension as a dialectical-thinking exercise' but rather ontologically an exercise in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) a demented-representation as manifestation-and-not-contention of the transcended registry-worldview/dimension denaturing of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for prospective positivistic meaningfulness, as stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contentingly-out-of-phase, and avoiding the conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-

reflex/in-phase-reflex which wrongly elevates perversion-of-reference-of-thought into logical-contention. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics is effectively the mental-devising-representation of the dialectical-primitivities/dialectical-out-of-phasing registry-worldviews/dimensions of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism (dementing of positivistic meaningfulness) or-emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real, from successive veridical reference-of-thought or veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference (ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity) as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism respectively which are mentally stranded-as-rightfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase.

Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as such redefines psychology as a postdicatory science (tying the mental-devising-representation process to the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality referencing/correction-tool), that is memetically/meaningfully not limited to-and-within one dimension-or-registry-worldview/intradimensionally but by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) perversion-of-reference-of-thought, is transdimensional/transcendental in depth-of-meaningfulness as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation). Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as such is construed at the individuation-level as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability in delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This involves maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as enabled by ontological-dementation/dialectical-

dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics in disambiguating the intemporal-disposition as ontological and temporal-dispositions at the individuation-level; while at the registry-worldview/dimension-level it reflects the determination of the relative registry-worldviews/dimensions as of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. The implication is that soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness is not given, as it is a devising mechanism (mental-devising-representation) for ontological-veridicality as dialectically upheld for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). There is no doubt that if by some secret manner ‘some individuals from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension’ were to appear and be able to live in our present positivistic social-setup (without us knowing beforehand that they are coming from the past to avoid inducing a confounding effect in our analysis), and intent on fully living based on the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation setup, our current psychology science most probably will treat them as pathological (demented). At which point, implying the conceptualisation of such an ontological-mental-pathology or dementia (in contrast to a physiological mental pathology) is much more a question of ‘ontology valour’ (ontology valour being defined as a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontology depth in relation to its conventioning limitations with respect to pure-intemporal-ontology). But then, crazy as it may seem, this extends ontological-mental-pathology or dementia conceptualisation, on those very same terms of ontology valour, not only retrospectively but equally prospectively, as from a prospective transcendence (with a corresponding insight about how we may be that ‘dialectically-dementing/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-

out-of-phase' from such a prospective transcendence's reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, of course, that is, when precluding our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage). In the bigger picture, ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics effectively will seem to place human (recomposuring)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in the backseat with ontology-in-its-inherent-dialectical-abstraction taking the frontseat in the articulation of intrinsic reality and correspondingly human mental-devising-representation. Actually, registry-worldviews/dimensions are rather prospectively <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal–mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatric-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview's/dimension's-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of their own specific evolving successive existentialisms (with their full-depths-of-existential-implications specific evolving paradigms), and with specific evolving percolation-channels for prospective ontologising and ontologising-transcendence. Fundamentally, without the possibility of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics dementability-of-the-human-psyche-for-prospective-institutionalisation involving stranding-dialectics, no registry-worldview/dimension will be transcendable (hence dementable/no-longer-thinking) for prospective institutionalisation. As it is from dementation (literally 'dementation') that an unshackling/recomposuring/reordering/new-mentation of prospective intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is possible. This is because ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as such allows for a 'human mentation capacity renewal' by transcendence (as it is by cumulation/reordering/recomposuring the prior institutionalisation mentation-capacity for a

contiguous upholding of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation that transcendence occur) of the ‘veridical reference-of-thought of meaningfulness’ since it dementes the mental-devising-representation of the old/retrospective/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension ‘as not dialectical-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought but demented and dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase at its uninstitutionalised-threshold and references the mental-devising-representation of the new/prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as ‘effectively dialectical-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought as a new-and-greater-mentation-capacity and dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase; on the grounds that the veridicality of the reference-of-thought is what upholds ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. For instance, at its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring a prospective positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension which is rather superstitious/alchemic/aristocratic is rather ontologically-demented/dialectically-demented in a stranding-dialectics wherein its mental-devising-representation is demented as not thinking/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase while the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation is dialectical-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, thus ‘granting the latter reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference)’ over the former which is ‘no longer reference-of-thought’ in the sense that ‘we can’t think in medieval terms and be considered soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought today but rather ontologically-demented’. This dialectical conceptualisation equally applies regarding procrypticism and futural Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions. In fact, a deconstruction insight with regards to all the interchangeable deconstructing terms in reference to the notion of ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intradimensional reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ (i.e., ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics, perversion-of-reference-of-thought, registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>, unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, mental-perversion, subknowledging, mimicking; and-their-corresponding-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising) indicates that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics is ultimately the ‘ideal reference term’ for the simple reason that unlike the other terms it ‘beats’ the ‘intuition for intradimensional/non-transcendental/non-transdimensional reasoning’ and succeeds to convey, overcoming the counter-intuition, the requisite transdimensional/transcendental reasoning that achieves ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation); as this counter-intuition for transdimensional reasoning (which is not easily superseded and not even by this author articulating the notion but for this abstraction insight) is basically due to the subconscious-strength of the ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy’ (epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage inclination) reference of personhood-and-socialhood-formation existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications such that the other notions will tend-to-get-lost-down-the-line by unconsciously returning to and/or admitting to the wrong

intradimensional reflex-conceptualisations, at one point or the other, and so in lieu of and undermining the ontological-veridicality of the effectively veridical transcendental reality. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics ‘beats’ this counter-intuition by simply and immediately bringing to the mind an ‘overarching conceptualisation’ of a stranding-dialectics of superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension (as straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) and a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension (as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase); around which all other dynamic constructions fall in place (whether organic-comprehension-thinking or mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, subknowledging-impulse, etc.). The other deconstructing terms while having specific analytical bearings do not carry this all-encompassing quality that liberates from ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy’(epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage inclination) as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics does as it further induces ‘transdimensional or memetic thinking’ by its implied stranding-dialectics in meeting up with ‘ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation). For instance, while the term registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>’ brings to the mind a poor ontological disposition like the other BODMAS characters disposition to systematically operate additionality overlooking A’s condition, but it is a sense of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics that carries

the intuition of an uninstitutionalised-threshold, and construes a superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension and a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and all the implications thereof. Now analysing the registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>' term thereafter, we grasp that it is the '-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising' in 'notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument' as of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag' that makes it registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>/not-an-implication-of-act-execution-defect and this carries the implications of a registry-worldview/dimension defining defect (in a dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded and prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation). Specifically, ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding/attributive-dialectics as such implies registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>/not-just-a-logical-processing-or-an-implication-of-act-execution-or-a-implication-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement-defect' wherein we can perceive the complete picture of a registry-worldview/dimensional defect by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (with respect to base-institutionalisation), ununiversalisation (with respect to universalisation), non-

positivism/medievalism (with respect to positivism) and our own dimension procrypticism's
 (the-'dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of positivistic-meaningfulness)
 ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics (with respect
 to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective
 deprocrypticism). A similar articulation can be made with regards to each of the other
 deconstructing terms where ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
 stranding/attributive-dialectics provides the better overarching conceptualisation from an
 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness reference-of-thought
 (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference). Furthermore, by its stranding-
 dialectics, ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics is
 the only notional term that operantly and deterministically projects the requisite
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/recomposuring/new-mentation with regards
 to the implied veridical existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications taking into
 account the veridicality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor hotchpotching wherein sound knowledge/virtue is
 pliable to temporal denaturing and corresponding conjugation/derivation thus the need for
 knowledge notionalisation as a response to human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor dilemma.

The very central idea about procrypticism and deprocrypticism (and for that matter
 the successive dialecticisms of the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures) with
 respect to the veridicality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-

indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (longness-of-depth-of-meaningfulness and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) is in bringing to the fore and contrasting ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in its purity as ontological-normalcy and that human temporal inclination at all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures threshold this purity and pervert ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, thus highlighting the follow dichotomies that are always associated with ontological-normalcy/postconvergence dialectics:

1) impetus for intemporal-preservation beyond reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at uninstitutionalised-threshold versus impetus rather for reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at uninstitutionalised-threshold

2) thinking as veridical reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference) of mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought versus dementing as mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of the retrospective registry-worldview/dimension as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as it is no longer an reference-of-thought (not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference)

3) organic-comprehension-thinking as intemporal profoundness-of-thought-and-meaningfulness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) versus mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as temporal shallowness-of-thought-and-meaningfulness (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)

4) ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness as defining the priority of life choices or existential living as in priority all that which preserve precedingly the intemporal as it creates the institutionalisation possibilities for the furtherance of intemporality/longness versus circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought as defining the priority of life choices or existential living as priorly unaccountable to the possibility for the furtherance of intemporality/longness whether by temporal circumventing or distraction of institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation.

Central to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation is an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence that doesn’t recognise any uninstitutionalised-threshold to the projected <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology considered circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought over inherent ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; at which point of uninstitutionalised-threshold, ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics is implied (in organic-comprehension-thinking over mechanical comprehension or as a stranding-dialectics) for a renewed/prospective mentation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness that ‘supersedes deterministically and operantly, without any discretion allowed’, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought. That is ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics is effectively

the notion that, in recognition of the unchanging, preceding and inherent nature of intrinsic reality with respect to the human psyche (and its mental-devising-representation of intrinsic reality) which is what ‘gives-in’/collapses ontologically/as-an-ontological-reference; enables, for the articulation of new mentations as transcendence, the ‘giving-in’/collapsing of the mental-devising-representation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures mindsets, notwithstanding the fact that the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics (of their reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) is unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to these superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions mindsets due to their <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage disposition.

Supposed we were to make a profound analysis of our contiguous human mental-devising-representation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (in-dialectical/recomposuring-moments) from the appearance of human beings on earth, the effective linkage as new-mentations between those successive recomposuring moments (whether recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism, positivism–procrypticism and prospectively perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism) is as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics in stranding-dialectics; and this thus predicates or rather postdictates as well our own registry-worldview/dimensional ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics over and as denaturing positivistic meaningfulness reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (procrypticism) and implying a prospective need for deprocrypticism. Postdication, when alluding to an ontological-

dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics defining psychological science, will effectively hold that the conceptualisation of the social is very much a contiguous ontological disambiguation of a demented social of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation in existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions, from a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Postdication means reasoning from a basis of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence wherein the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension is no longer referenced (as reference-of-thought) but ‘dialectically demented/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ while the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension is referenced (as reference-of-thought) as ‘dialectical-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ in construing meaningfulness. The grander issue that always arises is in existentialism terms, whether with regards to an obvious human disposition for temporal-accommodation as circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought of being-and-existence as conceptualised within the successions-of-existing-in-human-life-spans or rather an abstract eternal-projecting disposition of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness wherein the articulation of meaning, being and existence is in existentialism-terms intemporally-driven on the basis that that which is in need of transcendence-and-the-intemporal (the temporal) cannot be seen-as-or-made-a-reference-of-intemporal/ontological-thought, and that it is exactly for that reason that human progress has been and will remain dialectically possible. That is, the reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference) can only be the pedestalling of an ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness as ontology with regards to apriorising–registry, contrasted to a circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought-reference implying a perverted-registry reflected/perspectivated by its

ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. Where the natural world is resolute with no compromise with the operation of such a notion as $1+1=2$, the same cannot be resolutely affirmed in the human social-and-temporal-trading in the social world where on occasions $1+1$ will add up to 5 where the effective constraining of institutionalisation is lacking. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics (stranding) has the merits of articulating that for reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference) to establish veridicality, no such social-and-temporal-trading is beyond ontological-entrapment ‘by re-institutionalisation with new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation dialectically implying an ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of transcended reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (in our present case, deprocrypticism of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, for a structural/paradigmatic resolution of defective-issues or vices-and-impediments of our registry-worldview/dimension and just as critically the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential; just as positivism is the structural/paradigmatic resolution of defective-issues or vices-and-impediments of non-positivism/medievalism together with the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential, and the same applies with ununiversalisation and universalisation, and recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation); thus the potential to fully close the gap with regards to ontological-veridicality of the natural sciences in a ‘renewed maturation’ of the phenomenological ontological-performance conceptualisation of the social. Though with the weakness we must be able to rise up to, that ‘the social’ is existentially ‘emotionally involved’. But this can be and is effectively overcome by ‘appropriately universalising and

detached meaningfulness by percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>' as devised for all formalised and institutionalised settings capable of introducing, upholding and internalising the ascendancy of many a social outlier thoughts and meaningfulness which from a 'purely mobbish social disposition' as may arise in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) would hardly be countenanced. The bigger picture here (and of relevance to a registry-worldview/dimension transcendence from procrypticism to deprocrypticism as the structural/paradigmatic and general resolution of the vices-and-impediments together with the structurally inhibiting effect on the furtherance of human emancipative potential of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of positivistic meaningfulness-and-teleology, and specifically resolution of the implications of psychopathic subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought) may be to think, given our own illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, that such an analysis applies only to prior institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures. But the fact is that such a profound conceptualisation will have to come to terms with the reality of the implied existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications beyond our present sense of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation if it were to avoid platitudinising, becoming circular with dead-ends and lose its intemporal purpose and hence ontological purpose, and so for the simple reason that it is the human psyche that 'gives-in' with respect to intrinsic-reality as renewed/prospective ontological-veridicality, starting with that of the intellectual analyst/analysts itself/themselves); as the human psyche gave-in from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation to universalisation, non-

positivism/medievalism to positivism, and where renewed/prospective ontological-veridicality does establish a new registry-worldview/dimensional transcendental paradigm shift as procrypticism to deprocrypticism, then the human psyche will equally have to give-in, and by the way all transcendences meet with some resistance or the other and thus a reason for transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflex to preserve the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of intrinsic-reality in adverting social-and-temporal-trading of meaningfulness. Part and parcel, of human intellectualism beyond mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft, as has historically been implied in the case with many a great human mind, is to recognise that the social-construct is ‘not an ontological absolute’ but rather a ‘conventioning construct at the limits of human ontological capacity’ and that that is ‘why it has got its defining issues and problems’ and further that ‘it progresses and transcends’, and the intellectual exercise goes beyond just reasoning within ambits of ‘temporally-and-socially-perceived-rightness-of-thinking’ to explore possibilities that might actually be ‘outright unpalatable’ in the temporo-social sense but in the bigger picture as an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm are indispensable. With the idea that an intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm that prolongs to intemporality/an-abstract-eternality while obviously of ‘less an immediate temporal existential sense of good to some humans’ is undoubtable of ‘an intemporal existential sense of good to all humans at all times’ by its percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> wherein for instance, the structural/paradigmatic effect of the law is allowing for civilisational living but its circumstantial construal and application may not be in tune with the temporal interests of many but for its institutionalising constraining. This contrast between humans appreciating intemporality/longness as potentially of universal import and at

the same time disposed occasionally to advanced their temporality, is what warrants ‘a constraining institutionalisation’. In the same vain, one may ask what’s the temporal benefit to Rousseau or Galileo instead of striving for greater aristocratic privileges for themselves; for the one to rather carry the mantle from one royal court to the other of affirming the possibility of human emancipation (by which we are all percolatively benefiting from today) or the other the mantle of a principled engagement and possibility of science starting with an uncompromising conviction from observation that the earth is not at the centre of the solar system, by which a culture of science came to be established. And finally, how coherent are temporal meaningful frames built from such intemporal grand principles but lived on temporal dispositions in extrication in contradiction to such philosophies, and what is the very relevance of such temporal enculturation and endemisation to present-day social and institutional failures in society? And what’s the role of ‘intellectual irresponsibility’ in all of this?

From an intemporal hence ontological depth-of-meaningfulness, precedingly/supersedingly, ‘limited-mentation-capacity’ (for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) is the reason for registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect at uninstitutionalised-threshold; implying that ‘ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ is actually for prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation beyond the defective ‘intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy or reflex-normalcy’ which is rather an epistememic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness) inclination to overlook/aside the notion of prospective transcendence at its own (limited-mentation-capacity-threshold) uninstitutionalised-threshold though it will obviously and paradoxically recognise the need of prior registry-

worldviews/dimensions to transcend (just as by reflex from our perspective we will recognise such a need for base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-positivism/medievalism but hardly prospectively the notion that our dimension has an uninstitutionalised-threshold like procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with the need for prospective transcendence as deprocrypticism). However, as previously indicated such an insight can only be garnered, beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as all registry-worldviews/dimensions wrongfully imply, given that ‘doppler-thinking’ wherein our registry-worldview/dimension isn’t the absolute reference of meaningfulness (which is rather an intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy in lieu of the ‘ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ as that which allows for prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation). It is this ‘ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ that reflects/perspectivates perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics as against the defective reflex-normalcy/intradimensional subknowledging-normalcy that wrongfully represent it as straightness/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase. Thus the general notion of an intemporal/ontological resolution of perversion-of-reference-of-thought is more than just the instigating effect of the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation (psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation) but harkens back to the notion of the intraregistry-worldview/dimension limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)/uninstitutionalised-threshold in the very first place. As this is the structuring disposition for the possibility of perversion-of-reference-of-thought requiring

ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. For instance, such perversion-of-reference-of-thought as witchcraft in the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is fundamentally implying structurally a need for the right human mentation-capacity as the prospective transcendence of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, and likewise structurally regarding procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with deprocrypticism (as the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not good-natured/vague-impress construct).

Ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, beyond defective intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy/reflex-normalcy, points to factoring in temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation as ‘knowledge notionalisation’ to avoid wrongfully operating/processing of logic by the reference of the intemporal-disposition reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation which is ontological (as it is in sync with intrinsic-reality/veridicality), where dealing effectively rather with temporal-dispositions. Knowledge notionalisation factors in how temporal-dispositions relate to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation at uninstitutionalised/unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-thresholds-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy/reflex-normalcy) and at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds (ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). It should be noted that the particularity for achieving all

institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures is about bringing the prior registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought to its placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology awareness for the collective-mind to psychoanalytically-unshackle/memetically-reorder/institutionally-recomposure, and thus take-stock-and-supersede/transcend its limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)-threshold (uninstitutionalised-threshold). This is brought to the collective-consciousness so that with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction it renews its psychoanalytic-equilibrium, as the latest ‘capacity boost’ with respect to what is the grander individual-and-social good as positive-opportunism. For instance, achieving base-institutionalisation requires that it should be brought to the collective-consciousness that it is ‘perilous to survival-and-flourishing’ to remain recurrently-uninstitutionalised for the grander individual-and-social good as positive-opportunism. Once this enters the collective-consciousness this leads to an inclination for a renewed psychoanalytic-equilibrium/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure wherein recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview then becomes dementing/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, as it is recurrently-uninstitutionalised, as the backdrop for the straightness/candoring-and-dialectically-in-phasing of base-institutionalisation registry-worldview. This is relatively direct by the existential implications to survival-and-flourishing with the lower institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures of base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism. For deprocrypticism, an even stronger emphasis has to be placed on the abstract percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as setup from positive-opportunism for survival-and-flourishing, just as with the positivistic registry-worldview which as well is relatively deferential with percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-

in-conflation> (undermining <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> or banality-of-thought) to formalised deference like the higher developed legal system involving lesser possibility for mob-and-disparate-justice as with the lower institutional-cumulations, grander subject-matter expertise and lesser hearsays-and-vague-opinions limiting the ambit of the influence of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology); all geared to discriminate for supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) over temporal-dispositions (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> not only in the present but prospectively. In other words, higher institutionalisations imply greater ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ wherein the ambits of the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with regards to meaningfulness shrinks as formal conceptualisations extend the intemporal-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) and deferential model for construing meaningfulness. For instance, many a subject matter domain like meaning about the heavens, forces of nature, material nature, social laws, etc. are now effectively construed socially in deference to abstract intemporal-disposition teleological conceptualisation voiding social temporal-dispositions teleological dispositions. The reason is simple formal settings use the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework to construe knowledge and virtue conceptualisations as this is what proxies/syncs-with intrinsic-reality and hence their effective potency while on the other hand informal settings

tend more to impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisations which may sound appropriate in their <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag but are often defective by lack of universality, not ontologically-driven in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of understanding and often with temporal/immediate interests/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. In this light, the articulation of the ontological-veridicality/reference-of-thought of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor-pedestals-disambiguation of our mental-devising-representation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology in explication of our ‘mentation capacity limitations’ accounting for our perversion-of-reference-of-thought that ‘structurally-explain’ the vices-and-impediments peculiar to our own registry-worldview/dimension (procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) or perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness, beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness (just as non-positivism/medievalism ‘structurally-explains’ the peculiar vices-and-impediments and structural/paradigmatic inhibitions to human emancipation requiring prospective positivism with its corresponding ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics as stranding-dialectics). The idea is not to assume an idling-temporal-disposition of stigmatising intradimensionally but rather an intemporal/ontological disposition (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), that works with ‘what is as it is’, and bring this reality to the collective-consciousness for the requisite ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-

and-teleology as of prospective deprocrpticism (wherein procrpticism is dementing/stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, as it subknowledges-or-mimics/perverts-the-registry-of positivistic meaningfulness reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation).

The idea of limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) (for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) fundamentally implies that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are limited at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the specific registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation they enable, and are not absolute with respect to the perpetuation of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and thus need to be cumulated-upon (or rather more precisely be recomposured institutionally), wherein new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation allow for the furtherance of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. The positivistic institutionalisation reflex disposition is to imply only a human intemporal-disposition/ontological-disposition, thus wrongly elevating issues of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought as being issues of intemporal-disposition/ontological-dispositions and thus wrongfully implying their ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought (from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) rather than rightfully their notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema>/non-ontological-reference-or-non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing (not-

veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference), and thus wrongly engaging in logical contentions instead of reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) manifestations of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought, thus resulting in the consequent endemisation/enculturation of the specific vices-and-impediments of the positivistic registry-worldview (procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought). In contrast, the particularity of the superseding/transcending ‘deprocrypticism institutionalisation’ disposition over procrypticism is that prospectively it points to the ontological-veridicality of a human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor-pedestals-disambiguation (at positivistic meaningfulness uninstitutionalised-threshold) to its mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology to enable the ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the collective-consciousness, and so as a knowledge notionalisation. That is, a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation setup that perpetually acknowledges and accounts for human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor disambiguation before engaging either with logical contention in the case of issues of intemporal-disposition/ontological-disposition or with reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) manifestations of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the instance of issues of temporal-dispositions; bringing this conceptualisation to the collective-consciousness for the necessary psychoanalytic-reequilibrium/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure that should enable the superseding/transcending of the enculturating/endemising vices-and-impediments

together with the inhibiting effect on human emancipation potential associated with procrypticism. To further elucidate, let's explore again the Arithmetic ontological-contiguity comparison highlighted previously wherein character A had a condition whereby its results of additionality were systematically incremented by 1, its's subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation highlighting an uninstitutionalised-threshold where the other characters wrongly calculated the result (the ontological-veridicality) failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation implied by ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, as actually intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation supersedes the mere-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as the latter's pertinence is rather about and subsumed as a mentation capacity to uphold the former. The bigger issue with regards to all the BODMAS characters is with respect to the limits of their reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation which are readily predisposed to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought and subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation whether by character A or any other character rather than just the fact that the condition (psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation for instance) is the causative factor of their failure to in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ensure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. In any case the structural/paradigmatic resolution is with regards to the implications of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the given registry-worldview/dimension as an

aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations predictable and determinable teleologies). That is, fundamentally the appropriate conceptualisation of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is structurally-speaking about perpetually ensuring intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as the superseding/preceding notion (i.e. ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation). In this regard, we may easily construe the fundamental defects-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as these enable perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation wherein successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are analogical to various defective instances in operating the BODMAS equation. That is, while the condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with A’s additionality results are wrongly incremented by 1, leading to the uninstitutionalised-threshold to be rightfully corrected with new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation involving subtracting 1; the defect of a second registry-worldview/dimension may involve subtracting 1 from the result of S as a condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation of S, requiring similarly new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation correction of the BODMAS characters as with the first registry-worldview/dimension to uphold the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Likewise, a third and fourth registry-worldview/dimensions defects could involve

respectively a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/condition of M wherein the latter wrongly adds 1 to a multiplier before multiplying and a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/condition of D wherein D wrongly subtract 1 to a divisor before dividing, with these two latter registry-worldviews/dimensions equally requiring similarly new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation adjustment of the BODMAS characters as with the first and second registry-worldviews/dimensions to uphold the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Ultimately, a deprocrypticism construal of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process aiming to perpetually sync reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, is one that will bring to the mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology, the BODMAS characters potential temporal-dispositions to perversion-of-reference-of-thought and subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with the resultant integration unconsciously (ignorance) and consciously (other temporal-dispositions of affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) inducing the various uninstitutionalised-threshold, for a suprastructural resolution to human perversion-of-reference-of-thought disposition, enabling the ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the collective-consciousness towards knowledge notionalisation; as the recognition of the reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-

dispositions—existentialism-form-factor-pedestals-disambiguation then allows for acknowledging, accounting for and the structural-superseding of our vices-and-impediments thus enabling ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation involving the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics is the effective psychological tool for ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure.

The implications for the science of psychology can thus be drawn out. The articulated notion of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics’ brings up the central conceptual role of psychology as about understanding human mental-devising-representation and the implications thereof. Central to this ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics process is a dialectical exercise of stranding; either as mentally oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase to imply a superseded/transcended/unsound registry-or-registry-worldview/dimension or as mentally straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase to imply a superseding/transcending/sound registry-or-registry-worldview. Ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics further implies that instead of a ‘conventioning influenced and driven’ more or less notational study of human psychological phenomena as is the case today; we can ‘think’ of psychology in ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics terms of stranding-dialectics of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-

of-existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinements as
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-
 reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-
 thought (stranding-dialectics with respect to either mentally oblongated/decandored-and-
 dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase representation or mentally straight/candored-and-
 dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase representation) as ‘directed’ simply by demonstrable
 ontological-veracity/ontological-relevance/reference-of-thought of transdimensional-
 meaningfulness/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 ; leading to a psychological science which is more comprehensive, timeless and unbounded
 by its conceptualisation as it emphasises psychological-representation/mental-devising-
 representation as more ‘ontologically-driven/ontologised’ rather than ‘conventioningly-
 driven/conventionalised’. In so doing, overriding and superseding the analyst illusion-of-the-
 present/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/present-consciousness/mirage
 referring to the instance where the personhood-and-socialhood-formation intradimensional
 conventioning induces an ‘analytical-complex’ with respect to an ontologically veridical
 psychological-representation or mental-devising-representation. As implied psychological-
 representation/mental-devising-representation is then fundamentally determined by the
 depth/profoundness-of-ontological-veracity/depth/profoundness-of-ontological-reference of a
 given registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension as it upholds ontological-normalcy or
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-
 intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) over reflex-
 normalcy or intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy. Ontological-normalcy or
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence appropriately points to the pertinence for ontological
 construal as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-
 transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-

reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for an appropriate ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics stranding-dialectics exercise wherein the reference-of-thought (‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness) is always a moving target (due to the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process) in need for prospective dialectical reconstitution (deconstruction), which then puts a science of psychology in phase with the dialectical development of ontological-depth/profoundness-of-reference in superseding relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, in line with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; whereas a conventioning reference is relatively in circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought and fails to factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) and the consequent uninstitutionalised-threshold or relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) hence failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to imply a prospective dialectic ontological-depth/profoundness-of-reference for an appropriate ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics stranding-dialectics. That is, a conventioning influenced-and-driven psychology tends to equate the conventional insights at one ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics dialectical

moment or registry-worldview/dimension as intradimensionally set in stone and across all moments whereas an ontologically-driven psychology acknowledges and recomposes to the dialectical evolution of reference-of-thought for a comprehensive, appropriate and veridical ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics exercise. Such reference-of-thought of dialecticism registry-worldview-wise/dimension-wise (for ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics exercise in reflection/perspectivation of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation) are the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposes as recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism, positivism/procrypticism-or-emanant-wrong-or-demented-shades-of-the-real, and prospectively (critical for a prospective conceptualisation of psychology) perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism. This explains why this memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness/suprastructural-meaningfulness psychology is a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as it is driven/led by a reference to dialectical/ontological-veridicality (ontological-normalcy in successive ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/postdicatory ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction of dialectical existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications as reference-of-thought, rather than intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy or reflex-normalcy) for ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ exercise in reflection/perspectivation of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation, i.e. stranding-as-rightfully-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase for the dialectically-and-ontologically superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and stranding-as-rightfully-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-

contendingly-in-phase for the dialectically-and-ontologically-superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension. This ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is the foundation of a pure, emancipated and disinhibited psychology (both registry-and-registry-worldview-wise) as such a psychology is grounded exclusively on ontologically demonstrable references of the veridicality of registries and registry-worldviews successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications, and the corresponding ontological veracities implied. Such a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ contrasts with a ‘mented’ or ‘stigmatic’ psychology of weak memetism/transdimensional-meaningfulness/suprastructural-meaningfulness reference-of-thought for the simple reason that it is not founded on a pure dialecticism of ontological/dialectical-referencing but rather on intradimensional conventionalised referencing which wrongly hardly proxies the veridicality of ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence or construe a dialectical-reference/ontological-reference for ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ of psychological-representation/mental-devising-representation at uninstitutionalised-threshold. Thus it mental-devising-representation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology is stigmatic or mented (set-in-place-or-a-period) as stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase for the conventioning-superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension, and stranded-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase for the conventioning-superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension. This will explain in many ways the more or less fitful development of present day psychology, more or less ‘uncertain of the ontological/dialectical pertinence of temporal-as-out-of-phasing-representation’ (in reflecting

dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) thus undermining its ontological-
 referencing veracity/ontological-pertinence with respect to an ‘ontological-
 dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’
 exercise of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-
 existential-implications transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic-refinements in
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-
 reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as-prospective reference-of-
 thought. A dialectical ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction of
 reference-of-thought (recognising human limited-mentation-capacity-⟨as of relative
 constitutedness towards relative conflation⟩ and the need to re-institutionalised/re-
 intemporalised resulting in the subsequent institutional-cumulations/institutional-
 recomposures) as articulated above is not only the basis for memetism/transdimensional-
 meaningfulness/suprastructural-meaningfulness, but as well for avoiding what can be termed
 as the ‘ontological-circularity’ of present day psychology. Such ontological-circularities are
 engrained in all registry-worldviews/dimensions wherein the naïve pretence for a quest for
 deeper ontological-veridicality is rather just syncretic/circular and hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as
 fundamentally the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-
 for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the said
 registry-worldview/dimension are at a dead-end with a structural/paradigmatic impossibility
 for a critical breakthrough just by the mere fact that the registry-worldview/dimension has
 attained its mentation-capacity-limitation or uninstitutionalised-threshold (as the nature of
 intrinsic-reality with respect to the human psyche is ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 or inherently preceding or inherently superseding as it doesn’t change an iota, and it is the

human psyche that gives-in in its mental-devising-representation to conform to intrinsic-reality). With such naïve efforts to keep up and develop profound meaningfulness based on the same registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology mostly a dead-end. Such ontological-circularities will include for instance the dead-end of medieval alchemy paradigm with respect to positivistic chemistry paradigm, a flat-world paradigm with respect to a round world paradigm, a creationism paradigm with respect to an evolution paradigm, a universal humanity paradigm with respect to aristocratic/racial/tribal paradigms, a science paradigm with respect to a superstition paradigm, etc. Naivety will be to think that issues of ontological-circularity in our present positivistic meaningfulness (for transcending beyond our vices-and-impediments and overcoming inherent inhibitions to human emancipation) are not in veridicality about a need for a shift in paradigm, prospectively. This brings forward fundamentally the limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)/uninstitutionalised-threshold construct of our times (procrypticism) and the paradigmatic implications specifically for such a 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' (as highlighted) over a relatively mented-psychology/stigmatic-psychology. What this reveals is that reality is 'not a human mental-devising-representation processing exercise'; rather it is an intrinsic ontological-normalcy/postconvergence notion that doesn't respond to human mental-devising-representation processing. The role of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics as a mental-devising-representation mechanism that syncs with evolving ontological insight (insight about intrinsic reality) as ontological-normalcy is to reflect/perspectivate the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dialectical-primitivity at the very limit of the capability as its mental-devising-representation of a registry-worldview/dimension (uninstitutionalised-threshold), which otherwise any

<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview will overlook as it is
 a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> that is
 exclusively operant and deterministic only to its very own reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation and is not tied to intrinsic-reality but rather pertinent only for
 when it proxies intrinsic-reality. It is only ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–
 stranding/attributive-dialectics that can create the foundation for a new mentation (unshackle
 it psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully reorder it/recomposure it) to in ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence come into grips with a more profound ontological-veridicality as a
 new reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference) for a new
 existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications meaningfulness and thought. This insight
 about the intrinsic-nature-of-reality/intrinsic-reality is critical and central to understanding
 how ‘knowledge-deadend-paradigms’ can be overcome/superseded. Supposed B was to stick
 to resolving the BODMAS equation overlooking A’s condition on the basis that the
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are set and given, whether
 these uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or
 not (which is what ensures proxying to intrinsic-reality), and further that the other BODMAS
 characters will do likewise anyway, this doesn’t in any way transform the ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality from 66 to 72.5. Such a
 wrong disposition rather points aetiologically for the need (in ontological-escalation) of an
 ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of the

BODMAS characters at that uninstitutionalised-threshold. In the bigger picture, ‘knowledge-dead-ends-paradigms’ (to varying degrees of pertinence) are often the explanation of underlying social issues and problems more than just about limited human ability or insufficiently directed effort towards the resolution of such issues and problems on the basis of present paradigms. It is inevitable that emancipation from such knowledge-dead-ends-paradigms will always require that the would-be intellectual-analyst or intellectual-analysts ‘blunt it’ (just as intrinsic-reality is uncompromisingly blunt) to the <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage registry-worldview/dimension that what is fundamentally needed is a ‘paradigmatic-shift’. Much like observation and a rational interpretation of nature trumps dogma as with Galileo’s heliocentric argument for instance, this author holds that a fundamental decomplexifying/uninhibiting of our own (procrypticism or dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness) psyche as being ontologically-demented/dialectically-demented from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism as reference-of-thought (veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference) opens up a new world of transcendental possibilities (wherein a comprehensive insight for addressing psychopathy and social psychopathy and other implied epiphenomena/incidental-phenomena equally lies, and critically so since the fundamental argument for a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ has to do with the foundational nature of mental-devising-representation/mentation/recomposed-consciousness-awareness-teleology in the construction of all knowledge) at our positivistic meaningfulness uninstitutionalised-threshold; much the same way like a positivistic world opened up from the ontological-

dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. To further elucidate the criticality as indicated of such a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as indicated with respect to a ‘mented’ or ‘stigmatic’ psychology can be further reemphasised clearly as such; a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ is one that is being ontologically-driven or led by ontological-veridicality when it comes to mental-devising-representation by strictly adhering to the stranding-dialectics of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. In other words, it overrides the mented/stigmatic intradimensional meaningfulness mental-devising-representation and enables a transdimensional-meaningfulness mental-devising-representation, wherein a mented/stigmatic mentation stranding-dialectics in reflecting soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/apriorising–registry-soundness and unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/perversion-of-reference-of-thought (respectively stranded-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase and stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) is stranded to the ‘conventionalised institutionalised/intemporalised-threshold-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ whether such a threshold is the ‘appropriate basis for reference-of-thought or not and subsequent ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity or not, as it is limited to what is the convention thus hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation with the result that mented/stigmatic psychology is limited to hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation human intradimensional conventioning reference-of-thought–categorical-

imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation, with no prospective/transcending/superseding possibility. For
instance, we can project insightfully that a mented/stigmatic mental-disposition in a non-
positivism/medievalism setup in an impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness
disposition but hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-
failing-intemporal-preservation(failing/not-upholding-as-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought—categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation) will raise an issue of say sorcery in terms-as-of-axiomatic-
construct of who is the sorcerer or sorcerers among us, how should sorcery be stopped and
prevented in the community, and not in a prospective positivistic paradigm that is more
ontologically-veridical, putting in question the veracity/ontological-pertinence of the non-
positivism/medievalism conventioning notion of sorcery, however ‘good-
natured’/impression-driven, while raising the positivistic the-
Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
of a positivising/rational-empiricism reference-of-thought. Such an insight prospectively will
involve putting into question naïve and ever evolving constructs in our present day
mented/stigmatic psychology science like personality disorders on the fundamental argument
regarding the relatively poor insight about the requisite reference-of-thought to be established
in the first place before then qualifying personalities with respect to such a philosophically
and insightfully soundly established reference-of-thought, and not just naïve assumptions
whether on the basis of popular axioms, vagueness and personal however well-meaning; with
the idea of meaningfulness that goes beyond just a conventioning reference-of-thought and is
rather inherently upheld by ontologically-veridical insight and pertinence. Further, such a
‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural

psychology-of-dynamics' that is ontologically-driven will go beyond an exercise of mented/stigmatic phenotypes driven abstractly as inherent-personalities nature and in given settings-of-time, but grasp that human personality is critically about ontological-extending-into-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation grounded on ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction as the more profound reference-of-thought and analysis, and with a more fundamental interdimensional/transdimensional/transcendental insight of the human existentialism form-factor. In this regard, it is the opinion of this author that many construed personality disorders that do not involve social deviances or not of physiological nature are actually adaptations at one time or the other in an ever-changing-and-challenging-construct that individuals make of a 'wanting and developing social world with its stakes and confliction', and it would rather be better to articulate personality as driven by a pertinence of being/ontological-extension-into-existentialism-or-full-depth-of-existential-implications with respect to such 'a challenging and developing social world with its stakes and conflictions' in the first place, otherwise we are just affirming arbitrary social classification schemes and not really involved in the requisite paradigmatic shifts; and such could further be grasped regarding specifically how many an experimental psychology schemes 'desperately' striving to draw social-world level conclusions can't seem to supersede the modesty of schemes that it is just too farfetched and synoptically-limiting, thus trending more towards the defect of constitutedness in lieu of conflatedness as articulated by this author. Foucault had qualified the current focus on abnormal psychology as tending more to an 'economic' practice. What about the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics as the 'surreptitious driving mechanism of human mental-devising-representation or mentation' that fully encapsulates and explains human psychological development across all the times and the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures of human existential

emanance, and so as an articulation that is retrospectively, presently and prospectively coherent? Given the fact that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics very much explains human transcendence as the recurrent ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of an animal of limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation). Such a ‘dialectical-thinking’ psychology driven by ontology or rather ontological-normalcy/postconvergence will be postdicatory, with the implications that this will fully focus the ‘kernels of postmodernism’ to usher in Suprastructuralism as an Age where humankind comes to grasp that its-meaningfulness-with-respect-to-intrinsic-reality as reflected by the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures has been progressing (more and more realistically) by successive suprastructuring of prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews ‘beyond their successive corresponding recomposured-consciousness-awareness-teleology’, and introducing the veridical meaningful-frame/worldview of postmodernity with regards not only to the present but the <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought past and future, with the insight that our present recomposured-placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the positivism—procrypticism registry-worldview will be subjected to this suprastructuring-meaningfulness nature of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as well. In fact the underlying difficulty of deconstruction when extended from its ‘textual basis’ to its ‘full meaningfulness basis’ as ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’, has to do with the fact that the full implications of ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction is that it

prospectively calls for suprastructuring-or-construal-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-of-a-prior registry-worldview mindset/reference-of-thought (and so as a tool of the prospective registry-worldview), as implied by the veracity/ontological-pertinence of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ as the underlying human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology driving mechanism. Considering that deconstruction as ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’ necessarily implies not one but two dialectically opposed registries/meaningful-references/anchorings-of-meaning/ontological-references/contending-references/registry-worldviews of meaningfulness; with the implication that the prospective/transcending/superseding is suprastructural to (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-of) the prior/transcended/superseded, and so as a deeper superseding—oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation. The fact is that without the notion of suprastructuring, the exercise of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics will wrongly imply that the ‘dialectical-thinking’ and the ‘dialectically-dementing’ are of the same reference-of-thought of meaningfulness (which is obviously wrong), and is the effect of the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as we recognise this fact from a vantage perspective to the prior (utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation, universalisation) but have ‘a complex’ recognising such a fact at a disadvantaged positivistic/procrypticism perspective with respect to the prospective (deprocrypticism), just as all institutionalisations tend to demonstrate when their own transcendence is implied, and certainly so the higher the institutionalisation as the mindset/reference-of-thought is increasingly set to ‘relate to its institutionalised secondnature construct as being our very

own individuals essential <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness and not a secondnature construct', and thus perceived as beyond or almost beyond analysis due to the implied temporal alienating effect on us (but then it is the human psyche that gives-in to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, as the foremost rule of humanity's existential strive). Suprastructuring allows for the necessary transcendental-insight-projection-capacities for grasping the evasive Derridean conceptualisation of 'metaphysics-of-absence' projection/postdication in overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as 'metaphysics-of-presence'. Suprastructuring boldly answers the underlying issue involved with 'communicating the true implications of deconstruction as ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness' by highlighting the paradox that it is all about 'articulating a conceptualisation which involves implying that the reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of the seemingly reference-of-thought is unsound and needs to be superseded'. It is rather about in the very first instance putting into question a given reference-of-thought and projecting the appropriate reference-of-thought, before even proceeding to articulate more specifically meaningfulness within the projected reference-of-thought. This is akin to the idea of a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought articulating chemistry rules and principles to an alchemic mindset/reference-of-thought for the latter's validation, requiring the latter to adopt a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought in the very first place before issues of substantive pertinence about chemistry rule and principles are raised within their now mutually positivistic mindsets. Such an exercise requires a highly uninhibited/decomplexified human frame of mind. This may sound rather farfetched as a notion but it is important to remember that the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought itself is the outcome of the décomplexing/uninhibiting of the

human mind from earlier successive institutionalisations. Such an exercise is necessarily about psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the positivistic/procryptic reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism; and with regards to Suprastructuralism as a notion, the implication is that this is a requisite idea that has to come to the collective consciousness (not just unconsciously as with prior institutionalisations, for instance the fact that notions of superstition are false had to be consciously brought up to the attention/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought for it to effectively undergo the necessary ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by acting as the conscious backdrop that engenders prospectively a positivistic mindset) for human emancipation into a deprocrypticism mindset; as with all psychoanalytic exercise whether of an individual or social conceptualisation nature, the idea of recognising/registering the ontological-deficiency with respect to ontological-normalcy is central to superseding it. ‘Suprastructuring as such overcomes the ‘natural human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology reflex’ (in any registry-worldview/dimension) of ‘striving to avert dementing mental-devising-representation/mentation’ (whether such averting is ontologically-veridical or not) and so by a mistaken reflex to preserve a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> of

placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality (but which closure makes its representation of intrinsic-reality inherently incomplete and biased towards the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of its given registry-worldview metaphysics-of-presence), by effectively taking full cognisance of the fact that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics is the driving mechanism of human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality and thus construe an opened-construct incorporating transcendental-insight-projection-capacities that enable the relative construal of the ‘dialectical-thinking’ and the ‘dialectically-dementing’ ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’, and so expanding the potency in construing a much more exact/thorough notion of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality and thus for ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction. In other words, in representing the veridically uninhibited/decomplexified nature of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ that is not limited by the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of any registry-worldview/dimension and so at the deeper memetic/psychoanalytic level, suprastructuring as such reveals that ‘human psychology is very much an active construct associated with ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated

ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ in the reflection as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of retrospective, present and prospective institutionalisations in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process points-of-reference, with the truer nature and representation of human psychology ultimately tied-to/driven-by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-construct’. Insightfully, just as highlighted later that existence-defines/precedes-essence, ideally the construction of psychology needs to be priorly subjected to ‘a becoming that defines psychology with its veracity/ontological-pertinence arising in the ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of that existential becoming’. Is our understanding of psychology notionally complete when we can’t seem to understand what happens in apparently mentally sound minds partaking in ‘socially degraded’ situations like murky human interest stories, mobs, genocides and even ‘the conventional acceptance and numbness to mass casualty warfare’. In other words, in the first place what is ‘ontologically normal’ beyond the subjective conventioning of the psychology science (before even worrying about the abnormal)? Further isn’t it possible to make the contribution of present day psychology more complete in constructing a more thorough and dynamic understanding of mentation/psyche in relation to individual-social-humanity aspiration, where psychology evolves in a complete existentialism cadre. In other words, so placed in a becoming/existential cadre, is psychology not meant rather than just encapsulating what the human psyche/mentation is all about as if it is a set and determinate construct (strangely enough inadvertently and often mirroring schemes of social classification, and hence of social power relations) equally involve in articulating aspiratory models for human

mentation/psyche? And such a paradigm shift with regards to present day mented/stigmatic psychology can actually be implied by prospective ontological-normalcy as deprocrypticism (involving ‘ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction’ in upholding of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation by ‘overriding failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and renewing ever sound and appropriate’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’) over the ‘conventioningly-driven/conventionalised hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation whether the latter is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. Insight from ontological-normalcy as it matches placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology to ontological-veridicality (notwithstanding that this undermines habituated conventionalised mented/stigmatic placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation) representing all the institutionalisations in a dialectical moment of appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness and thus mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-in-phase as simply involving the technique of a ‘prelogical/conviction placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology teleological alignment reflex’ to the implied reference-of-thought since the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation is prospective/transcending/superseding and ‘ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction’; while representing all uninstitutionalised-thresholds in a dialectical moment of appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness and thus

mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-out-of-phase-or-dialectically-primitive as simply involving the technique of a ‘postlogicism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology teleological alignment reflex’ to the implied reference-of-thought since the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is prior/transcended/superseded and rather hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation. And going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ can perfectly represent the mentations/mental-devising-representations of all registry-worldviews/dimensions both as implied and driven by ontological-veridicality by way of ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction and point out their peculiar mented/stigmatic specificities in their hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation involving with all mented/stigmatic mental-devising-representations a circular dementing-temporal-manifestation (‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) of slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect. In the bigger picture, actually the fact is that the various institutionalisations/institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are actually the

levels at which their specific quality (whether as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism) actively and comprehensively define and characterise each of the institutionalisations while bringing the notion to the collective-consciousness/personhoods-and-socialhood-formation successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications. But then, such notions which can be weakly sensed in all prior institutionalisations are actually inconspicuously, selectively and occasionally introduced in the prior institutionalisation in graduated/staggered stages starting with the proto-prospective-institutionalisation right up to the prospective-institutionalisation; whether as proto-base-institutionalisation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation up to the graduated/staggered attainment of base-institutionalisation, proto-universalisation in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation up to the graduated/staggered attainment of universalisation, proto-positivism in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism up to the graduated/staggered attainment of positivism, and effectively by a prospective insight, proto-deprocrypticism in positivism–procrypticism. For instance, many an alchemist in the medieval world were actually very thorough and methodical in their pursuit with skills that could be qualified as ‘rudimentary positivistic’. However, the fact that fundamentally their paradigm was a dead-end like the pursuit of the philosopher’s stone and the implications of not having an outright positivistic outlook/ideology is what mostly distinguishes them from the complexity of ‘true positivists’. Likewise, the ordinary practices in the positivistic world of deontological and jurisprudential nature, in disparate formal constructs and settings mostly, are mostly geared to carry abstract and coherent universal virtue implications with respect to all humans as the-Good/understanding-driven formal principles constructs, however approximate their applicative success (a principle is a notion that can coherently uphold itself, i.e. a principle is a notion that warrants that all persons covered by its ambit act the same way or are subjected to it in the same way, and not disparately, and it carries universal import; the opposite of

‘inductive limitation’ or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be universalised as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be universalised, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-universal-import but speak more of a temporal motive). But behind that pursuit is a covert admittance that without the deontology and jurisprudence and the corresponding induced culture as artifices (however approximate their applicative success) humans in their social dynamics do not have the inherent exclusiveness of intemporal-disposition quality to ecstatically/spontaneously/solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly adhere to intemporal/universal notions on the mere basis of ‘preaching’ the intemporal/universal notions and virtues (as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) without institutionalisation design or conceptualisation! This is an unspoken recognition of the inherent reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor individuations nature, and the need to skew/design/institutionalise/intemporalise ‘the social’ for the primacy of the intemporal-disposition individuation, as secondnaturing. This is equally an unspoken insight not only to modern institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation conceptualisation of the-Good (positivistic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework). Such an insight is equally implied in prior institutionalisations of the-Good conceptualisations wherein for instance the prophetic philosopher using the prophecy tools of their times, as the summum of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for the social criticism of their own times, won’t naively imply ‘I have preached to you thus you’ve attain the intemporal’, but rather construe insightfully of a practice (institutionalising practice) that

cultivates a relative orientation towards the reinforcement of the intemporal, say like having the believers follow a whole routine from their expression of faith, praying in conscious reinforcement, to a way of living, however approximate in its applicative success in inducing an intemporal inclination. Positivistic secondnaturing of disparate frameworks of deontologies, constitutions and jurisprudence and the associated culture (as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) can be seen as proto-deprocrypticism, including their individual and social internalisation in the collective consciousness, and these unsurprisingly are the few elements in the sovereignty constructs of positivistic democracies with their constituent public or private organisations and associations as well as subject matters and specialisms, that are always ferociously, blindly and without further justification upheld by regulation and law and/or newer legitimately made regulation and law even against popular whim given their ‘inherent assuredness to preserve the intemporal construct in a furtherance of intemporal-preservation percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>.

Prospectively, deprocrypticism institutionalisation will imply a superseding memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure/new-mentation and further extension of formalisation as ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ of ‘deprocryptic formalisation’ into the extended-informality-<susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology> implying a greater underlying demystification of positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought reasoning by way of the ontological-contiguity (as from prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking–qualia-schema>) with respect to the veridicality of human temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions nature that explains the nature of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-

aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> as we become more consciously insightful,
preemptive and superseding of perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivism-
procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology with its social-construct implications; and this
insight prospectively defines the conceptualisation of the present positivism-procrypticism
registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediments as the backdrop for the
deprocrypticism paradigm shift. But this equally as with all institutionalisations imply
bringing to the collective consciousness a dialectically demented mental-devising-
representation of the present procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension (which is prior)
from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension (deprocrypticism) as the new reference-
of-thought, which will seem unintelligible to the prior even though it is actually more real
suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, just as our representation of
medievalism though more ontologically-veridical will seem unintelligible/existentially-
suprastructural to a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought in its closed mental-devising-
representation of intrinsic-reality. Central to the notion of deprocrypticism/preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as the ‘veridical reference-of-thought’ articulation
of (ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) as ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity
as of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation over the
positivism-procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought notional-
discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> as
of its perversion of reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-
for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation, and so in a
prospective ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding/attributive-dialectics
moment wherein ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-
intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation (ontology)
supersedes intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy (temporal conventioning

compromise). This dichotomy between conventioning and ontology is critical to understand human mentation development along the successive institutionalisations, as transcendental knowledge is by definition prospective and hence recognises the ontological limits/thresholds of conventioning as knowledge and virtue reference because to start with all conventioning institutionalisations are structurally in want of prospective transcendence whether as recurrent-utter-institutionalised, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism in a prospective insight. Conventioning as such could only prospectively achieve reference-of-thought status when it prospectively coincides/proxies ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation; the holy grail of the deprocrypticism institutionalisation ideal. But actually a conventioning construct in contrast to attaining such a prospect of ‘abject-purism-of-ontology’ rather tends to operate on the basis of least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator for that conventioning construct, and the latter is thus the ‘effective meaningfulness-or-value-reference’ of the said conventioning construct notwithstanding any grander ontological meaningfulness-or-value-reference striving for abject-purism-of-ontology. The implication here is effectively that grander ontological and philosophical meaningfulness-or-value-references are no more pertinent in a conventioning construct than its least acceptable meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator but for discretionary or prestige basis of discretionary and disparate recognition, out of discretionary formalisation in inducing the secondnaturing and internalisation for that recognition. This insight is pertinent in that in the construct of ontology driven meaningfulness-and-value-references of intellectual grounding (purism-of-ontology), it is important to grasp that the social integration of meaningfulness-and-value-references in a conventioning construct is effectively a least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference-denominator-driven dynamism, and that it is by an effective utilisation of the

institutionalisation percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> mechanism that such ‘purism-of-ontology’, by it’s the-Good, can stand out in bringing to bear its human and social emancipation potential. In the same token, thus it is equally important to grasp that primacy of meaningfulness-or-value-reference orientations in conventioning constructs do not necessarily has to do with a primacy of ontological-veridicality pertinence especially where it is not driven by intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating but by social-aggregation-enabling, notwithstanding that such a conventioning construct may be seen as the social reference of grander meaningfulness-and-value-references in its subject area, and so fundamentally because it is a least-acceptable-meaningfulness-or-value-reference play-out notion and not an-abstract-purism-of-ontology-reference notion. Thus the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness in our positivistic registry-worldview/dimension should prospectively be subject to ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics with corresponding stranding-dialectics even though it won’t be intelligible from our vantage superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension point just as with all transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions. The narrative/storying technique for a comprehensive thinking-dementing dialectical representation involves articulating a comprehensive organic-comprehension-thinking narrative in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness by which varied induced mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation narratives in circumventing/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought naively arise, and over which an organic-comprehension-thinking analysis dementes the mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation narratives as stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-

or-contendingly-out-of-phase to articulate an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and so whether such mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical narratives are slanting (subknowledging-impulse), miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising and their corresponding temporal enculturation/temporal-endemisation. Explained in another way, the actual depth-of-storying involves:

- psychopathic insane-fitment formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism/impulsively-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic perversion-of-reference-of-thought wrongly implied as in prelogism-as-of-conviction/thinking (the impulsive-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation);

- and this being effectively wrongly elevated as prelogical/conviction/thinking by temporal-dispositions by their hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to these formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism/impulsively-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-

of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic whether unconsciously by ignorance, and consciously by affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (the temporal-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation);

- then the reference-of-thought as the intemporal-disposition organic-comprehension-thinking in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) of the two above as non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-rather-dementing as being in veridicality psychopathic-and-social-psychopathic phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought (the organic-comprehension-thinking);

- and so, as an ontological-escalation/aetiologisation (the organic-comprehension-thinking analytical resolution) that is essentially and prospectively deprocrpticism; ideally such a resolution articulation technique comes down to an enigmatic ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation storying reflecting-or-perspectivating a procrpticism (dementing-of-positivistic-meaningfulness) registry-worldview/dimension as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> (at positivism—procrpticism uninstitutionalised-threshold) with respect to deprocrpticism abject ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality (postconvergence), and so as the bigger grounding for the resolution of the epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy.

By the way this operant conceptualisation is relevant with phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in all registry-worldviews/dimensions. Wherein for instance in a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension:

- the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/postlogism-slantedness in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation together with its postlogical social corollary associated with instigating accusations of sorcery/witchcraft for instance involve formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism/impulsively-dementing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ (the impulsive mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation)
- and temporal-dispositions in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation by their hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives-as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism/dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ thus inducing the wrongful elevation of the formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-

staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-
 postlogism/impulsively-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-
 of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-
 looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as being
 prelogical/conviction/thinking whether unconsciously by ignorance, or consciously by
 affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-
 social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (the temporal-
 mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation)

- with the two above being retrospectively construed from the veridical reference-of-thought
 of a vantage positivistic registry-worldview/dimension as being non-positivism/medievalism
 mindset/reference-of-thought and non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-
 rather-dementing and construed ontologically by their reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting
 (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) as the non-positivism/medievalism sorcery
 phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought (the organic-comprehension-thinking)

- and so, as an ontological-escalation/aetiologisation (the organic-comprehension-thinking
 analytical resolution) that is essentially and prospectively positivistic, just as the ontological-
 escalation/aetiologisation of psychopathy and social psychopathy is essentially
 deprocrypticism. Likewise, one can imagine the same type of enigmatic ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-
 conflatedness as dialectical transformation storying reflecting-or-perspectivating a non-
 positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-
 discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> (at its uninstitutionalised-

threshold) with respect to positivism as (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, as the bigger grounding for the epiphenomenon/incidental-phenomenon of say a medieval phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought like sorcery. As fundamentally, intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution as against an extricatory/temporal/non-ontological paradigm resolution fundamentally implies putting into question a registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought (to be transcended by a prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension) that is structured to enable the endemisation and enculturation of a phenomenon of perversion-of-reference-of-thought like sorcery in the non-positivism/medievalism world; implying that an 'intemporal-disposition mindset' of positivistic disposition finding themselves in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup will not see the proffered accusation of sorcery against them or any other individual as simply requiring defending themselves or the accused of sorcery or 'playing out' in the social-and-temporal-trading of that social-setup to extricate themselves or the accused but rather project that the registry-worldview/dimension in endemising and enculturating the possibility of accusations and notions of sorcery is structurally dialectically-primitive/dialectically-out-of-phase (thus in need of prospective transcendence), and the undermining of that registry-worldview/dimension is the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm resolution of the epiphenomenon of sorcery across metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation.

It should be noted that an intemporal or ontological or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology resolution to perversion-of-reference-of-thought in any

or mental-devising-representation of a registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, i.e. not limited to its temporal conventioning compromise. In that sense, the knowledge notionalisation is about ‘a deterministic and operant construct preserving intemporality/longness as ontology’. This translates as:

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with the instigation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all recurrent-utter-institutionalised human locales beyond just an extricatorial paradigm of any human locale, requiring the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation as ‘demented’, and prospective/transcending/superseding base-institutionalisation as ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending);

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with the instigation of ununiversalisation and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal

preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all ununiversalised human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of ununiversalisation by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded ununiversalisation as ‘demented’, and prospective/transcending/superseding universalisation as ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending);

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with the instigation of non-positivism/medievalism with such phenomenon as witchcraft and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all non-positivism/medievalism human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of non-positivism/medievalism by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded non-positivism/medievalism as ‘demented’, and prospective/transcending/superseding positivism as ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct; and prospectively (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-

reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending),

- the grander problem of a subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation with the instigation of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought with such phenomenon as psychopathy and social psychopathy and its temporal social recurrency is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (postconvergence and suprastructural) intemporal preservation as intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm in all procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought human locales beyond just an extricatory paradigm of any one human locale, requiring the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought by a stranding-dialectics of prior/transcended/superseded procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as ‘demented’, and prospective/transcending/superseding deprocrypticism as ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and the deterministic and operant institutionalisation/intemporalisation resolution construct (and so, in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation of existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications from the transcended to the transcending).

* In other words, fundamental construal about the conceptual-and-institutionalisation-phenomena has to do with how any and all conceptualisations and meaningfulness harken back to ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’, qualified as the very essence of intrinsic-reality as a suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence conjoint-ontological-and-virtue-consistency upholding construct;

and in so doing, explicates successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications. Hence the subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness mechanism that induces perversion-of-reference-of-thought in all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures effectively define each registry-worldview/dimension respective uninstitutionalised-threshold while reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting its mental-devising-representation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology specific superseded/transcended ‘stranding-as-(mentally) oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ that is its uninstitutionalised-threshold (going by the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’). This transcended/superseded uninstitutionalised-threshold in the stranding-dialectics is a universal notion in establishing that that which is perversion-of-reference-of-thought and therefore not ontologically-veridical (superseded/transcended stranding-as-mentally- oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) or the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and that which is not perversion-of-reference-of-thought and ontologically-veridical (superseding/transcending stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase) or the institutionalised threshold. This is critical in overcoming our very own <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag inclination with respect to procrypticism, perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness, that is, positivistic reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation), and so beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness as more of a veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality to a veridical existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-

representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (of perversion-of-reference-of-thought) over which memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling can then occur. Otherwise, while such an insight is intuitive from our vantage positivistic registry-worldview point of reference with respect to prior registry-worldviews/dimensions dementability/stranding-dialectics, ours will carry a complex implying wrongly it is undementable and thus non-transcendable. Such ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ applies with regards to both psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness and its corresponding postlogism-as-of-non-conviction protraction as conjugation/inflection/deriving to temporal-dispositions implying consciously taking such insane-fitment mantle and acting like the psychopathic character once committed from ignorance (due to the postlogical inducing of a loss of social universal-transparency that acts as a constrain to temporal-dispositions for institutionalisation); at which point for all effective-predicative practicalities the temporal-dispositions character is ‘technically psychopathic’. This is the underlying basis for the development of social psychopathy. That is, after ignorance-temporal-disposition conjugation/inflection/deriving of psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness postlogism-as-of-non-conviction protraction as assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in ignorance and out of bad-or-wrong conviction, the other temporal-dispositions respectively involve: - (affordability-temporal-disposition) assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in affordability and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold, - (opportunism-temporal-disposition) assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in opportunism and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold, - (exacerbation-temporal-disposition) assuming

psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in exarcerbation and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold; - (social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation-temporal-disposition) assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold; - (temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-temporal-disposition) assuming psychopathic subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness in temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation and out of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ as uninstitutionalised-animality-threshold. What is specific about a mental-devising-representation of psychopathic/postlogical perversion-of-reference-of-thought and its protraction as social psychopathy to temporal-dispositions (not to be confused with the spontaneous conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex of wrongly implying prelogism-as-of-conviction as bad/poor conviction—wrongly implying logical nested-congruence—wrongly implying a logical contention); the specificity lies in the notion of ‘EMPTINESS of psychopathic postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ and the conjugation/inflection/derivation of that EMPTINESS to the temporal-dispositions in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogism conjoining-looping-sets-of-narratives-(construed-as-of-slanted-cohering-‘unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’-of-the-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought,-and-avoiding-any-wrongly-implied-logical-processing-engaging). It is the ‘reflection/perspectivation’ of this EMPTINESS of narratives/affirmations that is behind the notion of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and so as intemporal organic-comprehension-thinking insight over mechanical-

comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation distraction. In fact, the technique for dementing involves mentally interceding/intermediating the reflected/perspectivated insight of a postlogical interlocutor’s hollow-narratives or derived-hollow-narratives with emptiness to reflect/perspectivate its unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought as a manifestation of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> given the narrative notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>. It is critical to note that this EMPTINESS of mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought as the uninstitutionalised-threshold of (ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics) stranding-dialectics mentally-representing prior transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions as oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phasing-or-dialectical-primitivity with respect to prospective transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions mentally-represented as mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase; is the underlying process that permits the ‘transcendental shifting of reference-of-thought (enabling ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) to the apriorising-registry of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension while the transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension is no longer a dialectically-in-phase reference-of-thought but of dialectically-out-of-phase meaningfulness-and-teleology perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to its dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This process basically explains ontologically why and how humans from the very beginning to today are

the same as it fundamentally grasps the dynamism of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation that elucidates our human contiguous anthropological-continuity or anthropopsychology. Further, in the practical elucidation of social issues having to do with an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-⟨reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought⟩ like psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy, it points out that the critical point is to understand what meaningful apriorising-registry is the ‘veridical reference-of-thought’ as reflected/perspectivated by soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candoring-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase and what is rather non-ontological-reference-or-non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing and hence demented as reflected/perspectivated by mental-slantedness/decandoring-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase; and so in an underlying conceptual framework of ontology as an ideal that pulls the social towards the intemporal and the real nature of the social rather as a ‘conventioning construct’ that while susceptible to ontological/intemporal influence is equally the milieu of temporal drawbacks that need to be critically undermined including with ‘knowledge notionalisation’ involving not only the study of the ideal but ‘understanding how temporal-dispositions arise and work’ to better skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporality/ontology as institutionalisation/intemporalisation together with differentiating between good-naturedness which is rather impression-driven, vague and might actually be precarious by its meaningful disposition to extrinsic-attribution and associated perversion-of-reference-of-thought and the-Good which is about understanding in ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework how reality is/how things work to deliver virtue and hence is the basis for formalisations, and actually the ‘deferential-formalisation-transference’ has been the process by which throughout human history, increasingly segments of social thinking (present-day subject-matters) are taken out of

common hotchpotching and undisambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to be given ‘formal deferential status’ to ensure the supersedingness and internalisation of intemporal-disposition inclination to ontological-veridicality. This ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics insight brings up another definition of the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure process relating human mental-devising-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality, wherein we can imagine ‘an initial state for memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of base-dementation and imagine a completed state of memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of non-dementation’, with the underlying mental-devising-representation/(recomposure)-consciousness-awareness-teleology taking/institutionalising/intemporalising the abstract human mind from base-dementation to non-dementation; involving at successive uninstitutionalised-threshold of the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, social universal-transparency of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, internal-contradictions induced from ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inoperance, stranding-dialectics divulging prospectively perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic as of temporality, with corresponding formalisation and internalisation as values. While this process had occurred priorly rather beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought from base-institutionalisation, universalisation and up to positivism, it will possibly be more driven as-of-consciousness-awareness-teleology when it comes to attaining

deprocrypticism as the latter registry-worldview/dimension is actually weaker than the preceding registry-worldviews/dimensions in eliciting a positive-opportunism and will more strongly depend on percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> of intemporality/longness to be realised. Dementing as thus implied can be defined as the reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting of mental-devising-representation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness with respect to ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) as reference-of-thought in an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural proxying of intrinsic-reality, beyond mental-devising-representation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology within any given registry-worldview/dimension representation of meaningfulness. The storying/narrating technique for relating dementing will involve projecting suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in the transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension for ‘ontological-reference meaningfulness’ as the intemporal-disposition (in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness organic-comprehension-thinking), while representing temporal-dispositions as rather in the transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension (dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) meaningfulness-and-teleology which is not-of-ontological-reference, and in the place of the temporal-dispositions (in-circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservations) dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag wrongful-stranding-as-mentally-

straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, imply their rightful-stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase; just as all prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions mentally-represent-and-relate-with their prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions, even though all the latter naturally by <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag resist such representation by the former. Noting as well that teleologically, the transcending/superseding and the transcended/superseded are in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. That is, the two ‘reason pass each other’ (wherein the transcending/superseding is organic-comprehension-thinking while the transcended is in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation) as the transcending/superseding is involved in ‘reasoning-through/over’ and not ‘reasoning-with’ the transcended/superseded (this explains why transcendence is ‘a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-constraining/secondnaturing process’ and not ‘a first-naturing transformation process’), just as a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought ‘can only be in reasoning-through/abjection over’ a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought and ‘not reasoning-with’ it as otherwise the former wrongly validates that there is no medieval mindset/reference-of-thought dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (that defines medievalism as stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase not only with regards to a narrative/implication-of-act-execution-defect but comprehensive narratives registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> given the rather continuous <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-

syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of medieval meaningfulness, and warrants in lieu of any pretence of medieval mindset/reference-of-thought contention, which is rather a manifestation of dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as medieval meaningfulness, a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose for prospective positivistic mindset reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the first place for the notion of mutual contention to even arise) and in so doing wrongly validating the medieval meaningful frame (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-elements-of: implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) as mentally sound. It is the cause-and-effect-effective-predication by its grander grasp of intrinsic-reality that by way of untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and social universal-transparency imposes cross-generationally the dominant as transcending/superseding meaningfulness over the dominated as transcended/superseded meaningfulness (there is no social-and-temporal-trading in that regard); as the intrinsic-reality that the transcending/superseding meaningfulness carries is suprastructural and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and doesn’t adjust to the mortals, that we are, ‘social-and-temporal-trading’, otherwise the supposedly transcending/superseding compromises itself with respect to intrinsic-reality and losses its pertinence as a proxying reference-of-thought to intrinsic-reality, to start with. Such an insight can be garnered as, for instance, in the natural sciences we can’t negotiate about gravity being 9.8 m/s^2 , but with ‘the social’ which is rather ‘emotionally involved’, such negotiated social-and-temporal-trading idiocy is surprisingly quite recurrently articulated. It should be noted that the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—

stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought' in upholding a mental-devising-
 representation of temporal-dispositions as rightfully-stranded-as-mentally-
 oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (dementing) is rather
 a comprehensive intemporality-preserving ontological-entrapment of the 'notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument' (i.e.,
 absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic-by-psychopathic-in hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation
 postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-
 acts'/other-temporal-dispositions-hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives
 as-of-cohering-logic-reflex in wrongly implying and exploiting the conviction-
 reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex so as to wrongly align to the next
 looped narratives as straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase whereas
 veridically these are also of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence as
 oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dementing-and-
 not-thinking), as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—
 <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag state of temporal-dispositions more than
 just about specific narratives (of perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-
 dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag) but rather in registry-
 worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
 existential-defect>/not-just-an-implication-of-act-execution-defect-but-registry-worldview-

or-dimension-defect of recurrent (psychopathic) in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-
 backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as
 absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic, and (other-temporal-dispositions) hollow-
 constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
 preservation conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives-of-postlogical-narratives/cohering-logic-
 reflex by way of circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought
 wrongly implying temporal-dispositions stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-
 dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase (wrongly implying ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-
 or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought rather than ‘dialectically-dementing’/unsoundness-or-
 inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought in veridicality), and recurrently undermined/corrected
 from an intemporal/reference-of-thought as rightfully-stranded-as-mentally-
 oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase; and so, beyond a
 conceptualisation of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to
 dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag but for ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology
 or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise for
 prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
 or—ontological-preservation with respect to the prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions
 as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism, which in so doing
 re-establishes ontological-contiguity in line with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity—or—ontological-preservation with a mental-devising-representation as stranded-as-
 mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase. In fact, it is this latter

veridical representation of the mental-devising-representation of temporal-dispositions as recurrently dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-with-corresponding--<formative>epistemic-totalising--self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and the rightful-stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase recurrently, for all registry-worldviews/dimensions (dialectical-dementing---<formative>epistemic-totalising--self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag), that suprastructurally and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence defines any specific registry-worldview/dimension dialectical-primitivity whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The bigger point is that fundamentally one can't conjugate/inflect/derive intemporality/longness out of demonstrated temporality/shortness (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>) as then one is just in <formative>epistemic-totalising--self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and wrongly implying the registry-worldview/dimension is beyond transcendence or is non-transcendable (hence undementable/still-dialectical-thinking) when in fact it is dementing/subknowledging/registry-perverting-in--<formative>epistemic-totalising--self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This latter idea is actually the <formative>epistemic-totalising--self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag reflex of all prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to the suggestion of prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions, as we can appreciate from our vantage perspective at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process to be rather not true with prior transcendences though we'll in turn

obviously act by reflex in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with respect to the suggestion of prospective transcendence undermining our registry-worldview’s/dimension’s categorical-imperatives/axiom/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation.

The ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality as such explains why ontological-veridicality is rather a reasoning-through/abjection to apprehend intrinsic-reality, over incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought which is more about ‘transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing human conceptual elucidation of reality’ (given that the former emphasises ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as all-determinant); with reasoning-through/abjection generally implied in formal constructs and settings as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework settings while informal constructs and settings tend more to incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-procrypticism/notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and hence are highly teleologically-degraded as impression-driven/good-naturedness settings. The reason is that formal constructs and settings emphasise ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness in longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and hence are equally highly deferential whereas informal constructs and settings do not constrain temporal-dispositions and hence are highly subjected to circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought in shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and are unsurprisingly rather not deferential given that they are opened to hotchpotching/undisambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions. ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness points out that conventioning

constructs like sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising do not supersede the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/intrinsic-veridicality, as may be naively advance with circumventing/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, such that just as the conventioning construct of non-positivism/medievalism cannot be evoked to imply that with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought a prospective positivism mindset, which is the outcrop of an ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness exercise in non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension, is unwarranted. Likewise, it is rather naïve and <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to advance circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought concerning psychopathic and its social psychopathic collorary (perversion-of-reference-of-thought) in wrongly implying that a deprocrypticism ontological-escalation/aetiologisation is unwarranted. More like the evocation of circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought about a past war criminal or rapist based on conventioning constructs like their being in the past, their settled lives, etc. doesn’t dispense them from ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness, the need for their judgment and/or in advocating unfailingly/infalibly the uncompromising notions against rape or war crimes, and so without conjugating/inflecting/deriving any excepting human temporal circumstances into it by circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought. This further point to the dichotomy between temporal-compromising-conventioning and ontology, with a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation dialectics wherein ontology as reference-of-thought/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation perpetually elevates conventioning. This further translates in the

conceptualisation of value-and-valor with the implication that while aspiring for temporal values and valor may be the standard <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> perception, however, grander value and valor effectively lies in the universalising and philosophising orientations (as ontological-profoundness-of-thought/ontological-normalcy in contrast to conventioning-profoundness-of-thought/intradimensional-subknowledging-normalcy) that enable the possibility, the construct and the upholding of human emancipation across successive registry-worldviews/dimensions in the very first place, that is, emancipation into base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. Aristotle’s advocating of the ‘golden mean’ is more of a heuristic and aesthetic notion but doesn’t has an ontological-basis as it is rather an impromptu articulation of a sense of desirability but fundamentally lacks the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reference of ontological-contiguity but for naively and wrongly implying good-natured qualities as being ontological (rather than the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation validated by ontological-contiguity or a ratio-conguity notion), and since the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process shows that ‘good-naturedness’, without the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological-contiguity, fundamentally has little import or worst bad implications. The truest value and valor resided in what Aristotle and other thinkers or even prophesiers were striving for actually. Aristotle nor Socrates nor Plato nor the prophets (working rather more assertively on supernatural paradigms) nor latter thinkers like Descartes, Kant, Darwin, Leibniz, Rousseau strove for the golden mean in their overall endeavours. Rather from an ontologically verifiable reality as a

the-Good/understanding/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/ontological-contiguity they actually aspired for ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness, that is, they were prioritising and focussing on that which establishes universal and philosophical principles as first-order-ontology for-prospective-living as the backdrop for enabling better human emancipation and living (even though where relevant this will subsume-as-supplant-(as-of-relatively-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) the golden mean into ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness but with the latter rather superseding/encompassing it). It is the establishment of such first-order-ontology for-prospective-living as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism which are of transcendental nature as ‘shaping the human psyche’ and providing the emancipatory umbrella for second-order-ontology and their temporal yearnings which are rather non-transcendental and cannot structurally resolve fundamental issues, and of circular institutionalised-being-and-craft. A Rousseau may not be the ‘shrewdest aristocrat’ in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ordinary value of personal gain of the medieval world but the first-order-ontology resolution of issues of social emancipation passes by his and likeminded first-order-ontology philosophical projection. This certainly applies with regards to defining transformative impact of transcendental constructs across all registry-worldviews/dimensions that does not compare with ordinary being-and-craft second-order-ontology sense of value which is rather intradimensionally circular and is hardly of the intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm addressed from first-order-ontology constructs. Granted if humans had absolute mentation capacity then ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness will be skewed (‘intemporality-

asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
 transcendental-enabling/sublimating) or rather supersede/encompass all such desirabilities
 implied by the golden mean. However, we don't have absolute mentation capacity and the
 most intemporal of our dispositions should take pride of place in defining our achievement
 motives whether as philosophies, causes, skillsets and talents in our value and valor
 aspirations, in line with the notion of a true principle, with the implication that such value and
 valor is capable of rationally upholding itself and its registry-worldview prospectively when
 implied universally. Such an insight can further be expanded thus, it is critical to note that the
 institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are developments of human mentation
 capacity in grasping its 'internal ontological-reconstituting-as-of-
 conflatedness/deconstruction intermediating environment' and the external environment. The
 former refers to the teleological devised representation of the relationship with the external
 environment like language, organisation, culture and other institutional construct by which it
 existentially accesses the external environment. In effect, though counterintuitive, huma
 registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation is actually an 'intemporal-prioritisation-
 of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation preemptive construct
 which paradoxically elicits devised mentation that goes on to build the 'internal ontological-
 reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction) intermediating environment'. Thus in
 effect base-institutionalisation is the outcome of the 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-
 of-thought'-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation preemption of recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation (recurrent/-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag for
 dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought), universalisation is the
 outcome of the 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-
 conflatedness/intemporal-preservation preemption of ununiversalisation

(dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought—and—
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of base-uninstitutionalisation), positivism
 is the outcome of the ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-
 conflatedness/intemporal-preservation preemption of non-positivism/medievalism
 (dementing/subknowledging/perversion-of-reference-of-thought—and—
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of universalisation) and prospectively,
 deprocrypticism is the outcome of the ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-
 conflatedness/intemporal-preservation preemption of procrypticism, so construed by
 ‘deprocrypticism ontologically-perspectival-elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectical-thinking-
 teleological-differentiation-as-of-supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’;
 and so, in the relation between human developing mentation capacity and suprastructural-
 and-postconvergence-intrinsic-reality in ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-
 perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-
 preservation). In this regard, transcendental institutionalisation is basically an ‘intemporal-
 prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation preemptive
 conceptualisation. Such “‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-
 conflatedness/intemporal-preservation preempting that actually create institutional-
 cumulations/institutional-recomposures’ is in fact the-Good/understanding/knowledge-
 reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which in the face of ontological-
 normalcy as prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation harkens back to ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework to establish prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—

or-ontological-preservation (as the corresponding mental-devising-representation of the
 ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of
 reference-of-thought’ as stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-
 contendingly-in-phase) to-meet-up/proxy-with the ever dialectically suprastructural and
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intrinsic-reality, explaining the institutionalisations as
 base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrpticism, as
 reflected/perspectivated by their organic-comprehension-thinking. This contrasts with the
 defective good-natured construct as impression-driven and intradimensionally-tied and all so
 apt to existentially fail ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-
 failing-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as it is
 rather tied to and proxies, by mere form, with intradimensional reference-of-thought–
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity–or–ontological-preservation irrespective of whether these are failing/not-
 upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; and thus as the corresponding mental-devising-
 representation of the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-
 dialectics of reference-of-thought’ as stranded-as-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-
 or-contendingly-out-of-phase, explaining the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-
 uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>,
 reflected in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of registry-teleology-mentation, behind this
 mental-devising-representation of the registry-worldviews/dimensions of recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively
 procrpticism as reflected/perspectivated by their mechanical-comprehension-dementing in
 hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
 intemporal-preservation.

Briefly, such an anthropopsychological/the-anthropological-continuity conceptualisation as articulated above further enables the insightful conceptualisation of ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation articulation) analysis’ as expanded upon below, in the ‘ephemerality that is the social-construct’, on the basis of an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation understanding of the social-construct. This is central in articulating a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ which is ‘profoundly ontological’, with psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure possibilities for transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation of deprocrypticism (superseding the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism):

- Institutionalised/uninstitutionalised thresholdings of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation
- Stranding-dialectics-in-a-contiguity-of-increasing-ontology/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence in dialecticism of contrastive <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-wrongfully-as-straight/candored and stranding-rightfully-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored.
- ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (as ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) assumptive construal along the three pedestals: the given ontological/intemporal-disposition pedestal (organic-comprehension-thinking), slantedness/insane-fitment (psychopath’s ‘epistemically-decadent in notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing_qualia-schema>’ denaturing of ontologically-veridical/ontologically-continuous meaning), and temporal-dispositions notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-as-of-epistemic-decadence in

hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-
hollow-narratives-and-acts’-contiguity with temporal conjugating pedestals, denaturing of
ontologically-veridical/ontologically-continuous meaning (mechanical-comprehension-
dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-
failing-intemporal-preservation

- (ontological/intemporal-disposition) organic-comprehension-thinking
(organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-
of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in dialectic contrast to (temporal-dispositions)
mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation with regards to
depth of issues arising from deductive narratives, life episodes, life schemes, general
existential being dispositions and specific existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications
about the registry-worldview/dimension. * In the bigger scheme of things,
anthropopsychology as the-anthropological-continuity as implied by intemporal-preservation-
entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation relation to reality as ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence/precedingness points out that at registry-worldview/dimension-
level ontology as the transcending dimension is veridically an abject organicism (organic-
comprehension-thinking) over mechanicalism (mechanical-comprehension-dementing in
hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
intemporal-preservation which is the transcended dimension. Further, such abject
organicism (organic-comprehension-thinking) in implying registry-worldview/dimension
transcendence takes stock of human perversion-of-reference-of-thought in full dispositional
capacity (as such manifestation in dispositional perversion-of-reference-of-thought fullness in
particular highlights a highly compromised and teleologically-degraded social-construct

validating such abject organicalism even if it seem counterintuitive to the transcended registry-worldview's/dimension's illusion-of-the-present perception. * So it is important to understand with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy that the level of profoundness of its manifestation and consequences is directly related to the level of the associated perversion-of-reference-of-thought compromised and degradation of the social construct!)

- the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation (straightness-to-slantedness/candored-to-decandored) human ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework disposition which is ontological correct as contrasted to an ontologically wrong impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation which wrongly references as human ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework just an intemporal-disposition universally among all humans (straightness/candored only), at uninstitutionalised-threshold; while the latter will tend to be ontologically impertinent and wrong as it doesn't account for temporal-dispositions and is hence not capable like the the-Good conceptualisation, working with what veridically is, to anticipate and preempt subknowledging/mimicking-and--<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag to achieve veridical ontological/intemporal virtue.

- 'Disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation' (speaking-abstractly-to- metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/a-deterministic-and-predicative-'being-construal' as contrasted to just an 'act construal') to reflect by stranding (as decandored/oblongated) to represent the 'existential being ontological-primemovers-

totalitative-framework’ in an ontological entrapment of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels at the uninstitutionalised-threshold.

- Institutional recomposuring implying that the fundamental issue of the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework across all registry-worldviews/dimensions for survival-and-flourishing along the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation is about ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/differential-formalisation-transference for the intemporal-disposition’ but dealt with indirectly progressively by organising rules constraining as base-institutionalisation, projecting rules constraining as universalisation, empirical rules constraining as positivism and coming full cycle with deprocrypticism for a direct treatment as ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/differential-formalisation-transference for the intemporal-disposition rules’ as deprocrypticism.

*Such ‘CREATIVE EXISTENTIALISM (FULL-EXISTENTIAL-DEPTH-IMPLICATIONS) STORYING CONSTRUAL’ will utilise the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ to articulate relevant issues of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ together with the implied percolation channels for transcendence highlighting for such successive issues the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions teleologies involved, analogical to concentric-cycles of

teleological storying development, as follows: ONTOLOGY-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as organicalism teleology or intemporally/ontologically-given teleology)—EPISTEMIC-DECADENCE-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic in-a-notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> teleology or distractive-slantedness teleology or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated teleology; striving to undermine organicalism-or-intemporally/ontologically-given teleology)—to—EPISTEMIC-DECADENCE-CYCLE-TELEOLOGY (as notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument teleology or mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation aligning to meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated teleology; with the temporal-dispositions teleologies of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ as these integrate/align-in-conviction-to psychopathic postlogism-slantedness in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation resulting into their miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-

association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising)—to—ONTOLOGICAL-
 ESCALATION-TELEOLOGY (as ontological entrapment involving an intemporal teleology
 for stranding the temporal-dispositions as oblongated/decandored and ‘dialectically-aligning-
 out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive with them’, as the backdrop for futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism
 transcendence principle teleology. That is, relating to them as ‘dialectically-or-contendingly-
 out-of-phase’ with respect to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—
 ontological-preservation or ontological-contiguity/ontological-normalcy/postconvergence at
 the procrypticism uninstitutionalisation). And all these, as temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions disambiguation conceptualisation of perverse/low teleologies to higher
 teleologies. (That is, temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions teleological reference of solipsistic
 grandeur as the differentiating element of characters conviction depth highlighting-and-
 tracing the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, based on the fundamental fact
 that ‘registry/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought precedes logic’. This equally
 explains the reason for stranding-dialectics including with regards to registry-
 worldview/dimension stranding where the veridicality of the ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework narratives is shown to be of perverse/low teleology ontologically
 speaking). The ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-
 intemporal-dispositions disambiguation) scheme’ is equally critical in other respects. It
 rightfully prevents the ontological mental-devising-representation from being flipped from
 formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-
 and-performance-caricaturing-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-
 postlogism/impulsively-dementing narratives and wrongly represented parasitising/co-

optingally as prelogical/conviction/ontologically-veridical narratives to be contended with rather than being rightfully reflected/perspectivated (in-reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) as manifestations of unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-and-protracted-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging/mimicking—and—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, as it is rightfully perceived during the psychopath's childhood when the psychopath is 'delirious' as at the underdeveloped stage it is not decisively matured, not decisively indirect, not decisively spatialising, not decisively credulous and not decisively crafty). Thirdly, the 'ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation) scheme' equally prevents the relaying of the postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as of formulaic-non-conviction-or-'existential-decontextualised-transposition' initiated from the psychopath to its interlocutors, to wrongly imply that the veridicality of its interlocutors narratives induced postlogically/in-nonconviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing then wrongly become prelogical/conviction, and as this conjugates/inflects (in-mimicking-protraction) with the temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, and inducing miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Finally, the 'ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme' allows for the possibility of a registry-worldview/dimension transcendence

by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) both
 psychopathic postlogical subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation (notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-
 as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-
 looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’/non-ontological-reference/non-
 contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-
 dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-impulsive-dementing-
 reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) and the
 conjugated/inflected/derived temporal-dispositions epistemic-decadence (notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-
 as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-
 looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-contiguity-as-
 absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic-or-hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-
 looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-
 backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-which-is-not-
 of-ontological-reference/not-of-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-
 reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing-since-it-is-not-of-veridical-thinking-reference-
 rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-
 contention) as perversion-of-reference-of-thought, then stranding-as-mentally-
 oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive of the said
 superseded/transcended registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-

thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, thus articulating the temporal backdrop needing a furtherance of institutionalisation/intemporalisation as new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for the superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension. Without the ‘ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-retracing (for temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation) as reference-of-thought-scheme’ all the above will be hardly attainable as the basic fact that the ‘conviction-reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex instead of rightly aligning by the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase reflex or transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reflex)’ is a ‘soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ functional mechanism which can only be superseded priorly in habituation of the ‘effective reality of a unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ of psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and other temporal-dispositions postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation s which are rather in perversion-of-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of positivistic meaningfulness at the procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold.

Of course, this is more like a ‘notional template’ in a ‘dynamics of benign implications to grave existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications’ articulated over a functional social-construct which however ‘endemises psychopathy and social psychopathy rather at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the positivistic meaningfulness reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation perversion-of-reference-of-thought known

as procrypticism or emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real, requiring futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation (for the furtherance of the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation or ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality). Further, it is important to appreciate that just as with the profoundness of treatment of subject-matters and specialisms (and even more so with regards to ‘the social’ given its characteristic ‘emotional involvement’ aspect), corresponding subject-matter ‘focussing of analysis and jargon’ will seem rather unusual and unnatural to ‘ordinary thinking’. But then ‘ordinary thinking’ is responsible for mostly nothing, if not thinking mostly in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and cannot be made a reference of formal thinking as issues requiring profound treatment invariably are construed based mostly on unordinary formal constructs which, granted, should be able to ultimately by their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework demonstrate that such formal constructs are the best ontological and virtue conceptualisation with regards to the issue or domain of concern. That’s why the populace is not asked its opinion about the law or astronomy or medicine, for instance, as the need for deferential-formalisation-transference arises for the effective ontological/intemporal treatment of domains of reality but for when the issues at stake require a sovereignty exercise requiring individuals informed consent whether political or decisional or rather as social learning/inculcation exercise; but then sovereignty exercises are not pure knowledge/ontological constructs but for the construals/conceptualisations of inherently sovereign choices as knowledge/ontological constructs of the sovereign choices. Thirdly, the conceptualisation of this paper is rather unusual and unordinary as it is transcendental by its construct and the implied registry-worldview/dimensions successive

existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications, and even further unusual by its phenomenological and hermeneutics methodological approaches, which frankly speaking is the only way to creatively garner such insights in broad strokes. Like with all transcendental constructs, which by definition tend to put the usual/ordinary in question, it is not surprising that it will sound highly alienating to ordinary ways of thought. However, its ethos is that it is coming from a depth of conceptualisation that is more profound than our ordinariness when it grasps that other institutionalisations whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism, had their own ‘ordinariness’ in <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag no less than we do, and that the underlying ontological reasoning is beyond the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence, of any registry-worldview/dimension including our positivistic meaningful frame, to arrive at a superseding and more profound ontological-veridicality or grasp of intrinsic-reality with corresponding illuminating implications. In that sense, an argument of the type our society is great as it is, will then be meted with a same argument that there were great things happening in medieval times as well and maybe we shouldn’t have transcended into positivism; speaking of a fundamental solipsistic ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity. One could argue in the logic of those times, the serfs were doing great feeding themselves, as many did argue; and there was no need for science, as many did argue, etc. The fact is we are the outcrop of the possibility and potential for human transcendence before which doesn’t end with us but proceeds to undermine our own registry-worldview/dimension as well. Fourthly, it is obvious that if and where what is factored in is only the folksy lifespan perspectives of individuals existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications of

shallowness of scale and time, without the requisite philosophical depth requiring a profound appreciation, understanding and insights from ‘humanity existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications level scale and time’ which easily gets lost, and thus this bigger pursuit of this paper will be lost and misunderstood by such a shallowness of scale and time of thought, and non-contemplation and pseudologism as a mark of banality/folksy-logic. It is inevitable, as has been the case throughout the human past, that transcendental ideas are inevitably suprastructural/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of the <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension in which such notions are being advanced in. Fifthly, it is more likely that a banal/folksy inclination may hardly appreciate the difference between the outcome of a mindset/reference-of-thought as a secondnaturedness and internalisation construct across successive institutionalisations with their requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling, memetic-reordering and institutional-recomposure induced from intemporal individuation disposition, and correspondingly differentiate between being so-institutionalised with a secondnatured and internalisation mindset/reference-of-thought and the intemporal--individuation-kind disposition that will equally be responsible out of mere intemporal-solipsism/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality (and no secondnaturing and internalisation) for institutionalising/intemporalising with regards to the present registry-worldview/dimension at its uninstitutionalised-threshold that will be behind the secondnaturing and internalisation of prospective registry-worldview/dimension. This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor’ is the reflection of the contiguity of successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications across varying meaningful frames, references and registry-worldviews/dimensions; and is abstractly

determined by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology (ontological-normalcy) whatever the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure, and inherently implies ‘a universal existentialisms/full-depth-of-existential-implications form-factor across institutionalisations’ though of differing ‘snowballed recomposuring’ of meaningfulness and reference-of-thought, defining their specificities and potentials.

This is just a basic anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity elucidation which while original and useful on its own right, is equally pertinent for an insight in the social manifestation of psychopathy. Besides, one can imagine that a thorough grasp and creative application of the stranding-contiguity-of-ontology or ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence drive, as this psychologically reflects/perspectivates dialectically stranding-dialectics or <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase of mental-devising-representation by which human transcendences occur can ultimately be the avenue for liberating the human mind to its full potential and directed transcending capacity. That is, transcendental capacity not only by way of a spontaneous and natural dialectical cycle of social constraints of stakes and confliction behind the ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure history but a ‘consciously directed’ abstract understanding, more like deprocrypticism-over-procrypticism could-be and would-need-to-be relatively highly consciously directed given the relatively lower immediate positive-opportunism (for survival-and-flourishing to the cross-section of human temporal interests) compared to the lower transcendences like base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism, but for its abstract veridical pertinence

and potentially grander possibilities in the institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels. Such a veering to the creatively abstract, with respect to the philosophical and the social sciences, but nonetheless ontologically veridical will be liberating/emancipatory from the ‘spontaneously natural dialectical cycle of human progress’ and is increasingly certain to be the defining feature of human civilisation.

It should be noted that Entropy as defined (‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation’) relates that the intemporal-preservation-institutionalisation entropy is the preceding-and-defining reference for the hermeneutic-referencing of the ontological meaning of all other associated conceptualisations and notions. (By ontological meaning is implied intemporal/veridical/purism/operant-construct/predicative-effectivity–sublimation–{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} meaning or ontology/reality-centered-meaning as contrasted to temporal/non-veridical/compromised/non-operant-and-vague/-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag meaning or metaphysical/speculative/banality/social-discomfiture/temporal-human-centered meaning).

Central to the hermeneutics approach towards elucidating psychopathy and the underlying psychological science is a method I qualify as ‘referentialism’ which makes reference to the supersedingness/precedingness of the ‘intemporal preservation institutionalisation/intemporalisation entropy/contiguity’ before articulating concepts and notions in referential and organic elucidation of the entropic construct. Referentialism as such is actually central to the spontaneity required in hermeneutics. It differs from the traditional scientific categorisation of concepts and notions, in that referentialism implies a highly contiguous, circumstantial and dynamic referencing elucidating of the superseding/preceding entropic notion while categorisation tends to be basically constitutive, definitive and ‘weakly

contiguous/relatively-fragmented overall' in its elucidation of notions, concepts and ideas. Categorisation has been very efficient with the physical and biological sciences with its classification approach enabling a profoundness of analysis while enabling excellent subject matter organisation. However, this author is of the opinion that categorisation as an approach is actually less efficient in the social sciences (and notions of an ephemeral character) as it underemphasises the 'organic dynamism' of social concepts and often leads to relatively trite classification schemes that are often inoperant or poorly operant given the relative ephemerality of the social world (a weakness of many categorisation classification schemes in the social sciences). On the other hand, referentialism carries the promise of 'point-referencing' notions and concepts in a contiguously dynamic, evolving and ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction way, putting emphasis on the relative relation of concepts and notions towards the central notion in its dynamic entropic conceptualisation. This author is also of the opinion that referentialism is actually the natural human cognitive development approach to acquisition and classification of knowledge with emphasis on 'the organic dynamics of understanding' wherein a child for instance doesn't necessarily grasp outright the fullness of concepts-of-meanings but rather the 'relevant dynamic contextualisation of meanings' ensuring a strongly operant and 'wealthy' relationship with meaning in the social context.

'Intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation re-institutionalisation' with respect to uninstitutionalised-threshold of registry-worldviews/dimensions, can be construed as follows:

Supposed all humanity across space and time that ever existed was just 'one human temporal-to-intemporal individuation', the process of general-institutionalisation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation to ununiversalisation-non-positivism/medievalism to positivism-procrypticism, and

prospectively to deprocrypticism, is actually one same process but for ‘lack of the human-mentation-capacity and need for time for the cumulation of the mentation-capacity’ (lack of ‘brain capacity’) to get it all right from the start (i.e. to fully grasp deprocrypticism starting from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to ununiversalisation–non-positivism/medievalism to positivism–procrypticism as convergent concepts towards deprocrypticism (as ‘longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, as induced by maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation’ and involving more profound/richer ontological-levels over shallower/poorer ontological-levels; with deprocrypticism thus implying a ‘full-cycle ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process undermining of subknowledging/mimicking/emanant-uninstitutionalisation-disposition’). Thus the successive institutionalisations are thus construed as ‘levels of compromise’ allowing for sufficient human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) to handle the requisite transcendence even if from the very start the human doesn’t get a grasp of ‘higher institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldviews/dimensions’ all-at-once/as-a-whole but achieves the ‘comprehensive institutionalisation/intemporalisation frame’ only at deprocrypticism; as it goes on to take on the successive challenges of base-institutionalising, then universalising, then positivising, and finally with deprocrypticism absolute ontological-contiguity by undermining ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology-in-arrogation’ (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). It should be noted that the issue of procrypticism had always been present at all times of human existence but the

natural priority going by human shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) was first to have a base-institutionalisation institutionalisation, universalisation institutionalisation, positivism institutionalisation before prospectively deprocrypticism institutionalisation; more precisely, previous institutional-recomposures are indirectly (skewing towards) addressing base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and deprocrypticism, up to the point of the respective institutionalisation/intemporalisation-recomposure where the reference-of-thought-as-the-registry-worldview is directly addressed. This thus explains ontological-normalcy/postconvergence across human mental-devising-representation as changes to accommodate intrinsic reality by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposures of successive illusions-of-the-present/present-consciousnesses/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/mirage at these successive institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels including the positivism-procrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation, towards intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality; that has and will never change, and by way of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inducing of social universal-transparency and internal logical coherence/contradiction this then validates the need for human psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. In the bigger scheme of things, it points to the fact that ontologically for the full potential of human science, this should be ‘rising from this fundamental philosophical depth/profoundness of thought’ to then transversally address the issues it raises while projecting prospectively.

A further insight can be grasped regarding the relationship between psychopathy, anthropopsychology/the-anthropological-continuity, veridicality (intrinsic reality/ontological

representation), non-veridical reality (illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence), human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, and registry-worldviews/dimensions (of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, universalisation, positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism). Psychopathy points to the psychopath's postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation but postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation is equally socially conceptualised. Postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging or meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated is not veridical and its genuine mental-devising-representation is 'a slantedness of the mind/mental-slantedness' (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive), as there 'can't be mutual logical operation/no logical nested-congruence' between non-veridical postlogism-as-of-non-conviction in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and veridical prelogism-as-of-conviction, but for a dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase (as-the-temporal-mind-is-dialectically-out-of-phase) 'ordered construct from the superseding registry-worldview/dimension validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and implying a psychoanalytic-unshackling of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview'. For instance, there isn't any logical nested-congruence between the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought and the positivistic mindset). A positivistic mind can't explain the denaturing of the notion of witchcraft to a non-

positivism/medievalism mindset as the state of being of non-positivism/medievalism means we make reference to non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that end up endemising/enculturating such superstitious notions. Logic as logical-congruence only arises where there is a mutual registry-worldview reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. What is thus needed is a ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ of the medieval mindset/reference-of-thought (which is subknowledging/mimicking) wherein the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining generated by the positivist’s scientism (superseding) makes the medieval mind put in question its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in the very first place. This ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling process’ equally applies prospectively (regarding the positivism–procrypticism and the deprocrypticism registry-worldviews/dimensions). In the phenomena of social psychopathy, it is important to grasp that the reflex to mentally represent the narratives of the psychopath and the protraction of the narratives by temporal conviction or prelogism minds as ‘straightness/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking of mind’ is wrong, ‘overcoming the mental-slantedness/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is thus called for, more like we perceive the ‘slantedness of a childhood cinglé’ (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the mental state of the psychopath as well as its protraction on the psychopath’s interlocutor). In other words, *the mind is actually a mental devising tool’ whose veracity/ontological-pertinence must be validated by an abstractly veridical intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In other words, the abstract grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality defines mental-devising-representation as the latter is not inherently given (it is a devising tool validated by abstract intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality established by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. For instance,

while the traditional reflex of the human mental-devising-representation is disposed to think otherwise, Einstein theory-of-relativity abstraction, and likewise with many conceptualisations of a doppler-thinking nature, is more real by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, thus pointing to the error of the human reflex/impulse thinking). In another light, this explains the transformative evolution of our registry-worldviews/dimensions mental-devising-representations of reality from the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised earlymen to our current positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview, with the insight that our mental-devising-representation will evolve when prospective abstract reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework shows that it is defective/perverted as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, from a deprocryptic mental-devising-representation.

In the same vain, why we perceive the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mind as that of ‘a savage’, the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised in its ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence perceives its mind as straight/candored and as of organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought. It is the prospective base-institutionalised mind that ‘invents’ the representation of mental-slantedness/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised mind; and likewise with the ununiversalised mind and universalised mind, non-positivism/medievalism mind and positivistic mind, and prospectively, procrypticism mind and deprocrypticism mind. This variance of straightness/candored as organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-

conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and
 oblongated/decandored as mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation mental-
 devising-representations to ascertain veridicality/intrinsic-reality of psychopathic and social-
 psychopathic phenomena such that the ordinary reflex to keep a straight/candored organic-
 comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-
 conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to the
 psychopath’s mindset/reference-of-thought and protracted social psychopathy non-veridical
 hollow mimicking narratives should be undermined by a slantedness/decandoring of the mind
 as distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’. The
 contention is an ‘ontological-entrapment’ not about logical operation/processing/contention
 of the ‘non-veridical hollow perversion-of-reference-of-thought narratives’ but rather
 reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) it as
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. That is, an understanding of the abstract
 temporal-dispositions as a specie-level/universal/intemporal paradigm as prospective
 reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, i.e. transcendence as
 deprocrypticism. It is a psychoanalytic-unshackling ordered construct (as-the-temporal-
 minds-pedestals-are-out-of-phase-dialectically-or-dialectically-primitive-by-a-bare-matter-of-
 fact) from the intemporal-solipsistic/emanant-registry-pedestal in transversality-of-
 disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. The bigger scheme of things being the
 structural/paradigmatic preemption of a defective/perverted registry-worldview, in this case
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Such an emanant insight can be
 garnered from the fact that, positivism was established by the ‘diktat’/ordered-construct of
 the Descartes, Comtes, Galileos, Rousseaux, Newtons, Darwins... of the world, and the rest

of humanity complied to the formalisms that ensue, by virtue of their proxying-to-intrinsic-reality and the positive-opportunism that led to psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (towards human formalisation and internalisation)!

As registry-worldview/dimension defects or denaturing are responsible for the vices-and-impediments of the said registry-worldview/dimension; noting that the fundamental construction is a ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation’ making reference to ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not a vague ‘impression/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation’ making reference to the banal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as may illusory be projected intradimensionally/intra-registry-worldview (the latter being represented as oblongated non-veridical narratives by the prospective intemporal-disposition-worldview)! The reason why virtue (knowledge is virtue) is treated scientifically as highlighted above is that virtue is a ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ and not a ‘good-natured/impression construct’. For instance, no non-positivism/medieval mindset is ‘good-natured/vague by the registry-worldview/dimension impression’ enough with the fundamental defective/perverted non-positivism/medieval worldview to be able to address ‘the-Good/understanding’ of a positivistic mindset which will resolve or structurally-rendered-inoperant the problems of superstition and witchcraft as the former will always make reference to the defective/perverted reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of non-positivism/medievalism no matter how ‘good-natured/impression-driven’ it is. The same applies with procrypticism and deprocrypticism. No procryptic (emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real-set-of-

narratives) mind is impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness enough to have the requisite ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct’ insight to resolve/structurally-rendered-inoperant the issues of the vices-and-impediments of procrypticism as it is the deprocryptic mind’s ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge construct’ that is the virtue that carries the sound registry-worldview/axiomatic construct/categorical-imperatives to be able to do this.

- the-Good is an intemporal/ontological articulation referencing intemporality/longness in a contiguous emanance of ‘transcendental/superseding abstract intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation’ and corresponding derived reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; and is imbued with the ‘memetic reordering contiguity’ of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (base-institutionalisation-to-universalisation-to-positivism-to- deprocrypticism, and thereafter). The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is notionally more of ‘a capacity and scientific construct’ (high or low mentation-capacity) rather than a ‘stigmatising construct’ (positive or negative impressions).

- ‘Good-naturedness’ is a temporal articulation that wrongly references (distractively) for temporality-sake registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology priorly-and-over ‘transcending/superseding abstract intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation’; and is imbued with the memetic notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> that undermines institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure. Good-naturedness is notionally more of a ‘stigmatising construct’ (positive or negative stigmatising) rather than ‘a capacity and scientific construct’ (high or low mentation-capacity).

- Virtue (retrospectively to prospectively) is not determined by ‘good-naturedness’/impression-driven construal/conceptualisation of meaning but rather by the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation of meaning as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (the emanant/becoming ontological-normalcy/postconvergence determinant of veridicality/the-quality-of-being-emanantly-real). The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation (understanding) as per veridicality demonstrated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is the complete and sufficient elaborative framework for conceptualising virtue! Such ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is rather tangentially the purview of increasing realism of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure as it is contiguous with ‘human transcending across shifting virtue paradigms for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation’ (with corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure); going from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (impulsive-or-accidental-or-haphazard-or-random mental-disposition), base-institutionalisation (mythologies paradigm, which is of <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incindenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and represents virtue in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of allegiance/subservience transience), universalisation (mystical-principles paradigm, which is of <formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and represents virtue in terms-as-of-axiomatic-
 construct of qualification/good-to-bad transience), positivism (principles-
 rationalism/positivist-idealism paradigm, which is of <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
 'occlusive-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and represents virtue in terms-as-of-axiomatic-
 construct of categorisations/kindness-humility-helpfulness-etc. transience), and prospectively
 deprocrypticism (rational-realism paradigm, which is an <formative>epistemic-
 totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-
 presencing-in-'protensive-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construal and represents virtue 'contiguously'
 in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of human-mentation-capacity/shortness-to-longness-of-
 register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/registry-teleology-of-meaning intransience;
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-
 abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'protensive-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-

incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context insightfully implying all
 institutionalisations/registry-worldviews/dimensions are about 'construing the same
 underlying ontology', though yield different but more and more accurate representation of
 ontology, due to different but improving human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative
 constitutedness towards relative conflation) from shallow to deeper limited-mentation-
 capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-
 withdrawal)). Deprocrpticism being the ontological foundation for the next human virtue
 paradigmatic construct that fully achieves conceptually preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
 formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules as deprocrpticism existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-
 prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
 instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality!

Such an articulation of the human, retrospective and prospective, skewing
 ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-
 formalisation-transference towards/development of virtue is grounded in a the-
 Good/understanding/knowledge-driven conceptualisation on veridicality established by
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework validation. The overarching and defining
 notion is that each registry-worldview/dimension is only capable of the virtue reflected by its

intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. In other words, ‘a registry-worldview/dimension defective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought is responsible for the vices-and-impediments of that registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought’; and, requiring prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in anticipation and preemption of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought. Thus structurally it is the prospective registry-worldview/dimension which is always the ‘prospective virtue potential’ for the prior/superseded registry-worldview/dimension. Basically, base-institutionalisation enabled the virtuous resolution of vices-and-impediments of the state of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, and likewise with universalisation and ununiversalisation, positivism and non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively, deprocrypticism and procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. In the present world, we no longer do institutional slavery, we talk of universal rights and equality of all people, mob judgment and mob killing is hardly practised anymore, accusations of witchcraft are now viewed as ridiculous, etc.; it is the integration of a positivist registry-worldview/dimension, with corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that enabled such human transformation from a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension; and not the inherent exceptionalism, as biological or otherwise, of humans living now over their forerunners.

Basically, human ‘conviction deductive reasoning’ as prelogism is effectively a sound construct for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation and hence virtue; that is, so long as it is adhered to properly. However, this is not the case on two

grounds. It is critical to distinguish a defect in improper processing/operating of conviction or prelogism which is rather construed as a singular/ad hoc ‘implication-of-act-execution defect’ and can be then qualified as a ‘poor conviction’ or a ‘bad conviction’; it being nonetheless a conviction or prelogism as it holds the teleological aim of ‘intemporal preservation with a principled adherence to conviction’ even though it delivered an inappropriate/poor-or-bad logical-processing-or-logical-implication. On the other hand, a defect of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing-postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation operates on the ‘parasitising/co-opting’ basis that intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are formulaic determinants of human thought and action and is the basis for perversion-of-reference-of-thought. Such a defect is ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect>’ as it rather holds the teleological aim of ‘temporal preservation/undermining-of-intemporal-preservation without a principled adherence to prelogism-as-of-conviction’ and thus speaks to the disposition to act likewise technically in a large or infinite number of cases (syncretising). It should be noted that temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) are in-of-themselves act defects and not being defects. However, such temporal-dispositions are registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect> when these relay postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as of formulaic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing (whether of the psychopath or not) inducing

narratives that are slanted/demented/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/non-ontological-reference/non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/in-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention and are dialectically-primitive; due to the miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation (occurring at the specific temporal-dispositions). For instance, going by the BODMAS equation highlighted before, the mere operation of arithmetic without factoring in A's condition/subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementing of incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness additionality with 1 leads to a systematic failure that is ontological and not a mere act defect, and defines an uninstitutionalised-threshold. It should be noted that at all uninstitutionalised-threshold, it is ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics that enables the mental-reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with)-representation of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> as perversion-of-reference-of-thought in construing unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought (stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase) from whence an exercise of 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure with new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation initiates a cross-generational transcendence. Ontologically, the mental-devising-representation of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought is as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions, involving oblongating/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-

of-thought, that defines the dialectical-out-of-phasing (whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and, in the prospective representation, of procrypticism) as perversion-of-reference-of-thought. For instance, in registry-worldview/dimension terms, medievalism/non-positivistic mental-disposition is systematically registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> at the uninstitutionalised-threshold where you need a positivistic mental-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. Likewise, procrypticism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought/dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/subknowledging/mimicking-and-corresponding--<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of positivistic reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation) is registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> at the uninstitutionalised-threshold where you need deprocrypticism.

Reality being blunt/incisive as it is rather preceding/superseding and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to us, is in essence an operant and deterministic construct that doesn't have any place for discrete incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness notions but even for the cases where such discretion is artificially devised/implied, it is applied as operant and deterministic (consider quantum-mechanics). So ontologically, the mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions is definitely accurate on two insightful grounds. Reality's bluntness/incisiveness doesn't leave room for discretionary judgments about 'good-natured'/impression-driven conceptualisations of virtue and virtuous judgment within the overarching framework of such the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-

primemovers-totalitative-framework reality determinism, and such impressions can only pass for an illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness mirage and/or <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag (attempting to operate logic in a superseding registry-worldview on the basis of the reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation of a superseded registry-worldview; for instance, God of plane type of statement in say an animistic society that comes in contact with foreigners and a plane). The second reason is that we can garner insight on prior/superseded institutionalisations and understand that the vices-and-impediments are actually cross-sectional to the registry-worldviews/dimensions as of beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought and it is intemporal philosophical development that goes on to liberate/enlighten/moult-out ‘actors of transcendence’ who in turn then shine the light across society, i.e. institutionalisation/intemporalisation by skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/differential-formalisation-transference for the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition over temporal-dispositions for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation. Transcendence as such is more of a deterministic and operant process than discretionary, and works on the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework basis, even though counterintuitively we tend to turn towards impressions to construe virtue which only confuses the issue as we then wrongly define fulfilling temporal whims (good-natured impressions or not) of the ‘collective consciousness of the corresponding present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present’ as an intemporal reference for defining virtue (with no ‘emanance disambiguation’/temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions), rather than a transcendental

understanding of the-Good – i.e., knowledge/virtue-as-institutional-cumulation/recomposure-for-intemporal-preservation. This points to the fact that necessarily the structural/paradigmatic virtue construct (knowledge-driven) of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation is universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism is positivism, and prospectively, that of our positivism–procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought; and so as a veridical and contiguous deterministic-and-operant psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or–ontological-preservation, that knows no discretion!

There are ‘traditionally 4 human mental projections/representations/dispositions’ associated with virtuous paradigmatic construct, analysed from the perspective of an ontological-veridicality establishing ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework:

(i) The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation (understanding) which is effectively ontologically operant.

(ii) The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation which has poor operance due to bad conviction, though prelogism-as-of-conviction nonetheless.

(iii) An impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation involving perversion-of-reference-of-thought or slantedness operance from an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework perspective; which is the foundation for derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as of ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought

(iv) An impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation involving perversion-of-reference-of-thought or slantedness operance from an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework perspective; which generates (distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion or slantedness along reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the-Good conceptualisation; pointing to the fact that impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisations are rather inclined to induce vices-and-impediments given that the veridicality of reality (reflected by the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation) is all the virtue enabler that there is and other conceptualisations are rather distractions that are in effect vice-ridden and an impediment, and more specifically when these undermine the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation.

Impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation lack veridical ontological-contiguity. One may query what is the meaning of good/truth/essence in a recurrent-utter-institutionalised, an ununiversalised or a non-positivistic society? And invariably the answers will be a vague <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as of each registry-worldview/dimension, and it is rather the emanant insight of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation as of Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology that carries the prospective transcendences which are the resolution of the successive prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold vices-and-impediments; and so by successive Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as base-institutionalisation, universalisation and positivism respectively, and prospectively deprocrypticism. I.e. Increasing knowledge-as-virtue understanding, as of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of their respective elucidation-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidental-or-random-mental-disposition as failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation, ununiversalisation failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism, non-positivism/medievalism failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for positivism—procrypticism or prospectively, positivism failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ‘deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
 formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules-(as conflation of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for
 perpetuating-deprocrypticism). Practically, however ‘good intentioned or good-natured’ a
 non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought it is bound to rely on medieval
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of sickness like a
 curse or witchcraft rather than a positivist notion like infection, and the virtuous outcome is
 fundamentally a question of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework of positivistic understanding, and not any vague
 impression! Not only is impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation at
 best vague, ontologically speaking, it is bound to be extricatory (temporal/circumstantial/self-
 interest paradigm) rather than
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Alignment should rather be in transversality-
 of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as strands-of-perverting-temporal-
 dispositions as the backdrop for prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation. Further, impression-driven/good-
 naturedness/wishfulness conceptualisation induces both ‘logical and unconscionability-drags.
 A drag is a vague meaningful articulation arising out of veridical incongruence due to the
 nonreality of initiating narratives or propositions, and subsequent structural/paradigmatic
 contiguity of narratives and propositions thereafter from such initial miscues and/or
 intermittent miscues. For instance, supposed going by the example where a psychopath had

wrongly accused someone of being a paedophile (not in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of bad conviction or prelogism but rather non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented due to the inexistence of the psychopath's implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), suppose the interlocutor was to go on to in-conviction relay these distortions with other interlocutors, we will talk of a 'miscue', and where other meaning grounded fundamentally on this miscue were to develop, we talk of 'logical-drag', further where comprehensive generation of social meaningfulness were to arise out of this, we talk of 'unconscionability-drag', and finally sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising refers to the temporal mental-disposition to use conventioning thinking as alibi for temporal-motivated dispositions (over the inherent sense of ontological meaningfulness). Actually, strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions are the characteristic backdrop mental-devising-representations of superseded/transcended registry-worldviews/dimensions when we think from an ontological perspective of the soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought projection/representation that captures the meaningful framework of a registry-worldview teleology whether regarding a society at its ununiversalisation whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, and medieval/non-positivistic, and prospectively, we can garnered such strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions with respect to procrypticism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation.

Human mental development across time validate the notion that we have consistently been in a state of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as we institutionally skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation with a better grasp of reality and ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. Memetic-reordering (psychoanalytic-unshackling) inducing institutionalised skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-disposition involves: articulating a social universal-transparency of perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect; positive-opportunism as common interests to institutionally skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporality; disambiguating temporal-dispositions as the backdrop for new anticipatory and preempting reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; and, intemporal projection superseding of transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic for cross-generational collapsing/overriding of temporal/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension (and not instant ‘argumentation convincing’ intradimensionally in a registry-worldview/dimension that is defective or perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the first place), and so in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of temporal-dispositions and the intemporal-disposition; as temporal emanant registries are inclined to aside and syncretise rather than transcend or core/take-stock of the implied perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion at uninstitutionalised-threshold. Memetic-reordering

(psychoanalytic-unshackling) is actually the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process at uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection to overcome temporal-dispositions (to supersede <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase), and so in a pedestalled disambiguation of ontologically veridical intemporal-disposition pedestal, slanting/postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as subknowledging impulse by psychopath pedestal and slantedness/postlogical-integration as perversion-of-reference-of-thought by the temporal-dispositions pedestals. Memetic-reordering (psychoanalytic-unshackling) is thus the central notion of a new and comprehensive human psychology wherein the human psyche is more of a ‘mental devising tool’ involving candoring/prelogism/organic-comprehension-thinking and decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation. The former (candoring/prelogism/organic-comprehension-thinking) mental orientation points to conviction or prelogism within any registry-worldview/dimension at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation basically focussed on operating/processing logic over supposedly sound reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation while the latter (decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation mental orientation points to transcending situations of uninstitutionalised-threshold whereby perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversions

occur, due to the emanant reality of human temporal-to-intemporal nature, (and are relayed onto the social construct) and operates by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversions to establish unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and as this conjugates temporally with ignorance—affordability—opportunism—exacerbation—social-chainism/negative-social-aggregation—temporal enculturation/endemisation, and the need for new and superseding reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation.

These fundamental human mental-devising-representation or apriorising—registry tools of candoring and decandoring points to the very nature of logic. Logic requires that all interlocutors share a same reference-of-thought with regards to reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology for its sound operation, thus logic can only be operated at institutionalised/intemporalised thresholds, and not as of uninstitutionalised-thresholds where there is divergence in reference-of-thought construed meaningfulness-and-teleology construed as transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. At uninstitutionalised-threshold, given the veridicality of human emanance as temporal-to-intemporal, logic is ridiculous because of the variance and unshared reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology with respect to argumentation, ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. At which point no articulation is inherently more right, however, the intemporal-disposition being ontological has ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework veridicality and carries a positive-opportunism that can allow it to dominate human temporal-dispositions reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (reasoning-

through-and-not-reasoning-with) their registries/mental-representations perversion, and so, through social institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels in the medium to long-run. It is only after such uninstitutionalised-threshold is superseded/dominated/preceded/overridden/abjected by the intemporal-disposition as an ordered construct institutionalisation/intemporalisation with corresponding human secondnaturing as internalisation and formalisation that logic becomes pertinent as it now operates only on one axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives/registry-teleology that establishes the substantive/existential-contextualising-contiguity (not formulaic-projection/mimicry) and veracity/ontological-pertinence of interlocutors' articulations.

Thus the basis for Rational-Realism which is the first institutionalisation/intemporalisation recomposure that goes beyond just articulating reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation by anticipating and preempting the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a prior/superseded registry-worldview's reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; as rational-realism take stock of the fundamental reality across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures of human temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions and doesn't just assume the wrong notion of just an intemporal-disposition with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought result that temporal-dispositions induced manifestations are not accounted for, anticipated and preempted beforehand/as-of-a-priori to prevent their perversion-of-reference-of-thought of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation at their uninstitutionalised-threshold thus ensuring ontological contiguity. So with rational-realism the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-

contiguity—or—ontological-preservation comes around as the ‘full-cycle/dynamic recomposuring’ that specifically anticipates and preempt priorly/ahead in its reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation the notion of temporal-dispositions to dement/subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/mimick-and-syncretise (rather than subsequently as a transcendence). This raises two dilemma with respect to the conceptualisation of virtue as rational-realism implies that at the procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold, we have to register/acknowledge priorly our inclination to subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge) positivistic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to paradoxically then be able to anticipate and stifle this in the active construction of deprocryptic meaning, at which point the ontological-veridicality of meaning then involves not only logical operation/processing/contention on the basis of a sole intemporal-disposition, but equally registries-disambiguation to account for perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by temporal-dispositions:

(i) <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or Setting-aside (as being in denial of perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect) arises where a registry-worldview returns to its same reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation that have been shown to be subknowledge-(dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge)/perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and hence remains candored/integratively-aligned; contrasted with the instance of the adoption of a new registry-

worldview's (superseding the uninstitutionalised-threshold) reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in anticipation and preemption of the afore perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview. This latter instance involves Stranding-dialectics or Coring (in reflection/perspectivation and acknowledgment of perversion-of-reference-of-thought) with corresponding decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and is what enables memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling whereas <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing–syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag or Setting-aside at best induces 'memetic-inching/psychoanalytic-realigning' which are not of an immediate transcending nature.

(ii) Conventioning metaphoricity involving in a continuum on one side ontologising rationalising though ontological-veridicality is not the sufficient reason for the social acceptance of rightness for rightness sake (as explained previously) and on the other side intemporality/ontology distractive sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising.

'Rational-Realism as of Deprocrpticism or institutionalisation/intemporalisation full-cycle' can thus be construed as a contiguous cumulation of successive memetic-reordering (as institutional recomposuring) for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation; with such successiveness due to the limitation of human mentation-capacity to be able to mimeticly (across suprastructural-meaningfulness) come full-cycle in one transcendence, explaining the recomposuring of the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures; from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism,

positivis–procrypticism, and recomposuring full-cycle towards prospective rational-realism as of deprocrypticism.

Correspondingly, due to human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), human memetic/psychoanalytic grasp-and-fulfilment of intemporal-preservation (in devising reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) is limited at successive instances of transcendence/institutionalisation, due to:

(i) the reality of human dispositions not being just of intemporal-disposition but rather temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (with temporal-dispositions a drawback/distractive to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation at uninstitutionalised-threshold; since these induced in any given institutionalisation a ‘least-and-derived-temporal-operating-modalities-of-the-reference-of-thought-as-of-incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness-inducing-the-uninstitutionalised-threshold’ as of temporality/shortness thus raising the issue of the uninstitutionalised-threshold ultimately resolved by ‘maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation’ as of intemporality, and so on, circularly with the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process.)

(ii) limited memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling mentation-capacity (in devising reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) for the intemporal-disposition as it skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) towards institutionalisation/intemporalisation

(iii) temporal-dispositions for perversion-of-reference-of-thought at uninstitutionalised-threshold (non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-

or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-
 demented/slanting/miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-
 formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising conjugated-or-
 inflected-or-derived-or-mimicked-in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing with temporal-
 dispositions of the prelogism-as-of-conviction act defects of
 ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
 negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation)

Hence intemporal-preservation is a memetically/psychoanalytically evasive construct
 at uninstitutionalised-threshold, the pursuit of which is veridically the human species
 eudaemonic contemplation, construed as ‘postconvergence memetic recomposuring’;
 recomposure is defined as ‘ontological-representation/ontological-memetism of intrinsic-
 meaningfulness (whether implying, on the one hand, an integrative/candor/organic-
 comprehension-thinking alignment or on the other hand, a
 distractive/decandored/mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
 preservation/protracted-non-conviction alignment) towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-
 or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ (as validated by veridicality/ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework). This definition explains the succession of the
 recomposuring of institutionalisations with the notion that where intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation is lost at a registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, a prospective registry-
 worldview/dimension is implied/recomposured that will ensure intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and undermines notional-
 discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-

schema>/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/setting-aside by appropriate
 stranding/coring representation (-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions) as the backdrop for the
 prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. That is, ‘human progress/transcendence
 happens as a matter of fact, with no registry-worldview/dimension having any ontological
 and veridical claim/pretence to extricate itself from psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure—as-dialectical-stranding-backdrop-for-prospective-
 transcendence once it is shown that it subknowledges-or-mimics (as perversion-of-reference-
 of-thought) its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation at its
 uninstitutionalised-threshold, even though this from the temporal-dispositions
 mindset/reference-of-thought is always an unpalatable proposition. But then the state of being
 in a transcended registry-worldview/dimension (as in our present positivist registry-
 worldview/dimension) arises because other prior registry-worldviews/dimensions
 successively underwent their own psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure—as-dialectical-stranding-backdrop-for-prospective-
 transcendence for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
 preservation, at their uninstitutionalised-threshold; and so, going back to the recurrent-utter-
 institutionalised early men who left the caves and trees, thus any denial of prospective
 transcendence as articulated above is an argument which incoherence emanantly imply ‘we
 should go back to the caves and trees’, as we’ll seem to validate that prior registry-
 worldviews/dimensions should never had transcended up to our very own registry-
 worldview/dimension, and beyond, prospectively.

Stranding (-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions-of-reference-of-thought) should be
 construed at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised/solipsistic threshold (the

threshold where the registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation), as the ‘base structural/paradigmatic decandored/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect reflex’ (not a straightness/candor/organic-comprehension-thinking/prelogism reflex), and stranding-dialectics rather points to ‘a (lack of) the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework reflection/perspectivation’ (hence a veridical ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as operant and deterministic, and not an impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness nor a veridically logically-disjointed/discretionary reflection/perspectivation). Stranding is thus articulated as non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag slanting/miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/subpar-conventioning-rationalising conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>’ (induced from temporal-dispositions prelogism-as-of-conviction act defects of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). The memetic-reordering is in recomposuring, at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, the non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-

demented/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag apriorising—registry (registry-worldview) elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (i.e. reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) towards the transcending registry-worldview’s implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, in re-institutionalising the uninstitutionalised-threshold.

There is no reason for stranding-dialectics and recomposuring but for the fact that the internal coherence of a registry-worldview/dimension is failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, as it provides the dynamic association for psychopathic/postlogical subknowledging/mimicking impulse leading to the vices-and-impediments of the registry-worldview/dimension from an intemporal/ontological perspective; and ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation veridicality (as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) is the drive that resolves lack of human mentation-capacity for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) by stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence and then recomposuring prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. The example highlighted on page 12 provides an excellent ‘logical insight’ on stranding-backdrop-for-transcendence and recomposuring of a registry-worldview/dimension that is failing/not-upholding-as-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-
 ontological-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold ...

To grasp this better say for instance the normal arithmetic we know $2+2=4$, $5+1=6$, $7-3=4$, etc. was to be undermine by a new human subknowledging caused by a disease wherein we tend to say $2+2=5$, $5+1=7$ and $7-3=3$, then the traditional categorical-imperatives of addition and subtraction will be modified to take account of our perversion/defect by saying that additionality will involve subtracting 1 from the result and subtractivity will involve adding 1 to the result, so that arithmetic mirrors intrinsic reality outcome (intemporal transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence). Thus reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology are ‘mental and institutionalisation inventions’ that are as pertinent as the extent of their preservation of intemporal reality (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or–ontological-preservation). Hence a false subknowledging/mimicking-and-protracted-mimicking with no relationship to intrinsic reality renders reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology null and void, calling for overcoming the slantedness/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of mental-devising-representation as to its unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought arising from the perversions-and-derived-perversions-of-reference-of-thought, and the articulation of new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology reflecting the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or–ontological-preservation as intrinsic reality.

In practical terms, human/social VIRTUE is effectively articulated at ‘the crossroad of the notions’ of intemporal-disposition, ontologising/intemporal philosophical deference, conventioning, animality (the recurrent temporal-dispositions to subknowledge-(dementing-

as-if-of-sound-knowledge) intemporal reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
or–ontological-preservation across successive institutionalisations) and institutional
recomposuring (prospective memetic-reordering).

It is important to note that an ontological construct ‘escalates’ specific/particular instances of phenomena (in this case psychopathy and social psychopathy phenomenon) into a universal conceptualisation which ‘knowledge principle conceptualisation’ then addresses (percolates into) the ‘infinity of related incidental phenomena and cases’, i.e. newton articulates the science of mechanics metaphorically from ‘an initial apple that hits his head why under a tree’ not because the science of mechanics will revolve around an apple that hit his head but because he’ll grasp the insight to understand the myriad and infinity of instances requiring those laws of physics. So the intemporal-as-ontological pedestal (in its treatment) involves universal projection to grasp universal principles and is not meant to ‘equivocate and idle’ with perversion-of-reference-of-thought temporal manifestations which are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, but rather then apply the knowledge principles so articulated to the theoretically infinite incidental instances (on the validation and untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining or internal-contradictions induced by the knowledge principles ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework).

Of course, no registry-worldview/dimension thinks of itself as prospectively dialectically-primitive/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, and as such its ‘supposed contention’ will always by reflex strive to arrive at an equilibrium in the same registry-

worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, but the template of human transcendence shows that the intemporal prospective/superseding registry-worldview reference-of-thought takes precedence with contention construed by its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence prioritisation of the relatively intemporal/universal/intrinsic, hence, ‘the inherent cumulating/recomposing of intemporal-preservation-entropy’ going from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivis–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism. Such a subknowledging/mimicking/registry-worldview denaturing resistance is not attended to logically/by-logical-congruence since a perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-its-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging registry-worldview/dimension is circular and syncretic in its logic (as it circularly makes reference to its defective/perverted reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) but by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure through the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining induced by the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the prospective intemporal-disposition-worldview/dimension (with its more appropriate recomposed reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology); involving rather a cross-generational collapsing/overriding of the temporal/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension (and not instant ‘argumentation convincing’ intradimensionally in a registry-worldview/dimension

that is defective as of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the first place), and so with transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of temporal-dispositions and the intemporal-disposition, as temporal emanant registries are inclined to aside and syncretise rather than transcend or core/take-stock of the implied perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview-perversion. For instance, men did not transcend from a medieval worldview to a positivistic worldview by a ‘logical exercise’ (the logical conceptualisation we have of such a transformation in today’s positive world is rather in effect an afterthought appraisal) but because the grander grasp on reality of positivism constrained and made the medieval registry-worldview untenable/internally-contradictory (the ships that set sail around the world for spices elicit a positive commercial opportunism that is responsible for destroying the social myth of a flat world; the bacteria theory that will ensure that one lives or die if we believe in it or not coerced the destruction of a superstitious medical worldview; the scientific tools and knowledge that ensured that nation A or nation B will triumph if they believe in it or not, coerces the need to adopt a scientific worldview, etc.). It is naïve to think that such progression occurred because of cross-sectional human ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness disposition’. Rather it is a secondnatured/ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as this notion inherently validates the anthropological-continuity by distinguishing between the notion of same human natural ability across the various registry-worldviews/dimensions and the notion more and more profound institutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions arising out of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure to the capacity bestowed by their forerunners; such that human limited-mentation-capacity is always mostly directed to the transformative of activities while taking for granted much of the bestowed knowledge heritage. Hence we can’t overrate the ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-

rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness disposition'
 development of the cross-section/averageness/banality of solipsistic human thought to
 wrongly imply human <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness disposition is
 inherently intemporal, for the possibilities of human progress (due to the veridicality of a
 human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor at the uninstitutionalised-threshold across all levels of
 institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure – ‘a lost cause’ which will never be
 changed with the result that temporal-dispositions will always dement (perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought inducing registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-
 threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>/unsoundness-or-
 inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion/subknowledging/mimicking-and-
 corresponding-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising) at
 uninstitutionalised-threshold (unconstrained extended informalities). But this can rather be
 anticipated and preempted, ‘the central tenet of deprocrypticism’ by temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation before logical processing/operation. Temporal-to-
 intemporal-dispositions-pedestals-disambiguation being the contrasting of ‘superseding
 intemporal-disposition organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-
 prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology)-pedestal-aetiologisation-or-ontological-escalation ordered
 construct’ known as deprocrypticism over-and-stranding-of ‘temporal-dispositions which are
 in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought’ known as procrypticism or emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-

real, as the backdrop for ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; in the same way as the stranding-of-temporal-dispositions-dementing of non-positivism/medievalism provided the backdrop for positivism recomposing or that of ununiversalisation for universalisation recomposure or that of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation for base-institutionalisation recomposure. It should be noted that at institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, temporal-dispositions potential inclination for dementing is suppressed by formalism and internalisation involving intemporal meaningfulness social universal-transparency, internal-contradiction, registering/stranding as sound or unsound, and alienating of unsound meaningfulness to stifle any such mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation. At uninstitutionalised-threshold (extended informalities), no formalism and internalisation (generated by the intemporal-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) exists in preemption leading potentially to dementing. Basically, such a representation of organicism and mechanicalism can be storied or narrated as follows:

Supposed going by the case highlighted where a psychopath met a stranger talking about another stranger as molesting children; the so accused stranger was actually a guardian of the child assuming various responsibilities that come with it (this represents the organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) depth of meaning), the psychopath fully aware of this none the less proffered such hollow mimicking narratives to the other stranger who aligned in-conviction/prelogically/prelogically to the psychopath but is

veridically now in effect non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented/postlogical by ignorance, and goes on to miscue by articulating that the accused stranger should be reported to the police or any other relevant organisation, and possibly does that. Further still, this miscuing comes to develop into disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, temporal-dispositions preservation, and sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising wherein ‘a comprehensive depth of perverted narratives’ has now been cultivated in the social environment. All such denaturing (and as are conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing to human temporal defects of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) are a perversion-of-reference-of-thought mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation to the organic veridicality (deprocrypticism). In the bigger scheme of things, denaturing of apriorising—registry (as the apriorising—registry is the axiomatic-construct/categorical-imperatives on which logic operates/is processed pointing to a coherently systematic failure of logic at the uninstitutionalised-threshold; consider that the non-positivism/medievalism apriorising—registry will coherently fail logical operation/processing/contention with regards to its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism, that’s the same emanant issue with

procrypticism at its uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring deprocrypticism) do not simply point to an act defect but registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> about-and-defining the vices-and-impediments of the said registry-worldview/dimension, that abstractly apply with regards in this case not to one instance of human psychopathy and one case of social context of protracted social psychopathy but points to a registry-worldview/dimension defect that points abstractly to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/an-ontological-or-existential-defect of such psychopathic and protracted social psychopathy, in the same vain as the phenomena of witchcraft in a non-positivist/medieval society 'for an ontological/intemporal projecting mind' is more than just a case of witchcraft in a given non-positivism/medievalism locale but goes beyond to define a dimensional defect of non-positivism/medievalism across all human societies that are qualified as non-positivism/medievalism with the idea that the 'disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation' in the bigger scheme of things is more than just a locale but a universal articulation of positivistic thinking as the universal resolution of the vices-and-impediments associated with a witchcraft and superstition endemising/enculturating worldview. It should be noted that however 'good-natured an individual' in that worldview the basic knowledge defect of that worldview as non-empirical/superstitious defines the disposition of any such individual, as they adhere to the reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension, to commit vices-and-impediments associated with non-positivism/medievalism, since virtue actually lies in the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework' of being empirical/non-superstitious/positivistic. That's equally the problem you have with

procrysticism or perversion of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, -for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of a positivistic registry-worldview as the virtue lies in the the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ as involving psychopathic demented postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, and its corollary as social psychopathy involving conjugating/inflecting/deriving demented postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation by the temporal-dispositions of ignorance, unconsciously, and consciously, affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation; slanting/dementing of positivistic registry-worldview/dimension reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. That is, the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing/subknowledging/mimicking—and—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of positivistic reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. In which case contention (being about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation beyond just the dementing/mimicking-or-subknowledging of ‘previously recomposured/invented’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, -for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) becomes rather an intemporal-disposition reflection/perspectivation and ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ of such psychopathic/temporal-dispositions slantedness/dementing/mimicking-or-subknowledging as

perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion, and not logical-contention/contending-articulation. Such perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought in effect involves on the part of psychopathic and conscious conjugated-postlogism minds as with exacerbation-temporal-disposition ‘vice in demented perversions’ wherein the mimicry/subknowledging enters into an active dynamics with temporal-dispositions prelogism-as-of-conviction, which elevate such non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts/mental-perversions by prelogism-as-of-conviction (which is actually in effect mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as miscues to psychopathic/postlogism-slantedness, and subsequent protraction into disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, temporal-dispositions preservation and sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising); such that this development is actually an instrumentalisation of the initial directed-dementing. Directed-dementing as such being a conscious and operant mental awareness of psychopathic/postlogical minds of the void of their narratives and teleology but understanding and acting by instrumentalisation on the basis that prelogical/conviction minds are disposed to elevate the hollow mimicking narratives (by ignorance and/or subsequently affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) to wrongly validate the apriorising—registry as veridical thus falsely implying an implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology.

Just as we work with the reality that all humans are disposed to have cancer and the virtue of curing is not denying but anticipating and preempting the possibility of having cancer with medicines, lifestyle, research, etc., i.e. ‘ontology is about working with what is/knowledge-driven, and not wishful-thinking/impression-driven’ to accede to intrinsic-reality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as it enables ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is bluntly speaking a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise involving the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, to ‘pedestally dominate and override’ temporal-dispositions in the cross-section/averageness/banality of solipsistic human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. Reality is actually an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct. Mythologies, metaphysics and hearsays while proto-conceptual in human development are out of kilter, and the use of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation is the central notion of ontologies. Insightfully, human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor speak of ‘the-real-nature-of-man’ that can be skewed with institutional recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation to explain how-man-can-be/the-nature-of-man at any registry-worldview level, retrospectively or prospectively. Whereas, man, if naively perceived as a whole rather only from the angle of a specific ‘institutionalisation/secondnaturing level’ which is in ‘existential immediacy’ this

may seem to indicate that we are talking about ‘different species’ with ‘different ontological determinants’, which is naïve and false. The anthropopsychological approach to psychology is analogical to the development of physics which is not only on the basis of what is immediately at the conscious operational level of physicists but equally projecting into a physics conceptualisation of the macrocosm (astronomy and cosmology) as well as the microcosm (particle physics) in order to place the subject on a comprehensively sound footing. Central to such a sound footing in the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence conceptualisation of the social domain is the idea of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions and institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure.

On another note, it is critical to distinguish between a true philosophical development that arises by intemporal and an institutionalised development that is articulated to elicit ‘positive-opportunism’ in humans, so that the intellectual exercise doesn’t naively project a philosophical idealism where this doesn’t exist and by so doing undermine its work by naively projecting universal intemporality/longness and failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to articulate a realism that takes account of temporal mental-dispositions (knowledge notionalisation, i.e. apprehending not only intemporal implications of any knowledge construct, but preempting by transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to potential temporal undermining of that intemporal idealism construct; the reason we institutionalise/intemporalise and formalise with subsequent internalisation/secondnaturing).

It should be noted that the use of the concepts of intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness is more scientific than the impression notions of good and bad. intemporality/longness points to ‘what generates the greatest universal virtue as ontological

which is universally-centered' (and that this corresponds to reality-referencing and the ontology pedestal) while temporality/shortness points to 'what generates the non-ontological as shallow interest that may be self-centered, at various pedestals, (and that this corresponds to

<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and metaphysical pedestals)'.

intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness as such are operant knowledge concepts while good and bad are vague and non-operant impression concepts. In fact, why good and bad are impression-driven, intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness by their very definition above are made operant as an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework scientific principle (without making any reference to stigmatising impression of virtue) by the denotation as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (intemporality) and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (temporality). That is, with respect to 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' (at uninstitutionalised-threshold) the intemporal mind conceptually asks what is the best disposition in universal-depth that abstractly delivers the greatest good to all humans in similar 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' setup across space and time; while temporal minds under the same notion (intemporality-temporality) conceptually assume lower and lower shades 'in mentation-capacity terms' of such an intemporal universal-depth concept articulation stressing in lieu of 'all humans' various shades of ununiversal, particular or temporal-self-interest dispositions. So there is a depth of continuity in ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the notion of intemporality-temporality that doesn't need any impression-drive, and this notion can certainly be made scientifically operant as it is a contiguous mentation-capacity-based notion in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of low to high mentation-capacity. The idea of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as such is devoid of stigmatisation

which is the result of articulating meaning with respect to vague impression-driven temporal references harkening back to the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought rather than the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought; since shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology are a contiguous value construct as in <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation beyond just <formative>epistemic-totalising_random-as-impulsive-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘trepidatious-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (impulsive-or-accidentated-or-haphazard-or-random mental-disposition), <formative>epistemic-totalising_nominal-as-tendentious-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘warped-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (allegiance/subservience transience), <formative>epistemic-totalising_ordinal-as-qualifying-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘preclusive-consciousness’-enabling-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (qualification/good-to-bad transience),
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-
 presencing-in-'occlusive-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (categorisation/kindness-humility-helpfulness-
 etc. transience) of conceptualisation but arrive at rationality (contiguous mentation-
 capacity/longness-or-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology transience) or an
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-
 abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-'protensive-consciousness'-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation with a corresponding
 depth/register-of-meaningfulness (in memetic reordering depth) that allows for a grasp of the-
 Good intemporal-disposition (i.e., beyond just an intradimensional 'good-natured'
 conceptualisation) of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-
 preservation, with the memetic-reordering directly associated with the referential entropy in
 institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/transcendence. Thus by
 intemporality/longness as a the-Good conceptualisation as 'longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology', that specificity

(as pursued in this paper) that informs ontological understanding of not idling and articulating meaningfulness in equivalence of temporality/shortness in its various shades, but rather with intemporal purpose and intent, and an ultimate quest for validation only as an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation will be qualified as ‘longness-of-thought’; and it strives to achieve a prospective structural/paradigmatic existential registry-worldview/dimension conceptualisation of transcendence wherein aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for prospective transcendental intemporal virtue is the underlying drive. The non-implication of an equivalence between (‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling) with temporality/shortness in its various shades will imply a knowledge conceptualisation rather from the perspective of the comprehension of human species intemporal potential rather than mere extrication within a temporal inter-individuals-and-social-stake-contention-or-confliction context, wherein for instance the focus of a positivistic-inclined mindset/reference-of-thought is not to idly engage a medieval world in medieval terms to stigmatise as a final end but rather for the virtuous human species potentiality to transcend into positivism, and on the other hand equally not to shy away from articulating, however temporally unpalatable and unintelligible-or-existentially-suprastructural for the temporal present registry-worldview/dimension, an intemporal transcendental prospection on the validation that the present registry-worldview/dimension is the outcome of a same-kind intemporal transcendental prospection with a same-kind corresponding emanance unpalatability and unintelligibility for the preceding registry-worldview/dimension, be it in that case driven by a spontaneous and natural dialectical cycle of social constraints of stakes and confliction, in contrast now to a more ‘consciously directed’ abstract understanding regarding deprocrypticism-over-procrypticism (with intellectual responsibility itself being defined as the spirit for

authentically upholding such construing/conceptualisation and/or facilitating it as enabling further self-development together with the furthering of social/specie development).

The use of ‘human mental-dispositions/individuations’ as temporal-to-intemporal doesn’t mean ontologically that the analyst view is that some individuals are inherently/exclusively solipsistically temporal and others are inherently/exclusively solipsistically intemporal. But rather, it is an abstract construction of human temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions/individuation potential possibilities that can incidentally arise in any individual by a circumstance or circumstances across time and space; but with a strong propensity of specific dispositions being nurtured in varying profundity across different individuals as per context. This abstract and fleeting notion is known as ‘individuation’ (more like an abstract and superseding ‘hermeneutic-aetiology’ of temporal-to-intemporal dispositions, and hence the possibility of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework or scientism), and is the more scientific notion over ‘individual’ (which is just the receptacle of individuations).

By pedestal is meant the ‘temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions of meaningfulness’ whether the intemporal-disposition individuation-pedestal or the temporal-dispositions individuations-pedestals (ignorance-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, affordability-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, opportunism-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, exacerbation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal or temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal). The intemporal and temporal-dispositions-registries individuations-pedestals imply and point to the underlying ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

basis of ‘the specific temporal-disposition meaningfulness-and-teleology. Further, by psychopathic or other postlogical subknowledging/mimicking-and-mimicking-protraction, the ‘temporal-dispositions individuations-pedestals’ wrongly conjugate/inflect/derive their apriorising—registry-elements (implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) from aligning prelogically to postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation thus effectively being postlogical, and this can thus be predicated as per the ‘specific temporal-disposition’. Such postlogical temporal-dispositions individuations-pedestals are conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked-protraction-to-psychopath’s impulsive-dementation (as derived from both psychopathic and others postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation slantedness/insane-fitment/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-dispositions) in epistemic-decadence (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing_qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’). These will include ‘postlogical ignorance-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal’, ‘postlogical affordability-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal’, postlogical opportunism-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, postlogical exacerbation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, postlogical social-chainism/negative-social-aggregation/social-discomfiture-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal, and postlogical temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-temporal-disposition individuation-pedestal). While the prelogical/conviction ‘ontologically-reconstituting’ intemporal-disposition-teleology is rather the ontologising individuation-pedestal as it strives perpetually to define-and-redefine categorical-imperatives

(by its ontologically-veridical associated registry-teleology-mentation elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) for ‘intemporal/ontological preservation entropy/contiguity’ as it perpetuates institutionalisation/intemporalisation/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology despite the natural reflex at every registry-worldview/dimension, whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, to temporally arrive at entropy on the basis of temporal-dispositions teleologies or shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (with the associated non-veridical temporal implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) i.e. temporal preservation teleologies are inclined to forego intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation teleology (ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought) at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, which should definitely be resisted by ‘intellectual responsibility’ which for the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension holds that the intellectual disposition is all too willing to be ‘romantic’ about the idea of human firstnature cross-sectional inclination for the intemporal-disposition and that intellectual responsibility is to acknowledge the veridicality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor and be preemptive of the ‘non-ontological/non-knowledge/non-virtue temporal-dispositions mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’ by futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology

as of prospective deprocripticism institutionalisation based on absolute ontological-contiguity and taking account of temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought; just as the present positivism institutionalisation had been preemptive of human cross-sectional disposition for superstition by emphasising rational-empiricism, and the universalisation institutionalisation had been preemptive of human disposition for ad hoc social-stake-contention-or-confliction resolutions along whims and interests to imply a sense of universalisation, and base-institutionalisation had been preemptive of human disposition for recurrent lawlessness to imply a sense of institutionalised living with mutual expectations. Another.

‘Unconscionability-drag’ (from an ontological/intemporal reference) refers to the comprehensive state of undisambiguation of temporal-dispositions individuation-pedestals which are wrongly associated to the intemporal-disposition to be ontological as these conjugate/infect/derive (in mimicking-protraction) with the psychopath’s impulsive-dementation insane-fitment/slantedness/mere-possibility narratives which are dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/hollow-mimicking) inducing temporal-dispositions epistemic-decadence (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-contiguity-as-absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic or-hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex-of-the-in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-

hollow-narratives-and-acts’-which-is-not-of-ontological-reference/not-of-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-of-veridical-thinking-reference-but-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) as these are wrongly aligned prelogically/by-prelogism to the initiated postlogism. In which case the temporal-dispositions are ‘technically psychopathic’ with corresponding conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked-protraction-to-psychopathic impulsive-dementation (temporal unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought-teleologies/registries-perversion-teleologies/mental-perversions-teleologies, with corresponding groundless implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology); and are rather the subject of contention and aetiologisation/ontological-escalation reflected/perspectivated as manifestations of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and not logical contention. And so, in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought in a temporal contiguity (procrypticism) allowing for the conceptualisation of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s as dialectically-out-of-phasing (dialectically-primitive) over which new recomposuring reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation is construed to reflect/preempt the perversion-of-reference-of-thought, for ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recompose of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s(deprocrypticism) new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation while keeping the temporal-dispositions downgraded/oblongated/decandored/in-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-

preservation/protracted-non-conviction, and so precedingly to avoid <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag/circularity by their straightening/candoring/elevation/prelogism.

Given that at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ human learned behaviour is primarily geared towards what is ‘perceived as succeeding’, whether intemporal (the-Good as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) or temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology); it is this mental-devising-representation as the ‘unconscionability-drag’ that provides the backdrop for skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/differential-formalisation-transference for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (enabling ontological reference), as it achieves social universal-transparency’ with corresponding untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, in reflecting-and-preempting the comprehensively distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of the subknowledging dimension temporal-dispositions for the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s(deprocrypticism) intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) also points to the fact that at any institutional registry-worldview/dimension, there can be two mental alignments; whether the apriorising–registry is at the institutionalised/intemporalised threshold of meaning (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) or at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of meaning involving perversion-of-reference-of-thought requiring distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, and in the latter case the reflex to be integratively aligned is lost across all the temporal-dispositions of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought dimension, and what is called for with the

unconscionability-drag is a distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought which will explain a dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive alignment by oblongating/decandoring/downgrading. * I.e. Remember ‘mental-devising-representation’ is a devising construct of preceding/superseding abstract reality/veridicality (postconvergence) as the latter never changes, and it is mental devising that adjusts to the illumination/insight we get about abstract reality/veridicality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework!

In the bigger scheme of things, ‘unconscionability-drag’ as a notion points to ‘ontological abstraction and mental-devising-representation of reality/veridicality defect’ whether dealing with psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or temporal-dispositions conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation s or simply plain temporal-dispositions ‘defective mental-devising-representation of ontological reality/veridicality’. The notion of ‘unconscionability-drag’ thus extends to all mental-devising-representation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought of all registry-worldviews/dimensions with respect to the prospective transcendental as the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation registry-worldview/dimension, which is the point of ontological referencing (point-referencing).

The reason why the ‘study of the social’ had hitherto been EPHEMERAL is because of the lack of contiguity in referencing the two elements of ontological meaning (reference-of-thought and logic); with reference-of-thought being hitherto undisambiguated in the social construction of meaning, thus leading to a ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency of temporal-dispositions prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-

thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought. However as articulated
 above, the ‘unconscionability-drag’ carries the resolution for disambiguating reference-of-
 thought in the ontological social construction of meaning as it is fully aligned or ‘in ratio
 alignment’ to ‘an emanant transdimensional (across registry-worldviews) point-referencing of
 intemporal-preservation-entropy’ while reflecting a social universal-transparency that shows
 the fallibility of temporal dimensions <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-
 categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘occlusive-consciousness’-
 enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
 operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context point-referencing and as this further
 discomfitures in the social-construct of meaning, and hence the perversion-and-derived-
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and elicits an ordered construct of meaning reference-of-
 thought (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-
 stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) from the
 superseding perspective of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-
 preservation alienative-hierarchisation and ‘disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ (longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology). This actually represents the human ‘ temporalities-to-
 intemporality constant’ at all registry-worldviews/dimensions (as postlogism-
 slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism

reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing' are universally present in all registry-worldviews).

Practically, this involves articulating: (i) the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive-or-formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented/postlogical) psychopath's insane-fitment narratives epistemic-decadence (notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema>-as-of-epistemic-decadence in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'/non-ontological-reference-or-non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing/not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-reference/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention) teleology (ii) the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) procryptic temporal-dispositions teleological conjugations/inflections/derivations to the psychopath's dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/hollow-mimicking) insane-fitment/slantedness/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought narratives, whether they are ignorant, affordable, opportunistic, exacerbating, social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation or temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation (iii) the dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase/transcendent/deprocryptic 'disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as ontological-escalation/aetiologisation' reflecting the psychopath's and other temporal-dispositions veridical mental/perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversions/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought dispositions.

Unconscionability-drag (enabling ontological reference), by which the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversions teleologies of meaning is accounted for can be demonstrated below elaborating on the example highlighted before.

Of course, this is just a most basic demonstration as ideally one can imagine a creative storied narrative should articulate the phenomenon to its utmost evolving complexities – a storying construal involving an underlying-and-superseding intemporal/ontologising emanant ‘reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as of the notional-conflatedness of notional-deprocrypticism’ for ‘postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of deprocrypticism teleology’ putting into perspective ‘temporal emanant conjugations/inflections shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of procrypticism teleologies’.

For instance, the storying construal ‘ontological/intemporal veridicality’ of non-positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought will be ‘abjectly referenced’ from positivism; likewise that of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation inherently-’dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag will be ‘abjectly referenced’ from base-institutionalisation, that of ununiversalisation perversion-of-reference-of-thought will be ‘abjectly referenced’ from universalisation, and thus that of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought has to be ‘abjectly referenced’ from deprocrypticism/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology. The reason for the above is that you can’t address a registry-worldview/dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenal defect (psychopathy) without addressing the defects of the registry-worldview/dimension

(procrpticism) that endemises it from the reference of the prospective transcendental dimension, just as you can't address witchcraft without fundamentally addressing a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview that will necessarily and readily endemise superstitions and witchcraft. The peculiarities of successive institutionalisations is that these address the successive emanant dimensional defects of: recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation by emphasising 'base-institutionalising', ununiversalisation by emphasising 'universalising', superstition/non-positivism/medievalism by emphasising 'positivising', and procrpticism or emanant-wrong/demented-shades-of-the-real by emphasising 'undermining subknowledging/mimicking' or deprocrpticism or 'longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology over shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology' (noting that the latter institutionalisation/intemporalisation contains the previous institutionalisations up to its own threshold of institutionalisation/intemporalisation, with deprocrpticism being organically imbued with all the prior/superseded institutionalisations); all these, pointing to 'an ontological psychoanalytic/memetic-contiguity deconstruction across anthropology' which the present treatment of psychology doesn't recognise:

(i) Psychopath narrative teleology: an adult psychopath meets a stranger and speaks to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children

(ii) temporal-dispositions narratives teleologies: a stranger not knowing the other stranger aligning prelogically to the psychopath's narrative will have a 'conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing ignorance-temporal-disposition defect' if it articulated the following narrative:

(a) Such a person should not be allowed to roam the streets and should be interned.

A 'conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing affordability-temporal-disposition defect' will arise if another interlocutor knowing the

accused for not truly being a child molester but because of expediency with respect to the psychopath articulates the following narrative:

(b) the guy is actually a bad person and they will not be surprise that he is a child molester.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing opportunism-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise if a different interlocutor knowing truly that the accused is not a child molester but for a favour or sense-of-favour they owe to the psychopath articulates the following narrative:

(c) this guy has been going around molesting young children for quite a while now.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing exacerbation-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise where another interlocutor knowing the truth about the whole thing, thinks they can have an advantage by acting likewise as the psychopath and articulates the following narrative

(d) they had actually witnessed the accused shoplifting.

A ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing social-discomfiture/(social-chainism/negative-social-aggregation)-temporal-disposition defect’ will arise where

(e) such narratives are purposefully and consistently relayed in the social sphere based on ignorances, affordabilities, opportunisms and exacerbations, and individuals come to make it a reference for their relation with the accused.

And finally, a ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing temporal-enculturation (temporal-endemisation)-temporal-disposition defect’ arises where

(f) individuals come to learn that by having the appropriate social relations and social support network they can then initiate such narratives if they were to have competing 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' situations with others, and not

only that it also includes individuals passively accepting and giving up on the principle of the intemporality/longness and intrinsicness of meaning.

It is important to distinguish all the above ‘temporal instances conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing of the psychopath’s postlogism-slantedness in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’, and is different from ‘a defect of logical operation/processing/contention which does not imply any temporal-disposition defect (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of perversion-of-reference-of-thought or the denaturing of the reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology)’. With temporal-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (mental-perversion), the interlocutor deliberately (or naively in the case of ignorance) doesn’t project intemporally (i.e. projects in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or immediate-temporal-interest and not a universal ontological sense of meaning), comparatively more like a student guessing that the answer of a math question is say 5 ‘artificially’ operates an equation to yield 5 as answer. Whereas with ‘a defect of logical operation/processing/contention’ (which is not the case here), an interlocutor perfectly projects intemporally (i.e. projects in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or a universal ontological sense of meaning) but poorly operates/processes the logic adhocly. This latter case unlike the former doesn’t imply registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> but rather ‘an adhoc defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-

instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance whereas the former is 'registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> that speaks to the unprincipled-or-derived-unprincipled disposition of the interlocutor's individuation that is, with respect to an infinite number of cases in the same situation (i.e. comparatively the disposition to go about answering math questions by figuring out their answers then 'artificially' trying to work out equations to yield the answers). Thus establishing the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of this slantedness/postlogical individuation defective nature ontologically, hence enabling its aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. This also requires the disambiguation of the registries (involving stranding-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions which refers to mental-devising-representation of temporal-dispositions-registries teleologies registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>, i.e. oblongated/decanored/mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation mechanicalism/alchemic-like-reasoning/circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/protracted-non-conviction)/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought of perversion-of-reference-of-thought procrypticism mind as per postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of 'reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing'. For intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-

contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions implies ‘not wrongly implying precedingly the reflex of an intemporal prelogism-as-of-conviction reflex and reference on the subknowledging/mimicking-temporal-dispositions but rather reflexively downgrading as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/subknowledging/mimicking)-stranding’, i.e. registry-precedes-logic as perversion-of-reference-of-thought undermines the operation of logic, at which point contention is about the ‘generation of ontological stranding-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored’ of such temporal-dispositions denaturing to be reflected/perspectivated and ontologised by the intemporal mind as procrypticism as validated by ‘unconscionability-drag’ such that the temporal-dispositions, which are ‘conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing slantedness’ as these are protractions of the psychopath’s dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/hollow-mimicking) insane-fitment/postlogism-slantedness, and hence are in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and should not be represented mentally going by the ‘unconscionability-drag’ as ‘logically/in-conviction/prelogically articulating/composing i.e. not contending’ but rather as ‘a mentally-conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/subknowledging/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing,-and-oblongated i.e. a manifestation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought’ as is the case with the mental-devising-representation of all perversion-of-reference-of-thought at all registry-worldviews/dimensions, and should not be wrongly elevated/candored/straightened/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase in equivalence with intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation apriorising—registry (since they are not contending) but rather downgraded/decandored/in-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/protracted-dementing/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-

transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase and are rather manifestations of registry/mental defect or denaturing and are the subject of intemporal/ontological contention from the intemporal-disposition, more like at the registry-worldview/dimensional defect level medievalism categorical-imperatives/axioms being superseded and undermined with respect to positivism categorical-imperatives/axioms-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation.

Very much counterintuitively with regards to ‘unconscionability-drag’, the transcendental requirement for a ‘habituation’ to a so-called ‘prospective intemporal and more veridical mental-devising-representation registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is rather ‘unfathomable’ for the prior <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives-of-the-prior-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-institutionalisation-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the so-called ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought dimension’; this applies with regards to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation and universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively for upcoming times, procrypticism and deprocrypticism. The explanation is quite simple; as individuals in any institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension are formed by the memetic-ordering/psychoanalytic-construction at that registry-worldview/dimension which is ‘all-defining of meaningfulness (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought and logic)’ to the individuals and so right up to their subconscious mind. But then a prospective transcendental memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling is placing such a prior memetic-order/psychoanalytic-construction of their existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation in jeopardy, and it is only the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-

framework social universal-transparency of the prospective intemporal dimension inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining with corresponding percolating impact from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension on the overall social-construct over a generation or two or more that allows for any such ‘habituation’ to a prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s transcendence with its new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This will explain the difficulty of medieval minds (including institutions like the church) over centuries to come to terms with positivism and scientism such that the positivistic psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure is still ongoing. Counterintuitively, every successive institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldview/dimension naively thinks it being at the backend of the ‘institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process’ means it is beyond transcendence as it doesn’t project of itself as being superseded by a prospective registry-worldview with its new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology at the point where the former starts perversion-of-reference-of-thought its own reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and does not tend to represent itself as oblongated/decandored/mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase from a prospective dimension perspective in the sense that. the decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase insight we think of non-positivism/medievalism with corresponding phenomena like superstitions, witch-hunts, etc. has never been the way they represented themselves as they are candored/straight/integratively-aligned/‘dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase’ in their <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/present-

consciousness/illusion-of-the-present mental-devising-representation of themselves. Rather it is the more profound grasp of reality from positivism that initiates that decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase mental-devising-representation of non-positivism/medievalism in the positivistic mind, and this is the case as well with all other dialectic institutionalisations across the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/anthropological-continuity/anthropopsychology.

The reason for making the above point is that we will most possibly as of act same when it is time to imply our own decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-devising-representation of our reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with respect to a prospectively candored/straight/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase deprocrypticism new recomposuring reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that is revealed by the ‘unconscionability-drag’ disambiguation of our temporal-dispositions-perversion associated with perversion-of-reference-of-thought in our dimension (procrypticism) including psychopathy-and-its-social-psychopathy-corollary subknowledging/mimicking!

(iii) For Deprocrypticism, ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-escalation/aetiologisation’ teleology: will involve identifying, defining, characterising, qualifying and articulating the aetiology of this individuation perversion-of-reference-of-thought dynamism endemic in the social-construct and prospective categorical-imperatives/axiomatic-construct for its preemption, more like a positive mind will do with respect to a non-positivism/medievalism social-construct reference-of-thought. (Though interestingly it is important to grasp that such transcendence actually takes the natural form of

a ‘cross-generational medium to long-term psychoanalytic-drag’ and not ‘instantaneous abject transformation’ towards ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, even such an ‘instantaneous abject transformation conceptualisation’ is equally a necessary knowledge exercise as the social universal-transparency constraining that allows for a ‘cross-generational medium to long-term psychoanalytic-drag’): (a) articulating a social universal-transparency of the registry-worldview-perversions, (b) generating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview (c) registering/stranding the perversion-of-reference-of-thought perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/subknowledging registry-worldview/dimension defect for prospective preemption with new recomposing reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the prospective registry-worldview/dimension, i.e. deprocrypticism (d) intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/being-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/logically-incongruence with the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview, inducing a ‘habituation’/‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure as of the prospective apriorising—registry worldview cross-generational (over a generation or two) intemporal projection superseding the transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith/nihilistic; implies that the mental-devising-representation of a superseded/transcended/unsound registry/registry-worldview (which is rather in epistemic-

decadence and hence in ontological-discontinuity) as ontological-dementation/dialectical-
 dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored-
 and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase, entails it doesn't re-join by mere logical
 articulation the prospective superseding/transcending/sound registry/registry-worldview
 stranding-as-mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, as the
 prospective institutionalisation is rather about a registry-worldview/registry, and not logical,
 transformation as a 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics
 or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure; with the notion that any such wrongly implied re-
 joining as logical articulation is rather <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of the prior registry/registry-worldview
 reflex-defect in want of 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-
 dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure. For instance, in the case mentioned before with regards
 to B (Brackets), where B was to stick with the same temporal-dispositions individuation
 disposition that delivered the wrong results with respect to subsequent equations of a similar
 context (uninstitutionalised-threshold) this will be epistemic-decadence, as
 conjugated/inflected/derived from A's defective condition which is in epistemic-decadence,
 and the both A and B are of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> defining the registry-worldview/dimension
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument defect. This
 implies ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of B
 to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as prior intemporal reference-of-thought—
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) is the effective backdrop for 'dialectical-
 thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-

dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for the prospective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, and this is rather cross-generational in nature (rather than instant intra-generational registry/registry-worldview transformation) as personhoods-and-socialhood-formation are rather grounded on the superseded/transcended/unsound reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. The above analysis shows that soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaningfulness is not given, as it is a devising mechanism (mental-devising-representation) for ontological-veridicality as dialectically upheld for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence).

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) ensures the disambiguation of registries so that the psychopath's and temporal-dispositions are not elevated to the intemporal level which then allows for, by reflex, a simple operation/processing of logic (whereas the fundamental defect being in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the apriorising–registry-elements, implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology of the registries, i.e. rather the unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought or the dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase meaningful construct).

Unconscionability-drag (from an ontological/intemporal reference) is thus central to resolving the rational-realism paradigm as it accounts for the defect of temporal-dispositions teleologies of meaning (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) while projecting intemporally/ontologically.

The notion of ‘unconscionability-drag’ also explain how and why banal temporal-dispositions are not readily ‘integrative of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness as conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration’ (hence no distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought) to the childhood and early adolescent psychopaths but come to develop a ‘mental-unconsciousness’ (unconscionability) to be ‘integrative of psychopathic postlogism-slantedness’ during the stage of late adolescence and adult psychopath.

Antipodal to the idea of ‘unconscionability-drag’ is the idea of ‘conventioning’/social-temporal-thresholding. ‘Unconscionability-drag’ points to an abstract but more veridical ontological construct of the ‘social construction of meaning’ that is ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, based on intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation by using categorical-imperatives of the prospective superseding/transcendental registry-worldview/dimension whether such a representation is aligned or not with the society’s collective-social-psyche or present-consciousness. (For instance, we can generate an unconscionability-drag of a medieval society on the basis of a positivistic mental projection and categorical-imperatives; wherein we oblongate the solipsistic mental-dispositions of individuations in such a society. While such a representation, with its corresponding subknowledging/mimicking, is ontologically more accurate about such a society, however, the collective-social-psyche/present-consciousness of individuations in the said society will not recognise any such decandored/oblongated/logical-incongruence-or-transversality/dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase representation of themselves, rather the medieval society will represent itself as candored/straight/integratively-aligned/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase which is then the ‘conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding representation of the social construction of meaning’). Conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding thus refers to the fact that in a ‘social

construction of meaning', intrinsic-reality by itself and in of itself (as may be grasped ontologically from superseding/transcendental categorical-imperatives preserving intemporality) is not necessarily the deterministic basis for human social adherence to it.

Transcended and ontological meaningfulness of reality (contrary to conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding meaningfulness of reality which is rather towards <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/temporality-serving) requires a process of institutionalised/intemporalised social integration to induce untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining to 'prior or circumstantial social integration gatekeeping construals or (institutionalisation/intemporalisation) percolation channels' of 'any social construction of meaning' for there to be collective institutionalised social adherence (and by the relative positive-opportunism elicited). Institutionalisation/Intemporalisation percolation channels are the institutionalised relays for human survival-and-flourishing-teleology, whether diffusely from internalisation-and/or-formalism, and are increasingly vital with higher institutionalisations, and most vital for prospective perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism, such that abstractions that will normally hardly be socially integrated going just by averaging human temporal-to-intemporal nature, can actually come from outlier intemporal-disposition to inform social institutionalisation/intemporalisation, thus emphasising how vital percolation channels are for institutional-cumulation beyond just the consciousness appraisal of temporal-dispositions. Institutionalisation/Intemporalisation percolation channels imply that the would-be intellectual analyst can perfectly uphold intrinsic reality over 'social-and-temporal-trading' and still impose veridicality (if truly veridical) over populist-inclined dispositions which are not veridical, just by the fact of the extendedly implied positive-opportunism for human survival-and-flourishing imbued in institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels. This implies that an exercise in institutionalisation/intemporalisation beyond just

intemporal philosophical projection is needed for the social integration of any transcending veridicality paradigm (the latter being any notion that put in question informal or formal conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding ways of perceiving and doing things for supposedly prospective better ways). Correspondingly, the social-construct cannot be and should not be related to as a philosophical construct since it is rather ‘conventionalised from institutionalisation/intemporalisation (secondnature), and has not evolved as of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection; as it may be inclined to make references to temporal reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are demented/of-perverted-registry/subknowledging/mimicking–and–epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising-these. This brings forth the idea of ‘ordered construct’ between the intemporal firstnature/intemporal (organic-comprehension-thinking) and temporal-and-poorly-secondnature/institutionalised (mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, in relation to transcending meaning. Such ordered construct ensures precedence of the former as it skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) solipsistically towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation while the latter skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) for temporal preservation. Anecdotally, moral philosophy as <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness (organic-comprehension-thinking) creates law/legal-conventions but then questions of justice cannot be attended to by populist-social-construct (mechanical-comprehension-dementing in

hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation) since only a developed sense of moral philosophy as <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness (organic-comprehension-thinking) ensures sound jurisprudence as a human intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm rather than a temporal extricatory paradigm. ‘Prior or circumstantial social integration gatekeeping construals or institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels’ that can enable the superseding of conventioning in the social integration of ontological veridicality include existing channels of formalisms/officialdom which have naturally been instituted to allow for the supersedingness of intemporal/ontological constructs and intemporal dispositions. For instance, formal institutions selectivity mechanisms; and where the latter fail or are fallacious, basic positive-opportunism wherein the ontologising construct elicits positive-opportunism for the undermining of defective conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding constructs/categorical-imperatives of meaning (for instance, a natural causes disease conception leading to more cures such that positive-opportunism then undermines a superstitious-driven disease theory which leads to more pain and deaths). The big idea here is that, it is naïve philosophically to operate mainly on the basis of ‘ontological rightness of transcendence’ with respect to a species whose construct is structured to be temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) to intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) requiring skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/differential-formalisation-transference to the latter. And any such ‘ontological transcendence by mere rightness’ has never been acquiesced to for the sole reason of its intrinsic rightness. For instance, round world idea never took off even though it

was ontologically right (as the medieval conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding construct and strongly ingrained social dispositions). It is the generated untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining together with positive-opportunism coming from sailors sailing around the world on this idea to seek for spices and create wealth that constrained/institutionalised the medieval world into such an ontological transformation/transcendence. Part and parcel of ontological transformation/transcendence is the existential cynicism to grasp the human sense of internal contradictions and positive-opportunism to introduce and uphold these by the mechanism known as institutionalisation/intemporalisation. Regarding futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism undermining of procrypticism, it is doubtful that pertinent ontological constructs and generally the ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought dynamics of procrypticism’ are by themselves a sufficient basis for the direct and immediate social integration of deprocrypticism because of its ‘rightness’ over conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding. Part and parcel of the intellectual exercise is to understand how to manage the mechanism of transcendence wherein new and more profound ontological constructs are introduced and upheld, particularly by way of institutional percolatiuon channels for intemporal transcendence.

However, it should be noted that the conceptualisation of ‘conventioning’ is not wholly antipodal to ‘ontologising/intrinsic-veridicality’ as the latter prospective integration in the social-construct is through the former; ‘conventioning’ is thus a dynamic conceptualisation articulating, on the one hand, how prospective temporality/shortness undermines/subknowledges-or-mimics the intemporal/ontological construction of meaning (like postlogism-slantedness, miscues, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par-conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation, with respect to reference-of-

thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the intemporal meaning), and on the other hand, how prospective intemporality/longness is regenerated to supersede/transcend such perversion-of-reference-of-thought and bring about new recomposuring reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation).

Organic-comprehension-thinking as highlighted above contrasts with ‘mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’ which is rather temporal-driven (whether ignorance at best, slantedness/psychopathy, affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), being intemporal-driven, with respect to transcendence points to the fact that the articulation of meaning registered differently in two registry-worldviews/dimensions, the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as retrospective and transcendental as prospective, is/should be wholly referenced intemporally from the superseding transcendence that upholds intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; as the ‘intemporal mind’ can’t go after the value reference of both registry-worldviews/dimensions since transcendence is about ‘subverting’ perversion-of-reference-of-thought by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. For instance, the non-positivism/medievalism value references of aristocracy/class are contrarian to positivistic value references for the possibility of equal opportunities; and the intemporal projecting positivistic mind in medieval times has no business trying to appear ‘great and wonderful’ with respect to ‘conventioned’ value

reference of aristocracy/class in the medieval world even though it is the dominant and encultured collective mental-disposition. Likewise, such logic will apply regarding deprocrypticism and procrypticism requiring a reasoning that goes beyond the ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present’ mindset/reference-of-thought of our current procryptic mental-disposition, i.e. ‘the limit of ontological thought is not the banal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> of a registry-worldview/dimension’. Otherwise no progress is possible as a dimension progresses exactly because it has defects which when overcome enables the progress to occur! So the intemporal mind cannot as such ‘be impressionable’ by the banal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> of a registry-worldview/dimension. It points to the fact that it is ‘perfectly ok’ to be ‘unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and value-reference-wise unresponsive’ to the subknowledge-⟨dementing-as-if-of-sound-knowledge⟩ apriorising–registry but rather alienative as to the possibility for its psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. The ‘apparent profoundness’ of such temporal reference of thought is rather ‘depth-of-ignorance’ rather than ‘depth-of-elucidation’. Mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation arises as a result of shallow mental-dispositions induced by temporal-dispositions, and their disambiguation should be called for, and not candored/straightened/integratively-aligned as if intemporal/longness in nature but rather decandored / oblongated / transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as temporal/shortness. Mechanical-

comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as such is rather a ‘flatness-of-the-mind’ involving temporality, ‘mental triteness’ and ‘gullibility’ with respect to, in the case of psychopathy, insane/slantedness integration as social psychopathy; and more generally, ‘lack of intemporal philosophical depth’, i.e. lack of spontaneous <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness inclination (the-guy-who-spontaneously-stands-out-against-say-a-genocide or the milgram-experiment-guy-who-sticks-with-what-is-reality-rather-than-going-with-the-flow, etc.) not to be confused with secondnaturing/institutionalisation, and as a consequence an inclination to compromise intemporality/longness as ‘conventioning (social-temporal-thresholding) of meaning’ rather than ‘ontologising (intemporal-uncompromising) of meaning’. Overall ‘mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’ points to the fundamental processes of ‘social temporal miscuing of meaning’ and the effective temporal consequences whether regarding defective enculturation or defective social ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology. This thus requires ‘deconventioning-for-ontologising involving the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation exercise of undermining conventioning at uninstitutionalised-threshold (due to the inescapable veridicality of human individuation temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness which inevitably induces perversion-of-reference-of-thought at uninstitutionalised-threshold); deconventioning as such skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) and restores ontological veridicality for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation.

An essential element underlying the psychopathic and other postlogical relationship with meaning has to do with the nature of attachment to meaning. A postlogical mind doesn't view meaning articulations as 'inherently sanctuous' and thus is inclined to produce mechanically whatever deductions that may engage an interlocutor in conviction/prelogically/prelogically even if these are hollow mimicking non-veridical narratives, i.e. vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated). On the other hand, prelogism-as-of-conviction/thinking imply more of an organic alignment view of meaningful articulations as 'inherently sanctuous', i.e. 'existential-contextualising-contiguity/meaningful-projection-of-intrinsicness'. Going by these two facts, the postlogical and psychopathic mindset/reference-of-thought is readily inclined to call upon a broad base of vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging narratives (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) whereas the prelogic/conviction mindset/reference-of-thought is inclined to call upon just the narratives it sincerely thinks are relevant/due and intrinsically real. So it is critical not to confuse the over-articulation of postlogical narratives (vague mechanical stylising-of-locution) with an organic depth-of-thought or profoundness, given that these involve postlogism-slantedness, disjointed-logic, miscuing, inventions and platitudes from the postlogical mindset, requiring decandoring/oblongating/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. Ontologically speaking, meaning is an essential construct of human mental-devising-representation meant to allow for human intemporal teleology. A postlogic-formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-

demented relation to such a conceptualisation is sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi to ontology and is thus regarded as ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought referencing’ that is ontologically inconsistent as it counts on the fact that others remain intemporal/ontological for it to exist parasitising/co-optingally. Worst still such vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging tend to be integrated at uninstitutionalised-threshold of conventioning/social-temporal-thresholds.

Without a sense of ‘rational-realism’ (the veridicality of meaning involving not only the logical processing/operation of narratives but precedingly temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation, i.e. in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), by prelogism-as-of-conviction reflex, prelogic/conviction and postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-demented narratives will be analysed at the same pedestal towards construing veridicality/intrinsic-reality. Such an analysis is wrong as an inherently prelogic/conviction mental-disposition will rather re-accentuate prelogic/conviction constructs in contention situations whereas the characteristic of postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as of postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing, whether direct as with the psychopath postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ or induced as temporal-dispositions conjugated-postlogism in ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ of psychopath’s postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-

dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’, is about a mental-disposition to re-undermine intrinsic-reality/veridicality hence its looping nature as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic. Hence once the hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as of postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’-or-impulsively-dementing is established in an interlocutor, the ontological construct is not to allow it be meaningfully sound (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied-registry and thus implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) to be contending but rather transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to it to reflect its perversion-of-reference-of-thought. The application of the universal technique of human transcendence to procrypticism-deprocrypticism transcendence can be basically be articulated as follows (the ontological entrapment):

- prelogism-as-of-conviction ANCHORING (‘setup of conviction meaning’)
- DOWNGRADING (psychopath’s hollow mimicking narrative wrongly ‘slanting the conviction meaning’)
- MISCUING (temporal-dispositions first aligning prelogically/in-conviction/prelogically to the slantedness of the prelogism-as-of-conviction anchoring at ignorance pedestal, and then by successive temporal pedestals of affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, ‘integrating/adopting deliberate postlogical dispositions with respect to the initial conviction meaning’)
- denaturing REGISTERING/STRANDING (the intemporal-disposition/ontology stigmatising of temporal-dispositions as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions acting as the preempted backdrop for reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-

teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/real-shades-of-the-real registry-worldview/dimension with its subsequent psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, just as strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions of the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought are what act as the preempted backdrop for prospective positivism and the subsequent psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that followed) – PERCOLATION (the intemporal-disposition/ontology eliciting untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, medium to long term positive-opportunism, registering of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought for social universal-transparency and then its transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith; to fundamentally undermine procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and bring about deprocrypticism, and so cross-generationally, and not instant argumentation convincing intradimensionally in a registry-worldview/dimension that is defective or perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the first place). Ontology being the intemporal-disposition, the exercise of ‘directing convincing’ to temporal-dispositions is inherently unwarranted and is rather <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, with pertinence being about ‘articulating and directing’ intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness towards the ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels’; the latter being utterly impersonal (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms) which allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively.

By ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ (where there is no ‘intemporal social universal-transparency as well as no temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation/unequivalences/alienative-hierarchisation’) is meant, the possibilities of human dispositions and acts beyond frameworks that have not been institutionalised; manifesting as (uninstitutionalisation) ‘temporal-threshold logic’ or ‘discomfiture’. So the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the positive registry-worldview will refer to procrypticism (requiring deprocrypticism), to the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview it will refer to non-positivism/medievalism (requiring positivism), to the ununiversalised registry-worldview it will refer to ununiversalisation (requiring universalisation), and to the recurrent-utter-institutionalised apriorising–registry worldview it will refer to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (requiring base-institutionalisation).

Institutionalisation and formalisation are based exactly on the fact that we don't have a universal intemporality/longness or the-good disposition, but rather according to the mediocrity principle of science we are solipsistically temporal-to-intemporal in our mental-disposition with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’. Hence we tend to build artifices (institutions with their formal rules) by the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference of our collective thought process in the medium to long perspective towards intemporal-preservation-entropy, to dominate and preempt temporal dispositions. This explains why modern man (positivistic registry-worldview) is apparently more evolved/developed than he/she should normally be compared to previous generations (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised men, ununiversalised men, non-positivism/medievalism

men, and prospectively, how he/she will be superseded by the deprocryptic man). It doesn't mean that modern man has a genetic makeup or hardware that is different from the others. The difference is the cumulated 'software' or institutionalisations and formalisations that have been internalised into modern man. Anthropologists know that if you were to take a newly born child from a society like those that do not have contact with the modern world, and raise the child in a modern family, there is no different outcome on average as with any other child bred in the modern world. So our faith in virtue is not in our inherent excellence/exceptionalism but the excellence/exceptionalism of the software/institutionalisation that has cumulated, and insightfully, which creative template we will prospectively develop! Incidentally institutionalisation and formalisation ensures that we take the best form of human individuation thinking/capacity potential and constrain society and individuals to that individuation thinking/capacity potential, and inherently so, by the overall positive-opportunism to the cross-section of the species since it better grasp intrinsic reality and its virtues!

By ; solipsism means I exist alone (with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality), and this author notionally interpret solipsism as the deepest sense of existence and meaning available to an individual in its spontaneous emanance or becoming, and as it projects itself 'purely and universally'. It is a firstnature/intemporal construct beyond and 'inventing the possibility' of secondnature institutionalisation, and places all humans at all times at the same pedestal of virtuous and ontological appraisal, as it is about our 'transcendental valour' irrespective of the level of institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure at which we are. It contrasts with institutionalisation/intemporalisation which is 'a negotiated and secondnature or nurtured construct with respect to existence and meaning around social-stake-contention-or-confliction'. Institutionalisation/intemporalisation as such,

by way of positive-opportunism and inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of temporal-dispositions, has at least the merit of allowing for the possibility for human temporal-dispositions to be skewed ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal-disposition, and thus enabling social transcendence which is upheld by formalisation and internalisation.

By ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is meant that 'intrinsic reality' is one and given (ontology), and that the flaws and corrections in how we go about representing 'intrinsic reality' (metaphysics/temporal-human-centered) has no influence on reality's intrinsic nature. Our mental-devising-representation of the world in 5000 BC, 2000 AD and possibly 5000 AD might be worlds apart, but the intrinsic nature of reality never changed and will never change an iota. So our knowledge construct is more of a proxying to intrinsic reality to grasp the possibilities of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and thus a better grasp of the world; hence proxying mentation-capacity level as the various institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures. That idea that intrinsic reality is preceding/superseding is known as 'postconvergence' (we are converging to reality and not adding or taking away anything from it, it is us being illuminated as reality is already given). In the exercise of construing ontological veridicality what gives in when the pertinence of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is known is the human psyche (whether by candoring/straightness/prelogism when pertinent or decandoring/slantedness/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought when impertinent), intrinsic reality never gives in (that's why we are mortals and our hope is to always give-in to

intrinsic reality for the possibilities of the future). This latter point is important as by reflexan epistememic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/temporal-human-centered dimension in its flaws will strive to preserve itself by <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag its registry-worldview/categorical-imperatives (setting-aside of perversion-and-derived-perversion-reference-of-thought) rather than psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetic-reordering (coring and superseding the perversion-and-derived-perversion-reference-of-thought) for prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation.

By ‘intemporal transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ is meant ontological-normalcy/postconvergence meaningfulness-and-teleology as so articulated above is ontologically veridical but that does not necessarily imply the metaphysical framework temporal mental-dispositions will recognise that (i.e. there is no ontological-contiguity between registry-worldviews references-of-thought as this falsely implies ‘no temporal-to-intemporal disambiguation, i.e. equivalence of references-of-thought/no-alienative-hierarchisation, whereas what is warranted is ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling’); and that it is transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of such constructed veridicality in its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework determinism and operance that will undermine other possible ‘temporal perverted-transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing-meaning’ by rendering them untenable/internal-contradiction and inoperant (not a ‘convincing’ at the philosophical or emanance level, rather a ‘constraining’ at the institutionalisation/intemporalisation secondnaturing level out of ontological-

primemovers-totalitative-framework); noting that ‘temporal perverted-transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing meaning’ imply temporal meaning cannot-be-registered as/have-the-registry of the ‘intemporal-disposition which is ontological’, so are stranded-as-rightfully-oblongated/decandored (dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive or dialectically-out-of-phase)’, i.e. are in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, (all along the apriorising-registry-elements: implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) of the mental-devising-representation from the intemporal-disposition/ontological perspective. Ontology being of the intemporal-disposition, the exercise of ‘directing logical convincing’ to temporal-dispositions is inherently unwarranted and is rather <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, with pertinence being about ‘articulating and directing’ intemporal/ontologically-contiguous meaningfulness towards ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework which induces the positive-opportunism and untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining for its supersedingness in the ‘institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels’; the latter being utterly impersonal (law, officialdoms and subject matter formalisms) and allows for an abstraction of the virtue of ontological contiguity that personalised social-and-temporal-trading doesn’t allow reflexively. This is underlying transcendental-enabling/sublimating notion while often obscured in the social <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality due to their ‘emotional involvement’ is immediately obvious with the natural sciences whereby the physicists nor chemists nor biologists worries about convincing anyone but is rather in the business of ‘the convincing from natural truths’ which then do not ask for human temporal validation but

impose themselves because natural truths inherently supersede human egotistic/-
<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag opinionatedness!

Postconvergence, in the bigger scheme of things, implies that knowledge has to do with the development of our ‘mentation capacity’ (an entropic-referential memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling exercise), across ‘retrospective-and-prospective history’, in grasping ‘intrinsic reality/veridicality’ which ‘has always and will always be ontologically same’. So the concern is about ‘us’; in the appropriateness of the registries we make of intrinsic-reality across retrospective-and-prospective history or rather shifting dialectical moments of relative-ontological-completeness! The articulation of reality, registry-worldviews/dimensions, mental strands (perverted or not), and other constructs of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework is ‘at-a-superseding-pedestal and incisive/blunt’ by the very nature of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reality. For instance, supposed a society with a non-positivism/medievalism belief system attributes the cause of a disease to say witchcraft, that doesn’t stop the reality of bacteria causing the disease even if such a representation of reality isn’t in the present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present of that society. Such an ontological conceptualisation of reality equally applies in our times where it can be demonstrated prospectively that our mental-devising-representation of meaning regarding a phenomenon is out of kilter, and reality won’t stop to accommodate us or our banality of thought. Thus the conceptualisation of reality is rather articulated at this depth-of-thought whether it accommodates our present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present or not (reality personality), and operates by an ordered construct based on ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not a disposition of averageness/banality/popularity/extrinsic-attribution-of-thought recurrent in

uninstitutionalised-threshold in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-
 parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology), allowing
 for the possibility of transcendental meaning, institutionalisation/intemporalisation (skewing
 ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) for intemporal
 domination) and human progress; given human temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness
 dispositions. Such an articulation of reality introduces the concept of 'reasoning-
 through/abjection' over 'incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-
 disjointedness'. Reasoning-through/abjection refers to the uncompromising and non-
 negotiable nature of reality with respect to the meaningful frames of mortal creatures that we
 are as reality doesn't adjust to our beliefs, desires, wishes, whims or miscues. Reasoning-
 through/abjection then implies that meaning is articulated exclusively in terms-as-of-
 axiomatic-construct of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and anything else is
 defined, whether to be candored or to be decandored, at that ordered construct point-of-
 reference or point-referencing. Reason is thus ontologically a 'reasoning-through' as allowed
 through in a 'pure, organic and intemporally uncompromising state' by reality ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework 'at-a-superseding-pedestal and incisively/bluntly'.
 Incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought refer to the human reflex to average minds or make reference to
 extrinsic elements rather than meaning by its inherence as can be predicated effectively, and
 involves 'reasoning with', as it introduces 'temporal and social trading' elements over or
 clouding or compromising inherent intemporal veridicality. Incrementalism-in-relative-
 ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as such is
 patently wrong; as can be perceived from point-referencing superseding registry-
 worldviews/dimensions such that the ontological representation of the veridicality is different

from the different perspectives of an recurrent-utter-institutionalised registry-worldview and the superseding institutionalised registry-worldview, and likewise with the ununiversalised and superseding universalised registry-worldviews, the non-positivism/medievalism and superseding positivistic registry-worldviews, and prospectively the procryptic and superseding deprocryptic registry-worldviews. It implies that ‘it isn’t veridically weird’ to articulate depths-of-meaning that may apparently seem idiosyncratic in our present illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness registry-worldview, as the issue is not with such an articulation per se but rather ‘our defective apriorising–registry point-referencing threshold’, and implying rather the need for our psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought. Fundamentally, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in human thinking as indicated above with the various institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures is superseded by reasoning-through/abjection; in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing at-a-superseding-pedestal, and represented oblongated/decandored/mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness or transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, given the fact that this reflects apriorising–registry defect and not logical defect.

More precisely, how can meaning be represented in a ‘prospective apriorising–registry state’ which is ontologically more real contrasted to a present ‘retrospective apriorising–registry’, as meaning ‘temporally seems’ to vary depending on the uninstitutionalised-threshold point-of-reference to imply at one moment it is intemporal and at another it is temporal? This fundamentally has to do with our <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness

projection irrespective of the uninstitutionalised-threshold, and calls for PEDESTALLED
 CONSTRUAL or PEDESTALLED DISAMBIGUATION to skew/deferential-formalisation-
 transference meaning towards the intemporal/longness disposition for intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, as
 institutionalisation/intemporalisation. Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation thus
 involves at a given uninstitutionalised-threshold translating the ‘apparently prelogism-as-of-
 conviction or prelogical teleological finality of a temporal-disposition into its veridical non-
 conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing, non-
 conviction or postlogical perversion-of-reference-of-thought teleological finality, and so
 successively across the hodgepodging of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-
 <mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> relaying of temporal registries
 (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
 negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) as strands-of-
 perverting-temporal-dispositions, referenced from the intemporal-disposition teleology in
 construing new recomposuring reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
 or—ontological-preservation in anticipation and preemption of the backdrop of temporal
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought of previous reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—
 ontological-preservation. Technically, pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation
 should involve reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting from the intemporal-disposition
 pedestal teleology finality/questioning mental-profoundness (deep candor) the relative
 longness/shortness-of-teleology of temporal-dispositions teleologies finalities/questioning
 mental-triteness (light candor), starting with slantedness pedestal finality/questioning (which

is the psychopath's insane/slantedness-fitment-roaming/drifting-cycle), and as it conjugates/inflects across other temporal pedestals teleology finalities/questioning (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation points to the fact that the social representation of meaning is transversal/logically incongruent at uninstitutionalised-threshold as reflected by human temporal-to-intemporal dispositions (hence the need to articulate various pedestals of 'questioning depth-of-thought' and 'strands of depth-of-meaningfulness' to reflect effective meaningful representation from the intemporal-disposition point-of-reference). Where meaning is not articulated within an institutionalised/intemporalised framework, the idea of logical-congruence (a common reference of meaning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of reference-of-thought and logic) should be avoided due to perversion-of-reference-of-thought whether psychopathic or not, and pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation is then required using distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought to establish the ontological pre-eminence of the intemporal-disposition. Instances of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought rather point to uninstitutionalised-threshold, whether retrospectively or prospectively, as there is wrong equivalence of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in the articulation of meaning; instead of the pedestalled supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition as it is all about intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (superseding various shades of temporal preservations). Otherwise, perversion-of-reference-of-thought induces a 'free for all' false equivalence wrongly construed as of intemporality/longness (rather than the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor). Accounting for distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is what ends such a 'free for all' and is the

basis of pedestals alienative hierarchisation as referenced from the intemporal-disposition thus bringing about institutionalisation/intemporalisation (given the social cross-sectional eliciting of social universal-transparency, untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, positive-opportunism and transcendence-unenabling-uninstitutionalised-threshold in alienation—as-inauthentic/poorly-objectified/poorly-desubjectified-as-objectified/ontological-bad-faith, for psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in the medium to long-run percolation) with corresponding dismissal of temporal-dispositions-teleologies as dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) as the backdrop for the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the intemporal-disposition anticipation and preemption of these for the institutionalisation/intemporalisation.

Pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation explains the dynamism of human institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure going by a recurrent emanance template that involves:

(1) Free-for-all implying an equivalence of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as being all intemporal (rather than temporal-to-intemporal), with the result that meaning then becomes veridically a hotchpotch of various formulaic-association, temporal, existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, and the intemporal-emanance, without apriorising—registry disambiguation (as apriorising—registry disambiguation, into the intemporal and various conjugating temporal-dispositions of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of 'reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-

conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing', allows for the establishment of contextualisation in articulating the contrast of the intemporal-disposition's organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and temporal-dispositions mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation – involving slanting by psychopath, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising – with temporal-dispositions in varied shades of temporal conjugation/inflection to psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework dispositions; thus enabling the stifling (undermining the ontological-veridicality) of temporal-dispositions and skewing ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating), by way of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition for institutionalisation's/intemporalisation's intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation). For instance, a state of nature (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) application of the law variably making reference to circumstantial social power relations and spontaneously articulated notions of vices and virtues but no or poor universal rules (mob situations as well as social psychopathic situations will fall under such an interpretation as well).

(2) Pedestalling ('intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness pedestalling) articulates the relative grandor and virtuous consequence of the pedestalled

supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition by its intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation that then leads to society's temporal-to-intemporal cross-sectional '⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection induced deference'; whether deference with regards to a superstition/belief system/religion, essences/universal-notions, positivist idealism/principles-rationalism (and prospectively rational-realism as of deprocrypticism), involving a posture (institutionalised disposition) of the sort 'the-say-that or it-is-said-that' as '⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection induced deference' to the intemporal/longness disposition, for instance, 'scientists say that', 'the Bible says that', 'it is said that one should not set foot in that forest as it will bring bad luck', etc. This 'the-say-that/it-is-said-that' '⟨formative⟩epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection induced deference' explains why institutionalisation/intemporalisation has been happening across human history; whether deference from personalised/animists beliefs to philosophical, religious and other social belief systems, deference from haphazard application of social rules to universal notions, laws and principles, deference from spirit-and-mystical-driven notions of nature and various alchemies to a modern scientific construct system. Hence the very place of the averageness/banality-of-human-thought-and-meaning in history has been for it to defer to superseding intemporal-disposition construal by 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness pedestalling. There is no such thing as allowing thought-and-meaning to the whims of masses thinking but rather deference to 'reality/veridicality predicating constructs'; as enabled abstractly and existentially by the human individuation intemporal-emanant-registry in superseding human individuations temporal-dispositions.

‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling carries the implication that reference-of-thought and meaningfulness is fundamentally/ontologically structured for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and hence the precedence of higher intemporal teleologies over low temporal teleologies of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness; and that subpar structuring of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness not for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation but rather as perversion-of-reference-of-thought of subpar reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as uninstitutionalised-threshold is ‘perverted reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ (-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag), and is ontologically-demented (dialectically-demented) whether from a superseding/transcending registry/registry-worldview reference-of-thought/veridical-thinking-reference-over-dementing-reference that is retrospective (like base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), present (like positivism over non-positivism/medievalism) or prospective (like deprocrypticism over procrypticism/the-’dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag-of-the-positivistic-registry-worldview-or-dimension-categorical-imperatives-or-axioms-or-registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation). ‘Intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling underlines the fundamental nature of institutionalisation/intemporalisation not as a temporal-dispositions- to intemporal-disposition transformation (not emanance transformance) but rather ‘a positive-opportunism constraining construct’ involving ‘intemporal deferential-formalisation-transference’ (such that just as

jurisprudentialism is dismissive of whatever we'll like to think of it in our social-and-temporal-trading context about the law which is rather articulated as a formal conceptualisation and constraint to be internalised as a universal construct to avoid its 'downgrading' by mobbish or other temporal social inclinations, likewise with many a subject-matter domain). In the same vain, the outcrop of an organic-comprehension-thinking 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness conceptualisation of deprocrypticism over procrypticism can only be construed within a formal institutionalised articulation not opened to 'temporal/ordinary disposition contention' as is the case with subject-matter constructs, but rather an institutionalised percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> exercise, so as to avoid temporal-dispositions denaturing as is the case with all formal constructs, which rather strive to uphold the intemporal/longness-of-register-or-depth-of-meaningfulness teleology while relying on principled methods.

Prospectively, the intellectual exercise involved in articulating procrypticism-deprocrypticism and psychopathy and its corollary social psychopathy, will have to imply a '<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection induced deference' of the averageness/banality-of-thought (temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions) for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/right-shades-of-the-real-institutionalisation/intemporalisation. '<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection induced deference' of the cross-section of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor to the intemporal-disposition in order for

institutionalisation/intemporalisation to take place is critical in inducing the requisite psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (in relation to the unchanging-nature/same-intrinsicness of reality) for human retrospective-and-prospective progress/transcendence; and is necessary by the inherent fact of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, going by the mediocrity principle (if men were only of intemporal-disposition, no institutionalisation/intemporalisation nor ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling will be necessary as the mere exposure-to/contemplation-of ‘rightness of thought and meaning’ will suffice for transcendence; such a complete human being doesn’t and has never existed, and not even philosopher-kings from the Socrates, Aristotles and others who explore such possibilities, even though intemporal-disposition possibilities will tend to accrue more to such ‘philosopher-kings’ individuals). For the big picture, this point to the fact that institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure/anthropological-continuity/anthropopsychology is only possible for one reason, a continuity in the intemporal-disposition institutionalisation/intemporalisation (with ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection induced deference’) of the cross-section of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. Where, and if, intemporal-disposition was to possibly end or be upended (either because of lack of further human intemporal-disposition mentation-capacity for higher levels-of-transcendence, in the dynamism of individual potential, i.e. the solipsistic disposition of individuals’ individuations to assume universal projection of longness-of-thought-and-meaning, or social-construct potential, i.e. where

grander institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not confused and implied on the naivety that the institutionalised social-construct is of intemporal-disposition rather than a temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions construct requiring ‘transcending any perversion-of-reference-of-thought of the <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’), then ‘human transcendence and civilisation will stall’ (of course, such an insight is purely from an ontological point-of-reference, and not a temporal <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness point-of-reference)!

(3) The establishment of institutionalisation/intemporalisation involves necessarily ‘delegated gatekeeping and institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels processes’ to uphold it thereafter with formalisms and officialdom surrounding it with respect to temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts and corruption dispositions. For instance, the institutionalisation/intemporalisation of ‘scientific chemistry’ comes with a ‘chemistry lingua’ accessible to those sharing and/or educated to uphold the meaningful frame, on the justification that they explain and account more about the material world than any other alternative. This justification goes on to make them formalism and officialdom percolating to the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) such that over time alchemic and superstitious conceptualisations of material meaning are effectively destroyed while equally seeing to it that pseudo-scientism is kept at bay. ‘Delegated gatekeeping and institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels processes’; because such a pedestalled supersedingness is only as valid as to when it is the grandest construal of material meaning until, and if, it is shown not to be the case. A further and nonetheless important reason for such delegation is the relative superficiality generally associated with

averageness/banality-of-thought <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection construal
 of meaning, and not to speak of its discomposure to the convolutedness often required in
 articulating and grasping intemporal meaning as
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm. Besides, this raises other issues related to a
 more or less temporal take of an ontological/intemporal enterprise with regards to
 articulations that are meant to have universal import (import of metaphorically-a-million-and-
 one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation across space and time) rather
 than for the sake of any particular circumstantial/temporal take/extricator-situation in
 whichever locale, that is, an extricator paradigm. A failure to grasp the intellectual-analyst
 posture rather as a proxying-of-intrinsic-reality-as-ontology as per ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework validation and that there-is-no-discretionary-construal-of-
 ontology/ontological-reality since intrinsic reality is superseding of all mortals including the
 intellectual-analyst. Basically the issue of the intellectual-analyst exercise in grasping such an
 intrinsic-reality is a proxying one superseded by the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework of reality 'which in no way depends on any notion of the intellectual-analyst's
 choice/luxury' (as the intellectual-analyst might actually have by another individuation chose
 not an intemporal/ontological projection but a temporal posture 'in moral/intellectual
 equivalence with temporal mental projections' with nefarious temporal consequences).
 Basically, there is nothing like an intemporal temporality/shortness whereby there is any
 intemporality/longness in accommodating human temporality. Likewise, supposedly the
 intellectual-analyst was to come short in its intemporal projection or other universal values by
 temporal manipulation, it is very naïve to 'reason and projecting temporally' that eliciting
 such 'an inductive-limitation (the-paradox-of-a-universal-rule-that-doesn't-apply-universally-

but-to-a-specific-circumstance-to-satisfy-a-temporal-urging)/gotcha-logic/suggestibility’ should undermine the essence of ontological/intemporal meaning which is ‘above a human intellectual proxying exercise to it’ and doesn’t depend on it to exist inherently, is nothing but temporal naivety. The reality of a round world doesn’t depend on its recognition of a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought for it to exist likewise with any veridicality/intrinsic-reality regarding psychopathy and a social manifestation whether it is palatable or not. Finally, temporal-dispositions as eliciting temporal vices-and-impediments are in no way qualified to contend about intemporal articulation/projection. In effect, such temporal pretence are nothing but <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental-dispositions meant to satisfy the ‘mortals temporal preservation’ on the basis of ‘locale context logic’ and not ‘intemporal preservation as ontological veridicality with the potential for a grander human good’ on the basis of ‘universal implications’; as inevitably, ontologically, the resolution of ontological/being perversion-of-reference-of-thought defects (and as per their manifestation and conjugation as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) are as prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions constructs that supersede the prior/superseded registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (uninstitutionalisation structurally superseded/resolved/rendered-

inoperant by base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation by universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism by positivism, and prospectively procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought by deprocrypticism). Supposed the intellectual-analyst was to act temporally to the point of overlooking such ontological implications to the level of lowly temporal minds, lowly because not universal-projecting, it won't mean that the ontological reality will evaporate. It will simply mean that the intellectual-analyst has failed in its intemporal/ontological projection, more like Darwin doesn't have the choice/luxury of deciding from his insight that evolution doesn't exist in placating any temporal mortals or Galileo doesn't have the choice/luxury of deciding from his insight that the world is not round in placating any temporal mortals, and if they were to make that choice they affirm nothing more than their 'aggrandised mortality'. The blunt/incisive reality is that they being in that position to affirm intemporality/ontology/intrinsic-reality-as-providing-future-universal-possibilities-for-the-human-species are the 'very tip of the possibility of human civilisation' and their moral/intellectual posture is to 'bluntly look down' to the 'little mortal creatures of temporality' and 'shepherd the sheepishness-of-the-species' to grander civilisational grounds. It is an ontological 'moral and intellectual responsibility and privilege', actually, to be in any such position, going by the eudaemonic-contemplation which is what 'effectively grants existential moral and intellectual superiority' and not naïve temporality/shortness accommodating conventioning constructs about any such pretence which is nothing more than temporal/the-mortal's perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag; as any such is not the intemporal-disposition that started base-institutionalisation (to thwart recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) through universalisation (to thwart ununiversalisation), positivism (to thwart non-positivism/medievalism), and prospectively its intemporal-disposition that will

enable deprocrypticism (to thwart procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and thereafter; the intemporal individuation as such projects in an ‘abstract eternity’ which is what allows for the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. Temporal-dispositions may not need to understand as of for the pertinence of intrinsic reality to be established as it is preceding/postconvergence, anyway, that is why it is ‘a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise’, and ‘not human temporal-dispositions transformation exercise’ into intemporality! Ultimately, like all institutionalisation/intemporalisation construct, there is a ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection induced deference’ to such an ontological construal by way of formalism-and-officialdom as the temporality/averageness/banality-of-thought is not allowed to imply an <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection depth with respect to such ontological construal (due to the reality of the mediocrity principle that we are not as of intemporal but temporal-to-intemporal, and hence the need for the artifice to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference for intemporality) otherwise we would be working with moral philosophy and not law, subject-matter informalities and not formalisms, etc. There is no such thing as ‘intemporal temporality’ as mental-dispositions ‘geared to accommodate temporality’ are doing nothing but providing the anchoring for the endemisation and enculturation of the vices-and-impediments associated with such temporal registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> as perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and hence are doing nothing but <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising; as the state of inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-

reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation with respect to ontological-normalcy (the latter assumed to be fully conceptually completed as deprocrypticism) as successively recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation recurrence, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism and positivism/procrypticism, is an inherent registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> in want for prospective transcendence (notwithstanding that the defect-in-temporal-preservation is instigated from postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness mental-disposition eliciting temporal inclinations of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in upholding its temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation). That is why psychopathy is better dealt with as ‘social psychopathy’ given that what is often and mostly overlooked is not with regards to the psychopath and its postlogical impulse to ‘hollow-constitute’/fail-intemporal-preservation as perversion-of-reference-of-thought but rather the ‘distortional effect on analysis’ arising from ‘postlogical/psychopathic elevation wittingly or unwittingly’ by prelogism-as-of-conviction mental-dispositions in conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration (by ignorance, at best, then affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) which then wrongly provide ‘conviction credulity’ to elevate and integrate the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of a ‘slanted mind’. As of , virtuous construal arises structurally from a universal/intemporal projection which is operant and deterministic with no room for ‘temporal discretion’ regarding the manifestation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in any registry-

worldview/dimension. The coherent and recurrent manifestation of phenomenal perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect in a registry-worldview/dimension speaks of the registry-worldview's/dimension's disposition to endemise/enculturate it. More like we don't have issues of sorcery and so in the positivistic society as structurally the positivistic registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology do not endemise/enculturate the notion and the social vices-and-impediments arising from it thereof. On the contrary, structurally the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology endemises/enculturate this with the consequent social vices-and-impediments. It is very naïve to think that psychopathy as a social phenomenon is limited in scope to contexts where psychopaths are involved rather than involving a much wider social basis to explain how the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension integrates, enculturates and endemises it as 'social psychopathy'. Just as prior/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions have undergone their prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence once it is established that the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are subknownledged/registry-perverted/dialectically-demented at their uninstitutionalised-threshold and thus the need for new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, likewise the positivistic dimension perversion-of-reference-of-thought subknowledging/mimicking/registry-perverting/dialectical-dementing of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation known as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought implies that 'it is not and cannot be beyond a prospective

institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence exercise' known as deprocrypticism which highlights the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview's/dimension's enculturated/endemised vices-and-impediments associated with its perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and so, as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal, and not as a vague impression-driven construal. By and large, virtue is best understood as the knowledge/lack-of-knowledge ontological possibility offered in a registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought (whether as base-institutionalised, universalised, positivising or deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality) and not vagueness based on impression of discreet human or social qualities which just serve to confuse and distort the fundamental knowledge/lack-of-knowledge/understanding issue. This is very much in line with the virtues of all human subject-matter formalisms which are the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not vague impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness.

This elucidation shows that intrinsic-reality, accessible by 'reasoning-through transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing' only at-a-superseding-pedestal that is ontologically abject and incisive/blunt over human incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, is graspable in transcendence only by an active transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing construal involving 'intemporal-

prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness pedestalling (beyond 'temporal-and-social trading') by distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought.

As a reminder to the fact that pedestalled construal/pedestalled disambiguation is with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion (non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-impulsively-dementedness defect or a defect outside the logical paradigm of the said registry-worldview) and not logical defect (conviction defect or a defect in the operation/processing of the logical paradigm of the said registry-worldview); it is critical to note that the mental state of the registry-worldview/dimension involved with the psychopath's slantedness-integration is not a 'bad conviction' (which is a conviction or prelogism nonetheless) but a non-conviction-or-'existential-decontextualised-transposition'-or-impulsively-dementing or perversion-of-reference-of-thought or strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions, construed by the slanted protraction of the psychopath's slantedness inducing a social psychopathy; and it is these strands-of-perverting-temporal-dispositions including that of the psychopathy that are the subject of every institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure level's psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. Technically, it can be said that the underlying psychopathic phenomenon known as postlogism-as-of-non-conviction is associated with all the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures by its eliciting of 'protracted slantedness' in temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Hence, the need for '<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection induced

deference' to skew/deferential-formalisation-transference towards intemporal-disposition, as institutionalisation/intemporalisation.

This 'institutionalisation template' as articulated above implying 'a next best case approach' in 'construing the institutionalisation/intemporalisation of human virtue' where we are face with the reality that man is not as of intemporal but rather temporal/shortness-to-intemporal/longness may be counterintuitive with respect to our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness, as any present-consciousness is shaped to perceive itself as intemporal with the notion that its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/registry-teleology are perfectly sound. But we simply need to take a 'postconvergence' look of such 'ontological strands-of-pervverting-temporal-dispositions' regarding recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation from base-institutionalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference, ununiversal from universalisation institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference, non-positivism/medievalism from positivism institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference, and prospectively our procrypticism from deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation reference; to appreciate that such a representation is not farfetched and its implication of the need of our psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure over our perversion-of-reference-of-thought strands-of-pervverting-temporal-dispositions at our uninstitutionalised-threshold of procrypticism (involving our endemisation/enculturation of the protracted-slantedness of positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation along the various temporal-dispositions from ignorance to temporal enculturation/endemisation).

distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought (mental-slantedness or decandoring-of-the-mind or denaturing, and not soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candor): refers to the technique at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ (as against the natural reflex to align-in-conviction/prelogically or prelogism) by which to align the apriorising–registry to the postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation articulated by psychopathy and its corollary social psychopathy. Distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought is induced at the ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ by the ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation. It works like this, supposed by perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion (going by the two narratives highlighted above about the psychopath’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion) an interlocutor effectively integrates the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversions, at this ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold i.e. procrpticism’, the normal institutionalised/intemporalised logic (involving secondnaturing/supersedingness of institutionalised intemporal-disposition pedestal solipsistic/emanant disposition) do no longer operate cross-sectionally socially (as mental-dispositions revert there to temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions). This involves: (i) the ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ (which leads to acting as if the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion projected by the psychopath is not perverted) as there is a corresponding ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency’ (in the collective human mental-devising-

representation at this uninstitutionalised-threshold) about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion that would have made upholding such a perverted behaviour in the social-construct inopportune/untenable; (ii) this process can effectively be grasped ontologically (at the intemporal-disposition pedestal transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing disposition by the mechanism of alienative-hierarchisation) wherein a ‘given conviction or prelogism construct’ is as of undermined postlogically/perversion-of-reference-of-thought/mental-perversion by the psychopath’s postlogism-slantedness pedestal transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing disposition with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, and in succession by the derived postlogical temporal-dispositions perversion/mental-perversion pedestal transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, and correspondingly; (iii) an ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold aetiology’ of ‘temporal perverted-registries characterisations in their depth-of-teleologies/orientation as temporal-projections (more like mental-miscuing-projections as strands-of-temporal-dispositions-perversions, for instance, stranding-dialectics a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to a superstitious-disposition or ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought of universalisation categorical-imperatives’ and likewise stranding-dialectics a procryptic mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic categorical-imperatives’) and an aetiology of the intemporal-disposition/ontologising characterisation in its depth-of-teleology as intemporal/universal-projection ; (iv) in the bigger scheme of things, as explained further above ‘the abstract inherence of reality is given as it is ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ and supersedes/precedes/overrides/objects any defective reflex of

human mental devising of representation of meaning such that it is the latter, the psyche, that gives in when demonstrated to be impertinent abstractly, and hence in lieu of ‘prelogism/candoring/straightness reflex’, ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought (as decandored/oblongated) is always the mental apriorising–registry alignment with regards to the perversion-of-reference-of-thought registry-worldview, as positivism by stranding aligns non-positivism/medievalism distractively/decandored/oblongated/as-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/protracted-non-conviction, universalisation by stranding aligns ununiversalisation distractively/decandored/oblongated/as-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/protracted-non-conviction, base-institutionalisation by stranding aligns recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation distractively/decandored/oblongated/as-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/protracted-non-conviction, and prospectively (though counterintuitive, as well) deprocrypticism by stranding aligns procrypticism distractively/decandored/oblongated/as-mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/protracted-non-conviction; (v) in the bigger scheme of things, distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ will perfectly explain how ‘apparently sound human mental-dispositions’ within the scope of ‘institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ go on to produce such consequences as ‘crowd effects’ and worst still in teleologically-degraded social and political environments rationalise and/or partake in ‘genocidal acts’, for instance. Technically, distractive-alignment-to-

reference-of-thought by the temporal-dispositions involves simply conjugating/inflecting the underlying ‘(dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/hollow-mimicking) insane/slantedness fitment’ of the postlogical mind of the psychopath to ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

In the bigger scheme of things, the articulation of reality as referentially ontological-normalcy/postconvergence enables and allow creative thought possibilities that the all too common ‘fixated traditional categorisation conceptualisation of reality’ doesn’t allow, as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism has the strength of overcoming the fundamental difficult issue of ephemerality (as priorly explained with the concept of unconscionability-drag) as ‘it enables mental-devising-representation contiguity in recomposuring’ across all institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations. The reason this is possible is that such a referential ontological-normalcy/postconvergence representation is not shaped to prioritise any registry-worldview/dimension as being inherently the absolute reference of thought, such as we unwittingly do with our representation of reality due to the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness (a massive drawback in grasping veridical ontological reality especially in the ephemeral social world). With ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism we place reality as an abstract construct of oneness that is preceding-and-supersedes our-and-all temporal representations of meaning, and the exercise of articulating ontological/intemporal meaning then becomes ‘one of recomposuring how our temporal-and-all-temporal representations of meaning are recomposured to be internally coherent with the abstract ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism ‘sense of oneness of preceding-and-superseding intemporal/ontological meaning’ as implied by the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation’. The

insight we can thus garner is that in absolute terms veridical meaning as represented in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is ‘a hypothetical abstraction’ of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (more like attaining the abstract but veridical purity in a field of study like mathematics) in ‘unwinding’ applicative ‘colour/emotion/temporal-frame/aesthetics/memetics/psychical-representation’ of manifest teleologic-articulations as ‘subexistence-in-existence/existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ (deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness possibilities) – subexistence-in-existence being that which holds existential possibilities or existential potency for existential reality or ontological veridicality, as allowed by referential-depth or (‘allant’ or ‘fugue’ in French) or ‘natural emanant dynamic creative vitality/drive’, i.e. ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ‘unwinding’ as deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness – (more like the subconscious is that which holds existential possibilities/existential potency for ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness consciousness reality/veridicality, or more like quantum-mechanics is actually an ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness about evasive atomic-level physical reality, more like musical and/or artistic creativity hermeneutics is the subexistence-in-existence possibilities or existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness/existential-potency for ontologically-veridical ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ‘unwinding’ concrete music and/or art production). Thereafter, the ontological exercise is about having

ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-
 ontological-preservation) as ‘an ontologically-veridical abstract and infallible
 referencing/correction-tool’ enabling dynamic recomposuring projecting-and-reflecting: on
 the one hand, candoring/prelogism/organic-comprehension-thinking ontologising, or on the
 other hand, decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /mechanical-
 comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, even as intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation implies a continually-evasive/ephemeral
 social world dynamics but that is graspable in referential terms. This allows for a truly
 universal and dynamic psychological science (and sound foundation for grasping ‘the
 veridicality of meaning’). The tools for such an ontological entrapment is basically about
 ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of
 reference-of-thought’ of registry-worldview/dimensions successive existentialisms/full-
 depths-of-existential-implications ‘transdimensional-meaningfulness/memetic refinements’
 as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-
 reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as prospective reference-of-
 thought involving fundamentally the organic harnessing of the notions of
 candoring/prelogism, dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase, organic-comprehension-
 thinking, prelogism-as-of-conviction on the one hand and on the other hand decandoring,
 distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought, dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase,
 non-ontological-reference, non-contending-reference-but-ontologically-or-contendingly-
 reflected-or-perspectivated-as-dementing, not-veridical-thinking-reference-rather-dementing-
 reference, perversion-of-reference-of-thought-and-not-of-logical-contention, mechanical-
 comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (mechanicalism, alchemic-like-

reasoning, circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought, shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, protracted-non-conviction); which allows the human mind to project beyond just its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/mirage, and truly have a fulsome picture of universals. Postdication (as an abstract and infallible referencing/correction-tool) allows for the ‘ontological liberation of human mental-devising-representation (of meaning) from any present (recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology’ (whether in the bigger scheme of reference of specific consciousness-awareness-teleologies like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation–base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation-universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism-positivism, and prospectively procrypticism-deprocrypticism) as ‘postdication doesn’t tie the mental-devising-representation process to any of the above registry-worldview/dimension habituated (recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology’ (given that these consciousness-awareness-teleologies are the recomposed outcome of ‘incomplete/incremental/temporal-accommodation human brain limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)’)) but ‘rather ties the mental-devising-representation process to the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence ontological-veridicality referencing/correction-tool’ (given that this allows for complete/object understanding by the very nature of the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence notion, of course in an ‘abstract and evasive caricature’), hence overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness inherent in any (recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology representing the mentally devised state of any registry-worldview/dimension. Postdication is all about an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence institutionalisation/intemporalisation-constraining for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as ontological-extending-into-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation (existential-storying-

in-contiguity). An analogical case in point will be ontological theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics wherein the abstractions go beyond our habitual mental-devising-representation of meaning as in the positivist registry-worldview's/dimension's (recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology. However, the bigger picture is that if prior/superseded institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures have effectively occurred and so, counterintuitively to their natural (recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleologies, as anticipated by postdication right up to our present positivistic institutionalisation/intemporalisation owns (recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology; there isn't any particular ontological reason for intemporal/ontological meaning not to be construed in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (postdication) as more veridically/ontologically real, beyond and counterintuitively to the positivistic mind's temporal (recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology (even if it is unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to it). Such counter-intuitiveness arises because a prospective transcendental psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure implied by postdication places the prior psychoanalytic-construction/institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering (in this case positivistic institutionalisation/intemporalisation) existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications personhoods-and-socialhood-formation in question/jeopardy. But then it is not reality that caves in, it is 'the mortal' with a renewed institutional-recomposure/memetic-reordering//psychoanalytic-reorientation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold involving organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/'intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in contrast with mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation; in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing along 3-pedestals (psychopath's

slantedness transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestal,
 temporal-dispositions transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
 pedestals, and the intemporal-disposition transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pedestal in ontological-escalation/aetiologisation)
 enabling the stranding-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-
 out-of-phase of mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation. Even if this sounds
 unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural, in any case a retrospective registry-
 worldview/dimension is ‘existentially parochial/narrow-minded as reflected/perspectivated
 by its mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation denaturing from an
 organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-
 thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)-
 ontologising from the prospective registry-worldview/dimension’. For instance, where a
 positivist mind might see a forest as a subject of scientific inquiry/understanding, a non-
 positivist/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought might rather see a mentally unconscious
 man going into the ‘evil forest’. Such ‘existential parochial perspectives’ will arise anyway
 from procrypticism viewed from deprocrypticism, though of a different nature than the
 example expressed above. In that sense, the deprocryptic mind might actually seem ridiculous
 in the procryptic registry-worldview/dimension but ‘there should be no temptation to want to
 appear great or adjust in such a perversion-of-reference-of-thought perspective but rather to
 make it irrelevant’ otherwise the deprocryptic mind compromises the essence of its purpose,
 just as a positivistic mind going by the ‘evil forest’ comparison ‘cannot afford to compromise
 its positivist stance’ by trying ‘to be wonderful’ in a non-positivism/medievalism perspective
 that is rather ‘in want of transcendence’; as it is exactly because the temporal non-

positivism/medievalism reference is defective that it is being transcended. This speaks to the specificity of the would-be intellectualism involved in a transcendental construct, as different from just intellectualism as mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft; it carries the element of knowledge not only as an abstract intradimensional conceptual construct but in its fullness with existential implications and insights of the dialecticism and psychoanalytic-reorientations involved in all transcendences, requiring that such an intellectual analyst be of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with temporal meaningful frames which do not define and are not a point-of-reference to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness’ with the registry-worldview/dimension in need of transcendence (procrypticism) to avoid dividing its meaningful-referencing instead of taking it prospectively (deprocrypticism), for instance, medieval intellectuals like Galileo and Rousseau have to be of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with temporal meaningful frames which do not define and are not a point-of-reference to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness’ with the medieval registry-worldview to generate prospective positivistic registry-worldview which at their time is not intelligible to a medieval take (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) on meaningfulness! This can be further expanded on as follows. The intradimensional meaningful frame is ‘an abstraction to the structural/paradigmatic conceptual limits (uninstitutionalised-threshold) of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension, which do not supersede/precede/override/undermine intrinsic-reality/ontology; and the issue that then

arises is that it doesn't carries the meaningfulness sought for transcendently. On the other hand, transdimensional/transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology is precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency accruing as 'existential psychoanalytic ontological form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension)' beyond the superseded intradimensional structural/paradigmatic conception limits (uninstitutionalised-threshold) of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of that registry-worldview/dimension (which itself had been the outcome of a preceding existential psychoanalytic ontological form). Memetism as suprastructural-meaningfulness will refer to the abstract conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology beyond and superseding an intradimensional registry-worldview abstraction scope to the scope of transdimensional/transcendental existential psychoanalytic ontological form (in full blossoming of the transcending dimension with its existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications personhoods-and-socialhood-formation); highlighting as ontologically wrong any relation to intradimensional meaningfulness as (intemporally/ontologically)-sanctuous-by-reflex (as this wrongly undermines the stranding-dialectics of temporal-dispositions-postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'-subknowledging/mimicking-set-of-narratives, and wrongly leads to their <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-as-straight/candored)' at that registry-worldview's/dimension's uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring prospective memetic-reordering. (As a side note, this will explain while 'referentialism' in contrast to 'categorisation' is the appropriate knowledge-cadre for such a more or less deconstructive articulation in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural, as is the case with this paper, by the fact of the need for a requisite 'habituation-into and repeatability-from-different-textual-meaningfulness-perspectives' that is necessary to get-to-and-grasp not only

an explanation but critically as well the requisite psychoanalytic-state of a construed existential psychoanalytic ontological form, in full blossoming of the transcending dimension, as ontological meaningfulness.) Finally, it is just a matter of fact going by the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process that human cross-sectional mentation-capacity in relation to the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation is limited given perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as virtue is rather extended by successive re-institutionalisation in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (not nested-congruence) by the intemporal-disposition intemporalisation skewing ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) as deferential-formalisation-transference, going from base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism.

Such a 'postconvergence referentialism' skewed ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) hermeneutic-circle goes beyond a traditional hermeneutics exercise of subjective interpretation and rather arrives at an exercise in 'universal objective (ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework) ontological explanation' as it emphasises transversally/incongruently 'the recompositing precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of abstract ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism notion of reality' in referencing meaningfulness apriorising-registry (whether candored / integratively-aligned / straightness / dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase or decandored / transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing / dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase colour/emotion/temporal-frame/aesthetics/memetics/psychical-representation), and so, as coming from an intemporal-disposition/ontological skewed ('intemporality-asymmetric-

subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) point-of-referencing. It further holds a promise that goes beyond our notions of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (as rather intradimensional or a registry-worldview constructs), and arrives at the grander notion of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument which grasp should enable greater human transcendental possibilities.

Of course, ontologically (i.e. ‘the-Good/understanding’ contrasted with ‘good-natured/impression-driven’) the bigger issue is how do our development and institutionalisation/intemporalisation of true knowledge ‘save us from potent-temporality and its vices-and-impediments with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’, rather than how do we over-idealise ourselves and thus fail to be preemptive (as the ‘human cross-sectional mental equilibrium disposition’, at any successive transcendence/institutionalisation in the ‘human essential temporal-to-intemporal equilibrium nature which is ontologically true’, under-accounts for ‘temporal-nature which is not ontologically true’, and over-accounts for ‘intemporal/longness nature which is equally not ontologically true’ – the insight for this is that institutionalisation/intemporalisation is a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure tool, it doesn’t transform temporal-dispositions which is the exclusive purview of individual sense of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness and by its very nature is ‘beyond a philosophical transformation exercise’ as the latter exercise is mainly to ‘construct articulations for secondnaturating’ at best (articulate new institutionalisation/intemporalisation deterministic-and-operant possibilities for skewing (‘intemporal/longness-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-

reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-
 formalisation-transference towards intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-
 ontological-preservation), hence the need to refer analytically to human temporal-to-
 intemporal dispositions as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating
 existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-
 contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness highlighting the uninstitutionalised-threshold and not
 analytically implying by reflex solely on the basis of a human intemporal mental-
 disposition); and prospectively, do our part of the ‘transcendental homework’ that has
 brought the human species this far taking cue from retrospective transcendences.

By extension this explains how the notion of ‘knowledge problem’ is to be
 apprehended transcendently/transdimensionally/interdimensionally (as a contiguous
 intemporal ontological construct). Commonly, intradimensionally, the knowledge problem as
 ‘social problem/questioning’ is an ‘intradimensional focus’ around logical
 operation/processing/contention based on the reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation of the registry-worldview/dimension ‘towards resolution’, with
 the temporal defect of possible denaturing of such reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation undermining the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation. However, ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 (preceding/superseding intrinsic reality) insight points to a depth-of-focus of the knowledge
 problem as ‘social problem/questioning’ on the ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy’ itself-and-
 beyond-any-set-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-implying-it (and by
 extension accounting for incompleteness of human mental/brain mentation-capacity which is

the reason of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process) to define ‘social problem/questioning’ as implying a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling to enable intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation when at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the registry-worldview/dimension (the contiguous referential exercise of recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling to perpetually enable intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is known as ‘postdication’, a term that is in contrast with ‘predication’ which is based on ‘constitutive categorisation elaboration on an intradimensionally affixed reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology whereas postdication refers to a transcendently/transdimensionally/interdimensionally/across-all-institutional-recomposures/cumulations entropy as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence recomposuring/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction); involving avoiding making an intemporal-disposition representation (with the implication of a purely logical operation/processing/contention) instead of a temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions representation (with the implication of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation before logical operation/processing/contention; as apriorising–registry disambiguation, into the intemporal-disposition and conjugating temporal-dispositions as of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, allowing for contextualisation in articulating the contrast of the intemporal-disposition’s organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and temporal-

dispositions mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation – involving slanting by psychopath, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, and sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising – with temporal-dispositions in varied shades of temporal conjugation/inflection to psychopathic postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework dispositions; thus enabling the stifling (undermining the ontological-veridicality) of temporal-dispositions and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating), by way of institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels, towards the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition for institutionalisation’s/intemporalisation’s intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation). Thus the ontological veridicality of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s perversion-of-reference-of-thought at its uninstitutionalised-threshold is articulated, with contention then being about reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting and aetiologising/ontologising this, even if it is intradimensionally unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and unpalatable (consider in this regard, the development of positivism from non-positivism/medievalism). It should be noted then that the paradigm is an intemporal/ontological projection referencing paradigm beyond-and-the-non-implication of an equivalence between (‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness pedestalling) with the intradimensional ‘consciousness-awareness frame-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of the temporal/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag dimension, more like the positivist ontological biology and medicine paradigm is beyond/supersedes-and-is-a-non-implication of

an equivalence with the ‘consciousness-awareness frame-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ of say non-positivism/medievalism temporal value dispositions with respect to the notion of disease, that is, it’s point is to define an altogether different and superseding meaningful frame or paradigm and is not involved in an idle exercise of elevating and articulating its meaning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of and implying an equivalence with non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness. That is equally the relation between a transcending deprocrypticism registry-worldview and the transcended procrypticism worldview.

Postdication as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (postconvergence), as an ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully allows for a purist (candored/decandored) ontological grasp/predication of the veridicality of any institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure (retrospectively to prospectively); avoiding the defect of intradimensional-referencing of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation and consequently a superseded/transcended registry/registry-worldview-or-dimension as <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising-as-wrongfully-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase undermining ontological veridicality.

This transcendental insight is in line with the idea of low teleologies or temporal concerns in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, and ontologically short in a temporal 80-to-90-years-of-life-mental-project, and higher teleologies or intemporal/transcendental concerns in organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-

of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), and ontologically long in an intemporal/species-possibilities/abstract-eternality-of-being-mental-projection/eudaemonic-contemplation), and their corresponding abstract individuation aetiologies (even though in effect individuals as ‘receptacles of specific individuation aetiologies’ cannot realistically be construed as absolutely tied to low or higher teleologies but rather as tending to accrue towards a specific-individuation-aetiology/characteral-disposition whether of low or higher teleology; hence any such ‘storied/articulated’ absolutely specific-individuation-aetiologies are caricatural of the realistic nature of individuals as ‘receptacles of individuation aetiologies’, though all such storied/narrated specific individuation aetiologies represent the full possibilities of any and all individuals ‘as receptacles of individuation aetiologies’).

By ‘higher teleologies’ is meant ‘existential disposition’ which is ‘in essence intemporally preserving solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly’ (and so, by a disposition that is beyond just one institutionalised/intemporalised registry-worldview/dimension and abstractly across all transcendental retrospective-and-prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation registry-worldviews/dimensions); with the implication that the highest teleologies of Base-institutionalisation (as percolating undermining of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and its vices-and-impediments) – equivocates as of to the highest teleologies of Universalisation (as percolating undermining of ununiversalisation and its vices-and-impediments) – equivocates as of to the highest teleologies of Positivism (as percolating undermining of non-positivism/medievalism and its vices-and-impediments) – and prospectively, equivocates as of to the highest teleologies of Deprocrpticism (as percolating undermining of procrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought and its vices-and-impediments). It should thus be noted as such that ‘higher teleologies’ are ‘equivalences of existential ’ (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions), and not equivalences of institutionalisation/intemporalisation levels. That is,

being in a transcended institutionalised/intemporalised registry-worldview/dimension (internalisation and formalisation induced as a secondnature) doesn't equivocate as highest teleologies to the existential projection that 'had the vision' in the prior/superseded subknowledging/mimicking/untranscended registry-worldview/dimension ('with-no-elicited-positive-opportunism/much-more-likely-temporal-negative-disincentive' and 'out-of-the-blue') to articulate-and-uphold-for-percolation the prospect of the transcended-registry-worldview/dimension-with-its-prospective-universal-virtue-over-the-vices-and-impediments-of-the-prior-registry-worldview/dimension even as it seem unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to the prior/superseded untranscended/dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-worldview/dimension. So in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of 'higher teleologies' (emphasising the existential intemporal as a seed-of-virtue over institutionalisation/intemporalisation outcome, which the former enables) being in an institutionalised/intemporalised positivistic world doesn't necessarily equivocate us to the Galileos, Descarteses, Newtons, Leibnizes, Rousseaux, Darwins ... behind the articulation-and-upholding-for-percolation of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension (even though together with them we all may recognise and operate within a positivistic world). That is, the 'existential that enables the articulation-and-upholding-for-percolation of a transcending registry-worldview/dimension' is the higher teleology 'over the mere-institutionalised-being-and-craft' in such a transcended registry-worldview/dimension. And why is this distinction critical? Because prospective (intemporality) need for prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation necessarily calls upon the (intemporal) -kind that articulated-and-upheld-for-percolation the superseding institutionalisation/intemporalisation/transcendence; and the condition of mere-

institutionalised-being-and-craft in the untranscended registry-worldview/dimension doesn't speak of disposition to prospectively articulate-and-uphold-for-percolation an intemporally requisite prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation/intemporalisation that is intemporally preserving (in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence), highlighting the veridicality and need for 'human registries-disambiguation at uninstitutionalised-threshold', and as being temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions.

The notion of higher teleologies as such is specific to the human species in holding that beyond just 'a physical animal passing of specie generational succession' for survival and optimising-specie-flourishing, with higher teleologies there is 'an even more critical passing of generational succession' as memetic-skewing-or-reordering/philo-cultural optimising of possibilities of the species towards intemporal virtue as civilisational over temporal vices-and-impediments (philo-cultural and not cultural, because philosophy notionally supersedes and defines cultural possibilities); and so, by virtue of the exceptional possibility, in time and space, of human transformation/transcendence by philo-cultural skewing ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/memetic-reordering with respect to the base physical animal selectivity process (genetics) of the human species generational succession.

On other issues of pertinence in the bigger scheme of things:

(i) Meaningfulness of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as to 'existential idealism/success' as these define mental orientations or registry-worldview teleological-dispositions. Going by the human 'institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure' process involving variously candored/straightness/prelogism and decandored/oblongated/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought mental-devising-

representation of registry-worldviews dependent on which registry-worldview is considered perversion-of-reference-of-thought or transcendental/superseding; in any given registry-worldview's social context, the notion of 'existential idealism/success' is averagely viewed invariably as 'living to the 'opportunistic ideals or conventioning/social-temporal-thresholding' of the inherent registry-worldview' irrespective of whether it is perversion-of-reference-of-thought or transcending/superseding, and not necessarily by its veracity/ontological-pertinence. But then given that what allows for the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure-process/transcendence/civilisation to take us from an uninstitutionalised animal to now a positivistic one and prospectively a deprocryptic one; it is difficult to contemplate 'existential success/idealism' from a knowledge/ontological perspective (in contrast to a temporal <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> perspective) without identifying that intemporal in contrast to temporal mental-dispositions is what is 'truly existential success' as the intemporal-disposition is very much what allows for human transcendence and subsequent institutionalisation/intemporalisation, much as the distilling process allows for the lightness of hydrocarbons, 'where lightness is virtue'. Basically, it can be said that without the human quality of the 'aetiologisation/ontological-escalation individuation of the intemporal ' we'll still be probably in caves. Of course, such a depth-and-projecting-scale-of-thought requires an appreciation of the 'percolative impact' of the 'firstnature/intemporal' (which is not readily available to the immediacy/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology of minds of temporal-dispositions). For instance, men did not 'by magic' develop the possibilities of civilisations whether the stone, bronze, copper, iron ages, the antiquities, the medieval and today modern positivism; without a corresponding 'psychoanalytic liberation' that allowed for such a development induced by

philosophical revolution, however, prosaic the philosophy. For instance, it is not by magic that science and vaccines were not developed in antiquities but were developed in early industrial Europe, as the ‘psychoanalytic liberation’ of the ideas expressed by the Descartes and Galileos ‘shaped subsequent common minds’ to be inclined to rationalise profoundly their grasp of physical phenomena like Pasteur and others. Likewise, the philosophical development in antiquities not being ‘profoundly applicative enough’ and more or less cultic (available more or less to a priestly class and poorly universalising in many such slaving-and-class society), such a psychoanalytic liberation percolating effect could hardly be obtained from say Aristotle’s writings (granted, it percolated into the medieval Arabic and European worlds), and in addition the ‘intellectualism’ was more like contained in a ‘cultic class’, and hardly the bread and butter of commoners (and even then, Athens was an outlier without scale and time and the sufficient lack of chaos and war). As the establishment of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination psyche rule of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation’ is what allows for human individual and collective orienteering–focussing–persisting of construal/conceptualisation by that transcendental-enabling/sublimating originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination psyche rule to the full exhaustion of what intrinsic-reality/ontological veridicality can avail to humankind as of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in construing meaningfulness-and-teleology for the prospective institutionalisation; and so, until humankind is dissatisfied of this finitude and aspires as of

ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as of beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought for a
 new/prospective elevating registry-worldview's/dimension's prospective relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought 'originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination
 psyche rule of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating
 as of phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation'. Being at the backend in
 reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, it will be
 naïve to contend that the transcendental-enabling/sublimating originary/event-of-prospective-
 ontology-origination psyche rule of our positivism-procrypticism registry-
 worldview/dimension mental-disposition should inherently be obvious. But that doesn't
 factor in the implications of human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-
 <formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) that by
 successive prior institutional-cumulations outcome of successive prior institutional-
 recomposures as of their successive prior 'originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-
 origination psyche rule of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating as of phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation' leading up to our

positivism–procrysticism registry-worldview/dimension mental-disposition. In other words
 in the human <formative>epistemic-totalising–thrownness-in-existence (I exist therefore
 existence is of transcendental-enabling/sublimating to my human-subpotency / hyperbole-of-
 temporal-to-intemporal-ontological-performances) finitude of the recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview/dimension, we may be forgiven going by human
 limited-mentation-capacity by its ‘non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidental-or-random-mental-
 disposition’ to be unable to grasp greater emancipatory ‘originary/event-of-prospective-
 ontology-origination psyche rules of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating as of phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation’ successively as of base-
 institutionalisation–ununiversalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules, universalisation–non-
 positivism/medievalism universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules, positivism–
 procrysticism positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-
 over-non-rules, and Deprocrysticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-
 formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-
 non-rules. This highlights that our own location at the backend in reflecting the ontological-
 contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process doesn’t dispense us from our own
 ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics for
 prospective transcendental possibilities. Basically, the entropy behind such a philosophical-
 driven conceptualisation of human meaning and corresponding psychoanalytic-unshackling,

percolating into an overall relaying defining the human anthropological-continuity or anthropopsychology or institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure could be summed up this way:

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of mythologies (of superstitious causations with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘inducing a human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ which has the merit of introducing comprehensive social institutionalisation/intemporalisation suprastructurally based around such mythologies (underlying suprastructurally the creation of superstitious practices, religions and belief systems, and practically ‘institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans);

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of mystical-principles (a system of the appropriate relations humans need to have with such superstitious causations with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘renewing the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ which has the merit of redefining comprehensive social institutionalisation/intemporalisation as rules/principles-driven though still based on mythological systems (underlying the suprastructural introduction of rules/principles in superstitious practices, religions and belief systems, and practically ‘universal rules of institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans);

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of principles-rationalism (of principles/rules of causation-in-reflecting-ontology as not superstitious with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology) ‘redefining the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ and has as merit the superseding of superstitions based on rationalising systems of universalisation, positivism and science (underlying the suprastructural introduction of intemporal principles in the operation of social endeavours including social rules and science, and practically ‘the categorical-positivising/rational-

empiricism of institutionalised living’ whether with respect to nature or among humans); and prospectively

- a human-philosophical-conceptualisation of rational-realism of ‘principles/rules of human representation of effective-causation-as-it-reflects-ontology’ as ‘not wholly solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly intemporal’ but rather ‘temporal-to-intemporal’ or shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology (rather a notionalisation of knowledge and meaningfulness, where ‘a skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) agency towards intemporality/longness in secondnaturing is what is critical and not a false idealism wrongly implying a direct/immediate cross-sectional intemporal-disposition of humankind’), with respect to human and existential destiny/teleology ‘reorienting the human psychoanalytic-unshackling or registry-worldview memetic-reordering’ and has as merit a realistic and hence more ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework conceptualisation over ontologically-flawed-intemporal-construction-with-the-drawback-of-temporal-dispositions-’dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag underlying the suprastructural and practical introduction of deprocrypticism/right-shades-of-the-real-from-a-longness-register-of-meaningfulness-over-shortness-register-of-meaningfulness rules/principles (postconvergence referentialism entropy of institutionalisation/intemporalisation).

The reason for a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence from the superstitious/religion, universal-notions/essences, principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism and then rational-realism as of deprocrypticism is that psychoanalytically/memetically/meaningfully the human psyche is inclined/shaped/desires to find an all-in-all-encompassing-response (magic wand) to explain

its world, but then realises across institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures that successive introduction of more and more ‘realistic’ conceptualisations enable a grander ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and grasp of its world.

Further, what differentiates principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism and rational-realism as of deprocrypticism is that the ‘institutionalising threshold for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation’ of the latter introduces the disambiguation of dispositions in meaning construal and subsequent logical operation/processing/contention at reference-of-thought (on the basis that human dispositions are temporal-to-intemporal/shortness-to-longness; with human registers/registry-teleologies involving subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness/psychopath, ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). This is the peculiarity of deprocrypticism/right-shades-of-the-real institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise. The former simply focuses on logical operation/processing/contention at ‘conviction anchors’ (on a wrong reflex basis of universal human intemporal/longness register/registry-teleology disposition). Hence the present principles-rationalism/positivist-idealism unlike rational-realism as of deprocrypticism, in the exercise of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and corresponding reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, fails to account for perversion-of-reference-of-thought registries, as subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slantedness/dementing of the psychopath, postlogically conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing by the temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

Deprocrypticism is particular, as imbued/recomposuring with the other institutionalisations

and across all the institutional-cumulation (institutional-recomposures), in that it addresses the fundamental issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect by recognising the reality of human temporal-to-intemporal in principle and preempting this in principle in its operant conceptualisation i.e. in principle the deprocryptic reflex is not to simply operate/process logic, it anticipates the verification of soundness of apriorising–registry to establish that this isn't subknowledging-impulse/impulsive-dementation/slanted/psychopathy as well as the conjugated/inflected/derived/mimicked/in-protraction-to-psychopathic-dementing perversion-of-reference-of-thought by the temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation.

Such 'deprocrypticism institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence' (as with any other institutionalisation/intemporalisation transcendence) involves the development of preemptive and prospective categorical-imperatives/axiomatic-construct/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation over the prior now dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive) perversion-of-reference-of-thought positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation stranded-rightfully-as-decandored/oblongated, and so with the 'aetiologisation/ontological-escalation' highlighting temporal-dispositions stranding-dialectics. It should be noted that while the prior/superseded transcendences to positivistic institutionalisations have been rather incremental-to-abject, it is likely that procryptic to deprocryptic transcendence is most probably an outrightly blunt/incisive abject construct, and why, because higher institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures imply higher perversion of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that are 'not

readily perceived as undermining intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation in their ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and are often wrongly analysed as being intemporally preservational’ but for a very insightful ontological reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting exercise of organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) ontological-escalation/aetiologising over mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation; requiring a corresponding intellectually decisive and abject articulation for procryptic-to-deprocryptic cross-generational deprocryptic transcendence, as the procryptic perversion-of-reference-of-thought is weakly graspable in the cross-section of the social-construct for the transcendence to work effectively by incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought even though such incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought might later arise in social integration from institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels following an intellectually abject and decisive articulation, or possibly with successive other such intellectual articulations, of the perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence. Methodologically, it should draw on phenomenological-and-hermeneutic-insights, as with this research paper, and extending into a ‘creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal’ as the ‘ontologically effective, applicative and operant articulation insight’ to this background phenomenological-and-hermeneutic-insights. Its highlighting of such a transcendence should be similar to say a literary work like *Things Fall Apart* by Chinua Achebe even though the latter is rather more about cultural-diffusion-from-Western-philosophical-transcendence which positivistic transcendence integration into the society’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels

undermines-psychoanalytically/psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure the society's existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) personhoods-and-socialhood-formation allowing for positivistic transcendence. But then unlike Things Fall Apart, such a perpetuation-of-deprocrpticism transcendence being not a cultural-diffusion-from-another-society's-philosophical-transcendence but rather a universal-human-intradimensional-philosophical-transcendence can be creatively devised as being in substitution to an 'abstract cultural-diffusion-from-another-society's-philosophical-transcendence transcendence', for an in-depth insight. However, the latter storying will have to be more deterministic, operant and of aesthetic applicability, unlike just a simple literary work, with strong existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications insights with respect to percolation effects as predication/deferred-predication and application/deferred-application to human and social issues based on temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions conceptual articulation as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework about the 'abstract nature of man'. This will involve 'creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal' in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing articulated in a dynamic relationship along the three pedestals of: psychopathic characters slantedness as insane/slantedness-fitment in absolving-or-fleeting-logic-reflex-or-escaping-logic in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'-to-last-narrative-wronglyly-allowing-interlocutors-prelogical-or-conviction-alignment; temporal-dispositions (of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) insane/slantedness integration/conjugation in mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-

intemporal-preservation miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-
 par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising/temporal-
 enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation of the organic-comprehension-thinking
 (organicism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-
 of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) intemporal point-of-referencing veridicality;
 and the intemporal-disposition organic-comprehension-thinking (organicism/‘intemporal-
 prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology) on the basis of a higher teleology complex of being more
 profound with respect to mechanical-comprehension-dementing in hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’ with
 respect to intrinsic-meaning/veridicality, in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its implied—
 logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
 arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) reflection/perspectivation of the two prior
 pedestals in ontological-escalation as a registry-worldview/dimensional defect at this
 uninstitutionalised-threshold as backdrop for ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-
 of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in the construal of futural Being-
 development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-
 infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrpticism reference-
 of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in anticipation and preempting
 procrpticism, so construed by ‘deprocrpticism ontologically-perspectival-
 elevated/pedestaling-as-dialectical-thinking-teleological-differentiation-as-of-
 supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’. And so, based on the fundamental
 psychological paradigm of ‘mental-devising-representation devising’ giving-in to

veridicality/intrinsic-reality when shown to be perversion-of-reference-of-thought. This fundamental psychological paradigm operates by way of candoring/prelogism/dialectically-or-contendingly-in-phase or in dementing/decandoring/distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought /dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase to represent registry-worldview/dimensional ontological-veridicality 'as thinking' or perversion-of-reference-of-thought 'as dementing' respectively, as is implied in all the transcendences from recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism, positivism/procrypticism, and prospectively perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism. This serves to provide the perspective/reflection to the present positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought explaining while the 'seemingly unlikely 'dialectically-dementing' mental-devising-representation of its mind' at its uninstituionalised/unintemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought so reflected/perspectivated from deprocrypticism is more veridical than its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag mental 'dialectical-thinking' representation. In the bigger scheme of things, such a 'creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal' on perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism re-elaborated to a 'creative existentialism (full-existential-depth-implications) storying construal' of all the transcendences provides an even more profound and emanant-insight understanding of the anthropological continuity/anthropopsychology and the proper place of the present positivistic mind in the bigger scheme, and what is prospectively implied, as a perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence).

Another ontological element of the perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism transcendence is that it is 'weakly positive opportunistic' to the cross-section of the social construct.

Prior/superseded transcendences are relatively ‘strongly positive opportunistic’ with base-institutionalisation transcendence from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation being the strongest in its positive-opportunism as the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry–teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of: ‘organising rules/principles’/base-institutionalisation are opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate survival itself, i.e. such an uninstitutionalised state with uncertainty, lack-of-knowledge about the environment and relative lawlessness ‘focuses the individual’s mind’ to adhere to any dependable organised rules/principles/laws, even where such organising rules/principles/laws are bad so long as they are predictable, be it circumstantially (and effectively, base-institutionalisation is a state where such organising/rules/principles/laws are constantly being remade competitively with respect to survival-possibilities and power-relations, but on the other hand base-institutionalisation tends to have weak institutionalisation/intemporalisation percolation channels for intemporal transcendence in the long run due to ‘holding-on-to-the-initial-proven-survival-and-flourishing-assets/tradition’ and ‘a question of power relations’, and more likely than not, in such human society in ‘clanic turbulence’ base-institutional-recomposure is a highly-diffusionary-juggling-and-reconstituting-transcending-across-clans rather than oriented towards just a singular intra-social intemporal-philosophical transcending, but also involving on the rare occasion a lopsided diffusion from an altogether different and dominant cultural grouping); those of ‘projecting rules/principles’ or universalisation are less opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate survival but are relatively vital and extend the ambits of the former; while those of ‘empirical rules/principles’/positivism are even less positive-opportunistically critical for temporal direct/immediate for immediate/direct survival but relatively critical for flourishing (science, human rights, democracy, etc.). So these

institutionalisations transcendences can elicit, in effect, a grander sense of
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm rather than a temporal extricatory paradigm
 in their cross-section of the social-construct. However, it will probably be more facile for
 such a cross-section of the social-construct to be strongly disposed to adopt an
 extricatory/temporality paradigm rather than
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm regarding the reference-of-thought–
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity-or-ontological-preservation of ‘temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions
 accountability as intemporality-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-
 temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-
 enabling/sublimating) rules/principles’ or deprocrypticism with regards to their temporal
 direct/immediate survival opportunism statistically to individuals on the cross-section of the
 social-construct. An intemporal disposition as ontological projecting that may elicit a sense of
 positive-opportunism for survival itself with base-institutionalisation will not necessarily
 have the same adherence effect on the cross-section of the social-construct when it comes to a
 transcendence which temporal directness/immediacy for ‘individuals sense of survival-and-
 flourishing’ is not so obvious but for its abstract ontological veridicality and abstract
 intemporal transformation implications as is the case with deprocrypticism; but is rendered
 possible because of the relatively ‘strong preset institutionalisation/intemporalisation
 percolation channels for transcendence’ (on the basis of its untenability/internal-
 contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining generation capacity); more like
 it would be fair to say that many an abstract and boring scientific efforts do not necessarily
 appeal temporarily but for the strongly preset institutionalisation/intemporalisation

percolation channels for their social integration. Basically, with transcendence as temporal directness/immediacy weaken on the one hand, the element of untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining (with institutional percolating channels for transcendence) in assuring prospective transcendence strengthens.

To sum up, this highlights the ‘temporal existentialism/full-existential-depth-implications practicality aspect’ involved in all human transcendences. That is, transcendence is more of a human-mentation-capacity driven construct and its mundane recognition is not inherently by its supposed virtue (given that survival-and-flourishing, and not veracity/ontological-pertinence, are the more immediate/direct basis for the human temporal drive). To the extent that transcendence highlights critically that it is what is the best enabler for survival-and-flourishing then it is a force of social transformation. Equally, an ontologically-veridical but not immediately/directly survival-and-flourishing will not, with regards to human temporal practicality, by mere ontological-veridicality be a basis for its social integration, if the insight that it provides a grander survival-and-flourishing scheme isn’t immediately palpable. As in this case human temporal practicality disposition is perfectly inclined to threshold at its registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold. But then with an increasing cerebral grasp of our nature and our surrounding world rather than just passive endurers of nature-in-action, we can fairly anticipate and supersede intellectually our human temporal practicality dispositions, in this case with regards to deprocrpticism, and attain prospective knowledge-and-virtue generally.

Meaning (defined previously as what defines/predicates value, thought and action) is actually a referential memetic construct in the referential exercise of the entropic preservation of preceding-intemporality/intrinsic-reality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This leads in the instance of perversion-of-reference-of-thought to the

notion of ‘memetic-corruption or psychoanalytic-misrepresentation of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology; requiring a referential ‘memetic reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the entropic preservation of intemporality/intrinsic-reality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework.

The referential memetism as suprastructural-meaningfulness implying that meaning is in fact a ‘human mental devising construct’ (not inherently ontological or intrinsic-reality) and it is grounded on its validation/veridicality by its ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in showing it is proxying to ‘abstract and inherent ontology/intrinsic-reality/veridicality’ which is a preceding/superseding notion (postconvergence) to our mental devising of meaning; explaining why we adjust our meaning model/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-unshackling (soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored, and then mentally-oblongated/decandored with respect to new/superseding soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/candored) when the proxying-registry-construct is internally-contradictory and demonstrated to be flawed at successive uninstitutionalised-threshold whether from recurrent-utter-institutionalised to base-institutionalised, ununiversalised to universalised, non-positivism/medievalism to positivistic, and prospectively procrypticism to deprocrypticism.

More than just an exercise of grasping the possibilities of human transcendence, it is critical that for future transcendence we don’t confuse the development of a ‘banal/temporal/averaging-of-temporal-thoughts’ notion in ‘our shortness of the lives of mortals’ (80 or 100 years or so) as defining what is ‘existential idealism/success’ on the basis of such ‘mental shortness’ (which isn’t even solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly the intemporal responsibility for the transcendence that enabled its world, the positive worldview

from non-positivism/medievalism, but has been rather ‘institutionalised and secondnatured there’, and so is ‘philosophically irresponsible’ prospectively with respect to the bigger scheme of things regarding transcendence/prospective-institutionalisation, necessarily so when inclined to an extricatory temporal-disposition that is not solipsistically intemporally responsible). Intellectually and knowledge-wise, the articulation of ‘existential idealism/success’ must be the exclusive purview of the aetiological individuation of the intemporal-disposition whose organic-comprehension-thinking (organicalism/‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’s universal projection/intemporality keeps alive the notion of existential idealism/success as long as from its intemporal-disposition that started base-institutionalisation (to thwart recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation) through universalisation (to thwart ununiversalisation), positivism (to thwart non-positivism/medievalism), and prospectively its intemporal-disposition that will enable deprocrypticism (to thwart procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) and thereafter; the intemporal mind as such projects in an ‘abstract eternity’ that is what allows for the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation.

In the bigger scheme of things, all the vices-and-impediments of successive registry-worldviews can be directly ascribed as corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought of temporal-dispositions of the registry-worldviews uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively procrypticism (pointing to the fact that virtue is about ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework constructs’ of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism, and not ‘good-natured/impression constructs’ which are vague, as it is inevitable that there is no good-naturedness/impression-drive that exist to prevent an

recurrent-utter-institutionalised mind from deterministically committing the vices-and-impediments of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, of an ununiversalised mind those of ununiversalisation, of a non-positivism/medievalism mind those of non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively of a procryptic mind (as subknowledging/mimicking/perverting positivistic meaningfulness) those of procrypticism. Virtue is plainly and simply about the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct with corresponding virtuous consequences of knowledge or lack-of-knowledge thereof). It is critical for the sake of the temporal mortal that we are, not to be allowed to be our own God; that is exactly what creates transcendental possibilities, otherwise we syncretise and preserve and articulate our temporality/shortness as being intemporal!

(ii) ‘Intellectual solipsistic/emanant irresponsibility’ referring to ‘intellectual idealism’ success in conceiving intemporal meaning but failure in preserving intemporal meaning from ‘temporal mimicking, denaturing and subknowledging’ with corresponding poor temporal-dispositions orientations/registry-worldview over that intemporal meaningfulness in relation to the bigger picture of human/social progress paradigm. While intellectual ontological/intemporal meaningfulness may strive to articulate a universal idealism/intemporal projection, it is rather naïve to operate on the ‘romantic’ basis that universal idealism/intemporal projection is the sole disposition of humans as temporal dispositions like postlogism-slantedness (the psychopath), ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation are endemically part and parcel of the reality of human dispositions; and so, as a matter of fact on a simple ‘scientific basis of determining first principles’ and not necessarily to stigmatise, as

reality works on the basis that ‘what is, is what is!’ That then being the case, what then is the relevant question is how do we ensure by institutionalisation/intemporalisation (based on the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and not impression/good-naturedness/wishfulness vagueness) the supersedingness of the intemporal-disposition-worldview (as ontological and upholding virtue in the medium to long perspective) over the cross-section of human mental temporal-to-intemporal dispositions, i.e. secondnaturing as formalisation and internalisation. For instance, if men were of an intemporal-disposition we will only need ‘moral philosophy’ and ‘no law’ as the institutionalising principle of the law is a tacit recognition that realistically we need ‘dominating/superseding artifices’ or ‘institutions and their rules and narratives’ whether the human subjects have a grasp of the ‘philosophical’ universal end purpose or not). This is the attitude that preserves the virtue inherent in the intemporal conceptualisation of meaning and ‘not any temporal romantic idealism’ which only leads to perversion-of-reference-of-thought that goes on to undermine directly or by sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi conventioning-rationalising conjugations the virtue in knowledge, and so in particular in the ‘extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)’ (informal settings) where the constraining social universal-transparency (usually introduced in formal settings) is not available. Hence intellectual responsibility warrants that the intellectual exercise (as intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) involves both a construction of the intemporal ideal and equally a stifling of the possibilities of perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This involves avoiding the naivety of articulating meaning only in the sense of the intemporal ideal but including a constraining and temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions-disambiguating realism that upholds/preserves

intemporality/longness and stifles temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought inclinations. Such an approach is known as the ‘knowledge notionalisation’ or knowledge as a continuum from ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions to knowledge/intemporality which then allows for scrutinising and preempting ‘the ignorances’/temporal-dispositions, i.e. apprehending not only intemporal implications of any knowledge construct, but being transversally/logically-incongruent preemptive to potential temporal undermining of that intemporal idealism construct).

‘Intemporal and temporal disjuncture’ basically refers to the fact that in the elaboration of conventioning with respect to ontological-veridicality with regards to social-stake-contention-or-confliction both the intemporal and temporal-dispositions are preservational in their finalities, i.e. temporal-dispositions do not transcend philosophically but by untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining, and it is vague and naïve to intemporally/ontologically engage at the philosophical level to wrongly imply such a solipsistic transcendental process as this should not be confused with the formalisation effect of secondnaturing and internalisation. ‘Intemporal and temporal disjuncture’ can equally be analysed as ‘transcendental-or-transdimensional prospective/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and intradimensional-meaningfulness disjuncture’ given there is mutual unintelligibility between prospective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument and intradimensional meaningfulness for instance respectively as deprocrypticism and as procrypticism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness), just as there is mutual unintelligibility between positivism and non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness. This mutual unintelligibility should not be ‘addressed logically’ actually by the intemporal-disposition or prospective-memetism or prospective/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as this naively implies both registry-worldviews share the same

reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation (going from the insight of a common vantage perspective of mutually unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural positivism and non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation); wherein it is transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that plays out to enable the abject superseding/transcendence of the intemporal-disposition or prospective memetism or prospective/transcendental/superseding registry-worldview/dimension over the prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness. For the simple reason that intrinsic-reality being preceding as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence it won't let the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought (as intrinsic-reality/ontology is inherently suprastructural or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of the mortals that we are, in the sense that a cholera epidemic that was to occur say in 100 b.c. Will not stop from occurring because human beings did not know of notions-of-bacteria-as-causing-diseases-and-instead-believed-in-bad-omen-for-not-making-the-right-sacrifices-or-so-so-and-so; thus naivety will be to strive to syncretise in temporal-and-social-trading our discomfort/unpalatability in construing intrinsic-reality/ontology) to be involved in social-and-temporal-trading with the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought as inherently all the greater possibilities of grasping a more profound intrinsic-reality/ontology lies with 'reasoning-through/abjection' with the prospective memetism of positivism which actual mental-devising-representation of non-positivism/medievalism is as dementing (where the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension is the prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness perspective). The validation arises from the

untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the long-run of non-positivism/medievalism, as the more profound positivistic meaningfulness takes hold in the-the-Good/understanding/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework institutionalisation percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> mechanism. This ontological insight (transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that plays out to enable the abject prospective/superseding/transcending of the intemporal-disposition or prospective memetism or prospective/transcendental/superseding registry-worldview/dimension) also informs, as with all transcendences, the relation between the prospective meaningfulness/memetism or transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension as deprocrypticism and prior/transcended/superseded intradimensional meaningfulness/memetism as our procrypticism, with the latter superseded/transcended as of 'reasoning-through/abjection' and represented as demented in line with the preceding ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology, likewise with the idea that deprocrypticism validation will arise from the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of procrypticism as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism takes hold in the the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework institutionalisation percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> mechanism. So deterministically and operantly, without any discretion allowed, from the intemporal/ontological perspective, it is a cross-generational collapsing/overriding-and-superseding of temporal-dispositions and a registry-worldview/dimension-intradimensional-meaningfulness that is perversion-of-reference-of-thought construed in transversality-of-disambiguated-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing involving reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting
 (reasoning-through-and-not-reasoning-with) the stranding-dialectics as the backdrop of new
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation for prospective institutional-
 recomposure/memetic-reordering/psychoanalytic-reorientation that enables prospective
 transcendence. Thus technically, dementing arises simply by a shift of reference-of-thought
 (in the strive for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation
 wherein the latter reference-of-thought as a registry-worldview/dimension is shown to be
 more intemporally-preservational); with the dementing reflected in the mental-devising-
 representation fully implied by the new transcending/superseding reference-of-thought about
 the prior transcended/superseded reference-of-thought (and so, beyond the latter’s registry-
 worldview/dimension wrongful reflex to set-aside/ignore the implications of its demonstrated
 ontological-impertinence as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-
 aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> and go on to be of <formative>epistemic-
 totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag this now
 shown-to-be-wrong reference-of-thought). Dementing as such is easily and spontaneously
 reflected of a prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension like for instance a
 positivistic registry-worldview/dimension mental-devising-representation reflecting the
 dementing of a medieval registry-worldview/dimension. But then this is because the
 positivistic registry-worldview/dimension doesn’t have to deal with any existential illusion-
 of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/mirage that the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension
 personhoods-and-socialhood-formation has to deal with. However, implying similarly the
 dementing of the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension from its intradimensional
 perspective where its own reference-of-thought is superseded/transcended by a prospective

reference-of-thought as deprocrypticism will, this time around by the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension existential illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/mirage that its personhoods-and-socialhood-formation has to deal with, lead to the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension by reflex setting-aside/ignoring the prospective and veridical reference-of-thought and corresponding (postconvergence) ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, and go on to self-reference-syncretise its transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. In concrete terms for instance, whereas a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will likely shift the reference-of-thought with regards to say a non-positivism/medievalism context of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery where A were to accuse B for being a sorcerer who caused A's illness, the mental-devising-representation of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought will be that A is dementing and that a germ and biological functioning theory of the human body is the reference-of-thought for A's disease. But then intradimensionally, A and B and their society of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation and existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications that are non-positivism/medievalism will tend to harken back to reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation that uphold the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought that admits to notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. The effective anthropological and dialectical evidence (mostly from diffusional transcendence given the relative abruptness of cultural diffusions compared to an intra-society philosophical transcendence which is rather slow in the making) shows that it is the cross-generational habituation by <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag into reference-of-thought of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension (in this instance the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension) that will ultimately 'wean' the

prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension (in this instance non-positivism/medievalism) from its defective non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought and its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology towards a positivistic reference-of-thought and its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality, where contention can then take place to establish (postconvergence) relative ontological-veridicality. Likewise, the concrete analysis from a deprocrypticism insight shows that our procrypticism (perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic meaningfulness) mindset/reference-of-thought will by reflex emanantly act the same at its own uninstitutionalised-threshold; wherein the idea that positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought as of its characteristic postlogism associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy with its overall beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought defect of disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness-and-teleology brings about a shift to a new reference-of-thought and reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as transcending/superseding deprocrypticism, will sound unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural to the positivism–procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought which simply by reflex set this aside and harken back axiomatically to positivism–procrypticism reference-of-thought and reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that unconsciously (as ignorance) and consciously (as

affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) do not acknowledge ontological-impertinence as of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought associated with such positivism-procrypticism reference-of-thought that is bound to directly and indirectly at the uninstitutionalised-threshold be integrating postlogism-as-of-non-conviction in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation teleologically involving, (i) intemporal-disposition introduction-of-‘ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, (ii) temporal-dispositions undermining-by-hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, (iii) intemporal-disposition reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting the temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and introduction-of-‘ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness’ of new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology preempting the temporal-dispositions perversion-of-reference-of-thought) of the ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect; as successive circular postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-

hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging as postlogism) constructs, and not as may wrongly be reflected by the natural reflex to be conviction/prelogical, as conviction (existential-contextualising-contiguity/meaningful-projection-of-intrinsicness/authentic-vocalisation/prelogism) constructs. And likewise, it is a cross-generational habituation of deprocrypticism reference-of-thought and reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation that will ultimately lead to a shift in reference-of-thought and the correspondingly more profound and grander deprocrypticism ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity thereof. Another validation for the dementing mental-devising-representation of retrospective/transcended/superseded registry-worldviews/dimensions has to do with the implications of the notions of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness and the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with respect to the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality. A prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework mental-devising-representation of a retrospective/transcended/superseded impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct is always a demented construct, and so across all institutionalisations indicating that the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality as ontological-normalcy or prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation effectively construes impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness constructs as rather of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> and hence its dementing.

This equally implies that our very own ‘good-naturedness constructs’ in the
 positivism/procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension are of demented mental-devising-
 representation from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-
 depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
 prospective depcrypticism registry-worldview/dimension the-
 Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 conceptualisation. The reason why ontological-normalcy/postconvergence indicates that
 ‘good-naturedness constructs’ are defective is quite simple as it is based on adhering to a
 registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation, which along the institutional-cumulations/institutional-
 recomposures are successively shown to be defective-as-always-being-sub-par-to-intrinsic-
 reality and defining the uninstitutionalised-threshold. Virtue and ontology/intrinsic-reality
 rather lies in the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation,
 and not its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, with the
 latter only being pertinent in the sense where it relays intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity–or–ontological-preservation. Such a relaying is not within the ambits of good-
 naturedness constructs but rather the-Good as a continuous refinement of ontological-
 primemovers-totalitative-framework that ensures re-institutionalisation/re-intemporalisation
 for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation when
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework so reveals it. Thus supposed an individual
 shows good-naturedness following the reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation of the recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised registry-
 worldview/dimension that warrants that one simply gets one’s way no matter the situation

even if it means committing murder to have some food for oneself and close ones; a good-natured quality that is highly rated for survival in an recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised setup. That is perfectly within the good-naturedness ambits of a survival-driven registry-worldview/dimension but prospectively it is the creativeness of the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that carries the virtuous and ontological insight to grasp that a registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation as base-institutionalisation rulemaking-over-non-rules will provide a grander virtuous and ontological outcome for humans, and not a good-naturedness inclination which is stuck at the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation. This same fundamental dilemma arises with all other institutionalisations. For instance, the procrypticism inclination to stick to the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of a positivistic registry-worldview/dimension viewed as deterministic by projected <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives as-to-how-others-act-in-hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation requiring the Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework appreciation that an ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness indicating such a perversion-of-reference-of-thought implies a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview's/dimension's

new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to ensure intemporal-preservation as deprocrypticism. Thus it is the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework that carries the mantle of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and not good-naturedness/vague-impression drive which temporal-mimicking (unconscious or conscious) shouldn't be confused with preserving ontology and virtue. Thus the basic reason for this counter-intuition about the veridical nature of good-naturedness construct is that it is intradimensionally <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag with the wrong implications of inherently representing the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation of the registry-worldview/dimension as absolute intrinsic-reality/ontology without any factoring of intrinsic-reality/ontology ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and suprastructural nature as the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework does. This fundamentally explains why all prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview's/dimension's present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage are necessarily demented from the mental-devising-representation of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension in the requisite 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise that enables the existentialism (full-depth-of-existential-implications) deconstructed/'ontologically-reconstituted' becoming of the prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview/dimension. The bigger insight here has to do with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality. Intrinsic-

reality/ontological-veridicality is already given and what is required to access it absolutely is not the notion of ‘any hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation initiative/effort’ from the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of a reference/registrying/registry-worldview/dimension that is necessarily sub-par to intrinsic-reality/ontology (this is the central idea that fundamentally explains how perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—-<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag arise, due to sub-par reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in misconstruing ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intrinsic-reality – and so, by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect); but rather the notion of a ‘requisite and grander and grander sense of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ illuminating reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (which is ‘more or less ontologically-reconstituting/deconstructional’, in the sense that in the bigger scheme to absolutely grasp intrinsic-reality/ontology in cumulation/recomposuring from recurrent-utter-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism, reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are, strictly speaking, of a more-and-more-precise-heuristic-nature in their strive to grasp intrinsic-reality/ontology as-we-predicate-better-and-more-about-the-world, notwithstanding the fact that a registry-worldview/dimension acts more-or-less-in-abstract-trust

to its given reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation mainly for the compromising sake of ‘effective functioning’, and so at one dialectical moment till a better one arises at another dialectical moment, as a transcending/superseding reference/registry/registry-worldview/dimension) that simply ‘open-up’/‘throw-up’/‘reveal’ in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications of the notion of what is meant by intrinsic-reality; more precisely and effectively, as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness as dialectical transformation as (prospective) transdimensional-meaningfulness/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument or (prospective) existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications, i.e. the overall enterprise is about deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness-towards-intrinsic-reality wherein existence-defines-essence (along Sartrean existence-precedes-essence or existence-meeting-essence), as it is existentialism which is the ‘becoming that defines essence’ with ‘essence-of-meaningfulness being-veridically-in-ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’ and not a traditionally naïve ‘wrong hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation perception or construct-of-essence-of-meaningfulness-in-an-abstract-classification-scheme-which-is-out-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’ that is usurpable/impostored by mere form. This is the veridical ontological depth of mental-devising-representation/psychological-representation/(recomposured)-consciousness-awareness-teleology informed by the ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics. The institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as specific successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications imply their mental-

devising-representation in a reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting
 transdimensional/transcendental dialectics enabled by ontological-dementation/dialectical-
 dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics wherein the stranding-dialectics sets
 prior/transcended/superseded institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as
 ‘dialectically-demented’ (mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-
 out-of-phase) and the prospective/transcending/superseding institutional-
 cumulations/institutional-recomposures as ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-
 of-reference-of-thought (mentally-straight/candored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-in-
 phase), in their successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence-or-postdicatory deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-
 conflatedness as dialectical transformation. However from their intradimensional
 perspectives as perversion-of-reference-of-thought, the demented institutional-
 cumulations/institutional-recomposures wrongful placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is a ‘syncretising registry-
 teleology-mentation that articulates the ‘intradimensional perversion-of-reference-of-thought
 as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag’ successive existentialisms/full-depths-
 implications disposition’ with the false implication of non-transcendability of these respective
 institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures (given their wrong circular-upholding of
 the hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-
 intemporal-preservation of their same reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
 or—ontological-preservation, in lieu of upholding as ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-
 conflatedness’ the prospective ones that should carry the mantle for intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; as reflected by the fact that ‘any hollow-

constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation initiative/effort’ to grasp intrinsic-reality from the ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and ontologically-wrong’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of a reference/registrying/registry-worldview/dimension is necessarily sub-par to ontological-normalcy/postconvergence intrinsic-reality/ontology, and thus ‘dialectically-demented’ to enable its prospective superseding/transcending), and this is rightfully transcended/superseded by the ‘dialectical-thinking’/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures by reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting their rightful/veridical ‘dialectically-dementing registry-teleology-mentation that articulates transdimensionally successive existentialisms/full-depths-of-existential-implications disposition’ with the rightful implication of the transcendability of these respective institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures (given the rightful prospective superseding/transcending of their ‘failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and ontologically-wrong’ reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation; as going by the bigger scheme for absolute grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontology in cumulation/recomposuring from-utter-institutionalisation-to-deprocrypticism, reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are, strictly speaking, rather of a more-and-more-precise-heuristic-nature in their strive to grasp intrinsic-reality/ontology as-we-predicate-better-and-more-about-the-world). This ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications paradox’ involving wrongfully intradimensional <formative>epistemic-

totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-teleology-mentation and rightfully transdimensional ontological-veridicality rather in an ontological-dementing/dialectical-dementing registry-teleology-mentation is critical in understanding how to circumvent temporal-dispositions circumventive/distractive-temporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought/temporal-preservation inclination associated with postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (psychopathy and social psychopathy), in lieu of ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness/intemporal-preservation inclination associated with prelogism. Fundamentally, conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation is always based on a wrong <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag registry-teleology-mentation in recurrent in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic (psychopath) or hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex (derived social psychopathy) of hollow narratives, and wrongfully that this is reference-of-thought; and correspondingly, a rightful transdimensional ontological-representation should imply it is a dementing registry-teleology placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology ‘stranded-as-mentally-oblongated/decandored-and-dialectically-or-contendingly-out-of-phase’ and by so doing, to start with, rightfully denying it reference-of-thought which then fundamentally collapses its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought, as the hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation postlogism-or-

perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-or-non-
 conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing
 mindset/reference-of-thought counts on the natural inclination (as ‘prelogism-as-of-
 conviction re-engaging reflex’) of the ‘ontologically-reconstituting-or-prelogical-or-logical-
 process-precedes-outcome-or-conviction mindset/reference-of-thought to reflexively engage
 contendingly/logically with its hollow narratives, with the grander faulty-mentation-
 procedure-deception-or-urge not being the hollow narratives per se but in wrongfully
 implying its veracity/ontological-pertinence as reference-of-thought and implying the falsely
 apriorising—registry-elements of its implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-
 stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology; as being an
 even grander faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge of a registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
 existential-defect>’ nature of registry-teleology mental-devising-representation/mentation,
 that speaks not only to an act defect but a registry-worldview/dimension defect. Thus this
 insight in transcendental analysis is that by its very nature in that it puts into question ways,
 assumptions and traditions of thought and practices, the possibility of truly profound insights
 that go well beyond more or less platitudes and inevitably requires taking stock of the full-
 depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism of transcendental-
 meaningfulness/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 , given the need to boldly overcome intellectual dead-ends and introduce paradigm shifts
 often with uncomfortable and unpalatable implications to the given registry-
 worldview/dimension personhoods-and-socialhood-formation. It requires more than just a
 sense of professional and technical craft but often more critically a profound sense of
 intemporal/firstnature emanant commitment, an attribute that is by definition of

<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness projection nature and hardly just secondnatured, in thriving for
 an abstract sense of the intemporal beyond just functioning within the ambits of given
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with their intemporal
 preservation limitations as well as their corrupting nature as distractive/circumventive
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. Within all registry-worldviews as
 institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations, there is a convergence that ensures
 intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation by selecting as
 appropriate the ‘relatively ontologically/intemporally veridical’ among myriad possibilities
 and contradictions of human reference-of-thought and meaningfulness, turning away from
 human shallow-limited-mentation-capacity/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology/temporality-potency/perversion-of-reference-of-thought (wherein
 ‘ontological/intemporal reference-of-thought and meaningfulness’ is wrongly re-conjugated
 with the temporal-dispositions teleologies/dispositions of
 ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
 negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, inducing
 corresponding denaturing of the ‘ontological/intemporal reference-of-thought and
 meaningfulness’ by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag,
 unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-
 rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect, and temporal-
 enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect) towards profound-limited-mentation-
 capacity/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporality-potency/registry-soundness
 which is behind the generation of ‘ontological/intemporal reference-of-thought and
 meaningfulness’ and the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process. This convergent

selectivity is perpetually directed by ‘the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’ (not to be confused with good-naturedness/impression-drive) towards the validation of intemporality-potency and the dismissal of temporality-potency, and so in dialectical succession of registry-worldviews as the successive/snowballing institutional-recomposures/institutional-cumulations. Thus establishing a human approximating/proxying/aligning relationship with the ‘potency of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality (ontological-normalcy) which is a coherent oneness’ that can very much be anticipated as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. In this regard, it should be reiterated that ‘registry (categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) establishes reference-of-thought, and acts as the basis for and defines the operation of logic or logical processing’, and it is notionally all about registry-soundness (reflected as unsoundness of thought) when we are conviction/existential-contextualising-contiguity perversion-of-reference-of-thought when we are in non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as with the hollow and formulaic narratives slanted by psychopath and beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought mimicked by temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation in postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness). Unlike the ‘notion of agreement-disagreement’ dealing with soundness/unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implication wherein a common apriorising–registry of interlocution is already established, there is no logical-basis for one apriorising–registry disposition as a prospective/superseding/transcending reference-of-thought like a positivistic registry-worldview to convince another apriorising–registry disposition as a

prior/superseded/transcended reference-of-thought like a non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview that it is the former's reference-of-thought that is sound, other than for the fact that its better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will in the middle to long-run be untenable with respect to the latter thus 'collapsing' it; and so reflecting 'Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception' and 'Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment' as to mere 'sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking' over 'desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing' so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Intradimensionally within a registry-worldview like positivism, this could be construed as there is no basis for a mindset/reference-of-thought advocating for scientific medicine as practised in hospitals to 'logically convince' another mindset/reference-of-thought advocating rather for traditional medicine (involving a mix of herbalism, incantations, spirits, etc.) that the former is more ontologically-veridical on purely logical terms (as the traditional medicine interlocutor operates logic according to the apriorising–registry or reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology behind its traditional medicine meaningful-frame while the scientific medicine interlocutor operates logic according to the apriorising–registry or reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of a positivistic meaningful-frame), and it is purely the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework fact in that by and large more patients survive/get-cured by going to hospitals which then collapses the traditional medicine interlocutor's reference-of-thought in the middle to long-run to impose the scientific medicine interlocutor's reference-of-thought as a common one, and it is only when this

common reference arises that the ‘notion of agreement-disagreement’ with regards to logical processing is now relevant, and it is irrelevant and non-applicable before that. The implication is that a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as meaning produced apparently with the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in the various instances) but actually implying ‘different relations to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought’, underlined by the disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (aetiological ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct), and so whether with regards to the epiphenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy (or with respect to ontological-veridicality or issues of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness generally):

- As the ‘intemporal-disposition’ disposition which is prelogism-as-of-conviction/existential-contextualising-contiguity with respect to the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (based on ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation since its apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are ontologically-veridical), which are ‘ontologically-reconstituted/deconstructed’ and hence of sound/veridical reference-of-thought (registry-soundness reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-in-phase as ‘dialectical-thinking’.

- As the ‘consciously-slanting-(whether-psychopathic-or-other-postlogical)-temporal-disposition’ disposition which is non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-formulaic-projection/postlogism with respect to the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (based on ontologically non-veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation since the implied slanting apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are not ontologically-veridical but rather usurping/impostoring), which are ‘hollow-constituted’ and hence of unsound/non-veridical reference-of-thought (perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as ‘dialectically-dementing’.

- As conjugating by interlocutors deriving directly-or-indirectly/unconsciously-or-consciously from the consciously-slanting-as-psychopathic/postlogical-temporal-disposition as ‘derived-slanted-ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation’ dispositions thus which are parenthetically/incidentally-(by-their-specific-conjugations-to-the-slanting/postlogism) non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-formulaic-projection/postlogism with respect to the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (as ontologically non-veridical reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation since their slanting/postlogism-induced-and-implied-registry-elements of their respective implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are not ontologically-veridical), which are ‘hollow-constituted’ and hence are of unsound/non-

veridical reference-of-thought (perversion-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as ‘dialectically-dementing’.

- As in registry-worldview terms, all the temporal-dispositions in their ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ paradoxically define and establish the said registry-worldview’s ‘dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation or uninstitutionalised-threshold) as rather hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, and requiring the ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction of new/prospective ‘terms of expressions’ (along new/prospective veridical-ontological reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) for new/prospective sound/veridical reference-of-thought (registry-soundness reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought), and in registry-worldview terms dialectically-in-phase as ‘dialectical-thinking’.

- As ‘dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ implies that ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) being prospective given human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), the prospective registry-worldview in achieving the ontological-prospection ‘is ontologically-veridical and thus dialectically-in-phase as dialectical-thinking’ while the prior registry-worldview inherently failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing the ontological-prospection ‘becomes non-veridical ontologically and dialectically-out-of-phase

as dialectically-dementing', and in the broader sense the projective cumulation/recomposuring of limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) along such successive dialecticisms of ontological-prospections is what enables the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process by defining human mentation-capacity-limit in a prior reference-of-thought in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (as the new 'dialectically-dementing'), and the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought that redefines human mentation-capacity-limit by ontologically-reconstituting/deconstruction (as the new 'dialectical-thinking'). By 'reflecting a dialectically-dementing placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation' so as to point out the registry-defect of intradimensional setting-aside/passing-over/ignoring (which implies from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight, the registry-worldview is rather hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation defective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation and failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) and so pointing out its notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema>, and in so doing keeping the 'superseding—oneness-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity' by recurrently implying that the profoundness-of-ontology-as-a-oneness lies with the prospective/superseding/transcending reference-of-thought that re-establishes ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality by 'ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness'/deconstruction in upholding the 'dialectical-thinking'; the implication is that the successive registry-worldviews as the institutional-cumulations/institutional-

recomposures are a strive for successive better profoundness-of-ontology-as-a-oneness by perpetually undermining hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and upholding ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as ontological-normalcy.

- As ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ (seemingly of veridical-ontological reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in the various instances) highlights broadly the socially shared/common reference-of-thought and meaningfulness primarily based on language in reflection of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality, but how with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction our temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions/individuations contextually have differing relations to ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought and meaningfulness, notwithstanding the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ and corresponding seemingly common reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, with the ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction with respect to the ontologically non-veridical hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation leading-to/enabling huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation.

- As with regards to psychopathy and social psychopathy, ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in order to effectively construe ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity and disambiguate notional-contiguity/epistemic-contiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dialectical-thinking—qualia-schema> from notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> requires the operational technique of ‘Différance-existential-transitory-

articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-
 meaningfulness' *which refers to how on the one hand from a suprastructuring construal-(as-
 of-'perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-to-uninstitutionalised-
 threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing'-
 and-'corresponding-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-
 thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing') delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-
 trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—
 oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
 insight, the psychopath/postlogical-character is contextually in vague-rhyming-or-copied-
 mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-
 subknowledging as of in-nonconviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-
 hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogically
 from social occasions and experiences it witnesses, and wrongly reproduces this from a
 suprastructuring construal-(as-of-'perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought-as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing'-and-'corresponding-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-
 conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-
 institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing') delineating
 existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-
 contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness insight, in postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-
 'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' by its slantedness-of-meaning-and-
 meaningfulness as 'relevant-occasions-of-opportune' (of social-stake-contention-or-

confliction) arise on the basis that the ‘copied-hollow-form-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ is mechanically deterministic of others behaviours such that they can so be swayed, and by following a teleological disposition of ‘inductive limitation’ or ‘so-called principles’ that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be universalised as they require that others do not act likewise as the psychopath/postlogical-character or their implications should be limited to a given target or targets and not be implied universally, as the fundamental teleology/purpose for articulating them is not intemporal/not-of-universal-import but speaks more of a temporal motive, and in a further suprastructuring construal-(as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, on the other hand how circumstantially it’s interlocutors unconsciously-or-consciously/wittingly-or-unwittingly by temporal-accommodation-or-interest seemingly in-conviction/prelogically align (as conjoining) to this slanted/formulaic-non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’/postlogical-meaning-and-meaningfulness, and so recurrently in conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives to the psychopathic/postlogical-character slantedness-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’; wherein this rather requires from an ontological/intemporal perspective a ‘non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ veridicality reflex’ in representing as ‘dialectically-dementing’ both the

(postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’)
 psychopathic/postlogical-character and by extension the (conjoining-looping-set-of-
 narratives) interlocutors, and thus as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive, that is,
 as they are involved in the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic-meaningfulness
 or procrypticism, and beyond just procrypticism, with regards to perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought of all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures in all registry-worldviews
 (given that postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-
 dueness is behind all registry-worldviews/dimensions perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts
 whether instigated from a physiological condition or not). This ‘postlogical denaturing of
 temporal-dispositions individuations dynamism as conjugated-postlogism’ is behind the
 registry-worldview perversions associated with perversion-of-reference-of-thought-in-
 recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-base-
 institutionalisation or ununiversalisation, perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-
 universalisation or non-positivism/medievalism, and perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-
 positivism or procrypticism, and so going by the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of their
 respective meaningfulness and corresponding reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
 or—ontological-preservation in accordance with human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. Without the
 operational technique of ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’, the
 psychopathic/postlogical-character and its interlocutors will, going by the conviction-
 reflex/prelogical-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex, be engaged/related-to wrongly as
 being in ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality instead of being of notional-

discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (perversion of reference-of-thought/meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry-worldview), as they are emphasising the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ without reference to existential reality whereas such a ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ operant technique reflects/perspectivates those ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ wrongly emphasised with reference to existential reality (as suprastructuring construal-{as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’}) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of meaningfulness) and so establishing their notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> or ontological-non-veridicality. This technique is a proof of the Sartrean notion of ‘existence-preceding-essence’ or the Derridean notion of ‘there is nothing outside the text’ (with the text, from an overall insight of presence and absence metaphysics, rather construable as ontological meaningfulness, with the implication that there is no meaningfulness that is not in ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity, or by the Sartrean argument, there is no essence-of-meaningfulness outside existential contextualisation of meaningfulness); as the wrong notion of ‘non-existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-

reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology’ or mere form state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ (in the case where essence-of-meaningfulness is considered as definitely/absolutely given by the mere form of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology without considering whether these are in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in the very first place) is the basis of psychopathic/postlogical-character and their interlocutors (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (to the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology but failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to uphold intemporal-preservation/entropy/contiguity) by vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging and implying wrongly they are in a state of conviction (be it implied bad or good conviction, to falsely initiate the ‘implication-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement’ as logical-processing-or-logical-implication issue rather than the more profound issue of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought) in lieu of their true veridical state of being in a state of non-conviction-or-‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ (which speaks of perversion-of-reference-of-thought with the corresponding need rather for a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’), and thus wrongly eliciting that they are in a state of ‘dialectical-thinking’ whereas in veridicality they are in a state of ‘dialectically-dementing’ and thus dialectically-out-of-phase, wherein as well, the right notion of suprastructuring construal-(as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-

conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-
 institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) delineating
 existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-
 contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness insight of essence-of-meaningfulness (as existence-
 precedes/defines-essence, based on contextualising insight from the precedence of existence
 as becoming) re-establishes the requisite ontologically-veridical contextualisation of essence-
 of-meaningfulness by ‘ontologically-reconstituting’/deconstruction of reference-of-thought
 and meaningfulness that is veridically conviction since it sticks to intemporal-preservation-
 entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation by overriding the prior reference-of-
 thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that is failing/not-upholding-as-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—
 ontological-preservation with new/prospective reference-of-thought—categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and hence implying a state of dialectical-thinking
 that is dialectically-in-phase. Hence the ‘expression of reference-of-thought and
 meaningfulness in suprastructuring construal-(as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought—as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-
 subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-
 reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-
 institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) delineating
 existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-
 contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness insight’ as allowed by the technique of the ‘Différance-
 existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-

meaning-and-meaningfulness’ enables the disambiguation of the appropriateness of reference-of-thought/apriorising–registry-wordview into the shortnesses-of-register-of-meaningfulness/temporal-dispositions and longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporal-disposition; as the suprastructuring construal-(as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’} delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of essence-of-meaningfulness keeps/upholds the ‘superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ in ontological-contiguity/ontological-veridicality and consequently is ‘dialectical-thinking’ unlike a ‘static or abstract unsound/virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference state of essence-of-meaningfulness’, which doesn’t keep/uphold the ‘superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ existentially and thus is of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing–qualia-schema> and consequently is ‘dialectically-dementing’. This latter point can be seen in context in the example priorly highlighted at the beginning:

For instance, if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about, saying logically that it is a bad thing for this guy to be molesting children, etc. The logical operation is entirely right in abstract terms but does the apriorising–registry apply? I.e. The faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is not with regards to the logic (which is technically true) but with the ‘implied’ denaturing of the elements of the apriorising–registry as of reference-of-thought–categorical-

imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (by simply implying their ‘static or abstract non-veridical/vacuous state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ over suprastructuring construal-(as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought—as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’)) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight of essence-of-meaningfulness) which are: implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape (the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape doesn’t exist since the psychopath doesn’t know the guy), implied-profile (the psychopath is projecting a false representation of itself and the situation), implied-presumptuousness-or-implied-arrogation (the psychopath has no stature to talk about the guy he doesn’t know), implied-assumptions (the assumptions implying the psychopath’s relationship with the guy and the guy’s relationship with children doesn’t exist), implied-value-reference (the psychopath’s elicitation of a sense of value reference in the interlocutor is unfounded and ridiculous) and implied-teleology (the psychopath’s articulation of a sense of purpose on its interlocutor about the guy is hollow mimicking). Finally, the psychopath has articulated a lot of faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge but none to do with logic, but everything to do with the denaturing of registry/axiom/categorical-imperatives or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! So with the psychopath, you don’t watch the logic, you watch out for the apriorising–registry for mental-perversion or the psychopath’s unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought! Not only that, it is important to note that this unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought do protract and an ignorant prelogism-

as-of-conviction mind acting prelogically (existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at) on such postlogical (outcome precedes logical process) non-veridical hollow mimicking narratives is ‘technically psychopathic as well’ as they are in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the psychopath’s postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’. This is known as postlogism or dementing-integration/slanting/impulsive-dementing/conjugated-postlogism (whether conjugated to in ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation), which is to be construed by ‘distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought and once it is induced by ignorance it leads to an undermining of ‘deductive social universal-transparency which protects the internal-coherence of meaning for virtue’ and so by way of the ‘induced-ring-of-gyges-effect/point-of-solipsistic-threshold/point-of-ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold’ of registry-worldviews, with subsequent conjugating ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, the conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration is derived from the psychopath’s initiated postlogism in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and goes on to lead to social psychopathy; more like a dumb-and-dumb/miscuing degeneration effect.

The insight here is that without having at hand a ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ technique which is able to disambiguate the underlying existential reality of

the ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ with regards to the various interlocutors, whether unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought and ‘dialectically-dementing’ as slanted/psychopathic/postlogical interlocutor as well as the various (conjugated-postlogism) temporal-dispositions as derived-slanted ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation interlocutors or soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and ‘dialectical-thinking’ intemporal-disposition interlocutor, the natural human reflex when a contestation arises is to be ‘conviction’ as existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at (without putting into question in the very first place the veridical state of the various interlocutors registry/registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology with respect to contestation, and by foregoing this it wrongly attributes the implied essence-of-meaningfulness without the insight of existential-contextualisation by simply and wrongly implying that everybody must be of intemporal-disposition and voiding the notion of disambiguating-and-establishing the existential-contextualisation of the-various-characters-states-of-minds/the-various-characters-registries with respect to ontological/intemporal meaningfulness in establishing veridicality in the very first place (whether of temporal-dispositions, (conjugated-postlogism) intemporal-dispositions or slanted/postlogical/impulsive-dementing), hence wrongly turning the analysis into a logical-processing-or-logical-implication issue, rather than an analysis of perversion-of-reference-of-thought in the very first place, as a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’. So without existential-contextualisation, the hollow forms of the essence-of-meaningfulness are available for arrogation/impostoring by slanted/postlogical/impulsively-dementing mental-dispositions and in derivation/conjugation

by the temporal-dispositions (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought).

- As previously explained, it is important to grasp that temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions are within the receptacles that are individuals, and hence there is no contradiction in saying that all individuals potentially have both the intemporal-disposition and temporal-dispositions, with the major existential/contextual difference among individuals with regards to the existential/contextual inclination to preserve-intemporal or fail-intemporal/temporality as social-stake-contention-or-confliction arise varying with regards to the implications of graver and graver temporal consequences (wherein as an archetype elucidation for instance, Socrates or Galileo will strive to keep on preserving intemporal/longness even when the conventional social-stake-contention-or-confliction threaten as they view the perpetuation of the ideas and principles they stood for were more critical for human posterity, but again ‘a sense of intemporal’ may vary from an intellectual nature where for instance an ordinary person may spontaneously save from drowning or defend another or others at risk to themselves, etc., implying that individuals ‘solipsistic or secondnature philosophies’ with respect to the acuteness of social-stake-contention-or-confliction is more critical in determining their dispositions to preserve-intemporal or fail-intemporal/temporality); thus explaining a same notional and contiguous conceptualisation (rather as a variation of degree and not different notions) construed as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, and equally explaining why institutionalisation/intemporalisation is possible, as the framework/social-construct wherein social-stake-contention-or-confliction arise can be construed/designed to skew (‘intemporal-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) towards and encourage

the intemporal-disposition to preserve-intemporality over failing-intemporality/temporal-dispositions of postlogism-slantedness (postlogism-as-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-instigation-at-a-given-registry-worldview/dimension, that is instigative to the turning of the prospective ‘temporal defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance into registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>), and its subsequent conjugation with ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. Critically, this accounts for how individuals arrive at their various teleologies/finalities of the intemporal-disposition as ‘logically sound acts’ or temporal-dispositions as ‘logically unsound acts’ or ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance (in the latter case, which are more or less incidental and salvable as just contingent). Further in a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ induced when such ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance conjugate to (psychopath or other character) instigated postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-duedness (a mental-disposition that from its instigation ‘gives-up on ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity’ not only in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-

normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication but is not even predisposed/inclined to an ontologically veridical reference-of-thought to meaningfulness but rather relating to meaning as a hollow-form which determines how others act, so-long-as/to-the-limit-that the postlogical character can remain as of the socially-functional-and-accordant in so doing) inducing in turn temporal-dispositions conjugated-postlogical mental-dispositions (whether unconsciously or consciously, when aligning in-conviction to the postlogical non-conviction) conjugating with ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation and leading to their registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>, because the temporal-dispositions-so-conjugated-to-postlogism are now 'acting-recurrently-in-temporal-preservation, no-longer-as-contingent (defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implication), while wrongly implying (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) they are ontologically-veridical or in intemporal-preservation' in their state of conjugated-postlogism. By 'dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality' this defines the given registry-worldview's 'dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness' (uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation), and thus it is dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive. It is the exercise of: temporal-dispositions 'acting-recurrently-in-temporal-preservation, and-not-as-contingent (defects of logical-processing-or-logical-implication), while wrongly implying (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-

existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) they are ontologically-veridical or in
 intemporal-preservation' in rather hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation conjugated-postlogism (as perversion-
 of-reference-of-thought) that is behind all the dialectical-out-of-phases/dialectical-
 primitivities registry-worldviews as recurrency-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation (perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation), ununiversalisation (perversion-
 of-reference-of-thought of base-institutionalisation), non-positivism/medievalism
 (perversion-of-reference-of-thought of universalisation), and procrypticism (perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought of positivism)'. This reflects human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor whereby
 ontologically speaking, temporal-dispositions are hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
 misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (as they are
 'postlogically-conjugated to the respective registry-worldviews/dimensions prelogical
 meaningfulness', and thus in perversion-of-reference-of-thought) thus
 endemising/enculturating at the respective registry-worldviews 'dialectically-dementing-
 threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness'(uninstitutionalised-threshold or
 socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-
 threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) the
 (postlogical) perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts, which are the respective dialectically-out-
 of-phase/dialectically-primitive registry-worldviews as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation,
 ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism. That said in
 all the registry-worldviews, ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework (as a
 'Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology') and
 percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>

from human intemporal-disposition solipsism-of-thought (hence abjectly ontologising and rather acting-in-intemporal-preservation, whatever the circumstance) induces in the middle to long run the requisite positive-opportunism untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining that dislodges the ‘dialectically-dementing’ meaningfulness and induce prospective/transcending/superseding institutionalisation/intemporalisation as ‘dialectical-thinking’ meaningfulness as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism registry-worldviews. Without this institutionalisation/intemporalisation ‘constraining’, there isn’t really any temporal intradimensional compunction or insight to cease ‘acting-recurrently-in-temporal-preservation, and-not-as-contingent (defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance), while wrongly implying (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) they are ontologically-veridical or in intemporal’. This latter point is critical as it highlights that at the ‘dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’, there isn’t any logical-basis of convincing but for the better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of a prospective reference-of-thought/prospective-registry-worldview established in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics, which then voids the prior reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. In many ways issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought are rather with respect to ‘a-country-of-the-blind-scenario’, so to speak; wherein perversion-of-reference-of-thought necessarily imply a

dialectical situation between two ontological-references with the one being prior/transcended/superseded and the other prospective/transcending/superseding. It is important to grasp that going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process where this is skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) by deferential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal (intemporalisation) is actually an artifice (artificial conceptualisation) that is habituated for its relative positive-opportunism with regards to the cross-section of human interest in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics. However, no institutionalisation construct, going by its implied transcendence alienating ‘present as prior/transcended/superseded ontological-reference conceptualisation’ for ‘future as prospective/transcending/superseding ontological-reference conceptualisation’, has ever been acquiesced to socially without resistance even in instance induced by diffusion involving the power dominance of one cultural entity over another, with such resistance being at least in the short-term of a covert nature and of a <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag nature as well. Resistance is even stronger where transcendental institutionalisation is implied within a same cultural entity. Thus it might just be the case that the more or less itinerating clanic or tribal groups of early humans were the perfect model for a sort of complementary diffusion of transcendentalism that quickly enabled a hominid to achieve the core assets for its perpetuation of civilisation as complex meaningfulness enabled by language and culture. Insightfully as well the possibility of positivism/rational-realism arising in Western Europe was greater by this same mechanism

of complementary diffusion of transcendentalism given the mutually feeding diffusionary dynamics across the constitutive feudal entities of Medieval Europe sharing a common referent Judaeo-Christian worldview of a ‘relatively weak dogmatism’; and this can be contrasted during or just before the same period with the hegemonic or near-hegemonic governance of China and of the Islamic world ultimately stifling their nascent positivistic inclinations involving the stifling of a potential Chinese age of voyage and trading as it turned inward or the stifling of Islamic learning and science respectively. Equally, anthropological examination of various cultural groups shows that human progress is not a given and that if the appropriate conditions are not satisfied there is nothing that says a given society will fulfil its potential for prospective transcendence, and this author thinks that applies to us as of the positivism–procrysticism registry-worldview as we are not beyond ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality by mere vague egotistic/self-referential complex but rather as of a lucid contemplation and subjection to insight about prospective ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality axiomatic-construal, in much the same way positivism institutionalisation transcendence came about. The bigger point here is that while within ‘institutionalised constructs’, there is more or less summative perception of social-functioning-and-accordance—as-of-social-stake-contention-or-confliction on the basis of common/same/shared registry-worldview reference-of-thought priorly institutionalised by prospective-institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-transcendence, however, at uninstitutionalised-threshold, we should be expecting nothing less than the ‘normal’ human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor, and so at the threshold between recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation and base-institutionalisation, universalisation and ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and positivism, and prospectively procrysticism and

deprocrypticism. The implication is that naturally all prospective institutionalisations by their implied transcendence are ‘antagonistic by inducing contrariety in the temporal sense’ even though we’ll appreciate that their intemporal valor is inestimable (at least when we are looking retrospectively in appreciating that a positivistic outlook should supersede a non-positivism/medievalism outlook, and in the case where we are not uninhibited/decomplexified to equally construe that prospectively as a deprocrypticism outlook should supersede a procrypticism outlook). This insight equally highlights that institutionalisation/intemporalisation is implied with regards to human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and is critical for would-be emancipation-inducing intemporal individuations in grasping the whys and hows of social reaction to transcendental conceptualisation going by human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, how temporal ‘resistance’ is superseded, the mechanism of percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> and how transcendental ideas are taken up over time and induce untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism in the short run and secondnaturing in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics. The fact is that while the social-construct is by and large a conceptualisation that determines individuals possibilities, the reality is equally that the social-construct does has ‘powerful channels’ that enable individuals to drastically redefined what is the social. The individual, it is often ignored, is an abstract-atomic-social-construct, as in the individual is priorly implied in the social, beyond just in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of social aggregation in implying a meaningfulness and value-reference construct

relationship to the abstract summative social. Such insight on the nature of human transcendence will certainly highlight why the Encyclopédistes coordinated by Diderot played a relevant role in inducing a domino effect contributing in transforming medieval European societies mindsets into a positive worldview by cynically putting together all the positive knowledge they could muster and disseminating it throughout Europe, and so over the forces of obscurity of the days who understood the implications of such a venture. The fact here as well as with all issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought (by the prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, say of a medieval mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to a prospective positivistic mindset, as implied by ontological-normalcy), is that there was obviously no mutually common/same reference-of-thought between the Encyclopédistes as positivists and many in the medieval establishment as non-positivists for any mutually intelligible logical exercise. But rather it was a case of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of positivistic meaningfulness over non-positivism/medievalism ontologically imposed the positivistic reference-of-thought, as the former elicits untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining in the latter as well as its relative positive-opportunism from its relative ontological effectiveness such that it ends up being secondnatured further by percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>. Insightfully, in an intellectual conceptualisation exercise which, though conceptually contiguous, and while not necessarily implying similar dramatisation, in addition to its relatively diffuse implications in the sense of the contention being rather about human-mentation-capacity-

furtherance and the fact that as a latter institutionalisation it is apparently less dramatic, at least as of its apparent negative social consequence given it is so focussed on human individuations as atomic-level point-of-departure of transformation but rather finding its radicalness more in the boldly implied décomplexing/uninhibitedness (suprastructuring/metaphysics-of-absence) emancipation of the positive/procryptic human, and as with all other institutionalisations, it is thus not an issue that deprocrypticism meets in the short-term and temporary with ‘resistance’ or rather criticism (possibly by and large more in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of intellectual agreement/disagreement, as obviously every notion seriously contemplated about is); such that focus should be relatively more about construing veracity/ontological-pertinence and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> thereof, as an objectively engaged intellectual/emancipatory exercise.

- As the above circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability (of temporal-dispositions acting-recurrently-in-temporal-preservation ...) is the basis for the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> reflected/perspectivated as the perversion-of-reference-of-thought <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> of a given dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive registry-worldview in its ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ as the ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-

enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect; superseded/resolved not by logical-processing but as apriorising–registry (reference-of-thought) perversion, by the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the prospective apriorising–registry as it elicits by its positive-opportunism its untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining with respect to the prior one, going by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. This articulation of the ‘given dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive registry-worldview as a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’’ can be construed going by an ontologically-veridical insight from a ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’ technique which allows essence-of-meaningfulness to be seen for what it really is as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-and-contextualisation, as can be understood insightfully by the notion of ‘existence defining/preceding essence’, as existential reality sets up the veridical contextualisation of analysis that is preemptive of a hollow-form/postlogical arrogation/impostoring with respect to the ‘essence-of-meaningfulness as of intemporal-preservation’), and this as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology wherein temporal-dispositions acting-recurrently-in-temporal-preservation speaks of a relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-

preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, in need for ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective prospective-
 transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-
 ontological-preservation. This is the reason why the registries of the
 dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase prior/transcended/superseded registry-
 worldviews/dimensions of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-
 positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism (the-perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought-of-our-positivism-construed-from-a-prospective-reference-of-thought-as-
 deprocrypticism) are correspondingly represented with their own 'specific and peculiar
 unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought' <formative>epistemic-totalising-
 renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-
 rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-psychologism-as-the-
 new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology), in
 reflection/perspectivation of their specific and peculiar registry-worldview's/dimension's-
 uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> as
 effectively 'dialectically-dementing' as reflected/perspectivated from the standpoint of the
 placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
 teleology of their corresponding prospective dialectically-in-phase as dialectical-thinking
 prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldviews/dimensions of base-
 institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. It is
 critical to note that generally the distortion of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness from
 postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration leading to temporal-
 preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation occurs at the three levels of
 contextualisation as individuation, registry-worldview/dimension and
 transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-

ontological-completeness conceptualisations; contextually it explains incidental occasions of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, registry-worldview-wise/dimension-wise postlogical instigation of temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation (in self-reference-syncretising) explains relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, intradimensionally and need for prospective institutionalisation to resolve the given relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy, and transcendently/transdimensionally/interdimensionally this further explains ontological-normalcy as being about representing successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as of ‘reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy’ so that the perspective is one of ‘abnormalcy’, such that the mindset/reference-of-thought in no institutionalisation including ours/positivistic should be ‘so-complexed’ as to wrongly imply a perspective of ‘its ontological-normalcy’ to be then defining itself as prospectively non-transcendable/unsupersedeable at its uninstitutionalised-threshold, thus being falsely ‘dialectically-undementable/dialectically-unprimitivable and dialectically-un-out-of-phaseable’ while intuitively it appreciates that prior registry-worldviews had been thus-construed in succession to deliver its own; thus speaking of an ‘ontological-bad-faith’ for the prospective possibilities of the future.

- As it is important to grasp that the postlogical/psychopathic characters instigation of conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration in the other temporal-dispositions doesn’t mean

postlogism characters are the causation of the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ that induces the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive registry-worldview as dialectically-dementing. Rather, from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight, this points to human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) at that registry-worldview/dimension-level or registry-worldview/dimension as the dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation), which is ‘in wait’ to be revealed by the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s corresponding postlogism perversion-of-reference-of-thought instigation at that registry-worldview/dimension-level or registry-worldview/dimension. For instance, the corresponding postlogism as perversion-of-reference-of-thought instigation in non-positivism/medievalism instigating say of notions of sorcery and accusations of the type while effective in inducing perversion-of-reference-of-thought in a non-positivism/medievalism setup will not be effective in a positivistic social-setup, as the non-positivism/medievalism condition of being superstitious and non-empirical is by itself a condition ‘in wait’ for accusations and notions of sorcery to arise and be endemised/enculturated. Likewise, from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence insight, with regards to our positivistic registry-worldview reflected/perspectivated as being dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive as procrypticism at its human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) registry-worldview/dimension-level as the dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, our condition of not being in ontological-contiguity, ‘not-

reflecting-absolute-ontological-pertinence’, as being involved with ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’/temporal-accommodation as well as our peculiar conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration as psychopathy-and-social-psychopathy (that is, the conjugating of the temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation to the postlogism-slantedness associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy) specifically in the extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of the positivism registry-worldview’s permeating on occasion its formalities, rather than maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness where the veridical ontological-reference is an ‘abstract-sense-of-adherence-to-intrinsic-reality’ as validated by the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/understanding/knowledge-driven, and not impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness meaningfulness associated with the ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ that ‘tends to reference/accommodate/orientate for a disposition to rather seek other humans ‘temporal-validation’ as rather ‘angling for the summative human mental-disposition’ with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction as ‘extrinsic-attribution’ over a ‘validation by inherent-veridicality/intrinsic-reality’ of meaningfulness as ‘intrinsic-attribution’ leading

to social-and-temporal-trading, and so whether consciously-or-unconsciously/wittingly-or-unwittingly’, and thus inducing notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> speaking of epistemic-decadence (postlogism). Insightfully again, going by the first example, it might (wrongly) be argued, by human ‘temporal extricator paradigm’, that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a non-positivism/medievalism setup should imply that any such accused should equally ‘make-up’ accusations in their own defence to neutralise and possibly defend their own interests. But such a stance is a temporal extricator paradigm that faces human temporality/shortness with human temporality.

Intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm will garner the insight that humanity-at-large at all such non-positivism/medievalism setups is rather in need (as the resolution) of a renewed institutionalisation prospectively as the positivistic registry-worldview based on rational-empiricism as the paradigm for superseding the vices-and-impediments that the enculturation/endemisation of the notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery speak of inherently, together with the social-structural implications and derivations arising, with regards to the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview. The vocation of the intemporal-disposition (intemporality/an-ontological-construct/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) is not-to-come-to-and-construe meaningfulness at a same pedestal as a temporal extricator paradigm, and this invariably means that its on-occasion/incidental insight about temporal-dispositions defects (temporality) is ‘necessarily escalated ontologically at a humanity-at-large scale of <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’. This construal is what enables ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation) or ontological-

normalcy/postconvergence, and its <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity on human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor across all the registry-worldviews whether retrospective, present or prospective. In other words, inherent human ontologising-deficiency as implied by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence due to human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) is the inherent reason why humankind has to ‘make-up-for’ (by projection as ‘ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction) its ontologising-deficiency by renewing its reference-of-thought/implied-registry-worldview in successions as transcendences involving a ‘placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology dialecticism’ (‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’) that involves prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview as ‘dialectical-thinking’ which is dialectically-in-phase over prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as ‘dialectically-dementing’ which is dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive. With the various registry-worldview/dimensions postlogisms/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-duenessal-operation perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts (whether instigating from physiological or enculturated basis) being incidental phenomena (associated with human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor) emphasising the more fundamental issue of the dialecticism implicated in human transcendence, and with this dialecticism being the ‘suprastructural insight’ that informs the veracity/ontological-pertinence and handling of all

issues of ontological-or-existential-defect/registry-defect/perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought/transcendental-dialecticism going by a 'Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-
 veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology'. This differs from issues in relation with
 existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant
 soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implication that 'comes only
 after the notion of a sound reference-of-thought is established in the first place' and are
 intradimensional, and doesn't put-into-question/implicate the soundness/unsoundness of
 registry/axioms/ontological-reference/contending-reference/meaningful-reference/anchoring-
 of-meaning/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought-or-soundness-of-
 mind/registry-worldview, and furthermore are grounded on a same/common reference-of-
 thought/implicit-registry-worldview. Thus if strictly speaking a postlogism phenomenon
 (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) like a
 psychopathic disposition is not the causation of a reference-of-thought perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought, then what is its relevance and pertinence? The fact is with or without
 postlogism including psychopathic individuations, human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of
 relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) warrants that our temporal-dispositions
 will nonetheless still fail the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-
 preservation at the uninstitutionalised-threshold that correspondingly mark the successive
 uninstitutionalised-threshold states of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation,
 ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism, just by the
 mere fact of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-
 virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-
 construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-'in-wait'-for-perversion-of-
 reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation,
 (ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought involving institutionalising,

universalising, positivising and deprocrypticising, with deprocrypticism ‘conceptually’ marking ontological-completeness as it subsumes-as-supplant-(as-of-the-more-profound-construal-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) all the rest). The critical thing however is that at these uninstitutionalised thresholds, without the postlogical effects including psychopathic, the corresponding requisite human transcendences will be more straightforward, direct and definite from the prior ‘dialectically-dementing’ to the prospective ‘dialectical-thinking’ as temporal-dispositions are less predisposed to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation once social universal-transparency of perversion-of-reference-of-thought or registry-worldview-perversion is established together with the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of that perversion, thus facilitating the registering/stranding of the implied dialecticism in the social-psyche/collective-consciousness of what is effectively ‘dialectical-thinking’ and what is ‘dialectically-dementing’, with the latter being alienated in the operation of meaningfulness as the new institutionalisation is established. This straightforwardness, directness and definitiveness is fundamentally undermined by the iterability/iteration nature (of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-ontological-reference) induced by the postlogical hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation distorting effect including psychopathic which renders establishing social universal-transparency of perversion-of-reference-of-thought or registry-worldview-perversion together with the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect to other temporal-dispositions rather obscure, and further so as conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions equally assume a distortional purposefulness with respect to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness of their own.

Postlogically perverted/distorted induced iterability with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-ontological-reference (as denaturing the apriorising—registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology) takes the form of ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase, absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic and extrinsic-attribution with respect to successive sets of interlocutors, and as conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions equally assume a purposefulness of their own (that must be factored-in when analysing psychopathic/postlogical and social-psychopathic situations). This in turn induces ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ as conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration-of-temporal-dispositions in a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect). Thus strengthening the temporality/shortness preservation dispositions of temporal-dispositions as the mere dynamism of the conjugating state of postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-

eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ takes a turn into registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> when these become temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology in a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect), thus defining the ‘dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ (as the uninstitutionalised-threshold) as ‘a-perpetuated-state-in-iterability/iteration’ until the point where social universal-transparency and untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining are decisive enough to instigate prospective institutionalisation as transcendence, breaking the temporal-dispositions acts-execution/logical-processing defects that had become registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> by temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology due to relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation,. Of course, in registry-worldview terms it’s more than just the individuations of individuals, but rather a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect construed at the

comprehensive institutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold level. Basically, by blurring (by way of hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation in-iterating alterations or slanting) the notion that a reference-of-thought is ‘dialectically-dementing’ given its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, postlogism induces temporal-preservation by circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of unprincipled-or-derived-unprincipled mental-dispositions in temporal-dispositions (which equally assume a purposefulness of their own (that must be factored-in when analysing psychopathic/postlogical and social-psychopathic situations) inducing registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> by temporal-preservation as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology-of-recurrence/repeatability in principle. Postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as ‘non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ and conjugated-postlogism can possibly be explained by the notion of pseudointemporality wherein under social-and-confliction-stake temporal-dispositions individuation ‘mental-dispositional incapacity for intemporality’ induces ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (at individuation-level relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-

for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, as it strives to act as if it was intemporal, whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation. In that sense the postlogical/psychopathic mental-disposition will seem to be the ‘weakest human mental-disposition for acting intemporally/in-conviction or meaning as its intrinsicness/essence/ontological-veridicality’ and so directly engages in its kind of pseudointemporality, for pathological reasons, as it takes a faulty-mentation-procedure-shortcut to meaningfulness towards its naively sought-outcome/end-purpose as ‘meaning by its mere form as being deterministic of how others will act’, such that this is actually part and parcel of its developmental psychology. While other temporal-dispositions individuations come to pseudointemporality by ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, whether-consciously-expediently-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation. Postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as ‘non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ instigation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought is associated with intradimensional temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold or relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation), such that equally temporal-dispositions are effectively in derived-non-conviction or derived-existential-

decontextualised-transposition or derived-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing, whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation intradimensionally. This can be highlighted by the fact that from a positivistic perspective, a truly medieval mindset/reference-of-thought at its core is fundamentally and structurally of a relative structural-being/ontological-or-existential-defect no matter how ‘good-natured’ we may conceive of it by the mere fact of the ‘spectacularly defective knowledge and virtue implications’ of it not having a positivistic outlook given its medieval relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, before even speaking of an issue arising from medieval postlogism like someone coming up with notions and accusations associated with superstition. For instance, the consciousness state of say the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought at its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) with respect to the mental-dispositions of the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought wherein obviously the latter’s more ontological-completeness construes that notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery, however serene the mental states of persons in such medieval setup, are without any doubt ridiculous from its positivistic perspective as there is no explanation for them but for the fact that having arrived at its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-

caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-
 ‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-
 pseudointemporality-preservation) the human mindset/reference-of-thought (medieval in this
 instance) with respect to social-and-confliction-stake is just as well, whether-consciously-or-
 unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-
 extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation intradimensionally, inclined to engaged
 in what is in reality non-conviction or existential-decontextualised-transposition or
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (as
 notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a medieval setup). Thus at a registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold or relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-
 construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-
 reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation), its disposition for
 temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation (whether instigated postlogically
 or arising from enculturated-postlogism) is bound to elicit the corresponding registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s ‘non-conviction or existential-decontextualised-transposition or
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ that
 speaks fundamentally of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-
 induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-
 for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-
 preservation, whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation
 intradimensionally; and equally so, as ‘non-conviction or existential-decontextualised-

transposition or apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’, at their successive relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) will the recurrent-utter-institutionalised mindset/reference-of-thought appear with respect to base-institutionalised mental-dispositions from the base-institutionalised perspective, the ununiversalised mindset/reference-of-thought appear with respect to universalised mental-dispositions from the universalised perspective, the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought appear with respect to positivistic mental-dispositions from the positivistic perspective, and prospectively so, the procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought appear with respect to deprocrypticism mental-dispositions from the deprocrypticism perspective. (These can perfectly be exemplified for the other lower registry-worldviews/dimensions or our procrypticism registry-worldview/dimension with respect to deprocrypticism but for the relative unpalatability compared to speaking only of medieval cases which provides the requisite relevance and insight anyway; from the insight of a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ grounded at the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, as ontological-completeness/ontological-normalcy driven). Taking the case of a non-positivism/medievalism context as highlighted above at its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) warranting the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension, we can appreciate that there is a whole gamut of

seemingly genuine ontological/being/existential dispositions as social practices within the non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension like alchemy, superstitions, beliefs and other similar social constructions of meaningfulness that from a ‘positivistic angle’ are perfectly caricaturable as nothing but apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing arising from the hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of universalisation’s reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as intradimensional existential-decontextualised-transposition (of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of universalisation meaningfulness). This is a recurrent dynamism associated with human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, as at the point of a prospective/superseding/transcending institutionalisation’s relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation, there is an eliciting of hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of its reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology by temporal-dispositions (as temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation instigated by postlogism and enculturated-postlogism) manifested in various social constructions of meaningfulness such that these are in effect derived-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing and whose ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness is defective (as intradimensional existential-

decontextualised-transposition), requiring prospective transcending/superseding institutionalisation by ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction/(engaged)-destruktion, with temporal-dispositions further in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the latter transcending/superseding institutionalisation at its point of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, inducing new derived-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing social constructions of meaningfulness, and the cycle carries on this way till the attainment of ontological-normalcy (deprocrypticism) as ontological-completeness brings an end to derived-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing social constructions of meaningfulness that are veridically-unreal. These derived-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing social constructions of meaningfulness are in effect the uninstitutionalised-thresholds requiring corresponding prospective institutionalisations/intemporalisations (whether-consciously-or-unconsciously-and-so-beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-manifestation intradimensionally); and it is important to grasp that uninstitutionalised-thresholds (however nefarious the consequences from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence appreciation) are as critical and defining in their existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications just as institutionalisations, to fully appreciate the very nature of transcendence as the most important thing/purposefulness of humanity-at-large. But then, our human intemporal-disposition responsible for the

institutionalisation/intemporalisation process is equally inclined to focus-the-mind-more-thoroughly when dealing with phenomena that undermine ontological-veridicality and so specifically with the undermining of soundness of reference-of-thought, and so across the various institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures. It is more likely that in this regard, more likely than not perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena as postlogical effect including psychopathic may actually have been a boost for more rapid huma registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation/intemporalisation as our intemporal-disposition going by its own intemporal preservational individuation disposition (in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) is rather prone to apprehend and deal with perversion-of-reference-of-thought issue at the humanity-at-large scale for the need of huma registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation as secondnaturing given that with human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) it is naïve to operate on the basis of a 'human transformation on the wrong dependence of our intemporal-disposition as firstnatureness', thus the reason why we institutionalise as secondnaturing taking cognisance of the reality of our temporal-to-intemporal individuations dispositions. Just as implied elsewhere in this paper, the skewing ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) (from shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) of capacity as shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity, is the transcendent construct of human virtue, and so as a contiguity notion, and not of abstract analogy. This notion of contiguity is what explains the capacity for humankind to accumulate/recompose/reorder its institutionalisation/intemporalisation capacity. This can be explained as follows. Considering the instance where for instance the target of accusations of sorcery was to equally adopt a temporal stance by making a vague accusation of sorcery as

well. Seemingly, such a temporal approach will more or less be more effective in preempting the ‘incidental resolution of temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ (with respect to themselves in their specific locale) associated with the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect) rather as an extricatory/temporal paradigm in serving their purpose of a temporal mortal. In so doing incidentally it doesn’t actually preempt but fails the ‘universal resolution of temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation’ (at humanity-at-large scale) as it advances an argument that still enculturates/endemises the upkeep of notions of superstition and sorcery. This approach of temporal-dispositions of dealing with temporality/shortness with temporality/shortness with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts in all the registry-worldviews (institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures) is what endemises/enculturates the dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive. A truly intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm warrants a transcendental posture of universal-projection/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that overlooks resolving temporality/shortness with temporality/shortness and seeks to grasp the universal implications of all such temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation inclinations of perversion-of-reference-of-thought at the humanity-at-large level of all locales and situations, and only then in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing that all such incidentals of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation endemisation/enculturation are construed and resolved by deferential-formalisation-

transference of the intemporal-disposition approach as institutionalisation/intemporalisation. It is only such an intemporal approach that suprastructurally (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-of-temporal-dispositions) allows for the requisite base-institutionalising of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation of ununiversalisation, positivising/rational-empiricism of non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticising/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. The fact has always been that throughout the various institutionalisations this human intemporal-disposition individuation disposition has always been an indispensable outlier (as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with respect to human social-stake-contention-or-confliction-and-confliction and the reason for its conceptualisations to be construed as institutionalisation-as-virtue even though going by temporal-dispositions inclinations, ‘such abstract projection basically would hardly make sense’. The fact is that this intemporal inclination, while often not downright articulated for what it is but rather implied, is actually behind all formal constructs with an adoption of a ‘maximalist approach’ in the construal of social phenomenal possibilities. Likewise, the hermeneutic orientation of this paper takes up such a maximalist approach in understanding phenomena of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and more precisely psychopathy and social psychopathy in the social-construct even though from a simplistic temporal perception it may seem at times overblown (very much like in a core medieval setup a positivistic maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness disposition such as Galileo’s or Darwin’s or Rousseau’s or Descartes’s assertions will seem overblown to the ‘core non-positivism/medievalism mindset’ going by its customary perception), since it doesn’t accommodate temporal/incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ ways of thinking and instead strives for a universal implications depth-of-thought. Basically, on the same token the

maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of formal constructs is all about construing human transcendental potential as a ‘virtue tipping exercise’ wherein for instance the seemingly overblown representation of humans as susceptible to malfeasance/offence by the construct of the Law doesn’t necessarily imply that everything about humans is how they are likely to commit malfeasance/offence but rather that the transcendental potential of the construct of Law caters for and is a virtue tipping exercise for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness the possibility of limited committing of malfeasance/offence, just as likewise the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct of medicine of humans as likely to be diseased doesn’t necessarily mean that everything about humans is how they will get an ailment but is a human transcendental potential as a virtue tipping exercise for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness the possibility of human health. The reason for this deferential-formalisation-transference disposition is simple, as formal constructs ‘reason’ on the basis of intemporality/object-ontological-veridicality in the quest for reifying abstract universal projection very much unlike everyday informal conceptualisations that are rather driven by vague impressions and good-naturedness and tend to construe meaningfulness by reflex without factoring in relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought of ordinary day to day thinking (common sense), and tend to be unsure, poorly methodical, poorly universalising, poorly insightful, and with elevated subjectivity (not only with regards to facts but with the purported reference-of-thought as well as the apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements which are implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), and so beforehand/as-of-a-priori even

without the instigating effect of any perversion-of-reference-of-thought like postlogism/psychopathy; such that such temporal/incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ reasoning is best left for inconsequential and trite matters of day to day living, as validated by the processes and procedures of our formal institutions however approximate in their success given the pervasiveness of the extended-informality-⟨susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology⟩ even in formal setups, with its susceptibility to undermine or overlook ‘formal effectiveness’ (which can sometimes be naively construed as weakness of formalism rather than insufficiently effective formalism or extended-informality-⟨susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology⟩ disruption of formal effectiveness). Abstractly maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness carries an intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and universal coherence that incremental meaningfulness doesn’t, and thus maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is actually the drive for transcendence in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, with human ontological development from ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-⟨as of relative constitutedness⟩ to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-⟨as of relative conflation⟩ reconstrual/reconceptualisation’ and hence it is ontologically-contiguous as a virtue construct that is self-sustaining. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as such is the mental-disposition to uphold ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from

the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) as ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’/relative-ontological-completeness/reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy avails for the development of reference-of-thought in construing intrinsic-reality/ontology, by its very intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology principle-driven nature; hence it thus regenerates new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to match developing ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’/relative-ontological-completeness/reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy. Whereas incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness tends to operate as if at any one instance human meaningfulness is absolutely set (and so rather as a mere form) and thus incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness is non-transcendental, and so with reference to the underlying intemporality/longness (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) that ontological development from ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’/relative-ontological-completeness/reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy elicits, in lieu it is rather of a temporality/shortness reflex mental-disposition such that correspondingly developed reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation is related to in virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference) terms, whether unconsciously (ignorance), expediently (affordability) or consciously; thus as mental-disposition, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness across all registry-worldviews involves ‘<formative>epistemic-

growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness
 over temporality' at the uninstitutionalised-threshold, speaking fundamentally of the reality
 of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor and underlining the “ontological-
 dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought”
 line with respect to reference-of-thought mental representations between
 intemporality/longness as candored-supratransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing and temporality/shortness as decandored-
 subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing. Incrementalism-in-relative-
 ontological-incompleteness wrongly construes meaningfulness (both ontology and virtue
 perspectives) as rather a process of additionality over the prior reference-of-thought whereas
 in reality (from the insight that our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology develops from shallow limited-
 mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as
 of relative conflation) by way of the ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
 stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’) meaningfulness develops rather as a
 maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness process of
 recomposuring towards a deeper superseding—oneness-of-ontology, with recomposuring
 reflecting that human progress is rather an ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process (as secondnaturing/institutional-design defined by skewing
 (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) as deferential-
 formalisation-transference by the intemporal-disposition/longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology) and critically without the transformation of the reality of

human individuation dispositions as temporal (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology)—to—intemporal (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as of human existential-form-factor. Thus the implication is that the ontological-contiguity—of—the-human-institutionalisation-process succumbs to uninstitutionalised-threshold due to the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of human temporality/temporal-dispositions as of shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in inducing uninstitutionalised-threshold which can only further be structurally/paradigmatically resolved by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness recomposre as transcendental-enabling/sublimating. Basically, incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness relation to meaningfulness as ‘a comprehensive additionality exercise’ thus fails to account for human temporality/temporal-dispositions as ‘not transformed’ and will tend at uninstitutionalised-threshold towards the perversion/derived-perversion of the institutionalisation reference-of-thought or reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,—for—intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (whether unconsciously, expediently or consciously), involving flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought. This insight equally explains the nature of human progress as the natural mental-reflex is to think that human progress occurs incrementally as an exercise of additionality to the prior reference-of-thought and institutionalisation, which is wrong as human progress is all about our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology grasp of the same intrinsic-reality-as-ontological-veridicality in construing meaningfulness-and-teleology/teleological-differentiation involving rather a ‘continuous maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness exercise’ of the same intrinsic-reality-as-ontological-veridicality but with deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) arising from the overall and specific accumulated human experiential possibilities of being on earth. Thus human

progress as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is a change of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) enabled by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and it not about being incremental/additional but is rather a ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness emerging-through (by maximal-as-intemporal-operating-modality-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness-as-inducing-the-prospective-institutionalisation) of prospective-institutionalisation over the old/uninstitutionalised-threshold due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal’, as base-institutionalisation is not an addition/increment over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation but a ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness emerging-through’, just as is universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively deprocrypticism over procrypticism; as a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness process in the recomposuring accrual of human ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) towards deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’ wherein the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process is rather construed as of ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ providing

existential-context priorly-and-over elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity due to the fact that when not so existentially-contextualised our
 limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) in an
 elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-
 outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity exercise is bound to induce ‘wrongly-projected
 decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-virtuality-or-
 ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior dementing—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing
 reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding), in
 wrong grasp of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-
 relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-
 context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality’. This further explains why meaningfulness is
 effectively an existentialism construct; existentialism in the sense that our limited-mentation-
 capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) needs to grasp
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—
 rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality, as a priori over any subsequent elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity for the latter to be ontologically valid. Furthermore, the
 precedingness nature of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to human

existential-reference/existential-tautologisation pivoting to ontology/ontological-veridicality speaks of a ‘decentering’ to the prospective ontological-construct that maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness effectively enables by placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology rescheduling (as it perpetually recomposure to the intemporal as the relative absolute in value and ontology) over incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness which wrongly falls back to the relatively limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) of the temporal presencing-as-if-definitely-set in wrongly construing it as the relative absolute reference-of-thought. Insightfully with respect to the notion of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, the law typically operates on the basis of anticipating maximally the possibilities of criminal acts with the anticipation of the maximal possibilities of victimisation from such acts (when it regulates weapons ownership, for example) in effectively construing optimal prevention of criminality in society as a structural/paradigmatic construct that more vitally shapes human action and its ‘effective enforcement’ is actually a minor portion of the structural/paradigmatic construct of law over lawlessness; as it carries an inherent intemporality/longness that is further summonable in improving the law with human ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) reconstrual/reconceptualisation’. Like all formal constructs it wouldn’t rely on incremental-dispositions or temporal-accommodation of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> that may lead to temporal mobbish dispositions, the fundamental point being that that element of ‘abstraction-of-thought/principled-thought’ is decisive as with all knowledge constructs. Rather the limit of such intemporal thinking is not the

<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> but
 operates and is based in effect on intemporal projection-of-thought in an intersolipsistic
 relation to intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontological-veridicality on the validity of the intercession
 of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework implied predicative-effectivity–
 sublimation-<as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment> and by extension the intercession of
 formal/conventioning rules as institutionalisation arising in validation of the former, and their
 corresponding percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-
 conflation> in deferential-formalisation-transference. The notion of intersolipsism is actually
 the notional validation of the solipsistic argument as it frames the question in the right
 manner, that is, inversely (contrary to the traditional philosophical framing of the solipsism
 question, which by so doing naively and wrongly implies that ‘individuals precede and/or are
 in supposedly in existence in existence’ upon an affirmative solipsistic response, rather than
 the idea of becoming solipsistically in existence which subsumes their individuality and
 projecting of the same about others in an intersolipsistic recognition arising from individuals’
 own solipsistic insights of predication-and-projection as so-reflected as to overall
 panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-
 educated–human-subpotency–epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 conceptualisation), since it priorly implies existential emanance-or-becoming validated by
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework about a superseding–oneness-of-ontology as
 the intercessory basis for mutual-solipsism/intersolipsism. This author equally conceptualise
 of a difference between solipsism and subjectivity in that solipsism is rather purely
 ontological as it implies notionally the individual’s perspective in existential becoming as of
 existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-

or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-
 realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness (however effective-as-
 solipsistically-intemporal or ineffective-as-solipsistically-temporal such perspectival
 performance), whereas subjectivity refers to our animate-existential-referencing-as-
 subjectification which is not necessarily oriented to the ontological
 appropriateness/veridicality of that reference but rather is a notional construal of the reality of
 ‘human condition of perceived ontological appropriateness/veridicality’ irrespective of
 whether it can be said of such perception as being objectively right or wrong going by
 inherent ontological-veridicality. So solipsism speaks of the human projection in notionally
 construing ontological veridicality/appropriateness notwithstanding the perspectival
 effectiveness or ineffectiveness of such a construal as of solipsistic-temporality to solipsistic-
 intemporal and as such solipsism as of solipsistic-intemporal is the drive behind
 ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality. Whereas
 subjectivity speaks notionally of a human condition orientation with respect to perceived
 ontological veridicality/appropriateness no matter whether right or wrong. This possibility of
 distinguishing an inherently ontological foundation of existential meaning different from an
 ontological as human epistemic-conception reflexivity of perceived existential meaning is
 central to a deprocrpticism mindset in enabling the most elaborate transcendently-
 enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-
 objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-
 of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism
 construal since necessarily intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is inherently
 tautologous, and ‘human capacity to grasp the possibilities of referential relations to
 inherent existential tautology as of human animate-existential-referencing/subjectification’ in

conjunction with ‘human construal of the inherent existential tautology’ is exactly the definition of notional knowledge. Supposed for instance a child comes to learn the rules of addition for all types of number additions such that the child understands the addition principle, but then there is a deliberate ploy by the teacher and other ‘supposed learners’ all along to constantly calculate $2+2$ as equals to 5. Sooner or later the child’s solipsistic sense of meaning (as becoming into existence alone in an intersolipsistic relationship with others interceded with ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework inducing projective-insights and predicative-insights) will become a self-made revolutionary and question the teacher indicating the correct answer to $2+2$ as being 4; depending equally on its notional sense of intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology relative to temporality/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as to the child’s underlying ‘conception of the ontological-good-faith/authenticity–structure’, further explaining in the bigger picture why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness pursuits, apparently unnecessary from a temporal interest point of view, are intemporal-solipsistically undertaken. Insightfully despite the constant ‘social affirming’ that the correct answer is 5, unlike it might be erroneously be thought, the child’s insistence now that the answer is 4 is ‘not truly’ out of the ordinary as with respect to its construal of all other meaning including other additions, the child’s knowledge and learning has always been about confirming any such meaning by its notional sense-of-solipsism as of superseding–oneness-of-ontology; but this particular solution for the addition rather becomes outlying for the child because despite the ‘social affirming’ of $2+2$ as being 5, such a confirmation by a notional intemporal sense-of-solipsism as of superseding–oneness-of-ontology is not forthcoming, and in lieu rather gets the solipsistic confirmation as $2+2=4$! Thus this points out that our interrelationship to meaningfulness is most authentically and fundamentally by pointing out a notional intemporal ‘sense of solipsism’ in each of us to access intrinsic

meaning. Such ‘intersolipsistic-pointing exercise’ is only possible because of: our common underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-notion/articulation (enabled obviously by language as well as any human meaning relaying medium like signs, whether active or passive or implied or direct)’. By extension, our consciousness-awareness-teleology as of a solipsistic notional/epistemic-construct is equally the result of our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification as of our existential underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns-and-accrues projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’, and existentially so as of our ‘social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation’. So there is no medium for intersolipsism but for the fact of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-

potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness accruing to each individual, implying our
 limited-mentation-capacity enables us at any given phase of our existence to mutually be able
 to ‘solipsistically reference a common sense of inherent existential-reality’, and so
 increasingly as of our common species, common registry-worldviews, common communities,
 common institutions and common personhoods and socialhood; and so, however
 ontologically-veridical our meaningfulness-and-teleology within institutionalised-threshold
 or as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing
 at uninstitutionalised-threshold. This will equally explain why in the rare cases reported in the
 media of infants abandoned and adopted by animals like dogs and monkeys, such infants
 often tend to adopt behaviours of the animals as of ‘mutual solipsism or intersolipsism of
 reference to underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-
 as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-
 intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly
 coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent
 human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), as the capacity for the infant to act and
 behave like a human effectively requires its personality development in a mutual solipsism or
 intersolipsism of underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-
 implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-
 insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying
 supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
 explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides

existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) with other humans from whence the existential specificity/instantiation basis as of the family, neighbourhood, local institutions, sociocultural context and increasingly in a globalised world social trends of all sorts whether fashion, cultural, educational, intellectual, political, environmental, social media, etc. are now critical determinants of its subjective and intersubjective meaningfulness-and-teleology. Supposed again in a non-positivism social-setup a case of accusation-of-sorcery was to be brought up, wherein as of the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought implied beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of the registry-worldview/dimension, it is a generalised certainty that sorcery and sorcerers/sorceresses do exist (as of the non-positivism social-setup own apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing at their non-positivism uninstitutionalised-threshold). This conception speaks of that registry-worldview/dimension subjectivity and intersubjectivity as of ‘a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as knowledge’ which is the ‘indubitable reality’ as far as they are concerned. Such a subjectivity and intersubjectivity conceptualisation/construal can be implied as well as of ‘<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality supposedly as knowledge’ across all the successive registry-worldviews/dimensions (including the subjectivity and intersubjectivity in our positivism—procrysticism) with respect to their respectively relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-

reference-of-thought implied uninstitutionalised-threshold. However, without a solipsistic notion of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and so beyond subjectivity and intersubjectivity, arising as of purely ‘solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic insights in referencing underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) as a potential capacity in all individuals, then the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality will tend to actually be defined whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as implied by subjectivity and intersubjectivity as a ‘construct of human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as supposedly knowledge’, with the consequence that humankind construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is naively-and-wrongly interpreted as superseding ‘inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ at registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-threshold (which is obviously fallacious, as it is ‘the possibility of humankind being subjected to the meaningfulness-and-teleological implications of further solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic elucidations in referencing underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity

and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that allows for the requisite pivoting/decentering as of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure enabling human emancipation and progress, and not the other way round). The further implication is that by a retrospective and prospective analysis the possibility of human transcendence, usually initiated as an outlier solipsistic-and-intersolipsistic activity in referencing of underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human), will largely be jeopardised since the ‘putting-into-question’ as a solipsistic exercise with the possibility of getting at the very core of what is ‘further divulge-able’ by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, is largely compromised by a subjectivity and intersubjectivity <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-disposition. This distinction between subjectivity and intersubjectivity as referencing human condition of construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality from solipsism and intersolipsism as referencing human effective/ineffective construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, is actually important because (while less critical to elucidate this in the natural sciences given the immediacy of constraint from intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating hence implicated), the

implications for its comprehensive and conscious understanding in the social world (for conceptualising knowledge while superseding human temporality/shortness as ignorances, so-construed as ‘knowledge notionalisation’) is decisive as it requires both an understanding of ‘the human condition in its construal/relation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’ and ‘understanding of inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality’; and so, as a prerequisite for the organic-knowledge necessary for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview institutionalisation. For instance, the concepts of constitutedness, first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness, third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and conflatedness of temporal-to-intemporal individuations as of bottomlining/reference-of-thought—prelogism-as-of-conviction to reference-of-thought—madeupness so-articulated previously as of ‘notional-conflatedness/constitutedness-to-conflatedness perspectivation of ontologically-veridical dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ in enabling a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation insight, can only be properly construed as of such a disambiguation in conceptualising not only inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but equally the human temporal-to-intemporal conditions/states of perception/relation with intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. This is fundamentally so because ‘inherent existential-reality/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is already what it is as given whether humankind knows about it or not’ but rather the point of human knowledge is an emancipatory exercise involving the need to decenter/pivot and supersede our animate-existential-referencing/subjectification as of the <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag human condition to derive knowledge-

and-virtue, and so as human-subpotency/‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’).

Solipsism as such is truly the foundational notion of all phenomenological conceptualisations and derivation of value and meaningfulness as intersolipsistic teleological constructs from a transversal-and/or-common perceived existential-reference/existential-tautologisation and derived-representations of existential-reference/existential-tautologisation. It is what allows for the possibility of human construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating to supersede social-aggregation-enabling as a knowledge and virtue construct. The implication being that there is a contiguity in solipsistic insight as simplistically elucidative in the relatively more simpler experimental framework of natural phenomenon studied by the natural sciences (which practice is categorisation-driven, more like elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity but then with a high risk of inducing virtualities thus explaining the continually reshaping/re-categorisation/re-optimising of experimental content when the virtualities come to be seen as unreal or deficient or suboptimal, and so more critically with the practitioner’s experience tend to be driven heuristically actually as of presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness or conflatedness) but such solipsistic insight extends to the more convoluted social phenomenon studied by the social sciences, as well as the phenomenal convoluted equally inherent in scientific domains like quantum-mechanics, as herein contemplated should ideally be understood as of referentialism implied ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic-projection perspective, more like maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness from the most profound of conceptualisation which is intemporality/longness or

intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, as of inherent superseding—oneness-of-ontology, and so on the basis of the absolute a priori, ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality, construed as of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative⟩epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal⟩ in the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology construal’, in the staggered elucidation of less and less profound but critical conceptualisations as undertaken in this hermeneutic design. Furthermore, solipsism will equally explain why human meaningfulness-and-teleology is developed rather by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of the same superseding—oneness-of-ontology as of our deepening limited-mentation-capacity (whereby successive generations take a shot at superseding—oneness-of-ontology like Ancient Civilisations like Greece establishing that matter is made up of water, fire, air, earth and ether critically establishing the psyche of matter as composed of basic elements and successive recomposurings right up to our modern day quantum-mechanics recomposuring as of historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing), rather than it erroneously being construed as an incremental exercise; as it is only incremental in the literal sense but in the ‘operant sense’ it is an exercise of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing overall reconstruing/reconceptualising rather than just incrementing. This insight is important for critical thought and analysis as oftentimes it is naively assumed that prospective knowledge is to be simply obtained by ‘adding’ or ‘cumulating’ to prior works rather than the more pertinent insight of <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-

perception/re-thought as of a same superseding–oneness-of-ontology that is existence. On the same token, this tautological insight about the precedingness of existence can be extended to the notion of nothingness with nothingness rather existing in existence as there is no nothingness or for that matter anything out of existence which is ‘conceptually’ emanation-as-to-the-all-defining-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-intercession, with nothingness rather the ‘conceptual devising of the metaphysics-of-absence of existence’ with existence conceptually construed in metaphysics-of-presence; but then with existence being its very own metaphysics-of-presence, the mutual equivalence of both metaphysics-of-presence and metaphysics-of-absence implying that nothingness is likewise tautologically the emanation-as-to-the-all-defining-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-intercession of existence. Basically a nothingness conceptualisation is necessarily and tautologically an existential conceptualisation as ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’-s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ which is necessarily ‘the absolute a priori’ (as ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’-s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality construed as of increasing human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of meaningfulness-and-teleology construal’) of superseding–oneness-of-ontology/oneness-of-meaningfulness and just as well the notion of nothingness can’t ‘conceptually’ exist out of the notion of meaningfulness which references

existence and all that is in existence as ontological. Actually nothingness is rather a ‘constructive tautological device’ as is actually the case with all human knowledge (mental-devising-representation of teleological reorientation), as it doesn’t speak of any inherent change in intrinsic-reality but rather of change of human <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology), just as the many conceptualisation herein like the registry-worldviews/dimensions and ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process are actually speaking of human rescheduling of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology in grasping a superseding–oneness-of-ontology/intrinsic-reality that has been so all the time; and so critically talk of transcending from shallow to deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology is no more than about human <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ already given as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence oneness) along the same lines with the notion of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’ in compensation of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as ‘shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) reconstrual/reconceptualisation’. That is, such

‘conceptual devices’ are reformulations arising from ‘grander/transcendental insights’ about the same question but implying a radical transformation of ontological/meaningful conceptualisation of the human mind and human teleology. The idea is that ‘intrinsic-reality/ontology is not changed’ but rather it is ‘human <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) that is changed’.

Technically, the implication is that existence/being cannot be thought outside of human thought/limited-mentation-capacity); as a conclusion driven by the insight that human thought/limited-mentation-capacity in construing existence/being implies human meaningfulness-and-teleology is necessarily of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework or contingent. However the disavowal rather than renewal/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness of human thought/limited-mentation-capacity will imply its dissolving into a ‘nihilism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as the alternate logical outcome, but then with this latter construal/conceptualisation being rather ‘an unequal measure alternative’ since it has the drawback of ‘putting an end to contemplation itself’, of ‘misunderstanding that contemplation is a human growth activity and not an absolutely achieved activity’, besides abandoning the notion of human existentialism/throwness/facticity behind human strife itself thus contradictorily undermining again the assumption of such an alternate logical outcome as itself a ‘contemplated strife’ construed as arising only by the implication of such existentialism/throwness/facticity, and further failing to factor in that deepening human thought/limited-mentation-capacity increasingly narrows the framework of human existential contingency/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ‘enabling human existential development as less and less a question of fate’ on the basis of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-

notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity’. Thus the bigger issue is not existence/being in itself as it is given,
 whatever it is that is given. Rather the bigger issue of concern is our human thought/limited-
 mentation-capacity in apprehending existence/being as of our ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework/contingent reconstruals/reconceptualisations of existence/being as of
 human deepening thought/limited-mentation-capacity so enabled by our capacity for
 ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics behind the
 successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures narrowing the framework of
 human existential contingency, with the further possibility of prospective
 <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as
 deprocrypticism as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Such maximalist intemporal
 projection reasoning doesn’t entertain banal ordinary logic (that is all too readily incremental,
 ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and temporally-preservational-as-
 pseudointemporality-preservation) of the sort: she deserves to be raped because she was
 scantily clad as well dressed women will not be raped; his goods deserve to be stolen as he
 didn’t look after them properly; those people/group/ethnicity deserved what happened to
 them because they are so and so; etc. The intemporal reasoning maximalist approach (non-
 incremental, non-‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and striving for the
 ontologically-abject) that permeates many a formalised construct does not entertain
 meaningfulness within the sphere of temporal-and-social-trading and is rather transcendental
 inherently, as it simply supersedes and skews (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-

temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) meaningfulness-and-teleology towards the universal/intemporal as of implication. In other words, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is construed as of the apparently least possibly perceived constraining context in order to truly affirm the universalism of rules or any ontological-constructs; as the test of incrimination with respect to the above apparently least possibly perceived constraining specific crimes contexts is effectively what validates the universalism for all other contexts of such specific crimes. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, is effectively the projective mechanism as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that reinvents new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as a metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation in further human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) and opening up new institutionalisation possibilities behind the successive transcendences of an animal of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in need for skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/differential-formalisation-transference towards the intemporal to induce a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation-as-virtue that very much elevate it beyond its temporality/shortness which left to its own device will strive for incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness temporal-accommodation/extrication. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is an intemporal framework of <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness organic-knowledge

‘inventing’ of prospective huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation possibilities allowing for their percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as of secondnatured institutionalisation. It is behind ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ whether in early times as of non-universal and universal metaphysico-theological creeds or as of metaphysico-worldviews nature and practices in later human history marked by the structural/paradigmatic emphasis of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm over ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-disposition within the secondnatured institutionalisation of such percolation-channelled meaningfulness-and-teleology marked by temporal extricatory paradigm. This latter point is pertinent as invalidating any implied equivalence of reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology between a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition and an ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-disposition going by their different existential paradigms; as the ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-

leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-
disposition will emphasise a registry-worldview/dimension <formative>wooden-language—
imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-
meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-
prospective-apriorising-implications> in a temporal extricatory paradigm as of human
existential physical lifespan as if such <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> arose
all by itself whereas a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
mental-disposition emphasises the human existential tale as of the succession of opened-
structures of meaningfulness-and-teleology that account for the possibility of our present and
prospectively opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology for enabling future
possibilities. Even when it comes to the social integration of maximalising-recomposuring-
for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigms, it is often the case that such
meaningfulness-and-teleology is bound to the denaturing in many ways as of human
ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> temporal
extricatory paradigm concatenation to it, if the requisite percolation-channelling-<epistemic-
totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> institutionalisation and formalisation
constructs are not priorly attended to. Even such that notions like exceptional, genius,
propheying, etc. associated with maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness mental-dispositions, as recognised by the Nietzschean imagination are more
often than not construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-

extrication-as-of-existential-unthought as ‘derogation to the fact that such maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigms can hypothetically be incumbent of all humans as to their choice of intellectual-and-moral orientation and their specific focus’, and thus paradoxically implying as of the blurriness of the social domain that such so-called exceptional, genius, prophesying, etc. are ‘abnormal’ with the paradox that their implied ontological-veridicality is ‘abnormal’, thus by that same token falsely upholding the ontological-pertinence of ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as a non-decenterable <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>!

Actually the paradox is that, no transcendently implied construct is effectively a ‘grounded knowledge-construct commitment’ inherently as it inevitably and fundamentally puts into question the underlying intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework notion, which is the prior <formative>epistemic-totalising/circumscribing/delineating reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context—meaningfulness-and-teleology as of its {given consciousness’s neuterising-induced}-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness. Such transcendental implications arise as a transitional construct that is in effect as of a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure articulation by its cross-generational transcendental implications. By the mere fact of implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought a prospective transcendence involves the prospective reference-of-thought rather ‘registering-and-reflecting

a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought meaningfulness-and-teleology as of organic-knowledge Being correction' of the prior reference-of-thought, such that the prior reference-of-thought logical-dueness doesn't even arise as the prospective reference-of-thought is the relatively complete 'ontological-resetting' in an 'organic effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology' over the prior reference-of-thought 'effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology'; just as the introduction of chemistry science carries an organic effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology over a non-positivism/medievalism alchemic material construal. Basically, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness summoning a depth of 'ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness'/deconstruction as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality enables humankind to supersede the circularity of intradimensional hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (which temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation actually speaks of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, thus-'in-wait'-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, and defines successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures uninstitutionalised-threshold explaining why institutionalisation becomes stuck at that level until the corresponding threshold is superseded for a prospective/transcending/superseding institutionalisation) for prospective transcendental possibilities. On the basis of such hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-

preservation circularity, one may perfectly argue that any of the institutionalisations are just as good so long as people are relatively satisfied but such an argument is never made of lower/prior institutionalisations with the implications that its elicitation within a registry-worldview as present is nothing more but an act of ‘ontological-bad-faith’, but then a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness approach is one that doesn’t reason in temporal-accommodation but provides the opportunity for prospective institutional possibilities. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness was what was in the minds of the Copernicuses, Galileos, Rousseaux, Darwins and the enlightenment Encyclopédistes led by Denis Diderot in cynically vouching for the possibilities of the future of positivism over a non-positivism/medievalism worldview. Such that vague arguments of the type we’ve been living well without such ideas are nothing but avowals of temporal-dispositions poor grasp of how their present institutionalisation came about and future institutionalisation possibilities; since we can project that all humans in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation were recurrent-utter-institutionalised, all humans in ununiversalisation were ununiversalised, all humans in medieval non-positivism were non-positivistic, and by extention (but for the complexes arising from our metaphysics-of-presence) all humans in our procrypticism are procryptic and it is no use turning around to our fellow mortals to do social-aggregation-enabling; with the more critical issue being how is the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as from the prospective epistemic perspective! Such temporal-dispositions are characteristically draggy across all registry-worldviews/dimensions explaining why all transcendences meet with temporal resistance going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor which take the form of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality (slantedness/postlogic-effect,

miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect).

- As the ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ disposition tends to wrongly define the reference-of-thought of a given prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as the absolute framework of ‘dialectical-thinking’, and so by reflex, as if the successive prior institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures were geared to end at its own registry-worldview as the absolute registry-worldview that doesn’t incur perversion-of-reference-of-thought (in our case, the positivistic registry-worldview) without any notion of a prospective registry-worldview by which, where our own perversion-of-reference-of-thought arises, we will be ‘dialectically-dementing’ as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive, at our dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation); as our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought endemises/enculturates the denaturing and generally explains the vices-and-impediments of any registry-worldview/dimension as of its given limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation). As by reflex ‘the-<formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-

‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ wrongly ignores the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation) nature of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, such that when there is a need to achieve ontologically-veridical meaningfulness by prospective reference-of-thought with new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, the ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ simply engages in ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ to its prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought with its prior/old reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that are failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation due to their temporal-preservational nature with respect to their own perversion-of-reference-of-thought threshold. It is only the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and positive-opportunism of the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought in the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics that will induce its untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and the collapsing/overriding of the prior/transcended/superseded (as ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), and so going by their ‘relative ontological-effectivity’. This explains why a recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalised, an

ununiversalised, a non-positivism/medievalism, or prospectively a procrypticism mindset, by
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag, cannot correspondingly ‘dialectically-
 think’ in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the reference-of-thought mindset/reference-of-
 thought of base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively
 deprocrypticism, going by human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness
 towards relative conflation) as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction
 in all registry-worldviews, thus rather requiring the corresponding institutionalisation at the
 corresponding dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness
 (or uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-
 analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–
 or–ontological-preservation). However, contrary to the ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
 thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
 of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’
 disposition, it is only solipsism-of-thought by its emphasis on intrinsicness (I come to reality
 alone solipsism) that has the requisite and socially-uncompromised backdrop for construing
 ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, that is, ‘at such uninstitutionalised-threshold
 requiring prospective transcendence’, by the possibility for its adherence to ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence, and hence the requisite transcendental limited-mentation-
 capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) to put the
 prior/transcended/superseded into question (including and priorly, the transcendental
 emancipator own’s mentation) for the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-

thought; and so, with the notion that the prior/transcended/superseded is dialectically-dementing as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive, with no place for its ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising’ which is no more than its ‘internal myth/metaphysics’ that has nothing to do with ontological-veridicality/ontological-contiguity. As such, solipsism enables the requisite ‘moulting’ of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions to allow for successive transcendences; and as a social conceptualisation operates as ‘a relation of intersolipsistic mindsets in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing led by the preceding/superseding intercession of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’. (Noting that beyond this point of solipsistic contemplation is the end of ontology, as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/contingent-projective-and-predicative-validation, and metaphysics arises though metaphysical constructs tend to harken back towards ontology in trying to explain the metaphysical-as-of-existential thus explaining the blurring that often arises between metaphysics and ontology as there is hardly any metaphysical construct that doesn’t strive to be existentially relevant as of the present, thus carrying ontological implications of conceptualisation whether it is demonstrably ontologically-veridical or not; and this latter point answers the fundamental philosophical quest to escape metaphysics for ontology as of the very ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process which is rather about ‘successions of metaphysics-of-absence insights as the successive transcendental-enabling/sublimating rules in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process yielding in-lockstep the successively more ontologically profound metaphysics-of-presence construed as the successive institutionalisations as implied by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’ towards the depcrypticism registry-

worldview/dimension which is what then achieves ontology as ‘attained ontological-normalcy/postconvergence’. Likewise, since in effect there is hardly any ‘present pure ontology’ as one that is beyond existential implications contentions about the purity/absoluteness/unassailability of its veracity, this rather validates a novel and positive construal of metaphysics as that which is subject to present existential implications contentions such that all supposed present ontologies are metaphysical constructs as of their non-elucidations. Hence even science itself despite its positive perspective is a metaphysical construct.) Hence, from a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, the <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’ disposition is rather the prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought to be construed as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive with respect to a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought that is ‘dialectical-thinking’ as dialectically-in-phase.

- As informing human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor is the idea that the notion in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process (accounting for the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures) as ‘the-transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness’, the notion of ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ by human-

subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (accounting for any given reference-of-thought) as
‘registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level’, and the notion of human-
subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
dispositions—existentialism-form-factor with respect to temporal-and-social-stake-contention-
or-confliction (accounting for human registry-soundness/perversion) as ‘the-individuations’,
can be elucidated going by the ‘ontological implications’ of the Derridean conceptualisations
of Différance, Répétition, Altérité and Iterabilité (in a further elaboration of the notion of
‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ based
on the technique of ‘Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-
perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness’); and so, in drawing out
and analysing the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-
for-explicating-ontological-contiguity with regards to the ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-
ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of same-terms-of-expressions
(seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ wherein there is ‘induced alterity/alteration’ of
‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ of the
répétition/repeatability/recurrence, as ‘same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-
meaningfulness) by temporal-dispositions is rather ‘hollow-constituted’ which is then
‘ontologically-reconstituted’/deconstructed by the intemporal-disposition, and thus the ‘same-
terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness) revealing, in the bigger
picture, the alterities/alterations of the the-individuations, the registry-worldview/dimension
or intradimensional level and the-interdimension/transcendental’. The insight here is that the
spontaneous and generalised human prelogism-reflex-as-existentially-veridical-logical-

dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at/‘conviction-reflex’/intemporal-disposition-
 reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex is wrong when dealing with perversion-of-reference-
 of-thought-(reflected-as-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought)-(registry-
 worldview/contending-reference/ontological-reference/meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-
 meaning/registry) arising due to human temporal-compromises/temporal-accommodation
 incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-
 thought to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ (whether
 consciously, expediently or unconsciously) and particularly so at thresholds where there is no
 deferential-formalisation-transference as institutionalisation (uninstitutionalised-threshold),
 and this fundamentally undermines the ‘ontological validity and veracity’ of such a
 placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
 teleology as supposedly of prelogism-reflex-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-
 precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at/‘conviction-reflex’/intemporal-disposition-reflex-
 admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex. Beyond our illusion-of-the-present/present-
 consciousness/mirage/-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag positivistic registry-worldview
 perspective, we can grasp that the lower registry-worldviews ‘mentally projected prelogism-
 reflex-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-
 at/‘conviction-reflex’/intemporal-disposition-reflex-admittance-reflex/in-phase-reflex’ are
 flawed at their uninstitutionalised-threshold, and the same applies to us in ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence. The nature of this ‘conviction-reflex flaw’ is that it actually
 defines ‘a threshold of circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of the failing/not-
 upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing conviction-reflex’ in
 circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability, effectively as its uninstitutionalised-threshold.
 For instance, where a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought keeps on

arguing a case of sorcery recurrently in non-positivism/medievalism terms which inherently defines its placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as non-positivism/medievalism, and the same insight does apply from a prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence reference (as deprocrypticism) wherein we'll need to psychoanalytically-unshackle/mimetically-reorder/institutionally-recompose from a positivism-procrypticism mindset/mental-devising-representation/mentation. Further, the temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions implies that where there is postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism as uninstitutionalised-threshold, the more ontologically-veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology reflex is actually a non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing reflex (and not new logical-processing-or-logical-implication as 'conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex')/temporal-disposition-reflex-reflex/out-of-phase-reflex). Both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism instances of the failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability at uninstitutionalised-threshold (including associated postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism) reveal the 'alteration of the same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)' as temporal-dispositions alterity/alteration. Insightfully, it is this grasp of the uninstitutionalised-threshold (including associated postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism-of-temporal-dispositions) in the existential-flux of ontologically-veridical in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability of same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness) alternating with ontologically-non-veridical alterity/alterations of same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)', as Différance, that is critical in defining temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguated teleological-differentiations. It is the dynamic-extension of this Différance-suprastructurally-

disambiguated-mental-dispositions-meaningfulness-as-the-various-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions in ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (as slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect) at the-individuations level to registry-worldview level and the-transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness level that explains the ‘alterating iterability dynamism’ at these three levels; whether at the-individuations level involving the hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation alteration’ by temporal-dispositions as slanted-and-formulaic postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ of meaningfulness of the postlogical disposition or ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ of the slanted-and-formulaic perverted meaningfulness as the conjugated-postlogical disposition, meted with the ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction compensating-alteration or realteration of meaningfulness’ of the intemporal-disposition), as the basis of the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process at registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level, and ultimately explaining the-transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness level successiveness of institutionalisations (as recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation/ununiversalisation, universalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism, positivism/procrypticism, and perpetuation-of-deprocrypticism); and so, by ‘a human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) recurrence of intemporal projection over the alterity/alteration, in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability by temporality’, and such iterability/iteration

(of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-ontological-reference) being driven by intemporal-preservation-in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability (as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) with the latter ‘distracted/circumvented’ by temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation alterity/alteration-in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, requiring the further realterity/realteration-of-such temporal-preservation-alterity/alteration-in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction’ by intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation in iterability/iteration (for the preservation of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness). In the bigger picture and as with all natural iterations, this ‘alterations-iterability dynamism’ at the-individuation-level takes the form of an existential-flux (‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’) of recursive/recurrent alterity/alterations which tend to be perpetuating (like the pathological psychopath’s disposition out of a faulty-mentation-procedure-deception/‘urge’/entitlement-folie of postlogism-slantedness effect) or progressive alterity/alterations which could be regular (like an exacerbation or opportunism interlocutors in conjugated-postlogism) or regressive alterity/alterations which could be momentary (like an ignorance or affordability interlocutors in conjugated-postlogism). The notion of iterability as ‘the induced effect of alterity/alterations (by the temporal-dispositions hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and the intemporal-disposition compensation-alterity/alteration by ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction) in the repeatability/recurrence of same-terms-of-expressions or same-implied-meaningfulness’, implies that temporal-dispositions being just as preservational as the intemporal-disposition thus inducing the circular

recurrence of iterability (as prospective successive institutionalisations and uninstitutionalised-thresholds), the exercise of institutionalisation/intemporalisation is not about transforming temporal-dispositions as of an <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness exercise but rather institutionalisation/intemporalisation or secondnaturing, which is about ‘skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/constraining towards’ the intemporal-disposition for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation to enable the given prospective institutionalisation. Thus the fact is that this iterability (of meaningfulness and ontological-reference) is not a property of ‘intrinsic-reality as existence-emanance’ but actually the result/effect of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) coming-into-grips with intrinsic-reality as existence-emanance, and so in the succession of institutionalisations. The implication of this iterability (due to temporality-preservational-alterity/alterations in distraction/circumvention of intemporality-preservation-iteration for construct of intemporal/ontologically-veridical meaningfulness) is that all issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as opposed to issues of logical-processing-or-logical-implication), can only be construed as implying ‘a perpetual construct for upholding intemporality-in-preservational-compensation-alterity/alteration over temporality-in-preservational-distorting-alterity/alterations’ hence validating the notion of intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence; and that the ‘illusion-of-definitiveness-of-ontological-construal-on-the-basis-of-an-intemporal/ontological-definitive-construct-as-a-common-ontological-reference-of-the-meaningfulness-of-the-various-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ is wrong, as this simply allows for temporality-in-preservational-alterity/alterations to ‘hollow-constitute’ at that

supposed ‘intemporal/ontological-definitive-construct-as-a-common-ontological-reference-of-the-meaningfulness-of-the-various-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’. And just as we grasp this notion of ‘the-upholding-of-intemporal/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ at the-interdimension level where the registry-worldviews/dimensions are intemporally ‘ontologically-reconstituted’/deconstructed, only to be temporally ‘hollow-constituted’ requiring prospective intemporal ‘ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction explaining the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, rather than going by the wrong idea of an ‘illusion-of-definitiveness-of-ontological-construal-on-the-basis-of-an-intemporal/ontological-definitive-construct-as-a-common-ontological-reference-of-the-meaningfulness-of-the-various-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’, likewise at registry-worldview level, ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ ensures that (by factoring in the distraction/circumvention of intemporally/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability, by temporal-preservation-alterity/alteration in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability, requiring the further intemporal-preservation compensation-alterity/alteration of such temporal-preservation-alterity/alteration in circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability to uphold intemporally/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness) the intemporal-disposition doesn’t imply a same/common reference-of-thought with temporal-dispositions, and in so doing avoid to wrongfully elevate postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration-of-temporal-dispositions to a ‘conviction-reflex’ rather than to a non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing reflex/temporal-disposition-reflex-reflex/out-of-phase-reflex when dealing with their meaningful-reference-defect/registry-defect/perversion-of-reference-of-thought defect. The implication being that the intemporal-disposition ontological-reference

of meaningfulness is suprastructural (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) of the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration-of-temporal-dispositions (which explains the latter ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) by slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect). Ultimately the philosophical pessimism of many a philosopher stems from this confusion about the achievement of human emancipation and virtue, in naively construing that such an achievement is a definitiveness-construct-of-meaningfulness rather than an ‘iterability-construct-of-meaningfulness for the upholding of the intemporal construct of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ as implied by the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy or ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. Strangely enough, this idea can be derived from the contrastive implications of metaphysics-of-presence (with its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising) and metaphysics-of-absence as postdication (suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection-capacities). Ontologically speaking, the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures in their evolving ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics registry/registry-worldview/ontological-reference dialecticisms as at one moment ‘dialectical-thinking’ and at another ‘dialectically-dementing’ are effectively a reflection of the reality of a dynamic dialectics of ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ and ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ retracing of ontologically-veridical placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology retrospectively, presently and prospectively, going by a human shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative

constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) institutionalisation/intemporalisation process. Such an insight points out that a non-positivism/medievalism ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ will ‘wrongly be contending’ on the basis of a non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought with regards to issues of sorcery and so and so, instead of the requisite ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ as a suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection into positivistic (rational-empiricism) mindset/reference-of-thought that supersedes the ‘flaws-and-manipulations’ or vices-and-impediments involved in such a non-positivism/medievalism setting reference-of-thought; in need of deconstruction/(engaged)-destruktion/‘ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness’ into prospective suprastructuring positivism reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness, and so, ‘as the suprastructuring construal-(as-of-‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-to-uninstitutionalised-threshold-self-referencing-and-subtransversality-of—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’-and-‘corresponding-ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness-of-veridical-reference-of-thought-as-prospective-institutionalisation/supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’) delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness that is not actually spoken-of by non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought wrongly contending’; with the Derridean (existential)-trace being the suprastructuring positivistic reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness with respect to intrinsic-reality. Such an insight can certainly be grasped with respect to procrypticism and deprocrypticism as well, with the associated postlogical perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena. The ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referentialism perspective inherently carries the requisite suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection for fulfilling the promise of ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ as postdication.

Paradoxically, postdication (as metaphysics-of-absence) highlights that ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is rather conceptualised more effectively with the present-considered-as-being-in-epistemic-abnormalcy-perspective-(‘dialectically-dementing’-reference-of-thought)-and-hence-suprastructurable by ‘metaphysics-of-absence’-perspective-(‘dialectical-thinking’-reference-of-thought) which is then actually prospective (to-resolve-the epistemic-abnormalcy); and not ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ conceptualisation which ‘wrong pretence of being in ontological-normalcy’ is actually stifling the prospective orientation by its illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag. This posture is validated by the decreasing epistemic-abnormalcy nature of the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures from retrospective to present to prospective, whereby there is decreasing epistemic-abnormalcy as the institutionalisation/intemporalisation process veers towards ontological-normalcy (from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-institutionalisation to universalisation to positivism and prospectively to deprocrypticism). With respect to the postlogism-as-of-non-conviction perversion-of-reference-of-thought (reflected as mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought) phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy, the Derridean (existential)-trace as the suprastructuring transcendental-insight-projection (metaphysics-of-absence) reference-of-thought, wherein there is perversion-of-reference-of-thought of positivistic reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness as procrypticism/emanant-wrong-or-dementing-shades-of-the-real, in need of deconstruction/(engaged)-destruktion/ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness into prospective suprastructuring deprocrypticism reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness, and so, ‘as the suprastructuring as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating

existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-
 contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology that is not actually spoken-of by our
 procrypticism and postlogical/psychopathic mindsets/reference-of-thought wrongly
 contending'; as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-
 transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology being (metaphysics-of-absence)
 suprastructuring deprocrypticism reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical
 meaningfulness with respect to intrinsic-reality. Such temporally-preservational-as-
 pseudointemporality-preservation iterability-(of-ontological-veridicality)-by-(hollow-
 constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
 preservation)-alteration/alterity associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy takes the
 form of absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic wherein the postlogical mindset/reference-
 of-thought is all about parasitising/co-opting the conviction reference-of-thought
 (registry/meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/contending-reference/ontological-
 reference/registry-worldview) by simply projecting and implying false forms of reference-of-
 thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology that are not in intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and so in temporal-
 preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation as of the
 circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-
 iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology, with the fundamental faulty-mentation-
 procedure-deception-or-urge being the wrongful validation as conviction of its reference-of-
 thought in the very first place as in reality the reference-of-thought reflected from futural
 Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-
 development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective

deprocrypticism registry-worldview will be suprastructural to it (or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of the procrypticism perversion-of-reference-of-thought as reflected/perspectivated as ‘dialectically-dementing’). The idea equally is that as a perversion-of-reference-of-thought, there isn’t any ‘definitiveness-intemporal/ontological-construal-of-meaningfulness-as-there-is-no-common-reference-of-thought-relative-to-the-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ but rather ‘iterability-(of-ontological-veridicality)-by-(ontologically-reconstituting/deconstructing)-alteration/alterity-for-intemporal/ontological-construal-as-the-basis-for-suprastructurally-disambiguating-reference-of-thought-of-the-various-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ in grasping and preempting postlogism and temporal-dispositions-conjugated-postlogism in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation. As by implying rather a ‘definitiveness-of-intemporal/ontological-construal-of-meaningfulness-on-the-basis-of-a-common-reference-of-thought-relative-to-the-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions’ will just be a basis for the further iterability-(of-ontological-veridicality)-by-(hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation)-alteration/alterity of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness by the postlogism-and-temporal-dispositions-conjugated-postlogism as the fundamental ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework agency hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation in alterity/alteration’ by ‘perverting the reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness’ in iteration/succession; as a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect) as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-

teleology. Thus avoiding wrongly implying their <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness transformation as instigative intemporal-disposition (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology), but rather ‘institutionalisation-skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)’ in the social-construct for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-⟨as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation⟩ by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication, as secondnaturing. It is this understanding of postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration-of-temporal-dispositions as a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ as ‘perverting, by alterity/alteration, the reference-of-thought of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness’ in iteration/succession’, wherein new sets of denaturing slanted-and-formulaic-postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ (absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic) involving their conjoining as ‘conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ by temporal-dispositions-conjugated-postlogism, as well as extrinsic-attribution with different sets of interlocutors in succession underlies the psychopathic and social psychopathy phenomenon, ‘with emphasis being rather on examining this alterity/alteration as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology as ‘successive slanted-and-formulaic-postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ with their corresponding conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives’ perverted-meaningfulness and

extrinsic-attribution with successive sets of interlocutors and as conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions equally assume a purposefulness of their own (that must be factored-in when analysing psychopathic/postlogical and social-psychopathic situations), in grasping the true nature of the fundamental psychopathic-postlogism-and-other-temporal-conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions in ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect)’, and so, as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in grasping the importance of social and formal institutionalisation percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> in the construing of institutionalised deconstruction/(engaged)-destruktion as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure in the medium to long-run as with other perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts in prior institutionalisations (for instance a scientific worldview over notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in medieval times). The insight from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective with regards to perversion-of-reference-of-thought arises by the mere fact that the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, upon instigation of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction by conjugating to temporal-dispositions inducing ‘registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> or intradimensional’ as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-

iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology. This is the abstract foundation that defines
 registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds, and so, as fundamentally
 imbued in human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor which is structurally/paradigmatically susceptible to
 relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-
 virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-
 construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, up to deprocrypticism which when
 effectively achieves escapes uninstitutionalised-threshold by the mere fact that
 deprocrypticism psychologism is one that factors in in its (recomposured)-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. Thus issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought
 including postlogisms are more-than-just-and-beyond an issue of a temporal frame of
 contemplation as this requires an overall registry-worldview/dimension transcendental
 structural/paradigmatic resolution, as abjectly-ontologising deprocrypticism with respect to
 procrypticism, notwithstanding the further conceptualisation of the necessity of the resolution
 at temporal frames of issues of psychopathy in the present positivistic registry-worldview.
 Thus psychopathy and social psychopathy should rather be related to suprastructurally (as
 dementing consciousness-awareness-teleology which reference-of-thought is invalid in the
 very first instance, going by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—
 or—ontological-preservation). The nature of perversion-of-reference-of-thought structural-
 resolution is very much in line with human-subpotency—

aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor which represents that
 any transcendence is a secondnatured institutionalisation/intemporalisation exercise of
 untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining on human-
 subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions ‘induced by social universal-
 transparency of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s unsound reference-of-thought of
 meaningfulness with respect to that of the prospective registry-worldview’s/dimension’s and
 the positive-opportunism thereof’, and thus undermining human temporal-preservation-as-
 pseudointemporal-preservation behind the uninstitutionalised-threshold and
 institutionalisation/intemporalisation secondnaturing; and not as may wrongly be construed
 as an emanance transformation exercise from temporal-dispositions as shortness-of-register-
 of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to intemporal-disposition as longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness. This latter point is to highlight that ontological focus should rather be placed
 on the ‘abstract conceptualisation that enables institutionalisation-as-virtue and not any naïve
 purported <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection
 transformation-as-virtue arguments, as in the bigger scheme of things the latter is delusional
 (for an animal whose potency under social-stake-contention-or-confliction is rather as of
 human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor thus needing its secondnatured skewing
 (‘intemporal-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as deferential-

formalisation-transference to the intemporal for its transcendence) and that's why society and more specifically formal organisations 'operate on the clairvoyance of institutionalising principles and rules', and 'not the purported impression-driven/good-naturedness dispositions of the one or the other', as this is an unsustainable construct and is simply a call for institutional failure in the middle to long run. A human secondnaturating institutionalising construct is a requisite because, at best even the intemporal-disposition individuation in individuals purporting prospective emancipation comes from and are from the stock of the prior reference-of-thought uninstitutionalised-threshold registry-worldview/dimension, and such prospective emancipation involves such individuals own 'moulting', as actually intemporality/longness is a 'potential construct of orientation' as implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation) and it is only a devised institutionalisation construct as secondnaturating that achieves that potential-construct-of-orientation and not any naïve inherently intemporal-disposition in individuals. By that token there is no base-institutionalised individual in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, no universalised individual in ununiversalisation, no positivistic individual in non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively no deprocrypticism individual in procrypticism, as at best such emancipating intemporal individuals are 'moulting' their intemporal individuations and implying-of-the-same of their registry-worldview in prospective institutionalisation design/conceptualisation, as the effective institutionalisation is what is really and effectively attained.

- As the notion of 'dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of ontology and temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-

endemisation-effect),’ is rather an operant conceptualisation that highlights the need for an operant conceptualisation of psychology in grasping human dynamics. But then psychological science as we know today in many ways mainly takes the form of an adjunct construct in grasping the social as is equally the case with social psychology; as the focus of can mostly be resumed to ‘identity’ of individual dispositions such that psychology tends more to have a subjective intercessory practice nature involving intersubjective valuation). Thus, as with all such approaches it is hardly surprising that we haven’t got an academic ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ (as an ontology-driven <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context conceptualisation); but rather a ‘psychology of qualifications’ as is equally the case with social psychology. The author as previously implied with the notion of a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ perceives the need for defining human psychology from a transcendentally-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism and thus operant perspective of ontologically-dynamic-and-coherent construal/conceptualisation, as a profound superseding-oneness-of-ontology. This is implied in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and should be more precisely invigorated in the construal/conceptualisation of the ‘reference-of-thought as futural Being-

development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension as metaphysics-of-absence of the positivism/procrypticism reference-of-thought metaphysics-of-presence'; implying an ontologically-driven conceptualisation of 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' as the prospective psychoanalysis, implying the epistemic-abnormalcy perspective ('dialectically-dementing' reference-of-thought) of the prior positivism/procrypticism with respect to ontological-normalcy perspective of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism ('dialectical-thinking' reference-of-thought). With ontology-driven implying that our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is just a 'placeholder-setup' that doesn't has any inherent ontological validity, but is rather as valid as its representation/schedule of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality, such that with the insight of more profound ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality, the 'placeholder-setup' as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology is accordingly rescheduled psychoanalytically ('dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), validating and explaining why our placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology has been developing all along from the mindset/reference-of-thought of an recurrent-utter-institutionalised, base-institutionalised, universalised and positivised, with the implication that the latter's mindset/reference-of-thought is not beyond prospective transcendence where such prospectively more profound ontology is demonstrated to imply a

renewal of human reference-of-thought of meaningfulness (as deprocrypticism), and with the further implication that all along it is essentially about a same species of a same underlying human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor induced dynamism of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation). In fact, psychoanalysis is actually a natural existential human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology process with the difference that such comprehensively conceptually-directed constructs as is implied with deprocrypticism with respect to the present positivism/procrypticism are relatively more focussed and thus potent where ‘ontologically-pertinent and so-demonstrated to be ontologically-pertinent’; and by and large form part and parcel of the human psychoanalytic experience with regards to passive to conceptually-directed constructs of human teleological projection. Transcendence (prospective) as a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology effectuation, is not technically achieved as may naively/counterintuitively be implied by construing directly of a prospective placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology (from the present) but rather, on the basis of ‘prospective reference-of-thought transcendental insights’, it correspondingly implies ‘construing the present as metaphysics-of-present as the transcended/superseded/prior placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation’ to be represented as ‘dialectically-dementing reference-of-thought’, and so implied by the ‘prospective reference-of-thought transcendental insights’, such that the prospective (transcending/superseding) placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect as ‘dialectical-thinking reference-of-thought’ is naturally implied as being the new and prospective suprastructuring,

(beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) of the ‘old present’/retrospective as prior. That is it is critical to grasp that ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics of ‘dialectical-thinking’ and ‘dialectically-dementing’ is never about generating a prospective ‘dialectical-thinking’ (with respect to the present as ‘dialectical-thinking’), but such stranding-dialectics is rather about decentering and dementing/oblongating the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of the present as ‘dialectically-dementing’ which becomes ‘old present’/retrospective as prior’ and dialectically ushering contrastively from that backdrop a new and prospective ‘dialectical-thinking’. This is actually about maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of the implied prospective meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/ontological-reference/contending-reference, rather than attempting its elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which will ‘wrongly make reference to and wrongly elevate’, and so by mix-up, the prior reference-of-thought as veridical. Maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness being about optimally rescheduling the ‘placeholder-setup’ (as placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation) with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, on the ontological backdrop of a more profound superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context. This involves a pointedness-of-prospective reference-of-thought which maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness then ‘upholds in contiguity’ the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental-dispositions-and-meaningfulness implied by intemporal/conviction mental-dispositions, postlogism/psychopathic mental-dispositions and conjugated-

postlogism/dementing-integration mental-dispositions’ as universal and aetiological ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct, (while equally reflecting the flaws induced in misrepresenting ontological-references arising from elaborative elucidation), on the backdrop of a more profound superseding—oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation. As maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness achieves this by not letting non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation reference-of-thought by postlogism/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration mental-dispositions wrongly being implied as sound reflection of existentialist/‘ontologically-reconstituting’ reference-of-thought and thus wrongly implying their ontological-veridicality, and equally avoiding their perversion-of-representation of conviction/intemporal mental-dispositions by the ‘mere ontological-decontextualising’ (of the latter rightfully existentially-veridical reference-of-thought) implied in their non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation reference-of-thought; such that a placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect as ‘dialectically-dementing’ by mere non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (of reference-of-thought) is what restores the ontologically-veridical ‘existentialist reality’ reference-of-thought. Thus unlike elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity what maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness enables is to uphold in contiguity ontological-reality as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-

reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology in other to reflect that the ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena’ is as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology reflecting/perspectivating registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> even though it is iterating-by-alterations, whereas elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity will erroneously lead to a reassessment of perversion-of-reference-of-thought as ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance by wrongly implying that it is an issue of defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance whereas it is an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and thus not upholding intemporality/longness in the contiguity as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology and reflected/perspectivated as structural/paradigmatic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> or intradimensional defect’. Basically, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness creatively puts into perspective temporality/shortness in non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation terms as

‘shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’, and longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology in existentialist/‘ontologically-reconstituting’ terms as ‘deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’ veering towards transcendence. That is, by transcendence is meant dispose to construe the ontological resolution of registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect>

transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally, as needing a prospective registry-worldview/dimension; for instance, capable of putting in question medieval intradimensional superstition in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally by implying the need for positivising rather than a usual temporalities-drives reciprocity of superstitious contentions or capable of putting into question positivism–procrypticism postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally by implying the need for deprocrypticism rather than temporalities-drives reciprocal equivalence of procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. Further the notion of deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation and shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology conceptualisation, central to a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, can be demonstrated as follows: supposed A has the (existentially veridical) mental projection with respect to say a housing project and undertook the initiative of bringing together and obtaining advanced payments from prospective buyers for the project, and B was to by non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation mental-disposition spread stories of the scheme being a scam (not to the buyers who have all the documentations validating the genuineness of A’s housing project) but rather other interlocutors mainly to undermine A’s business credibility, and so whether B is pathological/psychopathic or postlogically-enculturated, and supposed some other interlocutors, not only by ignorance but

affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation further engaged in such vilifying (as social universal-transparency of their mental denaturing disposition is socially opaque); engaging meaningfulness at a same reference-of-thought will wrongly imply that there is an issue of ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ at hand rather than in veridicality one of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, requiring instead a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that is ‘dialectical-thinking’ from the ‘deeper superseding-oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation’ as existentialist/‘ontologically-reconstituting’ of A as intemporally-preservational, (in a pointedness of deprocrypticism prospective reference-of-thought which maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness then ‘upholds in contiguity’ the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental-dispositions-and-meaningfulness implied by intemporal/conviction deprocryptic mental-dispositions, postlogism/psychopathic procryptic mental-dispositions and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration procryptic mental-dispositions’ as universal and aetiological ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct), and reflecting in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as both B’s postlogism ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought disposition’ ontological/being-construal-defect together with B’s interlocutors’ conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought dispositions’ ontological/being-construal-defects (as temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation); and so, going by the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology that precedes, is abject and doesn’t increment with human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-

representation/mentation, and further so in ‘intellectual-and-moral in-equivalence’, not only as an incidental/on-occasion ontological/being-construal-defect’ phenomenon but a potent intradimension construal/conceptualisation of the basis of vices-and-impediments in metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. That is, just as from a positivistic perspective (as metaphysics-of-absence), an incidental/on-occasion phenomenon of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery in a medieval setup (as metaphysics) intemporally/ontologically elicits a conceptualisation of how such ‘perversion-of-reference-of-thought as mental-perversion/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought dispositions’ of ontological/being-construal-defects define human vices-and-impediments in medieval setups, that’s the same elicitation going by human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor which is intemporally/ontologically prompted with an on-occasion/incidental manifestation of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration ontological/being-construal-defects in our positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview ontological point-of-reference (as the deeper superseding—oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation, rather of a transcendental/abject nature in line with intrinsic-reality/ontology, and not incremental). A rule of thumb with maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness will be to void the wrongly implied existentialist-as-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness by perceiving the reference-of-thought of postlogical/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration mental-dispositions as purely non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation. Effectively,

reality/existence/being as becoming is actually an ‘unwinding elucidation’ model construct. However, since meaningfulness involves an interceding placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as reference-of-thought in relation to intrinsic-reality/ontology and given our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), there thus tend to develop a mix-up of our representation (with unsound/vacuous/denaturing hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) when reflecting/perspectivating ontologically-veridical existential reality, such that there is a rule of recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology defined by the uninstitutionalised-threshold which arises structurally and accounts for vices-and-impediments. This is more than just a question of acts-execution/logical-processing defects but registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>, that speaks of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. That is at the basis of the <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag nature of a registry-worldview/dimension vices-and-impediment. This is equally why epistemologically-speaking categorisation schemes tend to be incomplete and requiring further re-categorisations and readjustments as rather construed/conceptualised onan epistememic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag basis of organisation that isn’t in the full

potency for grasping intrinsic reality and requiring further adjustments all along (the whole exercise actually being ‘ad-hoc referentialism’), and why referentialism as previously articulated, though ‘relatively abstract as a notion of representation’ is a conceptualisation basis needing constant insights, it is actually a better conceptualisation scheme of prospective being/becoming notions particularly of an ephemeral nature. Just as we will represent the non-positivism/medievalism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology allusions to superstition in its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as abjectly dementing and unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural and being as of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity with it will wrongly imply the ontological-veridicality of its meaningfulness, a deprocrypticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought will rather be abjectly dementing and unintelligible/existentially-suprastructural of ‘our procrypticism terms of meaningfulness’ and will equally avoiding elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity recognition of the soundness of our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought at the (deprocrypticism) unintemporalised/solipsistic/recomposuring/animality-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in other to effectively and adequately reflect the requisite metaphysics-of-absence necessary to act as the registered-psychical-backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of

prospective deprocrypticism, as implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics as-uninstitutionalised-threshold-suprastructuring stranding-dialectics that is the mechanism that enables ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. *The fundamental ontological/meaningful question is: which is the ‘superseding reference-of-thought, from where meaningfulness is aligned as ‘thinking and contending’ over the ‘perverting/superseded reference-of-thought’ aligned to as ‘dementing and not-contending’? ‘Anchoring-of-meaning as base-institutionalisation’ over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ‘anchoring-of-meaning as universalisation’ over perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-base-institutionalisation-as-ununiversalisation, ‘anchoring-of-meaning as positivism’ over perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-universalisation-as-non-positivism/medievalism or ‘anchoring-of-meaning as deprocrypticism’ over perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-positivism-as-procrypticism. A ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ will actually be about a novel construal of the social as ‘metaphysics-of-absence’/postdication of the individual as ‘metaphysics-of-presence’; with the implication that the concepts and conceptualisations of the individual of the current ‘psychology of qualification and qualification schemes’ are actually and effectively construed by the ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ as of a postconvergent/ontological-normalcy cadre and as becoming into the social, for its analytic purposes and framework. ‘Possibly’ this won’t imply ‘doing away’ with concepts and conceptualisations of the current ‘psychology of qualifications and qualification schemes’, but will however be uncompromising with respect to being ontology-driven, and thus ‘possibly’ enable the reconstrual of such psychology concepts as the self, ego, id, etc. in their

metaphysics-of-absence/postdication (as the existential social) articulation. Insightfully, a
 ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
 psychology-of-dynamics’ rather mobilises maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness as is necessarily the case with all metaphysics-of-
 absence/postdication conceptualisations (which must avert the mix-up induced by the
 illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag as metaphysics-of-presence)
 in ontologising/ontological-conceptualising. This thus validates and operates on the
 fundamental assumption that the individual-as-of-its-temporal-to-intemporal-individuation-
 potency is an abstract-atomic-social-construct capable-of-and-as-the-basis-for-both-social-
 effectuation-and-institutionalisation/intemporalisation. What is then qualified as social
 phenomenon is determined and effectively deconstructible/ontologically-reconstitutable from
 the inherent dynamism of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor; and in construing/conceptualising the ‘transcendence
 and skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)/deferential-
 formalisation-transference’ of meaningfulness-(and-value) towards the intemporal-
 disposition (ontologisation/ontological-veracity/aestheticisation-towards-ontology –
 tautologically construed as ontology-in-the-advancement-of-intemporality or
 institutionalisation or intemporalisation) of that abstract-atomic-social-construct or
 individual-as-of-its-temporal-to-intemporal-individuation-potency. At all registry-
 worldview/dimension-levels, for there to be transcendence prospectively as the
 ‘structural/paradigmatic resolution of the vices-and-impediments of the
 prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview/dimension’, human-subpotency–

aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor implies that the
 ‘determination of the ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics
 or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ of the human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as of the
 circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-
 iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology involving iterability-by-alterations-and-
 realterations as ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’ realterations over hollow-
 constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
 preservation alterations in upholding ontology over ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology’ and so
 beyond-intradimensional-institutionalisation-
 limits/transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally, is what effectively allows for
 the ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
 psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
 recomposure that sustains the possibility for human-cross-generational prospective
 institutionalisation transcendence towards ontological-normalcy. As previously indicated, a
 registry-worldview/dimensional ontological/being-construal-defect (as its temporal-dragging-
 of-ontology) is ‘not caused’ by non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-
 or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism,
 whether pathological/psychopathic or enculturated, (as this is priorly due to the inherent
 registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold ‘in wait’ for such non-
 conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing—of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism instigation, for instance, the state of being superstitious in non-positivism/medievalism is itself ‘in wait’ for notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery to be instigated in such a social-setup by corresponding non-positivism/medievalism non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism), whereas the positivistic registry-worldview reference-of-thought has the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought for the instigation of such a notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery not to arise. However, as highlighted again previously, the subsequent temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s temporal-dragging-of-ontology is largely due to the perpetuating recurrence, as an intradimensional dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of such pathological/psychopathic-and-enculturated non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration that blurs recurrently intemporal-dispositions to induce social universal-transparency of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ontological/being-construal-defect as unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness and the positive-opportunism thereof for prospective institutionalisation transcendence and leading to the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold-endemised/enculturated temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. This aspect of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation endemisation/enculturation is thus the more salient construal for the de-endemisation/de-enculturation of ontological/being-construal-defect as unsound reference-of-thought of meaningfulness, as defined by recurrence

and ‘non-transient transcendability’ at the uninstitutionalised-threshold; (in contrast with either a state of logical-processing-or-logical-implication that doesn’t speak of ‘recurrence of perversion/unsoundness of reference-of-thought’ or an ‘abstract’ state of inherent uninstitutionalised-threshold but which is ‘transiently transcendable’ as it is not in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation instigated by postlogism-as-of-non-conviction). Thus it is the condition of ‘recurrence’ and ‘non-transience’ transcendability arising from postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration that is ontologically relevant for ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction for prospective transcendability (as it conceptually defines the successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism), and it basically encapsulates the phenomenon of ‘protracted postlogism-as-of-non-conviction’ as postlogism and temporal-dispositions-conjugated-postlogism construed as ‘Existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (and so reflected of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s social-construct of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions at its uninstitutionalised-threshold defined by recurrence and ‘non-transient transcendability’). Thus temporal-dragging-of-ontology is induced as ‘Existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’/postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism leading to temporal-preservation, and so at a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold defined by recurrence and ‘non-transient transcendability’. The ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct’ for prospective institutionalisation transcendence is thus fundamentally grounded on the ‘backdrop’ of the construal of the ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ which is reflected and superseded postconvergently by the

existentially-veridical ontology as shallow to deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology
 construal/conceptualisation. So derived, ‘Existential-decontextualised-transposition of
 ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ is actually the central tool of
 suprastructuring or a conceptualisation that can integrate both relevant metaphysics-of-
 presence and metaphysics-of-absence, with the capacity of easily reflecting both dialectical-
 dementing and dialectical-thinking as implied from a renewed human mentation
 transcendental insights (tautological) about intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality.
 ‘Existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-
 meaningfulness/intemporality’ implies that at registry-worldview’s/dimension’s
 uninstitutionalised-threshold at which they are prospectively reflected/perspectivated as being
 in epistemic-abnormalcy (as shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology
 construal/conceptualisation) with respect to ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-
 in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-
 preservation (as deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation),
 correspondingly the ontological-veridicality of human dispositions is construed as requiring a
 temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation of reference-of-thought (rather than
 naively, an assumption of universal human intemporal-disposition as reflected/perspectivated
 within a functional institutionalised registry-worldview’), with the implication that the ‘same-
 terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)’ are actually of
 disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions reference-of-thought and meaningfulness.
 This broadly sums up the importance of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of
 ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ when it comes to registry-
 worldviews/dimensions construed as an uninstitutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions
 or being in epistemic-abnormalcy, as it enables the conceptual articulation of meaningfulness
 that the ‘perspective of a functionally institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’ doesn’t

permit beyond its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage limits at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. The suprastructuring effect of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ is what actually enables the prospectively reflected/perspectivated perversion-of-reference-of-thought and as dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive at the uninstitutionalised-threshold thresholds marking recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation from base-institutionalisation, ununiversalisation from universalisation, non-positivism/medievalism from positivism and procrypticism from deprocrypticism; thus enabling the requisite ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure by which prospective institutionalisation/intemporalisation for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation as ‘ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction is undertaken to supersede (as deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation) the drawback or vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-worldview/dimension as now dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase. Thus the reality of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ implies that virtue shouldn’t naively be perceived in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘a universal human intemporal-disposition nature or intemporal nature’ since human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor speaks otherwise (even though such an axiom of ‘a universal human intemporal-disposition’ is only surreptitiously implied, as a necessary ‘functional pseudo-conceptualisation’ which functionally assumes intemporality/longness to avoid the need for disambiguating reference-of-thought of meaningfulness into temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ‘within established institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’

but not beyond the uninstitutionalised-threshold, that is, as the result of intemporalisation-as-institutionalisation secondnaturing, for instance, we can broadly argue that the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension implies more or less a ‘universal positivistic intemporality’ as a functional pseudo-conceptualisation of intemporality/longness ‘as people do not act medieval by and large’ but at its uninstitutionalised-threshold wherein procrypticism arises it can only be qualified as of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions since the requisite intemporalisation-as-institutionalisation as deprocrypticism secondnaturing is wanting), but virtue should rather be construed as the superseding/transcendental institutionalisation/intemporalisation design/conceptualisation that by inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism in the short run and secondnaturing in the long run enables the prospective registry-worldview/dimension institutionalisation; it is this focus on institutionalisation/intemporalisation that is effectively institutionalisation-as-virtue given that in the succession of human institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, no institutionalisation effectively transforms human temporal-to-intemporal nature into an absolutely intemporal nature, but rather reduces human epistemic-abnormalcy towards ontological-normalcy as deeper and deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisations. The bigger point being that it is by effectively grasping that any human intemporal-disposition individuations that can ‘spontaneously’ arise in whatever concern there is should be directed/skewed (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) (as deferential-formalisation-transference of meaningfulness) for institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-virtue from secondnaturing, and not a wrong implication of functionally grounding virtue on human ‘temporal disposition’ which will inevitably bring about temporal-and-social-trading with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value

as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction'. The fact is that our institutional and organisational constructs at their very core, unspokenly do imply this notion of institutionalisation-as-virtue (in tacit recognition of our temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions), however, the notion of 'consciously-spoken' as herein highlighted in this paper is that it enables the necessary uninhibitedness/decomplexification that allows the requisite 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure required in fully assuming the reference-of-thought of any prospective registry-worldview/dimension. Actually, it could be argued that the more critical element of medieval emancipators/enlighteners had to do often not with their specific discoveries, which were more or less debated issues as well in their societies, but critically the idea that they were ready to imply 'a new psychological orientation as positivistic' that in itself structured the possibilities of a new worldview and many other positivistic discoveries once it became mainstream. Insistence of making mainstream such ideas as a heliocentric solar system by Galileo a century after Copernicus based on observations, the evolution of living things by Darwin based on research analysis, universal rationalism by Descartes based on methodical thinking, universal human rights by Rousseau based on thorough analysis of the human condition, principles explaining physical phenomena by Newton and Leibniz based on physical observation, etc. all speak of a new mindset/reference-of-thought as a paradigmatic shift that has no complexes and is uninhibited with respect to notions of the old notions of dogmas, alchemies, essences and myths. The fact is that (unlike we may naively reason by reflex from our relatively vantage position at the backend of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process) this is not spontaneously given, when we consider that many of such emancipators were equally relatively enmeshed with the old psychology like Newton's involvement with alchemy, for instance. This point to the critical

importance of the psychological state of the mind for the very possibility of prospective ontologically-veridical transcendence to occur; as ontology is already given as a oneness and it is up to the human psyche to ‘moult itself’ (psychoanalytical-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) towards a more profound construal/conceptualisation as of that superseding–oneness-of-ontology, however strongly we might naively believe in our ideas in any given epoch as of its metaphysics-of-presence. Thus metaphysics-of-absence notion of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (substituting, to induce ‘a dialectically-dementing mentation reflex’ in sync with the ontological perspective, over the same notion as ‘temporal-dragging of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ as metaphysics-of-presence, which rather induces ‘a dialectical-thinking mentation reflex’ out of sync with the ontological perspective, thus is subject to <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage) effectively arises from a maximalist construct in grasping the salience of a transcending/abject conceptualisation that mirrors the uncompromising nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology over incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness notional-procrypticism-or-notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as the natural intradimensional summative temporal mental-disposition (which speaks of a registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, and the need for ontological-normalcy/prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation), which incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness notional-procrypticism-or-notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought however

represents the enculturation/endemisation that is defining of given registry-worldview's/dimension's uninstitutionalised-threshold. In other words, without a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness disposition no prospective institutionalisation transcendence will be possible, as base-institutionalisation is the ultimate maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct over a summative mental-disposition of <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation enabling the latter's transcendence, likewise universalisation is the ultimate maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct over a summative mental-disposition of <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag in ununiversalisation enabling the latter's transcendence, so too with positivism over non-positivism, and prospectively deprocrypticism over procrypticism/as-the-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-positivism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. An 'existential-decontextualised-transposition (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing defect) of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality conceptualisation' is equally critical, along with the implied psychological uninhibitedness/décomplexing for a prospective registry-worldview/dimension as deprocrypticism, with respect to the central concept of 'knowledge notionalisation' wherein understanding is much more than about grasping the ideals but equally preemptively construing the possibilities of 'the ignorances'/temporal-dispositions as part and parcel of knowledge construct, not for an idle temporal motive, but to better skew ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) for institutionalisation/intemporalisation-as-virtue, as a specific necessity for a deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension preempting—

disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-
growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-
drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-
universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as deprocrypticism. Ultimately the
purpose of maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as an
intemporal conceptualisation of transcendental implication should be of ‘presencing—
absolutising-identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ and is not for the
sake of ‘immediate intelligibility’ within a given uninstitutionalised registry-
worldview/dimension in want for a prospective corresponding institutionalisation registry-
worldview/dimension, as such a purpose will wrongly and paradoxically imply that the
logical-dueness/logical-pertinence of the uninstitutionalised-threshold is sound as its
reference-of-thought is prospectively defective (for instance a positivistic implied
transcendence cannot be logically intelligible to a medieval setup that harkens back to
medieval reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for its
logic, i.e. ‘issue of articulating chemistry rules and principles for the evaluation of an
alchemist not logically cognisant of chemistry rules and principles, in the very first place’),
but rather it is a middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-
dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics instigation of prospective registry-
worldview/dimension institutionalisation reference-of-thought as of a ‘dialectical-thinking-
psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’
psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure (though we can
mostly grasp such an insight not from instances of ‘natural intra-society transcendence’ since
this takes a longer time to occur and is relatively obscure, but transcendence by cultural
diffusion associated with conquests where the dominant is at a more advanced stage of
institutionalisation or in the rare cases where it is the reverse like Ancient Egypt or Ancient

Greece, with the dominated actually relatively dominating or in parity with the dominant culturally as of divergent aspects). The implication here is that transcendental maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness is rather grounded on a relatively intemporal-and-deeper existential-reference-of-meaningfulness with the positive-opportunism of the prospective institutionalisation ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework over its corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold to put in question the latter's reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the ones of the prospective institutionalisation, and it is only after that that the notion of mutual logical intelligibility arises (it is only after the alchemist 'psychoanalytically-unshackle' into a positivistic-inclined mindset/reference-of-thought with respect to appreciating notion of natural cause-and-effect and experimentation as well that the notion of mutual intelligibility of chemistry rules and principles makes sense, until then there cannot be much of intelligibility without such a 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure exercise from the perspective of the prospective chemist). That explain why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct are meant to be detached and universalising so as to act as a backdrop for prospective institutionalisation, and not to necessarily make sense in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of 'the now temporal mental-disposition reference-of-thought' which, it is contended, is in want of prospective institutionalisation with its corresponding psychologism. In the bigger scheme of things, it is inevitable that suprastructuring (the conceptualisation that renders ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation-stranding/attributive-dialectics relative-mutual-construal of the prospective/superseding/transcending registry-worldview/dimension as deeper superseding-oneness-of-ontology construal/conceptualisation over the prior/superseded/transcended registry-worldview/dimension as shallow superseding-oneness-

of-ontology construal/conceptualisation by (suprastructurally) reflecting/perspectivating, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of the prior/superseded/transcended, respectively the ‘dialectical-thinking as dialectically-in-phase’ and the ‘dialectically-dementing as dialectically-out-of-phase’), is rendered operant by the notion of ‘existential-decontextualising-transposition (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing defect) of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ in operantly grasping such suprastructuring transcendence/transdimensional/interdimensional construct; as it perpetually upholds ontological-veridicality by its ‘existential-reality’ (not non-veridical/vacuous hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation) on the basis of, first and critically, the validity of the reference-of-thought so-reflected as soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought if valid and unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought if invalid (before even recognising whether the ‘implication-of-notion-of-agreement-or-disagreement’ or ‘of logical-processing’ arises) to determine the ‘dialectical-thinking and dialectically-in-phase’ over the ‘dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive’. It is critical to grasp that the notion of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ is rather a conceptual metaphysics-of-absence (meant to ensure a natural maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness to avoid mix-up of reference-of-thought) arising from <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag whether wittingly or unwittingly with the notion of ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality/intemporality’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) as metaphysics-of-presence. So both notions are conceptually the same but implying different approaches with respect to the temporal

undermining of ontological-veridicality; with ‘temporal-dragging’ referencing/biased within the contextual perspective of institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, with ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition’ referencing/biased within the contextual perspective of uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, thus the latter enabling an appropriate disambiguation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions with respect to ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought, and by extension it is the concept of ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ that is appropriate in all instances of implied uninstitutionalised registry-worldviews/dimensions as metaphysics-of-absence perspective since it avoids <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage that is inevitable when reason by a metaphysics-of-presence (temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality/intemporality). Besides even within the intradimension contextual perspective of institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension, it is equally the best approach with respect to the construal/conceptualisation of the instigating of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation mental-disposition that will induce temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation in temporal-dispositions as conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration (by hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation on the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the priorly institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension) and by so doing reflecting the uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension. That is an construal/conceptualisation approach that construes the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy. Effectively, such a highlight of how human secondnaturing within

institutionalised construct implies a pseudo-conceptual universal human intemporal-disposition as metaphysics-of-presence in contrast to a human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions highlight at uninstitutionalised construct as metaphysics-of-absence is effectively the unspoken psychoanalytic conceptualisation which needs to ‘be registered/consciously-recognised’ as the backdrop for superseding into deprocrypticism. Such a psychoanalytic insight about the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ grasps how postlogism instigates the temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation inclination of temporal-dispositions that enculturates/endemises the various uninstitutionalised-thresholds even though the state as dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dispositions is in ‘ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with respect to ontological-normalcy’ by ‘undermining social universal-transparency for ontological-veridicality’; wherein the postlogical mental-disposition is recursive in eliciting temporal-preservation, the conjugated exacerbatory/opportunistic mental-dispositions are progressive in upholding temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation and the conjugated ignorance/affordable mental-dispositions as largely summative of the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, are geared towards upholding or undermining temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation by conviction inclination whether naively conjugating to postlogism as misconstrual or good conviction when the untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining and positive-opportunism of

ontological-veridicality is established from an intemporal-disposition, in which latter case as being largely summative of the dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect it leads to the collapsing of postlogism mental-disposition recursiveness and exacerbatory/opportunistic mental-dispositions progressiveness with respect to temporal-preservation, and thus orienting towards intemporal-preservation/intemporalisation and the possibility for prospective institutionalisation, itself subjectable to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation at its uninstitutionalised-threshold. Thus this is the underlying <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness in the psychoanalytic dynamism of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor across all the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as of human shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) explaining the alternation of prospective institutionalisation (as ontologically-reconstituting) and uninstitutionalised-threshold (in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation with regards to the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior institutionalisation) which need to be brought to the collective consciousness appraisal for the necessary psychological uninhibitedness/décomplexing enabling prospective deprocrypticism.

* Ultimately, an ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction articulation’ (beyond just conceptualisations as in this paper) for more thorough insights reflective of a ‘suprastructural construal of any given state of uninstitutionalised-threshold from prospective institutionalisation point-of-reference, such as can be retrospectively implied of non-positivism/medievalism from positivism or prospectively implied of procrypticism from deprocrypticism’, will more profoundly involve

a ‘storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration of comprehensive intuitive insight’ grounded on: the construal of temporal-dispositions ‘existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (enabling the EXISTENTIAL-TRACING-as-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of disambiguated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions and-their-associated reference-of-thought’, reflecting soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dialectical-thinking (as-in-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) over unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dialectically-dementing (as-failing-intemporal-preservation-or-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-entropy/contiguity) non-veridical/vacuous reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology/‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’, so-construed insightfully and contextually as existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, reflecting ‘shallow/temporal superseding—oneness-of-ontology to deeper/intemporal superseding—oneness-of-ontology mental-conceptions teleologies’; from the perspective of a suprastructural superseding/transcending/deeper/intemporal superseding—oneness-of-ontology mental-conception teleology.

- As beyond the epiphenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy, as it provides a peculiar perspective for insight on human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with respect to reference-of-thought and meaningfulness; ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ implies preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-

formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as deprocrypticism. Insightfully, ontological-normalcy/postconvergence establishes beyond human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) that there is a potent and overall oneness/contiguity of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness which transverses and supersedes all other conceptualisations of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (which are therefore approximates) by mere ‘ontological-consistency’ whether with regards to virtue conceptualisation (as highlighted with the intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation) or second-level ontological constructs as is the case with subject matters conceptualisations. Ultimately, the capacity for philosophy to further clarify such an ‘ontological-consistency’ will be a further critical foundation for broadening the efficacy of all second-level ontologies (as the veritable job of philosophy). Inherently, ‘ontological-consistency’ as superseding-oneness-of-ontology is by itself the complete rationale for explaining human possibilities with regards to knowledge and virtue as so reflected/perspectivated by the very potency of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, as the latter is ‘the potency for all the text-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness that can exist’. Ontological-consistency in the inherent intemporalisation/institutionalisation orientation of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence validates virtue conceptualisation not as a discreet notion of choice, but rather a necessary disposition as ‘intemporal projection’ (or longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) for human-mastery-of-reality or knowledge, as inherently implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation). The reason is simple. It is impossible, for instance, for an utter-ununiversalisation setup ‘to access’ the emancipatory ontological possibilities available to a prospective base-institutionalisation setup without the ‘requisite solipsistic insight’ of intemporal-disposition individuation within the recurrent-utter-

uninstitutionalisation registry-worldview that ‘projects’ that rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as
 ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) as a paradigm
 for superseding the vices-and-impediments inherent to recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation is
 a necessity-for-its-own-and-by-extension-the-registry-worldview’s/dimension’s ‘moulting’ in
 the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—
 stranding/attributive-dialectics into a base-institutionalisation registry-worldview. Such
 solipsistic insight is the effective ‘transcendental virtue conceptualisation’ that drives
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence across all the successive institutionalisations and by
 that token coincides with ontology as a necessary ontological development driver in an
 animal of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper
 limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation). This analysis is very much in line with
 the notion of virtue as an <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-
 referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-
 enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-
 operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construal, representing virtue ‘contiguously’ in
 terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative
 constitutedness towards relative conflation) of shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-
 meaningfulness-and-teleology in the intransience of ontological-normalcy (from shallow
 superseding—oneness-of-ontology to deeper superseding—oneness-of-ontology). This
 ontology-driving nature of virtue characteristic of human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor points out that it is

rather such intemporality/longness solipsistic ‘transcendental virtue projection’ that enables the superseding of the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the various registry-worldviews/dimensions as institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures. In other words, it is the necessary ‘transcendental virtue projection’ for a prospective registry-worldview superseding the vices-and-impediments of the prior registry-worldview that enables the ontological possibilities for such prospective registry-worldview to even arise existentially; as the temporally-inclined recurrent-utter-institutionalised individuation is non-cognisant of any such thing as base-institutionalisation and the ontological possibilities availing to it, likewise with the temporally-inclined ununiversalised individuation with respect to universalisation and its ontological possibilities, the temporally-inclined non-positivism/medievalism individuation with respect to the positivistic and its ontological possibilities, and prospectively the temporally-inclined procrypticism individuation with respect to deprocrypticism and its ontological possibilities, and all such possibilities as allowed by ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. A question that arises will be how can a society deliver an Einstein or a Bohr respectively that will articulate the theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics without it having the necessary institutional-recomposure (orientation and capacities) and memetic-reordering (of the individual mindset/reference-of-thought and associated other contributing mindsets) that allows for the possibility of such discoveries? In other words what was the possibility for the theory-of-relativity or quantum-mechanics to be delivered in the Middle Ages, for instance? Rather improbable. As a side note, such an insight equally attends to such a debate we currently entertain with respect to coming into contact with an advanced alien civilisation. A transcendental virtue conceptualisation will hold that in the very first place such a civilisation won’t be able to exist without the necessary virtue construct (as successions of metaphysics-of-absence insights yielding in-lockstep the successively more ontologically profound metaphysics-of-presence as implied by

ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) that enables it to come into being; as necessarily they will be base-institutionalising, universalising, positivising and probably deprocrypticising, such that it will be untenable and inconsistent to have cosmic travellers that are savage-inclined or of a medieval age, for instance, going by the mere human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. Insightfully thus, while ontological-normalcy/postconvergence expands human ontological possibilities (comprehensively), it also leads to a growth in human institutionalised virtue disposition in equivalence which sustains such ontological development. However wary we should be with the possibility of nuclear annihilation, we equally can recognise that the ‘better’ registry-worldview/dimension-level, in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its relative transcendental virtue conceptualisation, to handle such weapons is the present one (positivistic) with regards to the possibility of averting a global annihilation compared to say feuding tribal or medieval setups (that is, if by some imaginary circumstances they could have access to and utilise such weapons). This points out that virtue is rather an inherent and necessary construct of ontology, existentially speaking; as the transcendental construct that enables the expanding of the ontological possibilities of an animal of shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation) by enabling ‘solipsistic moulting’ (as ‘intemporal-disposition individuation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality’ at uninstitutionalised-threshold states, with a human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor mental-disposition due to lack of social universal-transparency about virtue inducing

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing') and the secondnaturing of the social-construct (as institutionalisation-as-virtue) including the requisite human psychical pivoting/decentering. In another respect, ontological-consistency as highlighted previously is in coherence with the notion of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology with the implication that 'the reflected/perspectivated temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions disambiguation' (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold) as ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, underlines the iterability/iteration nature of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, grasped from the perpetuating intemporal-disposition 'ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness'/deconstruction realiteration over the perpetuating hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation alteration by temporal-dispositions. Fundamentally, a normally institutionalised functional disposition warrants that there is 'a common/same ontological-reference of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness' but this is voided at the uninstitutionalised-threshold where temporal-dispositions become temporally-preservational-as-pseudointemporal-preservation whether by recurrence registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> (whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought), as may arise with postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism, with the effective consequence of 'temporal-to-intemporal-disambiguated-mental-dispositions' wherein the hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of

temporal-dispositions are reflected/perspectivated as rather in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising’, with their meaningfulness ontologically being suprastructured (as perverted beyond their consciousness-awareness-teleology) by the intemporal-disposition in construing the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology. This disambiguated-mental-dispositions as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology develops, with changing contextualisation, at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level as the ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ (slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect), and is equally characteristic across registry-worldviews; with the implication that this is an attribute of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. That is, the uninstitutionalised-threshold is characterised by the ‘trace of disambiguated-mental-dispositions as temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. It is mainly a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ that can establish the ontological-veridicality-of-meaningfulness precisely by disambiguating the effective ontological-references of the various temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations, and

so not only at an instant or act or specific circumstance or context (which is rather an act construal and not a being/ontological construal) but projectively in their retrospective-to-present-to-prospective existentialism-deambulation/meandering which provides the full insight of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations mental-dispositions/meaningful-references/ontological-references/contending-references as ontological-entrapment. Such a being/ontological-basis, as described above, of a 'Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology' is in line with and further elucidates the 'Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness' technique. Going respectively by the Sartrean and Derridean principles for establishing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, that is, 'existence precedes/defines essence' or 'there is nothing outside the text' in evaluating 'same-terms-of-expressions (seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness)' with respect to their veridical-ontological reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation in-various-instances as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. What is critical to understand here is to distinguish between: (i) recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness basis of meaningfulness that is grounded on grasping that reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation are deterministic by virtue of reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting their recurrent context of

reality and thus subjects them to ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction in upholding intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and (ii) an elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity basis of meaningfulness that is purely and wrongly grounded on grasping that reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation’ are by themselves abstractly deterministic, even as this fail intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence which always factor in human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) by a re-equilibrating metaphysics-of-absence/postdication, and thus subjects meaningfulness to hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation. Intemporal-disposition as conviction disposition (whether appropriate/good or inappropriate/poor-or-bad conviction) are construed as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness basis of meaningfulness on the ground that successive-instances-of-’existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness requires their subjection to ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction to establish the existential context of reality thus establishing ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. On the other hand, the postlogical/psychopathic disposition (and by extension temporal-dispositions conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration dispositions) adhere to an elaboration-as-mere-

extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity basis of meaningfulness on the ground that plausibly construing a false-premising to an existential-context-of-reference-narrative ‘provides licence’ to then (‘recursively’ in concurrence – in the case of the postlogical/psychopathic character, progressively – in the case of a conjugated-exacerbatory and conjugated-opportunism characters, and regressively – in the case of a conjugated-ignorance and conjugated-affordability characters) comprehensively articulate any possible existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives (on the basis of a conceptualisation of mere hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation static-or-abstract non-veridical/vacuous-state of essence-of-meaningfulness’ with respect to reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and hence failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation) by exploiting the plausibility derived from the concurrently-false-premising existential-context-of-reference-narrative. So the latter disposition, and so particularly with the postlogical/psychopathic mindset, is to induce or generate or exploit any plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative to then unleash slanted-and-formulaic hollow existentially-unreal-and-abstract narratives by concurrently-false-premising on the plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative. In other words, the postlogical/psychopathic individuation character gets that there is a human mental-reflex to grasp ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness on ‘static-or-abstract non-veridical/vacuous-state (abstract reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology) of essence-of-meaningfulness terms, so long as their existential basis is established, including and critically for its purpose, where it is so deceptively implied’, to artificially or opportunistically construe a plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative which then ‘provides licence’ to articulate existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives in hollow-

constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation concurrently-false-premising on the initial plausible existential-context-of-reference-narrative, with the idea that that human mental-reflex will by reflex naively-and-wrongly imply the existential/contextualisation ontological-veridicality of its generated slanted-and-formulaic hollow existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives; and so, in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology as highlighted priorly. This non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing mental-disposition to reference-of-thought is in contrast with that of a conviction mindset/reference-of-thought (be it of inappropriate/bad or appropriate/good conviction) which is always inclined to ensure that the succession-of-narratives it propounds are tied to successive-instances as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. Thus, the reason why the ontological construal (ontological-entrapment) of the postlogical/psychopathic individuation characters and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration individuation characters is rather as an intemporal/ontological suprastructuring (implying ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics) of their hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, as this fail intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—

ontological-preservation. Going by the example of a medieval setup again as effectively in
 <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-
 abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and not analogy (epistemic-totalising_ratio-
 contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-
 ‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-
 incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context insightfully implying all
 institutionalisations/registry-worldviews/dimensions are about ‘construing the same
 underlying ontology’, though yield different but more and more accurate representations of
 ontology, due to different but improving human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of
 constitutedness towards conflation) from shallow to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-
 deepening-(<formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal)
 with the succession of institutionalisations, but with the non-positivism/medievalism as being
 lower from our positivistic perspective, thus providing a sound basis of transcendental
 analytical insight since the positivistic present is in metaphysics-of-absence with it, in
 contrast to our more or less blurred disposition to <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag when analysing
 transcendental issues within our present positivistic/procryptic registry-worldview/dimension
 as its own metaphysics-of-presence problem), if say a totem was to be presented as proof that

a targeted individual was a sorcerer (as existential-context-of-reference-narrative) for establishing plausibility for subsequent comprehensive articulation of existentially-unreal-and-abstract-narratives accusing the target of sorcery, a transcendental/object/intemporal conceptualisation will imply rather a prospective ontological-reference of essence-of-meaningfulness as positivism, with the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence implication of construing not only the accuser as being of ‘medieval mental-perversion/perversion-of-reference-of-thought but the temporal-dispositions and overall social-enculturation of that inclination abstractly with respect to metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales/aetiologically/ontological-escalation as a fundamental ontological/being-construal-defect of such a medieval reference-of-thought; noting as well that there is no need ontologically/intemporally for such a target to adjust to such accusation but rather a dismissive disposition with respect to such perversion-of-reference-of-thought as to dialectical-dementing—<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag and its defective ontological-reference of meaningfulness, as acting otherwise like ‘being logical’ with such implied meaningfulness by saying for instance it is not its totem or it doesn’t know about it or it is somebody else’, wrongly validates that the reference-of-thought of such medieval accusation is valid and is thus rather contributing then to upholding its temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation, as where there is perversion-of-reference-of-thought there is no logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implication) to start with in the very first place but rather a superseding/transcendental representation of such perversion-of-reference-of-thought as unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing and actually implying a suprastructuring (beyond its consciousness-awareness-teleology) at the said (non-positivism/medievalism) uninstitutionalised-threshold requiring positivism registry-

worldview reference-of-thought institutionalisation. Thus unlike in a case of defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implication) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought as registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can't be mutual contention but rather transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the superseding (and ontologically-veridical) reference-of-thought can only construe of the superseded (and ontologically unsound) as dialectically-dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance, before any ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention. Certainly this same reaction is what is warranted in the example highlighted before (if an adult psychopath were to meet a stranger and spoke to him about another stranger whom it knows nothing about,...) In the bigger perspective with regards to the institutionalisation of deprocrypticism for instance, it is such an existentialism construal from a transcendental intemporal reference-of-thought over temporal perversion-of-reference-of-thought that allows for the superseding of vices-and-impediments as prospective registry-worldview/dimension structural-resolution of procrypticism/emanant-dementing/wrong-shades-of-the-real. It should be noted that as earlier articulated, intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm (in contrast to a temporal extricatory

paradigm) can only be transcendental as superseding (by implying an altogether different reference-of-thought as ‘dialectical-thinking’), and not incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ (wrongly operating on the same temporal registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> reference-of-thought which is actually ‘dialectically-dementing’/oblongated and dialectically/contendingly-out-of-phase). Taking the previously articulated case of sorcery in a non-positivism/medievalism setup, it has no ontological structural-resolution by reciprocity of sorcery accusations on the same reference-of-thought terms but rather by the transcendental undermining of such non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought with an altogether superseding positivistic reference-of-thought that is in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with a non-positivism/medievalism ontological-reference (registry-worldview). Even though, inevitably (and as in the ‘present as-present-consciousness’ of all registry-worldviews with regards to their own corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena), there is bound to be more or less a dumb-and-dumb effect of summative social acquiescence to a superstitious mindset/reference-of-thought in a non-positivism/medievalism setup, that will in the short term temporal perspective be a drawback to such a transcendental projection of positivistic mental-disposition, and likewise there will inevitably be more or less be a dumb-and-dumb effect of summative social discontentment where a transcendental deprocrypticism mental-disposition is implied in a procrypticism setup. This shows that going by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, in all registry-worldviews/dimensions the more or less summative mindset/reference-of-thought is bound to be incremental/‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ and not transcending such that would-be emancipating individuation’s projection (that is, if ontologically pertinent) is

necessarily the middle to long run construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> for the necessary ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure accompanying such prospective transcendental institutionalisation. That is, by transcendence is meant dispose to construe the ontological resolution of an intradimensional ontological/being-construal-defect transcendently/transdimensionally/interdimensionally; for instance, capable of putting in question non-positivism/medievalism intradimensional superstition as of the registry-worldview defect in the first place supersedingly/transcendentally rather than a usual attendant/incidental reciprocity of superstitious contentions or capable of putting into question procrypticism/perversion-of-positivistic-meaningfulness with its corresponding postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism of psychopathy and social psychopathy as of the registry-worldview in the very first place supersedingly/transcendentally rather than a temporally reciprocal equivalence. Basically, such an intemporal-disposition/ontologically-veridical transcendental disposition storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration will be of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as existential-tracing of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness reflecting temporal-dispositions rather in ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. The fact being that, in the short term, the temporally-minded recurrent-utter-institutionalised individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) notion’ (for base-institutionalisation) of the intemporal-minded individuation; the temporally-minded ununiversalised individuation (in base-institutionalisation) has no place for the

‘transcendental rules universalising notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; the temporally-minded non-positivism/medievalism individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental positivising/rational-empiricism notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; and likewise, prospectively, the temporally-minded procrypticism individuation has no place for the ‘transcendental deprocrypticism/rational-realism notion’ of the intemporal-minded individuation; rather as the temporal-dragging-of-ontology moves from slantedness-effect, miscuing towards sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising in all the different registry-worldviews/dimensions, ‘for intradimensional functionality sake a transcendental articulation is beyond the intradimensional summative mental-disposition of value-referencing’, as the summative mental projection of individuals is more of an earthly life-span conceptualisation rather than transcendental or poorly appreciative of the transcendentalism that is structurally responsible for present reference-of-thought to project to the structural/paradigmatic need of prospective transcendence. This further points out that with regards to ‘metaphysics-of-absence’ projection (in overcoming the illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising), across all registry-worldviews from prior to prospective there are basically two ways by which the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology works with respect to the same intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness; for the ‘intradimensional reflex’ sake of having a coherent functioning by sharing a common/same reference-of-thought as it is obvious that if one was to drop in a thoroughly non-positivism/medievalism setup and insisted absolutely to articulate meaningfulness in positivistic terms, there will be no mutual understanding, at least at the (positivistic) uninstitutionalised-threshold of that medieval setup, whether at one moment or another it fails intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-

contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, any registry-worldview/dimension as prior wrongly represents that such its registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> is non-transcendable/unsupersedable by its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as ‘metaphysics-of-presence’ thus upholding its soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought by ignoring the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> while the prospective registry-worldview/dimension implying a new reference-of-thought that structurally resolves the prior’s registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> represents the prior as prior/transcended/superseded and hence unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dementing/suprastructurable (at that uninstitutionalised-threshold). The bigger point here is that just as we will represent the non-positivism/medievalism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology allusions to superstition in its <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as abjectly dementing and unintelligible/existentially-suprastructured, a deprocrpticism placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/(recomposed)-consciousness-awareness-teleology of procrpticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought mindset/reference-of-thought will rather be construed as decentered and dementing, unintelligible/existentially-suprastructured with respect to ‘our positivism–procrpticism terms of meaningfulness’ that is, at the (deprocrpticism) uninstitutionalised-threshold in order to effectively and adequately reflect the requisite metaphysics-of-absence necessary to act as the registered-psychical-backdrop for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-

ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
 prospective deprocrpticism, as implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–
 stranding/attributive-dialectics as-uninstitutionalised-threshold-suprastructuring stranding-
 dialectics that is the mechanism of a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-
 mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure for prospective
 institutionalisation. This latter notion is important as with all psychoanalysis whether of an
 individual or social conceptualisation nature, the idea of recognising/registering the registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
 existential-defect> is central to superseding it, and so the idea of implying ‘dialectically-
 dementing’/out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive is ‘beyond the notion of an idle denotative
 exercise’, be it validly so, and the meaningfulness of such conceptualisations certainly do not
 carry the poorer connotations of temporal/banal mental-dispositions, but rather it is
 technically a necessary and useful ontological conceptualisation in the memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure from our shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of
 relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation).
 Thus psychoanalysis is actually in effect an existentialism process of human skewing towards
 intemporal as we construe meaningfulness and value-referencing, and so beyond the
 Foucauldian referenced critique of a relatively ‘economic/traded/exchange/battered’
 conceptualisation of psychology we know of when we talk of psychoanalysis in the subject
 matter of psychology, but rather construed as a natural ontologically-driven ‘dialectical-
 thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-
 dynamics’ behind human secondnaturing across the successive institutional-
 cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-
 human-institutionalisation-process. As a side note though, it is important to grasp that the

registry-worldviews as the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures are actually broad categorisations and that actually human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of intrinsic-reality/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness varies (though not varying in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the central defining conceptualisation of each registry-worldview/dimension) within each registry-worldview/dimension from its early to later spectrum, given human more or less passive continuous psychoanalytic readjustment to 'ontological experience'. For instance, there is certainly a marked difference in scope and depth between the positivistic construct in the 19th century with its nature in the late 20th and early 21st century. Further to the two elucidations made of postlogism/psychopathic and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration distortion/perversion of essence-of-meaningfulness that go on to endemise psychopathy and social psychopath with reference to with the 'Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology' and its 'Différance-existential-transitory-articulation-of-the-derivation-of-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-meaning-and-meaningfulness' technique as well as plausibly concurrently-false-premising to an existential-context-of-reference-narrative providing licence for postlogical narratives, a third elucidation provides an even more profound insight of the distortion/perversion of essence-of-meaningfulness and the implications at the comprehensive existential level. This basically has to do with the ontological consequences and implications of the 'existentialist' and 'non-veridical/vacuous' conceptualisation of reference-of-thought and meaningfulness, and so with respect to perception of registry-soundness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and perversion-of-reference-of-thought as-of-unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought, and ultimately the disambiguation of ontological-reference (trace) with respect to postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration individuation characters, and

conviction/intemporal mental-dispositions individuation characters. Basically the ontological-veridicality of meaningfulness is construed in ‘non-veridical/vacuous’ terms of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology ‘supposedly’ in intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and this ‘supposedly-ness’ is only validated if ‘existentially real’ as ontologically-veridical. However there is an ‘existentialist-shortfall’ of the human conviction mind with respect to assuring the ‘existential-reality’ in the face of ‘non-veridical/vacuous terms of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. This ‘existentialist-shortfall’ has to do with the fact that it will be ‘a waste of too much mental energy’ to be verifying in detail the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology – of every interlocutor, and so mentally the human mind has developed ‘a referencing scheme of trusting that involves closeness, familiarity, reputation and appearance’; but such a scheme is strictly speaking ontologically incomplete and can be undermined and usurped, but it is standard as it ‘saves mental energy and time’. This ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is relatively inconsequential where interlocutors are mutually of prelogism-as-of-conviction or existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context and even better when mutually of good conviction (than when one or the other is of bad/poor conviction even though the latter is relatively circumspect and ad hoc in its misrepresentation of reality, and so its consequence with respect to the ‘existentialist-shortfall’ is rather limited as ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-

performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance rather than registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> associated with postlogism, whether pathological/psychopathic or enculturated, and conjugated-postlogism). However, with the psychopathic/postlogical and social psychopathic case where non-conviction-or-'existential-decontextualised-transposition'/postlogism as perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness is the underlying principle as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging, this 'existentialist-shortfall' is highly consequential as it is the basis of the induced registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect>; by wrongly and so comprehensively implying the 'existential-reality' of 'non-veridical/vacuous <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal-mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology articulated in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or otherwise by the rather non-veridical/vacuous implied meaningfulness and reference-of-thought or otherwise by the non-veridical/vacuous implied meaningfulness and reference-of-thought based on inductive limitation nature or 'so-called principles' that are actually fallacious since such arguments cannot truly be universalised as they require that others do not act likewise or their implications should be limited to given target(s) and not be universalised, since their fundamental teleology is not intemporal/not-of-universal-import but speak more of temporal motive. In other words meaningfulness and reference-of-thought is only veridical as an 'ontologically-veridical construct' validated in the construal of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-

transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness that establishes ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness. The human
 ‘existentialist-shortfall’ with respect to ontologically-veridical meaningfulness and reference-
 of-thought thus allows for an overall existential/being framework/cadre of ‘non-
 veridical/vacuous distortion/perversion’ of meaningfulness in hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation induced
 from postlogism/psychopathic and temporal-dispositions-conjugated-postlogism which is
 wrongly projected as of the recurrence in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-
 narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-
 ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as
 ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, and particularly so as the postlogism/psychopathic
 disposition is basically recursive (recursive denaturing alteration of the essence-of-
 meaningfulness and so ‘pathologically iterative’, in the form of hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation
 ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-
 and-acts’-with-‘successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci’-construed-as-‘deception-
 of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts’ towards ‘social-aggregation-
 enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’
 as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase, based on
 absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic and extrinsic-attribution with respect to successive
 sets of interlocutors, and as conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions equally assume a
 purposefulness of their own (that must be factored-in when analysing
 psychopathic/postlogical and social-psychopathic situations), and conjugated-
 postlogism/dementing-integration dispositions are either progressive (with conjugated-

opportunistic/conjugated-exacerbation) or regressive (with conjugated-ignorance/conjugated-affordability) in their hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation or conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives-as-of-cohering-logic-reflex to the psychopath's 'denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'-with-'successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci'-construed-as-'deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts' towards 'social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating' as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase. The centrality of 'concurrently-false-premising-of-meaning thread/tracing' in the entire process of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration lies in the fact that it provides the 'as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-phase hollow-form concurrently-false-premising' for perversion-of-reference-of-thought as 'denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'-with-'successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-foci'-construed-as-'deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts' towards social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, and so together with a 'false-projection-of-bad-or-good-conviction representation of meaning' rather than' veridically of a non-conviction concurrently-false-premising of meaning' (and so, wrongly implying an issue of 'defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication' or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview's/dimension's-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance rather than veridically the perception of non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism

as hollow-form implying an issue of perversion-of-reference-of-thought; inducing conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration mental-dispositions (as conjugated-ignorance, conjugated-affordability, conjugated-opportunism, conjugated-exacerbation, conjugated-social-chainism and conjugated-temporal-enculturation) involved in conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives of the postlogical/psychopathic hollow-form postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’; and thus leading to temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation. It is critical to understand this underlying thread of concurrently-false-premising by its non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-postlogism instigation as a ‘false-sense-of-good-to-bad conviction’ postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration in psychopathic and social psychopathic situations. Thus unlike in the instance of defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implication) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t be mutual contention but rather transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the superseding (and sound) reference-of-thought can only construe of the superseded (and non-veridical) as dialectically-dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated requiring psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the superseding reference-of-thought in

the very first instance before any ontologically-veridical pretence to mutual contention. The nature of how ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaning thread/tracing’ arises can equally conspicuously be understood at childhood psychopathy situation wherein the childhood psychopathy blatantly attempts to initiate a dereifying narrative like in the case of spilling water on a chair highlighted before to which if concurred to by the interlocutor will be the basis for the child to assume apparently normal logical contentions but fundamentally based on this distorted deceptive high-point of concurrently-false-premising as of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology. It is basically the same process with an adult psychopath but for the fact of the highly opaque nature of adult psychopath mental-disposition unlike a child psychopath, and as previously explained is ‘maturated’ in its theme on issues that are rather of serious import, ‘spatialising’ (to confound by not acting postlogically/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness within the same spatialisation of relevant social interlocutors, which may raise the hollow nature of its narratives from cross-examination), being ‘indirect’ (by increasingly appearing neutral and unmotivated unlike at childhood), increasingly ‘credulous’ (by effective but unreal instigation of existential-decontextualised-transposition of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness as temporal-dragging-of-ontology miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-conventioning-rationalising/temporal-enculturation where its ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology are all false) and ‘crafty’ (with increasingly greater staging and performance: as the psychopath

perceives instances of rebuttal of its postlogism not essentially in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of the rightness or wrongness of the postlogical acts in its personality development into adulthood, as a conviction/prelogical mental-disposition will, but rather in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its failure in performing the postlogical acts well with the idea of how to further confound/muddle hence the reason it is recursive as absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic to the point of faking remorsefulness or acting as a victim as long as fundamentally its ‘interlocutor is in a prelogism-as-of-conviction relation to its postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-existential-decontextualised-transposition-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-or-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness mental-disposition’ in order for the interlocutor to go on to conjoin the psychopath’s postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’). Paradoxically, the basis of the adult psychopath ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaningful thread/tracing’ is the disposition of a conviction mindset/reference-of-thought to be open-minded in wrongly granting conviction (be it good or bad conviction) to a non-conviction mental-disposition for its deceptive high-point of concurrently-false-premising for producing ontologically non-veridical narratives (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology). This ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaningful thread/tracing’ can be construed as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness wherein ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness is established by reflecting soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dialectical-thinking (as-in-

intemporally-preservational) narratives over unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/dialectically-dementing narratives. Critically, this ‘concurrently-false-premising-of-meaning thread/tracing’ explains how temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation occurs operantly and how by intradimensional cumulative-dynamic-aftereffect it instigates the endemising/enculturating of uninstitutionalised-threshold in the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness dynamism, as it further extends to explain how and why ‘ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction on the one hand and hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation on the other hand drive the dynamism of successive prospective institutionalisations and uninstitutionalised-thresholds respectively; as postlogical/psychopathic-individuations hollow postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration individuations conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives to the hollow postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’, in hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation to reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (but then failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation and undermining transcendence) of ‘ontologically-reconstituted’/deconstructed institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’ inducing prospective ‘uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’ (as prospective reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy), eliciting the intemporal-disposition to ‘ontologically-reconstitute’/deconstruct the new ‘uninstitutionalised registry-worldview/dimension’... and so on, circularly up to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-

infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism institutionalised registry-worldview as abjectly-ontological (ontological-normalcy) as ‘it can’t be hollow-constituted’ by its mere ontological-completeness or ontological-abjectness or as-ontological-normalcy. This further highlights the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as validating the requisite ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure decomplexifying/uninhibiting paradigm for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, in contrast to a ‘wrongly misconstrued universal human intemporal-disposition nature’ (which is rather a ‘functional construal/conceptualisation’ arising from intemporalisation/institutionalisation within an institutionalised registry-worldview/dimension as secondnature but not beyond its uninstitutionalised-threshold) as it will fail to account and register for the ontological/being-construal-defect of the present as procrypticism which should enable superseding for the prospective transcendent institutionalisation secondnaturing as deprocrypticism.

This explains how a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ gives ontological-anchoring for a Derridean metaphysics-of-presence (due to human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)) propped up by a metaphysics-of-absence (rather as human projection in ‘making-up for’ its limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation)), and so beyond a Derridean pessimism, ‘making-up for’ with the abstract and infallible ontological-normalcy/postconvergence referencing/correction-tool as postdication, which upholds intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation),

to paradoxically transcend and supersede towards deeper ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality, as so enabled by the dialecticism of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ in construing the reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of ‘the prospective’ (of a more intemporal-potency as it further deepens the socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness over ‘the prior’ in the strive for ontological-normalcy (potency of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) along with disambiguating human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as the pathway towards intrinsicness/essence, reality, truth and virtue. Such a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is rather about the ontological-veridicality of reference-of-thought. It should not be confused with the more familiar issue involving existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implication, and this doesn’t put-into-question the soundness/appropriateness or unsoundness/inappropriateness of reference-of-thought. Thus unlike in the instance of defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicing-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s—reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and—accordance the idea of falling-back to the same exercise to correctly do the exercise (logical-processing-or-logical-implication) in a same or different circumstance, is invalidated when dealing with perversion-of-reference-of-thought as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold—defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential—defect> (with regards to both postlogism and conjugated-postlogism); with the implication that there can’t

be mutual contention but rather transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing wherein the superseding (and sound) reference-of-
 thought can only construe of the superseded (and unsound) as dialectically-
 dementing/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/oblongated requiring
 psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reorder/institutional-recomposure to transcend into the
 superseding reference-of-thought in the very first instance before any ontologically-veridical
 pretence to mutual contention). It is based on perpetuating the
 precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency over reference-of-thought and meaningfulness of
 the intemporal-disposition as ontological over the temporal-dispositions; as the latter, going
 by human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor are inclined to ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-
 thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-
 of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications>’
 (implying incremental/temporal-accommodation meaningful dispositions of postlogism-
 slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ as ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-
 implication’ or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance
 of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s–reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-
 accordance, and worst still when conjugated to postlogism become temporally-

preservational-as-pseudointemporality-preservation or conjugated-postlogism as of
 circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability in existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-
 of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-
 of-ontology in contrast to ‘defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of
 incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance, and
 rather implying a ‘structural or paradigmatic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-
 uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> that
 defines a registry-worldview/dimension as dialectical-dementing and dialectically-out-of-
 phase with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality going by its hollow-
 constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-
 preservation (take the case of the BODMAS characters highlighted previously where the
 other characters simply went along calculating without factoring A’s defect), such that where
 there is induced derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought when such ‘defect of logical-
 processing-or-logical-implication’ or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-
 ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-
 social-functioning-and-accordance dispositions are conjugated to postlogism (which directly
 perverts reference-of-thought), temporal-dispositions are rather then construed as in registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-
 existential-defect>’ in line with a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-
 ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’ of the
 prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview as being in a dialectically-out-of-phase state
 which is thus dialectically-dementing, while the intemporal-disposition is inclined to
 ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal projection-
 of-thought’ (implying deprocrypticism in its preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-

thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as ‘ontologically-reconstituting’ intrinsic-reality and thus with respect to perversion-of-reference-of-thought is inclined to solipsistically-put-into-question/ontologically-reconstituting of the perversion-of-reference-of-thought and imply a prospective/superseding/transcendental registry-worldview that is the new dialectically-in-phase and thus the new ‘dialectical-thinking’ as the prior registry-worldview becomes dialectically-out-of-phase/dialectically-primitive and ‘dialectically-dementing’. A ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in registry-worldview terms is rendered operant by ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction over hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation (with ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’/deconstruction more like ‘a making-up for projection’ in transcending as a metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation over hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as a ‘failing, due to limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation),’ metaphysics-of-presence conceptualisation), forming the very backbone of the huma registry-worldview’s/dimension’s institutionalisation/intemporalisation process that is behind the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as it dialectically leaves by the wayside human temporality/shortness and temporal reference-of-thought and meaningfulness. Critically, the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology implications are utterly different between such a familiar logical-processing-or-logical-implication and a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as the latter calls upon ontological-

dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics in setting up two dialectical reference-of-thought, wherein the one as prior/present/transcended/superseded is dialectically-dementing and the other as prospective/transcending/superseding is dialectical-thinking. In other words, ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is dealing with perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (at the uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness) is all about articulating the ‘dialectically-in-phase reference’ (which is relatively sound ontologically/intemporally) over the ‘dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive reference’ (which is relatively unsound ontologically/intemporally). In registry-worldview terms of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality’, this establishes ontological precedence/supersedingness/ascendency. The grander insight and answer to the elusive Derridean conundrum is that the full <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ renders our presencing-as-positivistic meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview ‘dialectically-out-of-phase or dialectically-primitive’ as dialectically-dementing to a prospective-as-deprocryptic reference-of-thought, which is ‘dialectically-in-phase’ as dialectical-thinking. The latter (as with all relative dialectical-thinking references) can only be ‘habituated’ over the former, and so ‘by virtue of its more profound intemporality-potency’ validated by its greater ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in the middle to long-run with

respect to the dialectically corresponding prior meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview. For instance, there is no logical-basis for a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought to convince a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought that its reference-of-thought is better but for the fact that its better ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework will in the middle to long-run be ontologically untenable thus ‘collapsing’ the non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought; and so reflecting ‘Derridean underdetermination-imbued force/violence conception’ and ‘Foucauldian knowledge/power conception construed as knowledge-empowerment/ignorance-disempowerment’ as to mere ‘sublimation affirmation/projection/assertion/dueness-validating-logicising/suitablemeasuringinstrument-validating-measuring/dialectical-thinking’ over ‘desublimation unaffirmation/deprojection/de-assertion/undueness-invalidating-logicising/unsuitablemeasuringinstrument-invalidating-measuring/dialectically-dementing’ so-underlining existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal and existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. This is the only basis for establishing the relative ascendancy of divergent reference-of-thought (not to be confused with ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implication convincing’ as this by definition will instead make circular references to a prior reference-of-thought that is already established and uncontested in the very first place; thus highlighting the notion that it is the veridicality of the prospective reference-of-thought that precedes and defines the pertinence of an exercise of ‘logical-processing-or-logical-implication convincing’ whereby interlocutors already share this common reference-of-thought, and not the other way around). Such a dialectical-thinking over dialectically-dementing habituation (at their respective ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold or socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-

threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’) with regards to the dialectical-thinking and dialectically-dementing dialecticism of meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/registry/axiomatic-construct/ontological-reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview’ developed as base-institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, universalisation over ununiversalisation, positivism over non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively deprocrypticism over procrypticism. It should equally be noted that just as no reference-of-thought will recognise itself as rather dialectically-dementing (from its own present placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of itself as dialectical-thinking) as we may appreciate from our relative vantage point being at a higher registry-worldview ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, we will equally have a hard time recognising a dialectically-dementing placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of our present positivistic registry-worldview as rather dialectically-dementing (as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism higher registry-worldview ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as in both instances, the ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ highlights that the prior dialectically-dementing reference-of-thought faces a ‘Heideggerian (engaged)-destruktion’, as it is not about substituting our species but enabling the further development of our same species as institutionalisation/intemporalisation, articulated as a Derridean deconstruction involving ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness’ of the prospective dialectical-thinking reference-of-thought over the hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of the prior dialectically-dementing meaningful-reference/anchoring-of-meaning/ontological-

reference. So our natural ‘argumentation reflex’/new logical-processing-or-logical-implication as ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex’ with respect to the more familiar existentially veridical logical-dueness and from thence enabling the construing of relevant soundness or unsoundness of logical-processing-or-logical-implication do not apply with respect to “Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology”; as the latter is more about an engagement between a prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought say in registry-worldview terms like non-positivism/medievalism (which harkens back to its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) as rather hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation to its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology whether these are failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation and a prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought like positivism (which develops new reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) as ‘ontologically-reconstituting’ to uphold intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation, no matter what. Such a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ equally takes cognisance of the fact that a reference-of-thought construal is simply as of a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect conflation, and with perversion-reference-of-thought involving a temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intemporality rather indirectly as a comprehensive socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-depth-of-analysis (or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation or dialectically-dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness) arising from the ‘cumulative

effect’ of the various temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations dispositions with respect to intradimensionally operant <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as the various ‘temporal-dispositions individuations’ will, at the given uninstitutionalised-threshold, betray ontologising/ontological-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation by hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation at their specific temporal-dispositions individuations thresholds (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation). Thus providing the basis for a ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ of ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness not only at a registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level of hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation but also at temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations level of hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation, which then allows for disambiguated ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework with respect to individuals teleologies as being of any of the various temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations (for instance, psychopath postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-as-reflex-fleeting-logic, psychopath’s or postlogic interlocutor conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives as-reflex-cohering-logic, etc.). This effectively allows for ‘différance conceptualisation’ of hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation and ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction analysis’ of intradimensional phenomena, and rather construed as of the conflation of the corresponding registry-worldview reference-of-thought transcendental dialectics. Such a ‘Différance-

disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ thus goes on to encompass the ontological-articulation-as-extending-into-existentialism-becoming of personhoods-and-socialhood-formation marking a registry-worldview reference-of-thought. The underlying idea here being that faced with incidental issues arising in various effective social contexts, the ‘ontological/intemporal paradigm approach’ is to have at hand a ‘universal cadre’ that conceptualises and is geared towards attending-to/resolving all such and other incidental issues as it is suprastructural to all such incidentals. That universal cadre with regards to issues of perversion-of-reference-of-thought pointing to ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’, and so across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, is human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor conjugating with respect to intemporal/ontological meaningfulness requiring re-institutionalisation/re-intemporalisation in successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, cumulating/recomposuring along various ontologising-depth-of-analysis/intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation (as institutionalising, universalising, positivising and fully/abjectly-ontologising into deprocrypticism). Human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor as such is ontologically a preceding and defining construct that provides insight on ‘existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications issues’ across all the institutional-recomposures since ‘it grasps the ontological-veracity of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as it recomposes across all the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures’; due to the inherent/permanent nature of human shallow to profound limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) (temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions

individuations dispositions) along the successive/snowballing institutional-recomposures with respect to the succession of recomposured human meaningfulness-and-action based-on/given this same form-factor. This implies individuality is then simply ‘the unique incidence’ of ‘human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations dispositions (as form-factor)’ in the ‘receptacle’ that is an individual in a given ‘recomposured-existentialism contextualisation’, and as such a given ‘recomposured-existentialism contextualisation’ harbours other individuals (as receptacles) of their own ‘unique incidence’ of ‘human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations dispositions’. A further implication is that going by ontological-normalcy (prospective-transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) that is behind the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures involving the skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor (as human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor individuations dispositions) towards the ascendancy of the intemporal-disposition’s meaningfulness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as institutionalisation/intemporalisation, this highlights that ‘Différance-disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ which is rather about perversion-and-

derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor as of postlogism-
 slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ ‘conjugate with and thus pervert
 intemporal/ontological meaningfulness’ requiring ‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-
 conflatedness’ over their hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-
 meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation) contrasted to ‘notion of agreement-
 disagreement’, is a permanent construct for the ontological/intemporal resolution/skewing of
 human-subpotency—aporeia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and in registry-worldview terms ‘Différance-
 disambiguation-of-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ is the mechanism
 of transcending the registry-worldview reference-of-thought as ‘ontological-reconstituting—
 as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction’ articulates better and better reference-of-thought—
 categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity—or-ontological-preservation and is geared exclusively for prospective intemporal-
 preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation, and thus recomposuring-in-
 a-snowballing-effect base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism, and prospectively
 deprocrypticism. It also points out that the exercise of institutionalisation/intemporalisation is
 not an exercise of human emanance transformation from temporal-dispositions to intemporal-

disposition (as we wrongly imply by intuition) but a registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation or secondnaturing exercise, explaining why we are continually the same species from utter-institutionalisation to prospectively deprocrypticism. This point can be demonstrated by the fact that when a prospective/transcending/superseding registry-worldview is institutionalised, our same temporality/shortness as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor will now rather conjugate temporarily as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or perversion-of-reference-of-thought (conjugated: postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of 'reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing') to the new reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation at the new institutionalisation's uninstitutionalised-threshold, and thus eliciting the need for prospective intemporalisation/institutionalisation. The need for successive institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure thus leads to deprocrypticism which specificity going by the increasing 'rational-realism' of the institutional-cumulation/institutional-recomposure process is to recognise the veridicality of this human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor (as of the intemporal-disposition and temporal-dispositions of postlogism-

slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) and construct prospective knowledge factoring it
 in, as ‘knowledge notionalisation’ or knowledge construct not only based on intemporal
 idealisation but that also factors in how the temporalities will relate to meaning, and be
 conceptually preemptive of human temporality/shortness since human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor can’t be
 emanantly/becomingly/solipsistic transformed as ‘of intemporal-disposition only’ (it’s a lost
 cause as that is not our firstnatureness since we are effectively of temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions given our human-subpotency ever limited-mentation-capacity relative to the full-
 potency of existence as existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-
 totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness) and
 avoid articulating knowledge as if the human mentation is by reflex only intemporal of
 emanance reference-of-thought when in reality it is of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions,
 and so by way of deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-
 <epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>. Effectively given that
 going by human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, the determinant nature of intemporal/ontological
 constructs induced by institutionalisation with respect to social-stake-contention-or-
 confliction is always bound to elicit two classes of human mental-dispositions with respect to

it whether as a temporal extricatory paradigm or as an
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, and knowledge notionalisation is grounded
 on addressing meaningfulness insightfully in these two respects. The veridical insight to the
 reality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor lies in the fact that the cross-section of humankind at
 any institutionalisation is institutionalised at its socially-betraying-threshold-of-ontologising-
 depth-of-analysis or socially-betraying-threshold-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-
 contiguity—or—ontological-preservation or uninstitutionalised-threshold or dialectically-
 dementing-threshold-to-ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness; as basically
 intemporality/longness is a pathway from base-institutionalisation to universalisation to
 positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism as the fulfilment of ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence potency, and any pretence at a positivistic registry-worldview to
 be non-transcendable (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘‘Différance-disambiguation-of-
 ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness-and-teleology’’) is untenable as the same could be
 implied at base-institutionalisation and universalisation, which obviously we won’t recognise
 and acquiesce to, implying the temporal-difficulty of dealing with the transcendental
 implications in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-
 process often lead to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity as human-subpotency–
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor! The grander insight
 being that ‘institutionalisation devising and devices’ already speaks a lot about human
 potential and capacity (and are basically our virtue with no need for ‘false idealisation’ that
 just induces ‘vain-temporality passing for intemporality’), and just as previous

institutionalisations prospered, due to increasing realism, because they did away with deities and spirits in recognising that human potential lies in what humans can do themselves, and strived even more by doing away with essences in recognising that understanding effectively what happens in the world is what gives power and effectiveness over nature, a further extension of rational-realism is to do away with the ‘false feel good’ naivety of construing man by reflex in intemporal terms (not recognising or rather taking full cognisance of the implications that we have temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions as shortness-to-longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology or perversion-of-reference-of-thought teleologies) which failure only leads to unrealistically grounded reference-of-thought and meaningfulness (characterised by the readiness to overlook vices-and-impediments of our registry-worldview/dimension as side notes rather than the idea that these point to our deficiencies and ‘that these are actually the necessary pathway for superseding/transcending’ for prospective paradigms, just as preceding registry-worldviews had to deal with their paradigms that led up to our positivistic registry-worldview) and aspiring for the intemporal while factoring in the temporal. In a further elaboration, there is no pathway for prospective base-institutionalisation without a recognition of recurrence-of-utter-uninstitutionalisation for its superseding, no pathway for prospective universalisation without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-base-institutionalisation-as-ununiversalisation for its superseding, no pathway for prospective positivism without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-universalisation-as-non-positivism/medievalism for its superseding, and there is equally no pathway for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism without a recognition of perversion-of-reference-of-thought-positivism-as-procrypticism for its superseding. However, such an intemporal-disposition of transcendental depth-of-thought, it must be acknowledged is hardly

the panacea of a <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> temporal mental-disposition that is more predisposed to project mainly in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of ‘temporal lifespan of living scale’ rather than ‘humanity-at-large spatial and timeless scale’ of intemporal projection-of-thought mental-disposition; with the inherent moral and intellectual superiority of the latter warranting an uncompromising stance over the former, in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, as has always been the case all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, and so ‘looking down’ at temporality/shortness effects of ‘country-of-the-blind effect’ and ‘crowd effects’. Already with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, our formalisation mechanisms acknowledge unspokenly/tacitly/by-mere-intuition the veracity/ontological-pertinence of our potential ‘perverting temporal-dispositions inclinations’ by its ‘abstract preemptive mechanisms’, the bigger prospect though lies in fully unleashing such a potential for a knowledge notionalisation emancipation that is consciously aware of the full implications and thus paradoxically uninhibited/decomplexified in dealing with this realism rationally and further expand human intemporal potential as the deprocrypticism registry-worldview. Actually the Deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension we will be able to supersede human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor because its preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-

drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules enables ‘absolute social universal-transparency about the real nature of human action’ thus undermining the disposition for human temporal-preservation-and-prevarication behind relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought; as in fact the successive institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures (as ‘dialectical-thinking and in-phase/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought’ in voiding/annulling the ‘supposed pretence of a contending posture or reference-of-thought’ of the successive corresponding uninstitutionalised-thresholds as actually the ontological essence of their mental-disposition is ‘of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation intradimensionally) as temporal-dispositions are actually involved in pseudointemporality inducing temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation defining the corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation, thus represented as ‘dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought’, and thus the ‘point of engagement’ with all established uninstitutionalised-thresholds is rather a ‘reflection of postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction-or-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing reflex disposition or dementing’ and not the ‘natural institutionalisations inclination to reflect a conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex or thinking reflex’, for instance ‘we don’t think’ with a non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation-mindset/reference-of-thought as the point-of-meaningful-engagement’ with it from our positivistic perspective is its out-of-phase

decentering and dialectical-dementing, likewise the point-of-meaningful-engagement from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism perspective with our registry-worldview/dimension procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought is ‘not a thinking relation’ but a ‘decentering and dialectically-dementing’ as dialectically-out-of-phase and logically-incongruent) arise because of intermittent/relative universal transparencies induced by knowledge in grasping over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation-recurrency the notion of rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) social universal-transparency as base-institutionalisation which temporal hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as ununiversalisation led to universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) social universal-transparency as universalisation which temporal hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as non-positivism/medievalism led to positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘third-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) social universal-transparency as positivism/rational-empiricism, and which temporal hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought should lead to

preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules social universal-transparency as deprocrypticism. The conceptualisation of ‘knowledge notionalisation’ is rather based on the fundamental notion of a superseding—oneness-of-ontology with respect to knowledge-and-virtue conceptualisation such that so-construed it is rather a ‘referential-as-natural’ conceptualisation of knowledge that consciously tautologically subsumes temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions (as opposed to our present ‘categories-as-artificial’ conceptualisation of knowledge often predisposed to overlook the temporal, and critically so, with respect to understanding the social as of the human condition together with inherent ontological-veridicality in naively assuming the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology by reflex focussed mostly on inherent ontological-veridicality, and whose artificially-demarcated subject-matters and hierarchical relationship with the first-order-ontology/philosophy is by itself a structural/paradigmatic shortcoming with respect to our understanding possibilities, given that our artificial subject-matter categories-schemes do not precede nor define intrinsic-reality as ‘knowledge-in-its-oneness-and-entirety’), and is postconvergent in its ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptualisation of reality in a unison of second-order-ontologies with the first-order-ontology/philosophy wherein second-order subject-matters aren’t discontinuously hollowed out from the first-order-ontology but rather their inter-relational and hierarchical relationship with the first-order-ontology (philosophy) is subsumptive with the latter as superseding—oneness-of-ontology and the place for elucidating epistemic disagreement (with the practical desire for an appropriate proportion of subject-matter experts directly studying and understanding the first-order-ontology/philosophy elucidations and the possibilities implied for their subject-

matters), and as the first-order-ontology/philosophy furthermore is the ‘abstractly inventing conceptualising construct that construes the requisite overhanging knowledge psychical-orientation/psyche’, as the fact is it was a philosophical orientation whether explicit with Descartes’s ‘I think therefore I am’ establishing the positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology so excellently, with the later requalification of Hume, Kant and others of that same mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology and actually ‘in complement to it’ than truly criticisms (which is often philosophically misconstrued, as Descartes’s ‘thinking proposition’ is so profound that it is the very ‘transparent pillar or social universal-transparency for the tenability of the supposed critiques of rationalism, which are actually in complement to it, by latter philosophers, and it is rather the failure to compare what the ‘thinking proposition’ implies with respect to the prior as the core-medieval mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology of essences, alchemies and superstition as an altogether different <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought of human mindset/reference-of-thought/consciousness-awareness-teleology, together with the naïve predisposition for categorisation of knowledge in artificial human categories undermining the ‘natural referentialism ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of knowledge’ that is at the basis of misapprehending the complementing as criticisms, as in fact these will actually be better construed as Extended Rationalism – rationalism, empiricism, subjectivism, realism, idealism, phenomenology, as the fact is none of the latter claims to be ‘irrational’) or less-explicit with Copernicus, Galileo, Darwin, etc. scientific endeavours/postures that ‘invented-and-upheld’ the positivistic psyche/psychical-orientation for our present-day positivistic knowledge form, as the fact is Descartes ‘abstractly-thinking-proposition psyche’ is not a given as of its epistemological and ontological implications, and in the same token there is a case to be made that suprastructuralism as a meaningful-frame ushered in by post-structuralism will

be the requisite human mindset/reference-of-thought/(recomposed)-consciousness-
 awareness-teleology of <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-
 perception/re-thought for the prospective knowledge-form/meaningfulness-and-teleology
 associated with deprocrypticism as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence; as ‘different
 institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures have their knowledge-
 form/meaningfulness-and-teleology psyches (psychologisms) which is a difficult notion to
 grasp when operating only within a same registry-worldview/dimension psyche, but this can
 be elucidated by an ontology-driven ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-
 mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ highlighting the defining stage by
 stage psychical development as from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation to base-
 institutionalisation–ununiversalisation to universalisation–non-positivism-or-medievalism to
 positivism–procrypticism, and prospectively deprocrypticism psyche. Suprastructuralism
 ultimately reflects the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process by
 bringing to the ‘collective-human-psyche-and-consciousness as a transparent-pillar or social
 universal-transparency the insight of a lockstep relationship of ‘the-thinking-proposition-by-
 the-dementing-proposition’ in grasping ontology/ontologically-veridical-
 meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality across all human retrospective, present and prospective
 institutionalisations, as implied by ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–
 stranding/attributive-dialectics with a corresponding comprehensive grasp of the implications
 of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor with respect to institutionalisation possibilities and
 more precisely and prospectively, preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-
 as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-

formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules as depcryptoicism-and-its-potential-for-prevailing-over-or-superseding-human-vices-and-impediments-as-arising-from-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as well as knowledge notionalisation undermining the prospective denaturing of institutionalisation possibilities as subknowledging. Going by our mirage/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness we will possibly think otherwise, but this rather points to how our forerunners felt psychologically when their worlds built of deities and later essences were being put into question by ‘an increasing realism insight’ of an intrinsic-reality that is ontologically given and in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence with respect to us, with the implication that it is our psyche that ‘gives-in’ to intrinsic-reality and not the other way around.

- As central to an overall Suprastructuralism conceptualisation that subsumes all the transcendental concepts highlighted with regards to grasping ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality, and corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought with respect to ushering in the requisite preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules that should define and conceptualise the Depcryptoicism registry-worldview/dimension (as the effective attainment of ontological-normalcy), is the idea of a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’. Basically, a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ (in defining individual, summative intradimensional and

transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness meaningfulness reference-of-thought), renders suprastructuralism and associated transcendental concepts comprehensively operant (as well as rendering ontologically-pertinent a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration enabling a more profound intuitive elucidation of the phenomena reflected by the conceptualisations in this paper) as such a conceptual-scheme effectively construes the reality of human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect in its failing-and-succeeding representation of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality grasped as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness; with the idea that deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality preempting 'the dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing rational-empiricism/positivising-rules' is attainable as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness clear delineating, in human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor driven lockstep dynamism of uninstitutionalised-threshold/institutionalisation as a circular process of

‘ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness (upholding-of-intemporal-preservation) of hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation as prospective institutionalisation’ and hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation of ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness (upholding-intemporal-preservation) as uninstitutionalised-threshold’, and so in prospective circularity’. The ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ thus construes deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality preempting ‘the dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing rational-empiricism/positivising-rules’ as a suprastructural tautological/existential-reference representation of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology as of inherent ontological-normalcy/postconvergence teleology. Thus, such a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ involves, mobilising an ‘ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ (like a hermeneutics-derived psycho-ontological, bio-ontological, econo-ontological, mathematico-ontological, etc.) construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence teleology thus postdicatory (as metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisation), is of ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of ontology/intrinsic-reality/of-referential-nature/of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation of existence-as-of-its-

mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness’, as the given subject-matter in a full-blossoming unison of second-
 order ontology with first-order ontology. Insightfully, superseding–oneness-of-ontology
 points out that human ascription of knowledge into various categories as science, humanities,
 arts, etc. is actually an unnatural differentiation that has to do with arbitrary human
 categorisation out of practicalities of division of labour and organisation, while equally
 leading to confusions. Actually knowledge as a whole imply the two basic elements: its
 conceptualisation and the causal effectiveness thereof of the conceptualisation. Knowledge
 conceptualisation and causal effectiveness can successively be construed in three respects;
 specific, intermediary and general, with all aspects of conceptualisations being notionally
 philosophical as providing meaningful insights while all aspects of causal effectiveness
 provide confirmatory and predicative-insights to meaningful insights. (Interesting it is
 important to note that empiricism speaks of the possibility of knowledge revelation by the
 inherent nature of the subject-matter and not an abstract approach as often naively construed;
 with the implication that empiricism can be construed as deriving from a confirmatory
 analysis of a mere insight, observation or experiment depending on the inherent nature of the
 said subject-matter, so long as this then allows for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework.) Thus notionally speaking all human knowledge is philosophical knowledge as
 being about meaningful insights. For practicalities, the general basis for establishing
 conceptual pertinence as of the more general abstract notions of knowledge is attributed to
 the philosophical disciplines (involving philosophy and the philosophies of subject-matters
 including sciences, and its extension in the humanities and social sciences) even though in
 further practical terms such construal will be punctually undertaken as well when relevant to
 specific disciplines of immediate cause-and-effect construals/conceptualisations. This equally

practically partakes in the denotative and connotative disambiguation of subject-matters. The practical basis for intermediate conceptual pertinence has to do with the inter-relation and delineating of subject-matters with a lesser direct implication of the philosophy, and even less so when it comes to the practical basis for specific conceptual pertinence as practised within subject-matters/specialisms themselves. Thus in human practical terms, knowledge can be construed as a wheel made up of three parts with the central part viewed as the hub of the wheel (philosophical) that provides control (as asking the most basic notional questions of meaningfulness and logic), the outer part of subject-matter (tyre) that connects with the ground (as causal effectiveness asking the more immediate questions of specific domains of nature and reality) and the middle part as the rim and spoke of the wheel holding the other two parts together (providing logical coherence, construed both within subject-matters/specialisms and philosophical disciplines). For practical purposes though, any of these conceptualisation – logical-coherence – causal-effectiveness dispositions can be overemphasised or underemphasised, but it is critical to grasp that any such underemphasising or overemphasising doesn't speak of a change of ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality but a human practicality purpose (conventioning) which pertinence lies in not losing sight of and ultimately recovering the superseding ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality. This basic conception of knowledge fundamentally explains what to expect of the philosophical as first-order ontology or the sciences including all other applied studies of second-order ontology. Often times, issues are raised which underlying presumption/presupposition/premise should actually be wholly or partially of fundamental philosophical conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology but naively purported to be answered wholly as of a second-order ontology terms. Broadly speaking philosophy as the first-order ontology (acting as a cog) has been more about providing the overall scope for meaningful insights and the broader conceptual background for other subject-matters while

science and other second-order ontology disciplines (as the wheel that meets the ground) draws on a sound and broad philosophical conceptual background to articulate causal effectiveness (as of the inherent nature of their subject-matters). It is rather naïve to depart from a philosophical angle and try to imply causal effectiveness of a science nature (rather than effective validation techniques relevant to philosophical conceptualisation) just as the same holds true the other way round. The reality is that if science was the best method to answer philosophical questions as of its subject-matter, then it would have already taken over from philosophy as practised and the reverse holds true as well, as in reality it is all about human practical organisation in construing a superseding–oneness-of-ontology while dealing with our given limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation). The fact is science is structurally bound to construe causal effectiveness as of the inherent nature of its domains of reality and philosophy is fundamentally conceptualising by its very nature and providing the broad conceptual background for all human knowledge with the implication that without such conceptualisation the historical insight for the need and upholding of the sciences and scientific method wouldn't have come about while equally defining the limits of what science can achieve. Insightfully and beyond their practical differentiations, with all knowledge actually being conceptually philosophical, a lot of science is actually a sort of impromptu and punctual heuristic philosophy at sciences subject-matter level. So it is rather critical here to distinguish between a human denotative and segmenting exercise (as not determining inherent reality) which is conventioned knowledge and the inherent connotation of the reality of knowledge as the superseding knowledge ontology inherent structure. In that sense, one often misconstrued notion with respect to notional philosophy is that it is not as successful as the sciences, which is a naïve conceptualisation as the very idea of such notional philosophy is its conceptualising irrigation of second-order ontology with the more immediate and ontological-primemovers-totalitative-

framework success being not only a success of the second-order ontology but a percolated success of notional philosophy as of its historical development of human conceptualisation in inducing the second-order-ontologies and irrigating them with meaningful-insights, whether we talk about the sciences, jurisprudence and law, ethics, engineering, aesthetics, etc. (This insight means that the classical conception we have of philosophy as mainly about great philosophical thinkers is incomplete as we equally need to understand the ‘organic-knowledge’ as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality of other thinkers as they were developing second-order ontologies, and analyse such thoughts in philosophical terms and make these part and parcel of philosophy without necessarily going deeply in their concrete ‘operant mechanical-knowledge’ except where this clarifies their ‘organic-knowledge’. That’s why the work of such transcendental thinkers like Newton, Galileo, Einstein, Bohr, Pasteur, etc. are ‘more than just technicalities’ as these involve a certain commitment as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality which needs to be properly relayed not only in the further development of the ‘mechanical-knowledge’ they advanced but equally about elucidating the profundity of knowledge itself. This insight is equally valid with respect to great artists like Michelangelo, among others. While critically, highlighting how human emancipation has been associated with such ‘organic-knowledge’ brought by scientists, artists and philosophers as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality across various epochs, such that the history of philosophy is much more than just biographical and analytical accounts of past masters but further involves the active relation of these in construing the ‘becoming-and-emancipating human psyche as of individual and social implications then and

now'.) 'Notional philosophy' as articulated above is the very profundity behind the human ('social framework of intersolipsistic deambulation') imagination, projection, development, articulation and conceptualisation-resourcing possibilities for all second-order ontologies; not so as an instant present development (of philosophers and philosophy-impacting scientists and artists) but rather as of its historical development, accrual and drive into today's second-order ontologies, as inventing the overall knowledge psyche and their perspectives in the very first place. A notion that is often hardly grasped because of the poor imagination of the notional philosophical work across epochs inducing human <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought, and psychically and institutionally bringing about our present conventioned knowledge being naively related to as if our present mentation-capacity and insights are simply a given, lacking a full appreciation of prior notional philosophical transformations of mindsets/references-of-thought/psychologisms and human developments of knowledge construal/conceptualisation, and correspondingly lacking a full appreciation of prospective overall human knowledge development possibilities of future philosophical <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of a prospective mindset/reference-of-thought/psychologism for the construal/conceptualisation of all human knowledge. It should be noted that this articulation about the role of notional philosophy speaks of the ontologically philosophical beyond just conventioning/classical sense of conceptual philosophy. That is, a scientist that develops insights about issues of philosophical import is ontologically contributing to philosophy even though qualified as a scientist by conventioning (as the natural ontological construct of knowledge as intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality doesn't recognise our artificial delimitations of knowledge organisation), just as the reverse equally holds true as well. Consider that Aristotle set out as a philosopher but in many ways has turned out to be the true father of science. Notional philosophy in the bigger framework construed of organic-

knowledge itself as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality as the superseding drive behind the ‘inventing/creating’ of all human
 technicalities/mechanical-knowledge refers to the mental-disposition to break from ‘ordinary
 apathy and constraining framework of secondnature institutionalisation’ to rearticulate the
 <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness projection underlying the ‘inventing/creating’ of prospective
 secondnature institutionalisation possibilities as prospective knowledge-
 constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
 notions/articulations/virtue. Ultimately and beyond shallow technicalities/professions of
 presences as has been variously and decisively the case throughout humankind history, the
 most important philosophical work is the preservation of the human existential tale in
 prolongation as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality by ‘maintaining a contemplative distance/detachment from ordinary human blithe’
 susceptible to render meaningfulness-and-teleology a closed-structure (as merely-exploiting-
 Being-as-of-its-presence-state-with-poor-regards-for-Being-underdevelopment-and-
 development-potential-construed-as-nihilism as of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
 implications>) as of its temporal <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-
 syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by adopting a ‘presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness consummated/forfeiting posture’ as ‘looking down upon the value-
 reference constructs of all successive presences construed as conventioned-aberrations of
 pure-ontology’ in order to ‘keep agape’ an opened-structure (as developing-Being-potential-

over-mere-exploiting-of-presence-state-of-Being-construed-as-antinihilism-or-opened-
 construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) for prospective meaningfulness-and-teleology; as
 no registry-worldview/dimension ‘as a product of secondnature institutionalisation’ should
 be construed as defining itself ‘in its self-referencing/nombrilism as being the ultimate
 grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology’, be it at the backend in reflecting the
 ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process. That is the most important
 work of all human jobs whether it is done as of ‘institutionally secondnature construed
 technical/professional philosophy’ or not, as secondnature institutionalisation by itself
 doesn’t guarantee such a requisite <formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-
 rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness projection even
 though the latter does ensue in any case as of notional philosophy. Such
 ‘<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-
 residuality/spirit-drivenness projection notional philosophical dispositions’ upholding an
 opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology to enable prospective institutionalisation
 as assumed by the Socrates, Aristotles, Avicennas, Mansa-Musas, Zheng-Hes, Buddhas,
 Copernicuses, Galileos, Rousseaux, Diderots, Darwins, etc. as-‘inventing’-or-‘creating’-or-
 ‘upholding’-new-intellectual-paradigms-of-societies, are the ‘most social of human acts’ as
 keeping up by renewing–apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of prospective conflatedness as
 of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence behind the possibility of prolonging the human
 existential tale for prospective civilisation, and so not on the same pedestal with ‘nombrilistic
 presences of registry-worldviews/dimensions in their <formative>epistemic-totalising–self-
 referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag temporal-dispositions’ as
 <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-
 leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> blithe to

such retrospective-and-thus-prospective insight by their temporal extricatory paradigms in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought as of epistemic-abnormalcy. This is enabled by the tautological/referential/existential-reference nature of intrinsic-reality/ontology/existence allowing for ‘predication or predictive-insight’ and ‘postdication or projective-insight’, the latter very much attached with the arts and aesthetic forms but hardly hitherto associated with the predicting of the former like in scientific constructions, though such postdication-as-predictive can possibly be enabled as ‘metaphysics-of-absence conceptualisations’ in domains concerned with predication as introduced (besides the ‘projective intemporal-preservation-contiguity/referential analysis’ of this author in this paper taking cognisance of metaphysics-of-absence as the need to supersede our illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage) in the form of conceptualisations based on ‘creative-spaces-of-metaphors’ (or for that matter the jargon as can reasonably be expected of the thoroughness of all inherently analytical subject matter especially in this case by the highly exploratory nature of such analysis, as such writing are not ‘story writings’ nor should the artificial excuse in the case of core post-structural writings like quoting Einstein in saying that good science is associated with beautiful equation as obviously just as $E=MC^2$ is beautiful but the underlying physics is a head-scratcher one can equally say ‘there is nothing outside the text’ is a beautiful statement but don’t expect the underlying Derridean deconstruction and implications to be child’s play, nor should the fact that the meaningfulness of the social ‘being closer to us emotionally’ compared to the natural sciences that this should preclude its analysis if and when we are temporally uncomfortable with it, as that is part and parcel of our human development as our forerunners had taken their responsibilities about that to usher in our positivistic registry-worldview/dimension and we can’t exclude ourselves from prospective transcendence), which ultimate knowledge-credential is not in the ‘metaphors themselves’, as misunderstood by naïve critics, since these

are just a ‘conceptualisation detour’ with respect to apprehending a fleeting-perception of reality but rather ‘as-of-the-implied-or-derived-elucidation’ which is the actual ‘product of ontological import’, by such thinkers as Deleuze, Guattari, Lacan, Rorty, Derrida and others, and so, as pertinent and as so-validated by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and insight. Central to such ‘ontological-tautologisation/existential-reference conceptual-scheme’ is the idea of superseding–oneness-of-ontology, as obviously there can’t be any predication-and-postdication without a ‘sole ontology’ with a ‘sole intrinsic ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ (otherwise meaningfulness will be chaotic-and-meaningless), not to be confused with human constantly evasive meaningful grasp of intrinsic-reality/ontology having to do with our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought due to our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), with such a conceptual scheme thus enabling aetiologisation/ontological-escalation. However, with our human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation), we are actually involved in a ‘developmental notional-teleology of ontology’ construed as coherent shallow superseding–oneness-of-ontology to coherent deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process; with such limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) reflected and encapsulated in the operant concept of ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (as relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, with

respect to ultimate ontological-normalcy/postconvergence. The <formative>epistemic-
 causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity of
 ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in
 arrogation are twofold. Firstly, with respect to the nature of human knowledge development
 as a constant deepening (with augmenting ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 with respect to intrinsic-reality/ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness) from a
 ‘shallow coherent superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ towards a ‘deeper coherent
 superseding–oneness-of-ontology’ by the institutionalisation dynamism of ‘ontological-
 dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics’ inducing ‘placeholder-
 setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology
 rescheduling’ wherein a given present registry-worldview of relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-
 construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-
 reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-
 temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, is transcended/superseded as
 ‘dialectically-dementing’ ushering in a new present registry-worldview of less relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-
 flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-
 prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, which is
 transcending/superseding as ‘dialectical-thinking’, and at the ‘individuation-level of
 conceptualisation of knowledge’ construed as predisposed to either hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’ and
 ‘ontologically-reconstituting (upholding-intemporal-preservation)’ as of the
 circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-

iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness of ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-
 reality. Secondly, with respect to the psychological/psychoanalytical basis of meaningfulness
 representation (placeholder-setup/mentation/mental-devising-representation/consciousness-
 awareness-teleology), with regards to the fact that the ‘reflex conviction mental-disposition’
 is a ‘purely abstract construct’ of reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology representation of meaningfulness but then without
 ‘existential reality validation’ is wrong (particularly beyond the scope of a registry-
 worldview’s institutionalisation reference-of-thought where intemporality//longness-of-
 register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology has been more or less secondnatured, at its
 uninstitutionalised-threshold) as this fails to reflect the fact that the same-terms-of-
 expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness have various temporal-to-intemporal
 conjugations of meaningfulness with regards to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness when
 truly reflecting the reality of a human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor unlike a naïve foundation wrongly based solely on an
 intemporal human nature conceptualisation specifically at a registry-
 worldview’s/dimension’s uninstitutionalised-threshold, and that in all instances, to ensure
 ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, this is deduced of recurrence in existential-
 transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness that is readily available in construing the hollow-constituting—as-
 disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation’ and
 ‘ontologically-reconstituting/upholding-intemporal-preservation’ trace-of-transitioning-in-

existence that ensures perfect grasp of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness from non-veridical/vacuous constructs of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology representation of meaningfulness affirmations (and, specifically with a perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomenon like a psychopathic-and-social-psychopathic-situation, it is never about bringing up or falling back to the logical-processing-or-logical-implication but in the first place, rather the preceding/superseding ontological notion of the appropriateness/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of implied reference-of-thought in establishing what is ‘dialectical-thinking/soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought and in-phase’ and ‘dialectically-dementing/dialectically-primitive/unsoundness-or-inauthenticity-of-reference-of-thought/slantedness and dialectically-out-of-phase’; from whence logical-processing-or-logical-implication then arises in derivation in an altogether different construction only if appropriate/soundness/authenticity-of-reference-of-thought of meaningfulness is established, dismissing hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/non-veridical/vacuous constructs of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as perversion-of-reference-of-thought with the apriorising—registry-elements as implied—logical-ness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology as non-existent and bogus). With respect to social-and-confliction-stakes ‘the same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ have different implications with respect to whether the interlocutor is a conviction/intemporal interlocutor or postlogical/psychopathic/postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ interlocutor or conjugated-postlogical/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor, and is what makes it a requisite to construe as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-

iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness. We can't be certain about the ontological-veridicality of 'separate
 dots as separate narratives' themselves as the 3 different interlocutors can all express 'the
 same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness' going by their mental-
 dispositions with the latter two, postlogical/psychopathic/postlogical-backtracking—iterative-
 looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' interlocutor or conjugated-
 postlogical/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor, being deceptive by their mental-
 dispositions (recursively with postlogical/psychopathic, progressively with
 exacerbation/opportunism and regressively with ignorance/affordability). However, we can
 ascertain the true motive and ontological-veridicality of the 3 types of interlocutors by the
 'trace of their dots as separate narratives' in revealing their true mental-dispositions and
 motives, as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of 'existential-
 transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-
 reification/superseding–oneness-of-ontology' quickly reveals that however coherent and
 sound each separate narrative of the postlogical/psychopathic/postlogical-backtracking—
 iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' interlocutor or conjugated-
 postlogical/conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives interlocutor (particularly as recursive and
 progressive), the 'perception-together-in-succession or as-a-trace' of their 'expressed dots as
 separate narratives' reveals 'disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought' misappropriated
 meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation that shines the light on the fundamental
 driver/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the postlogism/psychopathic and
 conjugated-postlogism interlocutors as well as the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-
 hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing nature or vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-
 or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-

subknowledging of their narratives (whether-consciously-or-unconsciously) whereas the same exercise with conviction/intemporal interlocutor will show a coherence of the trace-of-dots-as-narratives and actually in the case where a conviction interlocutor is actually the target of such postlogism-slantedness inducing ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ about the latter, that trace-of-dots-as-narratives from the conviction and the postlogical/psychopathic and/or conjugated-postlogical interlocutors will reveal the ontological nature of the ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’. The reason why ‘separate dots as separate narratives’ lead to postlogical and conjugated-postlogical faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge is that their extrapolation is actually an extrapolation of perversion-of-reference-of-thought of ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness as if conviction/intemporal’ whereas retracing of the mental-disposition foregoes elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of separate dots as separate narratives, and thus is existentially involved in construing the reality to the point of revealing ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation in the trace-of-successive-dots-as-(hollow)-narratives that shines the light on the fundamental driver/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the postlogical and/or conjugated-postlogical interlocutor as well as the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging of its narratives. That’s why spatialisation, indirectness and craftiness are critical to postlogical and conjugated-postlogical mental-dispositions so as to avoid their prospective interlocutors ‘putting one and one together as will arise in an existentially veridical context and so that their interlocutors should rather undertake elaboration-as-mere-

extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity the purely abstract meaning as seemingly sound separate dots as separate narratives but which are non-existentially real, rather than existentially trace the successive dots as separate narratives. This is what enables the establishment, as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability as of ‘existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding—oneness-of-ontology’, at the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation), defining the typical apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing psyche of successive uninstitutionalised-thresholds (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation intradimensionally, and so-construed from the perspective of their corresponding superseding/transcending/prospective institutionalisations) as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation dementing-psyche, ununiversalisation dementing-psyche, non-positivism/medievalism dementing-psyche and our uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought dementing-psyche. This equally reflect how the childhood psychopathy psyche is dementedly perceived though at childhood temporal-dispositions-conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration to psychopathy is not significant as its perversion-of-reference-of-thought is still universally transparent as delirious and thus it doesn’t elicit temporal-preservation by conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration, since it is not spatialising, maturing, and being sufficiently indirect, credulous and crafty to be non-transparent by its motives and acts. Ultimately, this highlights generally that at relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-

reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought-threshold (as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation)s or uninstitutionalised-threshold, hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation/extrapolating/inferring to derive essence-of-meaningfulness is not a credible notion with respect to an human animal of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions wherein ‘same-terms-of-expressions/seemingly-same-implied-meaningfulness’ is bound to be perverted by temporal-dispositions, though within institutionalised/intemporalised-thresholds-of-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation this is secondnatured, for instance, with respect to the fact that a medieval postlogical phenomenon like witchcraft cannot be credibly implied both in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of eliciting abstract/extrapolating/inferring hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation nor existential-transitioning/iterability-tracing-of-dots-as-(hollow)narratives in our present institutionalised positivistic registry-worldview. Vitaly, with regards to postlogism and conjugated-postlogism, it is always about ‘falsely and parasitisingally/co-optingly’ staking a claim to the reference-of-thought in order to wrongly elicit its implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology to a prospective interlocutor, and so recursively (psychopathic/postlogical-character), progressively (conjugated-exacerbation and conjugated-opportunism characters) and regressively (conjugated-ignorance and conjugated-affordability characters). Generally, this insight harkens back to the previous elucidation with regards to the BODMAS characters where the pure arithmetic operation as a deductive/inferring/extrapolation exercise is no longer valid when the fundamental axiom is breached due to a pathological condition, and

with the ‘lack of constraining social universal-transparency resulting in other temporal characters, beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, operating arithmetic as if the condition never existed; and thus there is a need for a retracing to establish the existential reality of the breaching or non-breaching of axiomatic rules, before determining the ontological-veridicality of the results of the arithmetic operations. In a further elucidation of psychological/psychoanalytical basis of meaningfulness representation, this further confirms the fact that temporality/shortness (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) and intemporality/longness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) are both basically the same notion of intemporality, but with temporal-dispositions (ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation) being rather in various grades of poor execution of intemporality/longness (longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) but that in so doing such temporal-dispositions of individuation ‘falsely retaining their teleology/purposefulness’ as if of intemporal-disposition leading to their ‘pseudointemporality’ (and so with respect to their apriorising–registry-elements as implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology), inducing structural/paradigmatic registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold–defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential–defect> where such false-retention construed as temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation is rather in conjugated-postlogism; with the idea that this ‘false-retention’ by temporal-dispositions individuations results in ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation with respect to ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness as meaningfulness become ‘an exercise in apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’

(whether-consciously-or-unconsciously), as can be so established as of the circularity/recurrence/repetition/repeatability delineating existential-transitioning-or-iterability-trace-of-narratives-as-dots/existential-contextualising-contiguity-reification/superseding-oneness-of-ontology by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness. This conceptualisation of temporality/shortness as being about failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporality/longness (which perfectly syncs intemporality/longness and temporality/shortness as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology and shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology, beyond just a qualification notion but rather an <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context construct), equally perfectly renders the notion of temporality/shortness and intemporality/longness operant for a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme’. The notion of temporality/shortness as actually ‘pseudointemporality’ provides a deeper insight to such traditional notions as bad, evil, wicked, etc. that we attach to temporal-dispositions (specifically, in the moral sense as temporality/shortness is much more than morality as derived from intemporality/longness which is about ‘full potency of ontological-and-virtue effectiveness’) by de-emphasising the naïve but wrong intuition that these notions have their own ‘mental-dispositional drives-as-teleology’ (to be bad, to be evil, to be wicked, etc.) by rather highlighting that ‘mental-dispositional incapacity for intemporality’ of such

individuations induces ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated
 meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (at individuation-level as relative-ontological-
 incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-
 construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-
 reference-of-thought, as-it-is-thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-
 temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation, which when taken into
 preservation, as temporal-preservation, is rather in pseudointemporality, while with respect to
 a traditional conceptualisation it is wrongly ‘vaguely imbued with a dispositional-drive-as-
 teleology’ as bad, as evil, as wicked... etc. Now, the consequences of pseudointemporality
 individuations (postlogism-slantedness, postlogism-
 slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
 discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
 endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-
 conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism
 reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’) are reflected developmentally in the social fabric
 which is a ‘framework of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ as the transference, in
 dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of such pseudointemporality individuations into ‘individual
 personalities dispositions and social dispositions’ induces correspondingly temporal-dragging
 in ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology
 in arrogation (at individuation-level relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-
 of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-
 performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, as-it-is-
 thus-‘in-wait’-for-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-or-temporal-preservation-as-
 pseudointemporality-preservation, on ‘social ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness’ and is

the basis, in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect, of given registry-worldviews/dimensions vices-and-impediments, and how these can be superseded/transcended, because the reality is that humans have transcended retrospectively to the present and there is no particular reason to think that there can't be prospective transcendence going by human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. Such a 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' 'psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme' will further highlight in contrast to the present 'psychology of qualification/qualification-schemes' that human psychology is actually much more of a becoming dynamic construct, rather than static, which wholly readjusts to human deepening grasp of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness/intrinsic-reality/existence as a retrospective, present and prospective development; that collectively-and-inclusively-individuals-and-their-social-constructs do have latitude for the choices they make in existence more than and beyond the limits of personality traits and social character, and further that the human mind is 'not irresponsible' with respect to given personalities dispositions (whether with respect to abnormal psychology or functional psychology) with the idea that such stances taken by a 'psychology of qualifications/qualification-schemes' induces a confounding-effect with respect to individual personalities themselves in assuming their self-emancipation possibilities and what they can aspire for together with their interveners/relators, whether social or clinical. Such insight do arise when we factor in that all along in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, human secondnaturing is actually the very central ontologically-led developmental element as the critical tool of human psychological renewal that enabled 'an animal in many ways' to emancipate itself developmentally across epochs such that the 'insightful depth' of such a developmental

understanding of human psychology is necessarily much more than ‘a cultural universe of several decades of modernity’, as it conceives that human psychology is an ongoing active construct such that a ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ rather captures the ontological undercurrents that constantly redefine human placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology as it recognises that (and explains why) the mental-disposition/consciousness-awareness-teleology of a recurrent-utter-institutionalised mindset/reference-of-thought varies from that of a based-institutionalised/ununiversalised mindset, the latter from that of a universalised/non-positivistic-or-medieval mindset, the latter from that of a positivistic/procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought (our own mental-disposition), and the latter from that of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism mindset, while not ignoring as well the intradimensional spectrum of variation within each mindset; and wherein ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics is the central concept for such a succession of human ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ renewal retrospectively, presently and prospectively, with ontological-normalcy/postconvergence teleology being the central determinant driving and defining human psychology construed by its metaphysics-of-absence as reducing-psychological-abnormalcy. Interestingly, psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference as a human disposition for correspondence/equalisation/squaring-off with existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology, as of sub-potency-to-full-potency as qualified by recomposuring from shallow limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness) to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation), speaks of the mind as an

abstract ‘teleologically imbricated tautologisation/existential-reference’ (‘teleologically imbricated tautologisation/existential-reference’ implying: striving for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, in-lockstep/intertwining of success-and-pseudo-success/failure as institutionalisation-and-pseudo-institutionalisation/uninstitutionalised-threshold/apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing), as the teleological driving-seat of the body validating dualism as ‘imbricated dualism’; the human mind being rather ‘an abstract imbricated transcendable/maximalisable placeholder-setup-of-tautologisation/placeholder-setup-of-existential-reference for prospective ontological-normalcy/postconvergence superseding the human body, as entailing human existence’. This points out that the potency for ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is tautologically inherent in our being construct, and that abstract tautologisation/existential-reference as human teleology is the mind as ‘human <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’, as our being construct is more than just ‘constituted-matter’ but rather ‘being within the contextualisation potency that is existence’ and thus imbued with existential tautological/existential-reference supotent-mimetic-teleology as the human-mimetic-mind. Existence is actually a contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality (so-construed from our given ‘limited-mentation-capacity as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-

reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought’), wherein tautologically/by-existential-reference ‘being-in-existence’/existing implies there can’t be any elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (induced by our ‘limited-mentation-capacity as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought’) ‘outside of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality that syncs with existential reality’, in wrongly implying existence-in-existence which is nothing but ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (wherein the disposition to ‘constitute/abstract/extrapolate/deduce/infer essence-of-meaning is wrongly preceding/defining or even superseding existential reality’ rather than the Sartrean reality of ‘existence or existential reality preceding/defining essence’), so actually ‘existence is rather a contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality that supersedes the elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity’, when so-construed from our ‘limited-mentation-capacity as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-

construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought'. Existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality in sync with existence 'speaks of threaded-or-intertwined subsumed referencing of all in existence' beyond just elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity, thus validating philosophically such approaches in physics as string-theory concepts lending support to the string phenomenology approach. This conceptually implies that the 'all-in-one/oneness' (of ontology) implied of existence supersedes our elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity conceptualisations, and while these are 'mental tools of analysis' we have in grasping knowledge, as elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity these are rather 'sub-par to the full grasp of existential reality' (given that our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, will often fail to reference the underlying being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation 'for a contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality that syncs with existential reality'. For instance say in the case of the BODMAS characters highlighted before, where the other characters ignore the given pathological condition in simply operating arithmetic rules, however, the inherence of

existential reality will not be superseded simply by such elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of arithmetic rules in derivation as ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’, as such arithmetic rules of extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering will have to be adjusted-in-a-‘threadedness/imbricatedness/recomposuring’ like subtracting 1 to A’s results to sync with the existential reality implications of A’s pathological condition of wrongly adding 1 to the correct result of arithmetic operations), and as metaphysics-of-presence (i.e., ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’) metaphysics-of-absence is rather the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence correction-tool of postdication, as-of projective-insight for predication, which is equally construed as ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction (i.e. implying ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’). This is more of a simplistic though conceptually correct demonstration, and the implications to meaning and meaningfulness can be much more elaborate and as explained further below, with the notion of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as ontologically-veridical only as abstract-construal (such as the abstract arithmetic operations) but its wrong ontological derivation in lieu of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation is ontologically wrong/non-

veridical as it leads to ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (wherein the elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity in derivation of the abstract arithmetic operations wrongly overlooks existential-reality as of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation given by the existential pathological condition), instead of ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ as the ontological-veridicality of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation (which in the face of the ‘existential pathological condition’ as being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation upholds existential-reality by way of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring by subtracting 1 from A’s result to existentially account for its pathological condition). It is thus not a coincidence that a Deleuzian approach and string phenomenology approaches intuitively develop the same insight about the need for ‘creative-spaces-of-expression/metaphors’ to be able to conceptualise by projective-insights on topics that critically highlight this more fundamental nature of existential reality as a contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality so-construed from the perspective of our limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-

construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, in order to avoid elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity inducing ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. It is important to grasp here that elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity are not ontologically wrong concepts in themselves as of abstract-construal but are ontologically wrong when implied in lieu of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation as this leads to ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. Philosophically, this critically brings up the reality of how the ontological-veridicality of an ‘abstract-construal’ and a ‘being-construal’ can be established; going by human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought. An abstract-construal is of vague-reference/vague-tautologisation, and is of existential import only as of a being-construal, and is effectively conceptualised by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity and this is ontologically-veridical by abstract-construal/abstractly. Being-construal on the other hand is of existential-reference/existential-tautologisation as of becoming/being (as practically qualified by our consciousness-awareness-teleology). If by mere derivation of elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (given human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as of our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-

prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought) is implied as being-construal, this will lead to ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ which is ‘conceptually’ ontologically non-veridical. Being-construal as of existential-reference/existential-tautologisation needs to be conceptualised as in existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect in order to be ontologically-veridical, and besides that imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring gets deeper the deeper the being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation. The elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity as of abstract-construal as ontologically-veridical harkens to a disposition for abstract predication (predictive-insights) while ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ as of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation harkens to a disposition for postdication (projective-insights as predicative, brought to their full potential as metaphysics-of-absence). But, then how is the ontological-veridicality of being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation attained? Though ontologically non-veridical, ‘virtuality-

or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference' as metaphysics-of-presence has as metaphysics-of-absence 'projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect'), which is ontologically-veridical with regards to being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation. More precisely, 'projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect' as with all metaphysics-of-absence can be ontologically-reconstituted/deconstructed from the corresponding metaphysics-of-presence as 'virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference', even though the latter is ontologically wrong/non-veridical (not to be confused with elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which is ontologically-veridical as abstract-construal). This ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction is rather a 'honing exercise'/recomposure of 'virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference' to deliver 'projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—
 rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ as
 ontologically-veridical, as it reflects-and-supersedes the defectiveness of ‘virtuality-or-Being-
 construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-
 reference’ with respect to ontological-veridicality and in so doing attaining ontological-
 veridicality or veracity/ontological-pertinence as a being-construal/existential-
 reference/existential-tautologisation. This can readily be appreciated when we grasp that we
 cannot just operate basic principles in producing scientific research for instance, as there is a
 whole reality of a ‘honing exercise’ or recomposure (in superseding our “‘virtuality-or-Being-
 construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-
 reference’ reflex’ as metaphysics-of-presence) with respect to being-construal/existential-
 reference/existential-tautologisations to attain ontological-veridicality by ontological-
 reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction (as ‘projective-insights of
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—
 rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’), however
 mild or elaborate the ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction. Equally,
 ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-
 veridical-existential-reference’ is metaphysics-of-presence that is the ‘honing
 exercise’/recomposure backdrop for metaphysics-of-absence as ‘projective-insights of
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—
 rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ to generate
 the art-forms/aesthetics as being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation, by
 way of ‘strategic-insight of perspectives’ for artistic expression. (Idyllically, superseding—
 oneness-of-ontology attainable by deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-
 digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
 unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality preempting ‘the dementing—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing
 rational-empiricism/positivising-rules’ should imply ontologically subsuming ‘projective-
 insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
 unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-
 aftereffect/aftereffect’ as of the ontologically deepest being-construal/existential-
 reference/existential-tautologisation, and thus will be the universal nested-congruence of the
 comprehension of intrinsic-reality, aesthetics/art-forms and virtue.) In the bigger scheme, we
 can equally grasp that the uninstitutionalised-thresholds arise from ‘virtuality-or-Being-
 construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-
 reference’ of the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of
 corresponding prior institutionalisations and thus failing/not-upholding-as-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—

ontological-preservation as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation intradimensionally); wherein temporal-dispositions are involved in temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation by wrongly elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity their reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’, and which ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction (in disambiguating reference-of-thought, with the prior/untranscended/superseded uninstitutionalised-threshold reference-of-thought as ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ which is ontologically non-veridical, and the prospective/transcending/superseding reference-of-thought involving the ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-afereffect/aftereffect’) is what brings about the prospective institutionalisation as secondnaturating. Critically important to grasp is that the notion of reference-of-thought is rather a ‘being-construal’/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation that implies ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-

ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-afereffect/aftereffect', and should not mistakenly be confused with the notion of an abstract-construal since this is ontologically non-veridical as it will lead to virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference; as reference-of-thought as being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation makes reference to the comprehensive implications existentially with respect to mental-dispositions along the apriorising–registry-elements /anchoring-of-meaning-elements of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology, and involving the potency of both consciousness-awareness-teleology representations and implications, for instance, the difference of the reference-of-thought as an alchemist and a chemist is much more than just an on-occasion/incidental difference (difference in abstract-construal) with respect to elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of meaning but carries derived being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation differences with respect to their consciousness-awareness-teleologies and registry-worldviews/dimensions <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity. In fact, ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction which always refers rather to the issue of reference-of-thought is actually of 'projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-afereffect/aftereffect' nature and it is about implying a prospective reference-of-thought,

rather than just a *différance* (differentiation) as within the same prior/given reference-of-thought as of a basic abstract-construal. This is one of the reasons for its misapprehension as it implies an overall change in the reference-of-thought of appreciation which ends up putting everything ‘of old/of prior’ into question, contrary to the traditional analytical expectation of selective-or-limited critique/contestation usually of a non-transcendental nature. Insightfully, the overall relation of deconstruction as ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness to the existential framework of ontological-veridicality should further allay the confusion. Deconstruction is actually tautological with respect to intrinsic reality/ontological-veridicality because it is always about the same existential reality being dealt with by improving human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) as shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-mentation-capacity ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness; generating differing consciousness-awareness-teleology outcomes of the same existential reality whether talking of deconstruction at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level or individuation-level. Since it is always about the same existential reality, in effect the readjustment for intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is actually a human ‘changing-of-the-psyche’/psychical-readjustment (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) with its increasing-ontological-completeness or reducing-ontological-abnormality as implied by an ontology-driven ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’, wherein placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology scheduling ‘is not inherently sanctimonious’ (the naïve way every registry-worldview tends to relate to its mental-disposition) but is determined and shaped (by way of ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’) by construed ontological-veridicality. Since it is always about the same existential reality but improving-

rather-as-cumulating/recomposuring human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards relative conflation) in ‘engaging the same existential reality and drawing implications thereof’ as human <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as ‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing–realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness, it is thus analysed as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as a mental-rescheduling and goes by the ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ unlike an elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity which will wrongly hollow-constitute and induce ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. So the tautological implication of deconstruction as ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness is all about human rescheduling of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology in deepening its grasp of a superseding–oneness-of-ontology/intrinsic-reality that has been so all the time, and so critically talk of transcending from shallow to deeper superseding–oneness-of-ontology is no more than about human <formative>epistemic-

totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-
ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–
psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as
‘subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence/intrinsic-
reality/ontology-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness-or-existence-in-reverberation-or-existence-
potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-
perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ already given as ontological-
normalcy/postconvergence oneness, and prospectively transcendently ‘a psychoanalytic-
rescheduling from procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought to
deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought existential-
contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving
existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality
preempting ‘the dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-
performance-caricaturing rational-empiricism/positivising-rules’’ while intradimensionally it
is about an analytical rescheduling (maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
completeness that ‘decenters the prior reference-of-thought’ for ‘the centering of the
prospective reference-of-thought’). Noting that the ‘increasing relative realism’ over the
corresponding-successive-prior-uninstitutionalisations-registry-worldviews (utter-
uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and procrypticism) of
the corresponding-successive-prospective-institutionalisations-registry-worldviews (of
protracted imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-
contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-

digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as: Base-institutionalisation-as-rule-making, Universalisation-as-universalisation-of-rules-making, Positivism-as-rational-empiricism/positivising-of-universalisation-of-rules-making and Deprocripticism-as-abject-ontologising-of-rational-empiricism/positivising-of-universalisation-of-rules-making)

establishes the corresponding-successive-prior-uninstitutionalisations-registry-worldviews at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of the corresponding-successive-prospective-institutionalisations-registry-worldviews, ‘as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ which are ‘ontologically filled-up’ by the corresponding-successive-prospective-institutionalisations-registry-worldviews; implying a dialecticism of ‘ontological-superseding of prospective reference-of-thought over the prior one’ (even where the prior as the-present is locked-in-its-ways/complexed-about-its-own-transcendability)! The distinction in grasping intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with respect to whether it is of abstract-construal or being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation in order to avoid the ontologically non-veridical ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (by elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior positivism institutionalisation leading to procripticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, and failing-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) has bearing when it comes to the veracity/ontological-pertinence of a psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme meant to be the ontologically-veridical basis, as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, for construing an insightful storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration articulating on an

intuitive level the conceptualisations introduced in this paper. The aetiologisation/ontological-escalation implied by such a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration will be grounded on ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’, as the underlying being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation of the storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration’s existential-tracing of ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness, and reflecting temporal-dispositions rather in ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’; as it contrastively reflects the reality of an ontologically non-veridical intradimensional ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ of temporal-dispositions narratives (instigated from postlogism and conjugated-postlogism) as being of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation intradimensionally) and as of ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’, in construing the consequent procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation, and so as the transcendental backdrop highlighted by prospective intemporal-preservation deprocrypticism ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—

rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect'. Hence the
 deepest being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation implied by
 'projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-
 potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
 epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-
 aftereffect/aftereffect' enabling the ontological transcendence: of a procrypticism setup is
 necessarily a 'deprocrypticism-intemporal imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring' thus
 reflecting procrypticism/perversion-of-positivistic-meaningfulness as 'virtuality-or-Being-
 construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-
 reference'; in a non-positivism/medievalism setup is necessarily a 'positivism-intemporal
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring' while reflecting non-positivism-or-
 medievalism/perversion-of-universalisation-meaningfulness as 'virtuality-or-Being-construal-
 as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference'; in
 an ununiversalisation setup is necessarily a 'universalisation
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring' while reflecting ununiversalisation/perversion-
 of-base-institutionalisation-meaningfulness as 'virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-
 construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference'; and in a
 recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation setup is necessarily a 'base-institutionalisation
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring' while reflecting recurrent-utter-
 uninstitutionalisation/recurrent-perversion-in-upholding-utter-uninstitutionalisation as
 'virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-
 veridical-existential-reference'. Transcendentally/transdimensionally/interdimensionally, it is

the ontological-contiguity implied by ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’ as of deepest being-construal/existential-reference/existential-tautologisation that induces the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process behind base-institutionalisation/universalisation/positivism/prospective-deprocrypticism, and likewise it is the notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing—qualia-schema> implied by ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ thus in ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation), that induces the uninstitutionalised-threshold process behind recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation/ununiversalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism/procrypticism. The implications at the individuation-level is that our limited-mentation-capacity, as of our temporal-to-intemporal mental-dispositions, in the construal of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality tends towards temporality/shortness as of constitutedness that ultimately fails hence inducing virtualities. And so, when initially striving to explicate the coherence of a given ontological/being phenomenon or explicating its coherence with other ontological/being phenomena or more profoundly explicating its coherence with the overall existential ontological/being phenomenon. This is inherently-and-intuitively underscored by our underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding—oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-

intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue for the <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality articulation’ such as logic/mathematics/virtue/space/time/historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing/instantaneity/cogency/methodology (or in the case herein ‘human limited-mentation-capacity construed as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence metaphysics-of-absence/Doppler-thinking as it disambiguates human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor meaningfulness-and-teleology <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’, and not as it may be wrongly construed to be ‘historiality/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ which is just incidentally-associated-and-not-the-actual-basis of the underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague

innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-notion/articulation for the <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality articulation’), in much the same way that ‘instantaneity’ as knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-notion/articulation is just incidentally-associated-and-not-the-actual-basis for logic or mathematics domains-of-study articulations. Thus, requiring on our part an imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposing exercise in grasping how the underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation ‘intuitively-assigns projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ as of the ‘coherence/contiguity of the actual insight-giving relevant-and-implied knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-notion/articulation for the <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality articulation’ should be construed to compensate for our temporality/shortness disposition associated with constitutedness, with this compensating exercise construed as of ‘presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness’ or more consummately as conflation/conflatedness. This presencing—

absolutising-identitive-constitutedness and conflatedness compensation mechanism, given our limited-mentation-capacity for the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontology, equally clarifies why maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intimately tying down our limited-mentation-capacity by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring to the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality) takes precedence over elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (as letting our limited-mentation-capacity by unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring out of the ‘leash’ of existential-reality/ontology/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality). With regards to logic and by extension mathematics, this equally points out that logic as well as mathematics (and for that matter all other knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue like time, space, virtue, historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing, instantaneity, cogency, methodology, etc.) are abstract constructs that underscore the ‘underlying underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) which as of derivation by presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness or conflatedness ‘intuitively-assign projected-and-then-ensuing-predicated coherence/contiguity as meaningfulness’ in the construal/conceptualisation of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/ontology. That is, these are notions that reflect existence-as-of-its-mimetic-

echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-
 <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-
 epistemic-conflatedness as of the underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-
 of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-
 enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by
 underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-
 totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–
 implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness
 besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human). Logic is
 thus about logical axiomatic-construct-incidenting (construed as logic ‘ontological reference-
 of-thought or axiomatic-construct’ incidenting) as ‘implicated by underlying
 ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-
 coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-
 of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-
 commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-
 causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity
 and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency
 potential to manifest as human), likewise, mathematics is about mathematical axiomatic-
 construct-incidenting (construed as mathematical ‘ontological reference-of-thought or
 axiomatic-construct’ incidenting) as ‘implicated by underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-
 superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-
 and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-
 consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
 projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion

of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human); and by extension any knowledge-construct/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notion/notional-referential-notion/articulation is about its axiomatic-construct-incidenting (construed as its ‘ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct’ incidenting) as ‘implicated by underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human); with the further insight that all knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue as of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating are about ‘existential/ontological/axiomatic incidenting’ as of underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent human-subpotency potential to manifest as human). Thus implying that ontology-as-of-existence is ‘potently-and-cogently superseding’ and knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue are subsumed derivations as of the superseding conflatedness of ontological/existential-implications; with such ontological/existential-implications construed

operantly as of a given deepening/shallow level of human limited-mentation-capacity as human-subpotency existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, construed rather as of the implied given registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought {given consciousness's neuterising-induced-or-referentialism-induced}-reference-of-thought—devolving-teleological-structure-of-meaningfulness as of its intradimensional existential-instantiations derived/devolved axiomatic-constructs of meaningfulness-and-teleology as knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue, thus reflecting the registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought ontological-performance as of its historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing as so-analysed as from notional-deprocrypticism! (It is important in this regard to distinguish what is implied by 'incidenting' not to be confused with 'instantiation', as incidenting implies an 'abstract construction' of the implication of logic or any 'knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue' that may or may not be of existential-instantiation, whereas instantiation refers actually to 'actual existential instance'. It is critical to uphold this distinction with respect to the existentially contingent nature, as of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring, of human limited-mentation-capacity grasp of all 'intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions'/knowledge including our grasp of logic or mathematics. As 'abstractly-speaking' there is no absolute certitude that in say a million years from now 'a given as of yet unelucidated notion', as a further imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring, will invalidate in a million years from now the 'existential-instantiations' validity of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue including logic and mathematics as we know of them today. Such distinction as of more immediate concern is to point out the subsuming precedence of existence as of its inherent intrinsicness beyond-and-

over human construal/conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology about it as at best the latter can only achieve as of its upper limit ‘a correspondence of construal/conceptualisation of existence’; noting here as well for coherence sake that such a statement cannot be made about existence itself as the absolute a priori, simply because any arising existential-instantiations no matter the strangeness or abnormality to what is traditionally thought or expected however imbricated/threaded/recomposed or unimbricated/unthreaded/unrecomposed is of the inherently valid scope of existence itself as of its superseding–oneness-of-ontology and precedence, thus meaningful.) Logic and mathematics (and any such knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue) are only as meaningful as when reflecting a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of a given <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality whether as of a science, a social science or social study, or even abstract logic ontology or abstract mathematics ontology; otherwise the naïve use of logic or mathematics (and/or any such knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue) become a relatively sub-ontological-<as-to-the-limitation-of-human-subpotency-in-its-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-the-full-potency-of-existence> exercise qualified more pertinently as ‘conceptual patterning’ as of constitutedness in any such <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality rather than actually conceptualising a reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of a given <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as of conflation. Pointing out that there

must necessarily be an exercise in developing the requisite 'ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct of an epistememic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality' to which logic and mathematics (and any knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue) can then contribute in furthering its elaboration (as of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness), but it wouldn't work out the other way round on the basis of simple methodological mimicry starting out from the mimicked construal/conceptualisation of logic and mathematics (and any such knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue) on the naïve goal of then grasping a reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology of a given <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. For instance, the need to develop a reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring-meaningfulness-and-teleology of the specific biology <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved-purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as DNA-based genetics that explains genes and genetic principles is ontologically preceding and defining of how the knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue of mathematics, logic, information processing, etc. can further contribute in elaborating DNA-based genetics but it is rather naïve to think mathematics, logic, information processing or for that matter any other knowledge-

constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-
 notions/articulations/virtue like ‘mere research methodologies lacking critically the requisite
 ontological cogency’ can by themselves develop a reference-of-thought–categorical-
 imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology of
 a given <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-
 intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality by such vague methodological mimicry. The latter at
 best induces a vague and blurred ‘conceptual patterning’ particularly in such domains-of-
 study where the positive or negative sanctioning by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-
 framework of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating is
 not immediately perceptible but rather remote like in the human sciences and to some extent
 as well with some studies in the natural sciences (where for instance the overall cogency of
 the whole experimental framework relative to the conclusions advanced of many a research
 study is dubious as not pertinently unconfounded). Supposedly a mathematical and/or
 statistical methodological analysis was to be introduced with regards to the underlying
 articulation herein and based say on an ‘arbitrary historicity/ontological-
 eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing grounded methodology on the basis of just vague
 impression’ it will rather be conceptual patterning. What is required is an underlying
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring–meaningfulness-and-teleology (as
 implied by this author herein, as of ‘human limited-mentation-capacity construed as of
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence metaphysics-of-absence/Doppler-thinking as it elicits
 human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-

totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’). The contention being that studies and research that do not develop their conceptual formulations validly and succinctly as the underlying framework of the <formative>epistemic-totalising-devolved–purview-as-domain-of-construal-as-intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality but simply expect to dangle/associate methodologies including statistical and mathematical analyses are rather involved in vague conceptual patterning as of reference-of-thought constitutedness. This insight is critical with respect to the validity of interpretations and conclusions in many experimental and study frameworks in the social sciences often ‘under-elaborating the ontological reference-of-thought or axiomatic-construct of their study’ to which the implications of statistical and mathematical methodologies and analyses are naively brought to bear. This further speaks in the bigger scheme of things, of the need for the articulation of what will be a ‘fully intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating constraining social science’ as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview psychologism should fully enable (rather as an overall grounding of meaningfulness-and-teleology that overcomes disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-as-misappropriated-meaningfulness) just as the positivism registry-worldview psychologism relatively enabled an intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating natural sciences including an emerging and upcoming social science. Insightfully, this analysis equally underlines that there is a ‘human sense-of-ontology/intersolipsistic-intercession as of underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding–oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework

<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent
 human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) anchoring the human in the becoming of
 existence’ allowing for human subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-
 potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-
 potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-
 perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ wherein we pivot/decenter
 (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposeure) in defining-and-
 redefining meaningfulness-and-teleology; with this sense-of-ontology/solipsistic-intercession
 as of underlying ‘coherence/contiguity-of-superseding-oneness-of-ontology-implied-as-of-
 inherent-existence-coherence/contiguity,-and-so-construed-as-the-enabler-of-insight-or-
 intuition-or-foresight-as-of-embodied-consciousness’ (so-enabled by underlying supposedly
 coherent ontological-commitment as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity and not any notion of vague innateness besides existentially inherent
 human-subpotency potential to manifest as human) acting as the fundamental human drive
 for its being and conceptualisations of any meaningfulness-and-teleology in existence.
 Basically, the induced social universal-transparency’ of meaningfulness from ‘projective-
 insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
 unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-
 aftereffect/aftereffect’ of its deeper being-construal/existential-reference/existential-
 tautologisation (as of intemporal-disposition/ontological-veridicality) in superseding-and-

representing-as-dialectically-dementing ‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-
 as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (of temporal-dispositions
 perversion-of-reference-of-thoughts), will reflect the reality of temporal-dispositions as of
 postlogism-slantedness (psychopathic-or-postlogical) or
 ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-
 negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation (at the point
 where the social universal-transparency is lost or at uninstitutionalised-threshold) and the
 consequent ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality/existential-
 decontextualisation-transposition’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-
 misappropriation) by slantedness/postlogic-effect/miscuing/disjointed-logic/logical-
 drag/unconscionability-drag/sub-par-or-formulaic-association-or-temporal-or-alibi-
 conventioning-rationalising/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation-effect as the
 bigger dynamic framework of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor, and so across all uninstitutionalised-thresholds.
 Thus, basically ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction as ‘projective-
 insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-
 contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-
 digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
 unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-
 aftereffect/aftereffect’ reflects/perspectivates transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) dynamism of ‘temporal-dispositions arrogation-of-
 conviction meaning and meaningfulness or postlogism-formulaic-non-conviction’ as

‘virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (as instigated by postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism) and the ‘intemporal-disposition/ontologically-veridical conviction meaning and meaningfulness as of its imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring, and the ontological implications thereof’. The requisite ‘projective-insights of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect’, of ‘relevant aetiologisation/ontological-escalation storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration’, is necessarily of ‘deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring referential-depth-or-existential-reference-or-tautologisation’, reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting (the corresponding postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism uninstitutionalised-threshold perversion-of-reference-of-thought as) ‘procrypticism–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ (the-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-positivistic-meaningfulness or the-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-positivistic-categorical-imperatives-or-axioms-or-registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation), as apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation); and so construed suprastructurally (beyond the positivistic/procrypticism registry-worldview consciousness-awareness-teleology, as it is dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase). This ‘aetiologisation/ontological-escalation storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration conceptualisation’ can be extended ‘correspondingly as of positivism,

universalisation and base-institutionalisation imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring
 referential-depth-or-existential-reference-or-tautologisation’ as these
 reflect/perspectivate/highlight the corresponding postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism
 uninstitutionalised-thresholds perversion-of-reference-of-thought as ‘non-positivistic-or-
 medieval–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-
 non-veridical-existential-reference’, ‘ununiversalisation–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-
 abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’ and
 ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-
 of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’; and the correspondingly
 reflected/perspectivated/highlighted suprastructural construal of each of the corresponding
 uninstitutionalised-thresholds (as beyond their respective corresponding consciousness-
 awareness-teleology) which we will readily acknowledge from the vantage backend of our
 positivistic prospective registry-worldview position of analysis equally speaks of the validity
 of such a corresponding suprastructural construal of deprocrypticism as beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of
 our present ‘procrypticism–virtuality-or-Being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-
 and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. Thus it may be useful for ontologically
 inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining
 (as we are more likely to have complexes about our positivistic/procrypticism registry-
 worldview/dimension as untranscendable) by articulating the same
 aetiologisation/ontological-escalation storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration at a
 ‘deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as against procrypticism-
 virtuality’ as well as ‘positivism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as against non-
 positivism-or-medieval-virtuality’ wherein from our vantage positivistic position we’ll
 recognise the suprastructurally implied dialectical-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase

state of non-positivism/medievalvirtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal putting us in a paradox with respect to recognising the same from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism about the suprastructurally implied dialectical-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase state of our procrypticism-virtuality; and so, introducing the grounds for our prospective ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure wherein deprocrypticism is the structural-resolution for the perversion-of-reference-of-thought as the structural/paradigmatic vices-and-impediments of our positivistic meaningfulness. The fact is all constructs as transcending or implying transcendence are always by definition in confliction with the constructs being transcended. The reason is rather straightforward as there is a ‘mental/psychoanalytic investment’ behind the construal of meaning and meaningfulness in a given way within a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought defining its ontological-capacity with respect to inherent intrinsic-reality/superseding-oneness-of-ontology. Where its ontological-capacity is limited is known as its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought, and includes the following registry-worldviews/dimensions recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation-ununiversalisation, universalisation-non-positivism/medievalism and positivism-procrypticism. At the point of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought or uninstitutionalised-threshold meaning and meaningfulness in the registry-worldview/dimension is related to as if

there isn't any relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) hence inducing uninstitutionalised-threshold, as it is impossible to critically extend ontological-capacity on the basis of the same reference-of-thought/psyche/psychological-paradigm but for a new reference-of-thought/psyche/psychological-paradigm with respect to existential reality to enable prospective institutionalisation over the uninstitutionalised-threshold with the result that all prospective institutionalisations are equally about annulling corresponding uninstitutionalised-thresholds; whether annulling notions of deities, sorcery, essences, etc., and prospectively annulling the incrementalism-in-relative-ontological-incompleteness and notional-disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought associated with procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought for deprocrypticism existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality preempting 'the dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing rational-empiricism/positivising-rules'. This consequent 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting of the prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview of positivism—procrypticism (temporal-dispositions-in-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-preservation) as 'dialectically-

dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase' is so about their non-committal (whether with respect to good or bad commitment as good or bad conviction) as non-conviction with respect to the reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of new/prospective institutionalisation as deprocrypticism; (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought manifestation), in 'perversion-of-reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of the prior institutionalisation as positivism known as procrypticism uninstitutionalisation ('procrypticism-uninstitutionalisation of positivism-institutionalisation'), in apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing of the positivistic reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, and 'failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation which is the whole purpose in the very first place' and which need for restoration/ontological-reconstituting–as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction calls for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology. It is only the 'collapsing' of the ontologically non-veridical/wrong (with respect to intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or–ontological-preservation) 'procrypticism uninstitutionalisation virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (abstract-construal-of-positivistic-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-existential-reference-as-virtuality) by way of 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure wherein procrypticism uninstitutionalisation is shown as 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-

and-performance-caricaturing, and dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase’ by the ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the deprocrypticism implied reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as of ‘the deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality/dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/aftereffect (as the nature of existential-reality) reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting procrypticism uninstitutionalisation virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (abstract-construal-of-positivistic-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-existential-reference-as-virtuality)’. Correspondingly, such a ‘deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring referential-depth-or-existential-reference-or-tautologisation storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation’ as of the reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting of ‘procrypticism uninstitutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’ will be critically about:

(i) the phased storied articulation of procrypticism uninstitutionalisation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as being a social-construct ‘uninstitutionalised-threshold mirroring development of the fundamental insane-fitment of the childhood-psychopath/cinglé perversion-of-reference-of-thought mental-disposition structure’ (which is very much socially universally transparent at childhood and thus does not start to elicit protracted social postlogism-as-of-non-conviction

as conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration by temporal-dispositions at that point, as it is frowned upon and the childhood-psychopath is socially dysfunctional with its postlogism),

(ii) and creatively protracting this fundamental phased storied articulation in ‘successive phased phases of integration with the social construction’ (wherein the ‘increasing shrewdness and selectivity’ of the growing-and-developing childhood-psychopath postlogism lessens the social dysfunctioning of its postlogism as it learns from past experience and is now select and targeted as per social circumstances and interlocutors), and obviously at this point the social integration as conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing is rather ‘storied-construed/conceptualised from a broader society-at-large/humanity-at-large angle-of-perception as of a creative dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect/contextualising-contiguity of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality aetiologisation/ontological-escalation of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations and social-circumstances phenotyping elucidation in the social-construct, wherein the-social-dynamics-of-individuation-phenotypes-of-individuals is a construable metaphysics-of-absence of the social as metaphysics-of-presence’ (arising because of the decreasing social universal-transparency of the cinglé’s postlogism-slantedness/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness as well as increasing temporal-dispositions enculturation and thus endemisation of conjugated-postlogism-slantedness in a social atmosphere where it is not universally transparent to be the denaturing of reference-of-thought with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction), as postlogism-and-its-conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration is upheld by temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporal-preservation apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing of the procrypticism uninstitutionalisation, and

thus is temporally integrated by conjugated-ignorance/conjugated-affordability/conjugated-opportunism/conjugated-exacerbation/conjugated-social-chainism/conjugated-temporal-enculturation, of course, with the broader point and purpose for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation here being that ‘our virtue is not inherent’ but rather our ‘understanding/knowledge/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construction’ is what creates our virtue in superseding our vices-and-impediments, just as for instance, ‘medieval vices-and-impediments’ weren’t inherently because they were a different human species to us but rather due to their lack of positivistic understanding/knowledge which creation-and-accrual led to our relatively grander state of virtue and knowledge, likewise the point here is about articulating such prospective understanding/knowledge/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and its corresponding ‘institutional-designing by deferential-formalisation-transference and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation>’ as our virtue and knowledge potential),

(iii) and so subsumed and articulated in a creative ‘psycho-ontological-tautologisation/psycho-existential-reference conceptual-scheme of insightful ‘tone-as-temperament and thematic construal of temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations teleologies/teleological-differentiations (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness covering the concepts articulated in this paper on social-construct and social institutions teleology and value-reference as of deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring with regards to the ‘implications of postlogism-and-procrypticism mental orientations’,

(iv) and further, the possibility of a remaking of the above storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (as elaborated in i, ii and iii above) rather as of ‘positivism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring referential-depth-or-

existential-reference-or-tautologisation’ reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting ‘non-positivism/medieval uninstitutionalised-threshold apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’, to contrastively provide the revealing retrospective insight of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as uninstitutionalised-threshold as human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor is construable from the perspective of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence and so paradoxically provide the décomplexage/uninhibitedness (induced by our metaphysics-of-presence or illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/mirage) of the afore deprocrypticism-procrypticism articulated prospective storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation construed from the perspective of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, wherein we are then in a position to appreciate the ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’ representation of the present positivism—procrypticism uninstitutionalisation as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought-and-teleology reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology with respect to futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, even though such an appreciation is rather counterintuitive.

* The underlying technique for perpetually upholding ontological-veridicality as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—
 rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-
 reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) and
 preempting virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (being-construal-as-abstract-
 construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference), is by not
 allowing for the ‘breaking of the threadedness/thread of ontologically-veridical
 meaningfulness (as such a breaking induces virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal
 leading correspondingly to the false uptake as ontologically-veridical of the wrongly implied
 soundness/non-perverted-reference-of-thought, i.e., unsound/perverted ‘apriorising—
 reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements (out of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ including
 implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
 arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology); by rather
 reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting the points where such ‘breaking-of-the-
 threadedness/thread-of-ontologically-veridical meaningfulness’ occur as of
 ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing (in
 postlogism and conjugated-postlogism) and as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-
 of-phase’, as ‘the very notion of postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-
 dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ and conjugated-postlogism conjoining-looping-set-of-
 narratives of postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-
 narratives-and-acts’ is about the ‘breaking-of-the-threadedness/thread-of-ontologically-
 veridical meaningfulness as virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-
 abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference’. As

breaking (by new logical-processing-or-logical-implication as ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex’) wrongly implies the validity of a logical-level-engagement (logical-processing-or-logical-implication) based on wrongly implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-(as-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity)’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context) and wrongly implied soundness/non-perverted-reference-of-thought, whereas in reality it is just an epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag of the relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought and its unsound/perverted ‘apriorising-reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising-registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity)’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-fulness-or-scope/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology. Such a defect as registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> having to do with the defect of reference-of-thought and relative-ontological-incompleteness is utterly different from ‘a defect of logical-processing-or-logical-implication or defect of incidenting-or-logicising-instantiation-of-ontological-performance of the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s-reference-of-thought-for-social-functioning-and-accordance which doesn’t bar a new logical-processing-or-logical-implication as ‘conviction/prelogical re-engaging reflex’ as the latter is with regards to wrong logical-processing-or-logical-implication which might be well/soundly-be logically-processed or effectively-executed upon reengagement, so long as the reference-of-thought for the

reengaging is not unsound/perverted and not undermined by relative-ontological-incompleteness. A registry-worldview's/dimension's-uninstitutionalised-threshold-defect-<as-Being-or-ontological-or-existential-defect> on the other hand having to do with defect of reference-of-thought needs a more fundamental transformation as a psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure of the reference-of-thought, and so a decentering of meaningfulness; the <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity being more like what it takes to get a medieval as non-positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought, that is, suppose for instance where in a medieval social-setup an accusation of witchcraft is demonstrated by an outsider from a positivistic social-setup to be incorrect and unsound to the approval of all in that social-setup, that outsider understanding fundamentally that the medieval setup by its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought is in a state of <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag of a medieval worldview will grasp that that unique demonstration of medieval-postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought (as accusation of witchcraft) is not to be construed naively as an adequate basis for a new logical-processing-or-logical-implication as 'conviction/prelogical re-engaging mental-reflex' that re-engages with non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought, given the possibilities of further accusations of witchcrafts or by-and-large the vices-and-impediments potentially arising from such a non-positivism/medievalism worldview as of the 'local community dynamism of individual interests involved' that endemises and enculturates notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. It is rather the cross-generational psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure transforming of the non-

positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought into a positivistic mindset/reference-of-thought that is ontologically-speaking to be construed as the structural/paradigmatic resolution of the vices-and-impediments arising from a non-positivism/medievalism worldview with respect to such notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery. The same applies with respect to our positivism–procrypticism worldview and futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism worldview. This explains why ‘perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is more than just an issue of an act or acts, but is ‘reconceptualised rather as prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of denaturing’ in implying that inherent intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality is already given and the perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is in the bigger picture revealing an inherent problem as of the prior human reference-of-thought conceptualisation of inherently given intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, and that the ‘occurred event of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is simply ‘pointing to an altogether deeper underlying huma relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue, in this case as of psychopathy and its conjugated-postlogism at the uninstitutionalised-threshold of positivism–procrypticism as well as providing a revealing overall understanding of the human uninstitutionalised-threshold-by-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process with deprocrypticism prospective institutionalisation <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-

projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity, which are then the-entire-reconceptualised-problem as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation' as the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; just as an apple falling on Newton's head under a tree is simply 'pointing to an altogether deeper underlying human non-positivistic relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought issue which is then the-entire-reconceptualised-problem as of the aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in producing the science/laws of physics and equally inspiring other such similar positivistic ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework approaches in human conceptualising of the natural world as the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought. Hence contrary to what we may think from our <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag perspective the mere fact of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought is structurally/paradigmatically associated with a perversion-or-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought by the very inherent nature of ontology/intrinsic-reality as preceding/superseding our reference-of-thought conceptualisation as of its shallow limited-mentation-capacity such that where our 'reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance of reference-of-thought conceptualisation' is deficient we are in perversion-or-derived-perversion at that threshold, wherein the threshold defect reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance is rather 'construed in emotionally-laden terms' with respect as of knowledge-constructs/theories/intersolipsistic-intercessory-notions/notional-referential-notions/articulations/virtue of the social like law, virtue, etc., as of our subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. Thus intrinsic-reality/ontological-

veridicality is derived ‘wholly by conflatedness’ or in other words ensuring the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought with respect to problematic prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought reflected by perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, with no <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag allowed by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality. In other words as of metaphysics-of-absence, the ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> in non-positivism/medievalism with its reference-of-thought is inclined to relate to perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology phenomenon as a non-positivism/medieval postlogism phenomenon such as notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery on the basis of non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> of ‘great living’ as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought but then a ‘conflatedness of conceptualisation’ will convert such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in terms of the ‘Being defect as uninstitutionalised-threshold of the so-called great living of non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought’ to arrive at the prospective relative-

ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of positivism opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology which structurally/paradigmatically resolves the vices-and-impediments of non-positivism/medievalism. This same process applies to our positivism—procrypticism with respect to psychopathy and social psychopathy wherein the associated perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology will elicit an ordinariness <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> of ‘great living’ as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought but then a ‘conflatedness of conceptualisation’ will convert such perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology in terms of the ‘Being defect as uninstitutionalised-threshold of the so-called great living of our positivism—procrypticism in disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ to arrive at the prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought of deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology which structurally/paradigmatically resolves the vices-and-impediments of our positivism—procrypticism; as basically, our intellectual-and-moral constructs as of our <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag are shown to be of prior relative-

ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and thus ontologically-speaking our
 logical-ness doesn't even arise, no more than the logical-ness of a non-
 positivism/medievalism mindset arises as with respect to medieval postlogism phenomenon
 like notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery as in both cases ontologically-veridical
 meaningfulness-and-teleology exists beyond their <formative>wooden-language—imbued-
 averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology-as-of-'nondescript/ignorable-void'-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-
 implications> as of the respective deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought and positivism reference-of-thought that carry the prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought opened-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology. Ultimately, the very transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing between the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its
 prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective
 registry-worldview/dimension as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-
 reference-of-thought is 'the very paradox of meaningfulness-and-teleology explaining their
 discordance, construed as the paradox of transcendence'. In other words, if the former had a
 grasp of its state 'as to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought'
 with the transcendental structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-
 projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity arising thereof it
 would have paradoxically transcended, thus explaining the psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure nature of transcendence as of a
 cross-generational exercise and why such implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-
 teleology might seem arbitrary when meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather interpreted in
 terms of the prior reference-of-thought. This further explains 'the socially conflicted nature of
 all implied transcendental constructs' whether with prophesying metaphysico-theological

constructs of early times reflected in non-universal and universal creeds up to our metaphysico-ontological worldviews implied transcendence, and so as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor; but then humankind has always been called upon to show itself capable of superseding/surpassément for prospective possibilities to avail. This is exactly what underlies the notion of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics in that relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought ‘is not a logical issue/problem’ but ‘a Being/existential/ontological/axiomatic-construct problem’ with its structural/paradigmatic implied vices-and-impediments, as it is rather an issue of uninstitutionalised-threshold as of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation requiring base-institutionalisation institutionalisation, ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation requiring universalisation institutionalisation, non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation requiring positivism institutionalisation, and our procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought uninstitutionalisation requiring prospective deprocrypticism institutionalisation as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought institutionalisation, and so rather as of a transcendental habituation exercise construed as ‘ontological-resetting’ of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology of relative epistemic-abnormalcy for relative ontological-normalcy as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics stranding dynamics. A ‘relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought’ implies ‘a new all-pervasiveness of reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as the axiomatic-construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as a prospective institutionalisation reference-of-thought. Thus a reference-of-thought is an all-pervasiveness of reference-of-thought—categorical-

imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as the axiomatic-construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology; explaining why it is structurally/paradigmatically non-derogable as of its state of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, with such implied derogation of such ‘all-pervasiveness of reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as the axiomatic-construct of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ signalling fundamentally a threshold of failure of reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance and construed as relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. As a further elucidation, across all registry-worldviews/dimensions prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, construed as its institutionalisation, is as of ‘conflatedness’ which itself involves the ‘universally-transparent constraining mechanical-knowledge as of the bare reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as axiomatic-construct’ and ‘the social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as the creating-and-essence-attributing drive for knowledge-and-virtue’. Perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is induced by ‘denaturing of the form of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ on the ‘universally-transparent constraining mechanical-knowledge as of the bare reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as axiomatic-construct’ and obviating ‘the social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as the creating-and-essence-attributing drive for knowledge-and-virtue’ while paradoxically wrongly projecting it in distractiveness/shortness-

of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as if it was of ‘conflatedness’ in
<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-
syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag implying an uninstitutionalised-threshold
of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-
mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology reconceptualised as prior relative-ontological-
incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Across all registry-worldviews/dimensions, the
specific association of postlogisms to ‘denaturing of the form of meaningfulness-and-
teleology’ arises as of its ‘vague-rhyming-or-copied-mimicry-or-formulaic-projection-or-
projection-of-form-or-hollow-and-vague-vocalisation-or-subknowledging’ physiological
condition in relation to ‘prelogism-as-of-conviction meaningfulness-and-teleology’, which at
childhood postlogism is more or less universally-transparent but with adulthood given
maturation/indirectness/spatialisation/credulity/craftiness is associated with bringing about
social lack of social universal-transparency inducing the conjugated-postlogism of temporal-
dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-
discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-
endemisation as a grounding for the social extension of ‘denaturing of the form of
meaningfulness-and-teleology’. Thus at that uninstitutionalised-thresholds which highlight
‘denaturing of the form of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as temporality/shortness in
concatenation with ‘conflatedness’ as intemporality, it is only a renewed ‘conflatedness’ as of
ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that induces a
prospective ‘universally-transparent constraining mechanical-knowledge as new bare
reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as axiomatic-
construct’ and ‘its social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of

ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as the creating-and-
 essence-attributing drive for knowledge-and-virtue’ that brings about prospective relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; construed as ‘ontological-resetting’ of
 placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-
 teleology of relative epistemic-abnormalcy for relative ontological-normalcy as of
 ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributional-dialectics stranding
 dynamics ‘which is effectively the concatenated mechanism that engenders sublimating
 historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing towards prospective
 notional-deprocripticism’. Thus this further explains the very thorny difficulty of dealing
 with psychopathy and social psychopathy, because more than just an individuation phenotype
 and incidental/on-occasion phenomenon, it speaks of a registry-worldview’s/dimension’s our
 dimension, relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-
 virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-
 construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought as procripticism/disjointedness-as-of-
 reference-of-thought in endemising/enculturating it, thus in need of deprocripticism as
 preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought as an overall structural/paradigmatic
 resolution to the vices-and-impediments of our positivism—procripticism registry-
 worldview/dimension. That is, with acts of perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-
 of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-
 Binariness-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology ‘it is vague to consider just
 arriving at ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality construal of such acts as of the paradox of
 their universally implied prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’
 with the latter by itself becoming the grander problematic, more like the relative non-
 positivism/medievalism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought itself is

the grander problematic with respect to the endemisation/enculturation of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery acts/occurrences, and so more than just an act or acts of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery construed as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-human-limited-mentation-capacity-induced-temporal-to-intemporal-Binarity-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology, as revealing of the grander framework of vices-and-impediments inherent to the relative non-positivism/medievalism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. Rather it is about articulating the ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought as 'Being correction' as of base-institutionalisation institutionalisation over recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation, universalisation institutionalisation over ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation, positivism institutionalisation over non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation, and prospectively deprocrpticism institutionalisation over our procrpticism uninstitutionalisation. Obviously a traditional approach of analysis of psychopathy (as so construed from this papers holistic/nested-congruence insight including psychopathy and social psychopathy) will tend to be just as palliative as a non-positivism/medievalism world's postlogism of say notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery were individuals will equally be wary of non-positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought and will equally be inclined to palliation regarding notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery depending on circumstances; though obviously the ontologically structural/paradigmatic resolution in both instances is with respect to the necessary ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in overcoming <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag by prior/transcended/superseded non-positivistic or procrpticism reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation that are failing/not-upholding-as-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—
 ontological-preservation with prospective/transcending/superseding positivistic or
 deprocrypticism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-
 intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation. So perversion-
 of-reference-of-thought has always been recurrent in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—
 of-the-human-institutionalisation-process because institutionalisation is not emanance
 transformation of temporal-dispositions as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology into the intemporal-disposition as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-
 teleology but designed to skew (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for
 relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating)
 towards the intemporal-disposition, such that where institutionalisation reaches its design
 limits given human limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative constitutedness towards
 relative conflation), the possibility for perversion-of-reference-of-thought arises with its
 corresponding enculturation/endemisation as uninstitutionalised-threshold in want for
 prospective institutionalisation as the ontologically-veridical structural/paradigmatic
 resolution. When that insight avails (a Derridean event), it is properly time to ‘trample’ the
 melee of common sense disposition for self-preserving extrication/temporal paradigm with
 the elicited intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-
 recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm, as has been the case along
 and defining human history ultimately ushering our very own registry-worldview/dimension.
 The breaking of ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-
 potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
 epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the

perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) thus take the form of postlogism-slantedness and its conjugation to temporal-dispositions as conjugated-ignorance (unconsciously), conjugated-affordability (expeditiously), and (consciously with) conjugated-opportunism, conjugated-exacerbation, conjugated-social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation, and conjugated-temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation; inducing their corresponding virtualities/being-construals-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference. With the ‘breaking-of-the-threadedness/thread-of-ontologically-veridical meaningfulness’ always disambiguated creatively as ‘a supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing transitioning construal’ of ‘ontologically-veridical meaningfulness with reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as intemporally-preservational’ distracted by ‘the breaking or a subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation as ontologically non-veridical with reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or-ontological-preservation’, ‘in distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought -as-the-arrogation-or-disjointedness-of-acting-in-pseudointemporality (by temporal-dispositions in postlogism and conjugated-postlogism) with respect to the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as ‘deprocrypticism imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of ontologically-veridical meaningfulness’, thus ‘reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting temporal-dispositions (postlogism and conjugated-postlogism) as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought, dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’, and so by a maximalising-

recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that is ontologically-reconstituting (deconstruction) of the threadedness/thread, with no elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity (that will falsely validate the wrongly implied soundness/non-perverted reference-of-thought, i.e., unsound/perverted ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology, as first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge, and thereafter the infinite logical articulations as second-order level deceptive-virtualities that can be made from wrongly assuming the implied first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge as correct). Insightfully, humans actually come into existence which avows an existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality within which they come to grasp rules and principles (elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity), but these rules and principles are divulged by ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ and the limits of such rules and principles are in effect their validation as ontological-primemovers-

totalitative-framework within ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’, with the implication that any naïve construal of such rules and principles (elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity) out of the scope of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ is a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/non-existent/unreal; as ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ is ‘conceptually the very absolute irreducible a priori of all human meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as it is divulged with human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) in the construal of superseding-oneness-of-ontology. The reason for the disambiguation of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing into a supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought over a subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought for the ontological-reconstituting-as-of-conflatedness of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—
 rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality’ has to do with the fundamental basis of the perversion-
 of-reference-of-thought behind all the postlogisms/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-
 existentially-veridical-logical-dueness of all registry-worldviews’ references-of-thought
 including with regards to the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy (as
 indicated at the beginning) of the positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview, i.e.,
 specifically with the psychopathic/postlogical induced pre-valuation/pri-individuation/de-
 individuation/commitment perversion-of-reference-of-thought; wherein this process is
 reversed (but beyond a temporal equivalence and rather for an aetiologisation/ontological-
 escalation of the universal implications as metaphysics-of-absence) in re-establishing
 ontological-veridicality of ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-
 prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
 instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality’ reference-of-thought, wherein the ‘induced de-
 individuation reference-of-thought’ is rather reconstrued in its veridical existential-reality of
 narratives by SUPRATRANSVERSALITY—
 APRIORISING/AXIOMATISING/REFERENCING (ontologically-veridical reference-of-
 thought of ‘deprocrypticism preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
 ontological-contiguity’ of psychopathy and social psychopathy along all implied thematics of
 the social-construct whether as of phenomenal/criminal/social/corporate/value-
 structure/social-structure/registry-worldview insight for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation
 rather as of intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence with the

subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing; and so by way of the-transcendental-enabling/sublimating-that-is-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality as against ‘social-aggregation-enablers undermining of prospective intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ with perverted use of such notions as differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation or so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake and thus of temporal-disposition, etc.), while the ‘induced pri-individuation reference-of-thought’ of psychopathic postlogism and conjugated-postlogism in its virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference) of narratives is construed as SUBTRANSVERSALITY—APRIORISING/AXIOMATISING/REFERENCING (in perverted-or-derived-perverted-reference-of-thought procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought extricatory-and-temporal incidental construals of meaningfulness-and-teleology wrongly striving to equivocate its extrication/temporality by using ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ in undermining the transcendental-enabling/sublimating-that-is-of-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality upheld by the deprocrypticism supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative—implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity). The disambiguation of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing into a ‘supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of

meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over a ‘subtransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology’
 can equally be understood by comparison with the notion of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument as reference-of-
 thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology, as there can’t be common reference-of-thought of
 contention (mutually intelligible aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring as
 mutually intelligible meaningfulness-and-teleology) between a flawed
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 (subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, as ‘dialectically-dementing’ from ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) and a correctly
 functioning apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 (supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought of
 meaningfulness-and-teleology, as ‘dialectical-thinking’ from ontological-
 normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective). It is the idea of the
 ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the latter over the former that will
 existentially/ontologically impose the latter, and not common/mutual logical-processing as
 logic is then ‘a lower, inappropriate and inherently defective level of meaningfulness-and-
 teleology processing’ in relation to ‘appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-
 conflatedness processing’ (just as there can’t be logical intelligibility between a non-
 positivisit/medieval mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology with a
 positivistic one); by its ontologically inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-
 incoherence/institutional-constraining as the correct functioning
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument (the
 appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) in the middle to long run

construed as of ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-
 dialectics. This process can be qualified as the ‘blunt act of existence over the human
 temporal egotistic/self-referential complex to prospective transcendence/superseding
 ontological-veridicality/intrinsic-reality reference-of-thought’, and is the actual basis for all
 transcendences for prospective institutionalisations since the successive institutional-
 cumulations/institutional-recomposures do not arise because of the reality of a ‘human
 intemporal-emanance philosophical acquiescence’ but rather by ontologically inducing
 untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining of
 existential reality as a constraint for the secondnaturing of institutionalisation, without
 transforming the underlying reality of a human-subpotency—
 aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor individuations. That
 is while the implied aposteriorising/logicising/deriving/intelligising/measuring—purpose—of-
 obtained-measurements (implied meaningfulness-and-teleology) imply speaking the same
 language but the existential/ontological/being realities are utterly different with the correct
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
 measurements (supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) being real and the
 defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument
 (being unreal as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing), without mutual intelligibility of logical-processing-or-logical-implication but
 for the effectiveness/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework of the correct
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-
 measurements (supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) appropriateness-
 of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness that collapses the defective
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument

(subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) perversion-of-reference-of-thought, as of the consequences in a comparative use of both apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument after a while (cross-generationally). Thus issues of defect of reference-of-thought (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument defect issues) cannot be resolved by mutually intelligible logical-processing-or-logical-implication (mutually intelligible measuring), but rather by the superseding supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought (as-of correct apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument—producing-measurements) in intemporal/longness projection for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation over the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought (as-of defective apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) of temporal extricatory paradigm incidental construal in wrong equivalence to the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought. This equally validates the notion of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as logical-incongruence of appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness and perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought. This is structurally the most elevated construct for the production of human knowledge as transcendental knowledge and as implied in its dissemination along formal constructs based on a structuring for skewing ('intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality', for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) towards intemporality, and not wrongly averaging of human thought in equivalence as logical-congruence of temporality/shortness and intempolity/longness-of-meaningfulness, such that knowledge is not constructed as a 'human mutual agreement exercise for its construal/conceptualisation/discovery/invention/development' since

solipsistically/emanantly/becomingly we are of temporal/shortness to intemporal/longness mental-disposition and this cannot be averaged to get transcendental knowledge which is rather the outcome of an enabling process as ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental enabling’ that allows what is intemporal as of mental-disposition to be effective by ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework as of ontological and virtue constructs, and be imposed as knowledge. Thus it is critical to understand that the exercise of reconstituting ontological veridicality is a wholly maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in grasping ‘existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’, even when it would seem weird due to metaphysics-of-presence, and is creatively grounded on ‘on phased phases construed in mirroring the fundamental insane/postlogism-fitment of the childhood-psychopath perversion-of-reference-of-thought mental-disposition structure as it induces conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration later on and most effectively at adulthood psychopathy’. This fundamental structure of the denaturing nature of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism/dementing-integration can be demonstrated with the blatantly obvious case of the childhood-psychopath even though the denaturing of its mental-disposition is relatively socially-universally-transparent (enabling an understanding-of-ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework-of-the-underlying-phenomenon). In the case were in a ‘dereifying act’ water is spilled on a chair, and a visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality by ignorance) not aware of the mental-disposition of the childhood-psychopath coming into the scene after the event and sitting unknowingly on the soaked sofa, and was to frown and remonstrate against or possibly smack the innocent brother, such a stranger is in ignorance-conjugated-postlogism or

conjugated-ignorance as its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-
 thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-
 caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought led it to align in-
 conviction/prelogically (as-of-pseudointemporality) to the childhood-psychopath's
 postlogical narrative, and so in 'ignorance-temporal-preservation-as-pseudointemporality-
 preservation', that it was the brother that spilled the water on the chair on purpose (noting
 that even at this level, for all practical purpose the visiting stranger's meaningfulness is
 'supposedly in prelogism-as-of-conviction (as-of-pseudointemporality) but is rather
 effectively 'conjoining looping narratives of flawed-existential-elevation-of-reference-of-
 thought' with respect to the 'denaturing postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-
 dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts'-with-'successive-shifting-of-the-narratives-and-acts-
 foci'-construed-as-'deception-of-successively-shifting-or-noncohering-narratives-and-acts'
 towards 'social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality
 transcendental-enabling/sublimating' as non-veridical and dialectically/contendingly out-of-
 phase, of the childhood-psychopath's meaningfulness is effectively in conjugated-postlogism
 and has 'joined the childhood-psychopath in apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-
 staging-and-performance-caricaturing and is dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-
 phase' with respect to ontologically-veridical existential-reality as construed from
 ontological-normalcy/postconvergence, and further it state of ignorance speaks of its relative-
 ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-
 flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-
 prospective-reference-of-thought as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
 which can't be overlooked for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation conceptualisation by the
 fact that the visiting stranger or more precisely an individuation of the type expressed by the
 visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality by ignorance) might act the same way he acted

in ‘metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales’ as aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, and this particular example symbolises why virtue is a ‘The-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct’ and not ‘impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construct’ as reality is above all ‘effectivity’ by its manifestation). But then given the relative social universal-transparency at this childhood stage, it is more likely that the whole situation will be explained to the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) and will assume mostly an incidental/on-occasion conjugated-postlogism effect in the contingent social space. The fact is at this childhood stage conjugated-postlogism will tend to be incidental and mostly arise as ignorance-conjugated-postlogism. (Such a construal can further be articulated not only in the case of ignorance as ignorance-conjugated-postlogism but equally as the child-psychopath develops into adulthood and is less and less socially-dysfunctional and social universal-transparency of the postlogism is lost socially with its maturation/spatialisation/indirectness/credulity/craftiness, giving rise to the conjugated-postlogism cases of conjugated-affordability, conjugated-opportunism, conjugated-exacerbation, conjugated-social-chainism and conjugated-temporal-enculturation by temporal-dispositions where the effect is ‘more than just benign and incidental/on-occasional with dramatic social consequences and as there is further eliciting of enculturated postlogism as social psychopathy, however ad hoc and opportunistic’. At the grander transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness level as dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—

rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality' reflects/perspectivates/highlights this comprehensively
 as the registry-worldview/dimension uninstitutionalised-threshold threshold highlighting the
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalised
 meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-
 teleology as temporal-preservation-in-pseudointemporality-preservation as of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as
 dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality, going by the
 dynamism of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions—existentialism-form-factor). The example with ignorance is however the
 'fundamental atomic mental-disposition characteristic of psychopathy and social
 psychopathy' as it develops more and more shrewdly into adulthood with a further loss of
 social universal-transparency of the underlying postlogism-as-of-non-conviction mental-
 disposition wherein with development of childhood psychopathy into adult psychopathy,
 'social expansion-and-gravity of tones-as-temperament and thematic implications with
 regards to temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuations teleologies/teleological-
 differentiations (as postlogism and conjugated-postlogism in pseudointemporality/dementing,
 and conviction/intemporal/ontological in non-pseudointemporality/thinking) ensue. It
 exclusively requires on an ontological paradigm involving maximalising-recomposuring-for-
 relative-ontological-completeness, as the explanation given to the visiting stranger about its
 error and the childhood-psychopath mental state as
 'imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—

rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality' (from the perspective of the 'dialectical-thinking-
 reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought') (child-
 psychopath of unsound-mental-disposition in a 'dereifying act' poured water on chair, you
 mistakenly sat down on the chair, he told you his brother did it on purpose, by conviction
 reflex you acted in belief – and so, as an 'unwinding-as-unfolding/dépliage-as-détendre of
 elucidation'), and no elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/inferring-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity as the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) wrongly did
 (as the latter only arises where 'apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–
 registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-
 prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-
 instantiative-context)' are ontologically-veridical as implied—logical-dueness-or-
 scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-
 reference/teleology, even though the natural reflex to be conviction/prelogical-as-
 existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-logical-outcome-arrived-at means that we
 rather tend to assume by reflex that the implied-logical-dueness-or-implied-scape of every
 interlocutor we engage with or by extension of the referenced interlocutor(s) of the
 interlocutor with whom we are engaging with is sound, thus by default validating all the
 'apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)', which is the
 psychopath foundational faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge as first-order level of
 faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge, as it further enables an infinitely expansive
 second-order level deception arising from wrongful logical-processing-or-logical-

implication once we wrongly go on to operate the fundamental first-order level of faulty-
mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge logically/'elaboration-as-mere-
extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
contextualising-contiguity' wherein we end up hollow-constituting—as-disjointed-
misappropriation-of-meaningfulness-and-failing-intemporal-preservation inducing the
virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-
flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference, and that's why psychopathy as
an outlier mental-disposition we are not often used to, will tend to be deceptive and so
fundamentally not because of the psychopath but the conviction mind's own reflex mental-
disposition to be conviction/prelogical-as-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness-precedes-
logical-outcome-arrived-at). Critically, the concepts articulations in the storied-
construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation involve the
'point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-thought technique of distractive-alignment-
to-reference-of-thought wherein: the narratives of the temporal-dispositions (postlogism and
conjugated-postlogism) as 'non-conviction-or-postlogical/protracted-non-conviction-or-
conjugated-postlogical and non-transcendental' are construed in transversality-of-
disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of subtransversality—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing(*as-of-pseudointemperalities; referring to unsound
reference-of-thought, and so as 'breaking imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of
existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of
existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as
existential-reality or procrypticism-as-emanant-dementing-shades-of-the-real-as-
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought', and consequently necessarily wrongly implied

soundness/non-perverted-reference-of-thought, i.e., unsound/perverted ‘apriorising–
 reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ including
 implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-
 arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology and speaking of a mental-disposition not
 thriving for intemporal-preservation – whether unconsciously as with conjugated-ignorance,
 by-expediency as with conjugated-affordability or consciously as with conjugated-
 opportunism and conjugated-exacerbation, hence of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-
 hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-
 out-of-phase in pseudointemporality i.e. perversion-of-reference-of-thought)-narratives-of-
 arrogation/impostoring/disjointedness-non-contending-meaningful-reference-(but-rather-the-
 subject-of-ontologically-veridical-contending-as-reflected-by-recursive-postlogical-
 backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’-as-well-as-
 conjugated-postlogism-progressive-and-regressive-conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives) as-
 recursive/progressive/regressive-dementing-distractive-loopings-(in-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-as-
 dialectically-dementing-and-dialectically-out-of-phase)-to the-supratransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality; referring to sound
 reference-of-thought, and so as ‘upholding imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of
 existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of
 existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as
 existential-reality or deprocrypticism, speaking of a mental-disposition thriving in all

instances for intemporal-preservation but with-or-without necessarily subsequent perfect
 logical-processing-or-logical-implication, hence dialectical-thinking and dialectically-in-
 phase i.e. sound-registry-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought))-
 ontologically-hegemonising-narrative-(as-the-deprocrypticism-
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring-as-of-existential-reality-and-as-the-
 suprastructuring-meaningful-reference-for-maximalising-unwinding-as-unfolding/dépliage-
 as-détendre-of-elucidation). From an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence
 epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, the distinction between the subtransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) as ‘non-conviction-or-
 postlogical/protracted-non-conviction-or-conjugated-postlogical, non-transcendental and non-
 maximalising’ and the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-
 pseudointemporalities) as ‘conviction, transcendental and maximalising’ implies that the
 assertive pretences of ‘supposed intellectual-and-moral equivalence’ of the
 subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) are of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as
 dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporalities (beyond-the-
 consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought). As
 the notion of ‘first-order-ontology/ontological-construal’ of
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm for ‘creating/inventing’ prospective
 institutionalised-being-and-craft’ implied by the transcendental, inherently ‘dements’
 pretences of ‘second-order meaningfulness’ of extricatory/temporal paradigm within
 secondnatured institutionalised-being-and-craft constructs. Supratransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporalities) speaks of upholding
 the intemporal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-

completeness by underlining imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring that is of
 existential-reality as of relative ontological-contiguity of reference-of-thought, and
 reflecting/perspectivating/highlighting subtransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) as upholding the
 temporal/non-transcendental/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness by disjointed/discontinuous/decontextualised/misappropriated utilisation of the
 same abstract construal (elaboration-as-mere-
 extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-
 contextualising-contiguity) for being-construal/existential-reality-construal as does
 supratransversality, thus inducing virtualities/being-construals-as-abstract-construal-as-of-
 flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference as perversion-of-reference-of-
 thought involving the discontinuity (as postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-
 dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ and conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives of the
 postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’)
 of reference-of-thought, reflecting a teleologically-perverted (postlogism) and derived-
 teleologically-perverted (conjugated-postlogism) mental-dispositions and so as of
 ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, where such is not unconscious/unwitting as arises with
 ignorance-conjugated-postlogism. It is this ever-perverting effect on ontological-veridicality
 of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities)
 reflected by the ‘contrastive intellectual-and-moral tone-as-temperament and thematic
 teleological constructs of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-
 pseudointemporalities) in relation to supratransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporalities)’ as instigated by
 postlogism/enculturated-postlogism in derivation as temporal-preservation-as-
 pseudointemporalities-preservation that tends to generate apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-

in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing reflecting the uninstitutionalised-thresholds at institutionalisations' uninstitutionalised-threshold. Basically, from a transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness insight, the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing contends about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing which is in protracted-pseudointemporality; more like a deprocrypticism, positivism, universalisation or base-institutionalisation supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) contending correspondingly about the perversion-of-reference-of-thought of the procrypticism, non-positivism/medievalism, ununiversalisation or recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporality). The implication here is that from a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, just as a positivistic supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) will imply a deeper intellectual-and-moral ontological construct (in a projection of a positivistic worldview where the mental-dispositions and conventioning in a non-positivism/medievalism setup are construed as prospectively questionable) of non-equivalence over that projected by a non-positivism/medievalism subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporality) as a 'distractive looping-alignment-of-narratives' in distraction to the former, with the positivistic supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing rather a maximalising/transcendental firmament for obtruding the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as of 'apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality', reflected by the subtransversality—

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing ‘temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-
 veridicality’ (in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation) by
 slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag,
 sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-
 enculturation/temporal-endemisation effect; the same analysis will be drawn for a storied-
 construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with respect to
 deprocrypticism supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-
 pseudointemporality) and procrypticism subtransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporality) in terms-as-of-axiomatic-
 construct of their implied intellectual-and-moral implications (in a projection of a
 deprocrypticism worldview where the mental-dispositions and conventioning in a
 procrypticism setup are construed as ‘prospectively questionable’). Such a
 supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing over subtransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing insight can transcendently be grasped in the archetype
 characters of say a Socrates or Rousseau. Wherein within their respective registry-
 worldviews/dimensions setups, their maximalising/transcendental mental-dispositions in
 projection for prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft, i.e. ontologising of future
 conventioning, as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as the grander
 intellectual-and-moral effort that can be made within their registry-worldviews/dimensions)
 is rather poorly construed to the ordinariness/averageness of thought within their respective
 registry-worldviews/dimensions setups (which mental-dispositions and conventioning – as
 ‘wrongly-projected decontextualising-unimbricatedness/unthreadedness/unrecomposuring-as-
 virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal (which is rather ‘a prior dementing—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing
 reference-of-thought’ in shallowness-of-thought-or-unsophistication-of-understanding) in

grasping existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
 ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of
 existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
 that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality' – will
 rather think as irrational the projective disposition of a Socrates that doesn't rather advance a
 temporal interest in the city-state polity but is rather bent on spreading new ideas as a natural
 philosopher while prioritising as of nonextricatory-existential-preempting-of-existential-
 unthought in his asceticism the prospective intemporal over the temporal status quo, and
 likewise with a Rousseau who isn't advancing a temporal interest that his aristocratic stature
 should warrant like actively pursuing for landed properties and currying favours with kings
 but is rather bent principally on a prospective commitment on grasping and spreading notions
 of a renewal of the human condition as universal rights and enlightened despotism. This is
 certainly because emanantly/becomingly/solipsistically temporal-dispositions do not
 appreciate that there is a more 'profound level of living in the realm of human
 thoughtfulness' based on eudaemonic-contemplation of 'intemporal-prioritisation-of-
 reference-of-thought'-as-conflatedness that then 'invents/creates' the possibility for
 prospective registry-worldview/dimension as there isn't any inherent intemporality/longness
 but for the disposition for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness out of the apathy of the ordinariness/averageness of any prior registry-
 worldview/dimension. Hence such intemporality/longness as maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness needs its <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-
 realisation/re-perception/re-thought as of given that the-succession-of-registry-worldviews-
 or-dimensions-institutionalisations/the-ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-
 institutionalisation-process is 'not a human emanance transformation of temporal-
 dispositions/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology into the intemporal-

disposition/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology but rather is solely a secondnaturing to supersede the uninstitutionalised-threshold'. The implication is that acting as-of-a-secondnature nature is not enough for articulating prospective institutionalisation requiring 'intemporal projection <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought' for the requisite prospective maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness, and such conceptualisations from only a secondnaturedness of thought as rather contextually temporal is not intemporal as of-universal-and-abstractive nature but is in '<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising'/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage as metaphysics-of-presence. Thus institutionalisation secondnaturedness is challenged by its very own level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought marking its uninstitutionalised-threshold whether as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism-or-medievalism and procrypticism in need for a renewed institutionalisation respectively as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism. This is rather addressed by transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing non-pseudointemporality-as-thinking-and-in-phase over subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing pseudointemporality-as-dementing-and-out-of-phase so reflected in storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation evolving thematic and tone-as-temperament rather by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-

potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality as existential-reality, for the ultimate cross-generational purpose of psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). The transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal work derived by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving base-institutionalisation, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in base-institutionalisation—ununiversalisation inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving universalisation, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in universalisation—non-positivism/medievalism inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving positivism, and prospectively maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness (as intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) in positivism—procrypticism inducing transcendental/intemporal-preserving deprocrypticism, are the most important effort available at every corresponding registry-worldview as defining the institutionalisation possibilities and psyches that secondnatured as institutionalisation as their corresponding institutionalised-being-and-craft setups even though paradoxically the ordinariness within such institutionalised-being-and-craft setups may be impervious to what is behind this very creation/invention in the first place as it fails philosophically to appreciate the need for transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal in the elucidation (as institutionalisation and psychical-reorientation) of meaningful-and-teleological pertinence within its own registry-worldview/dimension but equally in ‘inventing/creating’ the institutionalisation possibilities and psyche for the prospective institutionalised-being-and-

craft setup. Thus it is generally not surprising that the transcendental first-order-ontology/ontological-construal by an ascetic intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Socrates will be passed by the ordinariness/earthliness of thought in that institutionalised-being-and-craft setup as vague while upholding its shallow notion of value with the true worth and value of such implied transcendence grasped, at least expediently, mostly in the prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft setup it ushers, the same could be said of an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Copernicus, an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Rousseau, an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Galilei or an intemporal-prioritising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness Darwin, and so as a fact of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. But then mental-dispositions that come to intemporal notions by expediency cannot truly have the pretence of engaging such on the basis of shallow temporal extricatory paradigms as of institutionalised-being-and-craft setup whose temporal-dispositions terms are alien to the intemporal disposition required for transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness first-order-ontology/ontological-construal required for ‘creating/inventing’ the prospective institutionalised-being-and-craft setup! That failed test of understanding the transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness not in a prospective appreciation, but rather possibly as of retrospective appreciation and expediency, speaks of the social-construct as more of a secondnatured institutionalised-construct rather than an intemporal-disposition construal, and therefore assertive pretences that naively imply the latter should necessarily be suspect of their apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-

hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing without the corresponding demonstration of the requisite salient philosophical insight of intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm (that goes beyond temporal-dragging-of-ontology/ontological-veridicality as slantedness/postlogic-effect, miscuing, disjointed-logic, logical-drag, unconscionability-drag, sub-par/formulaic-association/temporal/alibi conventioning-rationalising, and temporal-enculturation/temporal-endemisation-effect); and the fundamental issue that will then arise in that instance is one of ‘irrealism and corresponding virtualities’ that will undermine analytical pertinence, as man has to be understood exactly for what man is in effective reality, to then articulate effective knowledge constructs that are actually most efficient because of their realism, and that is paradoxically our virtue, not a wrong or false idealism (which metaphorically ends up hiding things under the table beyond the analysis required for their understanding and resolution)! It equally speaks of the ‘requisite specialness of the discipline of philosophy as a first-order ontology’ among all subject-matters (or-as-it-protrudes-into-subject-matters-or-second-order-ontologies), as the one that can least afford to be of normal trade, as it starts with a commitment of the mind (rather like modern day religion) rather than just a normal craft, and further requiring the central quality of transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism of thought, postures and teleology above anything else (not even the value of institutional recognition as Socrates, Rousseau, Sartre and others intuitively understood, necessarily so, since it is what is of a priori definition and can’t be compromised in institutional-constructs-and-setups)! The blunt fact here is that, with respect to social-stake-contention-or-confliction within a given registry-worldview, the

everyday <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-
 ‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> or
 banality-of-thought doesn’t necessarily as of appreciate ‘the need for prospective
 transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm
 over the extricatory/temporal/expediency paradigm with respect to its registry-
 worldview/dimension’ (even though it does appreciate this retrospectively with respect to
 prior registry-worldviews/dimensions), but for effective secondnatured institutional devising.
 Inevitably an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation construct is rather about
 intemporal/ontological/social/species/universal/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-
 for-relative-ontological-completeness paradigm which is necessarily antipodal to the
 everyday temporal extricatory paradigm mental-disposition, ontologically justifying
 ‘subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing(as-of-
 pseudointemporalities)/suprastraversality ‘point-of-departure-of-construal of reference-of-
 thought technique of distractive-alignment-to-reference-of-thought given its applicative
 pertinence and validation to the ontologically-veridical but counterintuitive notion of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as
 dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality underlying all
 uninstitutionalised-thresholds, and so beyond their consciousness-awareness-teleologies; with
 the implication that (from a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-
 perspective) the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-
 pseudointemporalities) is ‘unprofound’-or-of-a-non-
 transcendental/extricatory/impostoring/disjointing-of-narratives-implied-intellectual-and-
 moral-disposition while the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-

non-pseudointemporality) is ‘profound’-or-of-a-transcendental-intemporal/universalising-ontologically-hegemonising-narrative-implied-intellectual-and-moral-disposition. We would possibly appreciate this argument from a retrospective insight of how the retrospective institutionalisations came about to the present, but it will certainly be alienating to think the same of our present in those transcended terms from a prospective transcending reference, even though the ontological insight points in that direction. This ‘subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/suprastraversality technique of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing alignment’ is further rendered operant as the teleological structure of the storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation based on the underlying principle involved in the example of the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) or generally the BODMAS characters. This underlying principle is one of ‘decentering’ wherein apparently the visiting stranger (as-of-pseudointemporality) was of ‘sound registry-(reflected-as-soundness-or-authenticity-of-reference-of-thought)’ in its circumstantial/existential relationship with meaningfulness but it turned out that its ‘ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought’ (as lacking deprocrypticism from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) arising from its procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (as social universal-transparency about the child-psychopath’s postlogism wasn’t available to it) implied an existential-reality of imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring that ‘decentered’ (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness) its meaningfulness as ‘effective non-conviction-or-derived-non-conviction-(as-in-this-case)/intemporal-failing/non-transcendental/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness registry-teleology/anchoring-of-meaning/meaningful-reference/ontological-

reference/contending-reference/registry-worldview’, as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities), of the visiting stranger rather as a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference given the visiting stranger’s (as-of-pseudointemporality) ignorance-conjugated-postlogism, such that it was actually in ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’. This ‘decentering drive’ rather construed by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness that then reveals the true center as ‘deprocrypticism conviction/transcendental/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as existential-reality’ (while undermining various shades of virtualities/being-construals-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference), is ‘the underlying teleological conceptualisation of the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy in society in its absolving/fleeting/escaping-reflex-logic’; as it uncompromisingly ‘decenters temporal-dispositions as postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) and conjugated-postlogisms’ (in the latter case whether beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought-as-ignorance) as per their ‘ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought-induced-virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal-or-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing-so-construed-by-prospective-reference-of-thought’ (as being procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought), starting with the psychopath’s postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought itself wherein its decentering (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘unwinding-as-unfolding/dépliage-as-détendre of élucidation’) is reflected as a virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-

construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference in
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as
 dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality while
 ‘establishing the center’ as the ‘deprocrypticism conviction/transcendental/intemporal-
 preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
 imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—
 rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality’) by its ‘effective conviction/intemporal-
 preserving/transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness teleological reference-of-thought’ as supratransversality, and as conjugated-
 postlogisms/dementing-integration (as per the corresponding mental-dispositions highlighted
 earlier for the various conjugated-postlogisms, with corresponding ‘contrastive intellectual-
 and-moral tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological constructs of subtransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, as-of-pseudointemporalities, in relation to
 supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing, as-of-non-pseudointemporality’)
 arises from ignorance-conjugated-postlogism, affordability-conjugated-postlogism,
 opportunistm-conjugated-postlogism, exacerbation-conjugated-postlogism, social-chainism-
 conjugated-postlogism and temporal-enculturation-conjugated-postlogism, such that
 correspondingly these are ‘decentered’ (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness) as virtualities/being-construals-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-
 and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference with ‘a more and more
 profound/elaborated deprocrypticism conviction/transcendental/intemporal-
 preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness

‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
 thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—
 rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-
 reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) reflecting
 their corresponding perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and these are ontologically never
 allowed to escape the intrinsic-reality of their perverted states of
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as
 dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality, being
 ‘effectively of non-conviction-or-derived-non-conviction/intemporal-failing/non-
 transcendental/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness
 teleological reference-of-thought, wherein ‘the deprocrypticism
 conviction/transcendental/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness threadedness/thread as of existential-reality never breaks’, given
 that intrinsic-reality/existential-reality is an ontological-contiguity that precedes and
 supersedes! This ‘continuous profound/elaborate deprocrypticism
 conviction/transcendental/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-
 ontological-completeness ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-
 contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-
 completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-
 potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-
 epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the
 perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-
 completeness as depth-of-thought’) is the supratransversality—

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality) that is a complete and unique ‘ontologically-hegemonising-narrative ontological-performance’ in its conviction/transcendental/intemporal/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness disposition of reference-of-thought which ‘bounces off and decenters’ (by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness) the-recursive/progressive/regressive-dementing-distractive-looping-narratives-of-arrogation/impostoring/disjointedness-non-contending-meaningful-reference of temporal-dispositions (postlogism and conjugated-postlogisms) as the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities), to their collapsing (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure). Thematically (with regards to ‘associated-themes-and-social-contexts’/thematic) psychopathy as postlogism interlocks with temporal-dispositions (instigating social psychopathy in 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction situations') as temporal-dispositions are already preset/'in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought defective reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for its induced conjugated-postlogism by inherent relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (procrypticism, i.e. the corresponding uninstitutionalised-threshold), such that the postlogism dynamism in its derivation elicits derived-non-conviction/temporality/non-transcendence/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness in corresponding conjugated-postlogisms of temporal-dispositions with the protracting effect of ‘significant others basis of logic’, as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities). Such that grasping and superseding of psychopathy and social psychopathy ontologically requires 'avoiding to

construe the generality/averaging of the social-construct as being of the sound/appropriate ontological cadre/framework' but rather ontologically adopting deferential-formalisation-transference (as all formal constructions whether the law, subject-matters, formal institutions, etc. have always been conceived) to 'abstractly reference prospective institutionalising as a secondnaturing that is of universal implications/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for all times and all humans' by factoring-in the requisite conviction/transcendental/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness construct that transcends/supersedes subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities), as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporalities). Such a technique for articulating supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporalities) in aetiologisation/ontological-escalation with respect to 'associated-themes-and-social-contexts'/thematic as deferential-formalisation-transference involves 'construing supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporalities) over subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities)' wherein the differentiated-conjugated-postlogisms are construed as interlocking with postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' (as the conjugated-postlogisms conjoin to and elevate postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts') in the 'associated-themes-and-social-contexts'/thematic framework/cadre. The fact is this thematic construal is further compounded by the varying tone-as-temperament associated with psychopathy and social psychopathy wherein the non-conviction-or-derived-non-conviction/temporal/non-transcendental/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness mental-disposition of postlogism/conjugated-postlogism means that it is 'ontologically wrong to be engaged solely on the basis of a conviction tone as temperament'; as the 'consciously

eluding/circumventing' psychopathy as postlogism mental-disposition adopts various 'hollow tones as temperaments' on the basis of its perceived position of weakness/disadvantage or strength/advantage, with implications on soundness of reference-of-thought, whether acting (apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing) by 'imploing, contesting, affirming, condescending, rebelling or self-victimising' depending on what it perceives as advancing its postlogism-as-of-non-conviction-(perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness) at one moment or the other, and this mental-disposition is naively (where ignorant-conjugated-postlogism) or consciously adopted by conjugated-postlogisms mental-dispositions particularly when exacerbatory or opportunistic. This 'contrastive intellectual-and-moral tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological constructs of subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-pseudointemporalities) in relation to supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing (as-of-non-pseudointemporality)' is central in articulating a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation that further elucidates the conceptualisations herein. The conceptual background for this tone-as-temperament and thematic teleological conceptualisation (for the storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) lies in the notion that human construal of meaningfulness/memetism defines and structures its teleology/teleological-differentiation with respect to 'socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction' situations whether in 'temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions individuation terms' and as this in dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect defines individuals actions intradimensionally or transcendently/transdimensionally/interdimensionally/maximalisingly. For instance, in the latter case a meaningfulness/memetism fundamentally based on spirits as causes-and-effects will fundamentally be predisposed to a defining teleology/teleological-differentiation of

animism practices, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live patterns; likewise a meaningfulness/memetism fundamentally based on a grand religion will fundamentally be structured on the basis of such religious practices, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live pattern (depending on the degree of religious absolutism) as its defining teleology/teleological-differentiation, and likewise a meaningfulness/memetism that is mostly secular-inclined will be predisposed to the defining teleology/teleological-differentiation of down-to-earth interests including utilitarianism and practical knowledge/scientism, and the corresponding ways of thoughts and live patterns. Going by the defining temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions of individuals action intradimensionally (and as recurrently affirmed by the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process across all the registry-worldviews/dimensions, giving rise to prospective institutionalisations and uninstitutionalised-thresholds), this establishes that there is a deterministic existential-tautologisation/existential-reference of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions with respect to ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ highlighting a teleology/teleological-differentiation at the individuation-level in a continuum from pseudointemporality (involving the ‘faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge’ of postlogism-slantedness and the derived-by-conjoining temporal-accommodation-of-this-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as conjugated-postlogisms/dementing-integration, grounded on ‘extrinsic-attribution involving inducing sociologically significant others basis of meaning and logic’) as it induces the uninstitutionalised-thresholds—to—non-pseudointemporality (of intemporal mental-disposition inclined to account for pseudointemporality as intemporal-preservation/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation operating on a teleology/teleological-differentiation of ‘intrinsic-attribution based on solely

eliciting intersolipsistic understanding of intemporally/universally valid meaning and logic', inducing the institutionalisations; with the implication that futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism teleology/teleological-differentiation by its deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality preempting 'the dementing—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing rational-empiricism/positivising-rules' is necessarily construed to stall the possibility of any uninstitutionalised-threshold). This then validates the idea that teleology/teleological-differentiation is not a discrete construct but rather deterministic as of existential-reference/existential-tautologisation/ontology/ontological-veridicality of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context (as a naïve free-willist conceptualisation may construe teleology/teleological-differentiation solely as discrete, as such a conceptualisation of discretion of teleology is valid rather by 'emanance /becoming/existential-intersolipsism mental-disposition orientation made' with regards to reference-of-thought (as conviction/prelogical from whence logical-processing-or-logical-implication arises whether the conviction is appropriate/good or inappropriate/poor-or-bad, or as non-conviction/postlogical in a state of mentarchy/mental-anarchy logical-undueness as reflected by postlogism and conjugated-postlogisms) but from whence/which-point the teleology/teleological-differentiation attached to that as of mental-disposition orientation

made, whether as of various temporal-dispositions as postlogism-slantedness/ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation, so-disambiguated as of ‘reference-of-thought-devolving-level difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism reflected as the divergent ontological-performances of the historicity/ontological-eventfulness/ontological-aesthetic-tracing’ or intemporal-disposition, is wholly deterministic-as-predictable/projectable enabling ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construal/conceptualisation). Existence/existential-reality is thus a teleological-contiguity/oneness-of-teleology ‘with teleological-discretion being defined only by epistemic choice/differentiation’, as epistemically-situated chosen/differentiated meaningfulness (as to ontology/ontological-veridicality which is epistemically/notionally a contiguity construed-as-ontological-contiguity/superseding-oneness-of-ontology), defines and structures teleology/teleological-differentiation in its derivation as ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’). Beyond, the individuation-level and the intradimensional perspectives, at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness perspective as across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures, this maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness decentering drive in a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect (wherein prior relative-ontological-

incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity on meaningfulness as ‘the effective non-conviction-or-derived-non-conviction/intemporal-failing/non-transcendental/non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought’, is decentered with the more ontologically-complete emerging at the centre as ‘conviction/transcendental/intemporal-preserving/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’)) is what ‘decenters/drives-out’ by ‘ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought’ of an uninstitutionalised-threshold (like non-positivism/medievalism) to ‘center’ the corresponding and prospective institutionalisation (like positivism) reference-of-thought, and ultimately reflects/perspectivates/highlights/decenters the uninstitutionalised-threshold as of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality, from the perspective of the succeeding institutionalisation/centered. Thus, decentering is what divulges all the uninstitutionalised-thresholds as recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism and prospectively procrypticism by maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness’, while ‘centering’

divulges all the institutionalisations as base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism and prospectively deprocrypticism; and so with their ontological possibilities and limits as well as corresponding ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’ or registry-worldview/dimension orienting/pivoting/decentering psyches (by psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure), reference-of-thought and teleologies/teleological-differentiations. Insightfully from metaphysics-of-absence, we’ll certainly grasp that a non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought ‘is not qualified/sound’ by virtue of its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought as not being positivising/rationally-empirical given that its meaningfulness is based on its non-positivism/medievalism reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation thus failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing any meaningfulness requiring prospective positivising/rationally-empirical reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation, and that its pretence otherwise is nothing but <formative>epistemic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage that simply goes on to uphold/enculturate/endemise the prior inherent vices-and-impediments inherent from its relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (non-positivism/medievalism) of lacking a positivising/rationally-empirical mindset, we can just as well project of the same of our procrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought

with respect to our relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-
induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-
caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought of the lack of a
deprocrypticism mindset/reference-of-thought as of deprocrypticism/preempting—
disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-
reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-
thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context involving existence-potency-prospective-
digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-
unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality preempting ‘the dementing—
apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing
rational-empiricism/positivising-rules’ based ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as
of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-
ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of
existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-
that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’) and a
disposition for our metaphysics-of-presence as <formative>epistemic-totalising-self-
referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/mirage, and thus the
‘rational need’ for our own psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
recomposure to supersede the vices-and-impediments associated with a positivism–
procrypticism mental frame, even though we’ll possibly carry-complexes/complexé about the
blunt fact, as all registry-worldviews/dimensions prior to ours had equally done. Decentering
thus fundamentally speaks of human shallow-limited-mentation-capacity to deeper-limited-
mentation capacity recomposuring from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence point of
reference maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness across all
institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures. The notion of pivoting/decentering as

fundamentally psychoanalytic actually extends to the construal of understanding itself with regards to the underlying rescheduling of the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, as the idea of pivoting/decentering extends to the notions of the ‘self’s own pivoting/decentering for understanding’. It is an aberration to construe ‘transcendental text’ which puts into question the reference-of-thought itself in non-transcendental terms ‘as the transcendental reality (divulged by human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-(formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) with corresponding recomposuring of ontological import) that is being implied given the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence nature of transcendental text doesn’t concede to a human temporal complex of its established metaphysics-of-presence conventioning/traditional-ways of understanding as superseding but rather superseded, and having to cave in’. In other words the aporetic nature of a Derridean deconstruction text doesn’t speak of the poor writing of Derrida, it speaks of the reader’s ‘complex of understanding’ that fails to recognise its need to psychoanalytically-unshackle, construed in interdimensional transcendence terms as akin to a positivistic laden text articulated in a non-positivism/medievalism setup implying a necessary psychoanalytic-unshackling as requiring the pivoting/decentering of the reader for its understanding as it is more than an explanation in the terms of the old as non-positivism/medievalism meaningfulness-and-teleology but more critically an invitation into the new as of a positivising/rational-empirical mindset/reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology; having to do fundamentally with the human mind complex and reflex of failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to acquiesce to prospective transcendence and so all across the various institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, even though it will readily acquiesce from a standpoint of retrospectively implied

construal of transcendence. Such a pivoting/decentering of understanding itself is what is implied by ‘projective-insights’/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence; further explaining the underlying notion of suprastructuralism as the ability to construe/conceptualise meaningfulness across different ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought perspective whether recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, our present positivism–procrypticism or futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism, with the necessary ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation–stranding/attributive-dialectics involved in such a pivoting/decentering as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure.

Suprastructuralism as such will also explain the underlying logic of Bruno Latour’s famous criticism of the notion that scientists reported discovery of TB as being the cause of Pharaoh Ramses II death together with the organisation of an official ceremony in full honours in celebration of Ramses II corpse and the discovery, as being an entanglement of references-of-thought between the modern frame-of-reference/collective-consciousness-awareness-teleology and the Ancient Egypt pharaonic era frame-of-reference/collective-consciousness-awareness-teleology (a mix-up that must not occur for history itself to conceptually exist ‘since history wouldn’t deny its object of study its very own frame-of-reference, as being oblivious here to the notion of TB’, for an exercise of understanding the past and projecting to the future); as if it were ‘possible and desired’ that the modern frame-of-reference equally carry modern weapons back in time in Ancient Egypt and fight pharaoh Ramses II wars (which is obviously ridiculous). Suprastructuralism as such highlights the ‘mental complex of all present mindsets as metaphysics-of-presence’, and going by ‘projective-insights’/postdication/metaphysics-of-absence is equally what can enable our own

prospective transcendence in grasping a more profound intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality as deprocrypticism which is deeper than our present positivism–procrypticism registry-worldview reference-of-thought. As implied in this paper, the implication of pivoting/decentering for understanding itself is that our metaphysics-of-presence traditional/conventioning reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology is put into question, and the notion of understanding itself is pivoted/decentered such as implied by the referentialism approach of this hermeneutic design (as opposed to a categorisation constituting elaboration basis for understanding). As the referential harkens to the most profound concept (intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation also construed as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence) and ontologically-reconstitutes/deconstructs lesser and lesser profound concepts in relation to the most profound concept by a referencing understanding. The implication is that the entirety of the text is a unity in contiguity perceptible from the subtexts fusion with the unity. Hence the organisation of the text can only be cross-referencing (and not, wrongly, an organisation based on categorisation constituting elaboration) to retain its cross-referencing coherence of prospective meaningfulness. The recognition for the need to disambiguate human mental-dispositions as of temporal-to-intemporal is not an exception here as all our formalisations implicitly operate on this basis as deferential-formalisation-transference, tacitly confirming its veracity/ontological-pertinence. It should be noted that the representation as of ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’ of registry-worldviews/dimensions about their uninstitutionalised-thresholds based on their respective relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought while most ontologically-veridical from

an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, such a suprastructural-meaningfulness/memetism is rather unordinary and suprastructural (beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought) to the given uninstitutionalised-threshold registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought; since in our positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation (which is procrypticism), ‘abject-ontologising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ (from the perspective of the ‘dialectical-thinking-reference-of-thought in relative-ontological-completeness as depth-of-thought’) will reflect/perspectivate/highlight procrypticism to be rather of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality thus pivoting/decentering/‘psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetically-reordering/institutionally-recomposuring’ into deprocrypticism suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought by way of the given ‘abject-ontologising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness’. While the above proposition is most difficult to fathom given our metaphysics-of-presence illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage, we’ll relatively grasp this reality on a same token wherein: in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation, maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as suprastructural or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of ‘recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation core meaningfulness of

reference' is reflected/perspectivated/highlighted as rather of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality (thus pivoting/decentering/'psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetically-reordering/institutionally-recomposuring' into base-institutionalisation suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought by way of the given maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness); in base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation (which is ununiversalisation), maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as suprastructural or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of ununiversalisation core meaningfulness of reference' is reflected/perspectivated/highlighted as rather of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality (thus pivoting/decentering/'psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetically-reordering/institutionally-recomposuring' into universalisation suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought by way of the given maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness); and, in universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation (which is non-positivism/medievalism), maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as suprastructural or beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of non-positivism/medievalism core meaningfulness of reference' is reflected/perspectivated/highlighted as rather of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality (thus pivoting/decentering/'psychoanalytically-unshackling/memetically-reordering/institutionally-recomposuring' into positivism

suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought by way of the
 given maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness). Thus
 suprastructuralism as such validates the reality of an underlying ontology-driven human
 ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
 psychology-of-dynamics’ in rescheduling (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure) the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-
 representation/mentation, as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-
 indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-
 dispositions–existentialism-form-factor. The fundamental point about a transcendental
 conceptualisation as implied in a positivism–procrypticism uninstitutionalisation by the
 ‘psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure’ into
 deprocrypticism suprastructuring/transcendental/intemporal-preserving reference-of-thought
 by way of abject-ontologising/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness’, is not about logical nested-congruence but as with the transcendence of all
 prospective institutionalisations rather the ‘transversality-of-disambiguated-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing’ of the transcendental/suprastructural meaningfulness-
 and-teleology/teleological-differentiations known as supratransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing over the transcended meaningfulness-and-
 teleology/teleological-differentiations known as subtransversality—
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing in inducing a middle-to-long-run or trans-generational
 ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural
 psychology-of-dynamics’ pivoting/decentering/psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-
 reordering/institutional-recomposure from the transcended/superseded state as
 procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought meaningfulness-and-teleology
 reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology to the maximalising-

as-’deprocripticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought
transcending/superseding meaningfulness-and-teleology reference-of-thought–categorical-
imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-
expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-
and-teleology as of prospective deprocripticism, going by prospective ontological-
primemovers-totalitative-framework and induced untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-
incoherence/institutional-constraining bringing about deferential-formalisation-transference
and percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-
conflation> as futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-
ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of
prospective deprocripticism institutionalisation; as the very state of a
prior/transcended/superseded registry-worldview relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-
prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-
and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought
implies it is ‘in-wait as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought
defective reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for the
perversion-of-reference-of-thought to be instigated, upheld and be enculturated and
endemised, for the structural/paradigmatic perpetuation of the vices-and-impediments
structurally associated ‘with respect to the fundamental relative-ontological-incompleteness-
of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-
staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-
thought and postlogism phenomenon’. The suprastructural (beyond-the-consciousness-
awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought)
<formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-explicating-
ontological-contiguity at the individuation-level is that with respect to ‘socially-perceived-

value as of social-stake-contention-or-conflict' situations, there is an underlying meaningfulness-and-teleological differentiation of human mental-dispositions as of non-pseudointemporality/conviction and pseudointemporality/non-conviction (including as derived/conjugated pseudointemporality/non-conviction), and so in contrast to the social/normal reflex of naively-and-wrongly construing and falling back to the idea of meaningfulness-and-teleology (as of reference-of-thought) rather essentially of non-pseudointemporality/conviction. For pseudointemporality/non-conviction and by its derivations (consciously, expediently or unconsciously), the representations of meaningfulness-and-teleology are set/formulaic and the fundamental essential/intrinsic/inherent attributions behind the representations of meaningfulness-and-teleology are irrelevant, and a parasitising/co-opting association that is alien to the fundamental essential/intrinsic/inherent/intemporal attributions of meaningfulness-and-teleology is just as valid; basically due to the fact that our fundamental relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought at all prior registry-worldviews/dimensions, whether as recurrent-utteruninstitutionalisation/ununiversalisation/non-positivism-or-medievalism/procrypticism, is bound to lead to human integration of the corresponding postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought-of-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation at the uninstitutionalised-threshold that speaks of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought. Thus a non-pseudointemporality mental-disposition re-affirmatory (as maximalising) of the essential/intrinsic/inherent/intemporal attributions behind the representations of

meaningfulness-and-teleology will put in question the reflex idea (in instances of perversion-of-reference-of-thought and the corresponding <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity) to naively operate logic and its axioms as of a sound human universal mental-disposition for construing ontologically-veridical meaningfulness as virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal/being-construal-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference, in order to account for such ‘parasitism/parasitising/co-opting-meaningfulness’ by parasitising/co-opting association with the essential/intrinsic/inherent attributions behind the representations of meaningfulness-and-teleology, and so as intemporal-preservation/aetiologisation/ontological-escalation enabling prospective reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation that override such ‘parasitism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as temporal arrogation/disjointedness/impostoring/extrication/misappropriation whether consciously/by-expediency/unconsciously. This is the intemporal-disposition individuation decentering mechanism with respect to ontology/ontologically-veridical-meaningfulness in a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect at the registry-worldview/dimension or intradimensional level that brings about prospective institutionalisations by rescheduling the placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology with respect to construed prospective ontology/ontological-veridicality (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) explaining why we are able and do transcend; or else as in all prior registry-worldviews, the pseudointemporality logic will tend to become one of conscious or unconscious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity that construes of the present (by its reference-of-thought-categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity-or-ontological-preservation

whether being usurped/disjointed/impostored/parasitized/co-opted) as of absolute reference-value regardless, failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to register that the grandest value as ontologically-coherent (as a principle sustaining its perpetuation) is the transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness as longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporality that accounts for the becoming from all the priors to the present to the prospective registry-worldviews/dimensions institutionalisations, thus not wrongly implying an equivalence between such a meaningful construct of universal import with temporal extricatory paradigm contentions (more like metaphorically an apple falling on Newton's head and his projection of this in grasping the universal implications of the laws of motion being wrongly equivocated in the terms of say an apple merchant and other interests in extricatory/temporal fear of the idea that understanding the laws of motions will be 'temporally' undermining in one way or the other). Critically, it isn't idle idealism but rather a realistic insight, as just as articulations of notions of positivism like evolution, universal human emancipation, rationalism, empiricism and science cannot be sustainably intelligible in a mindset/psyche that is non-positivism/medievalism and has not been pivoted (psychoanalytically-unshackled/mimeticly-reordered/institutionally-recomposured) to a positivistic mindset/psyche thus explaining why their proponents actively undermined the overall ordinary meaningful-frame of non-positivism/medievalism including such effort as the Encyclopédistes, likewise it is naïve to think that deprocrypticism (by its deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality) is an inherent

meaningfulness that is perfectly construable within just a positivism–procrypticism mental-disposition and the latter’s many compromised assumptions as articulated in this paper, as deprocrypticism is priorly implying futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism psyche/mindset. This equally raises the fundamental issue with post-structuralism, does it fully make sense in a ‘modern mindset’ of reference or reference-of-thought or rather it is implying priorly a prospective ‘postmodern mindset’ of prospective reference or reference-of-thought as its existential-reference/existential-tautologisation wherein human ‘deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of relative conflation)’ pivots/decenters to reconstrue/reconceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology, most critically marked by suprastructuralism/meaningfulness-as-beyond-temporal-consciousness-awareness-teleology as a knowledge construct grounded on the ontological-veridicality of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor and the implications for the derivation of meaningfulness (a progression from just a positivism mindset/reference-of-thought of meaningfulness-and-teleology grounded pre-eminently on a human intemporal nature construct thus failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing to appropriately factor in the dynamism of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions–existentialism-form-factor mental-dispositions prospectively, with focus wholly on positivistic construal and logic grounded solely on an intemporal construct (overlooking the implication of ‘parasitism of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ as temporal arrogation/disjointedness/impostoring/extrication/misappropriation whether consciously/by-expediency/unconsciously, coming from the extended-informality-

(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology)) in inducing defect of reference-of-thought as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought). Critically, ontological-normalcy/postconvergence points out that paradoxically the transcendental mindset/reference-of-thought associated with a 'knowledge construct of intrinsic-reality' should priorly be established ('centered' over the prior meaningful-frame which is 'decentered') for the knowledge construct to take hold by the continuing 'moulting' of its proponents and corresponding social construct, as intrinsic-reality doesn't adjust its inherent meaningfulness to us but rather humans need to achieve a given psychical development to have-access-to or be-able-to-register the knowledge construct of the more profound existential-reference/existential-tautologisation to intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality that that psychical development allows for, in meaningfulness-and-teleological terms. This is rather a difficult task as it implies 'ontological-dementation/dialectical-dementation—stranding/attributive-dialectics of reference-of-thought' behind the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, and no registry-worldview/dimension sees itself as dementable prospectively, as being decentered for a prospective centering, even where it acquiesces to the notion retrospectively up to its own institutionalisation; pointing that ontological-normalcy/postconvergence is the genuine perspective for construing the dynamism of knowledge-and-virtue or meaningfulness-and-teleology. The fundamental point of a knowledge construct (which is necessarily tautological as intrinsic-reality/ontology is already given) is rather an exercise of 'human <formative>epistemic-totalising—renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-

within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’ wherein we pivot/decenter (psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposeure) for redefined meaningfulness-and-teleology. Thus for a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in ‘grasping the uninstitutionalised-threshold reflecting procrypticism involving postlogism and conjugated-postlogism’, the knowledge construct will assume this same fundamental goal of ‘human <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought-as-utter-placeholder-setup-ontological-rescheduling-(by-a-renewing-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing–psychologism-as-the-new-referencing-basis-of-prospective-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as subpotent-mimetic-echoness-derivation-within-the-full-potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness’. Pivoting/decentering as such for transcendence at the individuation-level speaks of intemporal-disposition maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness value and disposition re-ontologising terms even though for temporal-dispositions value and disposition conventioning terms this may sound unintelligible. Such a transcendental/intemporal pivoting/decentering necessarily construed from the prospective institutionalisation (whether base-institutionalisation, universalisation, positivism or deprocrypticism, as ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective), of temporal-dispositions individuations in uninstitutionalised-thresholds (recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation, non-positivism/medievalism or procrypticism) as being of ‘mental anarchy’ (mentarchy) which ‘speaks of a defining state of ontologically-defective meaningfulness-and-teleology, arising

from lack of common (lack of an ordered construct of deferential-formalisation-transference) ontologically-veridical reference-of-thought, wherein both temporal-dispositions in various shades and the intemporal-disposition are socially-perceived as meaningfully-and-teleologically entitled-in-equivalence ‘notwithstanding veridical veracity/ontological-pertinence conveyable by imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ which ‘breaking’/existential-decontextualised-transposition by temporal-dispositions (on the wrong basis of a conviction/prelogical mental-disposition reflex that will wrongly reassumed soundness/non-perversion-of-reference-of-thought over-and-ignoring the reality of a postlogism-as-of-non-conviction induced unsound/perverted-reference-of-thought, as the breaking undermines existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality thus eliciting virtuality-or-ontologically-flawed-construal) is what induces uninstitutionalised-thresholds mental-anarchy/mentarchy at the individuation-level of conceptualisation, and which in a dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase in pseudointemporality’ accounts for the uninstitutionalised-thresholds of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation/ununiversalisation/non-positivism/medievalism/procrypticism. Thus insightfully, the same notion as uninstitutionalised-threshold,

Apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase and Mental-anarchy/Mentarchy (the latter which emphasises the state of ontological-veridicality implying an equivalence between-entitlement of both the temporal-dispositions and the intemporal-disposition, unlike an ordered-construct-of-deferential-formalisation-transference or an-institutionalised-construct that rightfully assumes the longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/intemporal-meaningfulness of the intemporal-disposition individuation as ‘the superseding secondnaturing construct’), respectively reflecting the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional, intradimensional and individuation-levels; providing the necessary dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect grasp for storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation for maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism reference-of-thought, with no elaboration-as-mere-extrapolating/constituting/abstracting/deducing/infering-of-elucidation-outside-existential-contextualising-contiguity allowed as this induces virtualities/being-construals-as-abstract-construal-as-of-flawed-and-shallow-and-non-veridical-existential-reference.

Mentarchy/Mental-anarchy (as inducing ‘apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as dialectically-dementing and dialectically-out-of-phase’ and uninstitutionalised-threshold) can also be construed as a disposition for temporal-finitude on the basis of referencing ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ by the temporal-dispositions references-of-thought (whether consciously, expediently or unconsciously) in order to undermine the referencing of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-

enabling/sublimating as intemporal reference-of-thought (thus implying a mental-representation-devising/mentation/placeholder-setup of the ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as ontologically dementing from the perspective of the transcendental-enabling/sublimating as ontologically thinking). Insightfully, for a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, such a ‘dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect of individuation/intradimensional/transcendental-or-transdimensional-or-interdimensional levels of conceptualisation’ ontologically validates ‘a deterministically teleological-differentiated storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration’ of projectable/predictable-relative-existential-implications of the various ‘non-maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness temporal-dispositions incremental/shortness-disposition-relative-finitudes’ and ‘maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness intemporal-disposition superseding/longness-disposition-to-finitude’; finitude being the full-depth-of-existential-implications/existentialism arising when acting (as-being/as-existing) with regards to one’s prior relative-ontological-incompleteness/ontological-completeness of reference-of-thought. As a side note, such a notion of mentarchy in its dynamic-cumulative-aftereffect should be able to highlight the peculiarity of reference-of-thought associated with human languages from ancient ones to modern ones (as of the registry-worldview/dimension-levels of the corresponding societies), facilitating the deciphering and understanding of ancient languages, as well as the reconceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology across history, which conceptual exercise tends to be rather biased towards a modern perspective metaphysics-of-presence. Finally, a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation will need to take cognisance of the very peculiar nature of the social world (in contrast to the natural world) that makes the social ‘susceptible to incorrect understanding and analysis’ particularly at a practical and operant level by the fact

that it is highly emotionally-involved/politically-driven especially so with disturbing issues, and this is further compounded by the ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’, and finally from a transcendental/maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness perspective human mental-disposition with regards to the social can be poorly ontological with unconscious, expedient or conscious emphasis on significant others basis of logic as well as <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mental-dispositions (social-aggregation-enablers) undermining the solipsistic relationship with intrinsic-reality required for veracity/ontological-pertinence (transcendental-enabling/sublimating). In this regard, it will actually be naïve to assume that an articulation of veracity/ontological-pertinence as with the natural sciences is all that is necessary in achieving effectiveness. With the weaknesses highlighted above with regards to grasping the social, it is important that such veracity/ontological-pertinence is effectively emphasised within the ‘realistic social contexts of mental-dispositions and actions’ driven by social-aggregation-enabling, wherein for instance the transcendental-enabling/sublimating that is intrinsic-reality/ontology grounded on intrinsic-attribution can easily take a backseat over social-aggregation-enabler grounded on extrinsic-attribution driven by such ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ as perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, reputé, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation

(so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake), etc., and so, including intellectual milieus as well. The implications for a truly ontologically effective social science can be construed as follows; say for instance an accused miscreant was to articulate a credibly demonstrable notion in physics or chemistry, the ‘promptness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ will easily allow for such veracity/ontological-pertinence to establish itself without undermining of the transcendental-enabling/sublimating that is intrinsic-reality/ontology by any social-aggregation-enabler (perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation or so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake, etc.). The ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ makes this altogether a more difficult proposition in the social sciences particularly with issues that are highly emotionally-involved/‘interested’/politically-driven wherein even in intellectual circles arguments of differentness/subtle-infamy-implications/status/significant-others-basis-of-logic/repute are often easily advanced in undermining inherent veracity/ontological-pertinence. One such notorious argument with regards to poststructuralists involved the notion that French post-structuralism was developed by peripheral intellectuals of French society but then failing to equally say that a lot of the good science and social science in many Western countries have generally had the same personalities attributes. Of course, such a narrative will not be countenanceable in the

promptness of effectiveness driven natural science of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, for instance, holding that Einstein's theory-of-relativity is flawed with the non-substantive argument he was a peripheral intellectual to German or Swiss or American society. The bigger point here with respect to a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation, is that veracity/ontological-pertinence by mere articulation of sound ontological conceptualisations as transcendental-enabling/sublimating-of-intrinsic-social-reality in the social contextualisation especially where blurry is often not sufficient purely by itself but that it needs to be creatively construed in facing off 'social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating' with the transcendental-enabling/sublimating-of-intrinsic-social-reality ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework. This weakness actually takes a turn for the worst when it comes to the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy as this phenomenon is actually the quintessence of active extrinsic-attribution 'social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating' as driven by postlogism—construed-as-of-perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts' and corresponding conjugated-postlogism conjoining-looping-set-of-narratives of such postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-'set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts', respectively in recursiveness (psychopathic), progressiveness (opportunistic and exacerbatory) and regressiveness (ignorance and affordability). So a storied-construct/ontologically-valid-narration aetiologisation/ontological-escalation will need to demonstrate veracity/ontological-pertinence of the conceptualisations highlighted in this paper not purely by themselves as transcendental-enabling/sublimating-of-intrinsic-social-reality but rather such conceptualisation in a supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing should be over-and-face-off a subtransversality—

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of temporal undermining by ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ such as perverted use of notions of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation (so-called principle that is not articulated as a universal construct but targeted, avowing its reality as fake), etc., and this is the realistic developing social contextualisation within which psychopathy and social psychopathy manifests itself. Further the social-aggregation-enabler mechanism is what brings about social-chainism/social-discomfiture/negative-social-aggregation as well as the temporal-endemisation/temporal-enculturation of psychopathy and social psychopathy by eliciting of differentness, infamy, status, significant-others basis of logic, repute, social authorities and influencers naively involved in fallacies of authority, disparagement, contrivance, duplicity, imposturing, ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, implying an equivalence between universal/intemporal sense of purpose with extricatory/temporal/mundane sense of purposes, underhandedness, inductive-limitation, etc., to induce temporal-dragging-on-ontology/ontological-veridicality/intemporality or existential-decontextualised-transposition. Ontologically, thus the construal/conceptualisation of the Social paradigm is necessarily a construct that harkens to the intemporal-projection enabling the thoughtfulness as the imbued intemporal-preservation consciousness-awareness-teleology with the corresponding meaningfulness-and-teleology as ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process/institutional-design inducing the maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness enabling the development and endemisation/enculturation from recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation (non-rules-as-

impulsive-or-accidented-or-random-mental-disposition) of base-institutionalisation (rulemaking-over-non-rules) social-setup, universalisation (universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules) social-setup, positivism (positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules) social-setup and prospectively deprocrpticism (preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought,-as-to-<formative>epistemic-growth/transvaluative-rationalising/transepistemicity/anamnestic-residuality/spirit-drivenness-in-superseding-mere-formulaic-positivising/rational-empiricism-based-universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules) social-setup. The implication being that the Social is much more than aggregativity (social-aggregation) wherein a mental-disposition of ‘overt aggregative social disposition’ that conceives that a social-setup reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation are simply ‘perceptively-and-formulaically deterministic’ for ‘its purpose of temporal extricatory paradigm relating with the reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology (as perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought)’ that undermines the imbued intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation of the social-setup ‘is not ontologically social’ (as aggregativity construals and mental-dispositions about social relations of extricatory temporal-dispositions are perfectly construable as of varying covert to overt ‘reference-of-thought—degraded-devolving-as-of-uninstitutionalised-threshold’). Likewise a mental-disposition of ‘overt non-aggregative social disposition’ conceiving the social-setup reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation ‘as of inherent essence and to be upheld and maximalisingly recomposured’ (as appropriateness-of-reference-of-thought-as-of-conflatedness) ‘is ontologically social’. The Social as such is an abstract construct not about the ‘equability in mutuality of the mortals that we are’ but rather

the opportunity for transcendental construal of our potential for intemporality. Paradoxically and across all registry-worldviews this has always imply sociologically that uninstitutionalised-thresholds are in a transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of these two divergent mental-dispositions with respect to meaningfulness-and-teleology whether conceptualisation of the transcendental as defining prospective social ontology in a sense of intellectual solipsistic fulfilment driven by relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating or conceptualisation in aggregativity/social-aggregation as of <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> driven by social-aggregation-enabling, explaining the underlying confliction implied by any prospective institutionalisation as transcendental. This insight can be grasped from ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective, when we garner that the ‘equability in mutuality of temporally-disposed minds as shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ in a non-positivism/medievalism social-setup doesn’t supersede the ontological-veridicality of a social ontology insight providing anchoring for prospective positivistic institutionalisation construed reference-of-thought. Plausibly most likely the ‘developing consciousness-awareness-teleology mindset’ of such a ‘social ontology insight about prospective positivism’ (as maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation) may lead to its very own circumspection with the registry-worldview’s/dimension’s meaningfulness-and-teleology and possibly non-aggregativity. Consider the instance of such characters as Galileo and Newton, at the crossroad of ‘what is to be considered as valued meaningfulness-and-teleology’ with respect to the prospective as the positivistic registry-worldview/dimension and the prior as the

non-positivism/medievalism world, as consciously-or-unconsciously they register that the prior needs to be ‘decentered’ and the prospective ‘centered’, even though by reflex the prior will construe of itself as undecenterable center of meaningfulness-and-teleology. This may go a long way in explaining such biographic accounts about Isaac Newton as unsocial wherein a naïve conceptualisation of impression-driven/good-naturedness/wishfulness construal as virtue (in lieu of the-Good/understanding/knowledge-reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework in its <formative>epistemic-totalising_ratio-contiguity/ratiocination-as-referentialism-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presencing-in-‘protensive-consciousness’-enabling-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument-for-operant-or-incidenting-predicative-insights-of-existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of intemporality) will not factor in the inherent deficiency in value judgment of a non-positivism/medievalism inclined ordinary mindset/reference-of-thought from which such accounts are coming from (given such a society’s state of paradox of transcendence of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought) about a figure involved in ‘intemporal-prioritisation-of-reference-of-thought’-as-conflatedness as partaking in the ‘inventing/creating’ of the structural/paradigmatic possibility (and the corresponding psychologism) for prospective positivism institutionalised-being-and-craft, more like biting a hand that intemporal-solipsistically as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality provides the opportunity for prospective structural/paradigmatic human flourishing, with the underlying fact being that inherently such a personality type rather as of a solipsistic-intemporality individuation disposition, by its

contemplative reappraisal, is exactly what can provide the opportunity for such transcendental possibilities (when we come to grasp that the true profoundness of knowledge is more than just ‘mechanical as something construed soullessly’ without a more complete appreciation of knowledge as ‘organic as something construed with a profound sense of intemporal philosophy’ with the idea that the type of knowledge construed as of first order transcendental-enabling/sublimating is not based on an ordinary notion of ‘intelligence as we’ll normally think of as simply technical’ but rather on such a sense of intemporal philosophical projection and more than just a ‘product’ for a materiality purpose but a driven sense of human emancipation). In fact, this equally points to a major flaw of the inherently implied value judgement in a lot of what passes for social sciences today explaining the vagueness, platitude and emptiness of little or no relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating implication as an epistememic-totalising—self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasitic-drag circular exercise, wherein the unabated recourse to naïve feel good averaging of thought mental-dispositions are equated with ontological-veridicality uncritically, rather than construing that the animal that we are is in want of knowledge as a construct that enable it to supersede/transcend itself rather than a vain exercise of nombrilism, in which case one may argue that each registry-worldview/dimension <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> ideas should be the basis for construing its social science! In fact, technically Newton might be the most inclined person for social engagement but then will he as of intemporal projection be inclined to ‘go along as social’ where he construed beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought ‘the medieval social’ as in want of its further development (this highlights a contrast between a stigmatic/mented

psychology of the present, as of any ‘present registry-worldview/dimension’, with value references related to as absolute without or poorly factoring in that the animal that is the human is rather a becoming animal in constant psychological development of its limited-mentation-capacity with respect to social universal-transparency as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context as of ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought; as determining its value reference and defining its underlying placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology, and hardly addressing such a more fundamental question as implied by ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural-psychology-of-dynamics’). In this respect, this makes many such so-called ‘social science approaches’ ‘poorly grounded on a social relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ more or less sciences of methodological mimicry, as we know that much of the ‘true sciences’ (including the natural sciences and many a true social science are not grounded on an epistememic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag construal but identify objective reality by its naturally constraining ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework, as differing from sovereign constructs, as the determinant of pertinence (and such profound transcendental-enabling/sublimating basis of knowledge are then bound to further redevelop sovereign constructs and conventions, with the sovereign constructs and conventions not becoming intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality in of themselves but rather as of social, institutional, cultural, moral or historical reality of the human condition); though much more easier for the natural sciences as hardly any or nobody feels impinged today with scientific discoveries and inventions given that their transcendental-enabling/sublimating as of a positivism outlook psychologism of the world had taken place both in philosophical and practical scientific

terms with the Descartes, Hobbes's, Kants, Copernicuses, Galileos, Newtons, of the past. Whereas a lot of present day social science is relatively pulled back in many an unsuspecting manner, by elicited emotional involvement and underlying constraints of their institutional setups. Such can equally be implied with regards to procrypticism from futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism insight, wherein positivism–procrypticism is decentered and deprocrypticism is centered, and so in comprehensive psychologism terms; with the idea that the possibly unsavoriness is not of this author's or anyone's chosen but rather that the test for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism transcendence set by intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality requires us coming to terms with it, no lesser than the test set by positivistic transcendence in the non-positivism/medievalism epoch intrinsic-reality required them to come to terms with this, however unpalatable to many then, and this underlying vitality across all epochs as of existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context, induced by prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is what counts as true knowledge beyond the blurriness-in-reflecting-and/or-coming-to-terms-with-implied-transcendence that often tends to arise with all institutionalisations institutionalised-being-and-craft erudition! More fundamentally, as previously highlighted with the mediocrity principle of science as it applies to humankind as well (as the notion of metaphysics-of-absence is pushed to its full implications over metaphysics-of-presence as our present-consciousness/illusion-of-the-present/epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/mirage), the reality of a human-subpotency–

aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—
 imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor may actually more
 objectively (and so beyond-our-consciousness-awareness-teleology) point to the idea that
 institutionalisation (the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process) as
 intemporalisation is actually ‘a maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-
 completeness recomposed abstract-construction/institutionalisation-designing’ which ‘in its
 operant effectuation (due to limited-mentation-capacity as of ‘presencing—absolutising-
 identitive-constitutedness’) defines its very own prospective interspersing with
 uninstitutionalised-threshold’ articulated as ‘socially-functional-and-accordant
 temporalisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology as from idiosyncratic individuations frame-
 of-reference at childhood to full-blown apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-
 staging-and-performance-caricaturing individuations frame-of-reference at adulthood’; that
 is, the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process or
 institutionalisation design construed rather as about reducing-human-temporalisation-
 (shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) as uninstitutionalised-threshold, with
 such a notion of uninstitutionalised-threshold being the central notion of
 conceptualisation/construal for a thorough the-Good/understanding/knowledge-
 reification/ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework construct (however
 counterintuitive from our natural thinking reflex metaphysics-of-presence ‘based on
 reasoning in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of cumulating institutionalisations’). Such a
 construal/conceptualisation of ‘institutionalisation as of uninstitutionalised-threshold’ will
 explain why with regards to ‘all the successive institutionalisations formal constructs’ as of
 their respective ‘comprehensive abstract setups of differential-formalisation-transference
 institutionalised meaningfulness-and-teleology’, there is a tendency associated with their
 corresponding extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-

and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) wherein there is ‘parallel construed extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination’ of a subpar and occasionally of a superseding practical applicative bearing/effectiveness over the supposedly formal construct. By and large, this will often arise within the scope of blurry institutional setups not construed for operant effectiveness. Strangely enough we do actually tend to elicit such extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) construal as more determinant when the principles of formal constructs are rearticulated operantly in extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination terms; and often contributing to institutional inefficiencies and failures of all sorts whether with respect to mismanagement, misappropriation, incompetence, etc. from a modern perspective of analysis. Further, the fact is such extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) effect can be more than just about the operant effect but equally protracted as ‘designed-formalisation-ineffectiveness’ in ensuring the ascendancy of extended-informality-(susceptible-to-effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology) meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-a-relatively-poor-institutionalising-inclination over formal constructs. By and large, this can be construed as the residual temporalisation effect arising from the fundamental reality of a human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor with respect to all the successive institutionalisations; with the notion of deprocrypticism requiring registering

the reality of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor without any complexes and psychically pivoting/decentering (as psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure) over its deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought (just as the ‘positivistic mindset’ arose from registering the reality of defective essences, alchemic, spirits, etc. Universalising-rules and psychically pivoting/decentering for rational-empiricism/positivising-rules, just as the ‘universalising mindset’ arose from registering the reality of vague, sporadic, incidental, and animistic rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) and psychically pivoting/decentering for universalisation-directed-rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘second-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument), and just as the ‘base-institutionalised mindset’ arose from registering the reality of non-rules-as-impulsive-or-accidental-or-random-mental-disposition-(as ‘basic constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) and psychically pivoting/decentering for rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as ‘first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought’ apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument); and so, as of psychical and institutionalisation implications). Across all institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process defining why any given institutionalisation is stuck at its level of relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-

construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought is its flawed notion of sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers defining the conventioning threshold of the given institutionalisation wherein the inherent prospective intrinsic-reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental-enabling/sublimating is (unconsciously, expediently or consciously) superseded/overridden by the given institutionalisation's sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers, thus endemising/enculturating the said institutionalisation specific perversion-of-reference-of-thought (postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism), whether as 'Procrypticism perversion-of-reference-of-thought (psychopathy and social psychopathy)', 'Non-positivism/medievalism perversion-of-reference-of-thought', 'Ununiversalisation perversion-of-reference-of-thought' or 'Recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation perversion-of-reference-of-thought', whereby the specific uninstitutionalised-threshold has its specific point of sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers where transcendental-enabling/sublimating is impeded; with recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers reference-of-thought failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing the rulemaking-over-non-rules-(as 'first-level presencing—absolutising-identitive-constitutedness of reference-of-thought'

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument) required for the transcendental-enabling/sublimating of base-institutionalisation, with ununiversalisation sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers reference-of-thought failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing 'universalisation-rules' required for the transcendental-enabling/sublimating of universalisation, with non-positivism/medievalism sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers reference-of-thought failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing 'rational-empiricism/positivising-rules' required for the transcendental-enabling/sublimating of positivism or prospectively, with

procrypticism sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers reference-of-thought
 failing/not-upholding-as-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing
 ‘deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought/existential-
 contextualising-contiguity involving existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-
 ontologically-same-existential-reality’ required for the transcendental-enabling/sublimating
 of deprocrypticism. Such sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers involves a
 perversion-of-reference-of-thought (postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism) wherein the
 instigated postlogism (perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-
 dueness) and protracted-conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions contendingly perceive the
 sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers as the point of ‘denaturing postlogical-
 backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ when facing the ‘intrinsic-
 reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental enabler’. Concretely, the fact is that
 psychopathic postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-
 narratives-and-acts’ and conjugated-postlogism as ‘conjoining looping narratives of flawed-
 existential-elevation-of-reference-of-thought’ of postlogical-backtracking—iterative-looping-
 ‘set-of-dereifying-hollow-narratives-and-acts’ are ‘denaturing devoided-of-conviction-or-
 prelogism-basis’ towards the given institutionalisation’s sanctified-conventioning-social-
 aggregation-enablers in order to override, undermine and escape from the intrinsic-
 reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental-enabling/sublimating. As in the case
 previously highlighted where a psychopath spoke to an interlocutor that it is a bad thing for a
 said individual to be molesting children, with its logic being sound from an abstract/virtuality
 appreciation but with the existential-reality of its ‘apriorising—reference-of-thought-
 elements/apriorising—registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity)’s-
 reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-

thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology being utterly unfounded as a first-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge potentially enabling an infinite possibility of second-order level deception if re-engaged as of logical-processing-or-logical-implication. Where the interlocutor finds out that the other stranger isn’t really a child molester. The psychopath simply articulates another postlogic/perverted-outcome-sought-precedes-existentially-veridical-logical-dueness/formulaic non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative (meaning-by-the-mere-illogical-possibility-of-it-being-narrated) over the previous narrative, and so in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’. For instance, by saying (in a different social spatial location where the interlocutor cannot verify the underlying contextual reality) it is critical that the stranger should not be taking young children in his house as it suspiciously points to a molester (which is certainly a sound statement but rather being parasitised for a perverse purpose of ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers, as the statement, not to take young children into his house, is sanctifying/as-not-requiring-any-further-contemplation to many a conviction mind). Even if this latter narrative is proven to be false (as it is another perversion-of-reference-of-thought or mental-perversion demonstrable as above with it faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge not being the logic itself, but in wrongly implying as existentially real the ‘apriorising—reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising—registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ of implied—logical-dueness-or-scape/profile-or-stature/presumptuousness-or-arrogation/assumptions/value-reference/teleology such that the mere fact of engaging logically with it validates these fundamental falsehood as a first-order

faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge paving the way for an infinite possibility of second-order faulty-mentation-procedure-deception-or-urge operating logical-processing-or-logical-implication on such false axioms. Thus, with respect to postlogism generally what is critical for the psychopath/postlogical-mindset is to be seen as being conviction/prelogical even if it is a perception of bad-conviction (and not to be seen as being non-conviction/postlogical) since that will validate the ‘apriorising–reference-of-thought-elements/apriorising–registry-elements (out of existential-contextualising-contiguity)’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context)’ on the basis that it was the logical-processing-or-logical-implication that was wrong hence the possibility and credibility not to question and imply the denaturing of reference-of-thought as perverted reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology and thus to wrongly re-engage logical-processing-or-logical-implication turning the issue into one of ‘notion of agreement or disagreement’ instead of construing a perversion-of-reference-of-thought ‘dementing manifestation’ implying and requiring intellectual-and-moral-inequivalence/non-correspondence in transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing). This equally applies in the instance of derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought as conjugated-postlogism by temporal-dispositions of ignorance/affordability/opportunism/exacerbation/social-chainism-or-social-discomfiture-or-negative-social-aggregation/temporal-enculturation-or-temporal-endemisation. The psychopath simply needs to loop another non-veridical hollow mimicking narrative over the previous one in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers. Summarily, instances of such sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers could be exemplified in dereifying context as: in the case of child psychopathy, - pour water on chair, - point stranger to sit on, -

accuse brother, - when found out, postlogically retreat with delirious statement accident happened, etc.; in the case of adult psychopathy (including the conjugated-postlogism acts involved in protraction of postlogism), - commit offence, - act as morally ascendant, - when the postlogical and conjugated-postlogism mental-dispositions are ontologically undermined, ‘falsely contend’ by extrinsic-attribution of ‘social-aggregation-enablers over intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> as ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’ towards the sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers in order to undermine the intrinsic-attribution/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating, - when further undermined claim in ‘denaturing postlogical-backtracking devoided-of-conviction-or-prelogism-basis’, things have moved on, on the basis of sanctified-conventioning-social-aggregation-enablers over and undermining intrinsic-reality/veracity/ontological-pertinence transcendental enabler as a civilisational/institutional-being-and-craft setup creating mental-disposition. The fundamental issue, going by the postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism/perversion-of-reference-of-thought is then one that at the transcendental/transdimensional/interdimensional/maximalising-level defines the uninstitutionalised-threshold vices-and-impediments construct of the registry-worldview/dimension, more than just on-occasionally/incidentally. From an intemporal/ontological perspective that speaks of ‘modern savage mentality’, whether as postlogical or conjugated-postlogical, as procrypticism/disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought in need for prospective institutionalisation as deprocrypticism, not as an on-occasion/incidental issue but about ontologically appreciating the how and why in reflecting

the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as it undermines uninstitutionalised-thresholds arising from perversion-of-reference-of-thought for the recurrent intemporal <formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought possibility of further prospective civilisational living/institutionalised-being-and-craft setup, and so as an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation/‘metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales’ conceptualisation. The grandest job and the grandest living from an intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality point-of-departure-of-construal is one that construes and purports for human engaged-destruction/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of such uninstitutionalised-thresholds: by ‘engaged-destruction/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of prospective recurrent-uninstitutionalisation vices-and-impediments’ for prospective base-institutionalisation, ‘engaged-destruction/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of prospective ununiversalisation vices-and-impediments’ for prospective universalisation, ‘engaged-destruction/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of prospective non-positivism/medievalism vices-and-impediments’ for prospective positivism, and ultimately, ‘engaged-destruction/deconstruction/ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness of prospective procrypticism vices-and-impediments for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism. That exercise has always been one of decentering of the defective center for the emergence of a new and more ontologically-complete-reference-of-thought center, and no registry-worldview/dimension can pretend to imply it is ‘un-decenterable (implying its dementing and out-of-phasing for the prospective thinking centering and in-phasing) by its

<formative>epistemic-totalising–self-referencing-syncretising/illusion-of-the-
 present/present-consciousness/mirage speaking of its metaphysics-of-presence, as that is the
 full implication of ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-
 underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-
 reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of
 difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-
 determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative–implications,-for-
 explicating-ontological-contiguity’ for our present as well, its psychoanalytic-
 unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure. As with all prospective
 institutionalisations, a human secondnaturating institutionalising construct is a requisite
 because, at best even the intemporal-disposition individuation individuals, purporting (by
 maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness) prospective
 emancipation come from and are of the stock of the prior reference-of-thought
 uninstitutionalised-threshold registry-worldview/dimension, and such prospective
 emancipation involves such individuals own ‘moulting’, as actually intemporality/longness is
 a ‘potential construct of orientation’ as implied by ontological-normalcy (prospective-
 transcendence-in-perpetually-upholding-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—
 ontological-preservation) and it is only a devised institutionalisation construct that achieves
 that potential-construct-of-orientation and not any implied inherent emanance intrinsicness
 (though the meaningfulness as articulated as such, and as the meaningfulness in this entire
 paper, is rather of an intemporal register validation and not of any temporal register
 validation, since an authentic psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-
 recomposure is what underlies transcendence as a ‘deeper limited-mentation-capacity-(as of
 relative conflation)’ existential-tautologisation/existential-reference pivot/decenter to
 reconstrue/reconceptualise meaningfulness-and-teleology; more like a jurisprudential

maximalising-recomposuring-for-relative-ontological-completeness contention for rehabilitation is not of the same meaningful-framework as a temporal mental-disposition of illicitness for shifty expectation of rehabilitation which it should necessarily anticipate and preempt). By that token there is no base-institutionalised individuation in recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, no universalised individuation in ununiversalisation, no positivistic individuation in non-positivism/medievalism, and prospectively no deprocrypticism individuation in procrypticism; as at best such emancipating intemporal individuation are ‘moulting’ and implying-of-the-same of their registry-worldview in prospective institutionalisation design/conceptualisation, as the effective institutionalisation is what is really and effectively attained.

The notion of apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as defining the registry-worldviews/dimensions uninstitutionalised-thresholds is rather a most real idea from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective wherein we can very much fathom out that the successive relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought as the successively reducing-ontological-abnormalities of recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation uninstitutionalisation, ununiversalisation uninstitutionalisation, non-positivism/medievalism uninstitutionalisation and procrypticism uninstitutionalisation effectively speaks of their apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing as the respective uninstitutionalised-threshold with respect to the superseding—oneness-of-ontology which as existential-reality isn’t changed but rather the respective cumulating/recomposuring uninstitutionalised-thresholds are due to ‘changes in human meaning and meaningfulness and the teleological implications thereof’ confirming by extension that their

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing nature is veridical or a most real idea with implications on psychical-orientations/mindsets as structured by the ontology-driven ‘dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics’. However apparently logical this idea, it is an altogether different to mentally register the idea of such an apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing construct and perception about our own registry-worldview uninstitutionalised-threshold as procrypticism just as it would be by reflex difficult in all the successive registry-worldviews, often requiring a generation or more for transcendental implications to sink in. This apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing conceptualisation of ‘the social as at its uninstitutionalised-threshold threshold’ wherein the representation as ‘being in apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ is more real (from an ontological-normalcy/postconvergence epistemic/notional_projective-perspective) than the actual placeholder-setup/mental-devising-representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology defect of conscious mindsets within the given uninstitutionalised-thresholds registry-worldview/dimension (as the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing insight is suprastructural to it or beyond-its-consciousness-awareness-teleology); is an ontological validation of Derridean hauntology/hantologie conceptualisation of the social in cinematographic terms of meaningfulness (and will seem very much akin, from an ontological perspective, to the central notion of ‘intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation as the superseding referential conceptualisation of ontology and inherently imbued with ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness as a centering/decentering mechanism’ as implied in this paper, though hauntology/hantologie is not quite articulated in such more precise ontological terms but

imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring notion of existential-reality in there can be grasped), and equally highlights the fundamental ‘paradox of post-structural deconstruction by its transcendental implications’, in that the mental-disposition/psychical-orientation of the present registry-worldview/dimension as positivism–procrypticism is not developed enough (in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of its reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology,-for-intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation) to grasp its implications (in want of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion–as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’ reference-of-thought–categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity–or–ontological-preservation), just as the core non-positivism/medievalism mindset/reference-of-thought wasn’t developed enough to grasp the implications of created-and-accruing positivistic meaningfulness and redefined mindset/psyche inducted by the Descartes, Copernicuses, Galileos, Newtons, Kants, Rousseaux and it had to psychoanalytically-unshackle/memetically-reorder/institutionally-recomposure over generations ‘for what were outlier ideas to become the defining ideas of modernity’. Thus the apparent issues today raised with post-structuralism have as much to do with the psychical orientation (as underdeveloped) of its critiques as well as the requisite effort required to further develop, elucidate and focus it; and in this regard why there have been many serious and constructive

criticisms of post-structuralism as required for any subject-matter, most of the ‘popular criticisms’ levied against post-structuralism fail to past the test of intellectual criticism and have mostly been populist and media-driven attacks, gaining traction by social trending than genuine intellectual validity. The most popular being an initiative on an unrecognised social science journal which by that mere token disqualifies the so-called criticism but has turned out to be the most populist ploy by all accounts for condemning post-structuralism. Furthermore and critically, the intellectual exercise as with all institutional processes operate fundamentally on a basis of mutual trust. However, the methodologies, theories, concepts what can be articulated as new knowledge is not necessarily assessed on the basis that any peer review mechanism is absolutely full-proof particularly as the new knowledge is often at the margin of what is understood, and thus much of peer reviewing is not really an approval of the knowledge but rather an admission into the body of institutionally or formally acknowledgeable perspectives for further elucidation. Even then many a study not approved with peer reviewed journals have later on down the years ended up becoming dominant theory. So there isn’t any inherent sanctity in peer reviewing but for its practicality in formal knowledge organisation (and not even so with approval). Technically the majority of all new knowledge down the years will be found wanting in many ways, and the objective of the overall peer review process is to channel potentially admissible and debatable knowledge towards further elucidation in the overall scheme of establishing overall human knowledge as of veracity/ontological-pertinence. Review of new knowledge doesn’t end with a journal’s peer review though that point tends to be a ‘highly political point nowadays’ as of the increasing bean-counting institutional reflex of funding implications and sometimes at the detriment of novel approaches to knowledge. The abstract notion of reviewing goes well beyond journals approval and extends with the continual critiquing of knowledge whether dominant or outlier. Ultimately, the more fundamental test in such a negotiated process is a

strive for consistency and validity clues with no guarantees of effectiveness but for the overall consistency, as of the very cutting edge of peer reviewed knowledge. Just for the sake of perspective here, it might equally be argued that peer-reviewing and by extension all epistemological and their corresponding methodological activities are not natural knowledge activities as of inherent pure ontology in of itself but derived activities as of human norms, practices and policies for establishing thresholds that then enable articulated qualifications as of pure ontology; in other words, any such epistemological and methodological activity is irrelevant if pure ontology can be arrived at without it. Consider for instance that mathematicians hardly make use of experimental designs or that many secret research by corporations and government aren't peer reviewed, at least not publicly. Besides at a more fundamental level the question can be asked what are the metaphysics-of-absence implications of knowledge epistemology, methodologies and peering as to the weightier construal of the successive human ontological developments involving increasing prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought associated with the overall institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures in reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process, beyond just an intra-positivism registry-worldview/dimension illusion-of-the-present/present-consciousness/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/mirage conceptualisation of knowledge epistemology, methodologies and peering naively articulated-and-implied-as 'universally applicable', à la Kantian positivism registry-worldview/dimension <formative>epistemic-totalising_intervalist-as-categorising-phenomenal-abstractiveness-of-presence however remarkable, to all registry-worldviews/dimensions particularly since such a conceptualisation doesn't factor in 'transcendental implications' as structurally/paradigmatically overthrowing/fazing-out/collapsing the uninstitutionalised-threshold of meaningfulness-and-teleology of the prior/old registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought as a

decentering subsumption; along the same line as the medieval ‘dogmatic scholastics’ insisting that the now established positivism registry-worldview/dimension knowledge constructs, which were then transcendental, should conform to their ‘institutionalised dogmatic scholasticism methods and processes of reviewing’. By extension the question can be asked whether beyond our ‘-<formative>epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising/circularity/interiorising/akrasiatic-drag institutionalised positivism conceptualisation of meaningfulness-and-teleology’ whether such is truly in a ‘requisite contemplative-and-Being position as of the prospective transcendentially-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism’ of ‘evaluating a construct of prospective transcendence’ as herein implied about futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion—as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism registry-worldview/dimension meaningfulness-and-teleology which paradoxically structurally/paradigmatically entails overthrowing/fazing-out/collapsing the positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology at its uninstitutionalised-threshold as a decentering subsumption; when we factor that such a contemplation-and-Being as from a positivism–procrypticism meaningfulness-and-teleology is being called upon to evaluate as to ‘a meaningfulness-and-teleology world beyond its ordinary contemplation’ with the mental tools for such a prospective projection mostly of abstract projective contemplation for grasping the prospective organic-knowledge implied, and so beyond an ordinary evaluation within an implied same reference-of-thought. It should be noted here that the more pertinent quality for such implied transcendentalism as of its implied organic-knowledge beyond just a mechanical construct is ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality explaining the disparate nature of the development of human knowledge. This author as previously articulated points out that there is a more profound basis for how and why new/prospective knowledge whether outlier or main stream is socially integrated in driving ‘intemporal ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-

apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality instigated ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process as of difference-conflatedness-as-totalitative-reification-in-singularisation-as-veridical-epistemic-determinism <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity’ across all the institutional-cumulations/institutional-recomposures as the very human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-

dispositions—existentialism-form-factor implying that human registry-worldview’s/dimension’s have institutionalisation-thresholds and uninstitutionalised-thresholds broken only in the medium to long-run beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought ‘by a power relations dynamics structurally ingrained in the social universal-transparency; and so as of ‘intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework’, and thereafter the eliciting of positive-opportunism, deferential-formalisation-transference, ordered-construct, percolation-channelling-<epistemic-totalising-reoriginariness/reorigination-in-conflation> as of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing of opposing axiomatic-constructs/references-of-thought that allows for the more ontologically-veridical to supersede as inducing untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining. This is the more profound suprastructural-construct of ‘human validation-

conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge' applicable across all registry-worldviews/dimensions as of 'a notional futural différence' construed as of a 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics', notwithstanding the more superficial constructions of 'human validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge' within a same registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation whether base-institutionalisation/animistic-universalisation shamanism, universalisation-non-positivism/medieval dogmatic scholasticism or our positivism-procrypticism 'categorisation epistemes'; but also the conflatedness of futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism 'referentialism as epistemological' (as of notional-deprocrypticism which reflects ontological-construal along the full potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness). Such a notional futural différence as a suprastructural construct appreciation of epistemological implications about social integration of knowledge certainly informs a commitment to outlier ideas as being ultimately validatable in effect as of their intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, if that is as of what they truly are, in the medium to long-run. Basically the transcendental as originary/event-of-prospective-ontology-origination to a knowledge and its knowledge system however remote the origination, in the very first place, speaks of the notion of <formative>epistemic-totalising-renewing-realisation/re-perception/re-thought associated with 'dialectical-thinking-psychology or psychology-of-mentation-dynamics or natural psychology-of-dynamics' behind any retrospective or prospective registry-worldview's/dimension's reference-of-thought validation-conceptualisation/epistemological relationship to knowledge/ontological-construal.

Ultimately, the very transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing between the prior registry-worldview/dimension as of its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought and the prospective registry-worldview/dimension as of its prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is ‘the very paradox of meaningfulness-and-teleology explaining their discordance, construed as the paradox of transcendence’. In other words, if the former had a grasp of its state ‘as to its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought’ with the transcendental structural/paradigmatic <formative>epistemic-causality-as-to-projective-totalitative-implications,-for-explicating-ontological-contiguity arising thereof it would have paradoxically transcended, thus explaining the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure nature of transcendence as of a cross-generational exercise and why such implied transcendental meaningfulness-and-teleology might seem arbitrary when meaningfulness-and-teleology is rather interpreted in terms of the prior registry-worldview’s/dimension’s reference-of-thought not factoring its prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought. But this is simply valid on the fact that a more profound axiomatic-construct on a given domain of reality as of prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought is of intemporal-or-ontological prioritisation as of its conflatedness relative to a less profound axiomatic-construct on that same given domain of reality as of prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought as of its constitutedness, as the latter is rather in shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology/distractiveness to the former as of reference-of-thought/structural/paradigmatic—ontological-performance. Consider for instance Einstein’s theory-of-relativity and Newton’s laws of motion with respect to the same given domain of physics reality, wherein the former’s prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over the latter implies the former’s utter ‘ontological-resetting’ in the

conceptualisation of that given domain of physics reality as of transversality-of-disambiguated-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with the latter; as henceforth the logical-ness of the latter doesn't even arise but rather as it maybe subsumed/implied/is-non-contradictory as of the former or for educational insights purposes! Of course, this comparison differs from a construal of postlogism and conjugated-postlogism associated perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought ; in that as of a human condition relations it is construed rather as beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought postlogism-and-conjugated-postlogism-as-of-non-conviction prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought 'waylaying', as <formative>wooden-language—of-temporal—mere-form/virtualities/dereification/akrasiatic-drag-denatured-and-dementing-narratives of the registry-worldview's/dimension's institutionalisation reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology hence dementing, of prior prelogism-as-of-conviction prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, thus requiring for intemporal-preservation-entropy-or-contiguity—or—ontological-preservation renewed 'conflatedness' as of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality that induces a prospective 'universally-transparent constraining mechanical-knowledge as new bare reference-of-thought—categorical-imperatives/axioms/registry-teleology as axiomatic-construct' and 'its social-universally-non-transparent-thus-non-constraining-element of ontological-faith-notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality as the creating-and-essence-attributing drive for knowledge-and-virtue' bringing about prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought, construed as 'ontological-resetting' of placeholder-setup/mental-devising-

representation/mentation/consciousness-awareness-teleology. By the mere fact of implied prospective relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought over prior relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-reference-of-thought a prospective transcendence involves the prospective reference-of-thought rather ‘registering-and-reflecting a beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought meaningfulness-and-teleology as of organic-knowledge Being correction’ of the prior reference-of-thought, such that the prior reference-of-thought logical-duedness doesn’t even arise as the prospective reference-of-thought is the relatively complete ‘ontological-resetting’ in an ‘organic effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology’ over the prior reference-of-thought ‘effecting-parsimony-as-of-shoddiness-and-incompleteness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology’; just as the introduction of chemistry science carries an organic effecting-wholeness-as-of-profoundness-and-completeness-to-meaningfulness-and-teleology over a non-positivism/medievalism alchemic material construal. This further explains ‘the socially conflicted nature of all implied transcendental constructs’ whether with prophesying metaphysico-theological constructs of early times reflected in non-universal and universal creeds up to our metaphysico-ontological worldviews implied transcendence, and so as of human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor; but then humankind has always been called upon to show itself capable of superseding/surpassément for prospective possibilities to avail. A second weakness of many critiques is by naively misrepresenting post-structural meaningfulness, and going on to criticise this. For instance, such arguments about post-structuralism as a theory that has no worldview are not made by poststructuralists who in their transcendently-enabling-level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-notion-or-

ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—
 as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism have been rather questioning openly what
 the reality of the meaningfulness they construct implies, as a basis for further intellectual
 development. This explains the convoluted responses of say Derrida because that is the
 intrinsic-reality insight at hand, and the issue is rather how to further develop. This will be
 tantamount to criticising early quantum physics for contending that the fundamental particles
 are rather like waves and evasive without yet establishing an advanced basis of the science.
 Knowledge is not an exercise of one set of individuals arguing against another nor is it a
 popularity contest but rather it is all about finding out what constitutes intrinsic-reality as it
 permits ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework; intrinsic-reality being the
 superseding transcendental enabler, and not any humans no matter their statuses. A third
 weakness has been by relating to poststructuralists as if they have got to get all their ideas
 right on by the instant, as if the theoretical framework isn't in development like all theoretical
 frameworks (by the same token imagine all the unanswered questions that underlie quantum
 physics for over half a century that are still being elucidated, for instance, string theory which
 is so highly speculative but is still credibly a basis for research and analysis). The purpose of
 a theoretical framework is not to provide an immediate answer for everything but rather to
 provide a framework for constant critical development of ideas. Otherwise, it will be best to
 develop a correlational construct that may statistically be coherent with many arguments at
 any given point in time but is of little predicative or projective value because it hasn't got a
 profundity as a genuine theoretical construct which may actually be mostly incoherent with
 many arguments at its earlier stage but provides a wealthy framework for the continuous
 articulation of ideas and resolutions, and this is actually the point of a theory in the very first
 place. It is thus no accident that many other disciplines have found post-structuralism as a
 relatively ideal tool for invoking much needed insight. A fourth criticism has to do with the

‘political nature’ of human affairs obviously, and even the intellectual is not beyond this especially with ideas of ‘socially-perceived disturbing implications’ (as has been the case throughout human history) and further so in a social domain that is not immediately amenable to predicative-effectivity—sublimation—{as-to-underlying-ontological-commitment} as with the natural domain even though the latter equally faces similar issues but to a lesser extent. When we come to reflect that the leading poststructuralist of his time had an entire school, rather than focusing on developing research criticisms of his work and other poststructuralists (which would have been the more impressive thing to do) instead taking a ‘political stance’ for the denial of his recognition with an institution of higher learning. Thus it is obviously, naïve for anyone to think that intellectualism and ideas occur in an absolute neutral environment particularly when of socially-perceived disturbing implications. While it is generally recognised that knowledge is determined on its own merits as an interest-free principle, the fact is in the real world of ‘socially-perceived-value as of social-stake-contention-or-confliction’ situations, human mental-disposition is not that intemporal and principled, whether wittingly or unwittingly, and extra-intellectual meaningfulness becomes fair game. Fifthly, the argument of unintelligibility of post-structural meaning is outright ridiculous with respect to the exegetical aims of its authors, and no less so as expecting advanced chemistry, biology and physics writing to be popularly intelligible. Jargon is rather a mechanism of deferential-formalisation-transference permeating all subject-matters and disciplines, which speaks to the idea that the ‘ordinariness of thought’ is not the sound basis for construing issues raised in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of profoundness of contemplation. The ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process by its deferential-formalisation-transference is an exercise of shrinking the melee of common sense wherein spheres previously opened for common opinionionatedness are shoved away as ‘deferred to’ specialisms whether institutional or subject-matters by the mere effectiveness,

with ‘informed common and individual opinions’ being the panache for the expression of sovereignty whether about the polity or individual choices, but not to be confused as a sign of inherent knowledge as of popularity. The idea that there is a common sense social science is a falsehood no more than there is no common sense natural science, and intellectuals are irresponsible when peddling the notion that readers shouldn’t acquire the requisite ‘intellectual elevation’ to grasp the profundity of meaningfulness and rather expect that they should be able to satisfactorily engage at the same intellectual level (reference-of-thought) involving advanced studies and research on the basis of ordinariness of thought. This should not be confused with a popularising exercise meant to stir popular interest like popular science, though in fact there is no truly popular science for that matter but serious/candid science. Such a confusion can hardly arise in the natural sciences because of the ‘promptness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ in constraining veracity/ontological-pertinence of thought by the immediate effectiveness of studies, discoveries and inventions wherein a flawed thought proposition will be proven wrong by its ontological ineffectiveness with relatively little concern for third-party convincing over the transcendental-enabling/sublimating that is existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, whereas the ‘blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’ in the social sciences allows for propositions to crop up that are hardly constrained by immediate effectiveness of studies, discoveries and inventions, such that such propositions will often border on popular thinking or the political (technically) or a concern priorly driven with garnering support and agreement, rather than of genuine intellectual strife for ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating. In this regard, the central tenet of poststructuralists with respect to their pursuit has been transcendently-enabling-

level-of-authenticity/objectification/desubjectification-as-objectification/ontological-faith-
 notion-or-ontological-fideism—imbued-underdetermination-of-
 apriorising/axiomatising/referencing—as-so-being-as-of-existential-reality/antinihilism with
 respect to their reflections, studies and research at all cost, even at the cost of many
 poststructuralists not recognising explicitly that they are poststructuralists or not recognising
 similarities in their works with other poststructuralists, so because fundamentally they can
 only vouch for their authentic reflections and analyses without a ‘surreptitious pretence’ for
 such amalgamation which will undermine their authenticity with regards to conceptualising
 intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality, with the idea that the notion of a commonness of
 their ideas and as a movement will take care of itself if they are truly articulating an intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality that reflects that commonness; more like the Indian story of
 blind men who came across an elephant and each one sincerely/authentically said what their
 capacity enabled them to say, no more no less, with the idea that if what they say is of-the-
 reality of an elephant, that notion will take care of itself but their first posture is to say
 authentically what is in front of them. This speaks of the essential nature of all sciences
 wherein the researcher considers the most determinant element to be not itself or other
 humans (who are together mortals; mortal because they/humans don’t really invent any rules
 of existence-or-intrinsic-reality-or-ontological-veridicality but rather at best discover them or
 utilise them as ‘supposed inventions’ – and the scientist is all about a validation by intrinsic-
 reality/ontological-veridicality-as-the-transcendental-enabling/sublimating in contrast to a
 mental-disposition of social-aggregation-enabler where the emphasis is naively about
 convincing the other mortal or mortals over a validation by intrinsic-reality/ontological-
 veridicality transcendental enabler thus leading to temporal-dragging-of-
 ontology/ontological-veridicality in-a-social-dynamism-of-meaningfulness-misappropriation,
 rather than the supersedingness/precedingness of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality

transcendental enabler) but the superseding transcendental-enabling/sublimating which is intrinsic-reality/existential-reality/ontological-veridicality as reflected by effectiveness of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework and projection; with the latter wholly the focus of intellectual contention. The medical researcher involved in seeking a cure by reflex is concerned about what the transcendental-enabling/sublimating that is intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality/existence ‘naturally and best construed/conceptualised’ in the crafted jargon of biomedical sciences will make available as cure as the ‘superior party’ over whatever they themselves or for that matter any other humans no matter their statuses may ‘sovereignly’ want to think or imagine. This same notion applies in the construct of knowledge in the social sciences, the pursuit of the social scientist as the study of social reality is ‘not about convincing people or making sense to people’ (that can be accessory) but rather about grasping/conceptualising the intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality of the social as the transcendental-enabling/sublimating whatever the jargon required for that purpose; the social education/enlightening exercise that arise thereafter just as a popular science exercise is an altogether different exercise of education and not first-level scientific engagement, and even then such education exercise will still call for a degree of intellectual elevation of the general public. It is critical that in the natural competition of intellectual ideas, intellectuals do not fall in the pattern of using debased or social feel good basis of non-intellectual logic in eliciting ‘mass thinking’ in order to advance their postures but rather fairly and squarely engage at the transcendental-enabling/sublimating of intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality level in proving or disproving those they agree or disagree with as of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework ontological implications of existence—as-the-absolute-a-priori-of-conceptualisation. Sixth, thus the idea of deferential-formalisation-transference behind formal predicates of institutions and subject-matter specialisms is all about construing meaningfulness in a depth-of-thought (intemporality) that

is not available to ordinariness of thought, wherein there is a disambiguating of the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as a construct of formalised reference-of-thought that is of intemporal-projection/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness/universalising/maximalising/transcendental over the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing informal reference-of-thought as melee of common sense of temporality/non-universalising/non-maximalising/non-transcendental constructions. The idea is that such a disambiguating is a necessity going by human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor requiring skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) towards the intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as the ontological construct that institutionalises (intemporalises). Hence such a skewing (‘intemporality-asymmetric-subsumption-of-temporality’, for relative intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating) in the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process of shrinking the melee of common sense involves developing institutional and subject-matter specialisms as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing narratives (for instance, the developing sciences and institutional specialisms) that induce corresponding untenability/internal-contradiction/internal-incoherence/institutional-constraining by effectiveness on the subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing as the melee of common sense inducing the latter’s ‘deference’, for instance, such deference as such postures as the law says that..., physicists say that..., etc. and not a common sense posture of the sort I think that..., thus relegating the melee of common sense out of the construal and conceptualisation of institutional or domain specialisms which hitherto had been free-for-all opinionatedness.

Such an exercise is not just retrospective but prospective as well in the expansion of human formalised constructs and including in this case the relatively profound insights of such social science as post-structuralism which sadly get undermined paradoxically by some critiques not by a same-level supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing intellectual criticism but raising subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing narrative to wrongly imply that post-structuralism should be as intelligible as common sense thinking, which is paradoxically never the case with say the jargon of law, natural sciences, etc. exactly for the reason highlighted above. The fact is the melee of common sense as subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing hasn't got the requisite intemporality/longness in terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct of universal projection of reference-of-thought and the logical-dueness/profile/presumption/assumptions/value-reference/teleology that arises from such a formal reference-of-thought (for instance, as the universal/intemporal proposition underlying this paper's purported construct for aetiologisation/ontological-escalation in grasping the phenomenon of postlogism in general and the general background human science conceptualisation; together with its exposure for falsifiability/validation from subsequent critical analyses). Such that there will tend to be 'confusion of reference-of-thought' where such subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing melee of common sense was apparently to act assumingly/presumptuously rather than 'to defer', or otherwise the instance where individuals assume the requisite intellectual elevation (whether by corresponding education and reflection) for a first-level engagement with such specialisms. As our melee of common sense defers when it comes to the natural sciences, it defers when it comes to the legal science, it shouldn't expect otherwise but to defer when it comes to rigorous post-structural and other social science constructions however their approximations, and so as the best construction potential of human meaningfulness and teleological possibilities. On that same

token the notion of validation of supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing with respect to subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing is not one of contending/argumentative validation at a same contending pedestal but rather as a validation of the supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing reference-of-thought as intellectually-and-morally institutionalising and not implying its equivalence with subtransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing melee of common sense reference-of-thought, wherein for instance a consistent demonstration of a chemistry science (as supratransversality—apriorising/axiomatising/referencing) effectiveness earns chemistry science the deferential-formalisation-transference of no longer being engaged at a same contending pedestal as the melee of common sense with respect to human social contention about material constitution in order to avoid the circular drawback of constantly making arguments in <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> terms-as-of-axiomatic-construct, such that social deference is now institutionalised as ‘chemists say that/it is said in chemistry that’ rather than a social melee of common sense equivalence of ‘chemists think that but I also think that going by my common sense’. This argumentation is not idle as the social sciences as ‘being closest to human conscious sense of sovereignty’ tend to be most affected by such fallacies as highlighted that should be superseded by all knowledge whether natural or social-construct, and while such notion are often intuitively grasped with other formalisms whether institutional, legal or in the natural sciences subject-matter specialisms, for the social sciences there is a need to actively bring this notion to the consciousness-awareness-teleology in order to circumvent such nature of knowledge fallacies with regards to an emotionally charged domain that is the social. This equally explain why the studies of the social are easiest prone to ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity, whether

beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, as even where contending intellectual postures are of relative elevated formal knowledge paradigm, it is quite easy for a muddling with <formative>wooden-language—imbued-averaging-of-thought-<as-to-leveling/ressentiment/closed-construct-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology-as-of-‘nondescript/ignorable-void’-with-regards-to-prospective-apriorising-implications> mentality in order to advance one intellectual posture, and so as intellectual politics rather than genuine intellectualism. Seventh, as advanced by this author the ontological-normalcy/postconvergence of intrinsic-reality as reflecting the ontological-contiguity—of-the-human-institutionalisation-process validates and restores the notion of essential meaningfulness (the notion of a center – be it conceptualised as an ‘imbricatedness/threadedness/recomposuring as of existential-contextualising-contiguity’-s-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context of existence-potency-prospective-digression—rules-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-that-further-epistemically-unconceal-the-very-ontologically-same-existential-reality’) to post-structural thought as its scholars had rather previously mostly focussed on disambiguating/clarifying the certitude/lack-of-certitude of human meaningfulness and thought. Even then the practical application and conceptualisation of post-structural meaningfulness has always been one that has tended to restore a sense of re-equilibrium with respect to perceived vested interest and skewed power relations whether with regards to its articulation in feminist studies, postcolonial studies, power relations in social settings with regards to appropriate deliverance and more responsive public services, etc. as post-structuralism has often been a framework giving weaker and subjected meaningful frames public voice. Thus the so-called ‘human-subject-emancipatory-relativism-driven-recomposuring-constructivism-towards-singularisation of post-structuralism’ has been in real and practical world terms more a question of abstract reconstructive thinking since

such practical applications have tended to be effective further highlighting the need rather for more decentering contemplations. Besides, post-structuralism practical emphasis has mostly been methodical rather than dogmatic. In the bigger scheme of things, this author further highlights that post-structuralism by implying ‘decentering’ is implying transcendence or an ‘existential-reference/existential-tautologisation pivoting/decentering’ such that ‘the center’ as the new basis of analysis/knowledge-construct has moved to the prospective/transcendental/superseding reference-of-thought putting into question the now-and-present way of thinking as prior/transcended/superseded reference-of-thought. What has been misconstrued is exactly the idea of ‘existential-conversion’ that is actually central to all subject-matters wherein the abstract articulation of principles are of existential-tautologisation/existential-reference neutrally. For instance, physics principles can be used for either aggressive and warring applications or peaceful and life-enhancing applications, and to say that physics principles are wrong because these can be construed as applicable for non-peaceful purposes is to misunderstand the fundamental nature of theoretic knowledge as fundamentally construing the possibility of existential-reality. Hence human application of knowledge as ‘human existential-conversion’ implies human self-preservation disposition in redefining meaningfulness-and-teleology from existential-tautologisation/existential-reference as of human subpotent existential-teleology within the full potency of existence-as-of-its-mimetic-echoiness/existence-in-reverberation/existence-potency-prospective-digression-of-<formative>epistemic-totalising–renewing-realisation,-re-perception,-re-thought-in-epistemic-conflatedness. In other words, abstract post-structural construct as any other theoretical constructs have no commitments to upholding any value-disposition and teleology but rather construe the ontological possibility conflated as of existential reality. The idea of eliciting value-disposition and teleology is a secondary exercise of human social application (with teleology fundamentally construed as ‘phenomenal/manifest epistemic

reflexivity in existence as ontological' and so with regards to the specific human-subpotency as to overall panintelligibility-as-reifying-and-empowering-reflexivity-of-ecstatic-existence—imbued-and-educed—human-subpotency—epistemic-perspective-of-apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-conceptualisation), and specifically with regards to the practical application of post-structural thought as a re-equilibrium exercise derived from the 'theoretic reshuffling-of-the-cards/putting-into-question'. Thus post-structuralism being so construed as ontologically-driven (having a center as of ontological-normalcy/postconvergence graspable by 'the dynamics of metaphysics-of-absence or postdication insight with respect to metaphysics-of-presence' involving reducing-epistemic-abnormalcy/increasing-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in construing-ontological-veridicality as determined-by-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context due to human limited-mentation-capacity-deepening-⟨formative>epistemic-totalisingly,-as-to-existence—as-sublimating-withdrawal) as 'shallow limited-mentation-capacity to deeper limited-mentation-capacity-⟨as of relative conflation) development') effectively heralds post-ideology as ideas and notions are validated/invalidated by their demonstrated ontological-veracity/ontological-pertinence. In order words the supposed ontological-terms of notions and ideas are the basis for their analysis as ontologically-pertinent or impertinent, and so more than just perfunctory analyses constrained by the limiting framework of institutionalised-being-and-craft constructs and setups but at an existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications level highlighting the precedingness/supersedingness/ascendency of ontologically-driven analysis over 'habits', 'conventions' and rights-of-precedence/entitlement fallacies. Post-structuralism as such should posit to remedy and supersede the inherent 'conceptual hyperbole' imbued in the often 'poorly-ontological, non-ontological or metaphysical constructions permeating ideologies'

and projected as worldviews, to ‘restore existential veracity/ontological-pertinence as the central notion behind worldview construction and representation’, and so beyond just ‘present-driven conceptualisations’ of ideologies, but of an insight derived from a historical and anthropological depth with respect to human mentation, meaningfulness and institutional-development—as-to-social-function-development as implied by a suprastructuralism highlighting of metaphysics-of-absence or postdication. Such a grounding of post-structuralism provides the underlying ontological outlet of analysis with regards to issues and conundrums of veracity/ontological-pertinence faced by earlier poststructuralists like Sartre (not often recognised as a poststructuralist but whose work interpretively does fit the mould, just as the works of many ‘seriously engaged’ critiques of post-structuralism like Gadamer and Habermas have been highly beneficial to post-structuralism), Foucault and Derrida when it came to draw out veracity/ontological-pertinence from such hyperbolic traditional ideologies including Marxism as constructs highly laden with metaphysics/non-ontology, on the one hand, while addressing, on the other hand, the imbued liberal and neoliberal dogmas of their times wrongly upholding that its ‘dogmatic practices and conventions’ are beyond ontological-reconstituting—as-of-conflatedness/deconstruction, and pertinently so by highlighting their underlying ontological failures with recurrent just about decadal institutional crises and social malaises, speaking of the ontological-wobbliness of a liberal thought that has become highly contradictory as marked by its very own perpetual second-guessing. Eighthly, it is this author’s ‘suprastructural contention’ that human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor and a social world is inherently hampered by a blurriness and distance of ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating’. Thus approaching a

scientific study of the Social on the same operational basis as that of the natural world is necessarily deficient as the latter's immediacy of concurrent ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality transcendental-enabling/sublimating as well as the fundamental pivoting/decentering of understanding involving the psychoanalytic-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure that took place starting over 500 years ago in establishing the positivising/rational-empirical mindset/reference-of-thought by the Galileos, Newtons, Leibnizes, Darwins, etc. of the world, such that an Einstein could perfectly articulate the idea of the-theory-of-relativity that would normally make no sense even to the majority of the scientific community at the time but for the 'very strength' of the established positivistic/rational-empiricism psyche (operating on the basis that what predicates on rational-empirical basis takes precedence) already established which ensured its transcendental enabling. The positivistic/rational-empirical psyche today, it is this author opinion, is not strong enough (of sufficient ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought in construing-ontological-veridicality as determined-by-existential-contextualising-contiguity's-reifying/elucidating-of-prospective-relative-ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought-devolving-as-of-instantiative-context for the further development today of the study of the Social as of its fleeting nature (on such terms of what predicates should take precedence). It must be said that the notion of transcendental enabler with regards to the Social today is rather relatively weak such that critically a lot of the basis for the social sciences today is influenced rather by practice, authority, and more or less intellectual-politics driven beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought, rather than truly ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework deterministic ontological 'projected constructs'. Consequently despite the projected candour, the study of the social is inevitably permeated with 'intellectual-ontological-bad-faith' (unconsciously or consciously), and by this is meant

it will be naïve to think that all issues of intellectual disagreements with respect to the study of the social are necessarily in purely logical terms without factoring the possibility of ‘intellectual perfidy’. What the blatant constraining of the natural world can do to thinking by mere ontological-primemovers-totalitative-framework under the rational-empiricism paradigm is often weakly possible with the Social particularly where there is perceived interest to act otherwise. This is particularly the case with regards to the undermining of social criticism and especially post-structuralism with the intellectual standards of such criticisms strangely enough falling incredibly so low (and mostly finding credibility by ‘pride of place’ of intellectual engagement often beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought abused as objective bases of intellectual criticism get discarded easily for highly subjective ones); and this author equally holds that a ‘fully emancipated social science’ will only prevail with the requisite pivoting/decentering of understanding as deprocrypticism/preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought psychoanalytical-unshackling/memetic-reordering/institutional-recomposure, which should enable the attainment of a suprastructural/beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought level of social thought involving deprocrypticism as preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought. More like in many ways the level of thought in the natural sciences is wholly divorced from our consciousness-awareness-teleology and is fully transcendental-enabling/sublimating by confirmatory existence/intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridicality with little or no social-aggregation-enabling but say for human organisational issues and wrong preconceptions induced by social-aggregation-enabling. This arises because it is inevitable to have conscious or unconscious ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity just going by human temporal-to-intemporal nature without an inherently strong transcendental-enabling/sublimating. While in the natural and mathematical sciences the subject-matter by itself is highly transcendental-

enabling/sublimating this is not the case with the subject-matter of the social due to its high temporal-to-intemporal-conjugating-emotional-involvement/subjectification/epistemic-totalising-self-referencing-syncretising-as-of-perceived-social-stake-contention-or-confliction requiring rather a further strengthening of ontologising rules as of knowledge notionalisation and abject-ontologising-recomposuring (deprocrypticism as preempting-procrypticism or preempting—disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought) beyond the present just positivistic/rational-empiricism striving social science bringing together profound insight with causal effectiveness. This doesn't necessarily imply a naïve mimicry of the experimental approach as is often the case it can be argued as prevalent in the psychological sciences, and even in the natural sciences there is need for thorough insight when experimenting like say much of quantum physics is often based on elaborate abstractness of thought that is merely validated by critical confirmatory experiments. In fact, this author will contend that the overall 'insightful empirical' conceptualisation of this paper is actually more profound than catches the eye in a naïve empirical sense that cannot see beyond our positivistic registry-worldview to recognise human successive transcendental states like recurrent-utter-uninstitutionalisation, base-institutionalisation–ununiversalisation, universalisation–non-positivism/medievalism, positivism–procrypticism and deprocrypticism; as even empirical conceptualisations requires insight and it is more than just a matter of obtaining results because an experiment has been made which is certainly simplistic as the very existential state of things when disambiguated is actually a more profound notion of experiment. It is interesting to note that this argument on the specific basis of (conscious or unconscious) ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity for the requisite condition of a 'fully emancipated social science' is more than just of circumstantial and idle implication but is rather construed as a structural/paradigmatic notion much like saying it is impossible to have a fully emancipated science in a transitory non-positivism/medievalism to positivistic social-setup still

emphasising essences and supranatural causations over a transcendental-enabling/sublimating of rational-empiricism/positivising based knowledge of intrinsic-reality, as transcendental-enabling/sublimating positivistic contentions will still be undermined with such a discrepancy of notional-discontiguity/epistemic-discontiguity-<mentally-aestheticised_dementing-qualia-schema> in the apriorising/axiomatising/referencing/intelligibilitysetup/measuringinstrument of reference-of-thought/axiomatic-construct. Likewise, the positivism-procrypticism meaningful-frame is not sufficiently beyond-the-consciousness-awareness-teleology-in-existential-extrication-as-of-existential-unthought of social-aggregation-enabling with respect to its social reality subject-matter as of its spurious/remote nature, for a more profound transcendental-enabling/sublimating (unlike the relative case with the physical reality subject-matter as immediate) as required for futural Being-development/ontological-framework-expansion-as-to-depth-of-ontologising-development-as-infrastructure-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology as of prospective deprocrypticism intrinsic-reality/ontological-veridical transcendental enabling. Thus, the only credible logic this author can think of is that post-structuralism as one of the major critical theories given its potential ontological vigour has been seen as a threat with a deliberate covert non-intellectual effort to stifle it and limit its influence often having to do with misrepresenting the ideas and implications of the ideas of its main proponents (as in fact, one of the central issue with regards to post-structural thinking with respect to other intellectual postures has had to do with the unusually high level of accusations of its proponents of misrepresentation of their ideas by many of their critiques whether with respect to such accusations of nihilism or untruth, with a central characteristics of many of such critiques being a failure of recognising exactly the central point of post-structural thinking as rather 'a putting-into-question/shuffling-of-the-cards for a more profound perspective for ontological analysis'. Consider in this case one media-driven and popularised argument that Karl Rove 'we make our own reality' quote during the Bush

mandate, is due to post-structuralism. Such arguments are revealing of the ‘non-intellectual spirit’ of many such critics, and in this instance wrongly intimating that Karl Rove considered himself a poststructuralist whereas a sincere take will garner that this is nothing other than a Machiavellian, opportunistic and unprincipled statement than ‘truly post-structural theory inspired’ as with or without post-structuralism it is no less likely that the same statement would have been uttered. And the pseudointellectual exercise of linking the two is revealing not only of such out-of-the-way criticism but equally the ‘wayward mindset’ that is often brought into supposedly rigorous social science on the basis of such anything-goes-rhyming-logic! Post-structuralism generally occupy a relatively sound position when it comes to all the practical applications of post-structural thought which, to say the least, have always highlighted a sense of re-equilibrium rather than the bogus and insincere criticisms of nihilism or untruth which this author construes as ‘in-effect ontological-bad-faith/inauthenticity’ of ‘parodying’ of poststructuralists positions and analysing the ‘parody’ in usurpation as against a genuinely candid critical intellectualism of their true postures in authenticity. Post-structural exposition of the realities of the social are not value judgements in themselves just as natural sciences exposition of natural and physical reality doesn’t carry any value judgements. For instance, discovering that bacteria cause disease is a simple objective truth then giving rise to human animate-existential-referencing/subjectification inducing the teleological meaningfulness to pivot/decenter that knowledge into avoiding disease and finding cure for diseases. This is no more different with post-structural thought which is not an advocacy but telling the social reality for what it is, with human pivoting/decentering to apply that knowledge for its defined teleological meaningfulness. One of the serious consequence of such a weakened social criticism driven by such a targeted and induced atmosphere of quasi-anti-intellectualism is the result that the domain of the political economy and corresponding economic interests have been spared from the critical

analysis of such powerful ontological tools; specifically going by the issues of misallocation and inequality we face today based on axioms of models that remain critically beyond analysis, as effectively an anti-intellectualism with respect to social criticism including post-structuralism is cultivated in favour of a default socially uncritical political economy practice (with the cover-up of an ‘intellectually platitudinal’ media) to protect them. Notwithstanding the impressive theoretical conceptualisations of an ever second-guessing economics science, the ‘underlying liberal political economy axiomatic constructs’ on which it rests are massively arbitrary, flawed and degenerate; and this is one area in which developed social criticism including post-structuralism could do an excellent job in debunking the ‘underlying mysticism’, as the domain of the political economy beyond competition of ideas at such a fundamental level is the very foundation of the uncritical preservation of such axioms. Such issues as political choices for bailouts, reallocations and remuneration practices are strictly speaking not economic science issues but political economy issues that require a criticism with respect to social choice about the political economy, but this has been usurped uncritically as if of a natural economic allocation mechanism (a falsehood). This author makes this latter point on the belief that knowledge is an existential exercise and that the intellectual should sincerely put their ‘hand in fire’ at the risk of being proven wrong, as the intellectual exercise is not one of self-veneration but discovering the truth (even at the risk of sounding/looking ridiculous). If there is one area of speculative thinking allowed to this author in this paper, it is such a proposition together with the idea that it is incredible to think that a lot of the criticisms directed to post-structuralism since the 1980s arises out of such (it is herein contended) ‘intellectual triteness’ by such critics particularly going by the ‘frivolous arguments’ advanced compared to the high intellectual standards they have been able to show elsewhere, together with the notion that these have tended to be unusually media driven in inducing a populist effect. Imagination will point to the idea that something much more

‘cynical and non-intellectual’ must be at work but passing for legitimate intellectualism; or is it, more like the medieval scholasticism erudition establishment more or less grasping the true implications of a non-medieval positivistic thinking on the whole intellectual, belief system and social-construct, and cynically upholding notions they knew better to be wrong but for their overall sense of preservation of their present and their present interests. This impression can be extended as well with respect to the idea of the social implications of postlogism-as-of-non-conviction as of its ontological-resolution (aetiologisation/ontological-escalation) in all the successive registry-worldviews given human-subpotency—aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor. As we can grasp that an aetiologisation/ontological-escalation as resolution for non-positivism/medievalism world postlogism which is more than just palliative/incidental-in-its-implication with regards to a specific instance or specific instances of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery for instance, but rather construing the whole non-positivism/medievalism registry-worldview/dimension relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (as of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales as enabling the possibility of the phenomenon of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and other vices-and-impediments of the state of non-positivism/medievalism and thus requiring structurally and comprehensively a positivistic ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will structurally elicit a non-positivism/medievalism world sense of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’ that wouldn’t necessarily construe the social manifestations of notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery with their associated vices-and-impediments as abstractly and ontologically unwarranted universally (which we know was actually the case, with the

‘establishment’ idea being that the masses didn’t need to know about such ‘positivistic stuff’ even if such stuff was ontologically-veridical), to ensure its ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’. Likewise an articulation as of aetiologisation/ontological-escalation (ontological-resolution) that is more than just palliative/incidental-in-its-implication with respect to the notion of psychopathy and social psychopathy with regards to a specific instance or specific instances of psychopathy and social psychopathy but by pointing to the bigger picture to the procrypticism registry-worldview’s/dimension’s disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (as enabling the possibility of the phenomenon of psychopathy and social psychopathy as of metaphorically-a-million-and-one-instances-and-locales as well as other vices-and-impediments of procrypticism structurally and comprehensively requiring a deprocrypticism ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought will structurally elicit a human procrypticism sense of ‘temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology preservation’ that wouldn’t necessarily construe the social manifestations of psychopathy and social psychopathy with their associated vices-and-impediments as abstractly and ontologically unwarranted universally and such an approach may just be off-putting with regards to the prospective implication for the need for deprocrypticism ontological-completeness-of-reference-of-thought (as intemporal/longness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology) undermining of procrypticism relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought (as the temporal/shortness-of-register-of-meaningfulness-and-teleology). Such an articulation equally extends to the idea that notions overlooking vices-

and-impediments associated with psychopathy and equally wrongly implying its associated virtue in the procrypticism registry-worldview are just as of ‘temporal apriorising/axiomatising/referencing-in-hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing’ like the disposition to overlook vices-and-impediments associated with notions-and-accusations-of-sorcery and equally implying the associated virtue in a non-positivism/medievalism setup; and so, as of human-subpotency–aporia/undecidability/dilemma/ought-indeterminacy/deficiency/limitation/constraint—imbued-temporal-to-intemporal-dispositions—existentialism-form-factor due to their respective relative-ontological-incompleteness-of-prior-reference-of-thought,-induced-virtuality/ontologically-flawed-construal/hollow-staging-and-performance-caricaturing—so-construed-as-from-prospective-reference-of-thought with respect to their respective perversion-and-derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought phenomena. Thus in all registry-worldviews reference-of-thought, postlogism-as-of-non-conviction once it is ‘as of socially-functional-and-accordant’ (beyond the case at childhood where it is accompanied by overt delirium and social universal transparency of the defect) as at adulthood, the postlogism ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation tends to extend as conjugated-postlogism ‘disjointedness-as-of-reference-of-thought’ misappropriated meaningfulness-and-teleology in arrogation involving the temporal elicitation of derived-perversion-of-reference-of-thought, and it is thus naïve to construe postlogisms without such a corresponding differentiation of social analysis in the construing/conceptualisation of ontological-veridicality. Now the criticism of populism-driven critiques of post-structuralism is not raised idly, as an exercise that purports to articulate such breadth and depth of novel ideas as this paper does necessarily requires that the authorship effectively assume the profile and presumption that the implied knowledge construct warrants (which obviously every truly intellectual spirit will appreciate for what it is, if not agree with the arguments). Such an

articulation is driven by the idea that knowledge as a transcendence-enabling construct is more than just about its craftiness/technique but part and parcel of the intellectual exercise is to articulate meaningfulness by its existentialism/full-depth-of-existential-implications. And just as faced with the evasive nature of quantum theory the physicists never said reality is wrong since it is difficult to understand, likewise it is naïve to imply that the reality reflected by post-structuralism is wrong because it doesn't quite fit into our ordinary everyday way of thinking (that is exactly the point, our ordinary everyday way of thinking is in want of its further development, just as all prior ordinary everyday ways of thinking had to be psychoanalytically-unshackled)!